

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > Nov

## UFO UpDates Mailing List Nov 2010

### Nov 1:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Eleanor White [10]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - John Rimmer [12]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - William Treurniet [50]  
[Complete Videos UFOs & Nukes Press Conference](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [17]  
[BBC & Rendlesham Again](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [142]  
[More Falling Brains](#) - Dave Haith [138]

### Nov 2:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [24]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [23]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [24]  
[Re: More Falling Brains](#) - John Rimmer [10]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Gerald O'Connell [16]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Don Ledger [38]  
[Re: More Falling Brains](#) - Steve Sawyer [51]  
[Estimate Of The Situation \[was: Again The ETH Is A](#) - Gildas Bourdais [13]  
[Re: Estimate Of The Situation \[was: Again The ETH](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [20]

### Nov 3:

[Re: More Falling Brains](#) - John Rimmer [13]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - William Treurniet [51]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Don Ledger [44]  
[Re: BBC & Rendlesham Again](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [47]  
[Re: More Falling Brains](#) - Carol Maltby [10]

### Nov 4:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Gerald O'Connell [28]

### Nov 3:

[News Links - 02-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]

## Nov 5:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - William Treurniet [52]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Eleanor White [25]  
[William Cooper Revisited](#) - Kathy Kasten [79]  
[News Links - 04-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [55]

## Nov 6:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Greg Boone [50]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Greg Boone [44]

## Nov 7:

[Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [33]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Gerald O'Connell [275]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Gerald O'Connell [10]  
[Oz Doc Examines Close Encounter](#) - Sheryl Gottchall [46]  
[New Website: UFO's Pet?](#) - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [21]  
[Birmingham UFO Group Lecture](#) - Larry W Bryant [44]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - William Treurniet [35]

## Nov 8:

[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Peter Davenport [22]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Don Ledger" [12]  
[The Varginha Incident - Case Closed?](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [122]  
[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Gerald O'Connell [29]  
[Update On San Luis Valley CO Camera Project](#) - Eleanor White [37]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - David Rudiak [21]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [22]  
[Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [30]  
[Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia](#) - Bruce Maccabee [17]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Steve Sawyer [14]  
[Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia](#) - Greg Boone [15]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Steven Kaeser [14]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Ray Dickenson [20]

## Nov 9:

[Hastings To Speak At Oxford](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - David Rudiak [39]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Bruce Maccabee [12]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [26]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Eleanor White [19]  
[Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia](#) - Steve Sawyer [64]  
[Re: The Varginha Incident - Case Closed?](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [63]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [17]

## Nov 10:

[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Kathy Kasten [19]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Peter Davenport [39]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Stanton Friedman [13]  
[1952 Unconventional Aircraft White Plains, NY](#) - Terry W. Colvin [17]

## Nov 8:

[News Links - 07-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [78]

## Nov 10:

[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [28]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Kathy Kasten [43]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Ray Dickenson [22]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Gerald O'Connell [27]  
[News Links - 09-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]

## Nov 11:

[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - J. Maynard Gelinias [32]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [33]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Stanton Friedman [52]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Martin Shough [55]  
[News Links - 10-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [55]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Martin Shough [27]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Jerome Clark [25]  
[Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Greg Boone [13]  
[Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Gerald O'Connell [17]

## Nov 12:

[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Gerald O'Connell [25]  
[Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Ray Dickenson [29]  
[Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Eleanor White [11]  
[Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Michael Tarbell [32]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Kathy Kasten [40]  
[Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Kathy Kasten [38]  
[Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Paul Scott Anderson [30]  
[News Links - 11-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [53]  
[BBC Radio Suffolk & Rendlesham Again](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [87]  
[Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists](#) - Martin Shough [22]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Martin Shough [100]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [16]  
[Re: Black Holes \[was: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]

## Nov 13:

[Re: Black Holes](#) - Martin Shough [43]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Martin Shough [19]  
[Re: Black Holes](#) - Ray Dickenson [28]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - William Treurniet [25]  
[Re: Black Holes](#) - Martin Shough [41]

## Nov 14:

[Re: Black Holes](#) - Ray Dickenson [38]  
[Man Reports Flying Saucer Sighting](#) - Greg Boone [13]  
[CBS Looks At 10 Unsolved UFO Stories](#) - Greg Boone [22]

## Nov 15:

[Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Greg Boone [17]  
[Crop Circle Maker Tells All](#) - Dave Haith [17]  
[Re: CBS Looks At 10 Unsolved UFO Stories](#) - Steven Kaeser [13]  
[Re: Black Holes](#) - Terrence Brown [8]  
[Re: Black Holes](#) - Martin Shough [17]  
[News Links - 14-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [86]

## Nov 16:

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [10]  
[Russian Ufologist Explains Research](#) - Greg Boone [22]  
[Re: Black Holes](#) - Ray Dickenson [15]  
[Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All](#) - Kathy Kasten [14]  
[Re: Black Holes](#) - Don Ledger [80]  
[Re: William Cooper Revisited](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [21]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [30]  
[Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All](#) - Eleanor White [51]  
[Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange](#) - Dave Haith [13]  
[How Life Appeared On Earth](#) - Diana Cammack [85]

## Nov 17:

[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Greg Paloma [31]  
[Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All](#) - J. Maynard Gelinis [43]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [25]  
[Black Triangle Dubbed Dudley Dorito](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange](#) - Dave Haith [13]  
[Re: How Life Appeared On Earth](#) - Gerald O'Connell [20]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Steve Sawyer [34]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Gildas Bourdais [20]

## Nov 18:

[Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Dave Haith [14]  
[British Invisibility Research](#) - Dave Haith [24]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [45]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [16]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [29]  
[The Real X Files Are In Uruguay](#) - A. J. Gevaerd [74]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Bruce Maccabee [27]  
[Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond Comprehension](#) - Dave Haith [48]

## Nov 19:

[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Steve Sawyer [12]  
[Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange](#) - Dave Haith [13]  
[Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond](#) - Greg Boone [24]  
[Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO](#) - Greg Paloma [24]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [43]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [29]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Eleanor White [12]  
[Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond](#) - Eleanor White [13]  
[News Links - 18-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [99]

## Nov 20:

[UFO Postmark In Belleville Wisconsin](#) - Rich Heiden [44]  
[Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond](#) - Nick Balaskas [38]  
[Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange](#) - Carol Maltby [14]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Kathy Kasten [29]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - William Treurniet [56]  
[Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly](#) - Dave Haith [16]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [13]  
[Re: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly](#) - Dave Haith [40]

## Nov 21:

[Re: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly](#) - Ron Eastwood [64]  
[FTL Info And 'Teleportation'](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [13]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [42]  
[Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange](#) - Diana Cammack [11]  
[Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Dave Haith [27]  
[UFO Matrix Magazine Issue 3 Preview](#) - Philip Mantle [15]  
[UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead](#) - Dave Haith [62]  
[Re: FTL Info And 'Teleportation'](#) - Greg Boone [21]  
[UFOs & Nukes Telephone Interviews Back Up](#) - Frank Warren [22]  
[Re: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead](#) - Nick Balaskas [48]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Kathy Kasten [19]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [58]

## Nov 22:

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [47]  
[Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Kathy Kasten [17]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Kathy Kasten [18]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Gildas Bourdais [31]  
[UFO Investigator Barbara Bartholic Dies At Age 71](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [76]  
[News Links - 21-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [75]  
[Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Gerald O'Connell [14]  
[Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Diana Cammack [14]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Greg Boone [59]  
[Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?](#) - Steven Kaeser [18]  
[Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Dave Haith [21]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [42]

## Nov 23:

[We Have Met The Enemy... \[was: Galaxies Older Than](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [15]

[Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Kathy Kasten [10]

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [46]

[Re: British Invisibility Research](#) - Kathy Kasten [21]

[Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Don Ledger [18]

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [31]

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [12]

[New BBC Audio On Roswell Incident](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [29]

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - William Treurniet [17]

[Re: We Have Met The Enemy... \[was: Galaxies Older](#) - Carol Maltby [21]

[Death Of Greta Woodrew](#) - Rich Heiden [16]

[SETI's Shostak Not An Impartial Skeptic?](#) - Ray Dickenson [30]

[Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song](#) - Greg Boone [25]

[Martin Shough's 'Return Of The Flying Saucers'](#) - Greg Taylor [29]

[An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [21]

[Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!](#) - Robert Powell [20]

[Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song](#) - Jerome Clark [27]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - Gerald O'Connell [21]

## Nov 24:

[Re: We Have Met The Enemy...](#) - Kathy Kasten [21]

[Re: We Have Met The Enemy...](#) - Kathy Kasten [16]

[Re: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead](#) - Sergey Shpakovsky [49]

[Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All](#) - Sergey Shpakovsky [46]

[Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song](#) - Kathy Kasten [15]

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [38]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [16]

[Re: Black Holes](#) - Bert Reijersen van Buuren [38]

[News Links - 23-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [63]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - Joe McGonagle [24]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [21]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - Joe McGonagle [18]

[Re: Black Holes](#) - Martin Shough [11]

[Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song](#) - Carol Maltby [15]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [37]

## Nov 25:

[The Dead & UFOs A Connection?](#) - Dave Haith [87]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [18]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - Martin Shough [18]

[Re: Black Holes](#) - Ray Dickenson [38]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - Gerald O'Connell [24]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [28]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [11]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - Joe McGonagle [27]

[Press Release From UFO-Nyt To ufo.dk](#) - Ole Henningsen [18]

## Nov 26:

[Media Audio Coverage Of Hastings' Oxford Lecture](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [22]

[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [29]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Gildas Bourdais [24]  
[News Links - 25-11-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [65]  
[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - Joe McGonagle [23]  
[Re: Martin Shough's 'Return Of The Flying Saucers'](#) - Martin Shough [17]  
[Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England](#) - William Treurniet [23]  
[Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them](#) - Don Ledger [14]  
[Re: Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them](#) - Jerome Clark [20]  
[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Don Ledger [23]

**Nov 27:**

[Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton](#) - Gildas Bourdais [26]

**Nov 29:**

[Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Steven Aftergood [219]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - John Rimmer [14]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Kathy Kasten [14]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Eleanor White [11]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Eleanor White [10]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Jerome Clark [14]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Jerome Clark [11]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Eleanor White [15]

**Nov 30:**

[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Jerome Clark [11]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - William Treurniet [29]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Steven Kaeser [18]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - John Rimmer [15]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Terry W. Colvin [16]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Jerome Clark [14]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Stanton Friedman [21]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - John Rimmer [21]  
[Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10](#) - Kathy Kasten [13]

*The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.*

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 15:31:06 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 01 Nov 2010 09:15:04 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Stephen Bassett <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 13:03:46 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>Finally, history has clearly shown us something very important.  
>Life is difficult and dangerous, yes, but institutional lying  
>and misrepresentation of reality has always taken the human  
>story to bad outcomes. Knowing the true nature of things does  
>not guarantee a good outcome, it is just the best path we have  
>to achieving one.

Bravo!

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 20:21:10 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Mon, 01 Nov 2010 09:17:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:12:13 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>I ought to have stessed that the pro-ETH conclusion (in  
>1948) of a Sign faction - whose members got sacked when its  
>estimate of the situation ran up the command chain - remained a  
>secret until Ruppelt exposed it in 1956 book. (To this day, as  
>most ufologists are aware, not a single copy of it has  
>surfaced.)

Jerry, a genuine query. How do we know that this 'Estimate' ever  
existed? Is it simply Ruppelt's word, and Ruppelt would never  
tell a lie, or be misled himself?

--  
John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 01 Nov 2010 09:18:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 13:58:48 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 16:32:57 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 13:36:36 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>><snip>

>>>Since the Top Secret, Earth based technology has never worked  
>>>(it has been bandied about for about 70 years with no resolution  
>>>after all) except for those who don't have any real knowledge of  
>>>what's really in the arsenals of the world; and no other  
>>>sensible solution has come along to fit the bill, we are usually  
>>>left asking if this phenomenon is from some extraterrestrial  
>>>source.

><snip>

>>As is well known, Dr. Ben Rich at Lockheed is reported to have  
>>said before his death in 1995 that "We already have the means to  
>>travel among the stars, but these technologies are locked up in  
>>black projects and it would take an act of God to ever get them  
>>out to benefit humanity. Anything you can imagine we already  
>>know how to do." Is there reason to think he was lying? I'm sure  
>>I'm not the only one who would like to know.

>More like out of context. And just who has this technology? I'm  
>guessing you are going with the United States. Stan Friedman  
>worked on Nuclear Rocket Engines for some years. These were  
>dramatically more powerful than their chemical and solid  
>propellant brothers but the program was dropped. These rockets  
>would have shortened travel times to Mars and beyond. The travel  
>among the stars quote is nothing more than a romanticised take  
>on our ability to travel outward in the solar system.

I can't imagine a serious context that would change the  
interpretation of what Ben Rich said. He added the following,  
quoted from this url.

<http://tinyurl.com/256dpku>

"We now have the technology to take ET home. No, it ' take  
someone=92s lifetime to do it. There is an error in the equations.  
We know what it is. We now have the capability to travel to the  
stars."

He also confirmed the following.

"There are 2 types of UFOs =97 the ones we build and ones =91they=92  
build. We learned from both crash retrievals and actual "hand-

me-downs." The Government knew and until 1969 took an active hand in the administration of that information. After a 1969 Nixon "purge", administration was handled by an international board of directors in the private sector."

So what he said is either true or false, no "depends on the context". If we take what he said at face value, this kind of technology is controlled by the private sector. Although governments may still hand over development money via black budgets, they appear not to be in control of the results. This is consistent with Eisenhower's warning about the military-industrial complex.

I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big, is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the secrecy.

>>Why has there been such a dearth of public domain research on >>gravity since the early 1960's? Has this topic been actively >>discouraged by the funding agencies?

>Not sure the point you are trying to make. So they are >researching gravity. Science has been studying gravity for a few >hundred years or at least since Newton.

Actually, "dearth" means "lack". My point was that there seems to be little concerted theoretical and applied research on gravity going on. Why fund it if they already have what they need?

><snip>

>Unless you can give me sound reasons first how the US came by >this technology before WWII yet decided to keep it back and let >a million plus US soldiers die or suffer casualties needlessly, >a million deaths and casualties in the UK, and millions more in >Western and Eastern Europe and then spent 2 billion dollars on >the invention of the A-bomb, I'll pass on that tired old theory >that these are secret military technology. That theory failed 70 >years ago and falls flat now.

My guess is that operational use of the advanced technology started to happen relatively recently, maybe around the time people started seeing more of the triangle UFOs. So what happened around the time of WWII is probably not relevant to this discussion. On the other hand, there are people who believe that the Germans were well on the way to developing new propulsion technology back then, and this may have fed into the modern international corporate effort.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

## Complete Videos UFOs & Nukes Press Conference

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 08:45:15 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 01 Nov 2010 09:20:48 -0400  
Subject: Complete Videos UFOs & Nukes Press Conference

Dear Colleagues,

UFO & Nukes National Press Conference video is now available on Robert Salas web site.

This is the index page:

<http://tinyurl.com/28jxq77>

Those videos are complete and with great quality. Previous uncomplete video with a two tin black lines was uploaded on the web by anonymous uploader at the time.

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

## BBC & Rendlesham Again

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 08:38:27 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 01 Nov 2010 09:58:35 -0400  
Subject: BBC & Rendlesham Again

Dear Colleagues,

For previous coverage and debate about Rendlesham (August 2010) connected with Evan Davis piece check this archived post:

<http://tinyurl.com/2a5uuz6>

It seems now that BBC is preparing new take on the case again. Check their new article pasted below.

-----

Source: BBC Suffolk

<http://tinyurl.com/39u8uun>

Saturday, 30 October 2010

Rendlesham Revealed: New evidence in UFO mystery  
By Mark Murphy  
BBC Suffolk Breakfast Show presenter

It's hoped one of the greatest Suffolk mysteries of all time is about to be solved once and for all, with new evidence to be revealed on BBC Radio Suffolk. In December 1980 something strange happened in the forest close to the giant twin US airbases of Bentwaters and Woodbridge.

Was it a UFO crash landing or was it simply the light from Orford Ness lighthouse? Whatever it was it's caused years of debate, speculation, gossip and rumour. Now after 30 years, I'm hoping to reveal what happened in Rendlesham Forest and have joined up with the East Anglian Daily Times to finally uncover the events of December 1980.

On the evening of Friday, 17 December I'll be presenting a special programme on BBC Radio Suffolk from the Bentwaters Cold War Museum. I'll be revealing new evidence that I believe will finally reveal the truth and put the conspiracy theories to bed for good.

Your memories

In the meantime I want to hear your memories of the event, and your thoughts on what really happened.

Thirty years later, do you now feel confident about coming forward?

E-mail [mark.murphy.nul](mailto:mark.murphy.nul)

Background

So what did happen? Let's go back 30 years and get an overview based on various accounts.

Security at two American Air bases - RAF Woodbridge and RAF Bentwaters, which held one of NATO's largest nuclear stockpiles,

is as tight as usual. Radar screens sweep and blip.

On the evening of 26 December, 1980 at 3am there is a report of a possible air crash near the perimeter fence at RAF Woodbridge. Three security officers go to investigate. They radio back mentioning a strange glowing object in the forest - metallic in appearance and triangular in shape (two metres by three metres across the base and two metres high). What is considered unusual is the information which is logged at Woodbridge police station. In the incident book there is a log of a sighting of strange lights in the sky. Someone reports a bright fireball. Rendlesham Forest, which lies between the two bases, is illuminated by a white light. D Flight of security police responds to a 'falling star' report outside the weapons storage area. Lt Col Charles Halt, the deputy commanding officer of RAF Woodbridge was then called. They see an object with pulsing red lights on top and a bank of blue lights underneath, hovering or on legs. As the patrolmen approach, it manoeuvres through the trees and disappears. Later that night, an intense red light moves and pulses through the trees and breaks into five separate white objects and disappears.

UFO traces

Three star-like objects are then seen in the sky, moving rapidly in sharp, angular motions and displaying red, green and blue lights.

One patrolman later described how the air seemed charged with electricity, how his hair stood on end and that they seemed to be walking in slow motion.

He said he examined the craft which was covered with strange symbols, and touched the smooth, black, glass-type fabric.

It then slowly moved back, weaving in and around the trees, to about 40 feet away, then rose up into the air and shot off as fast as you could blink. It appeared to be under some sort of intelligent control.

The next day, three depressions could be seen on the ground where the object appeared, and when the area was checked for radiation, beta/gamma readings peaked at the spot where it landed.

Two nights later, again at around 3am, the duty flight commander for the security police unit rushed in to a belated Christmas party white as a sheet.

"The UFO is back", he said.

Lt Col Charles Halt changed into his battle fatigues and goes back into Rendlesham Forest.

From a nearby farm they could see, maybe 20 degrees off the horizon, three white objects - elliptical, like a quarter moon - with blue, green, and red lights on them, making sharp, angular movements.

A red sun-like light moved and pulsed through the trees.

One military witness later said: "Here I am, a senior official that routinely denies this sort of thing and diligently works to debunk them and I'm involved in the middle of something I can't explain."

One of the patrolmen has since claimed that the whole episode was documented on video and stills but these have never come to light.

Halt was to claim later that two rolls of film he took never came out.

The Ministry of Defence's (MOD) official response has been dismissive but Nick Pope, who for three years was in charge of the MOD's UFO investigations has gone on record to say: "You had a number of US Air Force personnel at a very important base in Suffolk having seen a structured craft moving through Rendlesham Forest.

"It almost appeared to be leading them on a merry chase through



the woods. "My conclusions: Type of craft: unknown. Origin: unknown. Purpose of craft: unknown I guess."

Cover up?

There is uncertainty as to whether this is proof of an actual alien encounter and an official cover up.

Sceptics say that the eyewitness accounts differ widely and contradict each other - and some tales have grown in the telling since 1980.

In his memo to the MOD, Lt Col Halt even seems to have got the date of the first sightings wrong.

The story has since been thoroughly re-examined and some plausible explanations have emerged:

- Close by is a lighthouse which strobes brightly into the forest at low level;
- There was a brilliant meteor over southern England at the exact same time;
- The upper stage rocket of a Soviet satellite, Cosmos 749, had broken up on re-entry;
- One star was reported as being exceptionally bright between Christmas and New Year;
- Could the mysterious object have been one of the earliest trials of the Stealth bomber?
- Could it have been a US spy satellite dropped on Suffolk by mistake?
- On the ground, the "triangular" marks left at the landing site could simply be rabbit diggings and as for the radiation spikes, the readings taken were so low, they are insignificant.

So what do you think happened? Were you there at the time? Did you see or hear anything strange?

Get in contact, and tune in on Friday, 17 December for the new evidence which I'm confident will change people's opinions of what happened.

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

## More Falling Brains

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 09:31:06 -0000  
Archived: Mon, 01 Nov 2010 10:07:10 -0400  
Subject: More Falling Brains

Fw: From the Editor of NEXUS Magazine (is THIS what the 'event' is?)

I have seen this subject get very weird but never realised it could get as weird as this!

What a load of old cobblers!

Talk about muddying the waters.....

Dave

-----

From: busty  
To: Undisclosed-Recipient;  
Sent: Monday, November 01, 2010 6:35 AM  
Subject: From the Editor of NEXUS Magazine (is THIS what the 'event' is?)

Sent: Monday, November 01, 2010 12:48 AM

Subject: From the Editor of NEXUS Magazine (is THIS what the 'event' is?)

Duncan Rodes: CHINA TO TELL OF ALIENS under Great Wall of China (first I've heard of this) Also Gulf of Mexico...read on!

I don't know quite what to make of this, but the Editor of NEXUS is always plugged in. We could be being misled, but here you have it hot off the press!

Oct 13, 2010 Chinese briefcases were opened today - what will you be told?

Finally, some intel from a source that gave permission to post. These are my hasty notes from a very excited and freaked out phone caller - with connections.

Canberra opened its briefcase from China this morning around 2am. It was delivered months ago, and timelocked until today.

The briefcase contains extensive information regarding what the Chinese discovered under the Great Wall earlier this year. The discovery relates to beings/aliens/non-humans; something in the wall, and their imminent return. This information is being shared at highest levels by China to most nations. Whether it trickles down to ordinary diplomatic levels remains to be seen.

Recent satellite data reveals that something along the Great Wall of China is giving off anomalous readings. It is broadcasting something. These are similar to the readings relating the awakened organism in the Gulf of Mexico. I am not clear whether China activated an antenna under the Wall, or whether it has been remotely activated.

Either way, China is saying in those documents that if anyone attacks that Wall it will be seen as an attack on China itself etc.

Most of the documents outline China's plan and timetable for dealing with all this. One of the documents indicates the relationship of the organism under the Gulf of Mexico to all this. It says that the organism/intelligent oil is expected to cover the entire gulf of Mexico seafloor - and is part of the terraforming being conducted so as to suit the environmental needs of our new visitors/residents.

Most nations received these timelocked briefcases from China months ago - with Japan only receiving its briefcase recently and timelocked for two more days.

Some corroborating information of all this can be found in the infamous Bezerk thread at:

[www.godlikeproductions.com](http://www.godlikeproductions.com)

(If you are new to the Bezerk thread, and do not wish to read 800+ pages in order to get up to speed, get the OP posts and key summaries at:

[www.godlikeproductions.com](http://www.godlikeproductions.com)

I also post relevant news to the Chronicles thread at:

Duncan Roades: CHINA TO TELL OF ALIENS under great wall of China

Re: Chinese briefcases were opened today - what will you be told? Quote

From the other thread, you seem to have a pretty good... ah, take on a lot of this NE... What do you think about all of it?

Quoting: SouthernLight

since you ask - and I might recap some basics here ...

1. earlier this year several things of significance happened, including:

- In January, Chinese archaeologists discovered evidence of alien technology under the Great Wall of China. After lots of their own analysis, they decided on a course of action and a timetable. I don't know the details. But I do know, that the Chinese sent a diplomatic briefcase to various national embassies, with a timelock for October 13. (Japan's is a couple of days later)

- various western factions detected an 'organism' under the Earth's crust that has recently been activated or woken up. This organism started becoming active in the Gulf of Mexico, and seemed then and now, to pose a hazard. The countries who were in the loop on this seemed to be called the Odessa group, and the plan to deal with it seems to be called Odessa Protocols. We know Russia has known about the organism for some time, and has developed some countermeasures against it. Russia has been actively helping other nations get up to speed it seems. But during this time, China has been aware, but not playing along. Everyone was wondering 'what does China know' that we don't. A lot of people believe that the whole GoM oil 'leak' thing was caused so that 'damage control' could go in and sort some immediate headaches out, while it bought time about what to tell the American public.

2. just over the last 18 hours - satellites started detecting an anomalous signature reading coming from the Great Wall of China. My understanding is that it matches the signature readings that they get from the organism, and from the signals coming in from deep space that are aimed at the organism. Whatever it is that comes in from space that reacts with the organism, they are referred to on the Bezerk thread as Splashzones. My understanding of a splashzone is a physical location where the organism has 'surfaced' in some capacity, either above ground or above ground on the ocean floor.

3. An uncomfortable number of insiders indicate that the organism is a type of terraforming technology which is being deployed in advance for new residents. I am told that we have new housemates who like a slightly different type of air and water than we do. Seems that they consider us too primitive to deserve such an abundant resource as this planet. They see us

shitting in our own nest, while spending most of our money on ways to kill, instead of using our knowledge and money for peace and environmental repair.

The questions on my mind right this minute are:

- do the Chinese want disclosure, or would it hinder behind the scenes attempts by nations working together to fix this problem?
- do the Chinese have a 'deal' or a genetic relationship to the incoming visitors?
- who activated the antenna under the Great Wall of China? Is it a protective device of some sort put there two thousand years ago or more? Or is it a device to accelerate an invasion?
- what does STUXNET have to do with all this? A quantum virus? Is it even our?
- is all this stuff 'unplanned' or is this just good window dressing to get people like me to buy into the alien 'fear card'?

ok - coffee break over - more later

yes, its far out, and no - I have nothing to back it up.

I post as my real identity here, and I have been famous in my own mind for 25 years. I can assure you - 15mins of fame amongst this lot of doom-tards is not something I'd waste time seeking.

I am posting information for those interested in developments regarding the GoM/organism - because I have received new information which corroborates that found on the Bezerk thread.

Do with it what you will.

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1219578/pg1>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 08:47:24 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 10:44:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 20:21:10 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:12:13 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>>I ought to have stessed that the pro-ETH conclusion (in  
>>1948) of a Sign faction - whose members got sacked when its  
>>estimate of the situation ran up the command chain - remained a  
>>secret until Ruppelt exposed it in 1956 book. (To this day, as  
>>most ufologists are aware, not a single copy of it has  
>>surfaced.)

>Jerry, a genuine query. How do we know that this 'Estimate' ever  
>existed? Is is simply Ruppelt's word, and Ruppelt would never  
>tell a lie, or be misled himself?

John,

This is the first time I've heard the estimate's existence  
questioned, but I guess there's no reason not to raise the  
question.

From reading Ruppelt, one gets the clear impression that he'd  
actually seen a copy, though he does not say so openly. Not much  
of subsequent AF UFO project history makes senses without the  
estimate.

Over the years IUR ran several articles on Sign and the  
estimate, but being busy writing a book, I lack the time to go  
through years of back issues looking for them. Fortunately, I  
know that on this List there are individuals who have conducted  
research on the estimate and who know far more about it than I  
do. I defer to their expertise and encourage them to post  
responses to John's question.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 08:57:48 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 10:49:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 08:47:24 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 20:21:10 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:12:13 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

Well, on second thought:

After writing and dispatching my remarks below, I abruptly recalled that I have an entry on the estimate in my UFO Encyclopedia. So I went there and (re)learned (p. 360 of the second edition):

"No copies of the near-legendary document have surfaced since, though its existence has been confirmed by Dewey J. Fournet, Jr., who as an Air Force major in the Pentagon served as liaison with the official UFO project.... Nonetheless, for years the Air Force ... denied that there had ever been such an estimate of the situation.... Lt. Col. Lawrence J. Tacker, the Air Force's official UFO spokesman, would declare that such a document existed only in the imaginations of 'avid saucer believers' ... even though the Air Force had cleared Ruppelt's book for publication."

<snip>

>>>I ought to have stressed that the pro-ETH conclusion (in >>>1948) of a Sign faction - whose members got sacked when its >>>estimate of the situation ran up the command chain - remained a >>>secret until Ruppelt exposed it in 1956 book. (To this day, as >>>most ufologists are aware, not a single copy of it has >>>surfaced.)

>>Jerry, a genuine query. How do we know that this 'Estimate' ever >>existed? Is it simply Ruppelt's word, and Ruppelt would never >>tell a lie, or be misled himself?

>John,

>This is the first time I've heard the estimate's existence >questioned, but I guess there's no reason not to raise the >question.

>From reading Ruppelt, one gets the clear impression that he'd >actually seen a copy, though he does not say so openly. Not much >of subsequent AF UFO project history makes sense without the >estimate.

>Over the years IUR ran several articles on Sign and the >estimate, but being busy writing a book, I lack the time to go



>through years of back issues looking for them. Fortunately, I  
>know that on this List there are individuals who have conducted  
>research on the estimate and who know far more about it than I  
>do. I defer to their expertise and encourage them to post  
>responses to John's question.

>Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 11:23:46 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 10:51:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 20:21:10 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:12:13 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From Michael D. Hall & Wendy A. Connors's Alfred Loedding & the Great Flying Saucer Wave of 1947 (1998), page 144:

"Although Dr. Hynek confirmed in the 1970s that Estimate did exist as Ruppelt and Fournet claimed, Ruppelt's book is the only first hand account we have of the draft....

"Donald Loedding [son of aeronautical engineer Alfred, believed to be author of the Estimate] clearly remembers the controversy surrounding the rejection of his father's 'Estimate of the Situation' draft to General Vandenberg and the resulting deep disappointment by his father. Donald had the impression that Alfred Loedding, who had been a rising star at Wright Field, officially fell from favor as a result of his personal belief that many of the flying disc sightings could represent craft of extraterrestrial origin. When he personally authored that carefully reached conclusion in the 'Estimate' draft, Loedding severely hurt his career."

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Re: More Falling Brains

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 16:40:54 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 10:53:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Falling Brains

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 09:31:06 -0000  
>Subject: More Falling Brains

<snip>

>-----

>From: busy  
>To: Undisclosed-Recipient;  
>Sent: Monday, November 01, 2010 6:35 AM  
>Subject: From the Editor of NEXUS Magazine (is THIS what the 'event' is?)

>I don't know quite what to make of this, but the Editor of NEXUS  
>is always plugged in.

Well, that's one way of putting it.

--

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 10:56:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>secrecy.

Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
USA's techno-military advantage.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 17:31:24 -0300  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 12:34:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 13:58:48 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 16:32:57 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 13:36:36 -0300  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>><snip>

>>>>>Since the Top Secret, Earth based technology has never worked  
>>>>>(it has been bandied about for about 70 years with no resolution  
>>>>>after all) except for those who don't have any real knowledge of  
>>>>>what's really in the arsenals of the world; and no other  
>>>>>sensible solution has come along to fit the bill, we are usually  
>>>>>left asking if this phenomenon is from some extraterrestrial  
>>>>>source.

>>>><snip>

>>>>>As is well known, Dr. Ben Rich at Lockheed is reported to have  
>>>>>said before his death in 1995 that "We already have the means to  
>>>>>travel among the stars, but these technologies are locked up in  
>>>>>black projects and it would take an act of God to ever get them  
>>>>>out to benefit humanity. Anything you can imagine we already  
>>>>>know how to do." Is there reason to think he was lying? I'm sure  
>>>>>I'm not the only one who would like to know.

>>>>>More like out of context. And just who has this technology? I'm  
>>>>>guessing you are going with the United States. Stan Friedman  
>>>>>worked on Nuclear Rocket Engines for some years. These were  
>>>>>dramatically more powerful than their chemical and solid  
>>>>>propellant brothers but the program was dropped. These rockets  
>>>>>would have shortened travel times to Mars and beyond. The travel  
>>>>>among the stars quote is nothing more than a romanticised take  
>>>>>on our ability to travel outward in the solar system.

>>>>>I can't imagine a serious context that would change the  
>>>>>interpretation of what Ben Rich said. He added the following,  
>>>>>quoted from this url.

>>>>><http://tinyurl.com/256dpku>

>>>>>"We now have the technology to take ET home. No, it ' take  
>>>>>someone's lifetime to do it. There is an error in the equations.  
>>>>>We know what it is. We now have the capability to travel to the  
>>>>>stars."

>He also confirmed the following.

>"There are 2 types of UFOs - the ones we build and ones 'they'  
>build. We learned from both crash retrievals and actual "hand-  
>me-downs." The Government knew and until 1969 took an active  
>hand in the administration of that information. After a 1969  
>Nixon "purge", administration was handled by an international  
>board of directors in the private sector."

>So what he said is either true or false, no "depends on the  
>context". If we take what he said at face value, this kind of  
>technology is controlled by the private sector. Although  
>governments may still hand over development money via black  
>budgets, they appear not to be in control of the results. This  
>is consistent with Eisenhower's warning about the military-  
>industrial complex.

>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>secrecy.

>>>Why has there been such a dearth of public domain research on  
>>>gravity since the early 1960's? Has this topic been actively  
>>>discouraged by the funding agencies?

>>Not sure the point you are trying to make. So they are  
>>researching gravity. Science has been studying gravity for a few  
>>hundred years or at least since Newton.

>Actually, "dearth" means "lack". My point was that there seems to  
>be little concerted theoretical and applied research on gravity  
>going on. Why fund it if they already have what they need?

>><snip>

>>Unless you can give me sound reasons first how the US came by  
>>this technology before WWII yet decided to keep it back and let  
>>a million plus US soldiers die or suffer casualties needlessly,  
>>a million deaths and casualties in the UK, and millions more in  
>>Western and Eastern Europe and then spent 2 billion dollars on  
>>the invention of the A-bomb, I'll pass on that tired old theory  
>>that these are secret military technology. That theory failed 70  
>>years ago and falls flat now.

>  
>My guess is that operational use of the advanced technology  
>started to happen relatively recently, maybe around the time  
>people started seeing more of the triangle UFOs. So what happened  
>around the time of WWII is probably not relevant to this  
>discussion. On the other hand, there are people who believe that  
>the Germans were well on the way to developing new propulsion  
>technology back then, and this may have fed into the modern  
>international corporate effort.

William

A couple of months ago I received a phone call from a man who  
claimed to have worked at General Dynamics as an engineer. He  
said he had been compiling information for 50 years about UFOs  
and he wanted to publish. I put him on to someone who might be  
able to help but added a caveat. His last statement to me was  
about secret Pleiadian contacts so I advised the publishing  
contact, someone very knowledgeable about the phenomenon, about  
this suspicion I had. He contacted me later to confirm that my  
suspicions were right and that he had to refuse the person's  
manuscript. A month after that another person contacted me with  
pretty much the same story, supposedly highly placed but when I  
asked for proof, his credentials or some documentation he cut me  
off and refused to answer emails.

I think you should take the deceased Ben Rich's story with a  
grain of salt. And as for the Nazi UFOs, buy into that if you  
want to. The British already had the jet. It took a boot in the



ass from the Germans to get the air ministry to pay attention however. Britain was broke after the war and had to cut back on their development.

The Germans were ahead on chemical rockets and the Americans knew that and imported them for the space program. It was called Project paper Clip. Not sure what the Soviets called their German rocket scientist acquirement program.

NASA has little to do with black budget development of technology, they just launched the stuff when commanded. If NASA had the money to play with that the USAF and the black budget employs, they would have been on Mars years ago. NASA's budget the last I heard was about 1.5% of the defence budget.

Sorry about 'dearth', should have been 'abundant'.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Re: More Falling Brains

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 08:29:20 -0700  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 12:36:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Falling Brains

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 16:40:54 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: More Falling Brains

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 09:31:06 -0000  
>>Subject: More Falling Brains

><snip>

>>-----

>>From: busty  
>>To: Undisclosed-Recipient:;  
>>Sent: Monday, November 01, 2010 6:35 AM  
>>Subject: From the Editor of NEXUS Magazine (is THIS what the 'event' is?)

>>I don't know quite what to make of this, but the Editor of NEXUS  
>>is always plugged in.

Hi, John & List,

John, I laughed out loud when I read your succinct rejoinder.

Very funny.

I also read a few of the threads authored by the NEXUS editor about this "event," and I found it a ripping good yarn, to say the least!

In summary, the NEXUS editor (or someone claiming to be) is contending that some kind of underground alien nanotech, possibly sentient, is mysteriously oozing out of the sub-surface ocean in the U.S. Gulf Coast area, possibly as a result of the BP deep-sea drilling oil disaster, and that there are allegedly many different nations in the Gulf attempting to monitor the escalating situation and to trying to obtain underwater samples of this alien nano-goo in order to determine its true nature and whether it's a threat to humanity's survival, or some such wild story. Of course, this is all being done by several nations covert submarines, a couple of which are also supposed to be in conflict after trying to felch a French submarine's nano- sample away from them. Jeez...I tell ya...them French guys...

Supposedly, this nano-goo is also emerging at other, both undersea and land, areas and the potentially dire consequences have the military/intelligence/industrial secret government multinational force complexes in a dithering dizzy tizzy, or something like that. It's an international effort, you see. Check out the links at various websites for further details and additional critical developments as they continue to transpire! Reminds me of the X Files "black oil" alien goop that would take over human will and virus-like, spread its nasty hegemony over our species. A very similar story thread in fact, not even very original. \*sigh\* 8^}

Personally, I think somebody must be channelling some uber-

confabulating Rick Doty or similar bizarre and delusional miscreants. This stuff reads like some Buck Rogers meets William Gibson retro-futuristic, paranoid, old movie-house kids techno-cowboy serial.....stay tuned for what inevitably will be more!!! Oh, God...what does it all mean?!?

Take care, and prepare for the end, is my advice...eh, maybe not. I guess wackiness knows no bounds within the sensationalistic, hyped-up "ufological" freaky side show...as Barnum once said, this way to the Egress...Sheesh!! Boy, howdy! Ahem. Ulp! [...now I'm really scared! Of my own darkling nano-shadow... have mercy!]

Bemusedly,

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

## Estimate Of The Situation [was: Again The ETH Is A

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 16:20:03 +0100  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 12:40:04 -0400  
Subject: Estimate Of The Situation [was: Again The ETH Is A

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 11:23:46 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 20:21:10 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:12:13 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From Michael D. Hall & Wendy A. Connors's Alfred Loedding & the  
>Great Flying Saucer Wave of 1947 (1998), page 144:

>"Although Dr. Hynes confirmed in the 1970s that Estimate did  
>exist as Ruppelt and Fournet claimed, Ruppelt's book is the only  
>first hand account we have of the draft...."

>"Donald Loedding [son of aeronautical engineer Alfred, believed  
>to be author of the Estimate] clearly remembers the controversy  
>surrounding the rejection of his father's 'Estimate of the  
>Situation' draft to General Vandenberg and the resulting deep  
>disappointment by his father. Donald had the impression that  
>Alfred Loedding, who had been a rising star at Wright Field,  
>officially fell from favor as a result of his personal belief  
>that many of the flying disc sightings could represent craft of  
>extraterrestrial origin. When he personally authored that  
>carefully reached conclusion in the 'Estimate' draft, Loedding  
>severely hurt his career."

Another interesting point :

Some of the cases mentioned by Ruppelt in his book as being in  
the Estimate were cited in the Air Intelligence Report of  
December 1948, also Top Secret at the time.

I think I can quote them if you wish.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

### Re: Estimate Of The Situation [was: Again The ETH

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 17:51:12 +0100  
Archived: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 13:31:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: Estimate Of The Situation [was: Again The ETH

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 11:23:46 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>"Donald Loedding [son of aeronautical engineer Alfred, believed  
>to be author of the Estimate] clearly remembers the controversy  
>surrounding the rejection of his father's 'Estimate of the  
>Situation' draft to General Vandenberg and the resulting deep  
>disappointment by his father. Donald had the impression that  
>Alfred Loedding, who had been a rising star at Wright Field,  
>officially fell from favor as a result of his personal belief  
>that many of the flying disc sightings could represent craft of  
>extraterrestrial origin. When he personally authored that  
>carefully reached conclusion in the 'Estimate' draft, Loedding  
>severely hurt his career."

In connection with Jerry's reference - Donald's statements on  
that issue are also available in audio onn one of the Wendy  
Connors Faded Discs editions.

Edition in question is:

Project Blue Book - An Audio Retrospective

It is 7th track from the CD described by Wendy like this:

"07: Alfred C. Loedding's son, Donald is interviewed by Wendy  
Connors in 1998. Don is the son of Project SIGN originator,  
Alfred C. Loedding."

Length of the audio file is 75 minutes and 14 seconds - 17.6 Mbs

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

## Re: More Falling Brains

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 18:07:26 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Wed, 03 Nov 2010 08:16:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Falling Brains

>From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 08:29:20 -0700  
>Subject: Re: More Falling Brains

>I also read a few of the threads authored by the NEXUS editor  
>about this "event," and I found it a ripping good yarn, to say  
>the least!

<snip>

>Of course, this is all being done by several nations  
>covert submarines, a couple of which are also supposed to be in  
>conflict after trying to felch a French submarine's nano-sample  
>away from them. Jeez... I tell ya... them French guys...

They're probably cooking it with a little garlic and a cheeky  
burgundy as we speak!

--  
John Rimmer

(PS: I admire your audacity in the use of the verb 'felch', or  
did you mean to write 'fetch'?)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 14:14:12 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 03 Nov 2010 08:17:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>>secrecy.

>Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
>the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
>seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
>technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
>research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
>attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
>USA's techno-military advantage.

But it would also encourage foreign powers to compete in the  
development of the putative new technologies. And we do have  
stories about the world-wide development of so-called scalar  
weapons technology.

That there is suppressed unconventional science and technology  
is persuasively discussed by James DeMeo in the following recent  
article.

Following the Red Thread of Wilhelm Reich: A Personal Adventure.  
Edge Science, p. 11, No.5, Oct-Dec 2010.

<http://www.scientificexploration.org/edgescience/>

He describes the progression of his research on orgone energy  
which was pioneered by Wilhelm Reich, and how that research was  
actively opposed by the powers that be since it began. (Ha, I  
just noticed that even my spell checker doesn't recognize  
'orgone'.) DeMeo describes some of his replications of Reich's  
experiments, the effects of orgone energy on human health, plant  
growth, and weather. He talks about ending severe droughts in  
the southeastern US and several middle eastern countries using  
his cloud buster technology. Despite the positive results, the  
research was not continued and the strong implication was that  
it was actively discouraged on non-scientific grounds.

This would not be the only unconventional technology that was  
initially perceived positively, then attacked because of its  
success. As in most things, the reason for such puzzling attacks  
has to come down to money and power. So, yes, I'm willing to  
believe that novel science and technology might have been

developed in secret. If Wilhelm Reich had done so, he might have avoided prison and lived longer. If international corporate entities have done so, they were merely following the dictates of capitalism. There was money and power to be gained by not sharing such knowledge.

So here we have two competing powerful motivations for such secrecy - personal survival and profit. I imagine it's not easy to have both. Even corporate entities would worry about survival when publicity is a requirement for profits. A way to have both is to look for advantage out of the public eye. What better way to stay out of sight and out of mind than to look for resources and profits off-world when you have the technology to do so. The "high ground" and the new secret technologies would also deliver a military advantage if needed.

So here we have a rationale for secret human technology in the sky that many of us may be mistaking for extraterrestrial technology.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 15:33:36 -0300  
Archived: Wed, 03 Nov 2010 08:18:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>>secrecy.

>Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
>the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
>seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
>technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
>research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
>attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
>USA's techno-military advantage.

That's a good point and a great time waster. Example, Wilfried De Brouwer and I shared a limo from San Jose to San Francisco following the MUFON symposium in 2008. The night before we had talked for about two hours about flying, pilots and UAPs. But in the limo I asked him why he had contacted the Americans about the triangular UAP that caused the Belgium flap. He did bring up the fact that he had during his talk the day before. Surely he didn't seriously consider the F-117A or the B2-B as a possibility; not only because neither of these aircraft fit the description or flight characteristics of the UAP reported by witnesses but because it would have been a gross violation of Belgium's airspace by a foreign friendly power.

Now I knew the answer for this but I had to ask.

Of course he didn't take the possibility seriously but he had used his contacts to vet this slimmest of possibilities and was told that it wasn't theirs; the two aircraft mentioned above or anything else.

Re the 'anything else'.

All too often we ignore the fact that top secret experimental aircraft are not tested over locations where they can be observed. You will hear nonsense about tactical testing over foreign soil of experimental craft to see how they will perform in semi-hostile territory and that is frankly, bullshit. It is risky enough running missions over other country's territory during military missions with production aircraft - remember Gary Powers - but test aircraft? Imagine the cost of a lost prototype, its anonymity and the political and diplomatic

fallout.

But because of the nature of the beast, De Brouwer had to ask because once his report was published some politician or reporter with few clues would ask the same dumb questions they always ask.

But it was time wasted. We waste a lot of time answering silly questions or debating nonsensical theories. The very nature of the phenomenon demands that time be wasted to satisfy the supposedly un-attentive beast.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

### Re: BBC & Rendlesham Again

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 22:21:39 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 03 Nov 2010 08:45:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: BBC & Rendlesham Again

Dear Colleagues,

Prelude to the BBC Suffolk special on Rendlesham

Download link for audio:

<http://tinyurl.com/3yhvuxj>

Mark Murphy Breakfast show

Broadcast November 1st, 2010

In a recent article:

<http://tinyurl.com/39u8uun>

radio host Mark Murphy from BBC Suffolk stated that he is preparing a special show on Rendlesham that will be broadcast from Bentwaters Cold War Museum on December 17th

On November 1, a prelude for that special was broadcast in his regular show Mark Murphy Breakfast on BBC Suffolk. Mark has confirmed once more that he is preparing the special and calling on listeners and witnesses for co-operation. Mark has stated a few times that he hopes that mystery will be solved during the special. He's added that he is now fairly convinced that he knows what has happened. During one segment, he also made it clear that he doesn't think that the event can be explained with the lighthouse theory or with a lights from the police patrol car.

I have processed 180 minutes of the whole Mark Murphy Breakfast show and have extracted only the references about Rendlesham. The length of the edited audio is now 29 minutes.

Here is the download link:

<http://tinyurl.com/3yhvuxj>

Also, the preparation for the special is covered in 2 articles for East Anglian Daily Times:

Tapes Shed Light On Forest's UFO Riddle:

<http://tinyurl.com/38ny8ce>:

Help Us Solve The Rendlesham UFO Mystery:

<http://tinyurl.com/2v3d3kt>

Tim Printy has also just published a new edition of his SUNlite devoted to Rendlesham:

[http://home.comcast.net/~tprinty/UFO/SUNlite2\\_6.pdf](http://home.comcast.net/~tprinty/UFO/SUNlite2_6.pdf)

For previous coverage and debate about Rendlesham (August 2010) connected with previous BBC piece by Evan Davis check:

<http://tinyurl.com/2a5uuz6>

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

## Re: More Falling Brains

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 20:32:14 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 03 Nov 2010 08:46:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Falling Brains

>From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 08:29:20 -0700  
>Subject: Re: More Falling Brains

>In summary, the NEXUS editor (or someone claiming to be) is  
>contending that some kind of underground alien nanotech,  
>possibly sentient, is mysteriously oozing out of the sub-surface  
>ocean in the U.S. Gulf Coast area, possibly as a result of the  
>BP deep-sea drilling oil disaster, and that there are allegedly  
>many different nations in the Gulf attempting to monitor the  
>escalating situation and to trying to obtain underwater samples  
>of this alien nano-goo in order to determine its true nature and  
>whether it's a threat to humanity's survival, or some such wild  
>story. Of course, this is all being done by several nations  
>covert submarines, a couple of which are also supposed to be in  
>conflict after trying to felch a French submarine's nano- sample  
>away from them. Jeez... I tell ya... them French guys...

I believe the idiom you intended was "filch". <delicate cough>

Though one never knows with them French guys... ; -D

Carol

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 04 Nov 2010 23:49:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 14:14:12 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>>>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>>>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>>>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>>>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>>>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>>>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>>>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>>>secrecy.

>>Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
>>the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
>>seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
>>technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
>>research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
>>attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
>>USA's techno-military advantage.

>But it would also encourage foreign powers to compete in the  
>development of the putative new technologies.

But how would they do that if they don't have access to US  
secret technology?

If they do have access to it, it isn't secret any more is it?

If the technology is more widely available why isn't it deployed  
against the US and its allies in any of the several theatres of  
war in which they have been active in the last 15 years?

Sorry William, any way you look at it, the public record, the  
information available freely to all, just doesn't square with  
the idea of alien technology having been understood, replicated  
and deployed. If such technology exists, then it is 99 per cent  
certain that scientists, mechanics and scientific theorists are  
still scratching their heads and trying to figure out how it  
works.

The idea of such technology being 'privatised' for commercial  
exploitation is, on security grounds alone, utterly ludicrous.  
Nixon was a crook, but he was also, in his own twisted way, a  
patriot. The idea of him taking a risk like that with US  
security at stake seems  
unimaginable.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

## News Links - 02-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 03 Nov 2010 20:12:29 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 03 Nov 2010 20:12:29 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 02-10-10

News Links - 02-10-10

Is This A UFO In East Croydon? - Video  
This is Local London  
<http://tinyurl.com/24fx45n>

Rotherham 'UFO' Spotted In Harrogate  
Thaindian.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/23gx7vg>

Rendlesham UFO - Help Us Solve The Mystery  
East Anglian Daily Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/2v3d3kt>

UFO Sightings Rise During October  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/22n9b6x>

UFO Expert Speaks In Cape Coral Saturday  
The News-Press  
<http://tinyurl.com/2c3twsb>

We Love Our Favourite Martians  
Toronto Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/35sef5f>

The Truth About UFOs?  
Edmonton Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/27lc8e5>

Look! Up In The Sky!  
The Kingston Whig-Standard  
<http://tinyurl.com/2e8zgvs>

Q&A: UFO Journalist Leslie Kean  
Columbia Journalism Review  
<http://tinyurl.com/28vm949>

Officials Blamed UFOs For Disarming Nukes  
Toronto Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/26a5sfj>

Rhode Island Innkeeper Keeps The Light On For UFO Researchers,  
Abductees  
Technorati  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bv2av>

A Brief History Of The Alien Invasion Movie  
Den Of Geek  
<http://tinyurl.com/353muj3>

From 'The Norm':

False Alarm: That's No UFO; That's Venus  
<http://tinyurl.com/325sgrq>

UFOs And Felonious Sheriffs: Weird Side Of The U.S. Election  
<http://tinyurl.com/26kvd3a>

Trillions of Reasons To Be Excited  
<http://tinyurl.com/2b2nh2s>

The Grand Accident  
<http://tinyurl.com/38p75ne>

Silica deposits on Mars could entomb possible life  
<http://tinyurl.com/27f5d5m>

Photographs Of The Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/36mqyjo>

Falling Brains Dept:

30-Year Brit UFO Tapes Released [Oh... right! But when?]  
The Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/3xwuwyj>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 15:19:09 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 20:16:22 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 14:14:12 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>>>>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>>>>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>>>>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>>>>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>>>>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>>>>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>>>>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>>>>secrecy.

>>>>Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
>>>>the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
>>>>seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
>>>>technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
>>>>research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
>>>>attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
>>>>USA's techno-military advantage.

>>But it would also encourage foreign powers to compete in the  
>>development of the putative new technologies.

>But how would they do that if they don't have access to US  
>secret technology?

>If they do have access to it, it isn't secret any more is it?

The way I see it, this would not be "US secret technology". It would not be controlled by any nation states, if that even means anything at that level. The clandestine organizations, informal or otherwise, would have had a long time to get their act together.

Eisenhower warned in his farewell speech in 1961 about "undue influence" exerted by the military-industrial complex. He saw the writing on the wall back then.

Kennedy also worried about being "opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence... It is a

system which has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations."

We don't hear that kind of talk from US Presidents anymore. Has the problem just gone away?

>If the technology is more widely available why isn't it deployed >against the US and its allies in any of the several theatres of >war in which they have been active in the last 15 years?

Instead of dealing with the forces that wield real economic power and influence in order to improve the lot of people around the world, the enemy is defined as a fanatical group of Arab terrorists hiding somewhere in the middle east - if not Afghanistan, then Iraq, then Afghanistan again, then Yemen, then maybe Iran. The military-industrial complex needs an enemy to give the military side of it reason for being. The fates of people described as "collateral damage" is of minimal interest when, to most feeling people everywhere, it is of high interest.

Perhaps the wars of the last 15 years are part of a bigger agenda. They did not need to be won, by the use of secret technology or otherwise. Perhaps the wars were instigated to drag down the economic state of select countries - because they did.

The US and the UK have been driven to the edge of the economic cliff either by fools elected to office or by more global interests with a larger vision. It's probably the latter, since anyone in office and able to control their household expenses should have been able to see the massive debt coming.

>Sorry William, any way you look at it, the public record, the >information available freely to all, just doesn't square with >the idea of alien technology having been understood, replicated >and deployed. If such technology exists, then it is 99 per cent >certain that scientists, mechanics and scientific theorists are >still scratching their heads and trying to figure out how it >works.

I think I was arguing for technology that looks alien. All it would take is one major scientific breakthrough. The rest would snowball from there. Apparently many major discoveries have been suppressed just by the patent office in the US for national security reasons. These must be a goldmine for international corporations with access.

>The idea of such technology being 'privatised' for commercial >exploitation is, on security grounds alone, utterly ludicrous. >Nixon was a crook, but he was also, in his own twisted way, a >patriot. The idea of him taking a risk like that with US >security at stake seems >unimaginable.

And how often do we hear that the President is not in the loop? He would not have been informed, especially if he was a patriot.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 15:21:01 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 20:17:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>If the technology is more widely available why isn't it deployed  
>against the US and its allies in any of the several theatres of  
>war in which they have been active in the last 15 years?

That logic is also used to deny that advanced electronic harassment of targeted individuals is possible.

Many commentators I've heard over the years say that many wars are run behind the scenes by the banker class, for their profit, and they don't particularly wish for such wars to end too soon. Their puppet politicians may publicly say they're trying to end the war, but, say the commentators, they are bought and paid for.

Another scenario, say the commentators, is that wars are an excellent way for major powers to find an excuse to "go into <such-and-such country>" to "help the people set up democratic government." Even when the actual motive is to appropriate natural resources.

I can't debate that - I'm not into political and historical research, but it does seem to fit human nature as applied to those who are consumed with greed.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

## William Cooper Revisited

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 19:40:41 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 20:24:15 -0400  
Subject: William Cooper Revisited

Dear List:

An individual was interviewed on Coast to Coast the other night. The discussion became focused on Bill Cooper. To me the most intriguing part of the discussion was when Ken and George tried to figure out what caused Bill to move his focus from UFOs to elite covert government/industrial operatives - as Bill called this group. Below is Ken's take on the issue:

<http://www.kenhudnall.com/>

Conspiracy Theories and Bill Cooper  
Thursday, 04 November 2010 16:11

[Begin Quote]

But the high point of the evening so to speak for so many people was not what I said on my show though from the texts and emails I received the conspiracy talk was well received but rather my appearance on Coast to Coast With George Noory.

<snip of several sentences>

I had known Bill since his appearance on my show back in 1989. At that point in time he was a proponent of UFOs, going into some detail about a possible invasion and the behind the scene manipulation of our government by secret societies that were working to form a one world government or New World Order. Bill had been in Naval Intelligence and had been privy to numerous documents that made it very clear that there was a secret government, if you will, that was working to take total control of the world. UFOs was just one facet of an overall program.

<snip>

[End of Quote]

This discussion brought back memories of when I first got into UFO research. At the time I was hanging out with Norio Hayakawa and Gary Schultz at various conferences and Gary's apartment in Santa Monica. Following is what Norio is still saying:

[Begin Quote]

<http://noriohayakawa2012.blogspot.com/>  
Sunday, October 17, 2010

My Skepticism On UFOs And Aliens

October 17, 2010

<snip>

I have researched the phenomenon for more than 45 years, and although it seems (at least to me) that there appears to be no physical, tangible, irrefutable evidence whatsoever that we have ever been visited by physical extraterrestrials in physical UFOs, I have never been (and will never be) a debunker.

The UFO phenomenon and the "belief in UFOs" (as physical spacecraft piloted or maneuvered by physical aliens from elsewhere in the universe) are separate matters.

It is highly likely that the U.S. intelligence communities as well as the military have manipulated (and in some instances even created) a segment of the population's "belief in UFOs" as part of counter intelligence operations. Many defense contractors still continue to create cover stories to conceal or detract attention away from public's scrutiny of sensitive defense programs. Yes, people's "belief in UFOs" is a very important factor in all of this.

[End of Quote]

You can all read, if you wish, Cooper's stance on this issue:

[www.thewatcherfiles.com/william\\_cooper.htm](http://www.thewatcherfiles.com/william_cooper.htm)

However, what struck me was that lately I have been hearing the very careful programming of the UFO investigators. The emphasis of the most vocal ones is a stake in their being proven that they were "right" all along. Not whether this massive program of UFOs over large cities, serious reporting by the media, and people on radio and TV programs are reinforcing the years of programming.

Hayakawa had told me that the public wasn't quite ready for the "false flag" operation. But, that was back in the early 1990s. What I am watching happening now seems to be the fulfillment of that programming started in the 1950s.

Where are the UFO researchers warning us to be careful? Dr. Hawking tried to say something to the effect: careful what you wish for. I am not saying contact isn't possible. I am just saying let's not be naive.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

## News Links - 04-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 21:30:34 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 21:30:34 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 04-10-10

News Links - 04-10-10

UFO's: New Sighting Of Phoenix Lights? - Photo & Video  
DBKP - Death By 1000 Papercuts  
<http://tinyurl.com/2edl2bm>

Two Triangle UFOs Spotted Over Germantown, Maryland  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/3658skf>

Midterms 2010: Bid To Set Up UFO Investigation Team Fails  
Telegraph.co.uk  
<http://tinyurl.com/37smsg>

Denver Voters Nix UFO Affairs Panel  
Wall Street Journal  
<http://tinyurl.com/385wtbt>

CNN Catches UFO On Camera During Inauguration?  
News 1800  
<http://tinyurl.com/dx4uva>

Lanterns Not UFOs  
Wirral Globe  
<http://tinyurl.com/2fmguo8>

UFO Spotted Speeding Through British Airspace - Video  
Small World News Service  
<http://tinyurl.com/26f99cx>

Donut-Shaped UFO sighted Over Moscow  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/2gyxnsf>

Chinese Village Gone After UFO Sighting  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/364zfat>

Controversial Book Declassifies The UFO Phenomenon In Chile  
<http://tinyurl.com/2aepnsf>

Black Vault Update Newsletter for November 3, 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/362gmoc>

Filer's Files #45 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/34wpncz>

From 'The Norm':

In The Battle For Ideas, Scientists Could Learn From Christopher  
Hitchens  
<http://tinyurl.com/338vogx>

LHC To Create A Mini Big Bang

<http://tinyurl.com/27a5ucj>

Fourth Flavor Of Neutrino? Physics Experiment Suggests Existence  
Of New Elementary Particle

<http://tinyurl.com/3a8aneh>

Falling Brains Dept:

Jeff Peckman Considering Legal Action After UFO-Friendly  
Initiative 300 Fails...

Westword

<http://tinyurl.com/2wfejr8>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Nov 2010 09:17:19 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 10:22:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 15:21:01 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

><snip>

>>If the technology is more widely available why isn't it deployed  
>>against the US and its allies in any of the several theatres of  
>>war in which they have been active in the last 15 years?

>That logic is also used to deny that advanced electronic  
>harassment of targeted individuals is possible.

>Many commentators I've heard over the years say that many wars  
>are run behind the scenes by the banker class, for their profit,  
>and they don't particularly wish for such wars to end too soon.  
>Their puppet politicians may publicly say they're trying to end  
>the war, but, say the commentators, they are bought and paid  
>for.

>Another scenario, say the commentators, is that wars are an  
>excellent way for major powers to find an excuse to "go into  
><such-and-such country>" to "help the people set up democratic  
>government." Even when the actual motive is to appropriate  
>natural resources.

>I can't debate that - I'm not into political and historical  
>research, but it does seem to fit human nature as applied to  
>those who are consumed with greed.

>Eleanor White

Right on Eleanor.

Again, I'm not buying the current theories that ETs are involved  
with secret plans with our governments. If it were true, that  
wouldn't do much to boost the ETs credibility as anyone with a  
lick of sense wouldn't trust politicians. ETs with their  
vaunted intellect should, in theory, realize they were dealing  
with liars and crooks.

It amazes me that people believe ETs would not have the smarts  
to know whom the communications leaders are in our cultures but  
for some strange reason reportedly contact people to disseminate  
their agendas who generally have no position in the media. Sure  
anyone with a lick of sense wouldn't trust the mainstream media  
either so we're at a stump in the meadow during plowing time.

Besides if ETs were dancing around with government officials in  
secret we're in more trouble than we care to imagine. The ETs  
are either stupid or they're nowhere near acting in our, the  
people's best interest.

With no physical proof of an ET visit in the past nor present we're not looking at alternatives that might get us somewhere.

The control of persons via technological means as you've pointed out Eleanor is a fact. Our governments have a long history of conducting heinous experiments on us. In that regard I still say haul out the perpetrators and string 'em up by the highest yardarm but that won't happen because the press is too busy reporting other crimes and the psychiatric terrorism can't be blamed on a black guy so the authorities won't respond.

Advanced technologies and their application that are in secret have often been mistaken by our superstitious tendencies to originate from the manifestations of said superstitious tendencies. In the old days such applications and devices fooled the less aware into submission as they feared the gods. Now it's turned into shadowy government agencies and ETs.

Somebody's got to blame for our ignorance but heaven forbid it be us.

The bottom line is we see the effects of things. We don't know the true origins, however, by not losing focus by way of arbitrary elements tossed into the mix we can use our detective skills to track down the source or sources. We need to do it fast before someone puts a trademark on it and makes a racket out of it.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Nov 2010 09:31:34 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 10:26:22 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 19:40:41 +0000  
>Subject: William Cooper Revisited

<snip>

>You can all read, if you wish, Cooper's stance on this issue:

>[www.thewatcherfiles.com/william\\_cooper.htm](http://www.thewatcherfiles.com/william_cooper.htm)

>However, what struck me was that lately I have been hearing the  
>very careful programming of the UFO investigators. The emphasis  
>of the most vocal ones is a stake in their being proven that  
>they were "right" all along. Not whether this massive program of  
>UFOs over large cities, serious reporting by the media, and  
>people on radio and TV programs are reinforcing the years of  
>programming.

>Hayakawa had told me that the public wasn't quite ready for the  
>"false flag" operation. But, that was back in the early 1990s.  
>What I am watching happening now seems to be the fulfillment of  
>that programming started in the 1950s.

>Where are the UFO researchers warning us to be careful? Dr.  
>Hawking tried to say something to the effect: careful what you  
>wish for. I am not saying contact isn't possible. I am just  
>saying let's not be naive.

>KK

That Bill Cooper was something else!

Before I even knew who he was, folks and family told me about  
him when his book came out.

A day or two before Coop died, I was having dinner with Norio  
Hayakawa and I will never forget the look on Norio's face when  
he said to me that he was worried about Coop and that we should  
go see him. I was packing up and ready to meet up with Norio  
when the news broke. If I recall correctly something came up  
that delayed our meeting up and going to see Cooper and I still  
get a chill thinking about it.

Anyhow, if you don't back the ETH and it's offshoots you'll get  
lambasted by the people who have a vested interest in the  
industry that's sprouted up from it. Any person who has studied  
the history of UFOs can easily recall how stating publicly that  
one believed in ETs or had come into contact with ETs face some  
very dire consequences. Now it's almost the opposite.

Go figure.

My point is that people can be very, very smart. Intelligent on  
levels we fear to acknowledge. Those with such awareness and  
ability may or may not have the compassionate demeanor we would  
hope. Remember that old Star Trek episode, Space Seed?  
Genetically altered people given great intellect but in addition



to that greater intellect came greater ambition, greed, and all the rest.

In the past 100 or so years we've seen towering geniuses whom we still try to fathom. Think of a thousand such people, ten thousand. It would take that many to dominate our social infrastructure.

As we unravel more about ourselves and our universe these things will come to light and answer many past and present phenomena and that's going to ruffle a lot of feathers.

I'm not worried too much because nature seems - and that's just opinion, could be an axiom - to right all things.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

## Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:10:08 -0400  
Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a rainbow.

My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

For me, the presence of the torus was evidence that the object was not a bird, but was more likely a UFO like a number of others I have seen accompanied by an optical toroidal effect.

<http://www.treurniet.ca/torifract/torifract.htm>

Recently, the same person sent me two photos taken on November 1, 2010, of an anomaly in the sky over Hartsfield Airport, Atlanta.

Analysis of these photos showed the presence of a typical inverted bowl-shaped UFO, and beside it was what appeared to be a toroidal region of space colored with rainbow colors.

My interpretation was that the toroidal region beside the UFO again had an altered index of refraction. The torus behaved like a prism to refract light from the sun which was also visible in the photo.

<http://www.treurniet.ca/trishadows/AtlantaUFO.htm>

This nicely confirms that there is an altered index of refraction in a toroidal space near a UFO.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Nov 2010 21:33:19 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:15:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 15:19:09 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 14:14:12 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>>>>>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>>>>>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>>>>>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>>>>>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>>>>>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>>>>>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>>>>>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>>>>>secrecy.

>>>>>Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
>>>>>the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
>>>>>seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
>>>>>technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
>>>>>research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
>>>>>attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
>>>>>USA's techno-military advantage.

>>>>>But it would also encourage foreign powers to compete in the  
>>>>>development of the putative new technologies.

>>>>>But how would they do that if they don't have access to US  
>>>>>secret technology?

>>>>>If they do have access to it, it isn't secret any more is it?

>The way I see it, this would not be "US secret technology". It  
>would not be controlled by any nation states, if that even means  
>anything at that level. The clandestine organizations, informal  
>or otherwise, would have had a long time to get their act  
>together.

>Eisenhower warned in his farewell speech in 1961 about "undue  
>influence" exerted by the military-industrial complex. He saw

>the writing on the wall back then.

>Kennedy also worried about being "opposed around the world by a  
>monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on  
>covert means for expanding its sphere of influence... It is a  
>system which has conscripted vast human and material resources  
>into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine  
>that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic,  
>scientific and political operations."

>We don't hear that kind of talk from US Presidents anymore. Has  
>the problem just gone away?

William, if you think this quotation, taken out of context,  
supports your argument, then you are sorely mistaken. Deluded,  
even.

Here's the entire text of Kennedy's speech to the American  
Newspaper Publishers Association, April 27, 1961. It is a  
warning about communist infiltration, not about clandestine  
organisations controlling alien technology and exploiting it to  
further their own power and profit.

I don't wish to be unkind, but I have to say that the kind of  
sloppy or dishonest thinking that takes material like this  
wholly out of context and then abuses it in the way you have  
done is a threat, by association, to the integrity of everybody  
who takes a serious interest in this subject.

-----

Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen:

I appreciate very much your generous invitation to be here  
tonight.

You bear heavy responsibilities these days and an article I read  
some time ago reminded me of how particularly heavily the  
burdens of present day events bear upon your profession.

You may remember that in 1851 the New York Herald Tribune under  
the sponsorship and publishing of Horace Greeley, employed as  
its London correspondent an obscure journalist by the name of  
Karl Marx.

We are told that foreign correspondent Marx, stone broke, and  
with a family ill and undernourished, constantly appealed to  
Greeley and managing editor Charles Dana for an increase in his  
munificent salary of \$5 per installment, a salary which he and  
Engels ungratefully labeled as the "lousiest petty bourgeois  
cheating."

But when all his financial appeals were refused, Marx looked  
around for other means of livelihood and fame, eventually  
terminating his relationship with the Tribune and devoting his  
talents full time to the cause that would bequeath the world the  
seeds of Leninism, Stalinism, revolution and the cold war.

If only this capitalistic New York newspaper had treated him  
more kindly; if only Marx had remained a foreign correspondent,  
history might have been different. And I hope all publishers  
will bear this lesson in mind the next time they receive a  
poverty-stricken appeal for a small increase in the expense  
account from an obscure newspaper man.

I have selected as the title of my remarks tonight "The  
President and the Press." Some may suggest that this would be  
more naturally worded "The President Versus the Press." But  
those are not my sentiments tonight.

It is true, however, that when a well-known diplomat from  
another country demanded recently that our State Department  
repudiate certain newspaper attacks on his colleague it was  
unnecessary for us to reply that this Administration was not  
responsible for the press, for the press had already made it  
clear that it was not responsible for this Administration.

Nevertheless, my purpose here tonight is not to deliver the  
usual assault on the so-called one party press. On the contrary,  
in recent months I have rarely heard any complaints about  
political bias in the press except from a few Republicans. Nor

is it my purpose tonight to discuss or defend the televising of Presidential press conferences. I think it is highly beneficial to have some 20,000,000 Americans regularly sit in on these conferences to observe, if I may say so, the incisive, the intelligent and the courteous qualities displayed by your Washington correspondents.

Nor, finally, are these remarks intended to examine the proper degree of privacy which the press should allow to any President and his family.

If in the last few months your White House reporters and photographers have been attending church services with regularity, that has surely done them no harm.

On the other hand, I realize that your staff and wire service photographers may be complaining that they do not enjoy the same green privileges at the local golf courses that they once did.

It is true that my predecessor did not object as I do to pictures of one's golfing skill in action. But neither on the other hand did he ever bean a Secret Service man.

My topic tonight is a more sober one of concern to publishers as well as editors.

I want to talk about our common responsibilities in the face of a common danger. The events of recent weeks may have helped to illuminate that challenge for some; but the dimensions of its threat have loomed large on the horizon for many years. Whatever our hopes may be for the future - for reducing this threat or living with it - there is no escaping either the gravity or the totality of its challenge to our survival and to our security - a challenge that confronts us in unaccustomed ways in every sphere of human activity.

This deadly challenge imposes upon our society two requirements of direct concern both to the press and to the President - two requirements that may seem almost contradictory in tone, but which must be reconciled and fulfilled if we are to meet this national peril. I refer, first, to the need for a far greater public information; and, second, to the need for far greater official secrecy.

I

The very word "secrecy" is repugnant in a free and open society; and we are as a people inherently and historically opposed to secret societies, to secret oaths and to secret proceedings. We decided long ago that the dangers of excessive and unwarranted concealment of pertinent facts far outweighed the dangers which are cited to justify it. Even today, there is little value in opposing the threat of a closed society by imitating its arbitrary restrictions. Even today, there is little value in insuring the survival of our nation if our traditions do not survive with it. And there is very grave danger that an announced need for increased security will be seized upon by those anxious to expand its meaning to the very limits of official censorship and concealment. That I do not intend to permit to the extent that it is in my control. And no official of my Administration, whether his rank is high or low, civilian or military, should interpret my words here tonight as an excuse to censor the news, to stifle dissent, to cover up our mistakes or to withhold from the press and the public the facts they deserve to know.

But I do ask every publisher, every editor, and every newsman in the nation to reexamine his own standards, and to recognize the nature of our country's peril. In time of war, the government and the press have customarily joined in an effort based largely on self-discipline, to prevent unauthorized disclosures to the enemy. In time of "clear and present danger," the courts have held that even the privileged rights of the First Amendment must yield to the public's need for national security.

Today no war has been declared - and however fierce the struggle may be, it may never be declared in the traditional fashion. Our way of life is under attack. Those who make themselves our enemy are advancing around the globe. The survival of our friends is in danger. And yet no war has been declared, no borders have been crossed by marching troops, no missiles have been fired.

If the press is awaiting a declaration of war before it imposes the self-discipline of combat conditions, then I can only say that no war ever posed a greater threat to our security. If you are awaiting a finding of "clear and present danger," then I can only say that the danger has never been more clear and its presence has never been more imminent.

It requires a change in outlook, a change in tactics, a change in missions - by the government, by the people, by every businessman or labor leader, and by every newspaper. For we are opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence - on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day. It is a system which has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations.

Its preparations are concealed, not published. Its mistakes are buried, not headlined. Its dissenters are silenced, not praised. No expenditure is questioned, no rumor is printed, no secret is revealed. It conducts the Cold War, in short, with a war-time discipline no democracy would ever hope or wish to match.

Nevertheless, every democracy recognizes the necessary restraints of national security - and the question remains whether those restraints need to be more strictly observed if we are to oppose this kind of attack as well as outright invasion.

For the facts of the matter are that this nation's foes have openly boasted of acquiring through our newspapers information they would otherwise hire agents to acquire through theft, bribery or espionage; that details of this nation's covert preparations to counter the enemy's covert operations have been available to every newspaper reader, friend and foe alike; that the size, the strength, the location and the nature of our forces and weapons, and our plans and strategy for their use, have all been pinpointed in the press and other news media to a degree sufficient to satisfy any foreign power; and that, in at least in one case, the publication of details concerning a secret mechanism whereby satellites were followed required its alteration at the expense of considerable time and money.

The newspapers which printed these stories were loyal, patriotic, responsible and well-meaning. Had we been engaged in open warfare, they undoubtedly would not have published such items. But in the absence of open warfare, they recognized only the tests of journalism and not the tests of national security. And my question tonight is whether additional tests should not now be adopted.

The question is for you alone to answer. No public official should answer it for you. No governmental plan should impose its restraints against your will. But I would be failing in my duty to the nation, in considering all of the responsibilities that we now bear and all of the means at hand to meet those responsibilities, if I did not commend this problem to your attention, and urge its thoughtful consideration.

On many earlier occasions, I have said - and your newspapers have constantly said - that these are times that appeal to every citizen's sense of sacrifice and self-discipline. They call out to every citizen to weigh his rights and comforts against his obligations to the common good. I cannot now believe that those citizens who serve in the newspaper business consider themselves exempt from that appeal.

I have no intention of establishing a new Office of War Information to govern the flow of news. I am not suggesting any new forms of censorship or any new types of security classifications. I have no easy answer to the dilemma that I have posed, and would not seek to impose it if I had one. But I am asking the members of the newspaper profession and the industry in this country to reexamine their own responsibilities, to consider the degree and the nature of the present danger, and to heed the duty of self-restraint which that danger imposes upon us all.

Every newspaper now asks itself, with respect to every story: "Is it news?" All I suggest is that you add the question: "Is it in the interest of the national security?" And I hope that every group in America - unions and businessmen and public officials at every level - will ask the same question of their endeavors, and subject their actions to the same exacting tests.

And should the press of America consider and recommend the voluntary assumption of specific new steps or machinery, I can assure you that we will cooperate whole-heartedly with those recommendations.

Perhaps there will be no recommendations. Perhaps there is no answer to the dilemma faced by a free and open society in a cold and secret war. In times of peace, any discussion of this subject, and any action that results, are both painful and without precedent. But this is a time of peace and peril which knows no precedent in history.

## II

It is the unprecedented nature of this challenge that also gives rise to your second obligation - an obligation which I share. And that is our obligation to inform and alert the American people - to make certain that they possess all the facts that they need, and understand them as well - the perils, the prospects, the purposes of our program and the choices that we face.

No President should fear public scrutiny of his program. For from that scrutiny comes understanding; and from that understanding comes support or opposition. And both are necessary. I am not asking your newspapers to support the Administration, but I am asking your help in the tremendous task of informing and alerting the American people. For I have complete confidence in the response and dedication of our citizens whenever they are fully informed.

I not only could not stifle controversy among your readers - I welcome it. This Administration intends to be candid about its errors; for as a wise man once said: "An error does not become a mistake until you refuse to correct it." We intend to accept full responsibility for our errors; and we expect you to point them out when we miss them.

Without debate, without criticism, no Administration and no country can succeed - and no republic can survive. That is why the Athenian lawmaker Solon decreed it a crime for any citizen to shrink from controversy. And that is why our press was protected by the First Amendment - the only business in America specifically protected by the Constitution - not primarily to amuse and entertain, not to emphasize the trivial and the sentimental, not to simply "give the public what it wants" - but to inform, to arouse, to reflect, to state our dangers and our opportunities, to indicate our crises and our choices, to lead, mold, educate and sometimes even anger public opinion.

This means greater coverage and analysis of international news - for it is no longer far away and foreign but close at hand and local. It means greater attention to improved understanding of the news as well as improved transmission. And it means, finally, that government at all levels, must meet its obligation to provide you with the fullest possible information outside the narrowest limits of national security - and we intend to do it.

## III

It was early in the Seventeenth Century that Francis Bacon remarked on three recent inventions already transforming the world: the compass, gunpowder and the printing press. Now the links between the nations first forged by the compass have made us all citizens of the world, the hopes and threats of one becoming the hopes and threats of us all. In that one world's efforts to live together, the evolution of gunpowder to its ultimate limit has warned mankind of the terrible consequences of failure.

And so it is to the printing press - to the recorder of man's deeds, the keeper of his conscience, the courier of his news - that we look for strength and assistance, confident that with your help man will be what he was born to be: free and



independent.

-----

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 01:47:13 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:16:37 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 15:21:01 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

><snip>

>>If the technology is more widely available why isn't it deployed  
>>against the US and its allies in any of the several theatres of  
>>war in which they have been active in the last 15 years?

>That logic is also used to deny that advanced electronic  
>harassment of targeted individuals is possible.

There's no logic, really - it's just a simple question.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

## Oz Doc Examines Close Encounter

From: **Sheryl Gottchall** <[gottscha.nul](mailto:gottscha.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 16:44:38 +1000  
Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:21:53 -0400  
Subject: Oz Doc Examines Close Encounter

Source: The Canberra Times, Fyshwick, ACT

<http://tinyurl.com/2e1518e>

7 Nov, 2010

Film Examines Close Encounter  
Julieanne Strachan

Even though she was just a child, Terry Peck says she will never forget the day in 1966 when a low-flying silver disc landed next to her Melbourne School.

Witnesses described seeing a low-flying, shiny, silver-grey object, which was shaped like a "cup turned upside down on a saucer" and accompanied by five light aircraft.

That sighting by dozens of students in the Victorian suburb of Westall has become one of Australia's most controversial UFO reports, and the subject of an internationally acclaimed documentary that will be shown this afternoon in Turner, followed by an open panel discussion with the director and investigators.

The film, Westall '66: A Suburban UFO Mystery, says that students, staff and local residents watched a strange object hover overhead for several minutes, land briefly, then lift off and vanish at great speed.

"We were out playing sport on the oval. One of the kids yelled out 'look, look up in the sky it's flying saucers!' And I remember we all looked up and it really was a flying saucer," Ms Peck said.

People reported seeing a circle of flattened grass on the ground where it had landed and some said they observed men in uniforms cordoning it off and removing soil samples, before torching the area days later.

The documentary says the school headmaster called a special assembly to tell the students they were not to talk about it to anybody.

Australian UFO investigator Bill Chalker said Westall was one of the top 10 major UFO events in Australia. "I think when people see the documentary they will get a snapshot of the experience. Westall is highly verifiable, there's lots of witnesses, there's physical evidence and military involvement."

Mr Chalker said another UFO sighting in the top 10 took place over Goulburn in 1954.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

## New Website: UFO's Pet?

From: **Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo** <[sanchezoceljov.nul](mailto:sanchezoceljov.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 09:17:19 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 09:42:21 -0400  
Subject: New Website: UFO's Pet?

Hi all,

We just opened a new website: UFO's Pet?

We hope you learn more from it.

There we present a summary of our research on the so-called Chupacabras attacks, in Miami in 1996 and Chile in 2000. We think that scholars and the general public can have a better knowledge of the phenomenon.

As for the new website, the address is:

<https://sites.google.com/site/ufospet/home>

If you do not like it, do nothing, but if it triggers your interest, please, feel free to pass it around.

Best,

Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo  
Miami UFO Center

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

## Birmingham UFO Group Lecture

From: **Larry W Bryant** <[overtci.nul](mailto:overtci.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Nov 2010 22:53:07 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 09:46:53 -0400  
Subject: Birmingham UFO Group Lecture

-----

From: Dave Hodrien <[davehodrien.nul](mailto:davehodrien.nul)>  
Date: Thu, Nov 4, 2010 at 4:31 PM  
Subject: Birmingham UFO Group Peter McCue Lecture Advert  
To: [davehodrien.nul](mailto:davehodrien.nul)

Birmingham UFO Group Lecture

Peter McCue - UK UFO & Paranormal Hotspots

Date: Monday 15th November 2010

Time: 7.30pm - 11.30pm

Venue: Hot Shots Snooker Club,  
100 Crosswells Road,  
Oldbury,  
Birmingham  
B688HH

Admission: Members =A34.00,  
Non-Members =A35.00

See website at:

<http://www.bufoq.com> for venue map and photo)

Dr Peter McCue, a former clinical psychologist, has a longstanding interest in psychical research and ufology. He=92s a cautious believer in the reality of paranormal phenomena (including anomalous UFO events), and he=92s currently seeking a suitable publisher for a book he=92s written about alleged paranormal and UFO hot spots in this country and abroad. Peter lives in Scotland, not far from the southern Highlands. He enjoys hill-walking, and he used to be a regular but lacklustre skydiver.

Peter will talk about parts of Britain that may be, or may have once been, hot spots for strange manifestations (UFO sightings, ghostly encounters, poltergeist phenomena, etc). He=92ll be mentioning areas such as Blue Bell Hill (Kent), Cannock Chase (Staffs), Dartmoor (Devon), the Pennines, and locations in the Scottish Highlands. He=92ll consider problems in evaluating whether these areas are, or were, genuine hot spots, and he=92ll discuss theories about the phenomena.

Hope to see you there!

Dave Hodrien,  
BUFOG Chairman/Investigator

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 09:51:11 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 10:10:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 6 Nov 2010 21:33:19 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 15:19:09 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 14:14:12 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>>>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>>>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>>>>>>>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>>>>>>>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>>>>>>>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>>>>>>>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>>>>>>>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>>>>>>>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>>>>>>>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>>>>>>>secrecy.

>>>>>>>Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
>>>>>>>the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
>>>>>>>seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
>>>>>>>technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
>>>>>>>research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
>>>>>>>attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
>>>>>>>USA's techno-military advantage.

>>>>>>>But it would also encourage foreign powers to compete in the  
>>>>>>>development of the putative new technologies.

>>>>>>>But how would they do that if they don't have access to US  
>>>>>>>secret technology?

>>>>>>>If they do have access to it, it isn't secret any more is it?

>>>>>>>The way I see it, this would not be "US secret technology". It  
>>>>>>>would not be controlled by any nation states, if that even means  
>>>>>>>anything at that level. The clandestine organizations, informal



>>or otherwise, would have had a long time to get their act  
>>together.

>>Eisenhower warned in his farewell speech in 1961 about "undue  
>>influence" exerted by the military-industrial complex. He saw  
>>the writing on the wall back then.

>>Kennedy also worried about being "opposed around the world by a  
>>monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on  
>>covert means for expanding its sphere of influence... It is a  
>>system which has conscripted vast human and material resources  
>>into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine  
>>that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic,  
>>scientific and political operations."

>>We don't hear that kind of talk from US Presidents anymore. Has  
>>the problem just gone away?

>William, if you think this quotation, taken out of context,  
>supports your argument, then you are sorely mistaken. Deluded,  
>even.

>Here's the entire text of Kennedy's speech to the American  
>Newspaper Publishers Association, April 27, 1961. It is a  
>warning about communist infiltration, not about clandestine  
>organisations controlling alien technology and exploiting it to  
>further their own power and profit.

I never said it was about clandestine organizations controlling  
alien technology. The point I was trying to make some time ago in  
this thread is that there may have been clandestine creation of  
science and technology, by humans, of technology that looks  
alien. Some of what we see in the sky may be a result of that.

>I don't wish to be unkind, but I have to say that the kind of  
>sloppy or dishonest thinking that takes material like this  
>wholly out of context and then abuses it in the way you have  
>done is a threat, by association, to the integrity of everybody  
>who takes a serious interest in this subject.

Yes, the narrative at the time was Capitalism vs Communism, and  
the speech can be interpreted in that context. But when the  
narrative changed, the players did not necessarily disappear. Do  
you think when the Berlin wall came down, that all the  
operations Kennedy mentions simply disbanded and went away?

Many people hoped at the time that wars were a thing of the  
past. We could use all those resources to make love, not war.  
That did not happen, did it? Even just the visible part of the  
military-industrial complex were at a loss as to what to do  
next.

But, not to worry, a new narrative was invented to justify  
making new ways to control people. And they came up with one  
that should last a long time - global terrorism. It won't be  
defeated because the narrative is a fantasy to justify military  
intervention in what should be a law enforcement and social  
justice issue. The pronouncement of global terrorism, and our  
unquestioning acceptance of it, allows the military-industrial  
complex to benefit as before. As seen in WWII, industry is not  
always properly partisan with respect to national interests.

Gerald, the next time you don't wish to be unkind, please just  
debate the issues and leave it at that. The insults are not  
necessary to make your points.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Peter Davenport <[director.nul](mailto:director.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 10:38:45 -0800  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 05:59:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to  
>Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the  
>photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a  
>rainbow.

>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

>For me, the presence of the torus was evidence that the object  
>was not a bird, but was more likely a UFO like a number of  
>others I have seen accompanied by an optical toroidal effect.

><http://www.treurniet.ca/torifract/torifract.htm>

>Recently, the same person sent me two photos taken on November  
>1, 2010, of an anomaly in the sky over Hartsfield Airport,  
>Atlanta.

>Analysis of these photos showed the presence of a typical  
>inverted bowl-shaped UFO, and beside it was what appeared to be  
>a toroidal region of space colored with rainbow colors.

>My interpretation was that the toroidal region beside the UFO  
>again had an altered index of refraction. The torus behaved like  
>a prism to refract light from the sun which was also visible in  
>the photo.

><http://www.treurniet.ca/trishadows/AtlantaUFO.htm>

>This nicely confirms that there is an altered index of  
>refraction in a toroidal space near a UFO.

William,

I see MANY photos, the likes of which you link to above.

In my opinion, the first photo, most likely, is of a bird,  
possibly a mourning dove, *Zenaidura macroura* (?), flying from left  
to right in the photo. Alternatively, the object could be a  
blackbird, or any other species of small bird, for that matter.

The second photo is of light from the sun, being refracted by  
either moisture particles, or ice crystals, in the atmosphere.

I do not believe that these photos are indicative of alien space  
craft, but it is impossible to know for sure.

I estimate that only one, out of somewhere between 10,000 and  
20,000 photos sent to NUFORC, or to other UFO-related  
organizations, is unambiguously of a genuine UFO.

Peter  
NUFORC

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Don Ledger" <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 15:05:46 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 06:00:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 6 Nov 2010 21:33:19 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 15:19:09 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

Despite the length of this thread, I've yet to see any text on just who is supposed to be perpetrating Greg Boone's claim of an ETH scam.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

### The Varginha Incident - Case Closed?

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <[socex.ufobras.nul](mailto:socex.ufobras.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 17:13:02 -0200  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 06:04:51 -0400  
Subject: The Varginha Incident - Case Closed?

<http://tinyurl.com/35x9p47>

Last month, excerpts of the conclusions of the official military investigations on the "Varginha Case" were published by IstoE magazine. As would be expected, they caused quite a furor among enthusiasts because there Lt Col L=Facio Finholdt Pereira raised as "the most probable hypothesis" that a local with disabilities, known as "Luizinho" =96 or Little Luis:

"Being probably dirty, due to the heavy rain, seen crouching against a wall, was mistaken by three terrified girls as a 'creature from space'.

In short, as can be seen in the comparison above, part of the military inquest, Little Luis was allegedly mistaken for an alien. Being that some local ufologists claim the Varginha Case is the best UFO evidence ever, the idea that it could be explained so simply is bound to be met with derision.

The bombshell brings to mind the official explanations for the Roswell case, including the claim that it was a Case Closed. It must be taken with a grain of salt. Here's to our ordinary look at this new development, which from the start, is not actually new.

#### An Old Story

The "Varginha Incident" happened on January 20, 1996, when a "creature" was sighted by three girls ranging from 14 to 21 years old: sisters Lilliane and Valqu=EDria Silva, and their friend K=Eltia Xavier. According to their emotional description, it was a biped about 5 ft high, with a large head with three horns and very thin body, with V-shaped feet, brown skin, and large red eyes. It seemed to be wobbly or unsteady, and the girls assumed it was injured or sick. The creature was said to have a strong, unpleasant odor.

Soon the story spread through the town, and the next day it reached researcher Ubirajara Rodrigues, local lawyer and professor who is also a well-known ufologist. From there to the local and then to the national news, it was a matter of days.

The stories multiplied as the case was heavily promoted by the media, and in the end the "Varginha Incident" developed a full "chronology" that went from the detection by the NORAD (!) of a giant UFO which crashed in Brazil, to the capture of not one but several aliens which were then autopsied and finally sent to the US, as Brazil traded them for some unspecified favor from the Americans.

One of the first hypothesis Rodrigues investigated was that the girls could have mistaken Little Luis with an alien. In "O Caso Varginha" (2001), his 370-page work on the case, he tells how:

"At the site of their encounter with the unusual being, lives a man named Luiz, with serious mental problems. Little Luis walks around the block and is sit squatted. He doesn't speak. On the first visit of the girls to the site, after the sighting, Little Luis approached and crouched, as he always does. K=Eltia took the

opportunity to laugh, thinking it really funny the idea that they would have seen that young man and mistaken him by the creature they described. 'Oh, I have even given him a cigarette, who doesn't know Little Luis? There's no way we would mistake him for that'."

So, the girls almost immediately and plausibly denied the official explanation. Which, by the way, is also old: the Army investigations were conducted in a few days in May 1996, and then in a more lengthy inquest which ended in July 1997. This is nothing new, and in fact, Rodrigues already knew their contents, as he was one of the witnesses questioned.

In 1999, Vitorio Pacaccini, who investigated the case at the time along with Rodrigues, also published an article refuting this the official explanation. In "Varginha UFO Crash =96 Brazilian Army Claims 3 Girls Saw 'Deformed Human'", Pacaccini even offers us what probably shouldn't be very necessary: a comparison showing that Little Luis does not actually look like an horrific alien.

#### An Overlooked Story

Even this author had already heard about the idea, which Rodrigues discussed in private conversations several years ago. I didn't give it much relevance. Fact is, I had never seen a photo of Little Luis squatting.

He's almost always sitting that way. And he does live almost in front of the site where the girls met the "hideous creature". And it did rain heavily that day.

Let's assume it was not Little Luis whom the girls saw. Sure, it couldn't be, they already knew him, they saw him again shortly after the event and even laughed about it. Very well.

But if you take that assumption, or even conclusion, you must automatically accept that an unknown creature, perhaps from outer space, appeared of all places in the small town of Varginha, Brazil, in front of Little Luis' house.

Just to squat exactly as Little Luis does to this day. An alien would travel the galaxies to squat like Little Luis. This would be one squat of a coincidence.

Coincidences do happen, in fact. The USAF report which claims the Roswell aliens were dummies from Project Excelsior tries hard to show how the dummies may have looked like aliens. They do look strange, but the report miss the broader point that people do not need to see strange dummies to come up with stories of aliens, especially if said stories only come up decades after the events.

So, is the Varginha Case closed? Certainly not, as the stories grew much bigger than the initial sighting by the girls. Which we can't, and probably won't ever know for sure if it did involve Little Luis, or if it was simply an amazing coincidence involving some actual unknown creature.

But no one should ignore the possibility that one of the most famous Brazilian UFO cases may have started with a simple confusion with a local man, perhaps all muddled up. It's a plausible explanation, just as one can also plausibly refute it by trusting the girls prompt refusal of said possibility.

Fact is, as Kevin Randle had already noticed, "we have been unable to verify much of anything". We can't even claim this was a UFO case, since there is no physical evidence at all, be that of an alien creature, or even of any UFO.

Ubirajara Rodrigues ends his book on the case by courageously admitting that "we cannot reach any conclusion [in this case], as we have never been able to reach one in any UFO case. Not even that it involved an UFO." It takes seriousness and courage to plainly state you don't have answers when you don't. A lot of people would much prefer to have any kind of answers, even if they are pure fantasy.

We still can't reach any conclusion, but now we have some very thought provoking images.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 22:10:27 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 06:23:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 09:51:11 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 6 Nov 2010 21:33:19 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 05 Nov 2010 15:19:09 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Wed, 3 Nov 2010 20:58:38 +0000  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>Date: Tue, 02 Nov 2010 14:14:12 -0400  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>>Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 18:36:13 +0000  
>>>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 17:57:03 -0400  
>>>>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>>>>I've often wondered, too, why there is so much spent on the  
>>>>>>>>space program using chemical rockets, etc. if this more advanced  
>>>>>>>>technology exists. The only answer that comes to mind is that it  
>>>>>>>>is part of the cover-up. If they use the new technology or if  
>>>>>>>>they choose to do nothing about space exploration, people would  
>>>>>>>>ask questions. So they pretend the advanced technology doesn't  
>>>>>>>>exist. When you think about it, NASA's budget is not that big,  
>>>>>>>>is it? It might be a small enough sacrifice to maintain the  
>>>>>>>>secrecy.

>>>>>>>>Another possibility should also come to mind. Apparently 'off  
>>>>>>>>the record' or informal statements like this could be carefully  
>>>>>>>>seeded to create the impression that the USA has secret  
>>>>>>>>technology that makes conventional weaponry and associated  
>>>>>>>>research redundant. It thus discourages foreign powers from  
>>>>>>>>attempting to compete, thereby cementing and perpetuating the  
>>>>>>>>USA's techno-military advantage.

>>>>>>>>>But it would also encourage foreign powers to compete in the  
>>>>>>>>>development of the putative new technologies.

>>>>>>>>>>But how would they do that if they don't have access to US  
>>>>>>>>>>secret technology?

>

>>>>If they do have access to it, it isn't secret any more is it?

>

>>>The way I see it, this would not be "US secret technology". It would not be controlled by any nation states, if that even means anything at that level. The clandestine organizations, informal or otherwise, would have had a long time to get their act together.

>>>Eisenhower warned in his farewell speech in 1961 about "undue influence" exerted by the military-industrial complex. He saw the writing on the wall back then.

>>>Kennedy also worried about being "opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence... It is a system which has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations."

>>>We don't hear that kind of talk from US Presidents anymore. Has the problem just gone away?

>>William, if you think this quotation, taken out of context, supports your argument, then you are sorely mistaken. Deluded, even.

>>Here's the entire text of Kennedy's speech to the American Newspaper Publishers Association, April 27, 1961. It is a warning about communist infiltration, not about clandestine organisations controlling alien technology and exploiting it to further their own power and profit.

>I never said it was about clandestine organizations controlling alien technology. The point I was trying to make some time ago in this thread is that there may have been clandestine creation of science and technology, by humans, of technology that looks alien. Some of what we see in the sky may be a result of that.

>>I don't wish to be unkind, but I have to say that the kind of sloppy or dishonest thinking that takes material like this wholly out of context and then abuses it in the way you have done is a threat, by association, to the integrity of everybody who takes a serious interest in this subject.

>Yes, the narrative at the time was Capitalism vs Communism, and the speech can be interpreted in that context.

The point is, it can ONLY be interpreted in that context.

>But when the narrative changed, the players did not necessarily disappear. Do you think when the Berlin wall came down, that all the operations Kennedy mentions simply disbanded and went away?

Of course not, but they changed their gameplan and concentrated on economic power locally rather than political power globally.

>Many people hoped at the time that wars were a thing of the past.

And many other people, aware of the true nature of the situation, predicted that after a period of adjustment it would be business as usual.

>We could use all those resources to make love, not war. That did not happen, did it? Even just the visible part of the military-industrial complex were at a loss as to what to do next.

Not really. Intelligence services immediately realised that they would need an economic rather than a political focus in order to continue to justify their existence.

>But, not to worry, a new narrative was invented to justify making new ways to control people. And they came up with one that should last a long time - global terrorism.

The phenomenon was already there, and was real enough. It was just a question of shifting the focus. America has always needed a common folk-devil in order to promote unity. It seems that the

demonography of men with beards (Castro, bin Laden) works best for some reason (maybe it's a subconscious need to turn the clock back from the values of Lincoln to those of Jefferson...)

>It won't be  
>defeated because the narrative is a fantasy to justify military  
>intervention in what should be a law enforcement and social  
>justice issue. The pronouncement of global terrorism, and our  
>unquestioning acceptance of it, allows the military-industrial  
>complex to benefit as before. As seen in WWII, industry is not  
>always properly partisan with respect to national interests.

>Gerald, the next time you don't wish to be unkind, please just  
>debate the issues and leave it at that.

As far as I'm aware that's just exactly what I have been doing. At the same time I think you've been avoiding the issues and shifting your ground. The misinterpretation and taking out of context of Kennedy's words, together with your unwillingness to acknowledge the error, seems indicative of this trait.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Update On San Luis Valley CO Camera Project

From: **Eleanor White** <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 19:31:04 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 06:26:52 -0400  
Subject: Update On San Luis Valley CO Camera Project

A while back I posted a note about a Paracast Internet radio show featuring Chris O'Brien, the San Luis Valley, Colorado, UFO and Paranormal researcher.

Chris described a project in which he and colleagues are working on a project in which several hi-res video cams are being set up around the San Luis Valley to trap UFO and possibly other paranormal events.

Tonight, Chris is again on Paracast. I don't think I had his web site on my previous bulletin, which is:

<http://www.ourstrangeplanet.com>

There's a short sample daytime capture video on that site, which Chris ascribes to a small helicopter.

Chris describes this system as one in which custom and professionally written camera image analysis software will both analyze images and move the cameras so as to provide multiple angle shots of possible high strangeness events.

He has accomplished what he calls a "beta test" of the first camera, resulting in capture of a couple of weeks worth of data. He and his group hope to have at least some of the cameras and the software up and running by the coming spring.

Chris got my attention by mentioning a 'paranormal event' camera installation in Utah - I think that's to trap strange lights repeatedly occurring on a ranch there - in which two cameras were set to watch each other in addition to any phenomena in their field of view. Chris states that one camera of the pair failed, and was found to have "all the wiring ripped out" and yet the camera watching it displayed no visible phenomenon at the time of failure.

Interesting work!

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 18:34:18 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 06:33:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to  
>Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the  
>photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a  
>rainbow.

>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

>For me, the presence of the torus was evidence that the object  
>was not a bird, but was more likely a UFO like a number of  
>others I have seen accompanied by an optical toroidal effect.

><http://www.treurniet.ca/torifract/torifract.htm>

>Recently, the same person sent me two photos taken on November  
>1, 2010, of an anomaly in the sky over Hartsfield Airport,  
>Atlanta.

>Analysis of these photos showed the presence of a typical  
>inverted bowl-shaped UFO, and beside it was what appeared to be  
>a toroidal region of space colored with rainbow colors.

>My interpretation was that the toroidal region beside the UFO  
>again had an altered index of refraction. The torus behaved like  
>a prism to refract light from the sun which was also visible in  
>the photo.

><http://www.treurniet.ca/trishadows/AtlantaUFO.htm>

>This nicely confirms that there is an altered index of  
>refraction in a toroidal space near a UFO.

Looks more like a classic 'Sundog', caused by refraction of  
sunlight through cirrus cloud ice crystals that act like prisms  
high in the atmosphere. Notice the wispy cirrus clouds in the  
photo. The rainbow of colors is caused by different indices of  
refraction of different wavelengths of light through the ice  
crystals, just like prisms break up sunlight into a rainbow.  
Also sundogs are close to the sun, in fact at exactly 22  
degrees, the amount the sunlight is refracted through the  
hexagonal ice crystals. So a well-known atmospheric effect or  
IFO (though not really an object), not a UFO.

Here are two other examples of discrete Sundogs:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Parhelion2\\_-NOAA\\_.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Parhelion2_-NOAA_.jpg)

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Dead\\_tree\\_and\\_Pacific.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Dead_tree_and_Pacific.jpg)

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 08:57:03 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 09:09:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: David Rudiak<[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 18:34:18 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

><snip>

>>Recently, the same person sent me two photos taken on November  
>>1, 2010, of an anomaly in the sky over Hartsfield Airport,  
>>Atlanta.

>>Analysis of these photos showed the presence of a typical  
>>inverted bowl-shaped UFO, and beside it was what appeared to be  
>>a toroidal region of space colored with rainbow colors.

>>My interpretation was that the toroidal region beside the UFO  
>>again had an altered index of refraction. The torus behaved like  
>>a prism to refract light from the sun which was also visible in  
>>the photo.

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/trishadows/AtlantaUFO.htm>

>>This nicely confirms that there is an altered index of  
>>refraction in a toroidal space near a UFO.

>Looks more like a classic 'Sundog', caused by refraction of  
>sunlight through cirrus cloud ice crystals that act like prisms  
>high in the atmosphere. Notice the wispy cirrus clouds in the  
>photo. The rainbow of colors is caused by different indices of  
>refraction of different wavelengths of light through the ice  
>crystals, just like prisms break up sunlight into a rainbow.  
>Also sundogs are close to the sun, in fact at exactly 22  
>degrees, the amount the sunlight is refracted through the  
>hexagonal ice crystals. So a well-known atmospheric effect or  
>IFO (though not really an object), not a UFO.

>Here are two other examples of discrete Sundogs:

>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Parhelion2\\_-NOAA\\_.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Parhelion2_-NOAA_.jpg)

>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Dead\\_tree\\_and\\_Pacific.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Dead_tree_and_Pacific.jpg)

We at least agree that the light is caused by a localized change in the index of refraction. The light, or 'Sundog', is not the UFO. I proposed that the cause of the change in refractive index in this case is the apparently solid, saucer-shaped object clearly visible beside the light in the enhanced image. That is the UFO.

This does not come out-of-blue for me. I've been finding toroidal optical effects beside UFOs in photos for several years now. Maybe it's less of a stretch for me than for others to connect the saucer shape with the light.



Perhaps there can be more than one cause for a change in refractive index? In the photos you provided, there is no saucer-shaped object present, so the light is probably refracted by ice crystals in those cases.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 09:04:38 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 09:44:34 -0400  
Subject: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

At least in this incident a citizen on his toes grabbed his cell phone camera and videoed the object.

<http://tinyurl.com/23su7d2>

[2 clips - News report & original footage]

It's these cell phone cameras and quick thinking witnesses that add better evidence to the research.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 09:30:09 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 09:46:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Peter Davenport<[director.nul](mailto:director.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 10:38:45 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to  
>>Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the  
>>photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a  
>>rainbow.

>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

>>For me, the presence of the torus was evidence that the object  
>>was not a bird, but was more likely a UFO like a number of  
>>others I have seen accompanied by an optical toroidal effect.

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/torifract/torifract.htm>

>>Recently, the same person sent me two photos taken on November  
>>1, 2010, of an anomaly in the sky over Hartsfield Airport,  
>>Atlanta.

>>Analysis of these photos showed the presence of a typical  
>>inverted bowl-shaped UFO, and beside it was what appeared to be  
>>a toroidal region of space colored with rainbow colors.

>>My interpretation was that the toroidal region beside the UFO  
>>again had an altered index of refraction. The torus behaved like  
>>a prism to refract light from the sun which was also visible in  
>>the photo.

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/trishadows/AtlantaUFO.htm>

>>This nicely confirms that there is an altered index of  
>>refraction in a toroidal space near a UFO.

>William,

>I see MANY photos, the likes of which you link to above.

>In my opinion, the first photo, most likely, is of a bird,  
>possibly a mourning dove, Zenaida macroura (?), flying from left  
>to right in the photo. Alternatively, the object could be a  
>blackbird, or any other species of small bird, for that matter.

The person who took the photo also thought initially that it was likely a bird. But he recognized that this interpretation has a problem in that a piece of the rainbow is in front of the object. This means that the rainbow has to be closer to the camera than the bird. That seems unlikely, so the object is a UFO.

The observation in the enhanced image of the circular shape intersecting both the rainbow and the object is consistent with what I have seen in other photos of UFOs. Many UFOs are accompanied by a toroidal optical effect. I believe that the UFO is generating a field of some sort which has a visible effect. In this photo, it appears to have an additional effect on the light from the rainbow in the background. The colors are clearly refracted by the circular shape.

>The second photo is of light from the sun, being refracted by  
>either moisture particles, or ice crystals, in the atmosphere.

Yes, I did say that the light from the sun was being refracted by something. The light is not the UFO. Rather, I suggested that the region of changed refractory index was caused by the saucer-shaped object clearly visible beside it in the enhanced image. That is the UFO.

The light appears to have a toroidal shape (Fig. 3). This toroidal shape and the adjacent saucer shape are consistent with what I have seen in many other photos of UFOs. The simplest conclusion is that the object is a 'flying saucer'.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 10:36:44 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 11:27:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [sighting.nul](mailto:sighting.nul), [sightings.nul](mailto:sightings.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 09:04:38 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

>At least in this incident a citizen on his toes grabbed his cell  
>phone camera and videoed the object.

><http://tinyurl.com/23su7d2>

Interesting facts about this image:

- 1) blue color... not an aeronautical color. When a witness reports blue (or orange) lights one begins to think "UFO?"
- 2) image occasionally has a "hot spot" (bright point of light) at the center of the blue where the image might be overexposed, but mostly it is a blue image of some size with no overexposure.

Thus it would appear that the size of the blue area is a true measure of the size of the light source and if we knew how far away it was we could calculate its size. We would also have to know the effective focal length of the camera in the phone.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 07:57:41 -0800  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 11:31:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Peter Davenport <[director.nul](mailto:director.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 10:38:45 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to  
>>Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the  
>>photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a  
>>rainbow.

>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

>>For me, the presence of the torus was evidence that the object  
>>was not a bird, but was more likely a UFO like a number of  
>>others I have seen accompanied by an optical toroidal effect.

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/torifract/torifract.htm>

<snip>

>William,

>I see MANY photos, the likes of which you link to above.

>In my opinion, the first photo, most likely, is of a bird,  
>possibly a mourning dove, Zenaida macroura (?), flying from left  
>to right in the photo. Alternatively, the object could be a  
>blackbird, or any other species of small bird, for that matter.

>The second photo is of light from the sun, being refracted by  
>either moisture particles, or ice crystals, in the atmosphere.

>I do not believe that these photos are indicative of alien space  
>craft, but it is impossible to know for sure.

>I estimate that only one, out of somewhere between 10,000 and  
>20,000 photos sent to NUFORC, or to other UFO-related  
>organizations, is unambiguously of a genuine UFO.

Hi, Peter & List,

So, Peter, of the 10 to 20 thousand photos NUFORC has received,  
only one "is unambiguously of a genuine UFO"?

That's quite interesting - could you provide us with a link to  
this singular photograph, so the List can check it out?

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 11:43:15 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 12:13:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 10:36:44 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [sighting.nul](mailto:sighting.nul), [sightings.nul](mailto:sightings.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 09:04:38 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

>>At least in this incident a citizen on his toes grabbed his cell  
>>phone camera and videoed the object.

>><http://tinyurl.com/23su7d2>

>Interesting facts about this image:

>1) blue color... not an aeronautical color. When a witness  
>reports blue (or orange) lights one begins to think "UFO?"

>2) image occasionally has a "hot spot" (bright point of light)  
>at the center of the blue where the image might be overexposed,  
>but mostly it is a blue image of some size with no overexposure.

>Thus it would appear that the size of the blue area is a true  
>measure of the size of the light source and if we knew how far  
>away it was we could calculate its size. We would also have to  
>know the effective focal length of the camera in the phone.

<http://tinyurl.com/2vct83x>

This is a related story to sightings in that area that's  
interesting outside of the wisecracks, because it touches a bit  
on UFO history and such sightings in that area as well as radar  
detection in association with visual corroboration.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 12:01:16 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 12:15:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 07:57:41 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Peter Davenport <[director.nul](mailto:director.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 10:38:45 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

<snip>

>>I estimate that only one, out of somewhere between 10,000 and  
>>20,000 photos sent to NUFORC, or to other UFO-related  
>>organizations, is unambiguously of a genuine UFO.

>Hi, Peter & List,

>So, Peter, of the 10 to 20 thousand photos NUFORC has received,  
>only one "is unambiguously of a genuine UFO"?

>That's quite interesting - could you provide us with a link to  
>this singular photograph, so the List can check it out?

Perhaps a good description of the criteria used to prove  
validity would be helpful as well, but the question is not  
whether you've identified an object as a truly 'unidentified'.  
It's really more a question of whether the other images are of  
objects that can be 'identified' with some certainty.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 17:13:24 -0000  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 12:36:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:10:08 -0400  
>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to  
>Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the  
>photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a  
>rainbow.

>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.  
<snip>

Hi William,

That's logical. An inertial-field, or even a high static field,  
will change electron energies within it, thereby changing the  
freqs - colors - of photons passing through that atmosphere or  
emitted by it.

The catch is that any animals, including humans, within the  
field will also experience a change of electron energies, which  
might explain the somewhat psychedelic accounts of close  
encounters, plus the widely reported fearful reactions of wild  
animals and livestock when UFOs are nearby.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Hastings To Speak At Oxford

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 08:57:31 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 08:57:31 -0400  
Subject: Hastings To Speak At Oxford

Robert Hastings To Speak At UK's Oxford University

-----

Source: Event Elephant.Com

<http://www.eventelephant.com/hastingslecture>

UFOs: The Secret Story

Hosted by Paul Parl

Wed, 24 Nov, 2010  
Start time: 19:30  
End time: 21:30

St Hilda's College  
Cowley Place  
Oxford  
Oxfordshire, OX4 1DY  
United kingdom

Admission: =A35.00 [Buy at site]

UFOs: The Secret Story is a lecture by Robert Hastings, the renowned UFO researcher. Hastings has appeared on Larry King Live, and has given his lecture to numerous universities in the US.

This is his first appearance at Oxford University, sponsored and organised by polymath and Oxford alumnus Paul Parl.

The main theme of Hastings' lecture is his meticulous research of documented UFO incidents at nuclear missile sites around the world, a topic which he has investigated for decades. He has been approached by numerous high-ranking military officials who have provided him with impeccable testimony.

It promises to be a fascinating evening...

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 10:10:50 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 08:59:19 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 08:57:03 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 18:34:18 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO  
<snip>

>>>Analysis of these photos showed the presence of a typical  
>>>inverted bowl-shaped UFO, and beside it was what appeared to be  
>>>a toroidal region of space colored with rainbow colors.

>>>My interpretation was that the toroidal region beside the UFO  
>>>again had an altered index of refraction. The torus behaved like  
>>>a prism to refract light from the sun which was also visible in  
>>>the photo.

>>><http://www.treurniet.ca/trishadows/AtlantaUFO.htm>

>>>This nicely confirms that there is an altered index of  
>>>refraction in a toroidal space near a UFO.

>>Looks more like a classic 'Sundog', caused by refraction of  
>>sunlight through cirrus cloud ice crystals that act like prisms  
>>high in the atmosphere. Notice the wispy cirrus clouds in the  
>>photo. The rainbow of colors is caused by different indices of  
>>refraction of different wavelengths of light through the ice  
>>crystals, just like prisms break up sunlight into a rainbow.  
>>Also sundogs are close to the sun, in fact at exactly 22  
>>degrees, the amount the sunlight is refracted through the  
>>hexagonal ice crystals. So a well-known atmospheric effect or  
>>IFO (though not really an object), not a UFO.

>>Here are two other examples of discrete Sundogs:

>>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Parhelion2\\_-NOAA\\_.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Parhelion2_-NOAA_.jpg)

>>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Dead\\_tree\\_and\\_Pacific.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Dead_tree_and_Pacific.jpg)

>We at least agree that the light is caused by a localized change  
>in the index of refraction. The light, or 'Sundog', is not the  
>UFO. I proposed that the cause of the change in refractive index  
>in this case is the apparently solid, saucer-shaped object  
>clearly visible beside the light in the enhanced image. That is  
>the UFO.

>This does not come out-of-blue for me. I've been finding  
>toroidal optical effects beside UFOs in photos for several years  
>now. Maybe it's less of a stretch for me than for others to  
>connect the saucer shape with the light.



>Perhaps there can be more than one cause for a change in  
>refractive index? In the photos you provided, there is no  
>saucer-shaped object present, so the light is probably refracted  
>by ice crystals in those cases.

William, I think you are way over-thinking this one. I have no doubt that Bruce Maccabee's TRUFOS (True UFOs) cause refractive effects on light, but this isn't a TRUFO but a well-known and explained sundog phenomenon. The slightly darkened "saucer-shape" just left of what is obviously a typical atmospheric 'sundog' looks like nothing more than a thinning of the icy cirrus clouds allowing more of the background sky through. In fact, several similar thinnings can be seen in the same cloud, such as above and slightly left.

To paraphrase Freud, sometimes a cigar is just a cigar. Or if you hear hoof beats, think horses first, not zebras.

TRUFOS can also cause polarization effects, including multiple polarization rings around the UFO, perhaps first noticed by Walter Webb back in the 1950s when he looked at UFO through a Polaroid filter. Dr. James Harder suggested the effect was caused by the UFO being surrounded by a very large magnetic field that rotated atmospheric polarized light by varying amounts (Faraday effect), depending on the strength of the field that fell off with distance from the UFO.

The number of rings gives you a rough idea of the strength of the field. This leads to estimates of UFO magnetic field strengths on the order of 100 Tesla. By comparison, MRI imagers use superconducting magnets in the range of 1.5 to 9.0 Tesla and the largest superconducting lab magnets have fields on the order of 15 Tesla.

This is also a good example of how applying scientific analysis to sighting data can tell us something about the physics and technology behind the UFOs. At least some of them generate very powerful magnetic fields and this has something to do with their propulsion technology.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 14:04:55 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 09:01:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 17:13:24 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:10:08 -0400  
>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

<snip>

>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

<snip>

>That's logical. An inertial-field, or even a high static field,  
>will change electron energies within it, thereby changing the  
>freqs - colors - of photons passing through that atmosphere or  
>emitted by it.

Anyone contemplating fields around UFOs should consider the following  
case of a field remaining after a UFO apparently had departed:

<http://www.brumac.8k.com/MagneticUFO/MagneticUFO.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 15:05:17 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 09:02:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Ray Dickenson<[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 17:13:24 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:10:08 -0400  
>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to  
>>Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the  
>>photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a  
>>rainbow.

>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.  
><snip>

>Hi William,

>That's logical. An inertial-field, or even a high static field,  
>will change electron energies within it, thereby changing the  
>freqs - colors - of photons passing through that atmosphere or  
>emitted by it.

>The catch is that any animals, including humans, within the  
>field will also experience a change of electron energies, which  
>might explain the somewhat psychedelic accounts of close  
>encounters, plus the widely reported fearful reactions of wild  
>animals and livestock when UFOs are nearby.

In addition to your suggestion, Ray, someone suggested to me  
that a high voltage electric field might change the orientation  
of asymmetric water droplets in the clouds, and might also  
change the polarization of incident light. These effects may be  
involved in changing the effective refractive index. If anyone  
can correct this or expand on it, please do so.

It is well-known that a large electric field is needed to  
produce the Biefeld-Brown effect, where a high voltage  
electrostatic charge reduces the weight of an object and also  
exerts a force on the object. Further, Dr. Paul Laviolette  
discusses in the following video how that effect was classified  
after it was demonstrated to the DoD, and that advanced forms of  
this effect may currently be in use in some secret aircraft such  
as the B2 and TR-3B aircraft.

<http://tinyurl.com/35gvh76>

It is therefore possible that the object over Atlanta was secret  
American technology. This is consistent with the view that at  
least some UFOs we see in the sky have a human origin.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 15:35:48 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 09:02:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Peter Davenport <[director.nul](mailto:director.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 10:38:45 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

<snip>

>I do not believe that these photos are indicative of alien space  
>craft, but it is impossible to know for sure.

I can't speak for large numbers of photos I haven't seen, but if  
altered refractive properties strongly correlate with best case  
images, but not around birds or aircraft, I think that is a  
stunning piece of evidence - possibly one of the most important  
ever.

Everyone's always hollering for 'evidence,' well this may be  
some of the best.

It would seem that going back and examining photos for altered  
refractive properties would be an excellent use of time and  
effort.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 18:26:58 -0800  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 09:05:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [sighting.nul](mailto:sighting.nul), [sightings.nul](mailto:sightings.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 09:04:38 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Blue UFO Video Centreville Virginia

>At least in this incident a citizen on his toes grabbed his cell  
>phone camera and videoed the object.

><http://tinyurl.com/23su7d2>

>[2 clips - News report & original footage]

>It's these cell phone cameras and quick thinking witnesses that  
>add better evidence to the research.

Hi, Greg & List,

I've read some online references to this incident being caused by a radio-controlled [RC] toy helicopter or other small flying light-emitting diode [LED] equipped hobbyist platform of some kind. LED kits for various RC flying aircraft and mini-helicopters are becoming quite popular, and have been for the last several years that such night-flying LED kits (which can also be pro-grammed to emit differing colors, display patterns, and also be turned off and on in flight by use of the same RC controllers and mods to the ground control rigs used by expert hobbyists) have been available in hobby stores and via online shops that specialize in night-flying RC/LED rigs and equipment-just go online to confirm this long-standing night itme hobby activity.

There were a series of incidents, initially reported in local papers in the central and southern California valley areas as possible UFOs, of blue and bluish-white (and other colors and flashing/morphing display patterns observed from some distance) being observed to be dashing around the sky, hovering, and performing other acrobatic motions, including sudden black out or disappearance and equally unexpected reappearance in another part of the night sky. It turns out, in every case I've read about and followed up on, including one incident where a curious witness got in their car and drove toward the area where the lights were seen, that these were all RC controlled hobbyist small helicopter and aircraft models equipped with LED rigs of both off the shelf purchased variety and some more sophisticated models with custom, programmable-in-flight LED systems on-board.

I'm familiar with this syndrome, of initial misidentification, due to the fact that a brother-in-law of mine used to work in the hobbyist RC business, at two different shops in the NorCal area, and the LED kits available years ago sold quite well, and customers would sometimes mention at purchase that one purpose, other than being able to fly at night after work, or whenever they wanted at night, was to not only be able to be able to adequately track their RC craft in the dark so that they could be more easily controlled and monitored, but to, at times, impress and goof on "the rubes" who might think they were observing bizarre light phenomena or, wait for it... UFOs.

There have even been some cases where people have made UFO shaped flying wings or circles with aerodynamic cut-outs covered with LEDs who have gotten in trouble for flying their craft deliberately over nearby public venues and beaches at night to create a UFO misidentification incident, which they apparently get some kind of sick kick and lulz from doing. One guy and his partner even said so directly in newspaper coverage they received after they were caught by some local cops, were warned not to continue these intentional attempts to "scare the natives" for their personal pathological gratification and prankster instincts, and said in a newspaper interview I read that they also intended to sell their craft to others who also might enjoy freaking people out. All of this coverage is online.

So, as far as the incident Greg notes above at the link given, I suspect that this incident is just another case of such amateur pranksters and hobbyists having their fun at public expense.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Re: The Varginha Incident - Case Closed?

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <[aj.nul](mailto:aj.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 13:07:45 -0200  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 09:09:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Varginha Incident - Case Closed?

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <[socex.ufobras.nul](mailto:socex.ufobras.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 17:13:02 -0200  
>Subject: The Varginha Incident - Case Closed?

><http://tinyurl.com/35x9p47>

>Last month, excerpts of the conclusions of the official military  
>investigations on the "Varginha Case" were published by IstoE  
>magazine. As would be expected, they caused quite a furor among  
>enthusiasts because there Lt Col L=FAcio Finholdt Pereira raised  
>as "the most probable hypothesis" that a local with  
>disabilities, known as "Luizinho" - or Little Luis:

>"Being probably dirty, due to the heavy rain, seen crouching  
>against a wall, was mistaken by three terrified girls as a  
>'creature from space'.

>In short, as can be seen in the comparison above, part of the  
>military inquest, Little Luis was allegedly mistaken for an  
>alien. Being that some local ufologists claim the Varginha Case  
>is the best UFO evidence ever, the idea that it could be  
>explained so simply is bound to be met with derision.

>The bombshell brings to mind the official explanations for the  
>Roswell case, including the claim that it was a Case Closed. It  
>must be taken with a grain of salt. Here's to our ordinary look  
>at this new development, which from the start, is not actually  
>new.

<snip>

Dear Listers:

The 'conclusion' by the Army was more than expected and are a very clear piece of disinformation. Actually, the investigations made by the Army were not intended or designed in anyway to evaluate the Varginha Case itself. The military police inquiry (inqu=E9rito policial militar, IPM) had the objective to determine the possible leakage of military information about the Varginha Case, allegedly made by military personnel to the UFO researchers Ubirajara Rodrigues and Vit=F3rio Pacaccini, among others.

To be simple, the IPM results are close to a joke to most Brazilian UFO researchers.

The Brazilian Army wants us to believe that not only the mentioned girls but also over 100 first-hand witnesses from several professions and ways of life saw Luizinho, who happens to be a small person, disable and mentally retarded, and took him for an alien. The Army "investigations" completely ignored so many facts that it is useless to list them here. But here is one: several aliens were also seen by the witnesses, including the military ones. Were them all "Luizinhos"?

That is all laughable, if it wasn't prepospetous to believe that those witnesses, along with a group of firemen and another of Army personel that captured at least two creatures, as well as doctors and nurses at the local hospitals to where one of them



was taken to, would make such a mistake. And that Ubirajara Rodrigues, Vit=F3rio Pacaccini and at least other 30 national and foreign UFO researcher (such as Graham Birdsall, Bob Pratt and John Mack, to name a few) wouldn't be carefull enough to cross-check all testimonies and evidences to determine a possible mistake.

We at the Brazilian UFO Magazine already have the IPM, which is constituted of two volumes and a total of 375 pages, being analized by our team. Actually, it is necessary to say that this material was released to us and to Isto=E9 magazine thanks to the efforts made by the Brazilian Committee of UFO Researchers (CBU), which keeps the on-going campaign UFOs: Freedom of Information Now since 2004, the very one that resulted in the Brazilian UFO disclosure and the desclassification of nearly 5,000 pages of documents from 1950 to 2009, as I have constantly informed in this List.

We will soon issue a very serious statement about the IPM that will make Brazilian Army and several people from Government very disconfortable.

As for Ubirajara Rodrigues book, The Varginha Case, that I edited and published, the ending words mentioned are actually very corageous, but they are entirely in contradiction with what the author shows to the readers in the almost 400 pages of the book. Actually, as his editor, I say that those were words of a humble man. I recollect perfectly his state of mind at that time. Whoever reads his book sees very clearly how much effort he puts into investigating every single piece of information about the case and how thorough he was in doing so. It is hard to find another book so consistent in the UFO literature.

A. J. Gevaerd  
Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine  
[www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 19:53:25 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 09:12:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 19:40:41 +0000  
>Subject: William Cooper Revisited

<snip>

>You can all read, if you wish, Cooper's stance on this issue:

>[www.thewatcherfiles.com/william\\_cooper.htm](http://www.thewatcherfiles.com/william_cooper.htm)

>However, what struck me was that lately I have been hearing the  
>very careful programming of the UFO investigators. The emphasis  
>of the most vocal ones is a stake in their being proven that  
>they were "right" all along. Not whether this massive program of  
>UFOs over large cities, serious reporting by the media, and  
>people on radio and TV programs are reinforcing the years of  
>programming.

>Hayakawa had told me that the public wasn't quite ready for the  
>"false flag" operation. But, that was back in the early 1990s.  
>What I am watching happening now seems to be the fulfillment of  
>that programming started in the 1950s.

>Where are the UFO researchers warning us to be careful? Dr.  
>Hawking tried to say something to the effect: careful what you  
>wish for. I am not saying contact isn't possible. I am just  
>saying let's not be naive.

Let us not forget that Milton William Cooper lied big time about  
himself and others. He had been a Hydraulics mechanic in the Air  
Force with no college background so very unlikely he would  
become the briefing officer for the CINCPAC.

I dug out a list of Admirals who had held that office. Changed  
the order and asked which one was his boss. He couldn't recall.

In his book he said I worked for the CIA. A total and complete  
lie... there was more. Why believe anything he said?

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 16:29:02 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 07:11:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 09:12:33 -0400  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 19:53:25 -0400  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 19:40:41 +0000  
>>Subject: William Cooper Revisited

><snip>

>>You can all read, if you wish, Cooper's stance on this issue:

>>[www.thewatcherfiles.com/william\\_cooper.htm](http://www.thewatcherfiles.com/william_cooper.htm)

>>However, what struck me was that lately I have been hearing the  
>>very careful programming of the UFO investigators. The emphasis  
>>of the most vocal ones is a stake in their being proven that  
>>they were "right" all along. Not whether this massive program of  
>>UFOs over large cities, serious reporting by the media, and  
>>people on radio and TV programs are reinforcing the years of  
>>programming.

>>Hayakawa had told me that the public wasn't quite ready for the  
>>"false flag" operation. But, that was back in the early 1990s.  
>>What I am watching happening now seems to be the fulfillment of  
>>that programming started in the 1950s.

>>Where are the UFO researchers warning us to be careful? Dr.  
>>Hawking tried to say something to the effect: careful what you  
>>wish for. I am not saying contact isn't possible. I am just  
>>saying let's not be naive.

>Let us not forget that Milton William Cooper lied big time about  
>himself and others. He had been a Hydraulics mechanic in the Air  
>Force with no college background so very unlikely he would  
>become the briefing officer for the CINCPAC.

>I dug out a list of Admirals who had held that office. Changed  
>the order and asked which one was his boss. He couldn't recall.

>In his book he said I worked for the CIA. A total and complete  
>lie... there was more. Why believe anything he said?

Stan:

I don't it is a good idea to bring up who's resume is a lie,  
sort of close to the truth and those of the UFO community who  
are absolutely truthful.

Me? I have been around along time and have heard, after a  
fashion and years later, a ufo researcher's real work resume.

Your own comes to mind. Yes, you finally corrected the record. But, that was years later, after you had been in the public eye. That was your prerogative and, I am sure, you had your reasons. I have put your reasons in a similar category to Don Schmitt's.

Thank God I am just a plain old nobody that is usually discounted.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Peter Davenport <[director.nul](mailto:director.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 16:49:04 -0800  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 07:14:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 07:57:41 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Peter Davenport <[director.nul](mailto:director.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 7 Nov 2010 10:38:45 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>Last July, I downloaded a photo contributed to the Coast to  
>>>Coast web site that was taken somewhere in South Dakota. In the  
>>>photo, a blurry object was positioned immediately in front of a  
>>>rainbow.

>>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

>>>For me, the presence of the torus was evidence that the object  
>>>was not a bird, but was more likely a UFO like a number of  
>>>others I have seen accompanied by an optical toroidal effect.

>>><http://www.treurniet.ca/torifract/torifract.htm>

><snip>

>>William,

>>I see MANY photos, the likes of which you link to above.

>>In my opinion, the first photo, most likely, is of a bird,  
>>possibly a mourning dove, Zenaida macroura (?), flying from left  
>>to right in the photo. Alternatively, the object could be a  
>>blackbird, or any other species of small bird, for that matter.

>>The second photo is of light from the sun, being refracted by  
>>either moisture particles, or ice crystals, in the atmosphere.

>>I do not believe that these photos are indicative of alien space  
>>craft, but it is impossible to know for sure.

>>I estimate that only one, out of somewhere between 10,000 and  
>>20,000 photos sent to NUFORC, or to other UFO-related  
>>organizations, is unambiguously of a genuine UFO.

>>So, Peter, of the 10 to 20 thousand photos NUFORC has received,  
>>only one "is unambiguously of a genuine UFO"?

>>That's quite interesting - could you provide us with a link to  
>>this singular photograph, so the List can check it out?

Steve,

I will be happy to respond more thoroughly, but I am unable to do so immediately. I have just purchased a home, which is taking all my free time.

However, I will respond here to the extent of asking whether you think you read my original message carefully. I did not say I have only one "authentic" photo of a UFO. I said that I estimate that only one, out of perhaps some 10,000 to 20,000 sent to NUFORC, is, in my opinion, likely to be demonstrably of a UFO. That implies a percentage of the reports sent to us. The overwhelming majority of photos sent to NUFORC, paraded about in the news media, or discussed in UFO forums, are not, in my opinion, demonstrably of genuine UFO's.

Also, have you attended any of my public presentations, in which I play videos, and show still photos, which I consider to be of authentic UFO's?? I usually play three or four of those videos, which, when combined with the reports from individuals who witnessed the object photographed, lead me to believe that the object captured on film was an authentic UFO, i.e. a sophisticated craft which appears not to have originated on our planet. I have played them many times, but given that I do not hold the copyright for them, I have hesitated to post them to the NUFORC website, save for the video from Sandia National Laboratories, captured on August 15, 1999. I believe that file has to be refreshed. Please see the reports on the NUFORC site for the evening of that date. It was very dramatic...and it was followed by a similar event some 21 minutes later. I have had witnesses to both events on both Coast to Coast, and on the Jeff Rense radio program.

I hope that helps you understand my view of photos of UFOs.

PD  
NUFORC

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 04:24:49 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 07:45:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 16:29:02 +0000  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 09:12:33 -0400  
>>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: UFO Update: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 19:53:25 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 19:40:41 +0000  
>>>Subject: William Cooper Revisited

>><snip>

>>>You can all read, if you wish, Cooper's stance on this issue:

>>>[www.thewatcherfiles.com/william\\_cooper.htm](http://www.thewatcherfiles.com/william_cooper.htm)

>>>However, what struck me was that lately I have been hearing the  
>>>very careful programming of the UFO investigators. The emphasis  
>>>of the most vocal ones is a stake in their being proven that  
>>>they were "right" all along. Not whether this massive program of  
>>>UFOs over large cities, serious reporting by the media, and  
>>>people on radio and TV programs are reinforcing the years of  
>>>programming.

>>>Hayakawa had told me that the public wasn't quite ready for the  
>>>"false flag" operation. But, that was back in the early 1990s.  
>>>What I am watching happening now seems to be the fulfillment of  
>>>that programming started in the 1950s.

>>>Where are the UFO researchers warning us to be careful? Dr.  
>>>Hawking tried to say something to the effect: careful what you  
>>>>wish for. I am not saying contact isn't possible. I am just  
>>>saying let's not be naive.

>>Let us not forget that Milton William Cooper lied big time about  
>>himself and others. He had been a Hydraulics mechanic in the Air  
>>Force with no college background so very unlikely he would  
>>become the briefing officer for the CINCPAC.

>>I dug out a list of Admirals who had held that office. Changed  
>>the order and asked which one was his boss. He couldn't recall.

>>In his book he said I worked for the CIA. A total and complete  
>>lie... there was more. Why believe anything he said?

>Stan:

>I don't it is a good idea to bring up who's resume is a lie,  
>sort of close to the truth and those of the UFO community who



>are absolutely truthful.

>Me? I have been around along time and have heard, after a  
>fashion and years later, a ufo researcher's real work resume.

>Your own comes to mind. Yes, you finally corrected the record.  
>But, that was years later, after you had been in the public eye.  
>That was your prerogative and, I am sure, you had your reasons.  
>I have put your reasons in a similar category to Don Schmitt's.

>Thank God I am just a plain old nobody that is usually discounted.

KK

Please tell us all what it is you found that I lied about and  
then years later corrected. I know I am getting older, but I  
surely have no recollection of such a situation. Sounds like a  
proclamation to me. Facts please.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## 1952 Unconventional Aircraft White Plains, NY

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:14:08 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 07:50:14 -0400  
Subject: 1952 Unconventional Aircraft White Plains, NY

Unconventional Aircraft White Plains, NY - July 17, 1952

These two images are from the Project Blue Book image files.

Print only - nice hand printed sketch & typed report very well done.

<http://www.footnote.com/image/8580376>

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & 'Work' itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

## News Links - 07-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 20:53:38 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 08 Nov 2010 20:53:38 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 07-11-10

News Links - 07-11-10

California driver reports triangle UFO at 300 feet  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2a6fojy>

Florida Parent-Teen Watch Silent Fast-Moving Boomerang UFO  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/23vrwsz>

UFO Over New Jersey: Boomerang UFO Sighting Reported By  
Witnesses  
NYNewsPost  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ftppsh>

SyFy Archeology Team Digs for UFO Evidence in New Mexico  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/26829z8>

Saucers Of The Spanish Civil War  
Inexplicata  
<http://tinyurl.com/25enphn>

Disclosure Not Just About UFOs Other Mysteries Connected  
Joint Recon Study Group  
<http://tinyurl.com/ceteku>

UFOs Of The Spanish Civil War  
UFODigest

China's UFO shock event  
Culture Clash Daily  
<http://tinyurl.com/2czc58k>

Life Beyond Our Universe  
Free Malaysia Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/23x6yo9>

UFO Disclosure Project: Jeff Peckham And Initiative 300  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/34kw9tc>

ET UFO Community Path: A Brief History... 777  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/23te6wn>

Sex With Aliens!  
lifeisreallybeautiful.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/39gwazl>

From Frank Warren's The UFO Chronicals:

ETs Living In [Dulce] New Mexico? Not Likely, Say Investigators  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ujel3k>

From Ed Gherman:

Great Balls Of Fire Over Canada: NASA Investigates  
CTVNews  
<http://tinyurl.com/24d3ufz>

From 'The Norm':

Journos: It's OK To cover UFOs  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/23sleva>

Clearing The Air With Story Musgrave  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/29jc5jb>

On Restoring Sanity In Denver  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/2d52gv8>

Scientists To Test If Life On Mars Could Be Related To Life On  
Earth  
PhysOrg.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/33wxxhu>

Worldwide SETI Effort Revisits Stellar Neighbors  
DiscoveryNews  
<http://tinyurl.com/2956w9m>

Researchers Discover How To Erase Memory  
PhysOrg.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/35v92qp>

Falling Brains Dept:

Maybe UFO In Centreville Was A 'Temperature Inversion'?  
Washington City Paper  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vct83x>

UFO, Alien Invasion and Channeling  
News-and-Society  
<http://tinyurl.com/28575xz>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 10:59:24 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 11:58:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 14:04:55 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Ray Dickenson<[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 17:13:24 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>>Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:10:08 -0400  
>>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

><snip>

>>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

><snip>

>>That's logical. An inertial-field, or even a high static field,  
>>will change electron energies within it, thereby changing the  
>>freqs - colors - of photons passing through that atmosphere or  
>>emitted by it.

>Anyone contemplating fields around UFOs should consider the following  
>case of a field remaining after a UFO apparently had departed:

><http://www.brumac.8k.com/MagneticUFO/MagneticUFO.html>

In this very interesting case, a pulsating, magnetic field gradient with no apparent cause was able to linger for a day or more. It's almost as if the property of space were changed, and then slowly returned to normal. This would not be expected if the UFO propulsion system was based on a high-voltage static electric field, such as is needed to get the Biefeld-Brown effect.

It is unlikely that a contemporary physics theory could explain what was observed. Maybe less accepted theories based on the idea of an aether would be useful here. A magnetic field as a particular state of the aether might be able to persist after the magnetizing influence has gone.

For example, Stoyan Sarg's Basic Structure of Matter (BSM) theory holds that space is filled with a lattice structure held together by a primeval force he calls supergravitation. Each node of the lattice has certain dynamic properties that account for things like light propagation and electric and magnetic fields. Perhaps the UFO made this lattice vibrate for a period of time after it left, and this ringing is the basis for the observed pulsating magnetic field.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:22:28 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:32:19 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 04:24:49 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 16:29:02 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 09:12:33 -0400  
>>>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>>>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 19:53:25 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 19:40:41 +0000  
>>>>Subject: William Cooper Revisited

>>><snip>

>>>>You can all read, if you wish, Cooper's stance on this issue:

>>>>[www.thewatcherfiles.com/william\\_cooper.htm](http://www.thewatcherfiles.com/william_cooper.htm)

>>>>However, what struck me was that lately I have been hearing the  
>>>>very careful programming of the UFO investigators. The emphasis  
>>>>of the most vocal ones is a stake in their being proven that  
>>>>they were "right" all along. Not whether this massive program of  
>>>>UFOs over large cities, serious reporting by the media, and  
>>>>people on radio and TV programs are reinforcing the years of  
>>>>programming.

>

>>>>Hayakawa had told me that the public wasn't quite ready for the  
>>>>"false flag" operation. But, that was back in the early 1990s.  
>>>>What I am watching happening now seems to be the fulfillment of  
>>>>that programming started in the 1950s.

>

>>>>Where are the UFO researchers warning us to be careful? Dr.  
>>>>Hawking tried to say something to the effect: careful what you  
>>>>>wish for. I am not saying contact isn't possible. I am just  
>>>>saying let's not be naive.

>>>>Let us not forget that Milton William Cooper lied big time about  
>>>>himself and others. He had been a Hydraulics mechanic in the Air  
>>>>Force with no college background so very unlikely he would  
>>>>become the briefing officer for the CINCPAC.

>>>>I dug out a list of Admirals who had held that office. Changed  
>>>>the order and asked which one was his boss. He couldn't recall.

>>>>In his book he said I worked for the CIA. A total and complete  
>>>>lie... there was more. Why believe anything he said?

>>Stan:

>>I don't it is a good idea to bring up who's resume is a lie,  
>>sort of close to the truth and those of the UFO community who  
>>are absolutely truthful.

>>Me? I have been around along time and have heard, after a  
>>fashion and years later, a ufo researcher's real work resume.

>>Your own comes to mind. Yes, you finally corrected the record.  
>>But, that was years later, after you had been in the public eye.  
>>That was your prerogative and, I am sure, you had your reasons.  
>>I have put your reasons in a similar category to Don Schmitt's.

>>Thank God I am just a plain old nobody that is usually discounted.

>KK

>Please tell us all what it is you found that I lied about and  
>then years later corrected. I know I am getting older, but I  
>surely have no recollection of such a situation. Sounds like a  
>proclamation to me. Facts please.

Well, Stan, it wasn't what you said, it was what you let people believe. For years, I heard that you were a nuclear physicist. The implication was that you had a Ph.D. in nuclear physics. Recently, on Coast to Coast you were talking about meeting Carl Sagan. As part of the interview, you sort of provided part of a missing resume. Yeah, yeah, I know you always correct an audience member when they call you Dr. Friedman.

During the Noory interview you stated that you were plucked from high school - without stating what year - and became part of a "special program". Note that no details were provided. Like, what was the name of the program. How would Sagan who was on his way to a degree in astronomy and you be part of the same program. Then, during the interview, another gap. That one left out how long you were in the special program and what degree you received and from who knows what institution. Then, of course there was the vague reference to your working in the nuclear energy field. As a... what? For who?

I think you assume everybody knows who you are and your background. But, most of us know that isn't true and you, just like many other researchers, prefer to leave it a mystery. It is the reason I cited Don Schmitt's situation.

And, besides, what does one's academic degree have to do with one's ability to do thorough research. Cooper did dig deep for information. Your playing a game with him about who he knew had nothing to do with his information. Just as your lack of a Ph.D. has nothing to do with your research.

However:

Leaving gaps in one's history? Does that mean the researcher leaves huge gaps in their research? I would say that it does. To that point, I was there, with Moore and Shandra when they found out you leaped at the bait called MJ-12 without checking the provenance of the so-called documents. Therefore, we could say that you have a tendency in your research of creating a gap.

Just me, as a sideliner, observing people claiming to be players.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:29:09 -0000  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:33:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 10:59:24 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 14:04:55 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

<snip>

>><http://www.brumac.8k.com/MagneticUFO/MagneticUFO.html>  
>In this very interesting case, a pulsating, magnetic field  
>gradient with no apparent cause was able to linger for a day or  
>more.

<snip>

>It is unlikely that a contemporary physics theory could explain  
>what was observed. Maybe less accepted theories based on the  
>idea of an aether would be useful here.

<snip>

Right William,

Like said here -

"In the 'Black Box' video [9 mins]

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GMWri3WaLk0>

Dr Richard Haines (ex-NASA) admits modern science doesn't know how the inertial compasses (gyros) of an airliner were affected by a nearby UFO. He said "We can't do that". Apparently 'they' can. And that means they are not limited to light speed; anyone who can control 'inertia' is immune to the mass-increase effect of Relativity theory."

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:31:00 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:35:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 10:59:24 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 14:04:55 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: Ray Dickenson<[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 17:13:24 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>>>Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:10:08 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>><snip>

>>>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

>><snip>

>>>That's logical. An inertial-field, or even a high static field,  
>>>will change electron energies within it, thereby changing the  
>>>freqs - colors - of photons passing through that atmosphere or  
>>>emitted by it.

>>Anyone contemplating fields around UFOs should consider the following  
>>case of a field remaining after a UFO apparently had departed:

>><http://www.brumac.8k.com/MagneticUFO/MagneticUFO.html>

>In this very interesting case, a pulsating, magnetic field  
>gradient with no apparent cause was able to linger for a day or  
>more. It's almost as if the property of space were changed, and  
>then slowly returned to normal. This would not be expected if  
>the UFO propulsion system was based on a high-voltage static  
>electric field, such as is needed to get the Biefeld-Brown  
>effect.

>It is unlikely that a contemporary physics theory could explain  
>what was observed. Maybe less accepted theories based on the  
>idea of an aether would be useful here. A magnetic field as a  
>particular state of the aether might be able to persist after  
>the magnetizing influence has gone.

>For example, Stoyan Sarg's Basic Structure of Matter (BSM)  
>theory holds that space is filled with a lattice structure held  
>together by a primeval force he calls supergravitation. Each  
>node of the lattice has certain dynamic properties that account  
>for things like light propagation and electric and magnetic  
>fields. Perhaps the UFO made this lattice vibrate for a period

>of time after it left, and this ringing is the basis for the  
>observed pulsating magnetic field.

Does Sargoytchev posit his lattice as having some kind of  
absolute positional property independently of matter and energy?

If so, then this explanation would not work, surely, since the  
movement of matter relative to the lattice would mean that the  
persistence of the effect would not be localised to the  
arrangement of matter occupying the area of the lattice where  
the effect was first produced?

We would experience the effect/disturbance as something shifting  
through space (Unless, of course, our planet is uniquely and  
permanently aligned to the lattice, in which case somebody needs  
to inform the Pope that there was no need for all the  
backtracking that has gone on since Galileo was ticked off for  
suggesting otherwise - not an entirely facetious point since  
Joseph Ratzinger was formerly head of The Congregation for the  
Doctrine of the Faith, the successor organisation to The Roman  
Inquisition to which Galileo was denounced for his  
Copernicanism. As Tyco Brahe might have observed, 'what goes  
around, comes around!').

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

## News Links - 09-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:13:38 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:13:38 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 09-10-11

News Links - 09-11-10

Giant Disc UFO Low Over Indiana College Campus  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/37hk5j6>

Whinmoor, Leeds UFO - 7th November 2010  
uk-ufo.co.uk  
<http://tinyurl.com/28ao7ga>

UFOs Sighted Over Road To Llanidloes  
Powys County Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/27736jy>

John Challis Hunts For UFOs Over Shropshire  
BBC News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2a5lpug>

Blue UFO Virginia Video  
NewsOXY  
<http://tinyurl.com/35s54wx>

Missile Launch California, Was It A Missile Or A UFO?  
Politics & World News  
<http://tinyurl.com/276pnht>

UFO In Virginia & Mystery Missile Launch Create Buzz  
Politics & World News  
<http://tinyurl.com/255hbgl>

UFO In The Sky Over Isle Of Arran, Scotland?  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/2urevpk>

North Of England Emerges As UFO Hotspot  
Metro  
<http://tinyurl.com/37htf77>

Kecksburg 'UFO' Continues To Draw Interest  
Connellsville Daily Courier  
<http://tinyurl.com/2f5xajq>

Big Interview: UFO Expert Richard Lawrence  
Fulham Chronicle  
<http://tinyurl.com/24ua2o5>

UFOs... Flying Saucers... Where Did It All Begin?  
The Blunt Blogger  
<http://tinyurl.com/276m26x>

From Scott Corrales' Inexplicata:

Mexico: Uncanny UFO Videos  
<http://tinyurl.com/273vnbw>

From 'The Norm':

Pentagon Can't Explain Apparent Mystery Plume Off California Coast - Video  
CNN  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dbgdqs>

LHC Creates Mini Big Bangs And Incredible Heat  
<http://tinyurl.com/25yxnrj>

Falling Brains Dept:

A Direct Correlation Between UFOs And Shares Of Lockheed Martin And Boeing?  
Minyanville.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2usrfv8>

Devastating New Damage To Coral From BP Disaster... But Extraterrestrials ...  
San Francisco Chronicle  
<http://tinyurl.com/25p7t9w>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:19:10 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 05:52:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:22:28 +0000  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

<snip>

>Well, Stan, it wasn't what you said, it was what you let people  
>believe. For years, I heard that you were a nuclear physicist.  
>The implication was that you had a Ph.D. in nuclear physics.  
>Recently, on Coast to Coast you were talking about meeting Carl  
>Sagan. As part of the interview, you sort of provided part of a  
>missing resume. Yeah, yeah, I know you always correct an  
>audience member when they call you Dr. Friedman.

I'm sure Mr. Friedman can defend himself, but I have to say the litany of charges brought by Kathy here is ambiguous at best and sloppy at worst. I don't say this because I agree with Mr. Friedman's position on the validity of the MJ-12 documents, or others. As earlier in this long thread I challenged him on that. Then I disappeared from the list because of health problems and a need to focus on my real job and two night classes I'm currently taking.

However, here is what Stanton Friedman says about his credentials in the introduction of my old hardcover copy of TOPSECRET/MAJIC:

"After the University of Chicago awarded me a masters degree in physics in 1956, I got a job at General Electric Aircraft Nuclear Propulsion Department in Evendale, just north of Cincinnati, Ohio." (First Marlowe & Company; 1996; Page 2)

I've seen him in numerous (usually poorly produced) video documentaries. I've read several of his other books. Not once did I see him misrepresent his academic credentials either verbally or in writing. Kathy, whatever ax to grind you might have regarding his promotion and promulgation of the likely forged MJ-12 documents (among others), your claim that he has misrepresented his credentials either by assertion or implication is not based in fact.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.



[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 21:45:34 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 05:54:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:31:00 +0000  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 10:59:24 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 14:04:55 -0500 (EST)  
>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>>From: Ray Dickenson<[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 17:13:24 -0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Sat, 06 Nov 2010 14:25:56 -0400  
>>>>>Archived: Sun, 07 Nov 2010 08:10:08 -0400  
>>>>>Subject: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>><snip>

>>>>>My analysis showed that the object was accompanied by a toroidal  
>>>>>optical effect, and where this torus crossed the rainbow, the  
>>>>>colors were shifted spatially. This suggested that the space  
>>>>>occupied by the torus had an altered index of refraction.

>>><snip>

>>>>>That's logical. An inertial-field, or even a high static field,  
>>>>>will change electron energies within it, thereby changing the  
>>>>>freqs - colors - of photons passing through that atmosphere or  
>>>>>emitted by it.

>>>Anyone contemplating fields around UFOs should consider the following  
>>>case of a field remaining after a UFO apparently had departed:

>>><http://www.brumac.8k.com/MagneticUFO/MagneticUFO.html>

>>In this very interesting case, a pulsating, magnetic field  
>>gradient with no apparent cause was able to linger for a day or  
>>more. It's almost as if the property of space were changed, and  
>>then slowly returned to normal. This would not be expected if  
>>the UFO propulsion system was based on a high-voltage static  
>>electric field, such as is needed to get the Biefeld-Brown  
>>effect.

>>It is unlikely that a contemporary physics theory could explain  
>>what was observed. Maybe less accepted theories based on the  
>>idea of an aether would be useful here. A magnetic field as a  
>>particular state of the aether might be able to persist after  
>>the magnetizing influence has gone.

>>For example, Stoyan Sarg's Basic Structure of Matter (BSM)

>>theory holds that space is filled with a lattice structure held  
>>together by a primeval force he calls supergravitation. Each  
>>node of the lattice has certain dynamic properties that account  
>>for things like light propagation and electric and magnetic  
>>fields. Perhaps the UFO made this lattice vibrate for a period  
>>of time after it left, and this ringing is the basis for the  
>>observed pulsating magnetic field.

>Does Sargoytchev posit his lattice as having some kind of  
>absolute positional property independently of matter and energy?

>If so, then this explanation would not work, surely, since the  
>movement of matter relative to the lattice would mean that the  
>persistence of the effect would not be localised to the  
>arrangement of matter occupying the area of the lattice where  
>the effect was first produced?

>We would experience the effect/disturbance as something shifting  
>through space (Unless, of course, our planet is uniquely and  
>permanently aligned to the lattice, in which case somebody needs  
>to inform the Pope that there was no need for all the  
>backtracking that has gone on since Galileo was ticked off for  
>suggesting otherwise - not an entirely facetious point since  
>Joseph Ratzinger was formerly head of The Congregation for the  
>Doctrine of the Faith, the successor organisation to The Roman  
>Inquisition to which Galileo was denounced for his  
>Copernicanism. As Tyco Brahe might have observed, 'what goes  
>around, comes around!').

Ha, you are right, Gerald, I had not thought it through. As I  
understand it, the lattice in the theory is an absolute frame of  
reference. Each existing galaxy grew its own lattice structure  
as it formed, and interfaced with adjacent galaxies. So the part  
of the lattice disturbed by the UFO would immediately be left  
behind as the earth moved on in its orbit.

A way to salvage this explanation is to propose that the  
disturbance moved like a wave through the stationary lattice in  
order to maintain its position relative to that particular  
location on earth. In the theory, the lattice is less dense than  
the structures that make up sub-atomic matter. Resistance to the  
acceleration of matter through the lattice accounts for inertia.  
The theory also says that a permanent magnetic line forms a  
closed loop in the lattice.

If the pulsating disturbance in the lattice made it more  
difficult for matter to move through it, it would have been  
anchored to the local matter such as the trees. Either the trees  
or the disturbance in the lattice would have had to move, and  
obviously the trees did not. If the disturbance in the lattice  
gradually lost energy to allow the trees to overcome the  
increased inertia, or to propagate through the lattice as the  
earth moved, the disturbance would have dissipated over time as  
was observed.

There are uncertainties with this explanation that would need to  
be worked out, but you get the general idea.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:24:03 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 06:06:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:22:28 +0000  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 04:24:49 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 16:29:02 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2010 09:12:33 -0400  
>>>>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>>>>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Subject: UFO Update: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2010 19:53:25 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2010 19:40:41 +0000  
>>>>>Subject: William Cooper Revisited

>>>>><snip>

>>>>>>You can all read, if you wish, Cooper's stance on this issue:

>>>>>>[www.thewatcherfiles.com/william\\_cooper.htm](http://www.thewatcherfiles.com/william_cooper.htm)

>>>>>>>However, what struck me was that lately I have been hearing the  
>>>>>>>very careful programming of the UFO investigators. The emphasis  
>>>>>>>of the most vocal ones is a stake in their being proven that  
>>>>>>>they were "right" all along. Not whether this massive program of  
>>>>>>>UFOs over large cities, serious reporting by the media, and  
>>>>>>>people on radio and TV programs are reinforcing the years of  
>>>>>>>programming.

>>>>>>>Hayakawa had told me that the public wasn't quite ready for the  
>>>>>>>"false flag" operation. But, that was back in the early 1990s.  
>>>>>>>What I am watching happening now seems to be the fulfillment of  
>>>>>>>that programming started in the 1950s.

>>>>>>>Where are the UFO researchers warning us to be careful? Dr.  
>>>>>>>Hawking tried to say something to the effect: careful what you  
>>>>>>>wish for. I am not saying contact isn't possible. I am just  
>>>>>>>saying let's not be naive.

>>>>>>>Let us not forget that Milton William Cooper lied big time about  
>>>>>>>himself and others. He had been a Hydraulics mechanic in the Air  
>>>>>>>Force with no college background so very unlikely he would  
>>>>>>>become the briefing officer for the CINCPAC.

>>>>I dug out a list of Admirals who had held that office. Changed  
>>>>the order and asked which one was his boss. He couldn't recall.

>>>>In his book he said I worked for the CIA. A total and complete  
>>>>lie... there was more. Why believe anything he said?

>>>Stan:

>>>I don't it is a good idea to bring up who's resume is a lie,  
>>>sort of close to the truth and those of the UFO community who  
>>>are absolutely truthful.

>>>Me? I have been around along time and have heard, after a  
>>>fashion and years later, a ufo researcher's real work resume.

>>>Your own comes to mind. Yes, you finally corrected the record.  
>>>But, that was years later, after you had been in the public eye.  
>>>That was your prerogative and, I am sure, you had your reasons.  
>>>I have put your reasons in a similar category to Don Schmitt's.

>>>Thank God I am just a plain old nobody that is usually discounted.

>>KK

>>Please tell us all what it is you found that I lied about and  
>>then years later corrected. I know I am getting older, but I  
>>surely have no recollection of such a situation. Sounds like a  
>>proclamation to me. Facts please.

>Well, Stan, it wasn't what you said, it was what you let people  
>believe. For years, I heard that you were a nuclear physicist.  
>The implication was that you had a Ph.D. in nuclear physics.  
>Recently, on Coast to Coast you were talking about meeting Carl  
>Sagan. As part of the interview, you sort of provided part of a  
>missing resume. Yeah, yeah, I know you always correct an  
>audience member when they call you Dr. Friedman.

Kathy you are terribly confused and misrepresenting what I said.

Carl was the one who, like a number of students at that time,  
was able to enter the college of the U. of Chicago after his  
sophomore year in High School in Rahway, NJ. A special program.

I finished High School, in the next town over, Linden, NJ and  
spent 2 years on a scholarship at Rutgers University in NJ and  
then was accepted on Scholarship in the Physics Dept. of the  
Div. of Physical Sciences at U. of C.

Carl and I were both born in 1934 and were classmates there for  
3 years in pretty much the same physics classes... We both  
received BS degrees Physics in 1955 and MScs in Physics in 1956.

I went to work in industry and he went to grad school in the  
Astronomy department at U..C.... I have never ever implied or  
stated that I had a PhD. My title in industry was nuclear  
physicist.

>During the Noory interview you stated that you were plucked from  
>high school - without stating what year - and became part of a  
>"special program".

I said no such thing

>Note that no details were provided. Like, what was the name of  
>the program. How would Sagan who was on his way to a degree in  
>astronomy and you be part of the same program.

Wrong again we were in the Physics Department.

>Then, during the interview, another gap. That one left out how  
>long you were in the special program and what degree you  
>received and from who knows what institution. Then, of course  
>there was the vague reference to your working in the nuclear  
>energy field. As a... what? For who?

Both Carl and I were in the physics Dept. from 1953 to 1956. I  
worked in industry as a nuclear Physicist for GE Aircraft  
Nuclear Propulsion Dept 1956-1959.

From 1959-1963 I worked as a nuclear physicist for Aerojet  
General Nucleonics (Space nuclear reactors, fusion propulsion,

soviet nuclear systems for space).

From 1963-1966 I worked for General Motors Allison Div as a Nuclear physicist on the Military Compact Reactor.

From 1966-1969 I worked for Westinghouse Astronuclear Lab. on nuclear rockets Short Haul at McDonnell Douglas trying to back engineer flying Saucers. 1970 short Haul at TRW systems on Pioneer RTGs.

>I think you assume everybody knows who you are and your >background. But, most of us know that isn't true and you, just >like many other researchers, prefer to leave it a mystery. It is >the reason I cited Don Schmitt's situation.

What a host of assumptions you have made. If you were curious, why didn't you ask? I would have sent you a detailed resume. I didn't know you were hiring nuclear physicists. Obviously you were wrong about Carl Sagan as well.

>And, besides, what does one's academic degree have to do with >one's ability to do thorough research. Cooper did dig deep for >information. Your playing a game with him about who he knew had >nothing to do with his information. Just as your lack of a Ph.D. >has nothing to do with your research.

He lied about me working for the CIA. He lied about being a briefing officer for CINCPAC.

>However:

>Leaving gaps in one's history? Does that mean the researcher >leaves huge gaps in their research? I would say that it does. To >that point, I was there, with Moore and Shandra when they found >out you leaped at the bait called MJ-12 without checking the >provenance of the so-called documents. Therefore, we could say >that you have a tendency in your research of creating a gap.

More nonsense.

As I have stated knowing provenance doesn't make documents genuine. Do you really expect me to rattle off my activities since 1956? Who cares?

Have you looked at the background sheet in the various MUFON Conference Proceedings? Were you trying to set records for jumping to conclusions? I have always spoken of 14 years in industry as a nuclear physicist.

>Just me, as a sideliner, observing people claiming to be >players.

No, Kathy, you are on the sideline jumping to false conclusions.

STF

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:23:10 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 06:08:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:22:28 +0000  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 04:24:49 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>Please tell us all what it is you found that I lied about and  
>>then years later corrected. I know I am getting older, but I  
>>surely have no recollection of such a situation. Sounds like a  
>>proclamation to me. Facts please.

>Well, Stan, it wasn't what you said, it was what you let people  
>believe. For years, I heard that you were a nuclear physicist.  
>The implication was that you had a Ph.D. in nuclear physics.  
>Recently, on Coast to Coast you were talking about meeting Carl  
>Sagan. As part of the interview, you sort of provided part of a  
>missing resume. Yeah, yeah, I know you always correct an  
>audience member when they call you Dr. Friedman.

>During the Noory interview you stated that you were plucked from  
>high school - without stating what year - and became part of a  
>"special program". Note that no details were provided. Like,  
>what was the name of the program. How would Sagan who was on his  
>way to a degree in astronomy and you be part of the same  
>program. Then, during the interview, another gap. That one left  
>out how long you were in the special program and what degree you  
>received and from who knows what institution. Then, of course  
>there was the vague reference to your working in the nuclear  
>energy field. As a... what? For who?

>I think you assume everybody knows who you are and your  
>background. But, most of us know that isn't true and you, just  
>like many other researchers, prefer to leave it a mystery. It is  
>the reason I cited Don Schmitt's situation.

>And, besides, what does one's academic degree have to do with  
>one's ability to do thorough research. Cooper did dig deep for  
>information. Your playing a game with him about who he knew had  
>nothing to do with his information. Just as your lack of a Ph.D.  
>has nothing to do with your research.

>However:

>Leaving gaps in one's history? Does that mean the researcher  
>leaves huge gaps in their research? I would say that it does.  
>To  
>that point, I was there, with Moore and Shandra when they found  
>out you leaped at the bait called MJ-12 without checking the  
>provenance of the so-called documents. Therefore, we could say  
>that you have a tendency in your research of creating a gap.

>Just me, as a sideliner, observing people claiming to be  
>players.

Anyone who has the 1968 Congressional Hearings text on their shelves can find the following biography on p.212, or go to

<http://files.ncas.org/ufosymposium/friedman.html>

Stanton T. Friedman

Born -- July 29,1934, Elizabeth, New Jersey.

B.Sc. -- Physics, M.Sc. -- Physics, University of Chicago 1955, 1956.

Since 1966 -- Westinghouse Astronuclear Laboratory, Pittsburgh; NERVA nuclear rocket Program -- Fellow Scientist concerned primarily with radiation shielding experiments and nuclear instrumentation.

1963-1966 -- Allison Division, General Motors, Indianapolis, Indiana. Military Compact Reactor program (responsible for all shielding aspects), magnetohydrodynamics, desalination, other projects.

1959-1963 -- Aerojet General Nucleonics, near San Francisco. Development of various nuclear systems for space and terrestrial applications; Fusion propulsion for space, consultant on radiation shielding.

1956-1959 -- General Electric, Aircraft Nuclear Propulsion Department, Cincinnati. Experimental and analytical aspects of radiation shielding for nuclear aircraft.

Mr. Friedman has a relatively unique background in advanced technology, having been actively involved in the development of all of the following advanced systems: nuclear aircraft, nuclear power for space, terrestrial nuclear power, nuclear rockets, fusion rockets.

Professional affiliations include the American Physical Society, the American Nuclear Society, the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, the Aerial Phenomenon Research Organization, the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena. Mr. Friedman is on the Board of Directors of the UFO Research Institute of Pittsburgh and on the Standards and Program Committees of the Shielding Division of the American Nuclear Society.

Mr. Friedman has presented papers at technical society meetings and has chaired sessions at such meetings. He has written numerous classified and unclassified reports and has published articles on UFOs as well as on radiation shielding.

Mr. Friedman has made dozens of radio and TV appearances across the United States and in Canada. These include the Joe Pyne Show (Los Angeles - radio), Long John Nebel (New York City), the J. P. McCarthy Show in Detroit, all four TV stations in Pittsburgh, and others in Raleigh, Akron, Detroit, Baltimore, Toronto, Waco, Phoenix, Calgary, Albuquerque, etc.

Mr. Friedman, his wife, and three children reside at 702 Summerlea Street in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

----

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## News Links - 10-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 07:08:42 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 07:08:42 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 10-11-10

News Links - 10-11-10

The 'Mystery Missile': Plane, Secret Test, Backyard Project, UFO?

Google Aggregation Of 1,792 Related Articles  
<http://tinyurl.com/27qlgiz>

The Pentagon Speaks About UFO Over California

The Voice of Russia  
<http://tinyurl.com/3ytrwd4>

Christchurch [NZ] Man Sees UFO

The Press  
<http://tinyurl.com/2adjhd3>

Brit Housewife Is UFO Magnet

The Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/36exxj3>

North Of England Dubbed UFO Hotspot

The Press, York  
<http://tinyurl.com/36kr6d2>

Is This A UFO Over Denver? - Video

Westword  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ectekz>

Man Who Has DNA Evidence Of Abduction By Blonde Female Asian Aliens!

Kyrgyzstan News  
<http://tinyurl.com/35pa6hb>

My Dad The UFO Expert

io9  
<http://tinyurl.com/2uedzkc>

Filer's Files #46 - 2010

<http://tinyurl.com/28jeyej>

Terence Mckenna =97 Flying Saucer [Audio]

Real Alien UFO Videos.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/26t3pyb>

UFOs And The The Ancient Site Of Nazca, Peru=85

The Blunt Blogger  
<http://tinyurl.com/3x774rt>

Now Is The Time For A UFO Sighting

UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/3ybbaub>

Top Alien Invasion Movies

Canoe.Ca  
<http://tinyurl.com/2g2ru8o>

=46rom Scott Corrales' Inexplicata:

More On The Chicoana Argentina Crop Circles  
<http://tinyurl.com/37mt9jk>

=46rom 'The Norm':

Physicists Take Quantum Weirdness Out Of The Lab  
ScienceNews.Org  
<http://tinyurl.com/3yhtrfp>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 11:42:55 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 07:10:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:31:00 +0000  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 10:59:24 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

<snip>

>>For example, Stoyan Sarg's Basic Structure of Matter (BSM)  
>>theory holds that space is filled with a lattice structure held  
>>together by a primeval force he calls supergravitation. Each  
>>node of the lattice has certain dynamic properties that account  
>>for things like light propagation and electric and magnetic  
>>fields. Perhaps the UFO made this lattice vibrate for a period  
>>of time after it left, and this ringing is the basis for the  
>>observed pulsating magnetic field.

>Does Sargoytchev posit his lattice as having some kind of  
>absolute positional property independently of matter and  
>energy?

>If so, then this explanation would not work, surely, since the  
>movement of matter relative to the lattice would mean that the  
>persistence of the effect would not be localised to the  
>arrangement of matter occupying the area of the lattice where  
>the effect was first produced?

>We would experience the effect/disturbance as something  
>shifting  
>through space

I think Gerald is correct, if the answer to his question is  
"yes, a space lattice like this would be equivalent to Newtonian  
absolute space or the local background spacetime of string  
theories". To make a workable theory of this type requires that  
the theory of the particle trajectories is truly background-  
independent.

In my opinion it is helpful to put this a different way: the  
particle trajectories themselves are to contain or embody all of  
the information that would be required of the background space.  
I think this demands that the lattice be a nonlocal lattice, and  
that "particles" be nonlocally identified (in an underlying  
theory) both with their trajectories and with the lattice.

As a hostage to fortune I'll predict that this will turn out to  
mean that the discreteness of space(time) is only locally and  
emergently a matter of volume, area or length, quantisation  
being more fundamentally a matter of direction - thus radial  
angle, linking QG to the phase space of QM and spin. Of course I  
only partially understand what I mean by that ;-)

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 08:30:03 -0600  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:40:58 -0400  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:19:10 -0500  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:22:28 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

<snip>

>>Well, Stan, it wasn't what you said, it was what you let people  
>>believe. For years, I heard that you were a nuclear physicist.  
>>The implication was that you had a Ph.D. in nuclear physics.  
>>Recently, on Coast to Coast you were talking about meeting Carl  
>>Sagan. As part of the interview, you sort of provided part of a  
>>missing resume. Yeah, yeah, I know you always correct an  
>>audience member when they call you Dr. Friedman.

>I'm sure Mr. Friedman can defend himself, but I have to say the  
>litany of charges brought by Kathy here is ambiguous at best and  
>sloppy at worst.

<snip>

The notion that Stan's resume is exaggerated, distorted, or  
otherwise in doubt is ludicrous on its face.

For many years Stan locked horns with the fanatically UFOphobic  
Philip J. Klass, who stopped at nothing to expose the weaknesses  
and vulnerabilities, real or imagined, of those who challenged  
him. If Stan's professional claims were in any way less than  
strictly accurate (and I'm certain that Klass, habitual digger  
for dirt, privately investigated them), we would have known that  
long since. Klass would have announced as much as much every  
time he found himself in front of a microphone or he attached  
his by-line to yet another expose of the shifty character of UFO  
proponents.

If memory serves, the best Klass managed to come up with was the  
less-than-explosive charge that Stan wasn't currently working  
as a nuclear physicist.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:36:25 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 10:01:17 -0400  
Subject: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

Just when you thought we knew everything about the Milky Way we find out the udder truth:

<http://tinyurl.com/2ehxre3>

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

## Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 16:38:52 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 12:15:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:36:25 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>Just when you thought we knew everything about the Milky Way we  
>find out the udder truth:

><http://tinyurl.com/2ehxre3>

And this, from the piece in question, baffles me:

"Astronomers' best guess is that the bubbles were created by an eruption from a supersized black hole at the centre of our galaxy."

So how does gas escape from a black hole, especially a 'supersized' (would that count as a 'Big Black'?) one?

Probably the terminology is just loose, but still, these kind of statements are perplexing.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 17:28:46 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 06:00:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 11:42:55 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:31:00 +0000  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 10:59:24 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

><snip>

>>>For example, Stoyan Sarg's Basic Structure of Matter (BSM)  
>>>theory holds that space is filled with a lattice structure held  
>>>together by a primeval force he calls supergravitation. Each  
>>>node of the lattice has certain dynamic properties that account  
>>>for things like light propagation and electric and magnetic  
>>>fields. Perhaps the UFO made this lattice vibrate for a period  
>>>of time after it left, and this ringing is the basis for the  
>>>observed pulsating magnetic field.

>>Does Sargoytchev posit his lattice as having some kind of  
>>absolute positional property independently of matter and  
>>energy?

>>If so, then this explanation would not work, surely, since the  
>>movement of matter relative to the lattice would mean that the  
>>persistence of the effect would not be localised to the  
>>arrangement of matter occupying the area of the lattice where  
>>the effect was first produced?

>>We would experience the effect/disturbance as something  
>>shifting  
>>through space

>I think Gerald is correct, if the answer to his question is  
>"yes, a space lattice like this would be equivalent to Newtonian  
>absolute space or the local background spacetime of string  
>theories". To make a workable theory of this type requires that  
>the theory of the particle trajectories is truly background-  
>independent.

>In my opinion it is helpful to put this a different way: the  
>particle trajectories themselves are to contain or embody all of  
>the information that would be required of the background space.  
>I think this demands that the lattice be a nonlocal lattice, and  
>that "particles" be nonlocally identified (in an underlying  
>theory) both with their trajectories and with the lattice.

>As a hostage to fortune I'll predict that this will turn out to  
>mean that the discreteness of space(time) is only locally and  
>emergently a matter of volume, area or length, quantisation  
>being more fundamentally a matter of direction - thus radial  
>angle, linking QG to the phase space of QM and spin. Of course I

>only partially understand what I mean by that ;-)

Thanks for that elegant clarification Martin.

Our informal conceptual framework for discussing such radical fundamentals isn't very helpful is it? My problem is: I can't conceive of 'direction' without assuming a pre-existing 'framework' (for want of a better term) that involves volume, area and length. So it feels like a bit of a 'cheat' to call on the concept of direction to explain an idea of quantisation that gives rise to local space/time as we experience it. In my mind, at least, the same problem occurs with the terms 'radial angle' and 'spin'.

I'm not complaining. Ignoring the obvious explanation (which is that I just don't 'get it'), I think the problem is inevitable. There must come a point in laying bare these radical fundamentals where our terminology breaks down and we find ourselves having to pull ourselves up by our own bootstraps. Maybe that's what the universe is having to do all the time...

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 17:52:15 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 06:03:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 16:38:52 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:36:25 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>><http://tinyurl.com/2ehxre3>  
>And this, from the piece in question, baffles me:

>"Astronomers' best guess is that the bubbles were created by an  
>eruption from a supersized black hole at the centre of our  
>galaxy."

>So how does gas escape from a black hole, especially a  
>'supersized' (would that count as a 'Big Black'?) one?

Ha! Right Gerald,

You've just picked-up on a science cover-up. The initial  
'sexy/scary' claim of black holes defined them as having  
infinite mass/density and so infinite 'gravity' and so an 'event  
horizon' that nothing could escape and so they were  
'singularities' in space/time.

So far, none of those attributes have been observed in the  
visible universe but the mainstream scientists are loth to lose  
a 'sexy/scary' fund-raiser. So they've been busy 'redefining'  
putative black holes until now they claim their existence from  
almost any indications of high mass/density, like galactic  
cores, neutron stars, quasars etc.

However there's an obstreporous bunch of younger physicists  
who've dared to say "Black Holes don't exist" - and, for several  
reasons (of basic physics), I fully agree with them.

Cheers  
Ray D

BTW - long time ago, after reading that 'Brief History' - I  
wrote to Hawking asking a couple of pointed questions about his  
use of the word 'infinite' (and therefore of the 'singularity'  
concept), but his secretary wrote back claiming he was too ill  
to answer. He never did.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: **Eleanor White** <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 15:26:12 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 06:04:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 16:38:52 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

<snip>

>"Astronomers' best guess is that the bubbles were created by an  
>eruption from a supersized black hole at the centre of our  
>galaxy."

>So how does gas escape from a black hole, especially a  
>'supersized' (would that count as a 'Big Black'?) one?

Pure speculation, but maybe matter eventually explodes, once  
enough of it gets crammed into a black hole

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 01:41:45 -0700  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 06:06:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 16:38:52 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:36:25 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>>Just when you thought we knew everything about the Milky Way we  
>>find out the udder truth:

>><http://tinyurl.com/2ehxre3>

>And this, from the piece in question, baffles me:

>"Astronomers' best guess is that the bubbles were created by an  
>eruption from a supersized black hole at the centre of our  
>galaxy."

>So how does gas escape from a black hole, especially a  
>'supersized' (would that count as a 'Big Black'?) one?

>Probably the terminology is just loose, but still, these kind of  
>statements are perplexing.

Hi Gerald,

While not an expert on black hole dynamics, I can say that infalling material is not actually 'lost' to outside observers until it crosses the event horizon radius, which can be large indeed (some millions of kilometers in the case of the Milky Way central black hole Sgr A\*). There can be quite a ruckus visible \*outside\* the horizon, with colliding infalling material generating tremendous heat and pressure. The result can be an 'eruption' of outward flowing matter and radiation, generally focused into jets aligned with the hole's magnetic field.

Assuming the image in the article reflects the actual data, I am struck by the precise alignment perpendicular to the galactic plane, although I can see how the angular momentum of a central black hole would be dominated by that captured from infalling material, and hence (over long timescales) acquire the general orientation of the galactic angular momentum.

The alignment was fortunate in any case, as I imagine had we been in the path of one of these gamma-ray 'lobes', it would have been a rough ride indeed. The article indicates that these features are "thought to be millions of years old", which gives me the impression (via the Principle of Least Astonishment and the age of the galaxy) that such outbursts must be fairly common in the Milky Way's history, whether or not they derive from black hole accretion.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 21:21:20 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 06:11:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 06:06:23 -0400  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:24:03 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:22:28 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 04:24:49 -0800 (PST)  
>>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

<snip>

>>>Please tell us all what it is you found that I lied about and  
>>>then years later corrected. I know I am getting older, but I  
>>>surely have no recollection of such a situation. Sounds like a  
>>>proclamation to me. Facts please.

>>Well, Stan, it wasn't what you said, it was what you let people  
>>believe. For years, I heard that you were a nuclear physicist.  
>>The implication was that you had a Ph.D. in nuclear physics.  
>>Recently, on Coast to Coast you were talking about meeting Carl  
>>Sagan. As part of the interview, you sort of provided part of a  
>>missing resume. Yeah, yeah, I know you always correct an  
>>audience member when they call you Dr. Friedman.

>Kathy you are terribly confused and misrepresenting what I said.

>Carl was the one who, like a number of students at that time,  
>was able to enter the college of the U. of Chicago after his  
>sophomore year in High School in Rahway, NJ. A special program.

>I finished High School, in the next town over, Linden, NJ and  
>spent 2 years on a scholarship at Rutgers University in NJ and  
>then was accepted on Scholarship in the Physics Dept. of the  
>Div. of Physical Sciences at U. of C.

>Carl and I were both born in 1934 and were classmates there for  
>3 years in pretty much the same physics classes... We both  
>received BS degrees Physics in 1955 and MScs in Physics in 1956.

>I went to work in industry and he went to grad school in the  
>Astronomy department at U..C.... I have never ever implied or  
>stated that I had a PhD. My title in industry was nuclear  
>physicist.

>>During the Noory interview you stated that you were plucked from  
>>high school - without stating what year - and became part of a  
>>"special program".

>I said no such thing

>>Note that no details were provided. Like, what was the name of  
>>the program. How would Sagan who was on his way to a degree in  
>>astronomy and you be part of the same program.

>Wrong again we were in the Physics Department.

>>Then, during the interview, another gap. That one left out how  
>>long you were in the special program and what degree you  
>>received and from who knows what institution. Then, of course  
>>there was the vague reference to your working in the nuclear  
>>energy field. As a... what? For who?

>Both Carl and I were in the physics Dept. from 1953 to 1956. I  
>worked in industry as a nuclear Physicist for GE Aircraft  
>Nuclear Propulsion Dept 1956-1959.

>>From 1959-1963 I worked as a nuclear physicist for Aerojet  
>General Nucleonics (Space nuclear reactors, fusion propulsion,  
>soviet nuclear systems for space).

>>From 1963-1966 I worked for General Motors Allison Div as a  
>Nuclear physicist on the Military Compact Reactor.

>>From 1966-1969 I worked for Westinghouse Astronuclear Lab. on  
>nuclear rockets Short Haul at McDonnell Douglas trying to back  
>engineer flying Saucers. 1970 short Haul at TRW systems on  
>Pioneer RTGs.

>>I think you assume everybody knows who you are and your  
>>background. But, most of us know that isn't true and you, just  
>>like many other researchers, prefer to leave it a mystery. It is  
>>the reason I cited Don Schmitt's situation.

>What a host of assumptions you have made. If you were curious,  
>why didn't you ask? I would have sent you a detailed resume. I  
>didn't know you were hiring nuclear physicists. Obviously  
>you were wrong about Carl Sagan as well.

>>And, besides, what does one's academic degree have to do with  
>>one's ability to do thorough research. Cooper did dig deep for  
>>information. Your playing a game with him about who he knew had  
>>nothing to do with his information. Just as your lack of a Ph.D.  
>>has nothing to do with your research.

>He lied about me working for the CIA. He lied about being a  
>briefing officer for CINCPAC.

>>However:

>>Leaving gaps in one's history? Does that mean the researcher  
>>leaves huge gaps in their research? I would say that it does. To  
>>that point, I was there, with Moore and Shandra when they found  
>>out you leaped at the bait called MJ-12 without checking the  
>>provenance of the so-called documents. Therefore, we could say  
>>that you have a tendency in your research of creating a gap.

>More nonsense.

>As I have stated knowing provenance doesn't make documents  
>genuine. Do you really expect me to rattle off my activities  
>since 1956? Who cares?

>Have you looked at the background sheet in the various MUFON  
>Conference Proceedings? Were you trying to set records for  
>jumping to conclusions? I have always spoken of 14 years in  
>industry as a nuclear physicist.

>>Just me, as a sideliner, observing people claiming to be  
>>players.

>No, Kathy, you are on the sideline jumping to false conclusions.

Thanks, Stan for clarifying your background and filling in the  
gaps. I really do appreciate it and maybe Wikipedia can do an  
update of your resume.

There were no conclusions being jumped to. As I stated, I  
remembered a conversation with Jamie and Bill wherein they were  
reacting to the fact that you never questioned the provenance of

the so-called MJ-12 documents. I am only stating that they were reacting to the fact that you leaped over the obvious and started researching the "documents." If you would have thought about it, I am sure you would have put two and two together, and figure out where they came from, and saved yourself and the many other researchers the trouble of thinking they were important.

As I suggested, regarding the above information, if he'll talk to you, is to contact Bill Moore. Perhaps, he will remember our conversations. The Secret Word to get him to do that is: Panda Cave in West L.A.

BTW, if you think conclusions being jumped to, in the future, may I suggest you provide all the pertinent facts and details surrounding the point you are trying to make.

And, yes, I remember very well your claim that you were "plucked" from high school for a special program. I made notes during the interview. You know that old adage about women: they remember everything. Just to make sure that I do, I take notes.

Much of the time, I think you want do whatever it takes to get your audience to love and accept what your are saying as the truth. You do whatever it takes takes to receive the allocations of love from the your public audience. There is nothing wrong with that, it is how many politicians and leaders operate.

Last comment: this was a conversation just between you and me. Everyone else was welcomed to read our comments to each other, but I do not feel compelled to read and response to them. Okay?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 21:53:46 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 06:13:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:36:25 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>Just when you thought we knew everything about the Milky Way we  
>find out the udder truth:

><http://tinyurl.com/2ehxre3>

At last Tuesday's meeting of the Sonora Astronomical Society, our guest speaker was Greg Doppmann of NOAO in Tucson. (NOAO=National Optical Astronomy Observatory) The title of his talk was "Decoding Starlight with Infrared Spectroscopy."

After providing an explanation of how infrared spectroscopy works, including details about absorption lines, etc. During the Q&A, an audience member asked Doppmann how exciting a particle to the next level, and then letting it slow back down its original path/order with the only the emitted photon as the evidence of the change was measured. A long ten minute, very convoluted explanation was attempted. At the end of Doppmann's attempt, I muttered "very tricky," and Doppmann stated "very esoteric." So, whether there are planets in the Goldilocks region of the cosmos is depended on absorption lines in a spectrographic photo of the area. Doppmann's bottom line was that we assume that physical laws are the same throughout the universe. My comment was, "how do we know that's true until was get to another part of the universe."

Oh, and the new term for cosmic vapor is gaseous water. I think that is very funny.

Last night, on CtoC, I was listening to someone named Barr positing that the physical laws we know may be true only for this solar system. His opinion was based on the fact that the solar system is comprised of planets specific to this solar system that act on each other. Other systems in other parts of the galaxy have systems different from ours. We might get beyond the boundaries of our solar system and find that universe does not operate the same everywhere.

We live in interesting times that call for thinking outside of the box created by nineteenth century understanding of physics.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: Paul Scott Anderson <[paulscottanderson.nul](mailto:paulscottanderson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 14:26:17 -0800  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 06:16:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 16:38:52 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>And this, from the piece in question, baffles me:

>"Astronomers' best guess is that the bubbles were created by an  
>eruption from a supersized black hole at the centre of our  
>galaxy."

>So how does gas escape from a black hole, especially a  
>'supersized' (would that count as a 'Big Black'?) one?

>Probably the terminology is just loose, but still, these kind of  
>statements are perplexing.

Jets of material are already known to erupt from massive black holes in the centres of other galaxies. Two examples of other reports from ScienceNews and Space.com about these bubbles where this is mentioned:

<http://tinyurl.com/33h6no9>

"Theorist David Spergel of Princeton University said that he suspects the central black hole, as massive as 4 million suns, is the energy source. Supermassive black holes at the centers of other galaxies are known to drive winds and jets so powerful that they expel heavy elements and gas from the galaxies. The new observations "suggest that our own Milky Way may [also] be driving material outwards," he said."

<http://tinyurl.com/2ehg4vp>

"Astronomers have observed powerful jets blasting from the supermassive black holes in other galaxies. These jets are fueled by matter falling into the black hole."These monsters, once fed, can produce very powerful explosions," said David Spergel, chair of Princeton University's astrophysics department."

Paul

-----  
The Meridiani Journal  
a chronicle of planetary exploration  
[themeridianijournal.com](http://themeridianijournal.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced



without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## News Links - 11-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 07:53:15 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 07:53:15 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 11-11-10

News Links - 11-11-10

Centerville UFO Seen Over Boston  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/2elv3gc>

UFO Pictured Hovering Over Railway Track In Dorset, UK  
UFO Digest  
<http://tinyurl.com/26p7gmV>

Chinese Flying Saucer Videoed  
desivideonetwork.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/337web7>

Red Light Covered UFO Flies Over Purdue University  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/2afk38j>

Irresponsible Reporting By Mainstream Media Associates UFOs And  
Aliens With Missile-Like Sightings  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/232hxf6>

No Cash For UFO Hunter  
NTNews.com.au  
<http://tinyurl.com/27jg5fd>

The Inside Scoop On UFOs  
Banbury Cake  
<http://tinyurl.com/34yrdfe>

First SW Fla. UFO Meeting Highlights Capri Mystery  
Marco Island Sun Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/2c477a3>

Brit Housewife Is UFO Magnet After 17 Encounters With Aliens  
Sify News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ehgld>

7 Things That Create Great Space Hoaxes  
LiveScience.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ecabee>

UFOs In The Earth's Orbit Sighted By Astronauts...  
The Blunt Blogger  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ebcgdv>

Aliens Prepare To Invade Theatres Again  
Brockville Recorder and Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/23j7w86>

From 'The Norm':

Examining Official Explanation Of The Mystery 'Missile'

by Benjamin Radford  
<http://tinyurl.com/23on8a7>

Falling Brains Dept:

Cult Distances Itself From Miami Deaths  
UPI.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/36f8wxd>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## BBC Radio Suffolk & Rendlesham Again

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 12:41:51 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 08:13:36 -0500  
Subject: BBC Radio Suffolk & Rendlesham Again

Dear Colleagues,

Download links for audios (Other data in the text after the links):

Week #1 Prelude show for BBC Rendlesham Special - Nov. 1 to Nov. 6, 2010

Prelude Show #1

- this one was already sent in my previous report

Broadcast: November 1, 2010

Station: BBC Suffolk

Show: Mark Murphy Breakfast

Download link for audio:

<http://tinyurl.com/3yhvuxj>

First big prelude show. Lots of announcements and many comments with recorded survey from streets - Portion of Charles Halt's tape broadcast - Listener calls in - Interview with Terry Hunt, editor from East Anglian Daily Times - Interview with presenter David Whiteley from BBC Inside Out Show.

Prelude Show #2

Broadcast: November 2, 2010

Station: BBC Suffolk

Show: Mark Murphy Breakfast

Download link for audio:

<http://tinyurl.com/3yv5lnp>

Announcements for BBC special.

Prelude Show #3

Broadcast: November 3, 2010

Station: BBC Suffolk

Show: Mark Murphy Breakfast

Download link for audio:

<http://tinyurl.com/2vmfzj2>

Announcements for BBC special.

Prelude Show #4

Broadcast: November 4, 2010

Station: BBC Suffolk

Show: Mark Murphy Breakfast

Download link for audio:

<http://tinyurl.com/339mpb4>

Portion of Charles Halt's Tape broadcast - Special interview with Philip Mantle, editor of UFO Matrix magazin.

Prelude Show #5

Broadcast: November 6, 2010

Station: BBC Suffolk

Show: Mark Murphy Breakfast

Download link for audio:

<http://tinyurl.com/34pfj74>

Announcements for BBC special - Portion of Charles Halt Tape broadcast - Interview with Terry Hunt, editor from East Anglian Daily Times (rerun from November 1)

Bonus Audio material:

Promo #1

Download link for audio:  
<http://tinyurl.com/385tpcr>

Promo #2

Download link for audio:  
<http://tinyurl.com/33k4td5>

After my report on first prelude show for BBC special about Rendlesham - broadcast on November 1st on BBC Suffolk inside Mark Murphy Breakfast show - I was curious to hear will there be more announcements in the upcoming shows. It seems that Host Mark Murphy is trying hard to keep the anticipation for the special. In fact they have even created two jingles for radio special so far. As I started to listen BBC Suffolk more and more, I could hear that jingles are running during the whole day in other shows too. So it seems they are actually trying to make this as a radio event. So to remind everyone once more - radio host Mark Murphy has stated that he is preparing special show on Rendlesham that will be broadcast from Bentwaters Cold War Museum on December 17th. It is a joined project between BBC Suffolk and newspaper East Anglian Daily Times with their goal to "resolve the mystery" as they say.

I have processed first 18 hours of radio shows. Every breakfast show is running for 180 minutes and it airs from Monday to Saturday each week.

I have extracted only Rendlesham references for Week #1 of prelude shows (broadcast from November 1 to 6) - shows without Rendlesham references are ignored. The list of download link is available on the beginning of this message.

I will continue to monitor the situation and report accordingly.

Best Wishes

Giuliano Marinkovic

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:47:42 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 11:34:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 17:52:15 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 16:38:52 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 09:36:25 -0500 (EST)  
>>>Subject: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>>><http://tinyurl.com/2ehxre3>  
>>And this, from the piece in question, baffles me:

>>"Astronomers' best guess is that the bubbles were created by an  
>>eruption from a supersized black hole at the centre of our  
>>galaxy."

>>So how does gas escape from a black hole, especially a  
>>'supersized' (would that count as a 'Big Black'?) one?

>Ha! Right Gerald,

>You've just picked-up on a science cover-up. The initial  
>'sexy/scary' claim of black holes defined them as having  
>infinite mass/density and so infinite 'gravity' and so an  
>'event horizon' that nothing could escape and so they were  
>'singularities' in space/time.

The true gravitational singularity (if it occurs) is not at the event horizon, Ray, but inside it. All of the well-observed violent cosmic activity which has been attributed to black holes happens outside the event horizon, due to huge energies where infalling material approaches the horizon radius.

If you've read those "sexy/scary claims" about blackholes made by Hawking (as you said you did) and by Penrose (whom you so often like to quote) then you must at least have heard of the Penrose-Hawking singularity theorems? According to these, singularities are inevitable inside blackhole horizonss (not outside). And you will also know about the Penrose "cosmic censorship" hypothesis, according to which there cannot be naked singularities (i.e., outside event horizons). So who exactly is covering what, and why?

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:48:59 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 11:36:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 17:28:46 +0000  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 11:42:55 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

<snip>

>>As a hostage to fortune I'll predict that this will turn out to  
>>mean that the discreteness of space(time) is only locally and  
>>emergently a matter of volume, area or length, quantisation  
>>being more fundamentally a matter of direction - thus radial  
>>angle, linking QG to the phase space of QM and spin. Of course  
>>I only partially understand what I mean by that ;-)

>Thanks for that elegant clarification Martin.

>Our informal conceptual framework for discussing such radical  
>fundamentals isn't very helpful is it? My problem is: I can't  
>conceive of 'direction' without assuming a pre-existing  
>'framework' (for want of a better term) that involves volume,  
>area and length. So it feels like a bit of a 'cheat' to call on  
>the concept of direction to explain an idea of quantisation that  
>gives rise to local space/time as we experience it. In my mind,  
>at least, the same problem occurs with the terms 'radial angle'  
>and 'spin'.

Ah, but the point is, Gerald, that a discretist theory of this  
type turns the interpretive order upside down. One is not to  
understand quantised spin in terms of direction in local space,  
rather one is to envisage the indefinite number of values of  
radial direction in continuous 4-space as being emergent from  
superposition of an indefinite number of instantiations of a  
more primitive quantum "direction" variable that has only two  
values.

This would be like a type of statistical mechanics, the  
averaging over of some vary large number of interacting objects.  
Recovered in some approximation to ideal abstraction from the  
system in which it is embedded, each nonlocal binary object (a  
scale-free, mass-free supersymmetric object, neither yet fermion  
nor boson) can be made to reveal this primitive spin up/spin  
down character. Quantised spin can be seen as like a confined  
standing wave which superposes positive-going and negative-going  
cancelled amplitudes to give a flat string, and this string is  
both the relativistically-null spin-one (boson) signal line  
between two spin-half (fermion) electrons and the completed  
"handshake" of a TIQM-type theory of quantum electrodynamics  
(c.f. John Cramer).

In isolation this unit object can be thought of as "unit scale"  
(having as yet no specified real scale) in a pre-metrical  
theory space, or the basis state of emergent real scale. The  
smallest ensemble representation of this quantum unit (or call  
it a "binit") produces rudimentary rotations of phase through



360 deg on a 2-space slice (which relates the idea to holographic theories of cosmology which represent the cosmos on a 2-surface from which 3- and 4-volumes are projections), but the complete phase space of all N such unit objects is N-dimensional, superposing N spin spaces. So the underlying quantum structure of the real space would reify the infinite-dimensional mathematical structure of the Hilbert space, but with an additional displacement into sqrtN separate graph origins, making a many-centred network of sqrtN interpermeating Hilbert sub-spaces separated by emergent real scale.

The unit-scale basis state of real scale survives in QED in the primitive Pauli exclusion to which electron separation reduces in the atomic limit. Outside the atom there is an indefinite spectrum of much larger local real scales, each of which contains a ghost of its nonlocal basis state inflated over any arbitrary interval and preserved as quantum entanglement.

The emergence of real scale would occur when the whole ensemble (exhaustively interconnected as a complete graph) goes over into a classical system, in which all unit objects rotate through "arbitrary" real 4-angle instead of quantised 2-angle. In this process the supersymmetry of the unit objects is broken into boson and fermion representations, typified in QED by the photon null signal line between two electrons.

Of course, it is only an idealisation to say that this spacetime is smoothly covered by an indefinite number of possible trajectories. In fact real photon trajectories are restricted by our sqrtN terminal electron positions, an indefinite but not necessarily infinite number. So (for QED) emergent radial direction for any electron is the set of all possible photon directions defined by the network of N other electrons on its "celestial sphere".

What does this imply? Well, it implies that the distribution of real directions on this "sky" is for no one particle perfectly isotropic, and the distributions are for no two particles identical. So - what about mass? Mass must clearly be emergent too, donated in a Machian sense by the ensemble. I suggest that the gravitational and inertial mass arises as a constraint due to the nonlocal ghosts of unit-scale preserved on all these radial directions, which behave like ideally-rigid incompressible objects all exerting (reciprocally) unit compression force (the same unit-incompressibility recoverable in the limit as Pauli exclusion). A differential constraint occurs because the distribution of radial directions is not isotropic, and the map of all these differences becomes the map of cosmic mass action. Think of this as resembling a nonlocal version of the old LeSage theory combined with a nonlocal version of a Higgs-type theory - inertial and gravitational mass being donated not by a local system of impeding particles in space extraneous to the fermions, but by a constrain intrinsic to the underlying supersymmetric partnerships among those fermions themselves.

This all means that there is an indefinite number of possible directions which are not realised at a given instant - these are virtual directions which could exist, and whose non-existence as it were encodes collectively the actual pattern of directions which does exist. Differing mathematical ways of representing this virtual smoothness allow us to model, on the one hand, the continuous sheet of cosmic spacetime and, on the other, the virtual particle-filled quantum vacuum. A successful network theory would (I like to think) be able to produce both of these types of mathematical models as limits of an underlying discretist model.

I think I'd better leave it at that before my brain explodes. I hope it helps to explain my thinking, however strange it may seem ; -)

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 12:55:30 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 17:20:47 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:48:59 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 17:28:46 +0000  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 11:42:55 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>><snip>

>>>As a hostage to fortune I'll predict that this will turn out to  
>>>mean that the discreteness of space(time) is only locally and  
>>>emergently a matter of volume, area or length, quantisation  
>>>being more fundamentally a matter of direction - thus radial  
>>>angle, linking QG to the phase space of QM and spin. Of course  
>>>I only partially understand what I mean by that ;-)

>>Thanks for that elegant clarification Martin.

>>Our informal conceptual framework for discussing such radical  
>>fundamentals isn't very helpful is it? My problem is: I can't  
>>conceive of 'direction' without assuming a pre-existing  
>>'framework' (for want of a better term) that involves volume,  
>>area and length. So it feels like a bit of a 'cheat' to call on  
>>the concept of direction to explain an idea of quantisation that  
>>gives rise to local space/time as we experience it. In my mind,  
>>at least, the same problem occurs with the terms 'radial angle'  
>>and 'spin'.

>Ah, but the point is, Gerald, that a discretist theory of this  
>type turns the interpretive order upside down. One is not to  
>understand quantised spin in terms of direction in local space,  
>rather one is to envisage the indefinite number of values of  
>radial direction in continuous 4-space as being emergent from  
>superposition of an indefinite number of instantiations of a  
>more primitive quantum "direction" variable that has only two  
>values.

>This would be like a type of statistical mechanics, the  
>averaging over of some vary large number of interacting objects.  
>Recovered in some approximation to ideal abstraction from the  
>system in which it is embedded, each nonlocal binary object (a  
>scale-free, mass-free supersymmetric object, neither yet fermion  
>nor boson) can be made to reveal this primitive spin up/spin  
>down character. Quantised spin can be seen as like a confined  
>standing wave which superposes positive-going and negative-going  
>cancelled amplitudes to give a flat string, and this string is  
>both the relativistically-null spin-one (boson) signal line  
>between two spin-half (fermion) electrons and the completed  
>"handshake" of a TIQM-type theory of quantum electrodynamics  
>(c.f. John Cramer).

>In isolation this unit object can be thought of as "unit scale"  
>(having as yet \_no\_ specified real scale) in a pre-metrical  
>theory space, or the \_basis state\_ of emergent real scale. The  
>smallest ensemble representation of this quantum unit (or call  
>it a "binit") produces rudimentary rotations of phase through  
>360 deg on a 2-space slice (which relates the idea to  
>holographic theories of cosmology which represent the cosmos on  
>a 2-surface from which 3- and 4-volumes are projections), but  
>the complete phase space of all N such unit objects is N-  
>dimensional, superposing N spin spaces. So the underlying  
>quantum structure of the real space would reify the infinite-  
>dimensional mathematical structure of the Hilbert space, but  
>with an additional displacement into  $\sqrt{N}$  separate graph  
>origins, making a many-centred network of  $\sqrt{N}$  interpermeating  
>Hilbert sub-spaces separated by emergent real scale.

>The unit-scale basis state of real scale survives in QED in the  
>primitive Pauli exclusion to which electron separation reduces  
>in the atomic limit. Outside the atom there is an indefinite  
>spectrum of much larger local real scales, each of which  
>contains a ghost of its nonlocal basis state \_inflated\_ over any  
>arbitrary interval and preserved as quantum entanglement.

>The emergence of real scale would occur when the whole ensemble  
>(exhaustively interconnected as a complete graph) goes over into  
>a classical system, in which all unit objects rotate through  
>"arbitrary" real 4-angle instead of quantised 2-angle. In this  
>process the supersymmetry of the unit objects is broken into  
>boson and fermion representations, typified in QED by the photon  
>>null signal line between two electrons.

>Of course, it is only an idealisation to say that this spacetime  
>is smoothly covered by an indefinite number of possible  
>trajectories. In fact real photon trajectories are restricted by  
>our  $\sqrt{N}$  terminal electron positions, an indefinite but not  
>necessarily infinite number. So (for QED) emergent radial  
>direction for any electron is the set of all possible photon  
>directions defined by the network of N other electrons on its  
>"celestial sphere".

>What does this imply? Well, it implies that the distribution of  
>real directions on this "sky" is for no one particle perfectly  
>isotropic, and the distributions are for no two particles  
>identical. So - what about mass? Mass must clearly be emergent  
>too, donated in a Machian sense by the ensemble. I suggest that  
>the gravitational and inertial mass arises as a constraint due  
>to the nonlocal ghosts of unit-scale preserved on all these  
>radial directions, which behave like ideally-rigid  
>incompressible objects all exerting (reciprocally) unit  
>compression force (the same unit-incompressibility recoverable  
>in the limit as Pauli exclusion). A differential constraint  
>occurs because the distribution of radial directions is not  
>isotropic, and the map of all these differences becomes the map  
>of cosmic mass action. Think of this as resembling a nonlocal  
>version of the old LeSage theory combined with a nonlocal  
>version of a Higgs-type theory - inertial and gravitational mass  
>being donated not by a local system of impeding particles in  
>space extraneous to the fermions, but by a constrain intrinsic  
>to the underlying supersymmetric partnerships among those  
>fermions themselves.

>This all means that there is an indefinite number of possible  
>directions which are \_not\_ realised at a given instant - these  
>are \_virtual\_ directions which could exist, and whose non-  
>existence as it were encodes collectively the actual pattern of  
>directions which does exist. Differing mathematical ways of  
>representing this virtual smoothness allow us to model, on the  
>one hand, the continuous sheet of cosmic spacetime and, on the  
>other, the virtual particle-filled quantum vacuum. A successful  
>network theory would (I like to think) be able to produce both  
>of these types of mathematical models as limits of an underlying  
>discretist model.

>I think I'd better leave it at that before my brain explodes. I  
>hope it helps to explain my thinking, however strange it may  
>seem ;-)

This is all very interesting, Martin, and some of it I  
understand a little. But how does it explain the existence of  
the magnetic field described in Bruce Maccabee's article?

There we have a magnetic field gradient with no apparent reason for being after the UFO flew off.

Your vision of the fundamentals of reality came out of that discussion, so I assume there must be a connection. Can you close the loop?

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

## Re: Black Holes [was: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle]

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 18:22:07 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 17:23:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes [was: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle]

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:47:42 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>The true gravitational singularity (if it occurs) is not at the  
>event horizon, Ray, but inside it. All of the well-observed  
>violent cosmic activity which has been attributed to black holes  
>happens outside the event horizon, due to huge energies where  
>infalling material approaches the horizon radius.

<snip>

>So who exactly is covering what, and why?

Hi Martin,

as I said "there's an obstreporous bunch of younger physicists  
who've dared to say "Black Holes don't exist" - and, for several  
reasons (of basic physics), I fully agree with them.

Here's the Google results (my arguments are set out more fully  
in result #12, in my browser)

<http://tinyurl.com/3xjg4md>

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:41:51 -0000  
Archived: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 06:23:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 18:22:07 -0000  
>Subject: Black Holes [was: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists]

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:47:42 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

><snip>

>>So who exactly is covering what, and why?

>Hi Martin,

>as I said "there's an obstreporous bunch of younger physicists  
>who've dared to say "Black Holes don't exist" - and, for  
>several reasons (of basic physics), I fully agree with them.

Hi Ray

You may be right. There may be no true black holes. I'm aware that there have been and are theorists who think so (if not for the same reasons as you). But as I said, where is this "cover-up" by scientists that you refer to? Who is doing it? And why?

>Here's the Google results (my arguments are set out more fully  
>in result #12, in my browser)

><http://tinyurl.com/3xjq4md>

That's a blank search box, but putting in your phrase "Black Holes don't exist" evidently produces the results you refer to. What I immediately notice from glancing at the first few pages is that most of these hits are on work published by George Chapline of Lawrence Livermore, and Lawrence Krauss et al. (Case Western Reserve), or articles that refer back to their publications. These are hardly "young Turks" or establishment outsiders! Chapline was born in 1942, Krauss in 1954. They are distinguished senior academics with decades of professional respect behind them, and probably not very obstreporous.

No doubt there are younger colleagues pursuing related ideas. But why did you pounce with glee on Gerald's (as it happens, inapposite) question to say it was evidence of a "cover-up"?

Chapline's work was "was performed (in part) under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48" according to his original paper presented at a major Texas conference on relativistic astrophysics. He was developing ideas published by Robert Laughlin, a distinguished Nobel Prize winner born in 1950. Chapline's and Krauss's publications widely reported (as your googling demonstrates) and vigorously and openly debated by other physicists, the majority of whom tended to disagree with it. It still is, and mostly they still do.

The doubters may turn out to be wrong. The scientific consensus nearly always turns out to be wrong, eventually - thanks, nearly always, to scientists. But in what way is this a "cover-up" by something you generalise as a monolithic "establishment science"?

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:49:57 -0000  
Archived: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 06:24:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 12:55:30 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:48:59 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>This is all very interesting, Martin, and some of it I  
>understand a little. But how does it explain the existence of  
>the magnetic field described in Bruce Maccabee's article?

Hi William

I'm not at all sure that it does.

>There we have a magnetic field gradient with no apparent reason  
>for being after the UFO flew off.  
>Your vision of the fundamentals of reality came out of that  
>discussion, so I assume there must be a connection.

The connection is that someone (was it not you?) suggested that a certain lattice theory of spacetime might be valid explanation. I mentioned that it seemed unlikely, to me, to be a valid type of theory given certain assumptions, assumptions which (I understand from your reply to Gerald) do apply. Gerald in turn raised a question about my objection to the theory. I tried to answer it. I hope that explains things?

>Can you close the loop?

I'm afraid not. Sorry.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 15:33:31 -0000  
Archived: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:43:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:41:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

<snip>

>The doubters may turn out to be wrong. The scientific consensus  
>nearly always turns out to be wrong, eventually - thanks, nearly  
>always, to scientists. But in what way is this a "cover-up" by  
>something you generalise as a monolithic "establishment  
>science"?

Hi Martin

1) I, and later a number of physicists, have asserted that basic physics facts prevent the formation (or even existence) of "black holes" in our universe. If you want my own arguments they're at [www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/nblckhls.html#if](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/nblckhls.html#if) - that's as simple as I can make it.

2) The scientific method is theorize - predict - check. If the answer is yes you may continue to hold that theory. If the answer is no the theory is junked. The answer to checks for "black holes" is no. But mainstream science, as many times before (and today also in the case of the cosmological 'standard model'), has abandoned the scientific method in order to preserve an untrue theory for funding and maybe socio-political reasons (loss of face).

That's the cover-up, and, as said, it's been played out many times before, in almost every generation.

"The Old Theories only die out when the Old Professors die out"  
-- Max Planck

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:54:39 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 11:15:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:49:57 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 12:55:30 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:48:59 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>This is all very interesting, Martin, and some of it I  
>>understand a little. But how does it explain the existence of  
>>the magnetic field described in Bruce Maccabee's article?

>Hi William

>I'm not at all sure that it does.

>>There we have a magnetic field gradient with no apparent reason  
>>for being after the UFO flew off.  
> >Your vision of the fundamentals of reality came out of that  
>>discussion, so I assume there must be a connection.

>The connection is that someone (was it not you?) suggested that  
>a certain lattice theory of spacetime might be valid  
>explanation. I mentioned that it seemed unlikely, to me, to be a  
>valid type of theory given certain assumptions, assumptions  
>which (I understand from your reply to Gerald) do apply. Gerald  
>in turn raised a question about my objection to the theory. I  
>tried to answer it. I hope that explains things?

>>Can you close the loop?

>I'm afraid not. Sorry.

I was hoping there was an explanation hidden there somewhere.

Earlier, I proposed a scenario using an aether theory that might explain why the distortion in the lattice (i.e., the pulsating magnetic field) would linger in one place relative to the earth. I suggested that the distortion was dragged along as the earth moved because it was resistant to matter. It took less energy to pass the states of the nodes of the lattice to adjacent nodes than to break the shape of the distortion. In other words, the states of the nodes of the lattice were passed to adjacent nodes in order for the distortion to keep its shape.

Conversely, matter trying to pass through the distortion would have shown an increased inertia. The investigators did not report any sensations resulting from altered inertia while walking through the lattice distortion, but they would not have been expecting it either. It might have been interpreted as variations in air pressure.

It seems no one has a better explanation yet.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 16:45:31 -0000  
Archived: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 13:24:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 15:33:31 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:41:51 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Black Holes

><snip>

>>The doubters may turn out to be wrong. The scientific consensus  
>>nearly always turns out to be wrong, eventually - thanks, nearly  
>>always, to scientists. But in what way is this a "cover-up" by  
>>something you generalise as a monolithic "establishment  
>>science"?

>Hi Martin

>1) I, and later a number of physicists, have asserted that basic  
>physics facts prevent the formation (or even existence) of  
>"black holes" in our universe. If you want my own arguments  
>they're at [www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/nblckhls.html#if](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/nblckhls.html#if) -  
>that's as simple as I can make it.

You may be correct in your belief about black holes, and you may share that belief with some competent physicists, as I already conceded (in fact about ten years ago I put out on the web a very informal point of view questioning the black hole singularity theorems in terms that were in some ways not too dissimilar). But in this context I am less interested in your arguments about black holes than in the assertion I challenged - that certain anti-black hole theorists were young mavericks fighting a cause who were victims of a "cover-up" by the ossified old establishment.

I pointed out that the sources to which you referred us were, far from being angry young visionaries crushed under the establishment jackboot, in fact major figures from deep inside the establishment, whose work was presented in major forums and treated with respect by their peers..

The fact that a majority have not agreed with it means that there is scientific disagreement. Scientists disagree all the time, and always have. It is what they do best! To prove your charge of a "cover up" you need to prove with specific referenced examples that major co-establishment critics such as Gerard t'Hooft (himself a radical young turk not so long ago!) are not doing conscientious physics and are deliberately suppressing or distorting the case(s) made by Laughlin, Chapline, Krauss and co in their published work.

I don't see any evidence of this on your pages. I also don't see any evidence that the physicists concerned have complained that their views were suppressed or covered-up. I do however see evidence that you yourself feel slighted by "establishment science" in the form of Stephen Hawking and perhaps others who, you think, have over the years unfairly ignored your letters and

Re: Black Holes

web pages explaining in forthright, not to say abrasive, terms how your UEF theory is the answer to all physical problems. And I wonder if this is not the real driver of your thinking.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 19:39:01 -0000  
Archived: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 08:37:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 16:45:31 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

<snip>

>I don't see any evidence of this on your pages. I also don't see  
>any evidence that the physicists concerned have complained that  
>their views were suppressed or covered-up.

<snip>

Ha! Wouldn't want to get into conspiracy theories, so just try  
Lee Smolin's complaint that, publicly at least - "We know no  
more than we did in 1975 - and that's not good". That's  
referring to general and cosmological physics, and I think  
Smolin was being generous, i.e that we haven't (publicly) made  
much progress in the last hundred years - since Quantum Theory  
surfaced. (Mind you, as "there were 5,135 inventions that were  
under secrecy orders at the end of Fiscal Year 2010"

[www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/2010/10/invention\\_secrecy\\_2010.html](http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/2010/10/invention_secrecy_2010.html)

maybe that's not surprising.)

Then you could try 'Modern Cosmology: Science or Folktale?'

[www.perceptions.couk.com/cosmos1.txt](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/cosmos1.txt)

and 'The Top 30 Problems with the Big Bang'

<http://www.metaresearch.org/cosmology/BB-top-30.asp>

and maybe

[www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/nobigb.txt](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/nobigb.txt)

(re: discovery made by team initially refused telescope time, as  
is Alton Arp, and probably for the same reason - their  
conclusions undermine mainstream science's 'standard model').

You'll notice I'm ignoring your personal sniping - that's always  
a sign that someone's losing an argument or doesn't understand  
the topic.

BTW - on that note, some List members might be interested in the  
latest research into the psychology of 'deniers'. 'debunkers' and  
text-book authoritarians - it's at:

[www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt)

and links - fascinating stuff, especially the most recent.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

## Man Reports Flying Saucer Sighting

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 18:22:57 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 08:39:12 -0500  
Subject: Man Reports Flying Saucer Sighting

I think it's newsworthy that people are still reporting saucer-shaped UFOs.

<http://tinyurl.com/2adjhd3>

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

## CBS Looks At 10 Unsolved UFO Stories

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 18:32:45 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 08:41:05 -0500  
Subject: CBS Looks At 10 Unsolved UFO Stories

This brief photo gallery and story teasers is like a trip down memory lane.

Covering UFO/Flying Saucer stories from Kenneth Arnold on up, it shows there's still work to be done and mysteries to solve.

The most disturbing of these mysteries are the ones involving dead or missing pilots.

Also the Barney and Betty Hill story is spotlighted:

<http://tinyurl.com/39upco6>

I've wondered why that drawing in Mr. Hill's hands has wings on the craft. Also gnawing at me is that I recall Mrs. Hill saying the beings she encountered were people. Might have been some expression but caught my ear.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

## Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 05:32:52 -0500  
Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text book to sightings going back decades:

<http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

Somebody is flying them.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

## Crop Circle Maker Tells All

From: Dave Haith <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 11:04:51 -0000  
Archived: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 05:35:08 -0500  
Subject: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

From time to time on this List those enigmatic grain circles  
'crop' up in posts with the usual 'faked' or 'real' arguments.

At this link Matthew Williams, the only person ever to be  
prosecuted for circle-making, tells all - or perhaps most of it.

<http://tinyurl.com/3yx8dyj>

Matthew claims that human made circles do 'attract' paranormal  
phenomena.

I have so far only viewed a small section but it looks as if  
this interview prick quite a few belief bubbles.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

## Re: CBS Looks At 10 Unsolved UFO Stories

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 10:31:42 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 05:38:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: CBS Looks At 10 Unsolved UFO Stories

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 18:32:45 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: CBS Looks At 10 Unsolved UFO Stories

>This brief photo gallery and story teasers is like a trip down  
>memory lane.

>Covering UFO/Flying Saucer stories from Kenneth Arnold on up,  
>it shows there's still work to be done and mysteries to solve.

>The most disturbing of these mysteries are the ones involving  
>dead or missing pilots.

>Also the Barney and Betty Hill story is spotlighted:

><http://tinyurl.com/39upco6>

>I've wondered why that drawing in Mr. Hill's hands has wings on  
>the craft.

>Also gnawing at me is that I recall Mrs. Hill saying the beings  
>she encountered were people. Might have been some expression but  
>caught my ear.

The link doesn't work.

Try

<http://tinyurl.com/378dqqg>

or the original URL

[http://www.cbsnews.com/2300-205\\_162-10005523.html?tag=mncol;lst;1](http://www.cbsnews.com/2300-205_162-10005523.html?tag=mncol;lst;1)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: **Terrence Brown** <[mrbrownsti.nul](mailto:mrbrownsti.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 19:33:44 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 05:40:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 19:39:01 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>some List members mght be interested in the  
>latest research into the psychology of 'deniers',  
>'debunkers' and text-book authoritarians - it's at:

>[www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt)

What research? It's just snark.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 08:58:21 -0000  
Archived: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 05:44:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 19:39:01 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 16:45:31 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Black Holes

><snip>

>You'll notice I'm ignoring your personal sniping - that's always  
>a sign that someone's losing an argument or doesn't understand  
>the topic.

No, Ray, what you call "personal sniping" - my reference to your unhappiness with the way your theory of everything has been ignored by the "establishment" (this is from your own web pages) - is the one and only thing that you didn't ignore. Everything else to the point of my original question is, as usual, swept aside with a welter of irrelevant quotes and URLs. Your hilarious response is entirely typical of all past attempted to engage you in focused debate. I should have known better, of course. Carry on as you were.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

## News Links - 14-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 07:13:38 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 07:13:38 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 14-11-10

News Links - 14-11-10

Friends Spot Black Triangle UFO In Bonfire Night Sky  
Sutton Coldfield Observer  
<http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

Green Triangle UFO Takes 'Impact' From Ball Of Light  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2asg43e>

Centerville UFO Seen Over Boston  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/2elv3gc>

Star-Like UFO Hovers Near Texas Family's Home  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/28qm27a>

UFO Videod In Skies Over El Salvador, November 12  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/2c7zlb6>

UFOs Spotted Over Garfield County  
Post Independent  
<http://tinyurl.com/28rrryh>

UFO Encounters On The Coast  
Sunshine Coast Daily  
<http://tinyurl.com/24jh5xu>

Media In China Blames UFO Incident In Inner Mongolia On A 'black  
Flight' Incident  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cvfugp>

After California Missile Mystery Explained As Jet Contrail  
USANewsWeek.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/22p77cm>

Reptilian Encounter In The Nevada Desert  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/2won341>

'Close Encounters' Landing Zone: UFOs And American Indians  
Joint Recon Study Group  
<http://tinyurl.com/337pyx6>

Roswell - UFO Cover-up?  
By Ben Goldby  
<http://tinyurl.com/243hpo2>

NASA: Exceptional object in our cosmic neighborhood  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/35kw7aw>

Alien Mind; The Thought And Behavior Of Extraterrestrials - Book

Bing.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2v1wqo5>

The UFO Effect Detector  
Walyou  
<http://tinyurl.com/2arfcpz>

From Frank Warren's THE UFO Chronicals:

1964 UFO Hovers Over Altus Oklahoma Nuclear Missile Silo  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bbmmbu>

From 'The Norm':

UFO Crashes and the Public Record  
by Kevin Randle  
<http://tinyurl.com/25jkobs>

Ufology and Science  
By Nick Pope  
<http://tinyurl.com/2g7ekuk>

I'm Not a Real Scientist, And That's Okay  
ScientificAmerican.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/238wa5h>

Have Scientists Finally Discovered Evidence For Psychic  
Phenomena?!  
Psychology Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/26apmlj>

Is This Evidence That We Can See The Future?  
New Scientist  
<http://tinyurl.com/2baxq9j>

'Necropanspermia' Suggested As A Way Of Seeding Life On Earth  
PhysOrg.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cy5rjb>

Falling Brains Dept:

Symington Plans To Sell Advice About Media  
<http://tinyurl.com/296n3dl>

Robbie Williams Reveals He Went UFO-Spotting With Married Woman  
Mirror.co.uk  
<http://tinyurl.com/3648r18>

UFOs, Lawn Chairs, And The HST  
Opinion250 News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2carubh>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 05:43:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>book to sightings going back decades:

><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some  
>to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

What makes you think the U.S. owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Russian Ufologist Explains Research

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 11:27:08 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 05:45:34 -0500  
Subject: Russian Ufologist Explains Research

This is such a refreshing story to read:

<http://en.rian.ru/analysis/20101115/161344929.html>

It shows a researcher who is obviously on the ball, understands the territory and gets out and does the footwork.

I may not agree with every viewpoint he presents but the points he covers are quite valuable in that he and his colleagues actually work.

He also brings up great points regarding government intervention, the discrediting of researchers by said government competitors and more.

There's even some wry wit to be had.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 18:21:31 -0000  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 05:47:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Terrence Brown <[mrbrownsdi.nul](mailto:mrbrownsdi.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 19:33:44 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 19:39:01 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>>[www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt)

>What research? It's just snark.

Seems you've lost the words "and links".

The links - at:

[www.perceptions.couk.com/magic2.html#1a](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/magic2.html#1a)

would've given Press releases, URLs of abstracts and text copies.

Always ready to help.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 18:26:27 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 05:50:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 11:04:51 -0000  
>Subject: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

>From time to time on this List those enigmatic grain circles  
>'crop' up in posts with the usual 'faked' or 'real' arguments.

>At this link Matthew Williams, the only person ever to be  
>prosecuted for circle-making, tells all - or perhaps most of it.

><http://tinyurl.com/3yx8dyj>

>Matthew claims that human made circles do 'attract' paranormal  
>phenomena.

>I have so far only viewed a small section but it looks as if  
>this interview prick quite a few belief bubbles.

Dear Listers who are interested in crop circles:

If you wish to solve the paradox problem and issue of creating a  
crop circle induces a so-called paranormal response, I suggest  
reading material covering entanglement theory. It suggests that  
focusing energy of the human mind creates a physical response.

And, yes, isn't that what used to be called magic?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 14:33:16 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 05:56:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Terrence Brown <[mrbrownsdi.nul](mailto:mrbrownsdi.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 19:33:44 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 19:39:01 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>>some List members mght be interested in the  
>>latest research into the psychology of 'deniers',  
>>'debunkers' and text-book authoritarians - it's at:

>>[www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.txt)

>What research? It's just snark.

FYI - This from NASA's Chandra X-ray Observatory posted  
at NASA's news today.

Don Ledger

-----

Marshall Space Flight Centre

<http://tinyurl.com/328jrzx>

11.15.10

RELEASE: 10-299

NASA'S Chandra Finds Youngest Nearby Black Hole

WASHINGTON -- Astronomers using NASA's Chandra X-ray Observatory have found evidence of the youngest black hole known to exist in our cosmic neighborhood. The 30-year-old black hole provides a unique opportunity to watch this type of object develop from infancy.

The black hole could help scientists better understand how massive stars explode, which ones leave behind black holes or neutron stars, and the number of black holes in our galaxy and others.

The 30-year-old object is a remnant of SN 1979C, a supernova in the galaxy M100 approximately 50 million light years from Earth. Data from Chandra, NASA's Swift satellite, the European Space Agency's XMM-Newton and the German ROSAT observatory revealed a bright source of X-rays that has remained steady during observation from 1995 to 2007. This suggests the object is a black hole being fed either by material falling into it from the supernova or a binary companion.

"If our interpretation is correct, this is the nearest example where the birth of a black hole has been observed," said Daniel Patnaude of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics in

Cambridge, Mass. who led the study.

The scientists think SN 1979C, first discovered by an amateur astronomer in 1979, formed when a star about 20 times more massive than the sun collapsed. Many new black holes in the distant universe previously have been detected in the form of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs).

However, SN 1979C is different because it is much closer and belongs to a class of supernovas unlikely to be associated with a GRB. Theory predicts most black holes in the universe should form when the core of a star collapses and a GRB is not produced.

"This may be the first time the common way of making a black hole has been observed," said co-author Abraham Loeb, also of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. "However, it is very difficult to detect this type of black hole birth because decades of X-ray observations are needed to make the case."

The idea of a black hole with an observed age of only about 30 years is consistent with recent theoretical work. In 2005, a theory was presented that the bright optical light of this supernova was powered by a jet from a black hole that was unable to penetrate the hydrogen envelope of the star to form a GRB. The results seen in the observations of SN 1979C fit this theory very well.

Although the evidence points to a newly formed black hole in SN 1979C, another intriguing possibility is that a young, rapidly spinning neutron star with a powerful wind of high energy particles could be responsible for the X-ray emission. This would make the object in SN 1979C the youngest and brightest example of such a "pulsar wind nebula" and the youngest known neutron star. The Crab pulsar, the best-known example of a bright pulsar wind nebula, is about 950 years old.

"It's very rewarding to see how the commitment of some of the most advanced telescopes in space, like Chandra, can help complete the story," said Jon Morse, head of the Astrophysics Division at NASA's Science Mission Directorate.

The results will appear in the New Astronomy journal in a paper by Patnaude, Loeb, and Christine Jones of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics.

NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center in Huntsville, Ala., manages the Chandra program for the agency's Science Mission Directorate in Washington. The Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory controls Chandra's science and flight operations from Cambridge.

For more information about Chandra, including images and other multimedia, visit:

<http://chandra.nasa.gov>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Re: William Cooper Revisited

From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 10:10:25 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 15:52:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2010 08:30:03 -0600  
>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 20:19:10 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 17:22:28 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: William Cooper Revisited

><snip>

>>>Well, Stan, it wasn't what you said, it was what you let people  
>>>believe. For years, I heard that you were a nuclear physicist.  
>>>The implication was that you had a Ph.D. in nuclear physics.  
>>>Recently, on Coast to Coast you were talking about meeting Carl  
>>>Sagan. As part of the interview, you sort of provided part of a  
>>>missing resume. Yeah, yeah, I know you always correct an  
>>>audience member when they call you Dr. Friedman.

>>I'm sure Mr. Friedman can defend himself, but I have to say the  
>>litany of charges brought by Kathy here is ambiguous at best and  
>>sloppy at worst.

><snip>

>The notion that Stan's resume is exaggerated, distorted, or  
>otherwise in doubt is ludicrous on its face.

>For many years Stan locked horns with the fanatically UFOphobic  
>Philip J. Klass, who stopped at nothing to expose the weaknesses  
>and vulnerabilities, real or imagined, of those who challenged  
>him. If Stan's professional claims were in any way less than  
>strictly accurate (and I'm certain that Klass, habitual digger  
>for dirt, privately investigated them), we would have known that  
>long since. Klass would have announced as much as much every  
>time he found himself in front of a microphone or he attached  
>his by-line to yet another expose of the shifty character of UFO  
>proponents.

>If memory serves, the best Klass managed to come up with was the  
>less-than-explosive charge that Stan wasn't currently working  
>as a nuclear physicist.

I have been traveling. Jerry, you are right on.

Phil Klass once wrote that I earned all my income from lecturing  
about flying saucers. I asked for what evidence he could  
provide. He had none. I challenged him and sent part of my  
income tax return for that year showing that almost all my  
income that year came from working as a nuclear physicist on the  
commissioning of the Pt. Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station in  
Southern New Brunswick. I also worked with a local large  
Engineering firm on measurements of radon levels in Fredericton

area Homes, recovery and utilization of waste heat from Powerplants, food irradiation,etc.

I am, of course, also curious why KK didn't just Google "Stanton Friedman"+bio. I got 23,700 Hits.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 09:52:22 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 15:54:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>>book to sightings going back decades:

>><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some  
>>to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

>What makes you think the U.S. owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
>That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

When I see in my vacation photo what appears to be the underside  
of a large, triangle-shaped craft floating in the clouds over  
Hawaii near an American military base, I have to say it must be  
something owned by the US military. Either that, or the US is  
friends with the owner.

I've posted the link before but here again is my discussion of  
the photo.

<http://tinyurl.com/26saokj>

It's easy to reject the interpretation because, after all,  
people can see anything they want in clouds, right? But then I  
would insist that the geometrical objects should not be there.

My interpretation of the photo is a small piece in a bigger  
puzzle, and I think it fits pretty well. Triangle craft seem to  
roam the US with impunity. The military response is minimal if  
there is any at all. A reasonable inference is that they are  
part of the military, or are at least 'friendlies'.

The dog won't hunt, Don, only if you reject circumstantial  
evidence such as this. A clearer photo and official confirmation  
seems to be your only criterion for deciding who owns the  
aircraft. But we use inference all the time to create a picture  
in our minds of current reality. Why should this be any  
different?

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:



<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

### Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 11:29:22 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 15:55:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 11:04:51 -0000  
>Subject: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

>From time to time on this List those enigmatic grain circles  
>'crop' up in posts with the usual 'faked' or 'real' arguments.

>At this link Matthew Williams, the only person ever to be  
>prosecuted for circle-making, tells all - or perhaps most of it.

><http://tinyurl.com/3yx8dyj>

>Matthew claims that human made circles do 'attract' paranormal  
>phenomena.

>I have so far only viewed a small section but it looks as if  
>this interview prick quite a few belief bubbles.

Mmmmm... Matthew Williams' presentation seemed to convey the  
message that all crop glyphs are made by people. People under  
some sort of 'paranormal control,' at least sometimes, but  
people nonetheless. He didn't quite say that explicitly (or at  
least I didn't hear that said.)

The fact that he strongly implied that, without making a  
statement he could be held to account for, was itself odd, in my  
view.

I noticed that in the photos of glyphs he made, the stomped-  
down areas were rather rough - nothing like the extremely smooth  
and orderly pattern in other glyphs. Certainly there was none of  
the 'woven' pattern reported in some glyphs.

I found his explanation for exploded nodes on the plant stalks,  
that, gosh gee, the plant tried to right itself too quickly, to  
smack of nonsense. That's my personal take - I have no biology  
background.

I was skeptical that the working sketch he showed the camera,  
with linework so faint the camera could not see much of it,  
would be of any use in the darkness.

During the clip of glyph makers working at night, they didn't  
seem to be taking any precautions about stomping crop that was  
to be left standing. They kind of "milled around," rather than  
exhibiting any carefully choreographed moves designed to stay  
away from crop to be left standing.

Matthew Williams didn't offer information as to how the working  
drawings were viewed at night, and when one of the glyph makers  
"looked" at a drawing, no flashlight was in evidence, and  
neither were any night vision viewers.

If I were forced to make a choice on pain of death, with no  
chance to research his background further, I'd bet he is a cover  
up agent. He repeatedly allowed the existence of so-called  
'paranormal' events, but still, the overall purpose of his  
appearance appeared to be to quash the idea that any glyphs

might be made by non-people.

He may well have the UFO-paranormal researcher origin he claims, but people can be turned. It wouldn't be the first time.

I would still like to see the Crabwood glyph re-created against the clock, daytime. That glyph doesn't use circular arcs, and that's why it is of interest to me. Even the circular "disk" portion of the image isn't simple circles, due to the need to lay out "data bit" segments. Let's see that one re-created, at the same quality level as the original, and find out if it can be done during nighttime.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:04:25 -0000  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 15:56:35 -0500  
Subject: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

Another sky mystery - this one in Australia and caught on radar:

<http://news.ninemsn.com.au/>

Scroll down to find the Radar Smudge video from today's bulletin.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

## How Life Appeared On Earth

From: **Diana Cammack** <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 18:48:13 +0200  
Archived: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 16:01:05 -0500  
Subject: How Life Appeared On Earth

Source: Discovery News

<http://tinyurl.com/256g7k5>

15-11-2010

Life on Earth Spawned by Dead Alien Microbes?  
Ian O'Neill

How life appeared on Earth is one of the biggest questions hanging over modern science. For many, the idea that simple lifeforms appeared from a primordial soup of amino acids is, well, too simple to explain the genetic diversity we see today. If life didn't form here in puddles of ooze, where did it come from?

One exciting hypothesis is that life came from an interstellar origin; hitched a ride on a speck of dust, blew out of its host star system by stellar winds and then ended up on our planet. This concept is called "panspermia."

WATCH VIDEO: Will the real ET be little green men or little green bacteria?

SLIDE SHOW: Top 10 Places to Find Alien Life

Alas, as highlighted by a research paper published in the journal *Space Science Review*, assuming that life - no matter how hardy - could dodge death from space radiation, vacuum and extreme cold, is a stretch to say the least.

"Biologically, the destructive effects of ultraviolet light and cosmic rays means that the majority of organisms arrive broken and dead on a new world," Paul Wesson, a visiting researcher at the Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics in Canada, says in the abstract of *Panspermia, Past and Present: Astrophysical and Biophysical Conditions for the Dissemination of Life in Space*. "The likelihood of conventional forms of panspermia must therefore be considered low."

Although these comments don't necessarily rule out panspermia as a cosmic life-spreading mechanism, Wesson does raise an important point. Although we know terrestrial life can survive for long periods in space - whether it's cyanobacteria living outside the space station for 553 days or tortured mosquito larvae - we've only tested how life copes in space for months or years. Panspermia is thought to operate over much longer timescales, possibly millions of years.

Sure, one could envisage a short hop from planet-to-planet within our own solar system - remember the stir of Mars meteorite ALH84001 (pictured top) - but for longer, interstellar journeys, panspermia via stellar wind-blown dust isn't realistic.

ANALYSIS: Directed Panspermia: Moral Obligation or Bio-Pollution?

This is why Wesson suggests the panspermia concept may need to be modified for interstellar hops when life dies and its genetic chemical bonds degrade in transit. Although it sounds more like the title of a sci-fi horror movie, Wesson has coined the term "necropanspermia" to describe a rather macabre means of transferring life around the cosmos. Dead organisms could spawn new life.

As noted by Physorg.com writer Lin Edwards, Wesson doesn't actually suggest a mechanism by which these long-dead alien interlopers spawn new life, only that his research suggests life's "information" is transferred to new worlds. If the destination is hospitable, the conditions might be right for the dead microbes' genetic code to be reassembled.

"Resurrection may, however, be possible." Wesson concludes. "Certain micro-organisms possess remarkably effective enzyme systems that can repair a multitude of strand breaks."

Alas, necropanspermia - like all incarnations of the panspermia concept - will remain pure conjecture for now. But Wesson suggests that to test his hypothesis, dust grains from the outermost regions of the solar system (to avoid contamination by Earth Brand=99 life) could be collected to see if they contain information that may indicate they contain long-dead interstellar microbial life.

I personally find this research fascinating, but it's a shame necropanspermia wasn't conceived before the 1997 sci-fi horror flick *Alien: Resurrection* was written; reanimated aliens - rather than terrible alien-human hybrids - as the central plot line would have been awesome.

Publication: Panspermia, Past and Present: Astrophysical and Biophysical Conditions for the Dissemination of Life in Space, Paul S. Wesson, Space Science Reviews, 2010. DOI: 10.1007/s11214-010-9671-x

Source: Physorg.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Greg Paloma <[fractalmaze.nul](mailto:fractalmaze.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 22:03:39 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:22:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:54:39 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:49:57 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 12:55:30 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:48:59 -0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>>>This is all very interesting, Martin, and some of it I  
>>>>understand a little. But how does it explain the existence of  
>>>>the magnetic field described in Bruce Maccabee's article?

>>Hi William

>>I'm not at all sure that it does.

>>>There we have a magnetic field gradient with no apparent reason  
>>>for being after the UFO flew off.  
>>>Your vision of the fundamentals of reality came out of that  
>>>discussion, so I assume there must be a connection.

>>The connection is that someone (was it not you?) suggested that  
>>a certain lattice theory of spacetime might be valid  
>>explanation. I mentioned that it seemed unlikely, to me, to be a  
>>valid type of theory given certain assumptions, assumptions  
>>which (I understand from your reply to Gerald) do apply. Gerald  
>>in turn raised a question about my objection to the theory. I  
>>tried to answer it. I hope that explains things?

>>>Can you close the loop?

>>I'm afraid not. Sorry.

>I was hoping there was an explanation hidden there somewhere.

>Earlier, I proposed a scenario using an aether theory that might  
>explain why the distortion in the lattice (i.e., the pulsating  
>magnetic field) would linger in one place relative to the earth.  
>I suggested that the distortion was dragged along as the earth  
>moved because it was resistant to matter. It took less energy to  
>pass the states of the nodes of the lattice to adjacent nodes  
>than to break the shape of the distortion. In other words, the  
>states of the nodes of the lattice were passed to adjacent nodes  
>in order for the distortion to keep its shape.

>Conversely, matter trying to pass through the distortion would  
>have shown an increased inertia. The investigators did not

>report any sensations resulting from altered inertia while  
>walking through the lattice distortion, but they would not have  
>been expecting it either. It might have been interpreted as  
>variations in air pressure.

>It seems no one has a better explanation yet.

>William

Hello,

First and foremost the images analyzed and first introduced on this thread are nothing more than a bird passing in front of a rainbow and the other, simply upper atmosphere ice crystals uniformly diffracting light. I would also take Peter Davenport's assessment above anyone's given his daily exposure and tangible experience.

Second, a strong residual magnetic field may have persisted after the parting of the object in question. Therefore, the field is tied to the Earth's soil and no other fancy explanation is necessary until further testing is implemented there and in the surrounding area to determine, if for no other reason, whether similar fields preexist or are otherwise unique to the area:

Can we prove or disprove whether minerals in the soil are predisposed to register and record such fields and at what field strength? Also, was the field measurement properly mapped in the first place? In my experience, errors in instrument handling and interpretation are not uncommon, especially when mapping 3D fields with a single axis instrument.

Sorry for sounding a little bit more practical but experience always seems to dictate reasonable first inquiry and economy of applied knowledge.

Greg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:11:33 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:25:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 18:26:27 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

<snip>

>If you wish to solve the paradox problem and issue of creating a  
>crop circle induces a so-called paranormal response, I suggest  
>reading material covering entanglement theory. It suggests that  
>focusing energy of the human mind creates a physical response.

>And, yes, isn't that what used to be called magic?

I'm not a physicist. Unlike Sereda, I don't even play one on TV!  
But I have read a few books and watched these wonderful video  
lectures by the late Cal Tech physics Professor and Nobel Prize  
winner Richard Feynman. If the professional physicists like him  
are to be believed, there's no magic involved. At the link are  
about five hours of wonderful lectures by Feynman. These  
lectures are an excellent resource for laypersons wanting a good  
basic understanding of quantum mechanics without cracking open a  
textbook on the subject. He had a real gift for explaining the  
esoteric and abstruse in layman's language.

<http://vega.org.uk/video/subseries/8>

But the idea is pretty simple. Assuming you had the energy  
available to generate EM waves at a small enough frequency to  
resolve a subatomic particle, like a proton or neutron (it would  
be a silly-large amount of energy), by striking that subatomic  
particle with this super high energy photon you would - by  
definition - impart enough kinetic energy to divert its path  
through space. That is, since bouncing EM waves off of stuff and  
then capturing their changed vector with a photodetector is the  
only method of detection currently known, and since a photon  
imparts energy on whatever is hit, it is impossible to  
simultaneously detect both the momentum and direction of a  
moving subatomic particle. Because the detection method - EM  
waves - changes the state of the particle after detection. It's  
that simple.

Think of it like a moving ball on a billiards table in a dark  
room. One can't see the moving ball, but one could roll another  
ball into its path and then record the soundwave of them  
striking one another. From that one could determine the location  
of the ball on the table at the instant it had been hit. But  
after that point, one would still be just as clueless as to the  
next location of the target ball, for after having been hit its  
vector and speed would have changed unpredictably.

No magic!

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 18:39:24 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:28:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 09:52:22 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>>>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>>>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>>>book to sightings going back decades:

>>><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>>>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some

>>What makes you think the U.S, owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
>>That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

>When I see in my vacation photo what appears to be the underside  
>of a large, triangle-shaped craft floating in the clouds over  
>Hawaii near an American military base, I have to say it must be  
>something owned by the US military. Either that, or the US is  
>friends with the owner.

>I've posted the link before but here again is my discussion of  
>the photo.

><http://tinyurl.com/26saokj>

>It's easy to reject the interpretation because, after all,  
>people can see anything they want in clouds, right? But then I  
>would insist that the geometrical objects should not be there.

>My interpretation of the photo is a small piece in a bigger  
>puzzle, and I think it fits pretty well. Triangle craft seem to  
>roam the US with impunity. The military response is minimal if  
>there is any at all. A reasonable inference is that they are  
>part of the military, or are at least 'friendlies'.

>The dog won't hunt, Don, only if you reject circumstantial  
>evidence such as this. A clearer photo and official confirmation  
>seems to be your only criterion for deciding who owns the  
>aircraft. But we use inference all the time to create a picture  
>in our minds of current reality. Why should this be any  
>different?

>William

I don't see any evidence, William, circumstantial or otherwise.  
I believe I related my opinion to you before, re. these  
photographs. I stated then that I don't see anything there.

Like I said, it doesn't hunt. It didn't 70 years ago (and isn't funny that no one disputes that) and it doesn't now. But this silly explanation continues to be put out there each year as if anything has drastically changed. Too many people give credit to US secret aircraft prowess that it doesn't deserve.

Think air-breathers - and noise - and you will be closer to what the US is flying regardless whether it's triangular or not.

Of course I want a clearer photo. But I want some history of development too; any evidence of some top-secret, noiseless, hovering to high speed tremendous acceleration, large structured, non-HTA or LTA experimentation that isn't already going on now. And don't try to run the P791 or the Lockheed - Martin, et al, surveillance balloon, blimp experiments that are out there already.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Black Triangle Dubbed Dudley Dorito

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:54:00 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:32:55 -0500  
Subject: Black Triangle Dubbed Dudley Dorito

Now here's something you don't see everyday Chauncey!

One of those flying black triangle aircraft and it's been captured in a photograph.

<http://tinyurl.com/3a4rvf3>

Obviously it's gotten to the point that these craft don't even care if someone sees them nowadays.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 23:22:55 -0000  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:36:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:04:25 -0000  
>Subject: Radar Smudge a Mystery as Sky Turns Orange

>Another sky mystery - this one in Australia and caught  
>on radar:

><http://news.ninemsn.com.au/>

>Scroll down to find the Radar Smudge video from today's  
>bulletin.

I gather the video was taken off that link and probably replaced  
with the Royal wedding news.

Well, some things are really important...

Try this one instead:

<http://tinyurl.com/3942ng4>

Dave

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Re: How Life Appeared On Earth

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 02:29:31 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:38:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: How Life Appeared On Earth

>From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 18:48:13 +0200  
>Subject: How Life Appeared On Earth

>Source: Discovery News

><http://tinyurl.com/256g7k5>

>15-11-2010

>Life on Earth Spawned by Dead Alien Microbes?  
>Ian O'Neill

>How life appeared on Earth is one of the biggest questions  
>hanging over modern science. For many, the idea that simple  
>lifeforms appeared from a primordial soup of amino acids is,  
>well, too simple to explain the genetic diversity we see today.  
>If life didn't form here in puddles of ooze, where did it come  
>from?

>One exciting hypothesis is that life came from an interstellar  
>origin; hitched a ride on a speck of dust, blew out of its host  
>star system by stellar winds and then ended up on our planet.  
>This concept is called "panspermia."

Great idea.

And how did that life form in the first place? Somewhere with  
better, richer, puddles of ooze?

What's wrong with our primordial soup? Which elements or  
compounds would be missing?

In any case, once life gets under way, that means self-  
replicating complexity has taken over, creating new compounds  
and adapting to exploit those already available. It develops  
according to its own rules, not according to a mere list of  
ingredients on the primordial soup can. Life creates its own  
recipe.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 18:36:38 -0800  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:40:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 09:52:22 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>>>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>>>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>>>book to sightings going back decades:

>>><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>>>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some  
>>>to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

>>What makes you think the U.S. owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
>>That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

>When I see in my vacation photo what appears to be the underside  
>of a large, triangle-shaped craft floating in the clouds over  
>Hawaii near an American military base, I have to say it must be  
>something owned by the US military. Either that, or the US is  
>friends with the owner.

>I've posted the link before but here again is my discussion of  
>the photo.

><http://tinyurl.com/26saokj>

>It's easy to reject the interpretation because, after all,  
>people can see anything they want in clouds, right? But then I  
>would insist that the geometrical objects should not be there.

>My interpretation of the photo is a small piece in a bigger  
>puzzle, and I think it fits pretty well. Triangle craft seem to  
>roam the US with impunity. The military response is minimal if  
>there is any at all. A reasonable inference is that they are  
>part of the military, or are at least 'friendlies'.

>The dog won't hunt, Don, only if you reject circumstantial  
>evidence such as this. A clearer photo and official confirmation  
>seems to be your only criterion for deciding who owns the  
>aircraft. But we use inference all the time to create a picture  
>in our minds of current reality. Why should this be any  
>different?

Hi, William & List,

Sorry, William, but this dog won't hunt, either. I looked at the  
photos on your site, blew them up even further, and I still do

not see the features you do, let alone what you show in the accompanying schematic. I suspect this is a case of pareidolia combined with wishful thinking and digital artifacts created by enhancing and manipulating the cloud image (and all I see even then are just clouds). Yes, a much clearer photo would be nice, as yours does not show what you contend, IMHO.

As for presuming Don's only criterion for deciding "who owns the aircraft" (what aircraft?) would be a clearer photo and official confirmation, that too is your supposition, not Don's.

Finally, where you say, "...we use inference all the time to create a picture in our minds of current reality. Why should this be any different?" - it's completely different because we're not talking about using inference and mental imagery to create a picture in our minds, we're talking about actual, external aerial phenomena and potential "aircraft" in photos or witness reports, and which without some distinct evidence in photographic form, &/or multiple witnesses geographically in differing locations, yet reporting independently a uniform appearance and behavior of said object, etc., it does remain simply an inference, circumstantial, subject to misinterpretation or misidentification, and thus only anecdotal and speculative. It may or may not be as perceived or interpreted. That's the current reality.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:11:40 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 07:59:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 09:52:22 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>>>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>>>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>>>book to sightings going back decades:

>>><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>>>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some  
>>>to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

>>What makes you think the U.S, owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
>>That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

>When I see in my vacation photo what appears to be the underside  
>of a large, triangle-shaped craft floating in the clouds over  
>Hawaii near an American military base, I have to say it must be  
>something owned by the US military. Either that, or the US is  
>friends with the owner.

>I've posted the link before but here again is my discussion of  
>the photo.

><http://tinyurl.com/26saokj>

>It's easy to reject the interpretation because, after all,  
>people can see anything they want in clouds, right? But then I  
>would insist that the geometrical objects should not be there.

>My interpretation of the photo is a small piece in a bigger  
>puzzle, and I think it fits pretty well. Triangle craft seem to  
>roam the US with impunity. The military response is minimal if  
>there is any at all. A reasonable inference is that they are  
>part of the military, or are at least 'friendlies'.

William,

This argument does not work, at least, for the Triangle Wave in  
Belgium, as General De Brouwer has explained several times.

Just imagine: highly advanced, top secret American craft  
roaming, above the roofs of Belgium, without even warning the  
Belgian Air Force!

The argument, suggested by some, of a secret "socio -

psychological" experiment does not make sense either (if not alien). Just imagine the enormous risk of an engine failure and crash on the main square of a Belgian village.

So, the mystery remains, it seems to me. And some people may still be trying to hide it.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:09:16 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:09:43 -0500  
Subject: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

<http://tinyurl.com/34t423g>

Quote from the above article:

"There are fully formed distant galaxies that must have already been billions of years old, over 13 billion years ago; which would make them older than the Big Bang."

So there goes the Big Bang theory - what's next?

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## British Invisibility Research

From: Dave Haith <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:44:49 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:13:45 -0500  
Subject: British Invisibility Research

UFOs sometimes disappear instantly.

Some presume this to be because they move off at an incredible speed.

Others consider whether there is a technology being used to create invisibility.

In this video a Scots scientist reckons such technology may be only a couple of years away using some form of light refraction:

<http://vimeo.com/6177122>

In the following linked article he dips much more deeply into the world of potential invisibility by detailing research using fibre optics to cloak events by shifting them into a different time channel!

Space=96Time Cloak Could Hide Events

<http://www.nature.com/news/2010/101116/full/news.2010.611.html>

Frankly it's beyond me but the techno boffins on this List might be interested.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 09:56:00 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:15:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Steve Sawyer<[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 18:36:38 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 09:52:22 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>>>>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>>>>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>>>>book to sightings going back decades:

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>>>>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some  
>>>>to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

>>>What makes you think the U.S. owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
>>>That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

>>When I see in my vacation photo what appears to be the underside  
>>of a large, triangle-shaped craft floating in the clouds over  
>>Hawaii near an American military base, I have to say it must be  
>>something owned by the US military. Either that, or the US is  
>>friends with the owner.

>>I've posted the link before but here again is my discussion of  
>>the photo.

>><http://tinyurl.com/26saokj>

>>It's easy to reject the interpretation because, after all,  
>>people can see anything they want in clouds, right? But then I  
>>would insist that the geometrical objects should not be there.

>>My interpretation of the photo is a small piece in a bigger  
>>puzzle, and I think it fits pretty well. Triangle craft seem to  
>>roam the US with impunity. The military response is minimal if  
>>there is any at all. A reasonable inference is that they are  
>>part of the military, or are at least 'friendlies'.

>>The dog won't hunt, Don, only if you reject circumstantial  
>>evidence such as this. A clearer photo and official confirmation  
>>seems to be your only criterion for deciding who owns the  
>>aircraft. But we use inference all the time to create a picture  
>>in our minds of current reality. Why should this be any  
>>different?

>Hi, William& List,

>Sorry, William, but this dog won't hunt, either. I looked at the  
>photos on your site, blew them up even further, and I still do  
>not see the features you do, let alone what you show in the  
>accompanying schematic. I suspect this is a case of pareidolia  
>combined with wishful thinking and digital artifacts created by  
>enhancing and manipulating the cloud image (and all I see even  
>then are just clouds). Yes, a much clearer photo would be nice,  
>as yours does not show what you contend, IMHO.

Human perception sure does vary, it seems. I have no problem seeing the simple geometrical shapes in the photo, even without enhancement. I measure 45 and 60 degree angles between the lines in the image. That, in itself, is unusual. Of course, if all you see is cloud, you would not be able to do that.

Pareidolia operates at a more cognitive level, I think.

>As for presuming Don's only criterion for deciding "who owns the  
>aircraft" (what aircraft?) would be a clearer photo and official  
>confirmation, that too is your supposition, not Don's.

True, this is an impression I have from Don's writings. If I'm wrong, perhaps Don would in a better position to tell me so.

>Finally, where you say, "...we use inference all the time to  
>create a picture in our minds of current reality. Why should  
>this be any different?" - it's completely different because  
>we're not talking about using inference and mental imagery to  
>create a picture in our minds, we're talking about actual,  
>external aerial phenomena and potential "aircraft" in photos or  
>witness reports, and which without some distinct evidence in  
>photographic form,&/or multiple witnesses geographically in  
>differing locations. yet reporting independently a uniform  
>appearance and behavior of said object, etc., it does remain  
>simply an inference, circumstantial, subject to  
>misinterpretation or misidentification, and thus only anecdotal  
>and speculative. It may or may not be as perceived or  
>interpreted. That's the current reality.

I don't think we're as far apart here as you seem to think. I also used the words 'inference' and 'circumstantial'. The world view we all have is based on information that is of varying quality, and it is always subject to revision, hopefully. Mine is derived from information from multiple sources including this list. I filter the information by considering the source and other criteria, and then take it as evidence for or against the validity of certain points of view.

Everything we now think we know is subject to change, and to a greater or lesser degree, much of what we experience is "simply an inference, circumstantial, subject to misinterpretation or misidentification, and thus only anecdotal and speculative. It may or may not be as perceived or interpreted." So I agree with that.

When Don says that the US does not fly secret triangular craft, that is his opinion based on what he thinks is true. I merely pointed out that my interpretation of events is consistent with the opposite point of view. For example, take the Stephenville incident where it was reported that an anomalous craft slowly approached the President's ranch. There were fighter planes in the air, but no apparent challenge to its approach. There are several ways to interpret that. The only one consistent with alien invader is that the fighters were totally outclassed and they knew it. The more likely alternative is that the incursion was acceptable to the military because it was one of their own. Don would disagree because it is unthinkable to him that such craft would be test flown over populated areas. That seems right, and would mean that it most likely was not a test flight but a fully operational craft.

William



Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:50:24 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:40:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:11:40 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 09:52:22 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>>>>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>>>>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>>>>book to sightings going back decades:

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>>>>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some  
>>>>to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

>>>What makes you think the U.S, owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
>>>That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

>>When I see in my vacation photo what appears to be the underside  
>>of a large, triangle-shaped craft floating in the clouds over  
>>Hawaii near an American military base, I have to say it must be  
>>something owned by the US military. Either that, or the US is  
>>friends with the owner.

>>I've posted the link before but here again is my discussion of  
>>the photo.

>><http://tinyurl.com/26saokj>

>>It's easy to reject the interpretation because, after all,  
>>people can see anything they want in clouds, right? But then I  
>>would insist that the geometrical objects should not be there.

>>My interpretation of the photo is a small piece in a bigger  
>>puzzle, and I think it fits pretty well. Triangle craft seem to  
>>roam the US with impunity. The military response is minimal if  
>>there is any at all. A reasonable inference is that they are  
>>part of the military, or are at least 'friendlies'.

>William,

>This argument does not work, at least, for the Triangle Wave in  
>Belgium, as General De Brouwer has explained several times.

>Just imagine: highly advanced, top secret American craft  
>roaming, above the roofs of Belgium, without even warning the  
>Belgian Air Force!  
>  
>The argument, suggested by some, of a secret "socio -  
>psychological" experiment does not make sense either (if not  
>alien). Just imagine the enormous risk of an engine failure and  
>crash on the main square of a Belgian village.  
  
>So, the mystery remains, it seems to me. And some people may  
>still be trying to hide it.

Hi Gildas,

I believe I posted about the conversation I had with General De Brouwer as to his checking to see if the Belgium triangle was of American origin. As a matter of course he had to make the effort even though he was certain that they would not have violated their airspace; which he did.

The object did not conform to any aircraft in the American arsenal before, during or since the incidents.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:22:20 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:51:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Gildas Bourdais<[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:11:40 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 09:52:22 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2010 12:01:59 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sun, 14 Nov 2010 00:27:26 -0500 (EST)  
>>>>Subject: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>Here's an interesting sighting in that you've got more than one  
>>>>witness and the size and behavior of this Black Triangle is text  
>>>>book to sightings going back decades:

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/37afxzo>

>>>>There's no doubt about the reality of these craft and for some  
>>>>to say the U.S. doesn't own these craft I doubt them.

>>>What makes you think the U.S. owns them? Wishful thinking maybe?  
>>>That old dog won't hunt and it hasn't for nearly 70 years.

>>When I see in my vacation photo what appears to be the underside  
>>of a large, triangle-shaped craft floating in the clouds over  
>>Hawaii near an American military base, I have to say it must be  
>>something owned by the US military. Either that, or the US is  
>>friends with the owner.

>>I've posted the link before but here again is my discussion of  
>>the photo.

>><http://tinyurl.com/26saokj>

>>It's easy to reject the interpretation because, after all,  
>>people can see anything they want in clouds, right? But then I  
>>would insist that the geometrical objects should not be there.

>>My interpretation of the photo is a small piece in a bigger  
>>puzzle, and I think it fits pretty well. Triangle craft seem to  
>>roam the US with impunity. The military response is minimal if  
>>there is any at all. A reasonable inference is that they are  
>>part of the military, or are at least 'friendlies'.

>William,

>This argument does not work, at least, for the Triangle Wave in  
>Belgium, as General De Brouwer has explained several times.

>Just imagine: highly advanced, top secret American craft

>roaming, above the roofs of Belgium, without even warning the  
>Belgian Air Force!

As it turned out, the craft could go where they wanted without permission from the Belgian Air Force. These craft would not necessarily have been American, and it's possible they were not controlled by any one nation. There may exist a power structure that most of us know nothing about. Richard Dolan's idea of the existence of a breakaway civilization made possible by the new technology is mind-blowing but not impossible.

>The argument, suggested by some, of a secret "socio -  
>psychological" experiment does not make sense either (if not  
>alien). Just imagine the enormous risk of an engine failure and  
>crash on the main square of a Belgian village.

I live under a flight path to an airport, and I see many aircraft fly over my house. No one seems too concerned that I might be in any danger. So the risk of a crash onto a Belgian village could well have been minimal - even non-existent, since we don't know the capabilities or reliability of the craft in question.

In any case, I have never taken the position that all UFOs are human-made. I have decided that in today's world, where there is so much jaw-dropping deception by officialdom in relation to geopolitics, that I should remain open to the possibility that some are of terrestrial origin. Perhaps the capability is fairly recent, possibly beginning just before the wave in Belgium. According to Dolan's book, the first flap of triangle UFOs occurred in 1975 in North Carolina.

>So, the mystery remains, it seems to me. And some people may  
>still be trying to hide it.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## The Real X Files Are In Uruguay

From: A. J. Gevaerd <[aj.nul](mailto:aj.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 15:37:58 -0200  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:54:50 -0500  
Subject: The Real X Files Are In Uruguay

Dear Listers:

I just arrived home from Uruguay where I gave a lecture about the progress of the Brazilian UFO disclosure and I interviewed the colonel Ariel Sanchez, navigator and flight controller of the Uruguayan Air Force (FAU) who is also the commander of the Commission for Reception and Inquiry of Unidentified Flying Objects Reports (CRIDOVNI), one of the few OFFICIAL UFO groups on planet Earth whose existence is known openly, along with Chilean CEFPA and Peruvian OIFAA.

There is no secret at all about it in Uruguay and the population knows about it and how the office works, which is official because it was created by a government decision in 1979.

In the country of about 3 million inhabitants, CRIDOVNI does a kind of ufology that is unique and does not exist anywhere else in the world. It is a OFFICIAL UFOLOGY. Members of CRIDOVNI are in fact professionals ufologists, with their salaries been paid by the FAU. They serve the air force in regular activities and use part of their time to do official UFO researches, standing by for calls and field investigations.

CRIDOVNI has two offices, one in downtown Montevideo and other inside the headquarters of FAU at Borto Lanza Airfield, and it counts with the assistance of laboratories, universities and several institutes spread around the country to help in their researches. All these institutes feel proud to collaborate with CRIDOVNI.

I spent Sunday, November 14th, with Daniel Silvera, Secretary of the organization, who told me the details of the foundation and CRIDOVNI's operations. It was an extremely interesting conversation. Silvera said that, like others, he can receive calls anytime from anywhere in the country from someone reporting a UFO. Then, they follow a routine that is part of their lives for years: the coordination of CRIDOVNI meets immediately to decide who will follow the call, and there goes the team convened to the field, normally dressed as air force military, in official cars and with cameras equipment etc. Very professional.

They arrived on site, talk to witnesses, raise all available data, collect samples and evidences (if any), and return to the capital to begin the investigation, following a rigorous methodological standard.

CRIDOVNI personnel is meticulous and detailed people, and all have technical skills. Some are engineers, other chemists, some professors, a few flight controllers, some psychologists etc. They apply to the exhaust the scientific research method, which has also been exported to other countries, like Peru, where the Anomalous Aerial Phenomena Investigation Office (OIFAA) applies them. (By the way, I am also interviewing the commander of the OIFAA, colonel Julio Chamorro, with sensational revelations.)

I want to say that guys like Daniel and Ariel, there in Uruguay, among many other members of CRIDOVNI, always on stand by to any

event involving UFOs, are the real Fox Mulder and Dana Scully, and the UFO cases that they investigate are the legitimate X-Files.

It's been a great thrill to know how CRIDOVNI's structure works. And to wonder that Brazil did it at the same level in 1969 with the System of Investigation of Unidentified Aerial Objects (SIOANI) in São Paulo! Yes! The SIOANI was like the CRIDOVNI, but came before - 10 years before - and was much bigger, evidently due to the size of our continental country.

See photos here:

<http://tinyurl.com/2f38cvm>

Look for photo gallery.

Best,

A. J. Gevaerd  
Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine  
[www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:53:27 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 10:15:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Greg Paloma <[fractalmaze.nul](mailto:fractalmaze.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 22:03:39 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

<snip>

>Second, a strong residual magnetic field may have persisted  
>after the parting of the object in question. Therefore, the  
>field is tied to the Earth's soil and no other fancy explanation  
>is necessary until further testing is implemented there and in  
>the surrounding area to determine, if for no other reason,  
>whether similar fields preexist or are otherwise unique to the  
>area:

>Can we prove or disprove whether minerals in the soil are  
>predisposed to register and record such fields and at what field  
>strength? Also, was the field measurement properly mapped in the  
>first place? In my experience, errors in instrument handling and  
>interpretation are not uncommon, especially when mapping 3D  
>fields with a single axis instrument.

The complete story of the remnant magnetic field is told at:

<http://www.brumac.8k.com/MagneticUFO/MagneticUFO.html>

As pointed out there, the strongest gradient occurred when the gradiometer was held upward at arm's length and "pointed" at the tops of some 12-15 ft pine trees. Of particular importance is that there was much lower response or no response when the wand was near the ground. Two days after the field was discovered a repeat search of the area found the field, even where the signal was strongest.

As far as using the instrument correctly or being an instrumental artifact is concerned, the gradiometer operator checked his instrument immediately after getting the first unexpected response near a pond.

An area survey of the standard grid type was not carried out, unfortunately. Therefore it is impossible to construct a complete map of the field gradient strength or the "shape" of the field.

But the main aspect of this case, the presence of a field where there should have been none, is still a mystery.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and



UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

## Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond Comprehension

From: Dave Haith <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 22:32:50 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 10:20:13 -0500  
Subject: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond Comprehension

This article might interest Listers.

-----

Source: The Daily Galaxy

<http://tinyurl.com/3yghlob>

November 17, 2010

"Beyond Human Comprehension" - World's Leading Scientists Riff  
On ET Technology

Stephen Hawking warned recently that contact with an advanced extraterrestrial civilization could have dire consequences for the human species.

Arthur C Clarke once made the famous observation that any sufficiently advanced technology would be indistinguishable from magic.

Following in their footsteps, world renowned experts from physicist Sir Martin Rees of Cambridge University to astrobiologist Paul Davies of Arizona State have asked if we were to encounter alien technology far superior to our own, would we even realize what it was. A technology a million or more years in advance of ours could appear miraculous.

In fact, Davies writes in his new book, *Eerie Silence*, that advanced technology might not even be made of matter; that it might have no fixed size or shape; have no well-defined boundaries; is dynamical on all scales of space and time.

Or, conversely, does not appear to do anything at all that we can discern. Does not consist of discrete, separate things; but rather it is a system, or a subtle higher-level correlation of things.

Are matter and information, Davies asks, all there is? Five hundred years ago, he writes, "the very concept of a device manipulating information, or software, would have been incomprehensible. Might there be a still higher level, as yet outside all human experience, that organizes electrons?"

If so, this "third level" would never be manifest through observations made at the informational level, still less at the matter level.

We should be open to the distinct possibility that advanced alien technology a billion years old may operate at the third, or perhaps even a fourth or fifth level

-all of which are totally incomprehensible to the human mind at our current state of evolution in 2010.

Casey Kazan

-----

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 07:03:20 -0800  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 21:09:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:09:16 -0000  
>Subject: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

><http://tinyurl.com/34t423g>

>Quote from the above article:

>"There are fully formed distant galaxies that must have already  
>been billions of years old, over 13 billion years ago; which  
>would make them older than the Big Bang."

>So there goes the Big Bang theory - what's next?

Hi, Dave & List,

The above tinyurl link goes to a page 404 error, page not found.

Here is the correct tinyurl link, instead:

<http://tinyurl.com/2e6pa4x>

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 16:05:32 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 21:16:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:04:25 -0000  
>Subject: Radar Smudge a Mystery as Sky Turns Orange

>Another sky mystery - this one in Australia and caught  
>on radar:

><http://news.ninemsn.com.au/>

>Scroll down to find the Radar Smudge video from today's  
>bulletin.

I have discovered a problem with posting videos to the List  
from news websites - the links are changed as new news  
replaces them.

So here's a current one for this mystery:

<http://tinyurl.com/3942ng4>

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 11:26:40 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 21:21:28 -0500  
Subject: Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 22:32:50 -0000  
>Subject: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond Comprehension

>This article might interest Listers.

>-----

>Source: The Daily Galaxy

><http://tinyurl.com/3yghlob>

>November 17, 2010

>"Beyond Human Comprehension" - World's Leading Scientists Riff  
>On ET Technology

>Stephen Hawking warned recently that contact with an advanced  
>extraterrestrial civilization could have dire consequences for  
>the human species.

>Arthur C Clarke once made the famous observation that any  
>sufficiently advanced technology would be indistinguishable from  
>magic.

>Following in their footsteps, world renowned experts from  
>physicist Sir Martin Rees of Cambridge University to  
>astrobiologist Paul Davies of Arizona State have asked if we  
>were to encounter alien technology far superior to our own,  
>would we even realize what it was. A technology a million or  
>more years in advance of ours could appear miraculous.

<snip>

Thanks for the story link Dave!

Now we're getting somewhere!

I might add with a snicker and a giggle that no matter how  
awesome these probable intellects are, if push came to shove the  
average person right here on Earth would give a good account of  
themselves on levels they're unwilling and/or unable to take  
responsibility for.

When your back's against the wall, no where to run nor hide you  
would be surprised what you're really made out of.

Perhaps that's the only thing such beings have over us, they're  
aware of the truth and we humans are unable and/or unwilling to  
face those truths.

That's a hint.

Best,

Greg

ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

From: Greg Paloma <[fractalmaze.nul](mailto:fractalmaze.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 17:03:48 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 21:24:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:53:27 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

>>From: Greg Paloma <[fractalmaze.nul](mailto:fractalmaze.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 22:03:39 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Change In Refractive Index Of Space Near UFO

><snip>

>>Second, a strong residual magnetic field may have persisted  
>>after the parting of the object in question. Therefore, the  
>>field is tied to the Earth's soil and no other fancy explanation  
>>is necessary until further testing is implemented there and in  
>>the surrounding area to determine, if for no other reason,  
>>whether similar fields preexist or are otherwise unique to the  
>>area:

>>Can we prove or disprove whether minerals in the soil are  
>>predisposed to register and record such fields and at what field  
>>strength? Also, was the field measurement properly mapped in the  
>>first place? In my experience, errors in instrument handling and  
>>interpretation are not uncommon, especially when mapping 3D  
>>fields with a single axis instrument.

>The complete story of the remnant magnetic field is told at:

><http://www.brumac.8k.com/MagneticUFO/MagneticUFO.html>

>As pointed out there, the strongest gradient occurred when the  
>gradiometer was held upward at arm's length and "pointed" at the  
>tops of some 12-15 ft pine trees. Of particular importance is  
>that there was much lower response or no response when the wand  
>was near the ground. Two days after the field was discovered a  
>repeat search of the area found to field, even where the signal  
>was strongest.

This is not definitive but sloppy. An instrument pointed to an  
area is not the same as being immersed within it and finding  
equal potential points. This shows nothing more than that the  
instrument reader was biased to that particular area rather than  
widen and expand the field map.

>As far as using the instrument correctly or being an  
>instrumental artifact is concerned, the gradiometer operator  
>checked his instrument immediately after getting the first  
>unexpected response near a pond.

Were other ponds checked? Again your feeble opinions go no where  
without facts. That I brought these points up also shows  
particular bias on your part not to have mentioned them in the  
first place. I think if I had hired you it is safe to say that  
your would not have survived your first day in my employ.

>An area survey of the standard grid type was not carried out,  
>unfortunately. Therefore it is impossible to construct an  
>complete map of the field gradient strength or the "shape" of



>the field.

This is my point and therefore you have done nothing to further the argument in your favor. Thank you . . . perhaps you might hope that others would read this and think otherwise?

>But the main aspect of this case, the presence of a field where >there should have been none, is still a mystery.

No, this is not a mystery if the analysis wasn't done properly. This is putting the cart before the horse. Do you think you are swaying the opinion of a fool?

Greg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

### Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 13:38:49 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 21:45:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 09:56:00 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Steve Sawyer<[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 18:36:38 -0800

<snip>

>>Hi, William& List,

>>Sorry, William, but this dog won't hunt, either. I looked at the  
>>photos on your site, blew them up even further, and I still do  
>>not see the features you do, let alone what you show in the  
>>accompanying schematic. I suspect this is a case of pareidolia  
>>combined with wishful thinking and digital artifacts created by  
>>enhancing and manipulating the cloud image (and all I see even  
>>then are just clouds). Yes, a much clearer photo would be nice,  
>>as yours does not show what you contend, IMHO.

>Human perception sure does vary, it seems. I have no problem  
>seeing the simple geometrical shapes in the photo, even without  
>enhancement. I measure 45 and 60 degree angles between the lines  
>in the image. That, in itself, is unusual. Of course, if all you  
>see is cloud, you would not be able to do that.

>Pareidolia operates at a more cognitive level, I think.

>>As for presuming Don's only criterion for deciding "who owns the  
>>aircraft" (what aircraft?) would be a clearer photo and official  
>>confirmation, that too is your supposition, not Don's.

>True, this is an impression I have from Don's writings. If I'm  
>wrong, perhaps Don would in a better position to tell me so.

>>Finally, where you say, "...we use inference all the time to  
>>create a picture in our minds of current reality. Why should  
>>this be any different?" - it's completely different because  
>>we're not talking about using inference and mental imagery to  
>>create a picture in our minds, we're talking about actual,  
>>external aerial phenomena and potential "aircraft" in photos or  
>>witness reports, and which without some distinct evidence in  
>>photographic form,&/or multiple witnesses geographically in  
>>differing locations. yet reporting independently a uniform  
>>appearance and behavior of said object, etc., it does remain  
>>simply an inference, circumstantial, subject to  
>>misinterpretation or misidentification, and thus only anecdotal  
>>and speculative. It may or may not be as perceived or  
>>interpreted. That's the current reality.

>I don't think we're as far apart here as you seem to think. I  
>also used the words 'inference' and 'circumstantial'. The world  
>view we all have is based on information that is of varying  
>quality, and it is always subject to revision, hopefully. Mine  
>is derived from information from multiple sources including this  
>list. I filter the information by considering the source and  
>other criteria, and then take it as evidence for or against the

>validity of certain points of view.

>Everything we now think we know is subject to change, and to a  
>greater or lesser degree, much of what we experience is "simply  
>an inference, circumstantial, subject to misinterpretation or  
>misidentification, and thus only anecdotal and speculative. It  
>may or may not be as perceived or interpreted." So I agree with  
>that.

>When Don says that the US does not fly secret triangular craft,  
>that is his opinion based on what he thinks is true.

I never said that. The US, Canada, U.K., France, Russia (Soviet Union) all tested and flew secret triangular shaped-delta winged over the last 60 years. But what I was getting at was that they all flew conventionally, were conventionally noisy, could not hover soundlessly, accelerate from a standing stop to over thousands of miles per hour - noiselessly or otherwise.

The preoccupation of many researchers with shape over and rather than what is aerodynamically possible with today's technology, is usually their downfall. But it is a favorite of the uninformed skeptic-present company excluded.

>I merely  
>pointed out that my interpretation of events is consistent with  
>the opposite point of view. For example, take the Stephenville  
>incident where it was reported that an anomalous craft slowly  
>approached the President's ranch. There were fighter planes in  
>the air, but no apparent challenge to its approach.

Where did you get that idea? Two F-16s were observed in afterburners and according to a couple of pilots over in Hico they went supersonic while in pursuit. If this was true then the law was broken that govern's supersonic flight over continental US and Canada. Robert Powell can offer you numerous instances of F-16 activity in the Stephenville, Hico, Dulles/Fortworth area. He has the radar data to support it. It appears that a dozen or more F-16s were airborne; more than could safely be accommodated within the Brownwood MOA whose northeastern corner is just a few miles south of Stephenville.

>There are  
>several ways to interpret that. The only one consistent with  
>alien invader is that the fighters were totally outclassed and  
>they knew it. The more likely alternative is that the incursion  
>was acceptable to the military because it was one of their own.  
>Don would disagree because it is unthinkable to him that such  
>craft would be test flown over populated areas.

First it is common sense not to do so, plain stupid to do otherwise and illegal.

The point of secret test flying of experimental aircraft is to maintain that secrecy.

>That seems  
>right, and would mean that it most likely was not a test flight  
>but a fully operational craft.

Yeah, but who's. If by some stretch of the imagination this was some Top Secret aircraft in the US arsenal, why fly it over Stephenville and let it be seen. Why draw even more attention to it by flying it 19 miles away from the restricted area around President Bush's ranch. Why is it that the the presumed security forces of the US take leave of their senses periodically and fly monstrous Top Secret craft over populated areas. There is no good reason for this other than to supply uninformed debunkers, skeptics and researchers with an explanatory argument that is unsupportable.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 13:57:03 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 21:48:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:22:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Gildas Bourdais<[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:11:40 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

<snip>

>>This argument does not work, at least, for the Triangle Wave in  
>>Belgium, as General De Brouwer has explained several times.

>>Just imagine: highly advanced, top secret American craft  
>>roaming, above the roofs of Belgium, without even warning the  
>>Belgian Air Force!

>As it turned out, the craft could go where they wanted without  
>permission from the Belgian Air Force. These craft would not  
>necessarily have been American, and it's possible they were not  
>controlled by any one nation. There may exist a power structure  
>that most of us know nothing about. Richard Dolan's idea of the  
>existence of a breakaway civilization made possible by the new  
>technology is mind-blowing but not impossible.

So this secret power suddenly decided that they wanted to show  
off their stuff and let their secret out, huh. This is starting  
to go off the rails a bit, don't you think. More of a grasping  
at straws rather than any common sense?

>>The argument, suggested by some, of a secret "socio -  
>>psychological" experiment does not make sense either (if not  
>>alien). Just imagine the enormous risk of an engine failure and  
>>crash on the main square of a Belgian village.

>I live under a flight path to an airport, and I see many  
>aircraft fly over my house. No one seems too concerned that I  
>might be in any danger.

February 13, 2009. Continental Flight 3407 crashed outside  
Buffalo New York killing 49 passengers and the occupant of the  
house it crashed on. It has happened before and will happen  
again-hopefully you will not be a casualty.

But let's supposed this had been a secret government aircraft  
rather than an airliner - American or otherwise - right smack  
dab in the middle of a heavily populated area one can imagine  
the sh\*t hitting the fan over this one. It would be pretty hard  
to cover that up. And for what? Why even risk it?

>So the risk of a crash onto a Belgian  
>village could well have been minimal - even non-existent, since  
>we don't know the capabilities or reliability of the craft in  
>question.

I don't think you or anyone else is in the position to say that  
the risk of a crash into a Belgium village would be minimal.

>In any case, I have never taken the position that all UFOs are  
>human-made. I have decided that in today's world, where there is  
>so much jaw-dropping deception by officialdom in relation to  
>geopolitics, that I should remain open to the possibility that  
>some are of terrestrial origin.

Yeah, but it is predictable jaw-dropping deception with non-jaw  
dropping technology or assets.

>Perhaps the capability is fairly  
>recent, possibly beginning just before the wave in Belgium.  
>According to Dolan's book, the first flap of triangle UFOs  
>occurred in 1975 in North Carolina.

December, 1973 - RCMP report: Triangular UFO reported over Minas  
Basin, Nova Scotia.

>>So, the mystery remains, it seems to me. And some people may  
>>still be trying to hide it.

I don't doubt that it is hidden, but it ain't ours.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 15:30:38 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 22:04:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:09:16 -0000  
>Subject: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

><http://tinyurl.com/34t423g>

>Quote from the above article:

>"There are fully formed distant galaxies that must have already  
>been billions of years old, over 13 billion years ago; which  
>would make them older than the Big Bang."

>So there goes the Big Bang theory - what's next?

>Dave Haith

Why not there are multiple Big Bangs, which are actually huge  
black holes which have reached some sort of critical mass?  
Maybe the huge black holes don't suck in every galaxy before  
they go off.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond

From: **Eleanor White** <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 15:44:07 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 22:05:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 22:32:50 -0000  
>Subject: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond Comprehension

<snip>

>We should be open to the distinct possibility that advanced  
>alien technology a billion years old may operate at the third,  
>or perhaps even a fourth or fifth level  
> -all of which are totally incomprehensible to the human  
>mind at our current state of evolution in 2010.

>  
>Casey Kazan

Oh, we'll get there eventually. One of these centuries,  
"science" will finally wake up and realize that the "if it can't  
be reproduced by everyone who tries, it's not real" rule is a  
pile of excrement.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

## News Links - 18-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 12:34:14 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 12:34:14 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 18-11-10

News Links - 18-11-10

Triangle UFOs Investigated In Two Oklahoma Sightings  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2fhmh4g>

Glastonbury Tor UFO Caught On Camera?  
Glastonbury People  
<http://tinyurl.com/33vcj7z>

Two UFO Sightings In Haskell County Called Credible - Video  
News On 6  
<http://tinyurl.com/25oezge>

Mysterious Blue Light UFO Over Centreville Identified - Video  
MyFox Washington DC  
<http://tinyurl.com/27obfuk>

UFO Sighting Over Boston Was Only Tabloid News Inspired By  
'Centreville UFO'  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/294t8jm>

China: That's No UFO, That's My Cessna  
Financial Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dcekkc>

UFO... Or A Trick Of The Light  
NW Evening Mail  
<http://tinyurl.com/28fnfge>

Filer's Files # 47 - 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/23f56zc>

UFO Studies Offer Glimpse Of The Future  
RIA Novosti  
<http://tinyurl.com/35jrjag>

'Warp Speed Has No Place In Modern Physics'  
Washington Post  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cqyr5k>

A Way For The Cosmos To Know Itself  
Lariat Saddleback College  
<http://tinyurl.com/27jdpfc>

Nazi Spaceship Film Sparks UFO Debate  
The Telegraph  
<http://tinyurl.com/38ys9eu>

From Frank Warren's THE UFO Chronicals:

UFOs Reported 200 Ft Above Key Largo Nike Missile Base HM-40  
<http://tinyurl.com/28ywsj3>

Security Guard at Nuclear Missile Site Reports UFO Near Complex

<http://tinyurl.com/2fhbkuu>

From Greg Boone:

Kecksburg UFO Continues To Draw Interest  
Pittsburg Tribune  
<http://tinyurl.com/2f5xajq>

Wind Farm UFO Like Something Out Of Dr. Who  
Louth Leader  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bubktm>

From 'The Norm':

'Space-Time Cloak' to Conceal Events  
Science Daily  
<http://tinyurl.com/23yx7zu>

Antimatter Captured In Major Scientific Breakthrough  
The Globe & Mail  
<http://tinyurl.com/35fxsrf>

A Cosmologist's Wish List: Four Most-Wanted Discoveries  
Universe Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/283gjkp>

Falling Brains Dept:

UFOs Near Stigler?  
KRMG  
<http://tinyurl.com/2b2erod>

England UFO Alert: The Truth About UFO Sightings  
Associated Content  
<http://tinyurl.com/2fhdd7g>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## UFO Postmark In Belleville Wisconsin

From: Rich Heiden <[rwheidenu.nul](mailto:rwheidenu.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 17:24:17 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 10:12:42 -0500  
Subject: UFO Postmark In Belleville Wisconsin

Hi all,

Sorry, I neglected to send this before. But you might be interested in the postmark for Belleville (Wis.) UFO Day (which was Oct. 30). It was reported in these newspapers from my state-wide clipping service:

- New Glarus (Wis.) Post Messenger Recorder, Oct. 21 and 28, 2010 (this is Belleville's local paper now, after the Belleville Recorder merged with another paper).

- Evansville (Wis.) Review, Oct. 27, 2010.

Commemorative cancellations are available for a certain period of time after the cancellation date. A woman at the USPS's toll-free number says two months, but the two later articles above say the order needs to be postmarked by Nov. 30. Also, it should be possible to get unaddressed envelopes with the cancellation. Instead of sending the P.O. only stamped addressed envelopes, send stamped blank envelopes, along with a larger envelope for the other ones to be put inside of to be returned to you. Actually, you don't need envelopes=E2=80=94the cheaper postal cards would work just fine, and maybe you could send the Belleville P.O. a check for some of them (or for the pre-stamped postal envelopes), without having to buy them yourself and mail them. (Of course, the envelope you send for the return of the cards should have adequate postage.)

I am planning to get several myself - I have some of the UFO-ish "science fiction" booklet stamps from a few years ago that I will use as part of the postage. If you want to do something like that, a local philatelic store should be able to accommodate you.

Here is the address:

U.S. Post Office  
102 S. Vine St  
Belleville, WI 53508-9183

The USPS's Web site is SUPPOSED to have news on commemorative cancellations, but I can't find any. But for a picture of Belleville's, see:

<http://tinyurl.com/2daucrv>

Rich Heiden

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 10:29:13 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 12:56:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 11:26:40 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond Comprehension

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 22:32:50 -0000  
>>Subject: Scientists Say ET Technology Beyond Comprehension

>>Source: The Daily Galaxy

>><http://tinyurl.com/3yghlob>

>>November 17, 2010

>>"Beyond Human Comprehension" - World's Leading Scientists Riff  
>>On ET Technology

>>Stephen Hawking warned recently that contact with an advanced  
>>extraterrestrial civilization could have dire consequences for  
>>the human species.

>>Arthur C Clarke once made the famous observation that any  
>>sufficiently advanced technology would be indistinguishable from  
>>magic.

<snip>

>Perhaps that's the only thing such beings have over us, they're  
>aware of the truth and we humans are unable and/or unwilling to  
>face those truths.

>That's a hint.

Hi Greg and greetings everyone!

The multidimensional Universe - the creative work of God - IS  
beyond human comprehension.

The technology of fellow intelligent entities who share the same  
3-D reality as ourselves is certainly not. Although human  
understanding of our reality is limited by our 5 senses or  
detectors (maybe 6 for women and more for other Earth  
creatures), there are many things in our 3-D reality which we  
cannot see or measure that we can still study and even have  
incorporated in our own technology.

Also, much ET technology has already been shared with us that  
enabled direct communication using scalar EM waves (radio  
signals using longitudinal waves) with ETs, including those in  
Earth orbit years before Sputnik. Other material science  
technology acquired from the Roswell UFO crash in 1947 such as  
memory metals was even used by York University scientists to  
open and close the laser cover on the Phoenix Mars lander that  
did not require the use of motors or draw on the limited onboard  
power sources that allowed us to work within the weight and  
energy limits allocated to us by NASA for our Phoenix lander

experiments on Mars.

Earlier this week we had a visit by futurist Dorion Sagan (first born son of the late Carl Sagan). Amazed to learn that over 800 science and engineering students and faculty from York, had certificates in their offices stating that they were among the very first humans to actually walk on Mars (and possibly even unwittingly trample on Martians too!), he asked me to allow him to do likewise and he too did what even his father, the world famous astronomer and Mars scientist had not done, walk on Mars!

Nick Balaskas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 11:58:22 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 13:09:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 16:05:32 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO Updates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:04:25 -0000  
>>Subject: Radar Smudge a Mystery as Sky Turns Orange

>>Another sky mystery - this one in Australia and caught  
>>on radar:

>><http://news.ninemsn.com.au/>

>>Scroll down to find the Radar Smudge video from today's  
>>bulletin.

>I have discovered a problem with posting videos to the List  
>from news websites - the links are changed as new news  
>replaces them.

>So here's a current one for this mystery:

><http://tinyurl.com/3942ng4>

I haven't been able to locate any other coverage of this on  
Google News.

Colin Andrews has covered it on his website, and a pole shift  
website has posted his article, but otherwise coverage of it is  
pretty much absent from the rest of the web as well.

<http://www.colinandrews.net/AustralianOrangeGlowingMystery.html>

Carol

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 13:11:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 15:30:38 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:09:16 -0000  
>>Subject: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?  
>>><http://tinyurl.com/34t423g>

>>Quote from the above article:

>>"There are fully formed distant galaxies that must have already  
>>been billions of years old, over 13 billion years ago; which  
>>would make them older than the Big Bang."

>>So there goes the Big Bang theory - what's next?

>Why not there are multiple Big Bangs, which are actually huge  
>black holes which have reached some sort of critical mass?  
>Maybe the huge black holes don't suck in every galaxy before  
>they go off.

Dear List:

Last night as I was scanning the night time sky smoking a really good cigar, I started thinking what if... what if Earthlings were really the aliens. What I mean by that is there could probably be an entire federation of planets located toward the center of the Milky Way galaxy with a completely different understanding of how the universe works - THE Law of Physics.

We Earthlings, having never left our own solar system have A Law of Physics which is based only on how our solar system works. What should be clueing us into the fact that those Laws might be different outside our solar system is there is a wrinkle in a law as one of our little space probes is nearing the boundary of our system. It could be an indication that things could be very different in other places in the larger Universe.

What started this dialog with myself is remembering an old comic strip involving a swamp and swamp critters who made observations about life on this planet. The possum was named Pogo. One of the observations he made that stuck with me was: "We have met the aliens, and they is us." What if, compared to the rest of the Universe, we are the aliens with strange Physical Laws, physical build, thoughts. The odd guys out. Hah! Wouldn't that be funny.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 13:35:52 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:56:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Eleanor White<[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 15:30:38 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Dave Haith<[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates<[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:09:16 -0000  
>>Subject: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>><http://tinyurl.com/34t423g>

>>Quote from the above article:

>>"There are fully formed distant galaxies that must have already  
>>been billions of years old, over 13 billion years ago; which  
>>would make them older than the Big Bang."

>>So there goes the Big Bang theory - what's next?

>>Dave Haith

>Why not there are multiple Big Bangs, which are actually huge  
>black holes which have reached some sort of critical mass?  
>Maybe the huge black holes don't suck in every galaxy before  
>they go off.

Interesting you should suggest this, Eleanor. Sarg's BSM-SG theory actually proposes that each galaxy began with a big bang. According to the theory, primordial matter self-organized between concentric spheres to the point where there was an explosion resulting in both matter as we know it and the galactic aether, a dynamic lattice structure with properties that account for a number of common physical constants and phenomena. The galactic center presently consists of the remnants of this explosion. He says the theory predicts the gamma ray bubbles recently observed near the galactic center.

The following is his explanation of the bubbles.

-----

While this new discovery confuses the astrophysicists it is in full agreement with the BSM-SG concept about the birth of any individual galaxy. (There is no Big Bang, Universe is static. Every galaxy has own cycle of active existence, collapse, hidden recycling phase and birth of a new galaxy. Some stars that escaped the collapse are separate islands and in the new born galaxy they form Globular Clusters containing thousands closed spaced stars with strange behavior).

The Giant gamma ray bubble according to BSM-SG theory is a signature of the supermassive primordial matter that exists in the Milky way center (and in every galaxy). According to the scenario of the galaxy birth presented in Chapter 12 of BSM-SG the whole matter before the birth of the galaxy is enclosed in a Galactic Egg that has two shells: external and internal.

The internal shell enclosed a superdense primordial bulk matter.

The elementary particles for the whole unborn new galaxy crystallize between the two egg shells. The whole Galactic Egg rotates in respect to the Universe frame of reference. At some moment when the crystallization process is complete, the external egg shells breaks and the galaxy explodes -- a new galaxy is born.

The signature of this is a huge gamma ray burst -- the most powerful detectable explosion that confuses the astrophysicists. The internal egg shell is left and continues to rotate. The crystallized matter (stable elementary particles) is spread in a plane perpendicular to rotational axis and forms the galaxy with a shape of a pancake. The rotating internal shell is only from one type of prisms that obtains a huge electrical charge -- maybe the positive. This charge attracts the electrons and they form clouds. In these clouds the electrons begin to oscillate with the positrons forming electron-positron pairs. They emit X and gamma rays. Externally the electron-positron pairs are neutral so they are not attracted by the superstrong charge of the galactic nucleus but only by its gravity forces. For this reason they will form clouds. The centrifugal forces are smaller along the axis of rotation of the supermassive galactic nucleus, so the oscillating electron-positron pair will form bubbles aligned along this axis

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 19:02:21 -0000  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:59:17 -0500  
Subject: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

Here's an interesting anomaly which appeared sandwiched between three photos taken on Glastonbury Tor in Somerset, England.

<http://www.glastonburydogwalkers.com/blog>

Unsure of taking the Sun newspaper's UFO expert as a purveyor of all truth! - I would rather ask this List's resident photo analysts what they make of this - which reminds me a little of the famous 'rods' or 'skyfish' which I thought have now been discredited.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: **Bruce Hutchinson** <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:01:59 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 17:01:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>The possum was named Pogo. One of the  
>observations he made that stuck with me was: "We have met the  
>aliens, and they is us."

Not to be picky, but the Walt Kelly/Pogo quote actually goes:

"We have met the enemy, and he is us."

However, Kathy's version has been often, and incorrectly  
attributed to Kelly many, many times, as Google will show.

Regards,

Bruce Hutchinson

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

## Re: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 23:13:32 -0000  
Archived: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:32:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 19:02:21 -0000  
>Subject: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

>Here's an interesting anomaly which appeared sandwiched between  
>three photos taken on Glastonbury Tor in Somerset, England.

><http://www.glastonburydogwalkers.com/blog>

>Unsure of taking the Sun newspaper's UFO expert as a purveyor of  
>all truth! - I would rather ask this List's resident photo  
>analysts what they make of this - which reminds me a little of  
>the famous 'rods' or 'skyfish' which I thought have now been  
>discredited.

Good friend and UFO researcher George Wingfield, now resident in  
the US, has just written to me these comments:

-----

"No, Dave, that's not a skyfish, UFO or a streak of New Age  
earth energy over the Tor! The answer is far more mundane. I'm  
99% sure that it's a bird flying swiftly across the field of the  
camera probably no more than about 10 - 15 feet away. If you  
look closely you can see what appear to be faint images of  
raised wings at the beginning (left) and end (right) of its  
flight path. I'd guess it was a pigeon.

There is no reason for thinking this streak is behind the top of  
the Tor at a considerable distance from the camera. If it is  
about ten feet away, flying at, say, 60 mph (88 feet per sec) it  
would move about 9 feet in a tenth of a second. I suggest that  
on a dark day - which this appears to be - the automatically set  
exposure time might be about that.

In all my years of living near Glastonbury I've walked on the  
Tor many hundreds of times but never seen UFOs there. Not that  
I haven't seen UFOs elsewhere. However it may encourage you to  
know that John Michell writing about his visits to the Tor in  
the late 1960s wrote :-

.....we used to watch the nightly manoeuverings of lights in the  
sky, even on one occasion seeing a luminous "mother ship" with  
smaller lights going in and out of it.

Then John and his hippy friends were, at the time, smoking  
certain substances which I didn't have with me when I was  
walking my dogs on the Tor!

George Wingfield

P.S. You are right: so-called "Rods" which many photographers

captured with certain cameras have indeed been discredited. The effects are produced - like the photo you sent - by insects or birds flying across the camera's field of vision.

I also don't think much of the Sun's "in-house UFO expert". What planet does he live on?

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

From: Ron Eastwood <[rge.nul](mailto:rge.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 14:42:35 -0800  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 05:14:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

>From: Dave Haith [visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)  
>To: UFO UpDates [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010  
>Subject: Glastonbury Tor Photo Anomaly

><http://www.glastonburydogwalkers.com/blog>

>Here's an interesting anomaly which appeared sandwiched between  
>three photos taken on Glastonbury Tor in Somerset, England.

>Unsure of taking the Sun newspaper's UFO expert as a purveyor  
>of all truth! - I would rather ask this List's resident photo  
>analysts what they make of this - which reminds me a little of  
>the famous 'rods' or 'skyfish' which I thought have now been  
>discredited.

Dave Haith, et.al.,

I have begun to take the philosophical position on all the anomalous photos and personal accounts of high strangeness (as Lind Moulton Howe has coined it) which are flooding the internet and now even some of the main line news agencies of the world... the position that each event is just what it appears to be or just what the writer claims it to be until further evidence to the contrary surfaces. That is, unless it comes from Dr. Richard Boylan, in which case it is classified as "BS" immediately and will only be resurrected as "possible" if and when even one single bit of verifiable collaborative evidence surfaces!

Having said that about that I proceed with my developing criteria at establishing the "reality" or even "plausible reality" of anomalous subjects. If the photo or description comes from a reasonably well established investigator or authority I tend to give it much greater credance than from a first time experienter or unknown individual. Either way I then wait to see if the person shoots down their own discovery by further additional information. For example if someone comes up with photos of an extra terrestrial UFO then claims to be an abductee then starts to make a lucrative income from sale of books, CDs, lectures, etc. on his/her proclaimed discovery or experience or new found ability to channel communications which no one else is receiving... then I strongly tend to write off everything they offer until subsequent verification begins to show up.

I am willing to take the risk of treating the claims of experiencers and observers as valid until something proves them a hoax. If something looks like a wispy translucent vibrating mile long jelly fish like entity swimming through the air then I will keep an open mind as accept that it is what it appeared. Of course I try to find reasonable explanations to account for the anomaly (glare on the camera lense, tiny insect close to lense, digital processing artifact, etc.) But if none are found to be replicatable under the same conditions, then I will for the time being accept that we have a new "reality" amongst us. No harm (yet).

I would rather take that open minded approach than just out

right deny the possibility of strangeness just because it makes us uncomortable or leaves us scratching our head in uncertainty. I like to say to my friends when this sort of issue arises it is like the first time I walked barefoot on a three or four inch thick bed of glowing embers from an all day fire which reduced several thousand oak fire logs into a quarter mile long, 20 ft. wide, path of fire. We watched as the leaders took the first steps unharmed and then most of us followed. To my disbelief it not only did not injure my feet but we were able to bend over and pick up hand fulls of golf ball sized glowing embers and hold in our bare hands with no ill effect. No magic. No special grease on our skin. I still do not understand nor know how, but I definitely "believe" fire walking is possible. Having now done it several more times it is still just as amazing. So, I hold out for accepting the preposterous, allowing for the seemingly impossible or implausible, and just letting time tell wether or not it is a trick or a hoax.

There Dave. Dose that clarify the waters for you? Grin.

Peace, Ron

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## FTL Info And 'Teleportation'

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 23:29:36 -0000  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 05:34:04 -0500  
Subject: FTL Info And 'Teleportation'

Recall us discussing this about three years ago, under subject heading "Defending The Indefensible":

-----

ScienceNews

<http://tinyurl.com/3yhtrfp>

November 20th, 2010

Everyday Entanglement

Physicists take quantum weirdness out of the lab

By Laura Sanders November 20th, 2010; Vol.178 #11 (p. 22)

-----

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 17:32:47 -0600  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:02:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 15:30:38 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:09:16 -0000  
>>>Subject: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?  
>>>><http://tinyurl.com/34t423g>

>>>Quote from the above article:

>>>"There are fully formed distant galaxies that must have already  
>>>been billions of years old, over 13 billion years ago; which  
>>>would make them older than the Big Bang."

>>>So there goes the Big Bang theory - what's next?

>>Why not there are multiple Big Bangs, which are actually huge  
>>black holes which have reached some sort of critical mass?  
>>Maybe the huge black holes don't suck in every galaxy before  
>>they go off.

>Dear List:

>Last night as I was scanning the night time sky smoking a really  
>good cigar,

I'm sorry, I can't take you seriously until I find out what you  
think constitutes a good cigar.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 20:48:20 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:04:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 13:57:03 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:22:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais<[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:11:40 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

><snip>

>>>This argument does not work, at least, for the Triangle Wave in  
>>>Belgium, as General De Brouwer has explained several times.

>>>Just imagine: highly advanced, top secret American craft  
>>>roaming, above the roofs of Belgium, without even warning the  
>>>Belgian Air Force!

>>As it turned out, the craft could go where they wanted without  
>>permission from the Belgian Air Force. These craft would not  
>>necessarily have been American, and it's possible they were not  
>>controlled by any one nation. There may exist a power structure  
>>that most of us know nothing about. Richard Dolan's idea of the  
>>existence of a breakaway civilization made possible by the new  
>>technology is mind-blowing but not impossible.

>So this secret power suddenly decided that they wanted to show  
>off their stuff and let their secret out, huh. This is starting  
>to go off the rails a bit, don't you think. More of a grasping  
>at straws rather than any common sense?

Since I don't know what motivates them, I can't say why we are  
seeing more of them now. Maybe it suits them now to be taken for  
ETs.

I'm trying to come to grips with something that defies common  
sense. Stepping out of the box sometimes helps to make things  
make sense again.

>>>The argument, suggested by some, of a secret "socio -  
>>>psychological" experiment does not make sense either (if not  
>>>alien). Just imagine the enormous risk of an engine failure and  
>>>crash on the main square of a Belgian village.

>>I live under a flight path to an airport, and I see many  
>>aircraft fly over my house. No one seems too concerned that I  
>>might be in any danger.

>February 13, 2009. Continental Flight 3407 crashed outside  
>Buffalo New York killing 49 passengers and the occupant of the  
>house it crashed on. It has happened before and will happen  
>again-hopefully you will not be a casualty.

Thanks, Don, I hope so too!

>But let's supposed this had been a secret government aircraft  
>rather than an airliner - American or otherwise - right smack  
>dab in the middle of a heavily populated area one can imagine  
>the sh\*t hitting the fan over this one. It would be pretty hard  
>to cover that up. And for what? Why even risk it?

Have you ever heard of a black triangle crashing? They've apparently been around since the mid '70s over populated areas. Maybe the risk is very low. You have to agree that the black triangles are seen, so the risk of a crash is considered acceptable by whoever is operating them.

Again, it comes down to a question of agenda which we can only guess at. Try this one on for size. Werner von Braun was said to have expected a false flag operation that would be blamed on real ETs. An enemy in space would justify the expenditure of many more tax dollars on black project R&D. But first there would have to be a perception that ET is real and already visiting our planet. Hence, we see the blatant exposure of certain advanced technology craft to the general population. These would mistakenly be thought to belong to ET because people would never believe that it is secret human technology. Take yourself, Don, as an example.

If this is the agenda, we might expect to see overt hostilities at some point towards human targets by the black triangle craft. This would happen only after there is general agreement in the population that the craft are operated by ETs.

So if triangle UFOs are operated by humans, this is one possible rationale why they are taking the risk of crashing in a populated area.

>>So the risk of a crash onto a Belgian  
>>village could well have been minimal - even non-existent, since  
>>we don't know the capabilities or reliability of the craft in  
>>question.

>I don't think you or anyone else is in the position to say that  
>the risk of a crash into a Belgium village would be minimal.

>>In any case, I have never taken the position that all UFOs are  
>>human-made. I have decided that in today's world, where there is  
>>so much jaw-dropping deception by officialdom in relation to  
>>geopolitics, that I should remain open to the possibility that  
>>some are of terrestrial origin.

>Yeah, but it is predictable jaw-dropping deception with non-jaw  
>dropping technology or assets.

This would lead off into another controversial subject, but there is evidence that jaw-dropping technology was used in a jaw-dropping deception, but the technology was not recognized for what it was.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 11:30:44 +0200  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:07:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 11:58:22 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 16:05:32 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Radar Smudge A Mystery As Sky Turns Orange

>>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO Updates <[post.nul](#)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:04:25 -0000  
>>>Subject: Radar Smudge a Mystery as Sky Turns Orange

>>>Another sky mystery - this one in Australia and caught  
>>>on radar:

>>><http://news.ninemsn.com.au/>

>>>Scroll down to find the Radar Smudge video from today's  
>>>bulletin.

>>I have discovered a problem with posting videos to the List  
>>from news websites - the links are changed as new news  
>>replaces them.

>>So here's a current one for this mystery:

>><http://tinyurl.com/3942ng4>

>I haven't been able to locate any other coverage of this on  
>Google News.

>Colin Andrews has covered it on his website, and a pole shift  
>website has posted his article, but otherwise coverage of it is  
>pretty much absent from the rest of the web as well.

><http://www.colinandrews.net/AustralianOrangeGlowingMystery.html>

Is this the same story? It's unusual but not exotic. Dust cloud  
in Australia...

<http://tinyurl.com/27c4tcr>

Diana

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 20:04:59 -0000  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:17:21 -0500  
Subject: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 08:57:31 -0400  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Hastings To Speak At Oxford

>Robert Hastings To Speak At UK's Oxford University

-----

>Source: Event Elephant.Com

><http://www.eventelephant.com/hastingslecture>

>UFOs: The Secret Story

>Hosted by Paul Parl

>Wed, 24 Nov, 2010  
>Start time: 19:30  
>End time: 21:30

>St Hilda's College  
>Cowley Place  
>Oxford  
>Oxfordshire, OX4 1DY  
>United kingdom

>Admission: =A35.00 [Buy at site]

>UFOs: The Secret Story is a lecture by Robert Hastings, the renowned UFO researcher. Hastings has appeared on Larry King Live, and has given his lecture to numerous universities in the US.

>This is his first appearance at Oxford University, sponsored and organised by polymath and Oxford alumnus Paul Parl.

>The main theme of Hastings' lecture is his meticulous research of documented UFO incidents at nuclear missile sites around the world, a topic which he has investigated for decades. He has been approached by numerous high-ranking military officials who have provided him with impeccable testimony.

>It promises to be a fascinating evening...

I had this message from Robert Hastings:

-----

"At the moment, ticket sales are only up to 20, in a hall that seats 200. So, despite my press release being distributed last week, the word does not seem to be getting out to the public. (I can't believe that there aren't at least 200 interested people in the UK who live within driving distance of Oxford.)

In any case, my press release is at:

<http://tiny.cc/xvew5>

-----

So come on UK folk - Richard's was a headline making press conference around the world. Surely we can't allow this man to fly over the Atlantic to address only 20 people?

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## UFO Matrix Magazine Issue 3 Preview

From: Philip Mantle <[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 11:11:01 -0000  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:52:30 -0500  
Subject: UFO Matrix Magazine Issue 3 Preview

Hello everyone,

I have a free preview of Issue 3 of UFO Matrix Magazine if you would like a read.

Please visit:

<http://www.ufoevolution.com/forums/showthread.php?t=6705>

Regards,

Philip Mantle.  
[www.healingsofatlantis.com](http://www.healingsofatlantis.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 09:42:12 -0000  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:58:26 -0500  
Subject: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

I sent the below item round my personal eMail List because frankly, I'm fascinated with this subject.

It may seem off-topic to be posting it here but as you all know this guy Robbert also claims to be creating - or channelling - UFO photos as well as images of grey aliens.

And in many of these paranormal areas there's a definite crossover.

Nancy Talbott is also no stranger to this List and has been interviewed on Strange Days... Indeed.

-----

Get ready for your "boggle" factor to be challenged people...

For several years a crop circle/UFO researcher and friend Nancy Talbott has been visiting and studying medium Robbert van de Broeke in Holland.

Her website has featured this young man allegedly capturing all manner of anomalous images in digital cameras ranging from light orbs and strange wispy mists to UFOs, alien faces and also pictures of people who have died.

It's the latter group which makes up the bulk of her latest six page report on her website here: <http://tiny.cc/cen2e>

Some of this work has appeared previously and has been attacked all over the internet by sceptics and debunkers who claim Robbert is a fraud and trickster.

But Nancy has persevered undeterred because she is totally convinced - because she has been a prime witness along with others - that Robbert's strange talents are genuine.

She claims that many photos that Robbert has produced of dead people - simply by firing off a camera often randomly - have been recognised by their living relatives.

Nancy was even present when 60 photos of her brother's face appeared on the camera screen - two months after he died.

In the report linked above she tells of a woman disturbed by a 'presence' in a house, Robert visited there, took some photos which depicted the woman's neighbour who had died years previously. Later he captured on camera, images of the woman's dead mother.

Nancy further relates the story of the 'ghost' that came a'knocking at the door of a room where she was with Robbert. They heard three taps and Robbert photographed the closed door. The face of a man appeared on the camera screen several times as he pressed the shutter button.

In the report there is the story of a mystery soldier appearing on screen who was later identified to be almost exactly - but with subtle differences - the same as a soldier in the book of a

military neighbour!

Summing up what may be happening Nancy suggests Robbert may be "imbued with an expanded consciousness".

She writes: "That fits most reasonably my many years of direct observation of these events. And that with this remarkable sensitivity comes his ability to not only perceive, but to interact with, what may very well be multiple currently unknown aspects of consciousness or some other as yet unknown forces of nature not evident to most of the rest of us."

The report and pictures again here:

<http://www.bltresearch.com/robbert/apparition1.php>

-----

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: FTL Info And 'Teleportation'

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:42:24 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 07:01:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: FTL Info And 'Teleportation'

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 23:29:36 -0000  
>Subject: FTL Info And 'Teleportation'

>Recall us discussing this about three years ago, under subject  
>heading "Defending The Indefensible":

>-----

>ScienceNews

><http://tinyurl.com/3yhtrfp>

>November 20th, 2010

>Everyday Entanglement

>Physicists take quantum weirdness out of the lab

>By Laura Sanders November 20th, 2010; Vol.178 #11 (p. 22)

>-----

>Cheers

>Ray D

Great article there Ray! Thanks for sharing!

Again we see the progression where science has shown what  
scientists said was impossible is indeed possible as long as  
real scientists keep forging ahead.

It's a good bet that no matter how confusing the reasons why  
these phenomena in the story outlines occurs, that somehow life  
has already exploited that mystery and is probably utilized in  
the most simple manner that we humans have yet to confront and  
uncover.

Life exploits phases of matter, only human arrogance misses out  
on the details.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>



These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## UFOs & Nukes Telephone Interviews Back Up

From: **Frank Warren** <[frank-warren.nul](mailto:frank-warren.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 11:12:35 -0800  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 12:34:11 -0500  
Subject: UFOs & Nukes Telephone Interviews Back Up

Unbeknownst to me the 1996 audio interviews between Bob Salas & Walt Figel (Deputy Commander at Echo Flight), as well as one with the latter and Robert Hastings (recorded 2008); along with Fred Meiwald (recorded 1996) (Commander at Oscar Flight) & Salas became corrupted; the problem has been corrected and "the evidence" is there for anyone who cares to listen.

Can be found here:

<http://tinyurl.com/28q6l5t>

Considering all the controversy created by James Carlson, the tapes do two things:

- 1) Affirm UFO activity during the missile malfunctions.
- 2) Affirm the interviews took place and the dialogue was recounted accurately by Robert Hastings & Bob Salas.

Cheers,

Frank

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 12:35:57 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:05:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 09:42:12 -0000  
>Subject: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

>I sent the below item round my personal eMail List because  
>frankly, I'm fascinated with this subject.

>It may seem off-topic to be posting it here but as you all know  
>this guy Robbert also claims to be creating - or channelling -  
>UFO photos as well as images of grey aliens.

>And in many of these paranormal areas there's a definite  
>crossover.

>Nancy Talbott is also no stranger to this List and has been  
>interviewed on Strange Days... Indeed.

<snip>

>The report and pictures again here:

><http://www.bltresearch.com/robbert/apparition1.php>

Greetings Dave, Everyone,

The late Henry Gordon, a Canadian magician and co-founder of Ontario Skeptics, was invited to York University a few years ago to do a special magic show for our scientists and science students. If seeing is believing, then many of us that day would have joined the growing ranks of "experts" that have witnessed the impossible or paranormal. Unlike those who deceive us, Henry later showed us how he performed some of his amazing tricks. At the conclusion of his visit Henry told me that he enjoyed performing magic to scientists since he had more success in amazing them than school kids who were obviously more observant and better critical thinkers too...

Mechanically, the human eye images objects very much the same way cameras (both still and moving pictures) do. It is able to selectively unscramble the electromagnetic mess all around us and clearly focus images of the real objects present that are producing or reflecting/scattering waves in the visible range of the electromagnetic spectrum. There are many recorded instances by people more credible than "medium" Robbert van de Broeke where the eye saw and the camera captured the same real things. These anomolous things not only exhibited the same shadows and lighting effects as the rest of objects in the picture - not as the similar still 2-dimensional Robbert van de Broeke images or the famous Cottingley Fairies that physician Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was convinced were real.

The evidence that Nancy Talbott, a believing member of the uncritical and overly enthusiastic BLT Research Team, presents in support that Robbert van de Broeke's images could not have been faked is actually very damning evidence that they were faked! For example a cut-out picture will appear slightly distorted or out of proportion in exactly the same way Nancy

noted if it is even slightly rotated/twsited or not perfectly flat when placed in front of the camera lens!

Anomalous images, allegedly of actual ETs or alien/strange surroundings not visible to the human eye (for the same reason TV signals are not) were obtained by Wilbert B. Smith working together with fellow scientists and engineers in the 1950s who were experimenting with a different kind of television based on alien EM radio technology...

Nick Balaskas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 18:53:11 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:07:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 06:02:35 -0500  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 17:32:47 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>  
<snip>

>>Dear List:

>>Last night as I was scanning the night time sky smoking a really  
>>good cigar,

>I'm sorry, I can't take you seriously until I find out what you  
>think constitutes a good cigar.

Alfred:

I have at least two good cigars: any of Gurkha's and CAO  
flavors. How about yourself? Which brand do you think is a  
really good cigar?

I am hoping that those civilizations nearer the center of the  
Milky Way have their own version of really good cigars. It would  
be a really fine way to reach out and connect with those  
civilizations. Maybe, then Earthlings will be seen as somewhat  
more civilized and at least redeemable even though we live way  
out here on one of the arms of our home galaxy.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:43:18 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:10:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 20:48:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 13:57:03 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:22:20 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>From: Gildas Bourdais<[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:11:40 +0100  
>>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

<snip>

>>>>Just imagine: highly advanced, top secret American craft  
>>>>roaming, above the roofs of Belgium, without even warning the  
>>>>Belgian Air Force!

>>>>As it turned out, the craft could go where they wanted without  
>>>>permission from the Belgian Air Force. These craft would not  
>>>>necessarily have been American, and it's possible they were not  
>>>>controlled by any one nation. There may exist a power structure  
>>>>that most of us know nothing about. Richard Dolan's idea of the  
>>>>existence of a breakaway civilization made possible by the new  
>>>>technology is mind-blowing but not impossible.

>>So this secret power suddenly decided that theywanted to show  
>>off their stuff and let their secret out, huh. This is starting  
>>to go off the rails a bit, don't you think. More of a grasping  
>>at straws rather than any common sense?

>Since I don't know what motivates them, I can't say why we are  
>seeing more of them now. Maybe it suits them now to be taken for  
>ETs.

>I'm trying to come to grips with something that defies common  
>sense. Stepping out of the box sometimes helps to make things  
>make sense again.

>>>>The argument, suggested by some, of a secret "socio -  
>>>>psychological" experiment does not make sense either (if not  
>>>>alien). Just imagine the enormous risk of an engine failure and  
>>>>crash on the main square of a Belgian village.

>>>>I live under a flight path to an airport, and I see many  
>>>>aircraft fly over my house. No one seems too concerned that I  
>>>>might be in any danger.

>>February 13, 2009. Continental Flight 3407 crashed outside  
>>Buffalo New York killing 49 passengers and the occupant of the  
>>house it crashed on. It has happened before and will happen

>>again-hopefully you will not be a casualty.

>Thanks, Don, I hope so too!

>>But let's supposed this had been a secret government aircraft  
>>rather than an airliner - American or otherwise - right smack  
>>dab in the middle of a heavily populated area one can imagine  
>>the sh\*t hitting the fan over this one. It would be pretty hard  
>>to cover that up. And for what? Why even risk it?

>Have you ever heard of a black triangle crashing? They've  
>apparently been around since the mid '70s over populated areas.  
>Maybe the risk is very low. You have to agree that the black  
>triangles are seen, so the risk of a crash is considered  
>acceptable by whoever is operating them.

Not the US and not the Soviet Union or now Russia. So who? Next,  
every aircraft model ever built has crashed and the chances  
increase during development and experimentation and testing.  
There is no good reason to deliberately introduce them into  
civilian airspace over densely populated areas. Again the risk  
of loss and the subsequent explanations as to why over a foreign  
country, even a friendly country, would involve a great deal of  
embarrassment and the loss of top secret, hard-earned,  
expensive technology.

But I gree on one point once you geta way from the Earth  
technology argument to explain these UAP away the chances  
increase for their not crashing possibly due to exotic  
technology of which we can only dream at present.

>Again, it comes down to a question of agenda which we can only  
>guess at. Try this one on for size. Werner von Braun was said to  
>have expected a false flag operation that would be blamed on  
>real ETs. An enemy in space would justify the expenditure of  
>many more tax dollars on black project R&D. But first there  
>would have to be a perception that ET is real and already  
>visiting our planet. Hence, we see the blatant exposure of  
>certain advanced technology craft to the general population.  
>These would mistakenly be thought to belong to ET because people  
>would never believe that it is secret human technology. Take  
>yourself, Don, as an example.

Ah, now see you are assuming that I don't follow what is going  
on in aviation, that I don't follow trends, that I'm in the same  
boat with 98% of the research field. You are standing on  
proclamation where I am looking at the over-all picture as it  
has unfolded since WWII. And let's not foret that back in the  
early 1950s projects Sign, Grudge and Blue Book considered this  
very scenario and had discounted it by 1952 or 1953.

Werner von Braun wouldn't be the last to float this argument,  
but the USAF needed no other spur to research ,and most of all  
funding, than the USSR to exact a military budget that was and  
is the envy of the military of many countries around the world.  
Even now over 40% Of the US budget is devoted to military  
defensive and offensive weapons, R&D as well as the intelligence  
budgets yet I'm expected to believe that a good portion of the  
50% plus remainder is being spent more exotic endeavors.

Believe me if the American DOD thought that they could float  
this one to get more toys they would likely use it but Russia is  
still out there and China is catching up fast. If the TSA  
paranoia we see at airports these days is any indication of  
national defence thinking then there is no need to look too far  
for excuses to increase military funding.

>If this is the agenda, we might expect to see overt hostilities  
>at some point towards human targets by the black triangle craft.  
>This would happen only after there is general agreement in the  
>population that the craft are operated by ETs.

I don't think the general population has much to do with it.

>So if triangle UFOs are operated by humans, this is one possible  
>rationality why they are taking the risk of crashing in a  
>populated area.

What is the point of the risk; to show off?

>>>So the risk of a crash onto a Belgian



>>>village could well have been minimal - even non-existent, since  
>>>we don't know the capabilities or reliability of the craft in  
>>>question.

>>I don't think you or anyone else is in the position to say that  
>>the risk of a crash into a Belgium village would be minimal.

>>>In any case, I have never taken the position that all UFOs are  
>>>human-made. I have decided that in today's world, where there is  
>>>so much jaw-dropping deception by officialdom in relation to  
>>>geopolitics, that I should remain open to the possibility that  
>>>some are of terrestrial origin.

>>Yeah, but it is predictable jaw-dropping deception with non-jaw  
>>dropping technology or assets.

>This would lead off into another controversial subject, but  
>there is evidence that jaw-dropping technology was used in a  
>jaw-dropping deception, but the technology was not recognized  
>for what it was.

It apparently wasn't American 'jaw dropping' technology. The F-117A was already a known quantity, and at that time there were perhaps 25 of them. The B-2 accounted for two and the prototype rolled out in 1988. Both of these are subsonic vehicles-and BTW the F-117A isn't a fighter. An F-16 can blow the doors of the thing 'if' it could find it at night.

These Belgium objects were outrunning Belgian Air Force's F-16s. It wasn't Russian. That country was bankrupt and selling some of their sophisticated fighters to make money. Those were the only two in the equation during that period of time.

And William, you have to stay on one side of the argument or the other. In some cases above, it seems you are making my points for me.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 16:38:20 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 06:46:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:43:18 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 20:48:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 13:57:03 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:22:20 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>>From: Gildas Bourdais<[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 12:11:40 +0100  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

><snip>

>>Have you ever heard of a black triangle crashing? They've  
>>apparently been around since the mid '70s over populated areas.  
>>Maybe the risk is very low. You have to agree that the black  
>>triangles are seen, so the risk of a crash is considered  
>>acceptable by whoever is operating them.

>Not the US and not the Soviet Union or now Russia. So who? Next,  
>every aircraft model ever built has crashed and the chances  
>increase during development and experimentation and testing.  
>There is no good reason to deliberately introduce them into  
>civilian airspace over densely populated areas. Again the risk  
>of loss and the subsequent explanations as to why over a foreign  
>country, even a friendly country, would involve a great deal of  
>embarrassement and the loss of top secret, hard-earned,  
>expensive technology.

>But I gree on one point once you geta way from the Earth  
>technology argument to explain these UAP away the chances  
>increase for their not crashing possibly due to exotic  
>technology of which we can only dream at present.

To quote Donald Rumsfeld,

"There are known knowns. These are things we know that we know.  
There are known unknowns. That is to say, there are things that  
we know we don't know. But there are also unknown unknowns.  
There are things we don't know we don't know."

You won't acknowledge that last sentence, and that's why we are  
having this discussion. You think it natural that you would know  
about any new technology that might exist. I suggest that there  
are unknown unknowns that others might know and use to their

advantage.

>>Again, it comes down to a question of agenda which we can only  
>>guess at. Try this one on for size. Werner von Braun was said to  
>>have expected a false flag operation that would be blamed on  
>>real ETs. An enemy in space would justify the expenditure of  
>>many more tax dollars on black project R&D. But first there  
>>would have to be a perception that ET is real and already  
>>visiting our planet. Hence, we see the blatant exposure of  
>>certain advanced technology craft to the general population.  
>>These would mistakenly be thought to belong to ET because people  
>>would never believe that it is secret human technology. Take  
>>yourself, Don, as an example.

>Ah, now see you are assuming that I don't follow what is going  
>on in aviation, that I don't follow trends, that I'm in the same  
>boat with 98% of the research field. You are standing on  
>proclamation where I am looking at the over-all picture as it  
>has unfolded since WWII. And let's not forget that back in the  
>early 1950s projects Sign, Grudge and Blue Book considered this  
>very scenario and had discounted it by 1952 or 1953.

Are you saying now that you do believe that there is secret  
human technology? I'm not proclaiming anything. I thought I was  
offering a scenario, a rationale, for the apparent risk-taking.

No doubt you are quite knowledgeable about some things, Don, and  
I respect that. But you are not the only one capable of looking  
at the over-all picture. It might surprise you that others  
having different perspectives might arrive at a different over-  
all picture.

>Werner von Braun wouldn't be the last to float this argument,  
>but the USAF needed no other spur to research, and most of all  
>funding, than the USSR to exact a military budget that was and  
>is the envy of the military of many countries around the world.  
>Even now over 40% of the US budget is devoted to military  
>defensive and offensive weapons, R&D as well as the intelligence  
>budgets yet I'm expected to believe that a good portion of the  
>50% plus remainder is being spent more exotic endeavors.

Just before 9/11, the DoD (Rumsfeld again, I think) made some  
statement that trillions of dollars could not be accounted for.  
We probably have no idea how much money has been 'lost' and  
maybe spent on exotic stuff. From what you just said, it's clear  
that the military-industrial complex never has enough money to  
spend.

>Believe me if the American DOD thought that they could float  
>this one to get more toys they would likely use it but Russia is  
>still out there and China is catching up fast. If the TSA  
>paranoia we see at airports these days is any indication of  
>national defence thinking then there is no need to look too far  
>for excuses to increase military funding.

I agree the world has quickly recovered from the possibility of  
a 'peace dividend' after the wall came down. So follow the  
money. Who benefits, and who might therefore have shaped world  
events and opinion.

>>If this is the agenda, we might expect to see overt hostilities  
>>at some point towards human targets by the black triangle craft.  
>>This would happen only after there is general agreement in the  
>>population that the craft are operated by ETs.

>I don't think the general population has much to do with it.

Then you don't understand the argument I was making. False flag  
operations are all about making the population believe something  
is true.

>>So if triangle UFOs are operated by humans, this is one possible  
>>rationale why they are taking the risk of crashing in a  
>>populated area.

>What is the point of the risk; to show off?

No, the point is to make people fear a non-existent enemy to  
facilitate a political and economic agenda.

>>>>So the risk of a crash onto a Belgian

>>>>village could well have been minimal - even non-existent, since  
>>>>we don't know the capabilities or reliability of the craft in  
>>>>question.

>>>I don't think you or anyone else is in the position to say that  
>>>the risk of a crash into a Belgium village would be minimal.

>>>>In any case, I have never taken the position that all UFOs are  
>>>>human-made. I have decided that in today's world, where there is  
>>>>so much jaw-dropping deception by officialdom in relation to  
>>>>geopolitics, that I should remain open to the possibility that  
>>>>some are of terrestrial origin.

>>>Yeah, but it is predictable jaw-dropping deception with non-jaw  
>>>dropping technology or assets.

>>This would lead off into another controversial subject, but  
>>there is evidence that jaw-dropping technology was used in a  
>>jaw-dropping deception, but the technology was not recognized  
>>for what it was.

>It apparently wasn't American 'jaw dropping' technology. The F-  
>117A was already a known quantity, and at that time there were  
>perhaps 25 of them. The B-2 accounted for two and the prototype  
>rolled out in 1988. Both of these are subsonic vehicles-and BTW  
>the F-117A isn't a fighter. An F-16 can blow the doors of the  
>thing 'if' it could find it at night.

I didn't want to get into it here, but I was not referring to  
aircraft technology. I was referring to an exotic technology  
that is related to inertia-free propulsion. Both were the  
outcome of a new physics.

>These Belgium objects were outrunning Belgian Air Force's F-16s.  
>It wasn't Russian. That country was bankrupt and selling some of  
>their sophisticated fighters to make money. Those were the only  
>two in the equation during that period of time.

>And William, you have to stay on one side of the argument or the  
>other. In some cases above, it seems you are making my points  
>for me.

I don't disagree for the sake of disagreeing, Don. Most of what  
you say is reasonable. You just don't go where the clues lead.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:45:59 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 06:54:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 20:04:59 -0000  
>Subject: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 08:57:31 -0400  
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Hastings To Speak At Oxford

>>Robert Hastings To Speak At UK's Oxford University

<snip>

>"At the moment, ticket sales are only up to 20, in a hall that  
>seats 200. So, despite my press release being distributed last  
>week, the word does not seem to be getting out to the public. (I  
>can't believe that there aren't at least 200 interested people  
>in the UK who live within driving distance of Oxford.)

>In any case, my press release is at:

><http://tiny.cc/xvew5>

>-----

>So come on UK folk - Richard's was a headline making press  
>conference around the world. Surely we can't allow this man to  
>fly over the Atlantic to address only 20 people?

Dave:

Yes, Hastings had a press conference and press coverage, but  
maybe other people are having the same problem I am.

Briefly, everyone of the "witnesses" took a security oath. And,  
now these same people are just setting aside their oath. How can  
I trust that they are really providing the "real" facts of the  
events they claim they are reporting.

Just wondering.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:56:55 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 06:55:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:01:59 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>The possum was named Pogo. One of the  
>>observations he made that stuck with me was: "We have met the  
>>aliens, and they is us."

>Not to be picky, but the Walt Kelly/Pogo quote actually goes:

>"We have met the enemy, and he is us."

>However, Kathy's version has been often, and incorrectly  
>attributed to Kelly many, many times, as Google will show.

Gosh Bruce,

I remember the last panel of the Milwaukee Journal Green Sheet showing Pogo's statement. There had been a discussion about the possibility of being invaded by a superior race of people and Pogo was trying to reassure the swamp critters. To the point of claiming that the aliens were not to be feared because they were swamp critters.

Apparently, you remember differently.

I guess you win this one until the old panel pops up from its hidden place.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:05:29 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 07:00:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:43:18 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 20:48:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 13:57:03 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

<snip>

>>>So this secret power suddenly decided that they wanted to show  
>>>off their stuff and let their secret out, huh. This is starting  
>>>to go off the rails a bit, don't you think. More of a grasping  
>>>at straws rather than any common sense?

>>Since I don't know what motivates them, I can't say why we are  
>>seeing more of them now. Maybe it suits them now to be taken for  
>>ETs.

>>I'm trying to come to grips with something that defies common  
>>sense. Stepping out of the box sometimes helps to make things  
>>make sense again.

<snip>

Don,

Thank you for your serious arguments.

This debate is interesting because William Treurniet is trying hard to exclude the idea of alien craft, but he has to use arguments which - in his own words - "defy common sense", and he fails.

The idea that a very secret organization, having made these craft, would decide to show them in order to make us believe that they are alien, and so better hide their human origin, sounds really absurd to me.

I know that there is, behind this, the conspirationist idea, expressed notably by Dr Steven Greer, that these staged, false UFOs will open the door to a declaration of war against them, and a take over by that "Cabal", etc.

All this is mad science-fiction to me.

It reminds me of the legend of the first flying saucers of 1947 being in fact Nazi UFOs made secretly in underground bases, maybe under the ice cap of the South Pole!

Not long ago, I met people in France who toyed with that - Denis Denocla, for one.

You cannot kill that sort of myth. It's too exciting!

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## UFO Investigator Barbara Bartholic Dies At Age 71

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 08:00:23 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 08:00:23 -0500  
Subject: UFO Investigator Barbara Bartholic Dies At Age 71

Source: Tulsa World, Oklahoma, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/274798o>

11/20/2010

UFO Investigator Barbara Bartholic Dies At Age 71

By Tim Stanley  
World Staff Writer

Close encounters with Barbara Bartholic usually sparked the same reaction.

"She always left you laughing. She had this marvelous sense of humor," her sister, Catha Block, said.

"Barbara just had this way of making people feel important - like you were the only person in the world."

One out-of-this-world topic was no laughing matter, though, to the Tulsa artist and art gallery owner.

Bartholic had been fascinated for years by the possibility of extraterrestrial life, and in the 1970s, the subject consumed her.

Bartholic's subsequent field research into UFOs led to writing and speaking engagements. It wasn't long before she became a respected UFO authority worldwide.

One of the consultants on the movie "Close Encounters of the Third Kind," she later wrote about her experiences in the book "Barbara: The Story of a UFO Investigator."

Barbara Simon Bartholic died Nov. 10 after suffering a stroke. She was 71.

A service is set for 10 a.m. Saturday at Memorial Park Cemetery Chapel under the direction of Add'Vantage Funeral Service.

"Barbara really perceived a world that's larger than the one we live in," Block said, adding that she was never embarrassed by her sister's devotion to a subject that often draws stares.

"We were a free-thinking bunch and were open to the idea," she said.

"And it was so fascinating. It was like going out of your universe into another one. If I was able, I'd love to carry on her work."

Bartholic, who moved to Tulsa from St. Louis, Mo., as a child, started out in an entirely different line of work - just one of many over her life.

Her mother, Tulsan Alice Simon, was a fashion model when she was younger. So was her mother before her.

Bartholic carried on the family tradition, starting at age 17. Her modeling took her to Los Angeles and New York City, where she worked with well-known fashion designers.

After she married Tulsa artist Bob Bartholic, she decided to move on.

A painter herself who excelled in oils, she and Bob became leading figures in the Tulsa art scene and ran two downtown art galleries in the 1970s.

Barbara Bartholic also hosted her own television show, "Arts Arena," on a local cable channel. She interviewed Tulsa-area artists, but it wasn't a conventional talk show, her sister said.

"She was very inventive and avant-garde," Block said.

Bartholic's other interests began to take a back seat to her obsession with UFOs.

For several years, she worked closely with friend and fellow UFO investigator Jacque F. Vallee, a French astronomer who inspired the "Close Encounters" character of Claude Lacombe, played by French film director Francois Truffaut.

Bartholic interviewed alleged alien abductees and looked into reported sightings of UFOs around the country. After nearly 40 years, she was convinced, her sister says, that we are not alone.

Block added that Bartholic had been working recently on a documentary film on the subject.

She is survived by four children, Braden Bartholic, Mandy Wilson, Catha Clark and Becky Bartholic; her mother, Alice Simon; one sister, Catha Block; six grandchildren; and one great-granddaughter.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## News Links - 21-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 08:29:12 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 08:29:12 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 21-11-10

News Links - 21-11-10

'Pillar Of Light' Reported West Of Tennessee's Roan Mountain  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/295j9ls>

Sightings And Theories Abound In UFO Riddle  
Sutton Coldfield Observer  
<http://tinyurl.com/37wzrbr>

UFO Sighting Over Furness, UK Beach  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/28tr84f>

Horse Mutilations Near Denver Blamed On 'UFOs' By Paranormal  
Investigator, Sheriff Disagrees  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/23tqvw2>

Weird Scenes Inside The Gold Mine [On Kean, Shostak & Radford]  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/2djegaj>

For A Few Dollars More?  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/23vfw9y>

Hitler's Secret Flying Saucer: Did The F=FChrer Plan To Attack  
London And New York In UFOs?  
Daily Mail  
<http://tinyurl.com/32gar8h>

Hitler Devised UFO  
Sky News Australia  
<http://tinyurl.com/2f2lgfm>

UFO Sightings Expected With 'First Contact' Soon, Say Oregon  
Watchers  
HULIQ  
<http://tinyurl.com/3a2gqt7>

The Truth Is Out There: UFO Hunter Werner Walter  
Monsters and Critics.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bqcaam>

Free Audiobook: UFOs: Generals, Pilots, And Government  
Officials Go On The Record  
American Consumer News  
<http://tinyurl.com/235paxg>

Book 'AD After Disclosure' Explores UFO Mysteries, Human Race  
Transcendent TV & Media  
<http://tinyurl.com/27vphkn>

Trailer: See Daniel Craig Blast A UFO In Cowboys & Aliens  
Wired News

<http://tinyurl.com/2eu4dyt>

=46rom Frank Warren's THE UFO Chronicals:

UFOs Have Penetrated Restricted Air Space Over Nuclear Missile Sites; Jammed Vital Electronic Equipment & Eluded Fighter Aircraft

=46rom 'The Norm':

Mysterious Horse Deaths Have Family Seeking Answers

<http://tinyurl.com/23rzggb>

Wonders In The Sky: Unexplained Aerial Objects From Antiquity To Modern= Times

By Jacques Vallee and Chris Aubeck

<http://tinyurl.com/2bxob95>

Forgotten Dreams? A Call To Investigate The Mysteries Of Humanity

By Lawrence M. Krauss

<http://tinyurl.com/23h9axk>

Exoplanet Likely From Another Galaxy

<http://tinyurl.com/2g4cc69>

Surprise Link Between Weird Quantum Phenomena: Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle Sets Limits On Einstein's 'spooky Action At A Distance'

<http://tinyurl.com/255tckk>

Falling Brains Dept:

UN Preparing To Appoint 1st UFO Ambassador

WND.com

<http://tinyurl.com/239jjfg>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 12:21:20 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 08:31:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:45:59 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 20:04:59 -0000  
>>Subject: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 08:57:31 -0400  
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Hastings To Speak At Oxford

>>>Robert Hastings To Speak At UK's Oxford University

><snip>

>>"At the moment, ticket sales are only up to 20, in a hall that  
>>seats 200. So, despite my press release being distributed last  
>>week, the word does not seem to be getting out to the public. (I  
>>can't believe that there aren't at least 200 interested people  
>>in the UK who live within driving distance of Oxford.)

>>In any case, my press release is at:

>><http://tiny.cc/xvew5>

>>-----

>>So come on UK folk - Richard's was a headline making press  
>>conference around the world. Surely we can't allow this man to  
>>fly over the Atlantic to address only 20 people?

>Dave:

>Yes, Hastings had a press conference and press coverage, but  
>maybe other people are having the same problem I am.

>Briefly, everyone of the "witnesses" took a security oath. And,  
>now these same people are just setting aside their oath. How can  
>I trust that they are really providing the "real" facts of the  
>events they claim they are reporting.

>Just wondering.

I can assure you that very few people in the UK who might be  
interested would be put off by this issue. In fact, for many, it  
would act as a strong recommendation.

A clash with some very good live football on TV stands as a more  
likely explanation.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 14:42:11 +0200  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 08:33:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:45:59 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 20:04:59 -0000  
>>Subject: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 09 Nov 2010 08:57:31 -0400  
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Hastings To Speak At Oxford

>>>Robert Hastings To Speak At UK's Oxford University

><snip>

>>"At the moment, ticket sales are only up to 20, in a hall that  
>>seats 200. So, despite my press release being distributed last  
>>week, the word does not seem to be getting out to the public. (I  
>>can't believe that there aren't at least 200 interested people  
>>in the UK who live within driving distance of Oxford.)

>>In any case, my press release is at:

>><http://tiny.cc/xvew5>

>>-----

>>So come on UK folk - Richard's was a headline making press  
>>conference around the world. Surely we can't allow this man to  
>>fly over the Atlantic to address only 20 people?

>Dave:

>Yes, Hastings had a press conference and press coverage, but  
>maybe other people are having the same problem I am.

>Briefly, everyone of the "witnesses" took a security oath. And,  
>now these same people are just setting aside their oath. How can  
>I trust that they are really providing the "real" facts of the  
>events they claim they are reporting.

>Just wondering.

Are you asking

(1) are they possibly telling un-'real' things because people  
who don't abide by oaths are untrustworthy? or

(2) are they deliberately making up un-'real' stuff because they  
can't/won't break the oath they once took (and are maybe being  
instructed to make false claims)?

Diana

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:41:55 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 10:03:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:05:29 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:43:18 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 20:48:20 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2010 13:57:03 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

><snip>

>>>>So this secret power suddenly decided that they wanted to show  
>>>>off their stuff and let their secret out, huh. This is starting  
>>>>to go off the rails a bit, don't you think. More of a grasping  
>>>>at straws rather than any common sense?

>>>>Since I don't know what motivates them, I can't say why we are  
>>>>seeing more of them now. Maybe it suits them now to be taken for  
>>>>ETs.

>>>>I'm trying to come to grips with something that defies common  
>>>>sense. Stepping out of the box sometimes helps to make things  
>>>>make sense again.

><snip>

>Don,

>Thank you for your serious arguments.

>This debate is interesting because William Treurniet is trying  
>hard to exclude the idea of alien craft, but he has to use  
>arguments which - in his own words - "defy common sense", and he  
>fails.

>The idea that a very secret organization, having made these  
>craft, would decide to show them in order to make us believe  
>that they are alien, and so better hide their human origin,  
>sounds really absurd to me.

>I know that there is, behind this, the conspirationist idea,  
>expressed notably by Dr Steven Greer, that these staged, false  
>UFOs will open the door to a declaration of war against them,  
>and a take over by that "Cabal", etc.

>All this is mad science-fiction to me.

>It reminds me of the legend of the first flying saucers of 1947

>being in fact Nazi UFOs made secretly in underground bases,  
>maybe under the ice cap of the South Pole!

>Not long ago, I met people in France who toyed with that - Denis  
>Denocla, for one.

>You cannot kill that sort of myth. It's too exciting!

As a person who has seen these triangular craft very up-close on numerous occasions while I lived in the Hudson Valley and my sightings were along with dozens of other people and thousands at two sightings, I can say emphatically that there was nothing about these craft that suggested anything extraterrestrial.

Why would ETs need girder undercarriages? Why would they need afterburners? Navigational lights?

Albeit the craft had no insignia but there were a few incidents which I'll hold to myself for now that clearly, irrefutably show they were occupied by Americans it says to me either the U.S. built them or that the U.S. has something to do with them.

I did run into a gentleman who is one of the senior engineers on our aircraft. He had a very disturbing experience regarding these craft and he told me in no uncertain terms that if he didn't design or engineer a craft, we the U.S. do not have it. I was surprised because at that time he was living in my old neighborhood in the Hudson Valley and worked with friends and relatives at IBM.

The people who were with me during the sightings were civilian, military, press, elected officials, scientists of the highest caliber, cops in abundance, and so many college professors it isn't even funny.

Only on one occasion were two different craft observed. One very large circular craft that appeared to have been chased away by one of the smaller delta winged craft. That delta winged craft actually was hovering right over our heads and we didn't notice it until we heard some metal clanking noise and then the blue afterburners kicked in and the object shot off at high speed. We could clearly see it's outline and surface as amazingly the afterburners fired up and the craft was stationary for a few seconds before it tore ass west and then south west making a banking turn right over the city.

So we have a conflict here and arguments aplenty. I know what I saw, I'm in contact with many of the people who were there with me and those in the know, one of which is willing to come forward have no doubt they're U.S. craft. How they would come to this conclusion is because they were briefed as members of the press regarding them. That info I can't publish here.

So the debate rages and sorry if some people who boast knowledge of such issues haven't been informed but I can see why you haven't.

Black triangles, boomerangs, we lived it. Seen them, touched them, photographed, video taped, avoided being run off the road and more.

So all I know from actually being at the scene is that there were no indications these craft are of ET design. Might be. There are many other craft, saucers especially seen in the region for decades by highly credible witnesses. The public is going to have to wait.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:59:42 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 10:17:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:56:55 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:01:59 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>The possum was named Pogo. One of the observations he made that  
>>>stuck with me was: "We have met the aliens, and they is us."

>>Not to be picky, but the Walt Kelly/Pogo quote actually goes:

>>"We have met the enemy, and he is us."

>>However, Kathy's version has been often, and incorrectly  
>>attributed to Kelly many, many times, as Google will show.

>Gosh Bruce,

>I remember the last panel of the Milwaukee Journal Green Sheet  
>showing Pogo's statement. There had been a discussion about the  
>possibility of being invaded by a superior race of people and  
>Pogo was trying to reassure the swamp critters. To the point of  
>claiming that the aliens were not to be feared because they  
>were swamp critters.

>Apparently, you remember differently.

>I guess you win this one until the old panel pops up from its  
>hidden place.

Newspaper archives might help settle this, but that would take a  
little work. A simple search for the Pogo quote confirms what  
Bruce has suggested, and I'm not sure the phrase was used in a  
series of panels that told a story, but in a single pane that  
presented a comic message. But this is something that a Pogo  
Historian could resolve, and it raises an interesting question  
of the use of alien imagery in newspaper comics of the 50s and  
60s. How much were they impacted by the early movies that  
touched on 'alien' themes?

This is, of course, a different issue than the one at hand.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 16:29:49 -0000  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:53:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:45:59 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 20:04:59 -0000  
>>Subject: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>Robert Hastings To Speak At UK's Oxford University

<snip>

>Briefly, everyone of the "witnesses" took a security oath. And,  
>now these same people are just setting aside their oath. How can  
>I trust that they are really providing the "real" facts of the  
>events they claim they are reporting.

>Just wondering.

Hastings makes a point of only talking to military personnel who  
are no longer in active service.

The fact that he says that in 20 years nobody has been  
reprimanded or even criticised by the authorities for breaking  
an oath makes it easier for each new witness to come forward.

I say easier, but not easy, because why on earth should they  
risk ridicule and the kind of doubts that you are expressing,  
just to get their names in the paper or on screen at a  
conference?

Perhaps they consider that the silence of the authorities over  
this is further evidence of the veracity of their whistle-  
blowing.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:52:27 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:13:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 16:38:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:43:18 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 20:48:20 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

<snip>

>>>Have you ever heard of a black triangle crashing? They've  
>>>apparently been around since the mid '70s over populated areas.  
>>>Maybe the risk is very low. You have to agree that the black  
>>>triangles are seen, so the risk of a crash is considered  
>>>acceptable by whoever is operating them.

>>Not the US and not the Soviet Union or now Russia. So who? Next,  
>>every aircraft model ever built has crashed and the chances  
>>increase during development and experimentation and testing.  
>>There is no good reason to deliberately introduce them into  
>>civilian airspace over densely populated areas. Again the risk  
>>of loss and the subsequent explanations as to why over a foreign  
>>country, even a friendly country, would involve a great deal of  
>>embarrassment and the loss of top secret, hard-earned,  
>>expensive technology.

>>But I agree on one point once you get away from the Earth  
>>technology argument to explain these UAP away the chances  
>>increase for their not crashing possibly due to exotic  
>>technology of which we can only dream at present.

>To quote Donald Rumsfeld,

>"There are known knowns. These are things we know that we know.  
>There are known unknowns. That is to say, there are things that  
>we know we don't know. But there are also unknown unknowns.  
>There are things we don't know we don't know."

>You won't acknowledge that last sentence, and that's why we are  
>having this discussion. You think it natural that you would know  
>about any new technology that might exist. I suggest that there  
>are unknown unknowns that others might know and use to their  
>advantage.

>>>Again, it comes down to a question of agenda which we can only  
>>>guess at. Try this one on for size. Werner von Braun was said to  
>>>have expected a false flag operation that would be blamed on  
>>>real ETs. An enemy in space would justify the expenditure of  
>>>many more tax dollars on black project R&D. But first there  
>>>would have to be a perception that ET is real and already  
>>>visiting our planet. Hence, we see the blatant exposure of  
>>>certain advanced technology craft to the general population.

>>>These would mistakenly be thought to belong to ET because people  
>>>would never believe that it is secret human technology. Take  
>>>yourself, Don, as an example.

>>Ah, now see you are assuming that I don't follow what is going  
>>on in aviation, that I don't follow trends, that I'm in the same  
>>boat with 98% of the research field. You are standing on  
>>proclamation where I am looking at the over-all picture as it  
>>has unfolded since WWII. And let's not forget that back in the  
>>early 1950s projects Sign, Grudge and Blue Book considered this  
>>very scenario and had discounted it by 1952 or 1953.

>Are you saying now that you do believe that there is secret  
>human technology?

Not technology that matches the characteristics we have been  
seeing for the last 70 odd years and claimed as 'UFOs'.

>I'm not proclaiming anything. I thought I was offering a  
>scenario, a rationale, for the apparent risk-taking.

Sure you are, that's what this thread is about, and you are in  
questionable company because all skeptics and debunkers and some  
serious researchers with no real handle on what is or is not or  
was not 'flying' have proclaimed the Top-Secret -technology-  
mis-understood-technology solution for UAP without a shred of  
evidence to support it.

Very often I see a case supposedly explained away by some  
debunker or my favorite "a spokesman"-Pentagon, DOD, MOD, DND"  
(read their media shill) with said explanation having absolutely  
no relationship to what the witnesses reported. The O'Hare case  
is a perfect example of this.

>No doubt you are quite knowledgeable about some things, Don, and  
>I respect that. But you are not the only one capable of looking  
>at the over-all picture. It might surprise you that others  
>having different perspectives might arrive at a different over-  
>all picture.

If it's the Top Secret explanation, I discount them. I'm not  
saying that some of these sightings could not be of conventional  
aircraft, military or civilian.

I'm claiming that no military has a secret aircraft that  
explains the huge triangle type aircraft with both hover and  
very high speed capability. If you use an uninformed premise  
your overall picture is going to be skewed.

And from where I sit your willingness to buy into that  
explanation is an easy way out.

>>Werner von Braun wouldn't be the last to float this argument,  
>>but the USAF needed no other spur to research, and most of all  
>>funding, than the USSR to exact a military budget that was and  
>>is the envy of the military of many countries around the world.  
>>Even now over 40% of the US budget is devoted to military  
>>defensive and offensive weapons, R&D as well as the intelligence  
>>budgets yet I'm expected to believe that a good portion of the  
>>50% plus remainder is being spent more exotic endeavors.

>  
>Just before 9/11, the DoD (Rumsfeld again, I think) made some  
>statement that trillions of dollars could not be accounted for.

Baloney

>We probably have no idea how much money has been 'lost' and  
>maybe spent on exotic stuff. From what you just said, it's clear  
>that the military-industrial complex never has enough money to  
>spend.

I didn't say it, the military does-all of the time.

>>Believe me if the American DOD thought that they could float  
>>this one to get more toys they would likely use it but Russia is  
>>still out there and China is catching up fast. If the TSA  
>>paranoia we see at airports these days is any indication of  
>>national defence thinking then there is no need to look too far  
>>for excuses to increase military funding.

>I agree the world has quickly recovered from the possibility of

>a 'peace dividend' after the wall came down. So follow the  
>money. Who benefits, and who might therefore have shaped world  
>events and opinion.

>>>If this is the agenda, we might expect to see overt hostilities  
>>>at some point towards human targets by the black triangle craft.  
>>>This would happen only after there is general agreement in the  
>>>population that the craft are operated by ETs.

>>I don't think the general population has much to do with it.

>Then you don't understand the argument I was making. False flag  
>operations are all about making the population believe something  
>is true.

Aw Jesus, now we are moving into the false flag scenario again. In this  
day and age? That old saw was rusty back in the 50s and as I mentioned  
discounted as a scenario back then even by the USAF.

>  
>>>So if triangle UFOs are operated by humans, this is one possible  
>>>rationale why they are taking the risk of crashing in a  
>>>populated area.

>>What is the point of the risk; to show off?

>No, the point is to make people fear a non-existent enemy to  
>facilitate a political and economic agenda.

Well the United States and most of the western world don't need  
'aliens' to serve that purpose. The terrorists are filling that  
bill-but it is an existing enemy and very real.

>>>This would lead off into another controversial subject, but  
>>>there is evidence that jaw-dropping technology was used in a  
>>>jaw-dropping deception, but the technology was not recognized  
>>>for what it was.

>>It apparently wasn't American 'jaw dropping' technology. The F-  
>>117A was already a known quantity, and at that time there were  
>>perhaps 25 of them. The B-2 accounted for two and the prototype  
>>rolled out in 1988. Both of these are subsonic vehicles-and BTW  
>>the F-117A isn't a fighter. An F-16 can blow the doors of the  
>>thing 'if' it could find it at night.

>I didn't want to get into it here, but I was not referring to  
>aircraft technology. I was referring to an exotic technology  
>that is related to inertia-free propulsion. Both were the  
>outcome of a new physics.

Even in space there is enertia. Were or are? Show me.

>>These Belgium objects were outrunning Belgian Air Force's F-16s.  
>>It wasn't Russian. That country was bankrupt and selling some of  
>>their sophisticated fighters to make money. Those were the only  
>>two in the equation during that period of time.

>>And William, you have to stay on one side of the argument or the  
>>other. In some cases above, it seems you are making my points  
>>for me.

>I don't disagree for the sake of disagreeing, Don. Most of what  
>you say is reasonable. You just don't go where the clues lead.

There are no clues-that's the point. And I've been looking out  
for them for decades.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## We Have Met The Enemy... [was: Galaxies Older Than

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:36:28 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 05:28:43 -0500  
Subject: We Have Met The Enemy... [was: Galaxies Older Than

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:56:55 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:01:59 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>The possum was named Pogo. One of the  
>>>observations he made that stuck with me was: "We have met the  
>>>aliens, and they is us."

>>Not to be picky, but the Walt Kelly/Pogo quote actually goes:

>>"We have met the enemy, and he is us."

>>However, Kathy's version has been often, and incorrectly  
>>attributed to Kelly many, many times, as Google will show.

>Gosh Bruce,

>I remember the last panel of the Milwaukee Journal Green Sheet  
>showing Pogo's statement. There had been a discussion about the  
>possibility of being invaded by a superior race of people and  
>Pogo was trying to reassure the swamp critters. To the point of  
>claiming that the aliens were not to be feared because they were  
>swamp critters.

>Apparently, you remember differently.

>I guess you win this one until the old panel pops up from its  
>hidden place.

Kathy,

Here is a page that might help with copies of the original Pogo  
panel and the original book cover:

<http://tinyurl.com/24ofdmd>

Or this one:

<http://tinyurl.com/6vudg>

Regards,

Bruce Hutchinson

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 17:55:58 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 05:31:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 14:42:11 +0200  
>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:45:59 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

<snip>

>>Dave:

>>Yes, Hastings had a press conference and press coverage, but  
>>maybe other people are having the same problem I am.

>>Briefly, everyone of the "witnesses" took a security oath. And,  
>>now these same people are just setting aside their oath. How can  
>>I trust that they are really providing the "real" facts of the  
>>events they claim they are reporting.

>>Just wondering.

>Are you asking

>(1) are they possibly telling un-'real' things because people  
>who don't abide by oaths are untrustworthy? or

>(2) are they deliberately making up un-'real' stuff because they  
>can't/won't break the oath they once took (and are maybe being  
>instructed to make false claims)?

There is a possibility it is both, as you yourself suggest!

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:01:31 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 05:46:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Gildas Bourdais<[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:05:29 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 15:43:18 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 20:48:20 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

<snip>

>This debate is interesting because William Treurniet is trying  
>hard to exclude the idea of alien craft, but he has to use  
>arguments which - in his own words - "defy common sense" and he  
>fails.

Please, Gildas, try to not misrepresent my words. It's the so-called risk-taking by the triangle craft that defies common sense. My arguments are intended to be a step towards resolving the conundrum.

As I've said several times before, I definitely do not exclude the idea of alien craft. I'm just questioning that all triangle craft are alien.

>The idea that a very secret organization, having made these  
>craft, would decide to show them in order to make us believe  
>that they are alien, and so better hide their human origin,  
>sounds really absurd to me.

The purpose of showing themselves is not to better hide their origin. The purpose is to fool people into thinking they are alien. When that belief is established, it can be used to extort money to build better human defenses against a non-existent enemy. I outlined the proposed scenario more fully in a response to Don Ledger.

>I know that there is, behind this, the conspirationist idea,  
>expressed notably by Dr Steven Greer, that these staged, false  
>UFOs will open the door to a declaration of war against them,  
>and a take over by that "Cabal", etc.

>All this is mad science-fiction to me.

People should ask themselves how they would react today if a large triangle craft were to destroy the American white house building with a directed energy weapon? Would you immediately assume that the attacker was alien, or would you consider the possibility that some earthlings may have developed the capability to carry out this attack?

My guess is that many people, especially if encouraged by a media propaganda machine, would assume that the culprits were

alien. Therein lies the danger to society as a whole as I see it. We need to correct this imbalance by encouraging people to recognize that the triangle craft could be human-made, and they should wait for evidence before deciding who is the real enemy.

If the triangle craft really are alien, then that would be confirmed soon enough. If they are human-made but kept secret, then there actually is a conspiracy afoot and we would be better prepared to deal with it.

>It reminds me of the legend of the first flying saucers of 1947  
>being in fact Nazi UFOs made secretly in underground bases,  
>maybe under the ice cap of the South Pole!

>Not long ago, I met people in France who toyed with that - Denis  
>Denocla, for one.

>You cannot kill that sort of myth. It's too exciting!

The Nazi connection is not required to account for the triangle craft as human-made. On the other hand, it fits quite nicely into that scenario.

I pursue this line of thought not because it's exciting, but because I think the well-being of society could depend on it. Remember the saying - "fool me once shame on you, fool me twice shame on me". Well, I think we have been fooled already a number of times. Shame on us!

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: British Invisibility Research

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 18:17:14 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 05:48:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: British Invisibility Research

>Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:13:45 -0500  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: British Invisibility Research

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 17 Nov 2010 13:44:49 -0000  
>Subject: British Invisibility Research

<snip>

>Space=96Time Cloak Could Hide Events

><http://www.nature.com/news/2010/101116/full/news.2010.611.html>

>Frankly it's beyond me but the techno boffins on this List might  
>be interested.

Not worry, David. This paragraph from the article says it all:

-----

However, Ulf Leonhardt, a physicist at the University of St Andrews, UK, who made one of the original proposals for an invisibility cloak, is sceptical that the design could be implemented with current technology, because of the difficulty involved in dramatically manipulating the refractive index of optical fibres to create a void for even a few nanoseconds. "It is, however, a very inspiring theoretical idea, so it could motivate others to come up with a more practical design," he says.

-----

Refracting light is probably the key to the "disappearance" of something in the sky.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 14:29:07 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 05:52:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 12:21:20 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:45:59 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

<snip>

>>Dave:

>>Yes, Hastings had a press conference and press coverage, but  
>>maybe other people are having the same problem I am.

>>Briefly, everyone of the "witnesses" took a security oath. And,  
>>now these same people are just setting aside their oath. How can  
>>I trust that they are really providing the "real" facts of the  
>>events they claim they are reporting.

>>Just wondering.

>I can assure you that very few people in the UK who might be  
>interested would be put off by this issue. In fact, for many, it  
>would act as a strong recommendation.

>A clash with some very good live football on TV stands as a more  
>likely explanation.

The general population is also put off with the treatment that  
whistleblowers receive for doing what is right.

I'm waiting for a whistleblower who comes forward and explains  
how a hundreds of billion of dollars intelligence and security  
system in the United States screwed up so badly in the underwear  
bomber incident - despite being warned about the kid by the  
kid's father and British intelligence - resulted in the rest of  
us paying for it with the new 'scope or grope' system.

They screw up and we pay.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:40:41 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 05:53:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:52:27 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 16:38:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

><snip>

>>Just before 911, the DoD (Rumsfeld again, I think) made some  
>>statement that trillions of dollars could not be accounted for.

>Baloney

Baloney, eh? Here's a CBS online news report on the statement by Rumsfeld.

<http://tinyurl.com/6f821>

"According to some estimates we cannot track \$2.3 trillion in transactions," Rumsfeld admitted.

\$2.3 trillion =97 that's \$8,000 for every man, woman and child in America. To understand how the Pentagon can lose track of trillions, consider the case of one military accountant who tried to find out what happened to a mere \$300 million. "We know it's gone. But we don't know what they spent it on," said Jim Minnery, Defense Finance and Accounting Service. ...

Twenty years ago, Department of Defense Analyst Franklin C. Spinney made headlines exposing what he calls the "accounting games." He's still there, and although he does not speak for the Pentagon, he believes the problem has gotten worse.

"Those numbers are pie in the sky. The books are cooked routinely year after year," he said.

Another critic of Pentagon waste, Retired Vice Admiral Jack Shanahan, commanded the Navy's 2nd Fleet the first time Donald Rumsfeld served as Defense Secretary, in 1976.

In his opinion, "With good financial oversight we could find \$48 billion in loose change in that building, without having to hit the taxpayers."

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and



Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 14:46:21 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 05:56:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:41:55 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:05:29 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

<snip>

>As a person who has seen these triangular craft very up-close on  
>numerous occasions while I lived in the Hudson Valley and my  
>sightings were along with dozens of other people and thousands  
>at two sightings, I can say emphatically that there was nothing  
>about these craft that suggested anything extraterrestrial.

Sure. Were you also present when JFK was assassinated? How about  
the stock market crash in '29 or the Hindenburg explosion?

Man you sure do get around.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## New BBC Audio On Roswell Incident

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 20:01:00 +0100  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 06:00:56 -0500  
Subject: New BBC Audio On Roswell Incident

Dear Colleagues,

The BBC World Service broadcast on November 22, 2010, of the daily program Witness about the Roswell Incident. Jesse Marcel Jr. was interviewed for the episode.

Download link for audio:

<http://tinyurl.com/3xtjd4v>

Promo text #1:

Source: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/podcasts/series/witness>  
The Roswell incident, Duration: 10 mins  
Mon, 22 Nov 10

When US airmen found strange metal debris in the desert in Roswell, New Mexico, they thought it was the remains of a UFO. Later they changed their story. What is the truth about their find?

Promo text #2:

Source: <http://tinyurl.com/3yy4rwy>

It is over 60 years since men at the Roswell air base in New Mexico first reported strange lights above the desert. Then they found strange debris in the sand. But were they the remains of a UFO?

Best Wishes,

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 14:10:53 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 06:01:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Don Ledger<[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:52:27 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 16:38:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

><snip>

>>I didn't want to get into it here, but I was not referring to  
>>aircraft technology. I was referring to an exotic technology  
>>that is related to inertia-free propulsion. Both were the  
>>outcome of a new physics.

>Even in space there is enertia. Were or are? Show me.

I would, but it seems that most of the funded research into gravity and inertia has been classified since the work of Biefeld and Brown was demonstrated to the DoD.

Mainstream science funding agencies do not support research of this nature. It's been left to unfunded scientists to show that there really are new effects in this area to study. Imagine what they could do with the few billion dollars rolling around as pocket change at the Pentagon. Or, imagine the classified research the Pentagon has already funded.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: We Have Met The Enemy... [was: Galaxies Older]

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 16:21:44 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 06:06:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: We Have Met The Enemy... [was: Galaxies Older]

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>,  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:59:42 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:56:55 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:01:59 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>>The possum was named Pogo. One of the observations he made that  
>>>>stuck with me was: "We have met the aliens, and they is us."

>>>Not to be picky, but the Walt Kelly/Pogo quote actually goes:

>>>"We have met the enemy, and he is us."

>>>However, Kathy's version has been often, and incorrectly  
>>>attributed to Kelly many, many times, as Google will show.

>>Gosh Bruce,

>>I remember the last panel of the Milwaukee Journal Green Sheet  
>>showing Pogo's statement. There had been a discussion about the  
>>possibility of being invaded by a superior race of people and  
>>Pogo was trying to reassure the swamp critters. To the point of  
>>claiming that the aliens were not to be feared because they  
>>were swamp critters.

>>Apparently, you remember differently.

>>I guess you win this one until the old panel pops up from its  
>>hidden place.

The I Go Pogo website discusses the history of the phrase:

"Walt Kelly first used the quote 'We Have Met The Enemy and He  
Is Us' on a poster for Earth Day in 1970. The poster is shown  
above. In 1971, he did a two panel version with Pogo and Porky  
in a trash filled swamp. This is the only example I know of with  
a balloon, indicating Pogo responding to Porky with 'YEP, SON,  
WE HAVE MET THE ENEMY AND HE IS US.'"

[http://www.igopogo.com/we\\_have\\_met.htm](http://www.igopogo.com/we_have_met.htm)

There is a further quote from Walt Kelly explaining it (sans  
aliens) at:

[http://www.igopogo.com/final\\_authority.htm](http://www.igopogo.com/final_authority.htm)

The two panel version can be seen at:

<http://tinyurl.com/29em6mo>

Carol

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Death Of Greta Woodrew

From: Rich Heiden <[rwheidenu.nul](mailto:rwheidenu.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 16:24:58 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 06:08:46 -0500  
Subject: Death Of Greta Woodrew

On Oct. 21 I got a postcard informing me of the death of Greta Woodrew Smolowe on Sep. 1. She was a contactee and healer, and author of On A Slide of Light (as Greta Woodrew). I was on the mailing list because for years I had gotten her newsletter.

The return address on the card says Advance, N.C., which is near Winston-Salem. But I couldn't find an obituary on the Web sites of either of the two newspapers there (the Journal and the Chronicle).

Rich Heiden

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## SETI's Shostak Not An Impartial Skeptic?

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 00:39:35 -0000  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 06:12:31 -0500  
Subject: SETI's Shostak Not An Impartial Skeptic?

Hello List,

Billy Cox has a nice piece 'Weird Scenes Inside The Gold Mine' on his Herald Tribune page:

<http://tinyurl.com/2ert7a4>

which features Shostak and a few of the usual suspects, including Phil Plait.

You might remember our previous discussions on Shostak and SETI 'impartiality' - see:

'Is He An Opinionated Fool?'

[www.ufoupdateslist.com/2008/may/m09-003.shtml](http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2008/may/m09-003.shtml)

and

'Flotillas - Has He Lied To Us?'

[www.ufoupdateslist.com/2008/may/m14-003.shtml](http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2008/may/m14-003.shtml)

BTW - Youtube always manages to delete interesting clips on UFOs, so here's the replacements for the Flotillas -

Utah

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MpMPgJ59oSA>

Mexico City

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3ONpp\\_f8MS0](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3ONpp_f8MS0)

the "bed of diamonds" sequence.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fGIKovdBIC4>

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 01:58:22 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 06:18:19 -0500  
Subject: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

Leave it to Norio Hayakawa to find this gem I hadn't heard since I don't know when.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yrIcZjIvOM0>

It's the Buchanan Brothers with their hillbilly gospel song, When You See Those Flying Saucers.

I've got so many kin from the hills that when you have a last name like mine, Boone, you get teased a lot as folks say we invented the hills.

This song is a real treat and emphasizes just how much a part of our culture UFOs have become. From songs to books to major motion pictures, to radio and the web the impact just keeps rolling on.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

[Had Greg listened to Strange Days... Indeed, the podcasts used this song more than a few times... But, leave it to Greg & Norio... ebk]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Martin Shough's 'Return Of The Flying Saucers'

From: **Greg Taylor** <[greg.nul](mailto:greg.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 22:04:09 +1000  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 07:23:05 -0500  
Subject: Martin Shough's 'Return Of The Flying Saucers'

Hi listers,

One of the fine researchers present on this List, Martin Shough, was kind enough to write a piece for the latest instalment (Volume 5) of my Fortean anthology series 'Darklore'. The article, titled Return Of The Flying Saucers condenses some of his earlier work on the Kenneth Arnold sighting, attempting to determine exactly what Arnold originally reported and whether he should be considered a credible witness.

For those who are interested in reading Martin's excellent article, I've made it available as a free sample on the Darklore website, downloadable in its original formatting as a PDF (along with another article by myself critiquing skeptic Martin Gardner, and one on decoding crop circles by Richard J. Andrews). You can download the sample article (and others from earlier releases) via the following link:

<http://darklore.dailygrail.com/sample.php>

Please note that the editor was responsible for the title of the article, so any critique of that should be directed at me. For any other queries or feedback, I'm sure Martin would be more than happy to engage in discussion of his article.

Kind regards,

Greg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 09:17:21 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 09:29:51 -0500  
Subject: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

I was recently provided with a sequence of 14 high resolution photos of an unusual object moving across the sky over Reading, England. The photos were taken during a 95 second interval in the late afternoon of July 17, 2010. The object is discussed in the following article.

<http://www.treurniet.ca/Ufo/reading1.htm>

The pink and white object has an organic shape that changed often over the sequence of photographs. It appears similar to a UFO photographed over New York City three months later just before the Oct 13 flap.

The shapes in the Reading photos are similar to many aerial forms also photographed over the UK by Anthony Woods. There is speculation that the objects may be biological in nature.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

From: Robert Powell <[rpowell.nul](mailto:rpowell.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 08:24:00 -0600  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 11:09:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>From: Kathy Kasten<[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:45:59 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

>>From: Dave Haith<[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 20:04:59 -0000  
>>Subject: Robert Hastings Needs An Audience!

<snip>

>Yes, Hastings had a press conference and press coverage, but  
>maybe other people are having the same problem I am.

>Briefly, everyone of the "witnesses" took a security oath. And,  
>now these same people are just setting aside their oath. How can  
>I trust that they are really providing the "real" facts of the  
>events they claim they are reporting.

>Just wondering.

Kathy,

That is a valid concern and is the same argument that is used in every defense trial against whistle blowers to plant doubt in the mind of the jury. But in that same category you can place Daniel Ellsberg and The Pentagon Papers, Frank Serpico and the NYC Police Dept and a large number of lesser known military people who violated oaths.

The decision on whether to believe a witness should be based on the evidence at hand and what the witness is risking/gaining in the process. In the case of UFOs, the risk is one's credibility and the gain is that fleeting moment on TV.

Robert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 09:42:48 -0600  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 11:12:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 01:58:22 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

>Leave it to Norio Hayakawa to find this gem I hadn't heard  
>since I don't know when.

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yrIcZjIvOM0>

>It's the Buchanan Brothers with their hillbilly gospel song,  
>When You See Those Flying Saucers.

>I've got so many kin from the hills that when you have a last  
>name like mine, Boone, you get teased a lot as folks say we  
>invented the hills.

>This song is a real treat and emphasizes just how much a part of  
>our culture UFOs have become. From songs to books to major  
>motion pictures, to radio and the web the impact just keeps  
>rolling on.

This song has long been known to those of us interested in early hillbilly music, and it has been discussed in UFO literature since the early 1980s. I first heard it on an album of brother duets, who flourished in the country music of the 1930s (Monroe Brothers, Delmore Brothers, Blue Sky Boys et al.), in the latter 1970s.

Written by hard-working hacks Charlie Green and Cy Coben, When You See Those Flying Saucers was released in 1947. Its existence is utterly predictable. As with the street ballads that preceded commercial country music, songwriters once exploited fads, controversies, sensational crimes and the like.

Often enough, the results were heavily moralistic, often explicitly religious, warning listeners that God is watching their behavior and prepared to act. Like many comparable songs, Flying Saucers predicts an imminent Judgment Day.

Many other UFO-themed songs followed in various pop-music genres. My own favorite is the obscure Judge Proctor's Windmill, about the fabled Martian airship crash in Aurora, Texas, in 1897, recorded by folksinger Tom Pacheco in the 1970s.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 17:33:18 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 12:47:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 09:17:21 -0500  
>Subject: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>I was recently provided with a sequence of 14 high resolution  
>photos of an unusual object moving across the sky over Reading,  
>England. The photos were taken during a 95 second interval in  
>the late afternoon of July 17, 2010. The object is discussed in  
>the following article.

><http://www.treurniet.ca/Ufo/reading1.htm>

>The pink and white object has an organic shape that changed  
>often over the sequence of photographs. It appears similar to a  
>UFO photographed over New York City three months later just  
>before the Oct 13 flap.

>The shapes in the Reading photos are similar to many aerial  
>forms also photographed over the UK by Anthony Woods. There is  
>speculation that the objects may be biological in nature.

In each of the frames there is at least one clearly spherical  
shape. In most of the frames there are several spherical shapes.

It looks to me as though it is most probably a small bunch of  
pink balloons, tied together with red ribbon and tumbling in the  
wind.

Circumstantially, July 17th was a Saturday, the day when  
shopping precincts/malls and markets are most likely to have  
this kind of stuff around and available and also the most  
popular day for the kind of celebrations where this kind of  
stuff is frequently involved. I suspect that this particular UFO  
was heading slowly towards the North Sea much to the  
disappointment of a group of rather young bridesmaids.

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: We Have Met The Enemy...

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 18:33:28 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:00:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: We Have Met The Enemy...

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:36:28 -0500  
>Subject: We Have Met The Enemy... [was: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?]

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:56:55 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>Gosh Bruce,

>>I remember the last panel of the Milwaukee Journal Green Sheet  
>>showing Pogo's statement. There had been a discussion about the  
>>possibility of being invaded by a superior race of people and  
>>Pogo was trying to reassure the swamp critters. To the point of  
>>claiming that the aliens were not to be feared because they were  
>>swamp critters.

>>Apparently, you remember differently.

>>I guess you win this one until the old panel pops up from its  
>>hidden place.

>Kathy,

>Here is a page that might help with copies of the original Pogo  
>panel and the original book cover:

><http://tinyurl.com/24ofdmd>

>Or this one:

><http://tinyurl.com/6vudg>

My god, Bruce, who knew by recreating Pogo's claim my own way  
that I would cause this to do. Good grief, as Charlie Brown  
would say, I was just trying to make a point.

It looks like everybody missed it. Once again, we are the  
"aliens" way out here in the boonies of the Milky Way missing  
out on all the fun.

That's what I get for attempting to suggest a different way of  
thinking about things.

Guess I will kept my late night cigar smoking reveries to  
myself.

This group must be reacting to being called "aliens." Too bad,  
I love being an "alien." No kindred spirits in this group,  
ain't it buddy?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: We Have Met The Enemy...

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 18:40:46 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:03:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: We Have Met The Enemy...

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 16:21:44 -0500  
>Subject: Re: We Have Met The Enemy... [was: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?]

>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>,  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:59:42 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 00:56:55 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 16:01:59 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Sat, 20 Nov 2010 18:05:42 +0000  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Galaxies Older Than The Big Bang?

>>>>>The possum was named Pogo. One of the observations he made that  
>>>>>stuck with me was: "We have met the aliens, and they is us."

>>>>>Not to be picky, but the Walt Kelly/Pogo quote actually goes:

>>>>>"We have met the enemy, and he is us."

>>>>>However, Kathy's version has been often, and incorrectly  
>>>>>attributed to Kelly many, many times, as Google will show.

>>>Gosh Bruce,

>>>I remember the last panel of the Milwaukee Journal Green Sheet  
>>>showing Pogo's statement. There had been a discussion about the  
>>>possibility of being invaded by a superior race of people and  
>>>Pogo was trying to reassure the swamp critters. To the point of  
>>>claiming that the aliens were not to be feared because they  
>>>were swamp critters.

>>>Apparently, you remember differently.

>>>I guess you win this one until the old panel pops up from its  
>>>hidden place.

>The I Go Pogo website discusses the history of the phrase:

>"Walt Kelly first used the quote 'We Have Met The Enemy and He  
>Is Us' on a poster for Earth Day in 1970. The poster is shown  
>above. In 1971, he did a two panel version with Pogo and Porky  
>in a trash filled swamp. This is the only example I know of with  
>a balloon, indicating Pogo responding to Porky with 'YEP, SON,  
>WE HAVE MET THE ENEMY AND HE IS US.'"

>[http://www.igopogo.com/we\\_have\\_met.htm](http://www.igopogo.com/we_have_met.htm)

>There is a further quote from Walt Kelly explaining it (sans  
>aliens) at:

>[http://www.igopogo.com/final\\_authority.htm](http://www.igopogo.com/final_authority.htm)

>The two panel version can be seen at:

><http://tinyurl.com/29em6mo>

Carol and anybody who decided to focus on whether or not I was quoting Walt Kelly's Pogo correctly. No wonder the field of UFO investigation gets bogged down. None of you focused on the point I was making. Which was not to quote Pogo correctly, but to point out that we are the "aliens." {Picture me tossing up my hands!}

It is time for another cigar out on the patio and leave all of you behind.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

From: **Sergey Shpakovsky** <[sergejsh.nul](mailto:sergejsh.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 14:02:37 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:06:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

>From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 12:35:57 -0500  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Aliens And Now Photos Of The Dead

<snip>

>very damning evidence that they were faked! For example a cut-out  
>picture will appear slightly distorted or out of proportion in exactly  
>the same way Nancy noted if it is even slightly rotated/twsited or  
>not perfectly flat when placed in front of the camera lens!

>Nick Balaskas

Hi Nick,

Your explanation maybe more realistic than "ghosts", but it can't explain everything. I am also skeptical regarding of these photos, but at the same moment I can't see how they can be faked. So I don't have an explanation other than he is really taking photos of "something".

For example this can't be explained as cut-out pictures:

"In broad daylight - and with Dr. Roll and me watching - six images (taken consecutively over about a minute) appeared on Roll's camera which show at first a "smokey" substance in front of Robbert's face which then develops into a man's face superimposed over Robbert's."

<http://www.bltresearch.com/robbert/apparition5.php>

"Robbert regularly obtains these photos during "readings" with his clients (using his clients' cameras) when he becomes aware of the "presence" of one of these clients' deceased relatives - and the resulting photographic images were being regularly identified - by Robbert's clients - as images of the very individuals they had come to ask Robbert about.

The images do not appear on the LED screens until Robbert actually depresses the "record" button;

Regardless of the available ambient light, the flash never fires.

...many "UFO" images began to appear repeatedly as Robbert used several people's cameras throughout the summer - and in those cases (including the instances when Robbert was using my camera) the camera-owners and multiple other witnesses were standing near Robbert and watching him closely.

To produce some of the photos you will need to use Photoshop or similar program. But in these cases photos has appeared at the moment of shooting.

You can't explain these photos by cut-out pictures or anything placed in front of camera without flash:

<http://www.bltresearch.com/robbert/photoanoms4.php>

<http://www.blresearch.com/robbert/ufophotos3.php>

<http://www.blresearch.com/robbert/apparition5.php>

You can't explain these photos of boy by cut-out picture: (first photo on the top and more below)

<http://www.blresearch.com/robbert/apparition6.php>

Etc.

Sergey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

From: **Sergey Shpakovsky** <[sergejsh.nul](mailto:sergejsh.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 14:08:50 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:07:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Monday, November 15, 2010 5:35:08 AM  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Crop Circle Maker Tells All

<snip>

>At this link Matthew Williams, the only person ever to  
>be prosecuted for circle-making, tells all - or perhaps  
>most of it.

><http://tinyurl.com/3yx8dyj>

>Matthew claims that human made circles do  
>'attract' paranormal phenomena.

>I have so far only viewed a small  
>section but it looks as if this interview prick quite a few  
>belief bubbles.

>Dave Haith

On Youtube there is his own full documentary (on his account), 3 hrs. (see links below) I very recommend it. There are interviews with people who are creating crop circles and they also telling about different phenomena related to these circles - balls of light, "shadow people", sounds etc. I have asked him and he just sent me full DVD by mail.

But I think need to say that this can't explain all crop circles (the same as UFO phenomena can't be explained by one explanation for all cases). As I remember in this documentary there are few cases mentioned, when people who creating crop circles have found that somewhere nearby have appeared crop circles which they didn't create, and they didn't know who created them. Also need to look at research done by Colin Andrews ([colinandrews.net](http://colinandrews.net)) and BLT Research ([bltresearch.com](http://bltresearch.com)) which shows that there are circles which are truly unexplainable.

Circlemakers documentary (3 hrs)  
By Matthew Williams

- p. 01 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YgfuUwM4x00>
- p. 02 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNdgNrDbHZc>
- p. 03 - [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DEu3PoB\\_eAO](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DEu3PoB_eAO)
- p. 04 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LYBY0EQjmWs>
- p. 05 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VDgPjigpJ-g>
- p. 06 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TFvv4eAfg8A>
- p. 07 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i2OBGcfPpvA>
- p. 08 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LSC4drJVM8g>
- p. 09 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s2dn4185f-o>
- p. 10 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UF4tRAcgJwo>
- p. 11 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P1OaFp8a12g>
- p. 12 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IjfbKuTOYd8>
- p. 13 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d196thwVw3k>
- p. 14 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LcH5406OnoA>
- p. 15 - [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wEM2\\_nMwjwg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wEM2_nMwjwg)
- p. 16 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UfNs200biBk>
- p. 17 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O9pRju-ID04>

p. 18 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=769Vme7ioWQ>

His account on Youtube:

<http://www.youtube.com/user/truthseekers666>

Sergey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 19:40:02 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:09:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 01:58:22 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

>Leave it to Norio Hayakawa to find this gem I hadn't heard  
>since I don't know when.

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yrIcZjIvOM0>

>It's the Buchanan Brothers with their hillbilly gospel song,  
>When You See Those Flying Saucers.

>I've got so many kin from the hills that when you have a last  
>name like mine, Boone, you get teased a lot as folks say we  
>invented the hills.

>This song is a real treat and emphasizes just how much a part of  
>our culture UFOs have become. From songs to books to major  
>motion pictures, to radio and the web the impact just keeps  
>rolling on.

>[Had Greg listened to Strange Days... Indeed, the podcasts used  
>this song more than a few times... But, leave it to Greg & Norio...  
>ebk]

Greg:

According to a song by John Lennon, "Women are the niggers of of the Universe." If that is true, and I am in fact a woman and one of the "aliens" I must claim this song is a case of discrimination against... What a minute. The "aliens" is us. I guess the song is about US women. "Why can't we all just get along?"

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 16:25:30 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:11:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:40:41 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 13:52:27 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 21 Nov 2010 16:38:20 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>><snip>

>>>Just before 911, the DoD (Rumsfeld again, I think) made some  
>>>statement that trillions of dollars could not be accounted for.

>>Baloney

>Baloney, eh? Here's a CBS online news report on the statement by  
>Rumsfeld.

><http://tinyurl.com/6f82l>

It's baloney in that it is necessarily assumed that this money  
is funding some secret organization in the US that is turning  
out exotic aircraft that the rest of the world doesn't know  
about or have themselves. The \$2.3 trillion didn't happen in one  
year.

A small example is the money the CIA spent funding the Afghan's  
battle against the Soviets back in the 1980s. The oversight  
committee started with 5 million in the beginning and then over  
the next few years put out about a half billion dollars buying  
up Soviet weaponry from Arab countries and even Israel-through  
Pakistan mind you- to give to the Afghans. The CIA involved  
itself in its (and the US's) first war against the USSR using  
mostly money siphoned off the military budgets. This was  
sanctioned by the oversight committees.

Back then they might have been getting a better bang for the  
buck than they are now. Rumsfeld might have been one of the Bush  
League that actually had a bit of a conscience.

Again I wonder just what the United States is doing with all of  
this sophisticated technology other than - as some claim - just  
using it to spook the citizens.

If you want to claim that some of this money has been sidelined  
to fund under-the-cover extensions of existing aircraft (e.g.  
bigger faster Scram Jet technology which I have a sneaking hunch  
might be the case) I would be more inclined to agree. Spying  
technology seems to be rooted more in lighter-than-aircraft,  
massive hovering gas bags utilizing video, radar and infrared  
tools while anchored or drifting at altitudes targeted at  
100,000 feet outside enemy borders in friendly countries. They

aren't there yet but working on it; and spending millions on the effort. Why though?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 21:09:02 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:24:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 17:33:18 +0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 09:17:21 -0500  
>>Subject: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>I was recently provided with a sequence of 14 high resolution  
>>photos of an unusual object moving across the sky over Reading,  
>>England. The photos were taken during a 95 second interval in  
>>the late afternoon of July 17, 2010. The object is discussed in  
>>the following article.

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/Ufo/reading1.htm>

>>The pink and white object has an organic shape that changed  
>>often over the sequence of photographs. It appears similar to a  
>>UFO photographed over New York City three months later just  
>>before the Oct 13 flap.

>>The shapes in the Reading photos are similar to many aerial  
>>forms also photographed over the UK by Anthony Woods. There is  
>>speculation that the objects may be biological in nature.

>In each of the frames there is at least one clearly spherical  
>shape. In most of the frames there are several spherical shapes.

>It looks to me as though it is most probably a small bunch of  
>pink balloons, tied together with red ribbon and tumbling in the  
>wind.

>Circumstantially, July 17th was a Saturday, the day when  
>shopping precincts/malls and markets are most likely to have  
>this kind of stuff around and available and also the most  
>popular day for the kind of celebrations where this kind of  
>stuff is frequently involved. I suspect that this particular UFO  
>was heading slowly towards the North Sea much to the  
>disappointment of a group of rather young bridesmaids.

I appreciate your comments, Gerald. I forwarded them to the  
person who took the photos, and she responded quickly.

In brief, the best evidence that it was not a set of balloons  
drifting in the wind is the regular zig-zag motion that she  
observed. She included a hand drawing which I added to the  
document.

Please see the article again to read the arguments that it was  
not a set of balloons tumbling in the wind.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 04:39:56 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 06:30:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2010 10:41:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 18:22:07 -0000  
>>Subject: Black Holes [was: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists]

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 15:47:42 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Giant Space Bubbles Baffle Scientists

>><snip>

>>>So who exactly is covering what, and why?

>>Hi Martin,

>>as I said "there's an obstreporous bunch of younger physicists  
>>who've dared to say "Black Holes don't exist" - and, for  
>>several reasons (of basic physics), I fully agree with them.

>Hi Ray

>You may be right. There may be no true black holes. I'm aware  
>that there have been and are theorists who think so (if not for  
>the same reasons as you). But as I said, where is this  
>"cover-up" by scientists that you refer to? Who is doing it? And  
>why?

>>Here's the Google results (my arguments are set out more fully  
>>in result #12, in my browser)

>><http://tinyurl.com/3xjq4md>

>That's a blank search box, but putting in your phrase "Black  
>Holes don't exist" evidently produces the results you refer to.  
>What I immediately notice from glancing at the first few pages  
>is that most of these hits are on work published by George  
>Chapline of Lawrence Livermore, and Lawrence Krauss et al. (Case  
>Western Reserve), or articles that refer back to their  
>publications. These are hardly "young Turks" or establishment  
>outsiders! Chapline was born in 1942, Krauss in 1954. They are  
>distinguished senior academics with decades of professional  
>respect behind them, and probably not very obstreporous.

>No doubt there are younger colleagues pursuing related ideas.  
>But why did you pounce with glee on Gerald's (as it happens,  
>inapposite) question to say it was evidence of a "cover-up"?

>Chapline's work was "was performed (in part) under the auspices  
>of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California,  
>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract  
>No. W-7405-Eng-48" according to his original paper presented at  
>a major Texas conference on relativistic astrophysics. He was  
>developing ideas published by Robert Laughlin, a distinguished

>Nobel Prize winner born in 1950. Chapline's and Krauss's  
>publications widely reported (as your googling demonstrates) and  
>vigorously and openly debated by other physicists, the majority  
>of whom tended to disagree with it. It still is, and mostly they  
>still do.

>The doubters may turn out to be wrong. The scientific consensus  
>nearly always turns out to be wrong, eventually - thanks, nearly  
>always, to scientists. But in what way is this a "cover-up" by  
>something you generalise as a monolithic "establishment  
>science"?

>Martin Shough

I believe that scientist must start to think the other way  
round.

Everywhere there is matter photons travel slower.

Matter exist out of particles which are slower then what is in  
space.

The amount of matter defines the speed of the photons.

I can see with this theory that the more mass an object posses  
the larger the 'low pressure area' is around that object. But  
this has nothing to do with the radiation of such an object.

For a Black Hole the 'low pessure area' around that Black Hole  
is that big and that low near that Black Hole that a lot of  
photons can't get enough energy to bounce back into space.

For me in that theory gravity is nothing more than the result of  
a colliding between matter and space (ether) in which around  
matter space (ether) is slower because space lost energy because  
of the colliding with that matter.

Therefore matter must eat (inhale) energy from space and there  
should be a stream of energy flowing from space towards matter  
and we see this as gravity. Matter must therefore convert that  
energy into something else like radiation or watever. But it is  
just a theory (and it gives me a headache).

Bert ( A W RvB )

[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)

<http://www.rjrsnvbrn.nl>

\*\*\*

US spaceships maximum velocity:

One light-year a minute.

\*\*\*

AWN <http://www.awn-archeologie.nl>

GEA <http://www.gea-geologie.nl>

NVR <http://www.ruimtevaart-nvr.nl>

Sterrenkunde <http://www.dekoepel.nl>

UFOWijzer <http://ufowijzer.nl>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## News Links - 23-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 07:07:33 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 07:07:33 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 23-11-10

News Links - 23-11-10

The White Light UFO Of Wanstead  
Londonist  
<http://tinyurl.com/3akekn3>

UK Dorito-Shaped Object Saga Continues  
Google Compilation  
<http://tinyurl.com/256mvs5>

Probe Into Alien Life Forms Picks Up Steam  
USA Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/28brvaa>

UFO Mag Columnist Is An Insult To Readers  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/36a88tt>

UFO Disclosure: When The Cat Is Out Of The Bag =96 Then What?  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/238waby>

Alien Implants Among Topics At UFO Festival  
Ventura County Star  
<http://tinyurl.com/2aoagt8>

Ancient Aliens - The Series  
Links To YouTube  
<http://tinyurl.com/33becbd>

=46rom Frank Warren's THE UFO Chronicals:

Cheyenne, Wyoming: UFO Reported Near Nuclear Missile Launch  
Sites; Air Force Search Team Tracks Object & Observes It Taking  
Off  
<http://tinyurl.com/2927gg5>

Flat Earth Nukes  
<http://tinyurl.com/28orvlz>

Altus AFB Investigates UFO Event Near Missile Site; Airman Says  
Object 'Chased' He and His Family for Miles  
<http://tinyurl.com/247ywqr>

Hilarious, 1998 Interview with Area 51 Base Commander - Video  
<http://tinyurl.com/24wy95e>

=46rom 'The Norm':

5 Famous Scientists Dismissed As Morons In Their Time  
Cracked.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/25dnltw>

"Have there been any UFO researchers with advanced science

degrees who were negatively viewed and ridiculed by skeptical mainstream scientists and others?" --"The Norm'

Early Universe Was a Liquid, Nuclei Collisions at the Large Hadron Collider Show  
Science Daily  
<http://tinyurl.com/2g2yk3n>

Falling Brains Dept:

Where Have All The UFOs Gone?  
Utica Observer Dispatch  
<http://tinyurl.com/29b3k4z>

Film Showing Of 'The Disclosure Project' Tues. November 23  
VillageSoup Belfast  
<http://tinyurl.com/2fmkxeg>

Hell On Earth Or Heaven Is Here Beginning The Alien Vs ET Controversy  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/2wvu7cb>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:55:09 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 07:09:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 21:09:02 -0500  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

I don't know if anyone (other than Santiago Yturria Garcia) recalls 'Bambi'? This was an individual based on the South Coast of England who took footage of balloons which Santiago and his entertainer friend in Mexico who's name escapes me got very excited about.

Well Bambi initially went to a Danish investigator with the story before going to Garcia. This rang alarm bells with me straight away - what was wrong with going to a British investigator or group?

So William, I would like to ask;

- Have you suggested that the witness approaches a British researcher or group? If not, why not?
- How was the witness put in touch with you?
- Has the witness offered any reason for not approaching say, BUFORA?

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 08:48:49 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 09:41:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:55:09 +0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 21:09:02 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>I don't know if anyone (other than Santiago Yturria Garcia)  
>recalls 'Bambi'? This was an individual based on the South Coast  
>of England who took footage of balloons which Santiago and his  
>entertainer friend in Mexico who's name escapes me got very  
>excited about.

>Well Bambi initially went to a Danish investigator with the  
>story before going to Garcia. This rang alarm bells with me  
>straight away - what was wrong with going to a British  
>investigator or group?

>So William, I would like to ask;

I don't understand the motivation behind these questions, but  
I'll answer them anyway.

>- Have you suggested that the witness approaches a British  
> researcher or group? If not, why not?

No, I am not familiar enough with any British person or group  
to make such a recommendation.

>- How was the witness put in touch with you?

She found me, presumably via Google. I have several online  
articles on UFOs in the clouds. Apparently, the photos weighed on  
her mind, and she needed to share with someone who would take her  
seriously.

>- Has the witness offered any reason for not approaching say,  
> BUFORA?

No.

Joe, do you think face-to-face contact is necessary to resolve  
cases such as these? What information do you feel is lacking?

Or perhaps you think British researchers are more competent than  
anyone else.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:00:54 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 10:31:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 08:48:49 -0500  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:55:09 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

<snip>

>Joe, do you think face-to-face contact is necessary to resolve  
>cases such as these? What information do you feel is lacking?

I just wondered how soon you will be booking your flight to talk  
to locals and ask them if they know anything about it, perhaps  
visit the local library to see what was on that weekend in the  
local newspapers, etc.

>Or perhaps you think British researchers are more competent than  
>anyone else.

Don't be silly - we have crap investigators as well. However, I  
am surprised that you didn't consider referring the witness to  
BUFORA. Have you asked them if any other investigators have  
looked at this in the last 4 months?

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:19:17 -0000  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 10:45:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 04:39:56 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

<snip>

>Therefore matter must eat (inhale) energy from space and there  
>should be a stream of energy flowing from space towards matter  
>and we see this as gravity. Matter must therefore convert that  
>energy into something else like radiation or whatever. But it  
>is just a theory (and it gives me a headache).

Dear Bert

Me too :-)

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:13:07 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:37:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 19:40:02 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Buchanan Bros Flying Saucer Song

>According to a song by John Lennon, "Women are the niggers of of  
>the Universe." If that is true, and I am in fact a woman and one  
>of the "aliens" I must claim this song is a case of  
>discrimination against... What a minute. The "aliens" is us. I  
>guess the song is about US women. "Why can't we all just get  
>along?"

Yoko Ono is the one who originally said "Woman is the nigger of  
the world" in a 1969 interview. John Lennon used it as a song  
lyric in 1972.

Some people quote Alice Walker as saying "Women are the niggers  
of the universe." But she's quoting Lennon in her essay  
"Everything is a human being," and extends the idea to say "But,  
in truth, Earth itself has become the nigger of the world."

Carol

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 12:19:56 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 12:54:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:00:54 +0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 08:48:49 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:55:09 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

><snip>

>>Joe, do you think face-to-face contact is necessary to resolve  
>>cases such as these? What information do you feel is lacking?

>I just wondered how soon you will be booking your flight to talk  
>to locals and ask them if they know anything about it, perhaps  
>visit the local library to see what was on that weekend in the  
>local newspapers, etc.

I have to say I have always had a good time visiting the UK, and I would likely enjoy doing what you suggest. But how can anyone be sure that the origin of such a high-flying object was local? The most I could hope for would be to find some event somewhere in the UK where balloons were released. Even then I could never prove the connection with the sighting.

I might find people who had also seen something, but there was only a 95 sec window. It would be a major coincidence if they had independently seen the same thing. Even if someone else had seen the object, all that would do is corroborate the photographic evidence. Since I don't have any reason to suspect that the photographs were faked, not much would be gained from that.

The photographer provided some observations about the behavior of the object which is inconsistent with the balloon hypothesis. I added these to the article yesterday after sharing Gerald's comments with her. Of course, one can choose to not believe her, but I have no reason to do that. For your convenience, the reference again is as follows.

<http://www.treurniet.ca/Ufo/reading1.htm>

These photos turned out to not be as unique as I had thought initially. Some of Antony Woods' photos are very similar, as is the NYC sighting in October. If all these sightings are the same phenomenon, it happened more than once and is not restricted to the UK.

William

>>Or perhaps you think British researchers are more competent than  
>>anyone else.

>Don't be silly - we have crap investigators as well. However, I  
>am surprised that you didn't consider referring the witness to  
>BUFORA. Have you asked them if any other investigators have  
>looked at this in the last 4 months?

I've never been in touch with BUFORA and I'm not up on what that organization can do. Therefore, it would have been irresponsible of me to refer her there.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## The Dead & UFOs A Connection?

From: Dave Haith <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 19:19:10 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 06:10:22 -0500  
Subject: The Dead & UFOs A Connection?

With reference to this video:

<http://tiny.cc/b17g3>

Like many UFO researchers I have found our subject cannot be confined to nuts and bolts - not when the mysterious objects come and go like will o'the wisps and abductees report beings suddenly materialising inside locked homes abducting people through solid walls.

True there may be a number of different phenomena operating here but it seemed to me logical to explore related psychic events where similar happenings appear to occur - materialisation of matter, psychokinesis, communication with alleged spirits etc.

And that's where Tom Harrison comes in - a very dear and close friend of mine who died just a few weeks ago in his 90s.

Tom was an ardent Spiritualist but the stories he told me were far more spectacular than mumbled ambiguous messages from dodgy mediums.

From April 1946 Tom and his then wife Doris were part of a unique home séance circle known as The Saturday Night Club, a small group of family, friends and occasional fortunate guests who witnessed wonders that Spiritualists of today can only dream about. From that time until the passing of his mother Minnie Harrison, who was an exceptionally gifted medium, Tom and his fellow circle members claimed they met and talked with literally hundreds of materialised spirit people, all completely visible in good red light in the small back room of a house in Middlesbrough, UK.

In his own words Tom said: "They returned not as fleeting, passing visions in somebody's mind, not even as wispy, transparent ghosts or spectres. They returned in fully-functioning, warm, heart-beating physical bodies. They returned and spoke with the same-sounding voices you would recognise. They returned with the same laughter, the same personality; and as you thrilled to feel their arms embracing you, and even kisses from their lips - the same love."

Now this whole concept of dead people materialising so you could touch and chat with them was pretty mind blowing and I would have probably dismissed it completely unless I read of similar phenomena from the likes of Oliver Lodge and Sir Arthur Conan Doyle in the annals of parapsychology.

So I got to know Tom who showed me some time-exposure photos he'd taken and his hand written diary of each occasion these phenomena occurred - literally hundreds of times.

He talked of 'apports', objects suddenly appearing in their room and flower blooms being teleported through the wall from a garden outside.

When I challenged him about the conversations with the visitors - some recorded on reel to reel tape - how could he be sure his group weren't all hallucinating, he would relate how a doctor

guest once took the pulse of a materialised spirit and told her 'You'll live' and she replied 'Yes I am living', and how with scissors he was permitted to snip some ectoplasm, from which the bodies formed, and take it in a jar to his hospital to have it analysed.

When I asked Tom how he really knew the form he embraced and conversed with, was his mother, he replied "You would know your own mother, wouldn't you?"

In his latter years I played a small role in helping him write a book about his experiences and secured him an interview on the Jeff Rense Show.

Now following his passing, I note that somebody has posted an hour long video of Tom telling in detail of his experiences. The link for Visitors from the Other Side is here:

<http://tiny.cc/b17q3>

It's a home made video so sometimes the sound is a little rough but you'll hear Tom and former members of his circle telling of their experiences. And like me you might wonder if it could be true...

Like me also, you might conjecture, what could be the connection between these ethereal visitors and the ones we are more familiar with in UFO lore, who invade our homes unbidden?

My view is that in the quantum non-linear world there may be myriad dimensions which sometimes for reasons we currently cannot imagine, can blur into our own reality - hence the bizarre happenings at the Skinwalker Ranch and possibly in Nancy Talbott's current research linking UFOs with the world of the dead.

I can well imagine the nuts and bolts brigade will criticise me from straying into 'la la land' - but if that's where the evidence leads, so be it.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:02:34 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 06:13:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:55:09 +0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 21:09:02 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

><snip>

>- Has the witness offered any reason for not approaching say,  
> BUFORA?

I posed the question to the photographer and she responded that she did communicate with BUFORA via email.

She was told to copy the video to disc (she also had a video as well as still shots) and to mail the disc to them via post.

However, at the time she did not yet know how to copy from the camcorder to disc or even how to download the video to her PC. They were not prepared to come and look at the video, so at this point she "wrote them off".

Since then, she became more technically literate, read my stuff, and decided to ask if I would help make sense of what she had.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 20:23:33 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 06:15:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 12:19:56 -0500  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:00:54 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

<snip>

>... Even if someone else had  
>seen the object, all that would do is corroborate the  
>photographic evidence. Since I don't have any reason to suspect  
>that the photographs were faked, not much would be gained from  
>that.

>The photographer provided some observations about the behavior  
>of the object which is inconsistent with the balloon hypothesis.  
>I added these to the article yesterday after sharing Gerald's  
>comments with her. Of course, one can choose to not believe her,  
>but I have no reason to do that.

Perhaps, William, if you had been able to locate that other  
hypothetical witness they would have given you reason, by saying  
(for example) "I saw no zig-zag trajectory - from where I stood  
it looked like a smooth motion. I thought they were balloons" -  
or some such?

So it isn't true that the the only possible outcome is to  
"corroborate the photos"?

Another possible outcome is to contradict the witness  
description to which you appeal in ruling out balloons.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Re: Black Holes

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 01:14:41 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 06:17:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Holes

>From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 04:39:56 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Black Holes

<snip>

>... there should be a stream of energy flowing from space  
>towards matter and we see this as gravity. Matter must therefore  
>convert that energy into something else like radiation or  
>whatever. But it is just a theory (and it gives me a headache).

Hello Bert,

The question is much simpler for me, as I'm relying only on observation (and a small theoretical step which I regard as 'obvious').

First, theoretical 'black holes' ('infinite' mass/density + 'event horizons') would, if they existed in the visible universe, be unmistakable. The dragging of background starlight (necessary if 'event horizons' existed) would make them into huge targets of light, each surrounded by a large area of blackness - no background stars / galaxies. We don't ever see those things, or anything remotely like them.

Second the ubiquity of 'jets', which we do see all around the universe, tells me that accreting masses will always jet, at a limit of angular momentum. Which is why we see jets on all scales, even within our own atmosphere ('blue jets'). What do you think causes the 'bars' or initial spiral outbursts of our type of galaxy?

And the fact of 'jetting' tells me that no accreting mass can ever grow larger than its 'jetting limit'. Therefore there are no black holes.

Cheers

Ray D

BTW - mainstream astro-physics is stuck in denial, still claiming that all 'violent' phenomena are due to 'explosions'. But 'explosions' just don't fit the bill for most 'violent' phenomena above the stellar scale - including distant gamma-ray bursts, which we see because we happen to be in-line with a jet. That explains both their intensity and the puzzle of the apparent smallness of their originating bodies - which is (visibly) only the width of the jet.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 22:11:40 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 06:26:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 21:09:02 -0500  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 17:33:18 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 09:17:21 -0500  
>>>Subject: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>I was recently provided with a sequence of 14 high resolution  
>>>photos of an unusual object moving across the sky over Reading,  
>>>England. The photos were taken during a 95 second interval in  
>>>the late afternoon of July 17, 2010. The object is discussed in  
>>>the following article.

>>><http://www.treurniet.ca/Ufo/reading1.htm>

>>>The pink and white object has an organic shape that changed  
>>>often over the sequence of photographs. It appears similar to a  
>>>UFO photographed over New York City three months later just  
>>>before the Oct 13 flap.

>>>The shapes in the Reading photos are similar to many aerial  
>>>forms also photographed over the UK by Anthony Woods. There is  
>>>speculation that the objects may be biological in nature.

>>In each of the frames there is at least one clearly spherical  
>>shape. In most of the frames there are several spherical shapes.

>>It looks to me as though it is most probably a small bunch of  
>>pink balloons, tied together with red ribbon and tumbling in the  
>>wind.

>>Circumstantially, July 17th was a Saturday, the day when  
>>shopping precincts/malls and markets are most likely to have  
>>this kind of stuff around and available and also the most  
>>popular day for the kind of celebrations where this kind of  
>>stuff is frequently involved. I suspect that this particular UFO  
>>was heading slowly towards the North Sea much to the  
>>disappointment of a group of rather young bridesmaids.

>I appreciate your comments, Gerald. I forwarded them to the  
>person who took the photos, and she responded quickly.

>In brief, the best evidence that it was not a set of balloons  
>drifting in the wind is the regular zig-zag motion that she  
>observed. She included a hand drawing which I added to the  
>document.

>Please see the article again to read the arguments that it was  
>not a set of balloons tumbling in the wind.

I've looked at it again as you suggested and three things occur to me:

1. The original, unmagnified, shot you publish looks very much like a cluster of balloons, much more so than the blurred blow-ups.

2. You publish a picture of a 'real balloon' taken the same day - doesn't that make you think that there was some helium party balloon activity going on that day? Isn't that a strong clue?

3. The zig-zag movement isn't too surprising. The overall direction suggests wind - the zig-zagging would be caused by relative movement of the balloons within the cluster according to how they were secured to each other, and also the changing 'face' that they consequently offered the to the wind. I have seen airborne plastic bags behave in a similar manner on a number of occasions when at a similar altitude.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 09:49:57 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 10:15:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 22:11:40 +0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 21:09:02 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>From: Gerald O'Connell<[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 17:33:18 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 09:17:21 -0500  
>>>>Subject: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>>I was recently provided with a sequence of 14 high resolution  
>>>>photos of an unusual object moving across the sky over Reading,  
>>>>England. The photos were taken during a 95 second interval in  
>>>>the late afternoon of July 17, 2010. The object is discussed in  
>>>>the following article.

>>>><http://www.treurniet.ca/Ufo/reading1.htm>

>>>>The pink and white object has an organic shape that changed  
>>>>often over the sequence of photographs. It appears similar to a  
>>>>UFO photographed over New York City three months later just  
>>>>before the Oct 13 flap.

>>>>The shapes in the Reading photos are similar to many aerial  
>>>>forms also photographed over the UK by Anthony Woods. There is  
>>>>speculation that the objects may be biological in nature.

>>>>In each of the frames there is at least one clearly spherical  
>>>>shape. In most of the frames there are several spherical shapes.

>>>>It looks to me as though it is most probably a small bunch of  
>>>>pink balloons, tied together with red ribbon and tumbling in the  
>>>>>wind.

>>>>Circumstantially, July 17th was a Saturday, the day when  
>>>>shopping precincts/malls and markets are most likely to have  
>>>>this kind of stuff around and available and also the most  
>>>>popular day for the kind of celebrations where this kind of  
>>>>stuff is frequently involved. I suspect that this particular UFO  
>>>>was heading slowly towards the North Sea much to the  
>>>>disappointment of a group of rather young bridesmaids.

>>I appreciate your comments, Gerald. I forwarded them to the  
>>person who took the photos, and she responded quickly.

>>In brief, the best evidence that it was not a set of balloons  
>>drifting in the wind is the regular zig-zag motion that she  
>>observed. She included a hand drawing which I added to the  
>>document.

>>Please see the article again to read the arguments that it was  
>>not a set of balloons tumbling in the wind.

>I've looked at it again as you suggested and three things occur  
>to me:

>1. The original, unmagnified, shot you publish looks very much  
>like a cluster of balloons, much more so than the blurred blow-  
>ups.

Yes, that one might, but only the first and the fifth blowups  
have the segmented look that might make you think of multiple  
balloons. Towards the end of the sequence, the object might be  
interpreted as just one or a pair of balloons. So somehow it  
went from four to maybe one or two balloons?

>2. You publish a picture of a 'real balloon' taken the same day  
>- doesn't that make you think that there was some helium party  
>balloon activity going on that day? Isn't that a strong clue?

Maybe, maybe not. There were different properties. The balloon  
was a different colour, had a long tail relative to the balloon  
size, and was said to disappear quickly. If it was lower, as it  
seems, then it was likely smaller.

>3. The zig-zag movement isn't too surprising. The overall  
>direction suggests wind - the zig-zagging would be caused by  
>relative movement of the balloons within the cluster according  
>to how they were secured to each other, and also the changing  
>'face' that they consequently offered to the wind. I have  
>seen airborne plastic bags behave in a similar manner on a  
>number of occasions when at a similar altitude.

I've seen a plastic bag blowing in the wind too, but the motion  
is typically random, maybe because it was opened at one end,  
allowing air to enter and leave and thus continually changing  
shape. The drawing shows a continuous sawtooth pattern, maybe  
more like a sailboat steering across the wind.

Other very similar objects have appeared in different times and  
places as I pointed out. This strikes me as an unlikely  
coincidence if they were all balloons. For this reason, and the  
apparent morphing from one shape to another, and the observed  
non-random changes in direction, it is reasonable to suggest  
that it is something other than a set of balloons.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 09:59:35 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 10:16:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 20:23:33 -0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 12:19:56 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:00:54 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

><snip>

>>... Even if someone else had  
>>seen the object, all that would do is corroborate the  
>>photographic evidence. Since I don't have any reason to suspect  
>>that the photographs were faked, not much would be gained from  
>>that.

>>The photographer provided some observations about the behavior  
>>of the object which is inconsistent with the balloon hypothesis.  
>>I added these to the article yesterday after sharing Gerald's  
>>comments with her. Of course, one can choose to not believe her,  
>>but I have no reason to do that.

>Perhaps, William, if you had been able to locate that other  
>hypothetical witness they would have given you reason, by saying  
>(for example) "I saw no zig-zag trajectory - from where I stood  
>it looked like a smooth motion. I thought they were balloons" -  
>or some such?

>So it isn't true that the the only possible outcome is to  
>"corroborate the photos"?

>Another possible outcome is to contradict the witness  
>description to which you appeal in ruling out balloons.

You're right. But please note that I am not "ruling out  
balloons". My position is that it is unlikely to be balloons  
given all the evidence.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 16:08:35 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 11:43:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:02:34 -0500  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 11:55:09 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 21:09:02 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>- Has the witness offered any reason for not approaching say,  
>> BUFORA?

>I posed the question to the photographer and she responded that  
>she did communicate with BUFORA via email.

Thanks - that would be amongst one of my first questions if  
someone from say, Poland approached me with a report (which has  
happened in the past).

>She was told to copy the video to disc (she also had a video as  
>well as still shots) and to mail the disc to them via post.

>However, at the time she did not yet know how to copy from the  
>camcorder to disc or even how to download the video to her PC.  
>They were not prepared to come and look at the video, so at this  
>point she "wrote them off".

Understandable, but so is BUFORA's stance, IMO. There are so  
many videos and images being reported as UFOs these days, nearly  
all of them having a simple explanation, that it would not be  
worthwhile travelling any distance just to see one.

In another post, you wrote:

"...I am not "ruling out balloons". My position is that it is  
unlikely to be balloons given all the evidence."

My opinion is that they are balloons, especially given the  
paucity of the evidence.

Out of interest, has the witness provided the video material?  
That may provide a better insight as to whether or not the  
object is or isn't a balloon cluster.

If there is an issue with filesize, may I suggest using  
Sendspace.com which allows single files of up to 300 Mb. I am  
sure other free services exist which allow larger files.

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

## Press Release From UFO-Nyt To ufo.dk

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 17:14:57 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 11:45:36 -0500  
Subject: Press Release From UFO-Nyt To ufo.dk

Dear friends,

I'm sorry to inform you...

One of the world's oldest periodicals about the UFOs, the Danish language UFO-Nyt, has been published for the last time, but takes the battle to the Web:

<http://www.ufo.dk/presse/2010/Press%20release%20November%202010.pdf>

Regards,

Ole  
Denmark  
[www.ufo.dk](http://www.ufo.dk)  
Scandinavian UFO Information

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Media Audio Coverage Of Hastings' Oxford Lecture

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 21:05:39 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 06:04:37 -0500  
Subject: Media Audio Coverage Of Hastings' Oxford Lecture

Dear Colleagues,

I worked hard to locate and extract this.

Thanks to Dave Haith, user Longeyes of Open Minds forum and Robert Hastings for the leads.

The following are media coverage audios on the November 24th Robert Hastings lecture UFOs: The Secret Story at Oxford University.

Audio #1:

November 24th, 2010 - Sky News Radio Bulletin

<http://tinyurl.com/33f2rzv>

Audio #2:

November 24th, 2010 - BBC Oxford Interview with Robert Hastings

<http://tinyurl.com/39xsb3d>

Best Wishes

Giuliano Marinkovic

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 17:46:44 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 06:16:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 16:08:35 +0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Wed, 24 Nov 2010 15:02:34 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

><snip>

>In another post, you wrote:

>"...I am not "ruling out balloons". My position is that it is  
>unlikely to be balloons given all the evidence."

>My opinion is that they are balloons, especially given the  
>paucity of the evidence.

Thus far, no one has considered the similarity of the sighting to the other two cases I mentioned - the Oct 13 NYC photo and the many photos taken by Anthony Woods. So this is not an isolated case where such objects have appeared. This should have a bearing on the interpretation.

I could say there is an equivalent paucity of evidence that it is a balloon. In other circumstances, you might be accused of the sin of pareidolia - the "imagined perception of a pattern or meaning where it does not actually exist". Funny how the word never comes up unless the claim is considered extraordinary.

>Out of interest, has the witness provided the video material?  
>That may provide a better insight as to whether or not the  
>object is or isn't a balloon cluster.

This particular object was captured only in still photos. The video shows a different object meandering in and out of a cloud. Unfortunately, precisely where it gets interesting, there is a lot of camera movement. I'll try to deal with this by extracting a sequence of frames. The resolution is much lower of course. She also gave me more still shots of another object which I think is different again. I'm still working on how to present this stuff. Hopefully, you will be able to comment on these as well, soon.

There seems to have been a lot going on over Reading that day.

>If there is an issue with filesize, may I suggest using  
>Sendspace.com which allows single files of up to 300 Mb. I am  
>sure other free services exist which allow larger files.

I should ask first for permission to share the raw material.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 11:07:39 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 06:20:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:41:55 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:05:29 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>><snip>

>>Don,

>>Thank you for your serious arguments.

>>This debate is interesting because William Treurniet is trying  
>>hard to exclude the idea of alien craft, but he has to use  
>>arguments which - in his own words - "defy common sense", and he  
>>fails.

>>The idea that a very secret organization, having made these  
>>craft, would decide to show them in order to make us believe  
>>that they are alien, and so better hide their human origin,  
>>sounds really absurd to me.

<snip>

>As a person who has seen these triangular craft very up-close on  
>numerous occasions while I lived in the Hudson Valley and my  
>sightings were along with dozens of other people and thousands  
>at two sightings, I can say emphatically that there was nothing  
>about these craft that suggested anything extraterrestrial.

<snip>

>Albeit the craft had no insignia but there were a few incidents  
>which I'll hold to myself for now that clearly, irrefutably show  
>they were occupied by Americans it says to me either the U.S.  
>built them or that the U.S. has something to do with them.

Greg,

I am willing to believe this, but there is another possibility:  
staged false UFOs, for instance with planes flying in formation  
at night. I think it was mentioned as a possibility in the book  
by Imbrogno. The purpose would be to confuse the matter and open  
the door to plausible denial of real UFOs.

Perhaps it is what happened in Phoenix. At 8 pm, a huge UFO  
passed over the city, as former governor Symington has now  
confirmed. But at 10 pm, there was a military exercise with  
flares above the mountains near the city.

You see, an exercise in plausible deniability!

And, remember, after the Hudson Valley sightings, there was the  
triangle wave in Belgium, and later in Great Britain which  
convinced Nick Pope of the reality of UFOs.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## News Links - 25-11-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 07:02:26 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 07:02:26 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 25-11-10

News Links - 25-11-10

New York Witness Within 600 Feet Of Hovering UFO  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/25axgg8>

UFO Hovers Above While Maine Witnesses Report Movement On The  
Ground  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cjh7uu>

Missouri Witness Suggests UFO Hot Spot As I-270 Intersects With  
I-44  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/327fjrg>

Wesley Chapel: Florida's UFO Hot Spot  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2djh4zq>

Missouri Couple Reports Chevron-Shaped UFO Over I-70  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2457jo6>

Filer's Files #48 - 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/2wfdugd>

Claims UFO Incident Could Be Result Of Poison Berries... And  
Dr Who  
stv.tv  
<http://tinyurl.com/265mh5a>

A Silver UFO Hovers Quietly Over Turkish City - Video  
io9  
<http://tinyurl.com/2aoxnqe>

Jason's UFO... Or Was It Just A 'Fairy?'  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/2exazvo>

The NASA Conspiracies: The Truth Behind The Moon Landings,  
Censored Photos, And The Face On Mars - Book  
By Nick Redfern  
<http://tinyurl.com/323q3bj>

Alien Invasion - Movies  
The National  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cl5twu>

A Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them  
New York Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dp9fs7>

=46rom Frank Warren's THE UFO Chronicals:

Shostak & Bentley With Guest Leslie Kean  
<http://tinyurl.com/23wf8ep>

=46rom Scott Carrales' Inexplicata:

UFO Sightings On The Rise In Merlo Argentina  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bczfva>

The Cuban 'Ingenier=EDa OVNI' Documentary Debated  
<http://tinyurl.com/299c9k6>

The Circles Of The Gods - Mexican Book  
<http://tinyurl.com/2b86do3>

=46rom 'The Norm':

Falling Brains Dept:

Man Suspects UFO In picture  
UPI.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/28ngw6c>

Wikileaks To Publish Diplomatic Papers On Global Corruption  
International Business Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/2b27ub4>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 12:00:32 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 07:21:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 17:46:44 -0500  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 16:08:35 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>Thus far, no one has considered the similarity of the sighting  
>to the other two cases I mentioned - the Oct 13 NYC photo and  
>the many photos taken by Anthony Woods. So this is not an  
>isolated case where such objects have appeared. This should have  
>a bearing on the interpretation.

You are right, it certainly should. The Woods case was closely related to the 'Bambi' case, and it was found that there were regular met balloon releases taking place in the area that the videos were made.

I believe that the NYC report has also been attributed to balloons, though I may be getting mixed up with a different report?

>I could say there is an equivalent paucity of evidence that it  
>is a balloon. In other circumstances, you might be accused of  
>the sin of pareidolia - the "imagined perception of a pattern or  
>meaning where it does not actually exist". Funny how the word  
>never comes up unless the claim is considered extraordinary.

Looks like a balloon cluster to me. I have no reason as yet to think otherwise or to speculate that it is of organic origin etc.

>>Out of interest, has the witness provided the video material?  
>>That may provide a better insight as to whether or not the  
>>object is or isn't a balloon cluster.

>This particular object was captured only in still photos. The  
>video shows a different object meandering in and out of a cloud.  
>Unfortunately, precisely where it gets interesting, there is a  
>lot of camera movement. I'll try to deal with this by extracting  
>a sequence of frames. The resolution is much lower of course.  
>She also gave me more still shots of another object which I  
>think is different again. I'm still working on how to present  
>this stuff. Hopefully, you will be able to comment on these as  
>well, soon.

I might, but it seems to me that the witness expectations have already been pointed in a particular direction. Lots of opinion and little fact. I see little merit in getting involved in a contaminated report and it's too far away from where I live anyway.

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Re: Martin Shough's 'Return Of The Flying Saucers'

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 11:27:47 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 07:23:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Martin Shough's 'Return Of The Flying Saucers'

>From: Greg Taylor <[greg.nul](mailto:greg.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 22:04:09 +1000  
>Subject: Martin Shough's 'Return Of The Flying Saucers'

>Hi listers,

>One of the fine researchers present on this List, Martin  
>Shough,  
>was kind enough to write a piece for the latest instalment  
>(Volume 5) of my Fortean anthology series 'Darklore'. The  
>article, titled Return Of The Flying Saucers condenses some of  
>his earlier work on the Kenneth Arnold sighting, attempting to  
>determine exactly what Arnold originally reported and whether  
>he  
>should be considered a credible witness.

><http://darklore.dailygrail.com/sample.php>

Hi Greg

Well I thought it was an interesting article, anyway. ;-)

Some new parts are directly relevant to issues that were  
broached on this very List not long ago. I do wonder what  
Arnold-savvy historians make of it?

BTW, note that the free pdf version made available by Greg does  
not contain notes and references (these are collected at the  
back of the Darklore 5 book). If anyone wants them they can  
contact me off-List.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 09:47:04 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 10:06:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 12:00:32 +0000  
>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 17:46:44 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>>From: Joe McGonagle<[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2010 16:08:35 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: An Unusual UFO Over Reading England

>>Thus far, no one has considered the similarity of the sighting  
>>to the other two cases I mentioned - the Oct 13 NYC photo and  
>>the many photos taken by Anthony Woods. So this is not an  
>>isolated case where such objects have appeared. This should have  
>>a bearing on the interpretation.

>You are right, it certainly should. The Woods case was closely  
>related to the 'Bambi' case, and it was found that there were  
>regular met balloon releases taking place in the area that the  
>videos were made.

>I believe that the NYC report has also been attributed to  
>balloons, though I may be getting mixed up with a different  
>report?

The media attributed this particular photo to a twitter account,  
perhaps not realizing that it was published 4 days before the day  
of the supposed sighting.

><snip>

>Looks like a balloon cluster to me. I have no reason as yet to  
>think otherwise or to speculate that it is of organic origin etc.

>>>Out of interest, has the witness provided the video material?  
>>>That may provide a better insight as to whether or not the  
>>>object is or isn't a balloon cluster.

>>This particular object was captured only in still photos. The  
>>video shows a different object meandering in and out of a cloud.  
>>Unfortunately, precisely where it gets interesting, there is a  
>>lot of camera movement. I'll try to deal with this by extracting  
>>a sequence of frames. The resolution is much lower of course.  
>>She also gave me more still shots of another object which I  
>>think is different again. I'm still working on how to present  
>>this stuff. Hopefully, you will be able to comment on these as  
>>well, soon.

>I might, but it seems to me that the witness expectations have  
>already been pointed in a particular direction. Lots of opinion  
>and little fact. I see little merit in getting involved in a  
>contaminated report and it's too far away from where I live  
>anyway.

The witness expectations have not been pointed in a particular direction, any more than anyone else who has seen something they don't understand. I certainly did not tell her what she saw. But maybe you think that was my first mistake - I should have told her it was nothing but a balloon.

I was careful to not give an opinion on what the object was. I did suggest reasons why the obvious explanation of balloons might not be correct, and I drew comparisons with other cases. I presented what data I had, and left it up to readers to form their own opinion. Sometimes there is not enough information to come to a firm conclusion. Apparently a few did, and that's fine.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 11:36:58 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 10:56:55 -0500  
Subject: Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 07:02:26 -0500  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -  
>Subject: News Links - 25-11-10

>News Links - 25-11-10

<snip>

>A Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them  
>New York Times  
><http://tinyurl.com/2dp9fs7>

<snip>

Talk about a fluff piece.

This is what the NY Times considers a UFO story?

It's amazing; this drivel gets in but not a peep re. the Hastings conference or the release of Leslie Kean's book.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Re: Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 10:08:15 -0600  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 11:41:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 11:36:58 -0400  
>Subject: Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 07:02:26 -0500  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -  
>>Subject: News Links - 25-11-10

>>News Links - 25-11-10

<snip>

>>A Pit Stop For UFOs And Humans Who Love Them  
>>New York Times  
>><http://tinyurl.com/2dp9fs7>

<snip>

>Talk about a fluff piece.

>This is what the NY Times considers a UFO story?

No, Don, this is a U.F.O. story.

The NYT's treatment of the UFO phenomenon, so unrelentingly weird that it once inspired a JUFOS paper written by a couple of academics in media studies, is even now - more than 60 years in - akin to the behavior of someone in the vicinity of something giving off an offensive odor.

Even today, it can't let go of those periods that separate "U" from "F" and "O" and, one infers, the NYT from an irritating fad which will fade away any moment now. The periods tell readers all they need to know.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 12:34:57 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 12:02:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 11:07:39 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:41:55 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 11:05:29 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>><snip>

>>>Don,

>>>Thank you for your serious arguments.

>>>This debate is interesting because William Treurniet is trying  
>>>hard to exclude the idea of alien craft, but he has to use  
>>>arguments which - in his own words - "defy common sense", and he  
>>>fails.

>>>The idea that a very secret organization, having made these  
>>>craft, would decide to show them in order to make us believe  
>>>that they are alien, and so better hide their human origin,  
>>>sounds really absurd to me.

>>><snip>

>>>As a person who has seen these triangular craft very up-close on  
>>>numerous occasions while I lived in the Hudson Valley and my  
>>>sightings were along with dozens of other people and thousands  
>>>at two sightings, I can say emphatically that there was nothing  
>>>about these craft that suggested anything extraterrestrial.

>>><snip>

>>>Albeit the craft had no insignia but there were a few incidents  
>>>which I'll hold to myself for now that clearly, irrefutably show  
>>>they were occupied by Americans it says to me either the U.S.  
>>>built them or that the U.S. has something to do with them.

>>>Greg,

>>>I am willing to believe this, but there is another possibility:  
>>>staged false UFOs, for instance with planes flying in formation  
>>>at night. I think it was mentioned as a possibility in the book  
>>>by Imbrogno. The purpose would be to confuse the matter and open  
>>>the door to plausible denial of real UFOs.

Hi Gildas,

That silly ultra-lite (read hang-gliders with chain saw engines  
would be more to the point in 1982-1984) explanation should  
never have gained any ground for many reasons.

Hynek, Imbrogno and Pratt should have looked for someone with knowledge in this area and not relied on their perception of what they believed ultra-lites could do- at least in the hands of the most amateur of pilots. With the resources Hynek must have has on hand it is astonishing that they would have even considered the ultralite possibilities. The Cessna 182 or 172 was obviously a staged event similar to the flare drop 75 miles outside Phoenix at 10pm.

It is surprising that Hynek never came across any of these people. Remember Hynek? He and the other two authors were actually involved in an investigation and published the book.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 27](#)

## Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 27 Nov 2010 10:51:15 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 27 Nov 2010 06:53:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 12:34:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 26 Nov 2010 11:07:39 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 22 Nov 2010 09:41:55 -0500 (EST)  
>>>Subject: Re: Black Triangle Spotted Over Sutton

<snip>

>>>As a person who has seen these triangular craft very up-close on  
>>>numerous occasions while I lived in the Hudson Valley and my  
>>>sightings were along with dozens of other people and thousands  
>>>at two sightings, I can say emphatically that there was nothing  
>>>about these craft that suggested anything extraterrestrial.

>><snip>

>>>Albeit the craft had no insignia but there were a few incidents  
>>>which I'll hold to myself for now that clearly, irrefutably show  
>>>they were occupied by Americans it says to me either the U.S.  
>>>built them or that the U.S. has something to do with them.

>>Greg,

>>I am willing to believe this, but there is another possibility:  
>>staged false UFOs, for instance with planes flying in formation  
>>at night. I think it was mentioned as a possibility in the book  
>>by Imbrogno. The purpose would be to confuse the matter and open  
>>the door to plausible denial of real UFOs.

>Hi Gildas,

>That silly ultra-lite (read hang-gliders with chain saw engines  
>would be more to the point in 1982-1984) explanation should  
>never have gained any ground for many reasons.

>Hynek, Imbrogno and Pratt should have looked for someone with  
>knowledge in this area and not relied on their perception of  
>what they believed ultra-lites could do- at least in the hands  
>of the most amateur of pilots. With the resources Hynek must  
>have has on hand it is astonishing that they would have even  
>considered the ultralite possibilities. The Cessna 182 or 172  
>was obviously a staged event similar to the flare drop 75 miles  
>outside Phoenix at 10pm.

Hi, Don,

I have checked Imbrogno and Pratt's book Night Siege,  
(Ballantine Books, 1987). There is a whole chapter on that  
question - Chapter 4, The Mystery Flyers. They consider and  
discard aircraft theories, including the 'Ultralight Aircraft

Theory' and they write clearly that there were, indeed, some aircraft flights obviously staged to confuse the matter.

I quote the paragraph entitled The Mystery Planes (p 50):

"Throughout April, May, June and July of 1983, we received reports from a number of residents who had seen these mystery planes. Some witnesses said the planes were flown with precision and expert pilots must have been at the controls.

"Many witnesses believed the appearance of these planes was a deliberate attempt to confuse the issue - to damage the credibility of UFO reports and convince people who had witnessed the UFO that they had really seen airplanes. (...) These mystery pilots possibly represented some unknown government agency".

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 13:22:04 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2010, Issue No. 94  
November 29, 2010

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

\*\* THE RACE TO FIX THE CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

THE RACE TO FIX THE CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

The massive disclosure of a quarter million diplomatic records by Wikileaks this weekend underscores the precarious state of the U.S. national security classification system.

The Wikileaks project seems to be, more than anything else, an assault on secrecy. If Wikileaks were most concerned about whistleblowing, it would focus on revealing corruption. If it were concerned with historical truth, it would emphasize the discovery of verifiably true facts. If it were anti-war, it would safeguard, not disrupt, the conduct of diplomatic communications. But instead, what Wikileaks has done is to publish a vast potpourri of records - dazzling, revelatory, true, questionable, embarrassing, or routine - whose only common feature is that they are classified or otherwise restricted.

This may be understood as a reaction to a real problem, namely the fact that by all accounts, the scope of government secrecy in the U.S. (not to mention other countries) has exceeded rational boundaries. Disabling secrecy in the name of transparency would be a sensible goal - if it were true that all secrecy is wrong. But if there is a legitimate role for secrecy in military operations, in intelligence gathering or in diplomatic negotiations, as seems self-evident, then a different approach is called for.

Although it has rarely been front-page news, important progress has been made this year in shifting U.S. government secrecy policy away from its cold war roots, and promoting greater discernment and discrimination in the use of national security classification.

In May, the U.S. government formally disclosed the current size of the U.S. nuclear weapons arsenal for the first time (5,113 warheads as of September 30, 2009). Declassification of this information, which is integral to future arms control and disarmament efforts, had been sought - and resisted - for decades. That battle for public disclosure has now been won. Also this year, the Report of the Nuclear Posture Review, the basic statement of U.S. nuclear weapons policy, was produced and released in unclassified form for the first time.

In September, the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense revealed the total intelligence budget (\$80.1 billion in FY2010) as well as its "national" (\$53.1 billion) and military (\$27 billion) components. This is a more

complete and detailed disclosure of U.S. intelligence spending than has ever been provided before. (An aggregate figure - with no further breakdown - was disclosed in 1997 and 1998.) It also represents a major policy reversal. Just a few years ago, intelligence community leaders swore under penalty of perjury that disclosure of this information would damage national security and compromise intelligence methods. Now annual intelligence budget disclosure is the new norm.

These are not cosmetic changes. They represent real discontinuities with past practice. Stockpile secrecy and intelligence budget secrecy have each been cornerstones of entire edifices of national security classification that will now be susceptible to change. And in each case their disclosure is the culmination and the successful fruition of years or even decades of advocacy, agitation and litigation by the Federation of American Scientists and other organizations and political leaders.

In fact, the deepest significance of these disclosures may lie in the fact that they demonstrate the feasibility of effective public advocacy in national security secrecy policy. If a half century of nuclear stockpile secrecy and intelligence budget secrecy can be overturned in favor of public disclosure, then citizens can confidently seek the release of many other, less deeply entrenched official secrets as well as a continuing reduction in the overall scope of the secrecy system.

Of course, efforts to reduce government secrecy have not been uniformly successful. For example, the Obama Administration's use of the state secrets privilege to derail litigation on sensitive national security topics is indistinguishable from that of the Bush Administration, despite a September 2009 policy change promising "greater accountability" and more limited use of the privilege. Moreover, it appears that the Obama Justice Department has failed to fulfill its own policy of referring to agency Inspectors General any legitimate cases against the government that could not be litigated because of the state secrets privilege. (We are still attempting to confirm and to document that this is indeed the case.) Nor has it offered any other alternative remedy to those who may have been wronged by U.S. government actions concealed by state secrets claims.

But even when the wheels of progress move slowly - or slip into reverse - proponents of greater openness are not helpless. At Secrecy News, we have tried to shine a spotlight on the mechanics of secrecy, and to provide our own almost daily disclosures of official documents of public policy value that are somehow restricted or otherwise hard to find. Not just because they are restricted, but because they are also of public policy value. Over the past year, Secrecy News produced unique coverage of numerous important secrecy stories. For example:

\*\* Leaking classified information may be the right thing to do in certain circumstances, suggested district court Judge T.S. Ellis III at a 2009 hearing, "but you have to stand up and take the consequences." We obtained and released the previously unpublished transcript of that remarkable hearing last March. ("Judge: If You Leak Classified Info, Take the Consequences," March 22).

\*\* We offered the most complete and in-depth reporting of the dispute between Congress and the executive branch over Government Accountability Office access to intelligence information. We provided related documentation including a 1988 Office of Legal Counsel opinion and a new Department of Defense instruction on GAO access to highly classified DoD special access programs. In congressional testimony and public advocacy, we also argued in favor of an increased role for GAO in intelligence oversight. Despite a veto threat from the White House earlier this year, a favorable resolution of the matter now seems to be within reach. ("GAO Gains a Foothold in Intelligence Oversight," September 29).

\*\* We maintained and expanded our online library of reports from the JASON defense science advisory board. Ours is the most complete public collection of these consistently interesting and influential studies.

\*\* We obtained and published numerous unreleased reports from the DNI Open Source Center, such as a March 2010 report on

Turkey's mysterious underground Ergenekon movement.

\*\* We spent more time than we would have liked criticizing the Wikileaks organization, whose spectacular releases of large collections of classified documents continue to generate controversy. From our perspective, Wikileaks has been inattentive to the unintended consequences of its actions, careless about putting individuals in harm's way, particularly in the case of the Afghan war records, and ethically deficient in its invasions of personal privacy. (In its latest release, Wikileaks did redact some names of individuals and some other sensitive information.)

\*\* With other like-minded organizations (and, in this case, a remarkably responsive White House), we helped prevent the creation of an ominous new information control system for so-called Controlled Unclassified Information. Instead of constituting a fourth level of classification, the new CUI marking should simply facilitate information sharing without providing authority for any new restrictions on information. ("A New Policy on Controlled Unclassified Info," November 4).

\*\* We obtained and published a previously undisclosed 2009 report from the Intelligence Science Board on the virtues of non-coercive interrogation. We also reported that the DNI had disbanded the ISB this year.

\*\* We published hundreds of Congressional Research Service reports that had not previously been made available to the public, and numerous other popular records from a three-volume description of the Soviet army to the U.S. Army's latest weapons system handbook to a speculative scientific paper on "interstellar archeology." And quite a bit more.

It's impossible to say whether the race to fix the classification system can be won through our kind of advocacy from the outside and by enlightened self-interest within government. Before that happens, classification itself could be rendered moot and ineffective by leaks, abuse or internal collapse. Or, in a reflexive response to continuing leaks, officials might seek to expand the scope of secrecy rather than focusing it narrowly, while increasing penalties for unauthorized disclosures.

But in the coming year, we see some promise in what is called the Fundamental Classification Guidance Review. This is a procedure (mandated in executive order 13526, section 1.9) for every agency that classifies information to seek out, identify and remove classification requirements that are no longer valid. In effect, it provides an opportunity and a mechanism for rewriting the "software" of the entire classification system. Though success is not guaranteed, we expect the Review to produce a measurable reduction in the scope of national security classification. We plan to monitor its progress as closely as we can.

Finally, we want to ask for your help. If you identify with our approach and you derive value from the work that we are doing, then we encourage you to help sustain it for another year with a tax-deductible contribution. Although we make our online resources freely available to everyone who wants them, we incur costs in collecting, analyzing, and publishing them as well as in our related advocacy activities. If you can help us with that, please do.

Donations can be made online here (select "Government Secrecy" in the drop-down menu to allocate your donation for the FAS Project on Government Secrecy):

[http://www.fas.org/member/donate\\_today.html](http://www.fas.org/member/donate_today.html)

Donors who contribute \$25 or more will automatically be enrolled as members of the Federation of American Scientists (unless you prefer not to be). Donations can also be made by sending a check made out to Federation of American Scientists and earmarked for Secrecy News to this address:

Attn: Secrecy News  
Federation of American Scientists  
1725 DeSales Street NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20036



---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

The Secrecy News Blog is at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, go to:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/subscribe.html>

To UNSUBSCRIBE, go to  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/unsubscribe.html>

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Support the FAS Project on Government Secrecy with a donation:  
[http://www.fas.org/member/donate\\_today.html](http://www.fas.org/member/donate_today.html)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:45:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

<snip>

Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about UFOs

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:27:08 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:48:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 13:22:04 -0500  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>SECURITY NEWS  
>from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
>Volume 2010, Issue No. 94  
>November 29, 2010

<snip>

>Finally, we want to ask for your help. If you identify with our  
>approach and you derive value from the work that we are doing,  
>then we encourage you to help sustain it for another year with a  
>tax-deductible contribution. Although we make our online  
>resources freely available to everyone who wants them, we incur  
>costs in collecting, analyzing, and publishing them as well as  
>in our related advocacy activities. If you can help us with  
>that, please do.

>Donations can be made online here (select "Government Secrecy"  
>in the drop-down menu to allocate your donation for the FAS  
>Project on Government Secrecy):

>[http://www.fas.org/member/donate\\_today.html](http://www.fas.org/member/donate_today.html)

>Donors who contribute \$25 or more will automatically be enrolled  
>as members of the Federation of American Scientists (unless you  
>prefer not to be). Donations can also be made by sending a check  
>made out to Federation of American Scientists and earmarked for  
>Secrecy News to this address:

>Attn: Secrecy News  
>Federation of American Scientists  
>1725 DeSales Street NW, Suite 600  
>Washington, DC 20036

Steven:

I do not read Wikileaks, I read your newsletter whenever I  
receive it. Thanks for the excellent job of uncovering issues  
that need to be uncovered.

I prefer to send a check.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: **Eleanor White** <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:16:20 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:29:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

<snip>

>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>UFOs

These so-called "leaks" \_reek\_ of government opinion  
manipulation operations.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:19:22 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:43:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

> \*\* THE RACE TO FIX THE CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

If these "leaks" were genuinely harmful to national security,  
the leaker would have been long ago taken out.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:20:17 -0600  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:45:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

<snip>

>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>UFOs

No, not really, John. I am agnostic on the question of what governments know or don't know about the UFO phenomenon, but it's hard to imagine why the subject would come up in routine diplomatic communications, which is what Wikileaks exposes. Plenty of other secrets go unmentioned there, too.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:40:07 -0600  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:51:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:16:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

<snip>

>>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>>UFOs

>These so-called "leaks" \_reek\_ of government opinion  
>manipulation operations.

Oh, really?

What's your evidence?

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:58:31 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 16:10:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:40:07 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

<snip>

>>These so-called "leaks" \_reek\_ of government opinion  
>>manipulation operations.

>Oh, really?

>What's your evidence?

"Reek" is a statement of opinion. Of course I can't prove that.  
But governments don't sit back and politely try to beg leakers  
of anything \_really\_ serious to 'stop doing that.'

Especially governments with a history of thuggery to accomplish  
their ends.

That's the reason I hold that opinion.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:06:02 -0600  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:01:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:19:22 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>If these "leaks" were genuinely harmful to national security,  
>the leaker would have been long ago taken out.

The leaker's name is known: PFC Bradley Manning, who has been arrested. If convicted, he faces serious prison time.

I take it you're not following this story very closely.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 16:45:58 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:02:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: John Rimmer<[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: Steven Aftergood<[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

><snip>

>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>UFOs

I don't think it's curious at all.

A cursory look says that the October release was entitled "The Iraq War Logs". The most recent releases are leaked United States embassy cables, and so far, only a small fraction of these have been released.

According to

<http://cablegate.wikileaks.org/>

the most frequent subjects discussed in the embassy cables are:

External political relations -- 145,451  
Internal government affairs -- 122,896  
Human rights -- 55,211  
Economic Conditions -- 49,044  
Terrorists and terrorism -- 28,801  
UN security council -- 6,532

I would not expect to find conversations about top secret military technologies under these headings. I also would not expect to find confirmation of historical data such as the MJ-12 documents, Roswell, etc. in recent embassy cables. Some discussion of potential ET space invaders might fit under one or two of these topics if that were seen internationally as a current threat.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 17:10:30 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:05:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 15:58:31 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:40:07 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

><snip>

>>>These so-called "leaks" \_reek\_ of government opinion manipulation  
>>>operations.

>>Oh, really?

>>What's your evidence?

>"Reek" is a statement of opinion. Of course I can't prove that.  
>But governments don't sit back and politely try to beg leakers of anything  
>\_really\_ serious to 'stop doing that.'

>Especially governments with a history of thuggery to accomplish their  
ends.

>That's the reason I hold that opinion.

The person that apparently downloaded thousands of files onto a  
small USB memory stick is in custody and awaiting serious  
charges for his actions. But WikiLeaks is beyond US Government  
control and I suspect there are numerous efforts underway to try  
and shut it down, but so far there is no legal basis to stop the  
flow on their end. The public requests to cease and desist are  
only what you see on the surface.

Unless there had been a sighting in the middle of the war that  
came to the attention of the military, this wouldn't contain any  
reference to alien craft or 'lights in the sky'.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 00:29:55 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:06:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:20:17 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>>UFOs

>No, not really, John. I am agnostic on the question of what  
>governments know or don't know about the UFO phenomenon, but  
>it's hard to imagine why the subject would come up in routine  
>diplomatic communications, which is what Wikileaks exposes.  
>Plenty of other secrets go unmentioned there, too.

I wasn't particularly talking about the latest leak (although if  
the US government did have lots of hidden UFO evidence I'd be  
surprised if at least some of it didn't turn up in diplomatic  
chatter) but Wikileaks generally.

(Incidentally Jerry, I'm glad to see that you are carrying on the  
noble tradition of responding to every single thing I post on  
UpDates. Keep up the good work!)

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 15:22:19 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:08:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

><snip>

>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>UFOs

The SCI compartment caveats and non-disclosure agreements work quite well in protecting stealth, SAP, and myriad other programs. What we see in Wikileaks is the front-channel or GENSER side of the networks.

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:27:01 -0600  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 09:33:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 00:29:55 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:20:17 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>>>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>>>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>>>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>>>UFOs

>>No, not really, John. I am agnostic on the question of what  
>>governments know or don't know about the UFO phenomenon, but  
>>it's hard to imagine why the subject would come up in routine  
>>diplomatic communications, which is what Wikileaks exposes.  
>>Plenty of other secrets go unmentioned there, too.

>I wasn't particularly talking about the latest leak (although if  
>the US government did have lots of hidden UFO evidence I'd be  
>surprised if at least some of it didn't turn up in diplomatic  
>chat) but Wikileaks generally.

>(Incidentally Jerry, I'm glad to see that you are carrying on the  
>noble tradition of responding to every single thing I post on  
>UpDates. Keep up the good work!)

What a strangely defensive, sarcastic, and bewilderingly  
unfriendly remark - which doesn't happen to be true in any  
event, not remotely - to a simple observation of mine which I  
doubt anybody but you deemed anything but polite.

If your skin is that thin, John, you're going to have some real  
problems with the looming London winter.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:37:11 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 11:20:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 15:22:19 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 19:17:25 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>>From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
>>>Subject: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>><snip>

>>Curious, isn't it, how all these millions of Wikileaks never  
>>seem to have anything about the secret UFO projects, crashed  
>>saucers, government contact with extraterrestrials and all the  
>>other stuff that the government is supposed to be hiding about  
>>UFOs

>The SCI compartment caveats and non-disclosure agreements work  
>quite well in protecting stealth, SAP, and myriad other  
>programs. What we see in Wikileaks is the front-channel or  
>GENSER side of the networks.

Brief comments on CBC radio indicated that only 5% of the  
material was secret. No Indication of any TOP SECRET or TS Code  
word.

Also because of responding to complaints after 9/11, about the  
lack of sharing of info, a few million people had access to the  
items. Obviously that would not hold for TOP SECRET or TOP  
Secret Code word material.

We certainly know from the 'released' NSA and CIA UFO documents  
that they were TS Code word.

Don't forget that more than 90% of the NSA UFO verbiage was  
whited out and more than 95% of the CIA TS material was blacked  
out. An example is given on page 119 of my Flying Saucers And  
Science. Only 8 words are not blacked out.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 16:37:16 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 12:41:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:27:01 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 00:29:55 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 29 Nov 2010 14:20:17 -0600  
>>>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>>I wasn't particularly talking about the latest leak (although if  
>>the US government did have lots of hidden UFO evidence I'd be  
>>surprised if at least some of it didn't turn up in diplomatic  
>>chatter) but Wikileaks generally.

>>(Incidentally Jerry, I'm glad to see that you are carrying on the  
>>noble tradition of responding to every single thing I post on  
>>UpDates. Keep up the good work!)

>What a strangely defensive, sarcastic, and bewilderingly  
>unfriendly remark - which doesn't happen to be true in any  
>event, not remotely - to a simple observation of mine which I  
>doubt anybody but you deemed anything but polite.

>If your skin is that thin, John, you're going to have some real  
>problems with the looming London winter.

Dear Jerry,

I'm so sorry I've upset you with what was intended as a light-  
hearted remark. I assure you that my skin is as thick as it  
needs to be for anyone who has been contributing to UFO UpDates  
for the last fifteen years! I always feel vaguely flattered on  
the frequent occasions when you do respond to my posts.  
Sometimes you actually agreed with me!

I'm still puzzled however why nothing about UFOs has ever turned  
up on Wikileaks, and I'm not the only one:

<http://tinyurl.com/33xbrqd>

It's snowing in London at the moment, so I'll be sure to wrap up  
warm when I go out, and I'll be thinking of you struggling with  
20-foot snow drifts in Minnesota.

--

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

## Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 16:40:52 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 12:44:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 30 Nov 2010 07:37:11 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Secrecy News - 11/29/10

<snip>

>Brief comments on CBC radio indicated that only 5% of the  
>material was secret. No Indication of any TOP SECRET or TS Code  
>word.

>Also because of responding to complaints after 9/11, about the  
>lack of sharing of info, a few million people had access to the  
>items. Obviously that would not hold for TOP SECRET or TOP  
>Secret Code word material.

>We certainly know from the 'released' NSA and CIA UFO documents  
>that they were TS Code word.

>Don't forget that more than 90% of the NSA UFO verbiage was  
>whited out and more than 95% of the CIA TS material was blacked  
>out. An example is given on page 119 of my Flying Saucers And  
>Science. Only 8 words are not blacked out.

>Stan Friedman

Zbigniew Brzezinski on PBS' NewsHour agreed with Eleanor's  
response. I.e., that part of the leak is in fact a controlled  
document dump by one of the government agencies. He didn't say  
which one.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)