A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > Feb

UFO UpDates Mailing List Feb 2011

Feb 1:

Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55] - Gildas Bourdais [16] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55] - Steve Sawyer [154] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55] - Alfred Lehmberg [18] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55] - Kathy Kasten [20] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55] - Gildas Bourdais [37] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Gerald O'Connell [14] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Dave Haith [46] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - J. Maynard Gelinas [21] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Don Ledger [25] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Dave Haith [31] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Michael M. Hughes [47]

Feb 2:

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Stanton T. Friedman [24]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Steven Kaeser [26]
Implant Evidence - Dave Haith [15]
UFO MAtrix Issue 4 - Philip Mantle [51]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Rick Nielsen [34]
Re: UFO MAtrix Issue 4 - Alfred Lehmberg [22]
Woods Defamation Campaign - UFO UpDates - Toronto [382]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Dave Haith [39]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - John Rimmer [16]

Feb 3:

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Michael M. Hughes [25]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Steven Kaeser [16]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Kathy Kasten [35]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Don Ledger [19]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Jerome Clark [15]
Re: Implant Evidence - Kathy Kasten [27]
Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Alfred Lehmberg [50]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Rick Nielsen [10]
4 MUFON State Directors Axed - Dave Haith [184]
James Carrion Fires Back - Dave Haith [153]

UFO UpDates: Feb 2011

Bigelow & NASA - UFO UpDates - Toronto [60] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Steven Kaeser [44] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Sean Jones [26] News Links - 02-02-11 - UFO UpDates - Toronto [100] 'Standard Abduction Experience' [Was: Hopkins & - Ray Dickenson [17] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Steven Kaeser [21] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Nigel Watson [18] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience - Jerome Clark [62] Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - John Rimmer [13] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Kathy Kasten [40] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - John Rimmer [11] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - John Rimmer [11] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - John Rimmer [15] Re: 4 MUFON State Directors Axed - Kathy Kasten [18] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Kathy Kasten [12] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Jerome Clark [12] Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Jerome Clark [9] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Nigel Watson [19] Implants & RFID [was: Hopkins & Jacobs] - Kathy Kasten [21]

Feb 4:

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Kathy Kasten [27]
Re: James Carrion Fires Back - John Velez [95]
Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - William Treurniet [32]
Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Alfred Lehmberg [18]
Re: Hopkins & Jacobs - Don Ledger [10]
How To Take Down A UFO Organization 101 - UFO UpDates - Toronto [145]
Re: Implants & RFID - Terry W. Colvin [21]
Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - John Rimmer [13]
Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Nigel Watson [22]
Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Alfred Lehmberg [14]
Re: How To Take Down A UFO Organization 101 - John Rimmer [12]
PRG Notice - Friday, February 4, 2011 - Stephen Bassett [38]
New Information About The Trindade Island Case - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [122]

Feb 5:

UFO Cover-Ups As University Master Thesis - Dave Haith [71]
Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Kathy Kasten [19]
MUFON's Clift Responds - Terry Groff [133]
Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Cathy Reason [23]
Re: James Carrion Fires Back - Kathy Kasten [17]
MUFON's Contract With Bigelow - Larry W Bryant [404]
Ronald Reagan Cared More About UFOs Than AIDS - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [83]
Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [42]
Extraterrestrial Contact: How Close To Discovery - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [80]
Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Jerome Clark [32]
Re: MUFON's Clift Responds - Steven S. Bass, FFSc. [54]
Re: MUFON's Clift Responds - Steven Kaeser [34]
Re: Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us - William Treurniet [26]
Re: James Carrion Fires Back - John Velez [25]
Budd Hopkins - John Kubish [37]

Feb 6:

Alexander Book Review - Bruce Maccabee [15] News Links - 05-02-11 - UFO UpDates - Toronto [74] The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs - UFO UpDates - Toronto [93] Re: Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [75] Re: Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [75] Re: Alexander Book Review - Shepherd Johnson [16]

Feb 7:

Re: Budd Hopkins - John Velez [38]
Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs - John Rimmer [11]
Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Emma Woods [656]
Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Nigel Watson [14]
UFO Matrix Conference October 15 & 16 - Philip Mantle [59]
Carol Rainey On Spectrum Radio Network - UFO UpDates - Toronto [39]
Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Jerome Clark [9]
Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [20]
Re: Standard Abduction Experience' - Nigel Watson [10]
Re: Budd Hopkins - Carol Rainey [126]

Feb 8:

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Rick Nielsen [23] <u>Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs</u> - Don Ledger [11] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Don Ledger [15] **Donald Rumsfeld's UFO Connection** - Peter Booth [15] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Cathy Reason [14] Re: James Carrion Fires Back - Kathy Kasten [15] Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs - Kathy Kasten [44] Re: Budd Hopkins - Michael M. Hughes [15] Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Kathy Kasten [32] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Kathy Kasten [17] Re: Alexander Book Review - Kathy Kasten [17] Re: Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [29] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Jerome Clark [14] More On The Trindade Island Case - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [67] More USAF 'Crash Test Dummies' - UFO UpDates - Toronto [78] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - John Rimmer [10] Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Alfred Lehmberg [23] Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs - John Rimmer [13] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Jerome Clark [24] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Don Ledger [11] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - John Rimmer [12] Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs - Don Ledger [13] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Martin Shough [54] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Alfred Lehmberg [61]

Feb 9:

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Kathy Kasten [15]

UFO UpDates: Feb 2011

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Kathy Kasten [22] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - John Rimmer [10] Re: Budd Hopkins - Carol Rainey [66] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Gildas Bourdais [24] News Links - 08-02-11 - UFO UpDates - Toronto [51] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Jerome Clark [12] Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [30] Re: Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [126] Re: Budd Hopkins - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [39]

Feb 10:

FBI Confirms Meeting With Davenport? - Dave Haith [38] Re: Budd Hopkins - Carol Rainey [46] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Greg Paloma [23] Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience' - Rick Nielsen [23] Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Emma Woods [15] Re: Budd Hopkins - Emma Woods [43] Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video - William Sawers [19] UFO And Alien Subjects Veiled In Naked Lunch - Terry Groff [95] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Martin Shough [24] Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory - Joe McGonagle [30] Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [19] Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [21] Re: Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [11] Re: FBI Confirms Meeting With Davenport? - Bruce Maccabee [15] **PopMech - Are We Alone?** - Ray Dickenson [28] Re: Budd Hopkins - Carol Rainey [48] Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video - Bruce Maccabee [21] Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact' - Dave Haith [37] Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Kathy Kasten [20] Re: Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory - Jim Deardorf [39] Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Kathy Kasten [53]

Feb 11:

Re: Budd Hopkins - Kathy Kasten [26] Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact' - Kathy Kasten [26] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Greg Paloma [54] Re: Budd Hopkins - J. Maynard Gelinas [46] Re: Budd Hopkins - Joe McGonagle [67] Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact' - Dave Haith [14] Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact' - William Sawers [16] Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Tyler Kokjohn [40] Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [38] Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact' - John Rimmer [10] Re: Budd Hopkins - Sean Jones [41] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Martin Shough [26] Re: Budd Hopkins - Tyler Kokjohn [38]

Feb 12:

<u>Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'</u> - Dave Haith [45]

UFO UpDates: Feb 2011

<u>Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'</u> - William Treurniet [28]
<u>Re: Woods Defamation Campaign</u> - Kathy Kasten [28]
<u>Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'</u> - Kathy Kasten [21]
<u>Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'</u> - Eleanor White [42]
<u>McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM</u> - Joe McGonagle [56]
<u>Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis</u> - J. Maynard Gelinas [161]
<u>Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'</u> - John Rimmer [15]
<u>Re: McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM</u> - Roy Hale [14]
<u>Re: Budd Hopkins</u> - Gildas Bourdais [27]
<u>Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'</u> - Dave Haith [14]
<u>Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis</u> - Tyler Kokjohn [48]
<u>Re: Woods Defamation Campaign</u> - Tyler Kokjohn [21]

Feb 13:

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact' - Dave Haith [71]
Re: Budd Hopkins - John Rimmer [17]
Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis - William Treurniet [46]
Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Greg Paloma [83]
Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Greg Paloma [14]
Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Emma Woods [13]
Re: Woods Defamation Campaign - Emma Woods [26]
News Links - 12-02-11 - UFO UpDates - Toronto [85]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [14]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Jerome Clark [12]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Alfred Lehmberg [24]
Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis - Kevin Randle [245]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Greg Paloma [28]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Greg Paloma [21]

Feb 14:

Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [35]
Paul Movie Trailer - Dave Haith [11]
Re: Budd Hopkins - Joe McGonagle [14]
Bryant On Peckman's Denver Mayoral Run - Larry W Bryant [28]
Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Martin Shough [62]
Spacecraft To Be Controlled By AI - Ray Dickenson [21]
Re: Paul Movie Trailer - Kelly Freeman [12]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Michael Tarbell [34]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [15]
Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [12]
Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis - Tyler Kokjohn [56]
Re: Paul Movie Trailer - David Rudiak [10]

Feb 15:

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis - J. Maynard Gelinas [58] Acceleration And Disappearance - Bruce Maccabee [11] Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [27] Re: Budd Hopkins - John Rimmer [18] McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins] - Joe McGonagle [21] Re: Acceleration And Disappearance - Ray Dickenson [19]

Feb 16:

Budd Hopkins Responds - UFO UpDates - Toronto [751] Hopkins Rebuts Rainey & Colleagues Give Thanks - Kay Wilson [49] The Woods Evidence On-Line [was: Budd Hopkins] - Alfred Lehmberg [105] The Wrong Question [was: Budd Hpkins] - Stanton Friedman [15] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Michael Tarbell [23] Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was "Budd Hopkins"] - Carol Rainey [107] Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis - Kathy Kasten [43] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Greg Paloma [96] Re: Ouestion For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Greg Paloma [55] Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis - Tyler Kokjohn [104] Spyros Melaris & Financing The AA Film - Rajan Patel [17] VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins] - Kevin Randle [15] Re: Acceleration And Disappearance - Bruce Maccabee [11] Re: More On The Trindade Island Case - Martin Shough [49] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Joe McGonagle [14] Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis - Tyler Kokjohn [14]

Feb 17:

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gerald O'Connell [16] Re: Budd Hopkins - Carol Rainey [44] Re: Ouestion For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade - Michael Tarbell [45] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Michael Tarbell [19] Re: Acceleration And Disappearance - Greg Paloma [28] Re: VernonClark & Sims - Gildas Bourdais [18] Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [34] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gildas Bourdais [15] **UFO Matrix Review - Kuhlman** - Philip Mantle [78] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Sean Jones [23] Molding The Public's Image Of ET - Diana Cammack [21] PRG Update - February 17 2011 - Stephen Bassett [101] Re: VernonClark & Sims - Steven Kaeser [26] Re: Budd Hopkins - Alfred Lehmberg [36] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Joe McGonagle [18] **101 Possible Explanations for UFOs** - Sean Jones [649] Re: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs - Sean Jones [14] Re: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs - Alfred Lehmberg [17] News Links - 16-02-11 - UFO UpDates - Toronto [79]

Feb 18:

Re: VernonClark & Sims - Kathy Kasten [25]
Re: VernonClark & Sims - Kevin Randle [27]
Re: VernonClark & Sims - Gildas Bourdais [20]
Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [22]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gildas Bourdais [13]
Open Letter To Senator Scott Brown - Paradigm Research Group [12]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Joe McGonagle [11]
William Moore? - Robert Frola [13]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Roy Hale [16]

UFO UpDates: Feb 2011

<u>Re: Budd Hopkins</u> - Alfred Lehmberg [62] <u>Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand</u> - Carol Rainey [24]

Feb 19:

Re: Budd Hopkins - Kevin Randle [20] Re: Budd Hopkins - Bert Reijersen van Buuren [32] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - John Rimmer [13] Re: VernonClark & Sims - Gildas Bourdais [13] Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [27] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gildas Bourdais [15] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Roy Hale [25] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gildas Bourdais [9] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gildas Bourdais [9] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Martin Shough [23] Re: Budd Hopkins - Alfred Lehmberg [59] 'Fatima Re-Visited' - Ray Dickenson [20] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Don Ledger [43] Re: Budd Hopkins - Rick Nielsen [17]

Feb 20:

<u>Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand</u> - Joe McGonagle [15] <u>Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand</u> - Joe McGonagle [26] <u>Re: VernonClark & Sims</u> - Kathy Kasten [22]

Feb 21:

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Stanton T. Friedman [14]
Re: Budd Hopkins - Emma Woods [132]
Re: Budd Hopkins |Dave Morton
Marspyrs@aol.com> [28]21 Feb 004 Nano Hummingbird Two-Thirds Of An Ounce
Don Ledger [19]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Don Ledger [12]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gerald O'Connell [44]
Re: VernonClark & Sims - Gildas Bourdais [20]
Re: Budd Hopkins - Gildas Bourdais [38]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gildas Bourdais [24]
Re: VernonClark & Sims - Jerome Clark [9]
First Use Of Dr. & VernonClark? - UFO UpDates - Toronto [50]
Re: Budd Hopkins - Alfred Lehmberg [18]

Feb 22:

Re: VernonClark & Sims - Kathy Kasten [10]
Special Note From Budd Hopkins - K Wilson [24]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Martin Shough [41]
Rense - Michael M. Hughes [67]
Jacobs & Alien Hybrids IMs [was: Budd Hopkins] - Steve Sawyer [24]
Re: VernonClark & Sims - Steven Kaeser [12]
Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Roy Hale [11]
Re: Rense - Carol Maltby [23]
Re: Rense - Jerome Clark [36]
Re: VernonClark & Sims - John Rimmer [10]

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - John Rimmer [9] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [13] Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - David Cherniack [51]

Feb 23:

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Don Ledger [10] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Martin Shough [18] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Gene Steinberg [17] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Kathy Kasten [15] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - John Rimmer [10] Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand - Gildas Bourdais [24] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [21] Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand] - Martin Shough [56] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [38] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Alfred Lehmberg [59] Re: Minot AFB Case - Roy Hale [14] Spectrum Radio Network On YouTube - Tom Theofanous [14] Re: Minot AFB Case - Martin Shough [18] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - John Rimmer [29] Betty & Barney Hill Incident 50th - Stew Weldon [53] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [20] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Emma Woods [75] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Emma Woods [14] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - John Ratcliff [18] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Gene Steinberg [15] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Don Ledger [12] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Don Ledger [29] Inconvenient Facts And The Will To Believe - Paul Kimball [22]

Feb 24:

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Gene Steinberg [18] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - John Rimmer [17] Re: oods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - John Rimmer [10] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Kathy Kasten [16] Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research - Kathy Kasten [60] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Alfred Lehmberg [28] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - David Cherniack [48] Re: Minot AFB Case - Michael Tarbell [28] Wendelle Stevens' Archive - Philip Mantle [16] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [52] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [16] Re: Minot AFB Case - Martin Shough [21] News Links - 22-02-11 - UFO UpDates - Toronto [127] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Gene Steinberg [27] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Alfred Lehmberg [28] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - John Rimmer [30] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Gene Steinberg [28] Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research - Gerald O'Connell [30] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Gerald O'Connell [14] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Don Ledger [39] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [131]

Feb 25:

Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research - Don Ledger [19] Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Jerome Clark [13] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Steven Kaeser [185] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Alfred Lehmberg [63] **UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes** - Robert Hastings [17]

Feb 26:

Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids - Gildas Bourdais [30]
Re: Minot AFB Case - Gildas Bourdais [16]
Are These UFOs? - Roy Hale [23]
PRG Update - February 25, 2011 - Stephen G Bassett [69]
Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Gene Steinberg [42]
Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research - Ray Dickenson [18]
Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes - Kathy Kasten [13]
UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [61]
How The Mind Works From McMoneagle & McKenna - Kathy Kasten [108]
Alien Abduction - What's Left? - Rick Nielsen [65]
Clegg 'Washes His Hands' Of Gary McKinnon - Roy Hale [12]
Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Emma Woods [28]
Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Emma Woods [30]
Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins - Emma Woods [74]
Re: Clegg 'Washes His Hands' Of Gary McKinnon - Ross Evans [35]

Feb 27:

<u>Re: Are These UFOs?</u> - Bruce Maccabee [10]
<u>Re: Are These UFOs?</u> - Nick Balaskas [45]
<u>Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend</u> - Don Ledger [14]
<u>Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point</u> - Alfred Lehmberg [123]
<u>Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?</u> - Steven Kaeser [16]
<u>Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes</u> - Robert Hastings [143]
<u>'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy</u> - Ross Evans [25]
<u>'Emma Woods' [was: Special Note From Budd Hopkins]</u> - Jerome Clark [37]
<u>Re: Are These UFOs?</u> - William Treurniet [37]
<u>Re: Are These UFOs? [URL Correction]</u> - William Treurniet [38]

Feb 28:

Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend - John Rimmer [27] Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy - Steven Kaeser [23] Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Gene Steinberg [51] Re: 'Emma Woods' - Gene Steinberg [18] Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [72] Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy - Roy Hale [13] Re: Are These UFOs? - Ole Henningsen [11] Re: Are These UFOs? - Ole Henningsen [14] Re: 'Emma Woods' - John Rimmer [11] Re: UFOS Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes - Kathy Kasten [13] Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left? - Kathy Kasten [16] UFO UpDates: Feb 2011

Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left? - Rick Nielsen [36]
'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist' - Eleanor White [27]
Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy - Ray Dickenson [15]
Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist' - Joe McGonagle [18]
Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids - Emma Woods [98]
Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist' - John Rimmer [10]
Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist' - John Rimmer [10]
Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend - Michael Hughes [16]
Re: Are These UFOs? - William Treurniet [15]
Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left? - Steven Kaeser [185]
Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Alfred Lehmberg [105]
Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids - Gene Steinberg [29]
Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend - Michael M. Hughes [56]
Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point - Michael M. Hughes [48]
The Nature of Abduction Researcher Relationships - Carol Rainey [82]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

Previous Month

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 17:35:14 +0100
Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 06:29:27 -0500
Subject: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

>From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 07:15:10 -0800
>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 07:33:40 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:28:34 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>><snip>

>>>BTW, I have been shocked by the article of Carol Rainey. Here is >>>the copy of the message of support I sent to Budd Hopkins. I >>>would like to see some support of him on this list...

>>>"I have great respect for Budd, whom I met several times, in
>>>Brazil, France and Italy, and for Leslie Kean as well. I am sure
>>>that his research on abductions is, and will remain, very
>>>important, and this is an occcasion to thank him warmly for
>>>that.

>>>His book Art, Life and UFOs, which I have just read, also >>>confirm to me his qualities, as a man and as a talented artist. >>>I see the article of Carol Rainey as a very regrettable mistake >>>which, hopefully, will be soon forgotten.

>>Well, all respect Sir, it's gone beyond all that. Forgotten is >>just not appropriate. If S.D. Morton or R. Hoagland were caught >>like this we'd be on 'em like white on rice. Ms. Rainey is a >>legitimate whistleblower, and she should not be shot for that.

>>See, you can say what you want about the motivations of Ms. >>Rainey in production, but I can report that she was spot-on in >>at _least_ a third of her report as it pertains to Jim >>Mortellaro, that prevaricating little man who caused us all, but >>me specifically, such embarrassment, injury, and cognitive >>insult last decade. I'm inclined by her performance there to >>give her the benefit of the doubt on the rest, which seems >>cogent, calm and entirely bereft of any sexist 'woman scorned'.

>>Hopkins is having to endure a lot of guilt by association with >>David Jacobs... who has been caught so red-handed and dirty that >>continued defense in his credit is wholly inexplicable, IMO. >>People are getting hurt and there must be some responsibility >>taken for that.

><snip>

>I agree completely here with Mr. Lehmberg. Absolutely.

>The Carol Rainey expose of Budd Hopkins (can't wait to see her >film on same!), and by association, David Jacobs, via the "Emma >Woods" affair, are both quite important developments within the

>field of "abduction research," and more generally, ufology >itself, in terms of proper evidential procedure, objective >vetting, and peer review and supervision by fellow researchers >of the boards, groups, and individuals seriously interested in >the advancement and progress to be made in UFO investigation and >related aspects such as abduction.

>The latest revelations constitute a tremendous scandal on the >part of both Hopkins and Jacobs, among others (read at >paratopia.com what Rainey had to say about Leslie Kean's >endorsement, against all logic, of Hopkins research early on, >for example), and _must_ be honestly addressed and debated >to the degree required to prevent further psychological and >emotional harm to those subjected to regressive hypnosis, >which is and has never been a scientifically sound process or >"therapy" of any kind. The British UFO group, BUFORA, >wisely banned its use several years ago, due to just such >potential for abuse of subjects and implantation of false or >confabulated memories. John Mack is also responsible for >such egregious and reprehensible activities, although he may >not be as equally guilty as Hopkins and Jacobs, who not >should have known better, but did know better, but kept on >with their increasing sensationalism of cases and alleged >incidents apparently in order to enhance their reputations and >and to make money via lectures and books.

Steve and List

I find such a such a violent accusation totally unacceptable. With that, you just disqualify yourself.

I note that it includes now Leslie Kean, and, to tell the truth, this does not surprise me to see her targeted as well, knowing how successful she has been recently.

Yes, she supported the Cortile case, and so do I. And I again applaud her excellent work in ufology.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:22:22 -0800
Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 06:37:52 -0500
Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:11:59 -0600
>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 07:15:10 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 07:33:40 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:28:34 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

><snip>

>>J' accuse! I recommend, strongly, interested parties listen to >>Paratopia's podcast 55 for Scott Lilienthal's comments on the >>hideous pitfalls and dangerous, damaging effects of non->>professionals using techniques like regressive hypnosis on >>vulnerable subjects, to read Rainey'sarticle, and to visit "Emma >>Woods" website to listen to the "Jacobs tapes" and then decide >>for themselves just who is committing fraud and hoaxing the >>public. I am disgusted and deeply disappointed by the likes of >>Hopkins and Jacobs, and they need to be called to account for >>their actions, in a court of law if required, to acknowledge and >>be compelled to change or abandon such manipulative and >>destructive practices from here on out, in my humble opinion. >>They bring extraordinary discredit and oppobrium to the entire >>endeavor of real ufology, and should be stopped. They deserve no >>tributes or honors, just justice.

>This is the kind of thing that needs pursuit in a situation >where there is already so much ready discredit, ready, eh? Why >would we bring "extraordinary discredit and opprobrium to the >entire endeavor of real ufology," anyway but to provide for for >the facilitation of an, at least, _suspect_ status quo. We won't >do it for Morton or Hoagland... how can we justify it for Jacobs >and Hopkins?

>Ufology and Abduction research would be irreparably damaged? >Nonsense in the first place, and as it stands, perhaps >justifiably so, in the second! Remember that it is the UFO >compelling research and interest in same... not we small women >and men explicating in its regard. The moment our attention >starts to waver the UFOs provide, on their own, for another >Illinois, Stephenville, Iran, Phoenix, Rendlesham... well, I >really could just go on and on here, eh?

>My heart goes out to Budd Hopkins, whose hull breach is >decidedly self-inflicted out of his own hubris... even as I >stand askance and akimbo with regard to David Jacobs, whom I >loved, but then I listened to the tapes... heard him blithely >throw a woman under a psychological bus for his own convenience >when it looked like she might have a mind of her own, IMO... >among other unsavories.

>The emperor's naked when you can see nothing but skin, eh?

Well, gee, Alfred, I'd hate to see what happens to anyone you _disagree_ with. Oh, yeah, I had that experience once. It just happened that minor imbroglio resulted in certain policy changes to the UpDates list, as you may recall. Perhaps I'm taking a stronger stance against Hopkins and Jacobs than you feel comfortable with, but I do think this is important and needs saying.

Be that as it may, if I interpret your always unique and rather elaborate phraseology, you don't think that the cases of Jacobs and Hopkins bring discredit or negative effect to ufology itself, am I correct? If so, I just have to say I quietly disagree. Hopkins is among the most well-known UFO or abduction researchers around, with Jacobs and Mack somewhat secondary. But, let's face it, the perception or I guess you could say, public relations angle as perceived by the general public I would argue is quite important, in the sense that such shenanigans create a continuing negative impact on the perception of UFO research in general, and it seems that relatively few are willing to speak up and just call a spade a spade. After looking fairly thoroughly into the affairs of Hopkins and Jacobs of late, I have little sympathy for them.

What's worse than Morton or Hoagland is that both Hopkins and Jacobs, in particular, engaged in pyschologically manipulative practices which, at some point, and as pointed out by both Rainey and "Woods" quite clearly, they must have known were both damaging to their subjects on possibly a permanent basis and yet they continued, and thus were both lying to others and themselves, at least on a public level, about the efficacy and objectivity of their research methodologies and findings, both of which are now clearly suspect and need to be examined at the very least. Morton was finally caught out in his cons, and faces the legal consequences. I really wonder if Hopkins or Jacobs will face the same level of resultant investigation.

Yes, there are others who could and should be inducted into the UFO Hall Of Shame for whatever point that would serve, but I guess that part of my repulsion to the antics and deceptive practices of Hopkins and Jacobs in particular are based on the fact that they were defensively trying to protect their small part of the turf they occupied at the expense of other human beings in a manner that begs to be investigated and hopefully, as a result, not continued or replicated by others, even though it may be too late to prevent this syndrome from continuing. Hoagland is easily dismissed. Just read one of his books, or check out his website. He transparently discredits himself very handily.

Hopkins and Jacobs, however, have left people with real beliefs and memories of horrific, supposed alien abduction experiences which will haunt them, hundreds of them at least, for a very long time if not forever.

To me, that is a kind of blatant crime against humanity, and they need to be confronted by that fact, and made to both face up to it and admit that they have done things to vulnerable people who may or may not recover from their "treatment."

And they knew what they were doing for a very long time, and, like a sociopath, apparently did not care, as they drank their own poisonous Kool-Aid in order to self-aggrandize and make money off their fraudulent and damaging efforts. They also have impugned and diverted legitimate abduction research by mythologizing it and fabricating narrow scenarios of threatening little gray aliens with big black eyes, ala Whitley Strieber original injection of this faux meme into our culture, and which Hopkins and Jacobs adopted and distorted for their own purposes.

While I personally have never thought that the standard "alien abduction" scenario, in a physical sense, was likely, I do think there may be a kind of manipulation, in some rare cases, of some kind of internal way by potentially external forces or effects, both natural and perhaps in even rarer cases, from artificial external sources. But that remains to be seen, or truly investigated so far by most involved in this aspect of ufology, due to the propaganda and dominance of the scene by the use of regressive hypnosis by amateurs who have polluted the database. That does and has negatively impacted ufology in a very real way, and was done deliberately, at some level, as the cases of Hopkins and Jacobs amply show.

What strides and progress could have been made in the effects of possible contact with potential non-human intelligence if it hadn't been hijacked and mythologized by the likes of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack, and Strieber over the past 30 years? Now this aspect of research must start over, and will be perceived as even more marginal by the "legacy" of those named above due to their actions. So, ufology in general, and "abduction" research in particular have been deleteriously impacted and will be for quite some time.

This is more than just "unsavory" or based in hubris - it is, IMO, criminal and psychopathic in nature and effect.

Jacobs attempting to induce multiple personality disorder in "Emma Woods" by long-distance telephone contact and recommending prescription drugs for that in order to cover his own ass and have a basis to attempt to deny responsibility for his actions is simply amazing.

Hopkins inability to discern Mortellaro's psychopathy, after all the evidence brought to his attention, means he did not want to know the truth, and effectively tried to prevent others from presenting it to either him or others. To me, that's simply crazy.

It seems, if there's any margin of empathy for Hopkins or Jacobs, it can only be based in the apparent fact that they believed their own fraud, and became, over time, so psychologically and emotionally dependent on reinforcing their own confirmation biases, that they became detached from what most of us recognize as that little concept known as "reality."

So, I do not think that either Hopkins or Jacobs were so very vicious or callous as to commit a deliberate or conscious fraud or hoax, per se, but they should have, if they had any moral or ethical qualms or genuine framework, have questioned themselves at some point and changed their self-deceptive and delusional practices. But, maybe they got so far into their beliefs that they felt they could not turn back, since to do so would have been to deny and refute their very identity, reps, and source of income. It takes a strong and brave person to do that after a lifetime of following the wrong path, and it seems that neither Hopkins or Jacobs had that stamina and fortitude to act, as we all must in this field, as our own "devil's advocate" and challenge our potential biases and ideologies periodically.

That, to me, is the greatest shame and misfortune of Hopkins and Jacobs - they are now acting as if they are the victims, and are being persecuted for their 'noble' goals, by those lesser than they, and who have only sour grapes or an axe to grind. By doing so, and accepting tributes and accolades from those who continue to support and believe (against all fact and logic) them means they are continuing to ridicule, refute, and victimize those whose lives they have already seriously damaged. And that is simply wrong, and destructive. It must be dealt with, although it will probably be comparable to Heracles' attempts to clean the Augean stables, what does it say about ufology if it is not done?

I agree it should not affect honest, open, and objective UFO research itself, but as you and everyone else in the field knows, it seems sometimes, as in Sturgeon's Law, that 90% of it amounts to crap, as do most controversial subjects like ghosts, facile new age beliefs, bigfoot, etc., etc., but there does come a time when enough is enough, and a clear position needs to be taken by all seriously concerned about these issues, if only to help combat the public perception and generally taboo subject of abduction and UFOs in general.

How can we just blithely go our own way, doing our own thing, pursuing our particular interests in ufology, if we don't make a stand and conduct peer review and vetting such affairs within our own sphere or community of interest? To not do so, IMHO, is a mistake, and in a sense, grants an unspoken pass to those who Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

do step across the bright red line of appropriate process and procedure, and end up harming not only others, but us, the field, and ultimately, themselves.

Just my 14 cents worth of opinion, of course. I'd be interested to see what others on the List have to say and think about these matters.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 12:54:24 -0600
Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 06:40:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 16:28:29 +0100
>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 07:33:40 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:28:34 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>><snip>

<snip>

>On the other hand, my opinion remains that, on the whole, Bud >Hopkins has done very important research on abductions, and has >helped a lot of people. It's a difficult field, in which there is >always a risk of believing people who will turn out to be liars >and psychopaths. To tell the truth, it happened to me, and I ask >the question... who never made such a mistake? You know that >proverb: only those who do nothing never make mistakes.

Agreed Sir, but one is also compelled to remember that when caught wrong one is obligated to mea culpa in public and at length if appropriate and not blunder on throwing 'good money after bad'. It's humiliating, I know, but admitting error is still a step up, of sorts, where the antithesis is usually disaster and the _ultimate_ discredit.

<u>alienview.nul</u> <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 19:33:56 +0000 Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 06:43:59 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:28:34 +0100
>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 26 Jan 2011 20:28:34 +0000
>>Subject: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>Date: Thu, 20 Jan 2011 07:24:14 -0500
>>>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>>>From: post.nul
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: News Links - 19-01-11

>>>News Links - 19-01-11

>><snip>

>>Paratopia PodCast 55: Dr. Scott Lilienfeld On Hypnosis, Hopkins
>>>& Jacobs
>>><u>http://tinyurl.com/4gej3vp</u>

>>Thanks for this link, Errol.

>>After interviewing Dr. Lillianfeld, the hosts discuss their own >>abduction experiences and the conclusions they arrived at.

>>Terrific, and I am glad to know there are other people who didn't >>feel the need to go into hypnotherapy to remember what happened.

>>Apparently, an untrained hypnotherapist can plant "false"
>>memories just to support their agenda.

>Kathy,

>A trained hypnotherapist may fail completely.

>Dr Benjamin Simon tried repeatedly to convince Betty and Barney >Hill, under hypnosis and separately, that they had been >dreaming, but they rejected the idea. It's told, in detail, in >their book!

Gildas:

Hypnotherapy's failure to change someone's worldview is absolutely true. Each and every human is strongly invested in their individual worldview. Then, there is the other side of things. Listening to an 'authority figure' convincing the individual to change their worldview. Sometimes the change does nothing to forward the truth of the matter. 'The matter' is what is most relevant to the individual. Truth can end up being what is acceptable to the individual.

One of the trials of esoteric initiation is the 'rolling bed in the room of mirrors'. The applicant is challenged to keep their mental balance during the test in the 'room'. Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u>
Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 10:52:48 +0100
Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 06:51:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:11:59 -0600
>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 07:15:10 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 07:33:40 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:28:34 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Paratopia PodCast 55

><snip>

>>J' accuse! I recommend, strongly, interested parties listen to >>Paratopia's podcast 55 for Scott Lilienthal's comments on the >>hideous pitfalls and dangerous, damaging effects of non->>professionals using techniques like regressive hypnosis on >>vulnerable subjects, to read Rainey'sarticle, and to visit "Emma >>Woods" website to listen to the "Jacobs tapes" and then decide >>for themselves just who is committing fraud and hoaxing the >>public. I am disgusted and deeply disappointed by the likes of >>Hopkins and Jacobs, and they need to be called to account for >>their actions, in a court of law if required, to acknowledge and >>be compelled to change or abandon such manipulative and >>destructive practices from here on out, in my humble opinion. >>They bring extraordinary discredit and oppobrium to the entire >>endeavor of real ufology, and should be stopped. They deserve no >>tributes or honors, just justice.

>This is the kind of thing that needs pursuit in a situation >where there is already so much ready discredit, ready, eh? Why >would we bring "extraordinary discredit and opprobrium to the >entire endeavor of real ufology," anyway but to provide for for >the facilitation of an, at least, _suspect_ status quo. We won't >do it for Morton or Hoagland... how can we justify it for Jacobs >and Hopkins?

>Ufology and Abduction research would be irreparably damaged? >Nonsense in the first place, and as it stands, perhaps >justifiably so, in the second! Remember that it is the UFO >compelling research and interest in same... not we small women >and men explicating in its regard. The moment our attention >starts to waver the UFOs provide, on their own, for another >Illinois, Stephenville, Iran, Phoenix, Rendlesham... well, I >really could just go on and on here, eh?

Before discarding Budd Hopkins, who BTW is gravely ill, may I remind of what Richad Hall wrote about him and John Mack, on this List, in 2006, just to give another angle to the debate:

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2006/may/m24-015.shtml

From: Richard Hall <<u>hallrichard99</u>.nul>
To: ufoupdates.nul
Date: Tue, 23 May 2006 22:44:46 +0000
Subject: UFO Abductions And John Mack

I commend to the attention of everyone on this List who has an interest in UFO abduction reports the recent article by Budd Hopkins titled John Mack, UFO Abductions And Transformative Experiences. The article appears in Intruders Foundation Special Report No. 8, February 2006. He has captured my outlook perfectly and expressed it much more eloquently than I have been able to do.

In the process Budd, for whom I have great respect, is fairer to John Mack than I could be, presenting a much softer view of Mack's anti-Western science, pro New Age biases, while quite properly focusing on Mack's human qualities and the warm relationship he had with Mack.

As I have said before, Budd (the artist) has acted much more scientifically than many or most scientists when it comes to application of scientiifc method to UFOs. His comparison and contrast of his own background and intellectual outlook with that of Mack is extremely interesting. Hopefully someone will be able to post the article on UFO Updates.

- Dick Hall

See:

www.IntrudersFoundation.org

IFCentral.nul

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Gerald O'Connell <<u>goc</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 12:47:50 +0000 Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 08:06:43 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 10:22:22 -0800
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs [was: Paratopia PodCast 55]

>Just my 14 cents worth of opinion, of course. I'd be interested >to see what others on the List have to say and think about these >matters.

What I'd like to hear, Steve, is your view on John Mack. There's an implication that you regard him as having been a negative influence, but it would be interesting to get your take on him, irrespective of any of the other villains in your rogues gallery of abduction research.

Gerald O'Connell http://www.onlyport.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 1

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 16:24:00 -0000 Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 11:44:27 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

Amid all this vitriole and hysteria re Hopkins and Jacobs can we back-up a little and summarise where we seem to be at...

Following the 'Woods' matter with Jacobs and the Carol Rainey exposure of Hopkins, suddenly it seems the total alien abduction scene is out-of-favour.

Most especially the concept of hypnosis of abductees is particularly taboo because, without any doubt, any informationobtained that way is suspect because the experiencer was 'led' and encouraged to relate a fantasy based on the hypnotists' scenario and desires.

Of course this criticism of hypnosis is nothing new and was often levelled at Jacobs, Hopkins and Mack who if recall correctly had their denials and retorts which often included arguments that the initial stories of the abductees were recalled in detail long prior to any hypnosis sessions.

A few brave souls such as Gildas B and abductee Kay Wilson have spoken out refusing to hang, draw and quarter Hopkins etc, maybe feeling as I do that as in most controversies they would at least like to hear some of the accused come forward and defend themselves.

As Budd is apparently gravely ill, John is deceased and David appears to be keeping his head down amid rumours of law suits flying around, it's unlikely this story will get any real 'balance' anytime soon.

Meanwhile I'd like to ask - are we really going down the path of denying the massive amount of alien abduction data because a couple of researchers may have got a little carried away with their hypnosis?

As convenient as the sceptics on this List would like it to be that Hopkins and company invented the whole 'little greys' scenario and we can now tuck it away for good alongwith with the loony contactees, well I'm sorry - it ain't gonna happen!

For instance I only have to watch the faces of the children from the Zimbabwe school in this documentary:

http://tinyurl.com/62efy2x

tell John Mack and make drawings of the beings they encountered without any suggestion of hypnosis, to satisfy myself that all there is something other than 'false memories' going on.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 11:57:06 -0500
Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 12:42:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 16:24:00 -0000
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>Meanwhile I'd like to ask - are we really going down the path of >denying the massive amount of alien abduction data because a >couple of researchers may have got a little carried away with >their hypnosis?

>As convenient as the sceptics on this List would like it to be >that Hopkins and company invented the whole 'little greys' >scenario and we can now tuck it away for good alongwith with the >loony contactees, well I'm sorry - it ain't gonna happen!

Dave,

Where's the physical evidence? If abductees are regularly abducted by aliens, then there exists a target for investigation. One assumes that surveiling a sample of abductees over time ought to generate not just one instance of recorded evidence, but a plethora. Repeated again and again. Where is this evidence in the public record? I haven't seen it. Why not?

At least with some UFO sightings there are multiple witness sightings, multiple simultaneous RADAR echoes, film and video recordings across a range of the visible and nonvisible EM spectrum, and even physical traces. I will remain skeptical of abduction researcher's claims until they provide enough evidence to support their assertions. Not before.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 13:07:55 -0400 Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 12:46:17 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 16:24:00 -0000
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>Amid all this vitriole and hysteria re Hopkins and Jacobs can we >back-up a little and summarise where we seem to be at...

>Following the 'Woods' matter with Jacobs and the Carol Rainey >exposure of Hopkins, suddenly it seems the total alien abduction >scene is out-of-favour.

>Most especially the concept of hypnosis of abductees is
>particularly taboo because, without any doubt, any
>informationobtained that way is suspect because the experiencer
>was 'led' and encouraged to relate a fantasy based on the
>hypnotists' scenario and desires.

>Of course this criticism of hypnosis is nothing new and was >often levelled at Jacobs, Hopkins and Mack who if recall >correctly had their denials and retorts which often included >arguments that the initial stories of the abductees were >recalled in detail long prior to any hypnosis sessions.

>A few brave souls such as Gildas B and abductee Kay Wilson have >spoken out refusing to hang, draw and quarter Hopkins etc, maybe >feeling as I do that as in most controversies they would at >least like to hear some of the accused come forward and defend >themselves.

>As Budd is apparently gravely ill, John is deceased and David >appears to be keeping his head down amid rumours of law suits >flying around, it's unlikely this story will get any real >'balance' anytime soon.

>Meanwhile I'd like to ask - are we really going down the path of >denying the massive amount of alien abduction data because a >couple of researchers may have got a little carried away with >their hypnosis?

>As convenient as the sceptics on this List would like it to be >that Hopkins and company invented the whole 'little greys' >scenario and we can now tuck it away for good alongwith with the >loony contactees, well I'm sorry - it ain't gonna happen!

>For instance I only have to watch the faces of the children from >the Zimbabwe school in this documentary:

>http://tinyurl.com/62efy2x

>tell John Mack and make drawings of the beings they encountered >without any suggestion of hypnosis, to satisfy myself that all >there is something other than 'false memories' going on.

I'd like to hear more about the Rainey-Woods accusations. I'm aware of accusations but don't know what they are. Additionally I'm seeing a lot of hindsight here.

This retro hypnotherapy goes back twenty to thirty years and was a new field. There were no experts back then and precious few now. I'd much rather see some real science about this, not pronouncements from the witchdoctors.

Let's not forget that psychiatry is not a science and has unprovable tenents; theories abound but no hard facts. The process of accumulation and elimination seems to be the rule rather than anything definitive.

The FBI made greater advancements in the reading of serial killings and the psychopathy of same than did the field of psychiatry. In the FBI's case no psychiatrists were involved.

I've had some people come to me over the years with their experiences, each person having more to lose than gain from the telling of their stories. None of them were regressed.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 18:50:56 -0000 Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 17:22:41 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 11:57:06 -0500
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 16:24:00 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>Meanwhile I'd like to ask - are we really going down the path of
>>denying the massive amount of alien abduction data because a
>>couple of researchers may have got a little carried away with
>>their hypnosis?

>>As convenient as the sceptics on this List would like it to be
>>that Hopkins and company invented the whole 'little greys'
>>scenario and we can now tuck it away for good alongwith with the
>>loony contactees, well I'm sorry - it ain't gonna happen!

>Dave,

>Where's the physical evidence? If abductees are regularly >abducted by aliens, then there exists a target for >investigation. One assumes that surveiling a sample of abductees >over time ought to generate not just one instance of recorded >evidence, but a plethora. Repeated again and again. Where is >this evidence in the public record? I haven't seen it. Why not?

>At least with some UFO sightings there are multiple witness >sightings, multiple simultaneous RADAR echoes, film and video >recordings across a range of the visible and nonvisible EM >spectrum, and even physical traces. I will remain skeptical of >abduction researcher's claims until they provide enough evidence >to support their assertions. Not before.

Hi Maynard

I haven't said the whole scene doesn't throw up a lot of questions like the lack of physical evidence.

Some would cite so called implants as evidence - certainly the individual stories recounted by so many constitute evidence of experience.

I don't know whether the abduction experience is physical or not - we've all heard stories of abductees returning with muddy boots or odd stains on clothing etc.

Whether these are valid or not I have no idea and like you I will "remain sceptical of abduction researchers' claims"

I am simply saying that it is wise to continue to listen to people who say these things have happened to them and compile as much information as possible for present and future analysis.

Personally I suspect we are dealing with an experience which transcends time and space and as such you probably wouldn't be

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

able to provide physical evidence.

If many of our physicists are talking of parallel universes, why is it not possible that these might bleed through into ours occasionally? Or we to these other realms?

So there may be reasons for lack of physical evidence - but no reason to ignore the claimed abductees because they don't return with an ET or Ultra-Terrrestrial ashtray.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 1</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 15:58:57 -0500 Archived: Tue, 01 Feb 2011 17:24:32 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

Perhaps many are throwing out the hybrid baby with the embryotank bathwater here....

I know it is difficult for some to hold two conflicting ideas at the same time=97that's human nature. But isn't it possible to consider that:

1. There is *something* to the now iconic alien abduction accounts=97grays, painful examinations, missing time, etc., whether viewed through a literal lens or otherwise (imaginal realm intrusion, spiritual, chemical, or what have you).

2. Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack et al. did some serious work uncovering these experiences and bringing them to the attention of Ufologists and the public.

3. Hypnosis, properly used, can help identify some of the elements of these abductions.

While also considering the possibility that:

4. The iconic abduction scenario has become so widely known that it is impossible to avoid the chance of confabulation because of cultural ubiquity and contamination. This confabulation could be conscious (hoaxing, attention seeking) or unconscious (via poor hypnosis, leading questions, mental illness, manipulation by unethical investigators).

5. Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack et al. might have lost their objectivity over time (having been convinced of the reality of abductions), sold out completely, ignored red flags, gone a bit loony themselves, or whatever.

Either/or doesn't work for me here. What I see is a pattern=97groundbreaking work is done, that work becomes a commodity, then the early investigators become "authorities" and find power and all its seductive charms hard to resist. This is similar to what happens to spiritual leaders (the guru trap).

I welcome the recent insights into the questionable actions of key players in abduction research. And though they give the subject a black eye (a large, inverted teardrop shaped black eye at that), that doesn't mean it's time to throw out everything. It's time for asking serious, hard questions and sorting things out.

Michael M. Hughes

http://michaelmhughes.com http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <<u>fsphys</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 21:51:33 -0400 Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 08:57:41 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 18:50:56 -0000
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 11:57:06 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 16:24:00 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

<snip>

>>Where's the physical evidence? If abductees are regularly
>>abducted by aliens, then there exists a target for
>>investigation. One assumes that surveiling a sample of abductees
>>over time ought to generate not just one instance of recorded
>>evidence, but a plethora. Repeated again and again. Where is
>>this evidence in the public record? I haven't seen it. Why not?

>>At least with some UFO sightings there are multiple witness >>sightings, multiple simultaneous RADAR echoes, film and video >>recordings across a range of the visible and nonvisible EM >>spectrum, and even physical traces. I will remain skeptical of >>abduction researcher's claims until they provide enough evidence >>to support their assertions. Not before.

>Hi Maynard

>I haven't said the whole scene doesn't throw up a lot of >questions like the lack of physical evidence.

>Some would cite so called implants as evidence - certainly the >individual stories recounted by so many constitute evidence of >experience.

>I don't know whether the abduction experience is physical or not >- we've all heard stories of abductees returning with muddy >boots or odd stains on clothing etc.

>Whether these are valid or not I have no idea and like you I >will "remain sceptical of abduction researchers' claims"

>I am simply saying that it is wise to continue to listen to >people who say these things have happened to them and compile as >much information as possible for present and future analysis.

>Personally I suspect we are dealing with an experience which >transcends time and space and as such you probably wouldn't be >able to provide physical evidence.

>If many of our physicists are talking of parallel universes, why >is it not possible that these might bleed through into ours >occasionally? Or we to these other realms? >So there may be reasons for lack of physical evidence - but no >reason to ignore the claimed abductees because they don't return >with an ET or Ultra-Terrrestrial ashtray.

Let us not forget that the aliens are in charge not the abductees. Business cards are not left behind.

In The Betty and Barney Hill case as reported in Captured! The Betty And Barney Hill UFO Experience (2007) by Kathleen Marden and myself, there was considerable physical evidence including Barney's scuffed shoes, the wart like growths that were medically removed from his groin, the broken binocular strap, Betty's dress tears and pink powder, the strange circular regions on their car as seen by a number of people to affect a compass brought close by, and the star map.

Betty wasn't allowed to keep the "book" she had grabbed.

There are also the implants removed by Dr. Roger Leir from various abductees.

Let us not forget that absence of evidence is not evidence for absence.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: **Steven Kaeser** <<u>steve</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 20:13:37 -0500 Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 09:00:22 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 13:07:55 -0400
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

<snip>

>This retro hypnotherapy goes back twenty to thirty years and >was a new field. There were no experts back then and precious >few now. I'd much rather see some real science about this, not >pronouncements from the >witchdoctors.

I think the science shows fairly clearly that hypnosis is subject to serious problems, and is often not reliable. Citations can be found in a number of areas, but I'm not advocating throwing out the entire technique.

>Let's not forget that psychiatry is not a science and has >unprovable tenents; theories abound but no hard facts. The >process of accumulation and elimination seems to be the rule >rather than anything definitive.

I think part of the problem here is that neither Jacobs, nor Hopkins, were trained psychiatrists, so I'm not sure that even comes into play here. Perhaps some questions that should be asked are coming to the surface, but does that justify throwing out the body of research they've generated?

<snip>

>I've had some people come to me over the years with their >experiences, each person having more to lose than gain from the >telling of their stories. None of them were regressed.

I know that you aren't saying this Don, but It's a mistake to believe that the entire field of abduction research rests on hypnotic regression.

There are several multiple abduction cases, including one Australian case involving multiple individuals in multiple cars along a highway. Marks on the bodies of alleged abductees, not to mention marks on the ground left by something that allegedly landed there, remain part of this mystery.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Implant Evidence

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 08:31:58 -0000 Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 09:03:55 -0500 Subject: Implant Evidence

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 11:57:06 -0500
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 16:24:00 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>Dave,

>Where's the physical evidence? If abductees are regularly >abducted by aliens, then there exists a target for >investigation. One assumes that surveiling a sample of abductees >over time ought to generate not just one instance of recorded >evidence, but a plethora. Repeated again and again. Where is >this evidence in the public record? I haven't seen it. Why not? (Snip)

>>Hi Maynard

>>I haven't said the whole scene doesn't throw up a lot of >>questions like the lack of physical evidence.

>>Some would cite so called implants as evidence (snip)

Maynard

This isn't my field but I was sent this .pdf report of implant research which you and others might like to peruse:

http://tinyurl.com/4sovf9p

It seems to suggest in conclusion that this fragment contains technology and is of non-terrestrial origin.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages]

This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

UFO MAtrix Issue 4

From: Philip Mantle philip.mantle.nul>
Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 19:47:58 +0000
Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 09:08:39 -0500
Subject: UFO MAtrix Issue 4

Issue 4 of UFO Matrix Magazine is now available in the UK via the high street shop WH Smith.

It will be available in the USA and the rest of the world via Barnes& Noble and Borders in one. You can also subscribe online at:

www.healingsofatlantis.com

In this fact-packed issue we have:

The 1945 UFO Crash (part 1) by Paola Harris.

The 1974 Berwyn Mountain UFO landing is under the spotlight with articles 'for' and 'against' by Scott Felton & Andy Roberts.

Philip Gardiner takes his usual sceptical look at all things weird and wonderful.

Nick Pope in his regular column details his las visit to the Royal Society.

Sean Casteel interviews ancient astronaut researcher Giorgio Tsoukalos.

Wayne Herschel looks at an ancient Egyptian papyrus and suggests it is the smoking gun for evidence of ancient astronauts.

In Mike Hallowell's BACKLIGHT column another 'cold case' comes under review.

Raam Barros details a UFO sighting over Rendlesham Forest on the 30th anniversary of these events.

Is there a secret space program ? Richard Thomas thinks so, and he takes a close look at British computer hacker Gary McKinnon.

Lorin Cutts takes time out to interview James Gilliland.

ET contact from Peru. South Amerrican UFOs looked at from our colleagues in Peru.

Kal Korff takes a retrospective look at ufology's most famous UFO photo-case, the Paul Trent photos from Mcminvile.

Former CID police office John Hanson reports back on the Rendlesham 30th anniversary events.

Lee Paqui & Sheryl Gottschall offer another round-up of UFOS from down-under in their regular Oz column.

Jijth Nadumuri Ravi looks at the ancient Indian text the Mahabharata and is convinced it depicts ancient asdtronauts. A full report here from India.

Paul Stonehill delves into his archives to take a look at the Nazi's hunt for the crystal skull in Brazil.

And of course we have the usual round-up of UFO sightings by our

UFO MAtrix Issue 4

readers, a competition to win a DVD box set of the TV series DARK SKIES and much, much more.

UFO MATRIX MAGAZINE - OUT NOW. www.healingsofatlantis.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: **Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>** Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST) Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 10:31:13 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 15:58:57 -0500
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>Perhaps many are throwing out the hybrid baby with the embryo->tank bathwater here....

<snip>

>Either/or doesn't work for me here. What I see is a >pattern groundbreaking work is done, that work becomes a >commodity, then the early investigators become "authorities" and >find power and all its seductive charms hard to resist. This is >similar to what happens to spiritual leaders (the guru trap).

>I welcome the recent insights into the questionable actions of >key players in abduction research. And though they give the >subject a black eye (a large, inverted teardrop shaped black eye >at that), that doesn't mean it's time to throw out everything. >It's time for asking serious, hard questions and sorting things >out.

I hear you Michael.

If any of the conclusions of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack and others are in any part true, then the abductees need rescue, and the abductors need to be stopped.

But what exactly are we dealing with here? No one knows for sure.

We've gazed at the greys. We've dreamed the reams. We've even experienced the experiencer's experiences.

Is there really a 'standard abduction experience'? Or do we accept as 'canon' the multitude of types of aliens and contacts? (You can get an idea of the many types by checking out the encyclopedic works of smarter folks than me, like Jerry Clark, et al.)

Once we decide what we're talking about, what standards do we use to support the subjects and to interpret the interviews?

Since we're talking about research involving human beings, we need standards which respect all the rights of those participating: We must first do no harm. We must have ethics. We must have real peer-review.

And this is the very point that stops the ethical researchers. This point creates an ethical dilemma for those who want to continue and don't know how to resolve the matter. As we've seen, with Hopkins and Jacobs, there were compromises and the abductees were made to suffer more.

But the situation can be resolved, while protecting the sources from harm, through the trustworthy methods we already know.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Re: UFO MAtrix Issue 4

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 10:41:01 -0600
Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 12:21:58 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO MAtrix Issue 4

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.mantle.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2011 19:47:58 +0000
>Subject: UFO MAtrix Issue 4

>Issue 4 of UFO Matrix Magazine is now available in the UK via >the high street shop WH Smith.

>It will be available in the USA and the rest of the world via >Barnes& Noble and Borders in one. You can also subscribe online >at:

>www.healingsofatlantis.com

>n this fact-packed issue we have:

>Kal Korff takes a retrospective look at ufology's most famous >UFO photo-case, the Paul Trent photos from Mcminvile.

Really, Mr. Mantle? Kal Korff? Wow! Kal Korff? No, really... Korff?

Who would put this corner sniping back-shooter, slanderer, and spring-butt debunker in his magazine and expect to be taken _remotely_ seriously?

Sincerely... Korff? Super Secret Spy, Kadet Colonel, cyber stalker, and pathetic paint-gun commando? C'mon! It's a joke, right? No, seriously... right?

No, really! What self-respecting periodical provides a platform for Kal K. Korff where even the infamous Prometheus Press punted him like a bag of clawless hammers. Astonishing.

<u>alienview.nul</u> <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Woods Defamation Campaign

From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 13:46:18 -0500
Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 13:46:18 -0500
Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

Source: ICAR - The International Center for Abduction Research

http://www.ufoabduction.com/defamationcampaign.htm

April, 2010

The Defamation Campaign Dr. David Jacobs

Over the past few months, the Internet has been filled with material from a woman who is on a campaign to defame me. This has become an issue that will not go away, and there are false rumors about my competence. As a result of this woman's obsessive behavior, in which she relentlessly piles false allegations upon false allegations, I have no choice but to respond. I do so in the essay below. I've kept it as short as possible to explain the situation adequately. If you intend to read my essay, you might want to read the following blog for background information first: BPD Distortion Campaigns, also at BPD Distortion Campaigns (angiEmedia).

Since 1986 I have helped some 150 people who have reported suspected UFO abduction experiences recover their memories of these events. Most of these people have been grateful that they had the opportunity to gain intellectual and emotional control over situations that have intruded upon their lives since they were children.

I have met all of these people face to face, except one. The one exception is the woman who is mounting the defamation campaign against me. I call her "Alice" in this essay. I have never met her because she lives in another country, 8,000 miles away.

The Beginning

Alice's therapist first wrote to me about his client in 2002. He was convinced that quite unusual things had happened to her and he was writing on her behalf because she wished to remain anonymous. She had wanted to get in touch with me or with Budd Hopkins to try to help her explain some of these events. A few months later she contacted both Budd Hopkins and me directly. After series of phone conversations with her in late 2004, she sent us large boxes of identical material on her case. There were over 700 pages covering in detail a vast amount of intimate information about herself and her unusual experiences. The material spanned a period of years. Some of the material was typed, some was handwritten, and some was on scraps of paper. Upon Alice's request, her therapist had signed each description of an unusual experience in order to authenticate it. On some pages the therapist's signature appears as many as five or six times.

I had never received such an overwhelming amount of information. Apparently her habit of extreme information mega-sharing is an

ongoing one, as anyone presently receiving her massive e-mail defamation campaign against me can attest.

My first reaction to Alice's request for help was to be wary of working with someone so far away and who seemed overly obsessed with her possible UFO experiences. Still, I was sympathetic to Alice's situation. Because I knew no one who was familiar with the UFO abduction phenomenon where Alice lived, I decided to try to help her. I could conduct memory recovery via telephone. Hypnotists widely use the telephone to work with clients at a distance. Of course, telephone communication alone is not optimal because the hypnotist can't observe the person's facial expressions and deportment, both of which can help indicate emotional problems.

Before I continue, it's important to explain how I work. I'm not a psychologist, psychiatrist, or psychotherapist. My work with UFO abductees is focused on helping them recover and understand their memories. I've been researching abductions and working with potential abductees since 1986. I spend many hours over a period of months, even years, with each person who seeks out my help. The possible abductee can stop seeing me at any point. I don't charge for my services. In fact, in some situations-Alice is one example - I've donated video and audio recording equipment to potential abductees. If the potential abductee is seeing a therapist, my standard procedure is to ask the possible abductee to talk to the therapist about whether there is potential for harm. Memory recovery of abduction experiences can elicit a great deal of emotional and psychological turmoil.

It's also important to understand Alice's circumstances. When I began to work with her in December 2004, she shared the information that she was 43 years old, divorced, and had no children, few friends, and virtually no social life. She lived alone in a two-room apartment. She didn't work and was on disability for "allergies". I assume she is still in this situation.

As a first step, I normally require a person's therapist to agree to the process. In Alice's case though, her ex-therapist was aware of what was happening from the beginning and later wrote to me that doing hypnosis over the phone was, in his opinion, "unlikely to be harmful". He did not monitor her formally, but if he noticed any harmful effects in the contact he had with her, he stated he would mention them immediately.

Bizarre Behavior

When I started to work with Alice she seemed reasonable and intelligent, and she sounded articulate. But I gradually began to suspect that she was suffering from deep-seated emotional problems as her behavior became more and more bizarre.

One example is her telephone calls to me. Alice had an arrangement in which she could make calls to the United States for a very small fee. When we first started working together, she limited her calls to scheduled sessions; but as our time of working together neared the end, she called twice, three times, and sometimes five times a week; as her behavior deteriorated, she called as many as five times a day. It was difficult to get off the phone with her. It seemed that she had boundary issues. Alice finally agreed to limit her calls to two a week, but that limit didn't last very long and soon she started calling more frequently. My family remarked on the inappropriateness of her behavior. I began to realize that her behavior indicated that she was growing more obsessed as time went on. I haven't experienced this kind of troubling behavior in all the years I've been working with men and women who describe possible UFO abduction experiences.

Another example of Alice's troubling behavior comes from her interactions with her alleged abductors. In the following section I provide some context to make this clear.

Reported Threats from her Abductors

Some information is needed to fully understand the reported threats.

First, some background on Alice's abductors: From the beginning of our sessions, she described human-looking aliens ("hybrids") who were visiting her almost on a nightly basis. Abduction researchers have heard accounts of such hybrid beings since the early 1980s, and over the years a number of abductees have indicated to me that hybrid activity had increased dramatically.

Second, some background on Alice's "Record", as she called it: For a number of years she had been regularly keeping a "diary" in which she wrote about her ongoing unusual experiences. Early on I invited Alice to put her Record on my website. I thought it would be instructive to others to learn how one person recovered memories through both conscious recall and hypnosis sessions. Alice accepted the invitation. I agreed to edit her material, and my website manager "Elizabeth", who empathized with Alice's situation, generously offered to do the necessary programming free of charge. It was no small task dealing with her text, graphs, illustrations, and charts but we felt that we were helping.

One set of threats was directed at writing her Record. According to Alice, the hybrids visiting her conveyed displeasure that she was keeping a record. She told me that these hybrid beings wanted her to stop writing about her experiences. Of the 50 abduction events that occurred after we started working together, 32 of them included warnings from her abductors about writing her Record.

A second set of threats was directed at her working with me. Alice reported that when her abductors discovered she was talking to me, they showed serious concern and wanted to know everything about me. They began to pressure her intensely to stop working with me. She said her abductors threatened her with physical punishment if she did not comply with their wishes; later she described the actual physical violence they committed against her for not obeying them. She also told me about threats that her abductors made against me directly; these threats included scenarios in which I was dead. Of the 50 abduction events that occurred after we started working together, 24 of them included warnings from her abductors about working with me.

In October 2006 I began to receive aggressive e-mails from her e-mail address - Alice said she did not remember writing them. In all, I received 31 e-mails with 12 different messages, all forceful and disturbing. I also received hang-up calls in the middle of the night - nine in one early morning at 3:00 am and five in another early morning. Alice maintained that she did not know who was e-mailing or calling but she was able to trace the e-mails to her own computer and some of the phone calls to her phone. Regardless of whether actual hybrid beings were the source, the situation was becoming intolerable for me.

I felt in a bind. On the one hand, to Alice her Record was a lifeline; she told me that it was so important that if she had to stop writing it, she would simply give herself over to her abductors and stop mentally fighting to maintain her independence. On the other hand, there was my well-being and the ominous threats from the hybrids, if true. It seemed to me that stopping our sessions might be the best thing for me and my family; yet I felt that I could not leave Alice without support.

Meltdowns - And Rage

It was at this time (around October 2006) that Alice began to show signs of having an emotional breakdown, which I thought might be related to the e-mails and phone calls. She called these incidents "meltdowns". Because my family, my website manager, and I were feeling anxious, I told Alice that in order to calm things down, I had decided not to update her Record with new material for the upcoming week. She flew into a rage - crying and angrily accusing me of making decisions about her life without her input. To me, her response was irrational: It was my website; I was trying to help both of us. But she was so distraught that I acceded to her wishes and continued posting her Record as before.

This exchange marked the beginning of a complex series of events concerning her Record and my website. Alice's meltdowns became more frequent. During a few of these incidents, Alice told me that she had suicidal thoughts. This led me to immediately contact her ex-therapist, relate what she had said, and ask him to look in on her even though she no longer had a professional relationship with him. He assured me she was not suicidal. But for me it was a worrisome new aspect of her mental state.

If the situation Alice reported was true and actual "hybrid beings" were threatening her, I felt I had to try to protect her from them. And obviously if Alice's reports were true, I had to try to protect myself as well. If abduction research can be trusted, alien beings have telepathic abilities and know what people are thinking; therefore, protecting both Alice and myself was a major challenge.

[Two important paragraphs follow --ebk]

I thought long and hard about what, if anything, I could do. I decided that one approach would be, during hypnosis, to give Alice ideas to think about that would throw "mind-readers" off my trail. I explained my thinking to her before I acted. Then at one point during a hypnosis session I told her that as a researcher I was interested not in abductions but in multiple personality disorder. I chose this because it is believable - the disorder has characteristics in common with the effects of abductions. I hoped that she would be able to keep this idea in her mind and convey it to her abductors, thereby relieving some of the pressure both she and I felt. At no time did I think that Alice had multiple personality disorder, and of course neither did she. She knew exactly what was happening and why.

In hindsight, I realize that Alice's reports of alleged alien threats and physical abuse resulted in a heightened sense of urgency that led me to act quickly, perhaps too quickly. As it turned out, the multiple-personality-disorder ploy I invented as a defense was useless. Although the ploy was an ineffective defense against her alleged tormentors, ironically it has become an effective weapon against me. Through selective editing and misleading claims, Alice has turned it on its head and is using it to defame me.

My Decision

By January 2007, Alice was beginning to become more erratic, more fragile, and even paranoid. She was acting in a way that was well beyond my expertise, and she seemed to be spiraling out of control. Even though I still wanted to help her, I knew that I couldn't and had to extricate myself from the situation. We stopped working together in February 2007.

I told the story of my work with Alice to a mental health professional, who advised me to stop all communication with her immediately. Unfortunately, I didn't take that advice because I failed to understand at the time the destructiveness that would ensue. I continued to answer her calls for a few more months, not conducting hypnosis sessions but talking with her about her situation. It was a grave mistake.

During those few months before our work together ended, Alice came to believe that Elizabeth had duped me and turned me against her. In fact, I had been duped-not by Elizabeth but by Alice. During the last few calls that I had with Alice I learned that she had been secretly taping every private phone call she made to me outside of the hypnosis sessions. She tried to wiggle out of the revelation by proclaiming - falsely - that she had asked me if it was okay and I had said yes. Although I was amazed and angry that she had done such a thing, I foolishly talked with her at least two more times.

I investigated the legality of the secret tapes. The attorneys advised me that the legal methods available were expensive, lengthy, and would yield almost nothing, even if I prevailed. I decided the best way to proceed would be to finally take the mental health professional's advice and never, ever, communicate with her again. I have not responded to her phone calls or emails since June 2007. I have never revealed her real name or even her pseudonym in public. In fact, I have never spoken in public about the problems I had with her. Yet since 2007 Alice has dedicated herself to destroying my reputation by writing defamatory letters and essays about me.

Cyber-Stalking

Alice started her defamation campaign in late 2007 or early 2008 by joining Internet UFO forums and urging the members to visit her new website against me (she abandoned one I had bought for her in late 2006). She also began cyber-stalking me. She discovers when and where I'm to be interviewed or speak in public. She then sends the host of the show or the directors of the organization a defamatory e-mail in which she accuses me of being irresponsible, unethical, and a dupe. She outlines my alleged crimes and misdemeanors, along with those of my website manager. She falsely charges that I even threatened her family! She manipulates interviewers into e-mailing her if I should ever mention her name. Her e-mails have evolved from several pages into diatribes that are twenty pages long or even longer.

Escalating Her Campaign

After a year of limited success in convincing people to visit her new website on which she posts her diatribes against me, Alice escalated her campaign in October 2008 by writing a formal complaint to Temple University, my employer of 35 years. In her complaint she not only charged that I had threatened her family but that I had psychologically abused her as well. She included a large number of materials and a list of questions for the Temple investigators to ask me. Nearly all of the questions focused on whether I had mentioned her name at talks I had given, to friends of mine in private conversations, to acquaintances of mine, to colleagues of mine, to my literary agent, and so forth. She also wanted to know whether I had a manuscript or a book about her. Because the complaint was formally filed, Temple University was forced to investigate. I was called in and answered the questions. Temple found no improprieties and sent Alice a letter saying so. Her response was to conclude that Temple was engaged in a "cover up".

Getting no satisfaction from Temple University, Alice apparently decided to escalate her vengeful defamation campaign further. She put together a package of materials that is staggering in its quantity. I was told that the dossier has over 150 pages. It contains out-of-context snippets of illegally recorded phone conversations, out-of-context sections of confidential hypnosis sessions, and any other false information that would put me in a bad light. In October 2009 she went so far as to send the dossier and a complaint to the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)! In this complaint she added a new accusation-that I led her to false memories. Because it was a formal complaint, the HHS was required to begin an investigation at Temple University. Ultimately, the HHS found that the situation was not within its jurisdiction and took no further action.

After being unsuccessful with Temple University and the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Alice took a more personal approach. In late January 2010, she e-mailed the dossier to every member of the Temple University Department of History, even including retired members. The Chair of the History Department forwarded her material to the University's legal department (her e-mails fit the Pennsylvania criminal harassment statutes). Temple also sent her a letter saying it would no longer respond to her.

Gaining no satisfaction from HHS, Temple, or the History Department, Alice expanded her campaign even further in early 2010 by sending her dossier to every member of the UFO and abduction community that she could find in the English-speaking world. I do not know how many dossiers she sent out, but it seems to be hundreds.

Breaking My Silence

This is the first time that I'm publicly addressing Alice's destructive behavior. I'm doing so upon the urging of friends and colleagues and because of her false allegations impugning my competence and even my sanity. Although I could write a multitude of pages, provide broad documentation, and prove without a shadow of a doubt that her charges are defamatory, it would most likely lead to more defamation on her part. The truth

is not her goal.

What is Alice's goal? Why is she deliberately trying to discredit me? To find an answer to these questions, I've consulted confidentially with a variety of psychologists, psychiatrists, and therapists. The overwhelming consensus is that Alice's stalking and obsessive behavior is consistent with Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD), although these medical professionals cannot, of course, make a formal diagnosis without seeing her. According to the experts and the medical literature, people who have this emotional disturbance can be "persuasive blamers" who sometimes engage in "vilification campaigns" in which they try to defame and even psychologically destroy the object of their obsessive hatred through information that is specifically selected for that task. An obsessive person with perceived psychological injuries often plays the victim while victimizing his or her target-and in my experience with Alice, this is exactly what she has been doing. I do not know whether she has BPD or not. What I do know is that I am the target of her obsession.

The only motive I had when I worked with Alice was to help her make sense out of what she says are painful and disturbing experiences and to learn from them. I worked with her as long as I could, but in the end I was unable to help her. Her problems ultimately went beyond my abilities. Other researchers, including abduction researcher and Harvard psychiatrist John Mack, have had their own seriously problematic people, although this seems to be a very small population.

This is the first time in my work with possible abductees that I have experienced anything like this. I know that as a consequence of writing this essay, Alice will most likely find a way to take every word out of context and twist its meaning, send out yet more e-mails, and stalk me more intensely than she did before. If she does have a severe emotional disorder, I will probably be the target of her obsession for many more years. Alice's constant attacks, built upon a wonderland of defamatory accusations and coupled with selective "evidence" from secretly taped phone conversations and from hypnosis sessions, are extremely distressing to me and to my family. We personally are all suffering because of her. I think that, sadly, Alice has done a disservice to abduction research and to abductees everywhere.

I sincerely hope that Alice will one day receive the help she so obviously needs. This is my last word on the subject. I will have nothing more to say about her again.

- -

This is a very short account of my working relationship with Alice. Issuing this statement is contrary to the advice I've received from the many mental health professionals I've consulted on the subject: They have told me not to respond to her in any way. For more information on vilification campaigns, please see Stop Walking on Eggshells, by Paul T. Mason and Randi Kreger.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 18:07:48 -0000 Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 14:02:50 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 15:58:57 -0500
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>Perhaps many are throwing out the hybrid baby with the embryo->tank bathwater here....

>I know it is difficult for some to hold two conflicting ideas at >the same time-that's human nature. But isn't it possible to >consider that:

>1. There is *something* to the now iconic alien abduction
>accounts-grays, painful examinations, missing time, etc.,
>whether viewed through a literal lens or otherwise (imaginal
>realm intrusion, spiritual, chemical, or what have you).

>2. Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack et al. did some serious work uncovering >these experiences and bringing them to the attention of >Ufologists and the public.

>3. Hypnosis, properly used, can help identify some of the >elements of these abductions.

>While also considering the possibility that:

>4. The iconic abduction scenario has become so widely known that >it is impossible to avoid the chance of confabulation because of >cultural ubiquity and contamination. This confabulation could be >conscious (hoaxing, attention seeking) or unconscious (via poor >hypnosis, leading questions, mental illness, manipulation by >unethical investigators).

>5. Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack et al. might have lost their >objectivity over time (having been convinced of the reality of >abductions), sold out completely, ignored red flags, gone a bit >loony themselves, or whatever.

I thought in the absence of either Jacobs or Hopkins commenting on the hypnosis issue it might be timely to quote both from their writings.

On his website Jacobs says:

"I try to stay as close to the evidence as I can. However, there is no possibility that I have avoided error. The majority of evidence for the alien abduction phenomenon is from human memory derived from hypnosis administered by amateurs. It is difficult to imagine a weaker form of evidence. But it is evidence and we have a great deal of it. Still, readers must be skeptical of what I say and of what all others say in this tangled arena of alien abductions, hypnosis, popular culture, and memory. Abduction researchers are mainly amateurs doing their best to get to the truth knowing that objective reality may elude them."

And regarding Hopkins at Wikipedia:

Controversy has been a persistent feature of Hopkins' career in alien abduction and UFO studies. While few seem to doubt

Hopkin's motives or sincerity, critics charge that Hopkins is out of his element when he uses hypnosis, thereby aiding his subjects in confabulation: the blending of fact and fantasy.

However, Hopkins insists such criticism is specious. He writes:

"I have often frequently invited interested therapists, journalists and academics to observe hypnosis sessions. Theoretical psychologist Nicholas Humphrey, who has held teaching positions at both Oxford and Cambridge Universities, and psychiatrist Donald. F. Klein, director of research at the New York State Psychiatric Institute and professor of psychiatry at the College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, are but two of those who have observed my work firsthand. None of these visitors... have reported anything that suggested I was attempting to lead the subjects."

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 2

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 18:42:35 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 14:15:19 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>If any of the conclusions of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack and others >are in any part true, then the abductees need rescue, and the >abductors need to be stopped.

Be careful, Rick. When Phil Klass suggested just this a decade or so back he was denounced and mocked by ufology's 'Great and Good'.

Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you examine the deck too closely.

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes.nul></u>
Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:34:58 -0500
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:25:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>This is a very short account of my working relationship with >Alice. Issuing this statement is contrary to the advice I've >received from the many mental health professionals I've >consulted on the subject: They have told me not to respond to >her in any way. For more information on vilification campaigns, >please see Stop Walking on Eggshells, by Paul T. Mason and >Randi Kreger.

<snipped except for the conclusion>

On reading Jacobs's defense, I find it only lessens his credibility and digs him into a much deeper hole. He should have recognized far sooner the glaringly obvious signs of mental illness, and the fact that he believed (or claims to have believed) that "hybrids" were using the woman's phone and email accounts to threaten him is hard to swallow. He shows an unforgivable lack of discernment and signs of delusion himself.

And implanting the idea of MPD/DID as some sort of "defense" against the alleged aliens/hybrids is reprehensible and sounds more like something manufactured after the fact to excuse the bizarre behavior.

I can't imagine that he had a lawyer look that over before releasing it.

Michael M. Hughes <u>http://michaelmhughes.com</u> <u>http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes</u> <u>http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:52:29 -0500
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:27:21 -0500
Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 18:42:35 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

<snip>

>Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too >closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums >who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic >tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you >examine the deck too closely.

John,

The term "card sharp" is generally known as "card shark" on this side of the pond, but in researching it I found that "card sharp" is a much older version that goes back to the 16th Century. I also found it interesting that the term has evolved from that of a "cheater" to that of a "skilled player".

Gads, I feel like I'm watching the History Channel.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 19:58:56 +0000 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:29:46 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 18:42:35 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>If any of the conclusions of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack and others >>are in any part true, then the abductees need rescue, and the >>abductors need to be stopped.

>Be careful, Rick. When Phil Klass suggested just this a decade >or so back he was denounced and mocked by ufology's 'Great and >Good'.

>Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too >closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums >who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic >tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you >examine the deck too closely.

John:

In part, I agree with you. As I reread the cases, certain elements of what is being report stand out. As I read Nigel Watson's article in UFO Matrix (Issue #2) last night, and thought about my own interaction with the phenomena, one aspect stood out more than any other. For most experiencers there is the scenario of what I call the "transference". Passing out of the bedroom or car into 'the ship'.

In the cases reported in the literature, nobody remembers how they got onto the ship.

I thought I remembered the one time when there was a ramp that I walked up. However, the next scene in my memory is the rather bland interior. Nothing in detail. Just vague outlines of 'equipment'. I took my clue from those types of memories. Not discovered under hypnosis but recalled just after waking up from sleep. Another clue.

My summation was that I had experienced an altered state during sleep. I tried to figure out what trigger that altered state. I am still trying to figure out what triggers the subconscious to produce an altered state. You can be sure I will share the answer, if and when I find it.

Let's just remember each and every human is subject to this kind of triggering. That goes for researchers as well as the general public. Things really are not what they seem. I just watched a PBS special on how stain glass windows are manufactured. Would you believe that the color pink is produced from gold nano particles? Who knew that the eye can be so easily deceived. Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 16:09:00 -0400 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:31:26 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 18:42:35 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>If any of the conclusions of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack and others >>are in any part true, then the abductees need rescue, and the >>abductors need to be stopped.

>Be careful, Rick. When Phil Klass suggested just this a decade >or so back he was denounced and mocked by ufology's 'Great and >Good'.

>Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too >closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums >who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic >tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you >examine the deck too closely.

Well, whether Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack were right wrong or indifferent, Klass had to say this anyway. It was his job. If you go by Klass's record then there must be (have been) something to the abduction phenomenon ;he was never right about anything. Abduction phenomenon... UFO phenomenon two different animals that may be related; or may not.

Here's something interesting though. For years some people have been claiming implants; tracking devices and lo and behold... we have them now. Watch the nature shows with seals and whales and wolves and even butterflies being tracked from satellites. Who'd a thunk it, John.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 3</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:13:41 -0600 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:32:42 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 18:42:35 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>are in any part true, then the abductees need rescue, and the >If any of >the conclusions of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack and others >abductors need to be stopped.

>Be careful, Rick. When Phil Klass suggested just this a decade >or so back he was denounced and mocked by ufology's 'Great and >Good'.

Philip J. Klass, the late professional character assassin, is no one to be cited as a moral arbiter.

Amazing how true this article, written in late 1980, remained true to the end of his days:

http://www.nicap.org/klassvufo.htm

>Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too >closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums >who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic >tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you >examine the deck too closely.

I could have sworn that you were talking about the way UFO debunkery works, John.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Implant Evidence

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 20:41:02 +0000
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:41:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Implant Evidence

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 08:31:58 -0000
>Subject: Implant Evidence

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 11:57:06 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2011 16:24:00 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>Where's the physical evidence? If abductees are regularly
>>abducted by aliens, then there exists a target for
>>investigation. One assumes that surveiling a sample of abductees
>>over time ought to generate not just one instance of recorded
>>evidence, but a plethora. Repeated again and again. Where is
>>this evidence in the public record? I haven't seen it. Why not?

<snip>

>>>I haven't said the whole scene doesn't throw up a lot of >>>questions like the lack of physical evidence.

>>>Some would cite so called implants as evidence (snip)

>This isn't my field but I was sent this .pdf report of implant >research which you and others might like to peruse:

>http://tinyurl.com/4sovf9p

>It seems to suggest in conclusion that this fragment contains >technology and is of non-terrestrial origin.

Dave:

I read parts of the report, especially the following:

"Gaussmeter, and radio frequency analyzer (RF) tests were done on the object, on August 21, 2008, by Dr. Leir, at his Thousand Oaks office, while it was still in Mr. Smith's body. These tests indicated that the object was emitting radio waves in the Gigahertz, Megahertz, and Extremely Low Frequency (ELF) bands. The object also generated a magnetic field of >10 mGauss."

I did not know that Dr. Leir has expertise in the field of gaussmeter and RF testing. To satisfy my standard, I would have preferred that the testing be done by someone familiar with what can create >10 mGauss and ELF waves. Once again, we have someone making claims without consulting outside of their field. I can't decided whether its ego, arrogance or fear on the part of the investigator.

But whatever is causing this kind of attitude really needs to

Re: Implant Evidence

stop if researchers are serious about finding the cause of anything they are looking into.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:44:32 -0600 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:48:00 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>Source: ICAR - The International Center for Abduction Research

>http://www.ufoabduction.com/defamationcampaign.htm

>April, 2010

>The Defamation Campaign >Dr. David Jacobs

>Over the past few months, the Internet has been filled with >material from a woman who is on a campaign to defame me.

<snip>

Titled thus, Dr. Jacobs, I'm reminded of the irony stunningly displayed by you on Coast to Coast AM just a few weeks ago when you identified _yourself_, three separate times I believe, as, "A Monster Who Walks Among Men".

http://www.alienview.net/news.html

See, your self-absolving hit piece, Sir, titled either as Woods Defamation Campaign or The Defamation Campaign, can also be considered as titling not so much her defamation of you, but your very obvious and ongoing defamation of her. Indeed, that's what I thought I was going to read when I opened the mail.

Your tedious and self-acquitting tirade above on UFO UpDates, and everywhere else moreover, must fall on deaf ears, Sir. See, you are _heard_ quite clearly on audio tape, tape vetted six ways from Sunday, trying to throw a then trusting woman in your charge under a bipolar bus for _your_ convenience! We can stop there without going into additional items just as unsavory, eh?

I loved you, Sir. I paid to see you in person, I bought your books, and I stayed up late to listen to you on the radio... I thought you were a brave stalwart swimming against the duplicitous and denying official tide! Then I heard the Emma Woods tapes. Now, Dr. Jacobs, I'm filled only with revolted outrage as regards a calculating and mendacious sociopathy that must be _seen_ to be believed.

I defy anyone still left in your corner to look beneath the surface of your denial at an audit trail so rich with lack of professional responsibility and its corresponding self-involved malfeasance that support such you have called for must be impossible where it is not irrational. Some might even say "evil."

Step down, step off, and step away, Dr. Jacobs. See, I heard the tapes, as many have. You are no longer asset. You _are_ discredit. It remains: you're not fooling _me_ any longer.

Closing, quit calling her "Alice". Everyone knows it's Emma Woods addressed, eh? See, the readership is only reminded that it's you in the role of White Rabbit, a self-interested rodent blithely trying to trick a trusting innocent into a black and bottomless hole. <u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 20:26:57 -0800 (PST) Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 06:49:44 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 18:42:35 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>If any of the conclusions of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack and others >>are in any part true, then the abductees need rescue, and the >>abductors need to be stopped.

>Be careful, Rick. When Phil Klass suggested just this a decade >or so back he was denounced and mocked by ufology's 'Great and >Good'.

>Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too >closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums >who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic >tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you >examine the deck too closely.

No problem John.

As if a minute, I was worried you were commenting on a dangling participle!

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m03-008.shtml[10/12/2011 12:40:26]

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

4 MUFON State Directors Axed

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 09:31:08 -0000
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 07:05:53 -0500
Subject: 4 MUFON State Directors Axed

http://www.petitiononline.com/wikiufo/petition.html

The COMPLETE MELTDOWN and DISINTEGRATION of UFOLOGY and "DISCLOSURE" IN THE U.S. CONTINUES UNABATED WITH A REAL-LIFE 'SLAUGTERHOUSE-5' AS 4 MUFON STATE DIRECTORS LEARN THEIR UNHAPPY FATE ON THE CHOPPING BLOCK OF UFO HISTORY! / THIS IS IN ADDITION TO THE UNTIMELY FIRING OF JAMES CARRION ... WILL THE BLOOD-LETTING STOP AT 5 or WILL MORE HEADS UNCEREMONIOUSLY ROLL IN THE COMING MONTHS?! - The UFO Nation, Thursday, February 3, 2011

From: ELAINE DOUGLASS

EDouglass.nul

Dear MUFON Colleague:

Last week, Leslie Varnicle, State Director of Colorado and a 41year-member of MUFON, was fired by Clifford Clift. Many of you have seen Leslie's tireless work at the MUFON annual symposia. She was head of a vibrant state chapter with more than 160 members and a \$20,000 kitty. She was the originator of the webinar concept in MUFON; she spent thousands of dollars of her own money for equipment for her state; she is a MUFON "Benefactor."

WHY did Clift and the MUFON Board fire Leslie Varnicle and demote this 41 year member to the status of "Journal subscriber"? No one knows! But we do know this: a whole lot of people think it was WRONG.

And Leslie is NOT only SD fired. This month the SD of Oklahoma, Marilyn Carlson, a 20-year member, resigned rather than be fired. Christine Dickey, a 15-year member and SD of Arizona, asked for a leave of absence and was fired instead. Elaine Douglass, a 25-year-member and SD of Utah, was also fired.

That makes FOUR (4) high-performing, long time, highly experienced STATE DIRECTORS arbitrarily FIRED or PUSHED OUT by the MUFON hierarchy for unknown or insufficient reasons.

In response to these, and many, many other disturbing events and trends in MUFON, a handful of us have formed "The Committee to Reform MUFON."

We are writing to you now to let you know of these outrageous firings and most important to send you the enclosed "Petition to the Board of Directors and the International Director of MUFON." We ask you to read the four points of the Petition (below), consider them carefully, and sign and return the Petition to this e-mail address in the next 3 days.

4 MUFON State Directors Axed

PETITION ITEM #1: Calls for a New democratic corporate structure of MUFON in which the Board of Directors will be voted upon by all members of MUFON with the rank of field investigator and above. Under MUFON's current structure, MUFON is a 501=A93 nonprofit corporation with 12 members-the 12 members of the Board. These individuals appoint themselves and no one in MUFON has any say about who is on the Board or what policies they pursue. There is no accountability of the Board to the 2800 Members of MUFON.

Accordingly, Petition Item 1 calls for a new corporate document and structure in which individuals throughout MUFON would be eligible to run for the Board, and Members of MUFON with the rank of field investigator and up could vote for each person running. As for the current members of the MUFON Board, they would be free to run for election along with everyone else.

PETITION ITEM #2: Calls for the ID to cease arbitrary personnel practices and instead develop and use due process in all personnel matters. "Due process" means procedures which are fair, thorough and transparent. Here is the letter Elaine Douglass sent the ID when she was FIRED:

Dear CLIFF:

Let's see if I understand this. The accused is NOT informed of what the charges are against her, she is NOT allowed to confront her accusers, she is NOT questioned as part of the investigation, she is NOT allowed to know what evidence was presented against her, she is NOT permitted to defend herself, and in the end she is CONVICTED! But she's NOT told what she's been convicted of!

Did I miss something?

ELAINE DOGLASS

This letter captures what it is like when there is no "due process." This practice must be stopped! It has created a climate of fear and intimidation throughout MUFON in which people are afraid even to ask questions about MUFON policy for fear they will be fired.

PETITION ITEM #3: Without going into detail, we know of instances where "Benefactors" - persons who contributed \$1,000 to MUFON and were given Lifetime memberships - were stripped that status. The granting of a Lifetime membership is a contract which MUFON may not unilaterally renounce.

PETITION ITEM #4: Calls on the MUFON Board to do high level fund raising. High level fund raising is the prime activity of the board of any well-run non-profit. As far as we can see, the MUFON Board engages in no high level fund raising and we don't know why. We know of three offers to help with fund raising which were turned down.

Item 4 also reads " ... with the stipulation that all sources of funding to MUFON embrace MUFON's mission of publication and transparency of all data collected by the Members of MUFON." This refers to the well-known recent debacle in which the MUFON Board sold MUFON's data and the services of our investigators to another organization that definitely did not respect MUFON's mission.

The Committee to Reform MUFON knows there are many things wrong with MUFON not captured in the Petition. For example, it has come to our attention MUFON headquarters is now reaching down into the state chapters - without the knowledge or approval of the SDs - and is investigating individuals, and appointing and firing individuals to positions in the states.

Will all the Members of MUFON sign the Petition? Probably not. However, not everyone in MUFON has to sign it for the Petition to have a major impact. Our question to you is: Will YOU sign the Petition?

Please do! Return the Petition with your name and address by replying to this email address in the next three (3) days. To sign the Petition, hit the reply button to this email and type in your name and postal address on the front of the email. When you do this, you will be adding your name to the Petition. Together let us take this step to save MUFON.

Sincerely yours,

The Committee to Reform MUFON

=B0 Elaine Douglass, Utah =B0 Bill McNeff , Minnesota =B0 Marilyn Carlson, Oklahoma =B0 Marlee Spendlove , Utah

Petition from: The Members of MUFON

To: The Board of Directors of MUFON and The International Director:

1) WHEREAS the Mission of MUFON is of the utmost importance to ALL humankind, whereas MUFON is the largest and best known international ufological investigative organization, whereas the duties of the Members of MUFON require a significant commitment, the current undemocratic governance structure of MUFON is unsatisfactory as a vehicle for the expression of the aspirations of the Members.

THEREFORE, we the undersigned Members of MUFON call upon the 12 Members of the Board of Directors of MUFON to terminate the current legal corporate structure of MUFON (in which the Board is self-appointing) and replace the corporate structure with a new legal incorporation in which the Members of the Board of Directors will be chosen by vote of the Members of MUFON having the rank of Field Investigator and above.

2) WHEREAS the arbitrary dismissal of MUFON officers by the International Director is harmful to MUFON in many ways, THEREFORE we the undersigned Members of MUFON call upon the International Director to institute, publish and follow due process procedures in connection with the dismissal of any officer of MUFON having the rank of Field Investigator and above.

3) WHEREAS Life Membership in exchange for a financial contribution (Benefactor status) represents a contract between MUFON and the Member, THEREFORE the contract shall not be broken except in extremis.

4) WHEREAS MUFON cannot fulfill its mission without adequate funding, THEREFORE we the undersigned Members of MUFON call upon the Board of Directors of MUFON to pursue a program of professional-level fund raising to obtain sources of funding among foundations, wealthy individuals and the like, with the stipulation that all sources of funding to MUFON embrace MUFON's mission of publication and transparency of all data collected by the Members of MUFON.

Those eligible to sign this Petition include all current dues-paid Members of MUFON.

NAME ADDRESS

From: LARRY W BRYANT

Overtci.nul

Attention all MUFON Members! Urgent!

THANK YOU, Ms. Douglass, for your hard work on this proposed remedial action. I strongly suggest that you create an ONLINE petition for ANY and ALL interested parties to sign (and to add comments upon, as they see fit) -- so that all MUFON-oriented folks (i.e., members and nonmembers alike) worldwide may exercise their stakeholdership in MUFON's future as a PUBLIC CHARITY organization.

The text of the proposed online version could encompass the content of what you've already articulated below. The process of posting is fairly simple, if you choose the (free) service I've used with some ease -- see my current petition at:

http://www.petitiononline.com/wikiufo/petition.html

4 MUFON State Directors Axed

Good luck in your pursuit of full justice, access, accountability, perestroika, and MUFON reinvigoration! -

LARRY W BRYANT

http://www.petitiononline.com/wikiufo/petition.html

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

James Carrion Fires Back

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1.nul></u> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 09:33:59 -0000 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 07:13:13 -0500 Subject: James Carrion Fires Back

More from Victor...

Dave

From: Victor Martinez Date: Thursday, February 03, 2011 4:10 AM Subject: UFO NEWS Former MUFON Director, James Carrion Fires Back atCritics=

and Reveals Reasons For His Resignation!

http://www.theufochronicles.com/2011/02/ufo-news-former-mufon-director-james=
.html

http://followthemagicthread.blogspot.com/2011/01/strange-bedfellows.html

MORE UFOlogy DIRTY LAUNDRY BEING AIRED: WHERE'S THE GAS MASK?! / FIRST, WE HAVE STEPHEN BASSETT vs MICHAEL HORN IN A WWF-LIKE FRIDAY NITE "SMACKDOWN," and NOW IN THIS MATCHUP'S CORNER WE HAVE FORMER MUFON DIRECTOR JAMES CARRION FURIOUSLY FIRING BACK AT HIS CRITICS, BLASTING THE EXOPOLITICS "DISCLOSURE" GANG and THE REAL REASON FOR HIS RESIGNATION FROM MUFON! / IS THIS UFOLOGY'S DARKEST HOUR? - "Strange Bedfellows: Follow The Magic Thread" - By James Carrion, Thursday, February 3, 2011

Remember when it was just a conspiracy theory that the CIA wanted the Mafia to assassinate Fidel Castro? That was until the conspiracy became reality after the CIA released its own lurid documentation on the Mafia recruitment effort.

One day, maybe not too far in the future, we will look back at the conspiracies surrounding UFOs and find out that they too are revealed as realities. Of course, disclosure has been promised many times by those allegedly "in the know."

Hell, the folks in EXOPOLITICS predict "DISCLOSURE" as imminent every chance they get, and then come up with the MOST RIDICULOUS OF EXCUSES for why it is denied to the citizens of the world when their ill-issued predictions go up in smoke.

But this article is NOT about true UFO Disclosure. It is about the strange bedfellows that UFOlogists seem to keep in their alleged pursuit of truth. You see, when you climb high enough up the ladder of UFOlogy and are prominent in the field; the strangest of characters approach you with promises of "DISCLOSURE."

Normally, THE RUSE GOES SOMETHING LIKE THIS: Approach subject UFOlogist and make claims that you are in the know. Then shower said Ufologist with feelings of "eliteness" by offering them an inside look at the truth. When they TAKE THE BAIT, deny them the information promised, but keep them on a string with promises of information that will slowly be revealed in good measure and time.

Now you are probably wondering, when I was the International Director of MUFON, did this ever happen to me? Actually it

didn't, but then again I made it public knowledge that I would NOT accept inside sources who were not willing to go public with their identity and their claims. So I was NOT willing to play the game to begin with and those who refuse to dance are never asked to.

Speaking of strange relationships, in 2009, billionaire entrepeneur Robert Bigelow decided to hire MUFON to conduct UFO investigations on behalf of his new venture, BAASS, an alleged aerospace company interested in discovering and exploiting novel and cutting edge technologies that relate to space travel.

"HUNT FOR THE SKINWALKER: SCIENCE CONFRONTS THE UNEXPLAINED AT A REMOTE RANCH IN UTAH" - By Colm A Kelleher and George Knapp, ISBN# 9781416505211

http://www.amazon.com/Hunt-Skinwalker-Science-Confronts-Unexplained/dp/14165= 05210

Well what is so unusual about that? Maybe it has to do with the following interesting facts:

- John Schuessler, MUFON Board Member and former International Director was offered a U.S. government security clearance allegedly related to his consulting work for Mr. Bigelow. Now whether John was actually given that clearance, I can't say for sure, but I was one of the people interviewed as part of his background investigation.

- Mr. Bigelow did NOT fund MUFON's work for BAASS, instead "sponsors" that Bigelow revealed to John Schuessler but not to the other MUFON Board Members put up the money.

- Mr. Bigelow invalidated his own legal contract with MUFON by refusing to abide by the original deal struck with MUFON and instead changed the terms mid-stream, something the MUFON Board of Directors acquiesced to despite my protests.

- During the project, MUFON provided both historical MUFON files as well as current MUFON case files (including witness information) to BAASS. To cover MUFON legally, the MUFON UFO reporting form was modified to collect the witness' permission to offer their sighting data to a third party, the only third party being BAASS.

- When I uncovered some very damaging information about the Skinwalker Ranch (also owned by Mr. Bigelow) and provided that information to the MUFON Board along with my doubts about the true agenda of the BAASS-MUFON relationship, the MUFON Board unethically bypassed me and communicated in secret with BAASS in an attempt to continue the project.

When I found out about this unethical behavior, initiated by my former friend and current International Director Clifford Clift and MUFON Board member Jan Harzan (probably the next International Director after Cliff retires), I resigned immediately from the MUFON Board and the International Director position.

- Rather than reveal the truth of why I resigned to the MUFON membership, Cliff decided to whitewash the unfortunate events while he swept his broom around MUFON, portraying me as an inept leader who brought MUFON to financial ruin and presenting himself as the savior of the organization. His betrayal stung me to my very core, as I considered him a friend for 14 years until he revealed who he truly was.

At the 2010 MUFON Symposium he told the MUFON State Directors that I left MUFON because the "truth" I was seeking was not the same "truth" the MUFON Board of Directors sought.

So for the leading UFO organization in the world, whose mission statement is the scientific investigation of UFOs, WHAT TRUTH is Mr. Clift alluding to?

Maybe it is the sad truth that without a wealthy benefactor behind MUFON, the organization is but an army of volunteers with no funding for their scientific investigation, and if staying within the good graces of the only willing benefactor means compromising ethics and the duties and obligations a non-profit organization has to its membership and to the public, then so be it.

I suggest that the MUFON membership deserves more from their leadership. In fact, every MUFON member should take Mr. Clift up on his promises of transparency and demand answers to the following questions which should be posed to the MUFON Board of Directors:

1) Does John Schuessler currently have an active government security clearance and for what reason was it granted? What is John's current relationship to BAASS and Mr. Bigelow?

2) Does MUFON still provide current UFO reports to BAASS? If not, then why is the 3rd party witness election still on MUFON's "Report a UFO" form on the MUFON web site?

3) Is the MUFON Board of Directors willing to give an accurate history including publicly releasing all supporting documentation of the MUFON-BAASS legal contract that encompasses the entire project period?

4) What is MUFON's current relationship to BAASS and Mr. Bigelow?

The MUFON Board of Directors may be in pursuit of their own truth, but the real truth has an odd way of surfacing even after many years, as the CIA-Mafia affair shows. How can those in the field of Ufology seek disclosure from outside if they are not willing to seek disclosure from within first?

The choices made by the MUFON Board during the MUFON-BAASS project demands complete openness and transparency so that the conspiracy theory behind the MUFON-BAASS relationship is put to rest.

MUFON's leadership should practice what it preaches and leave no details hidden if it wishes MUFON to retain any semblance of respectability in this field already filled with enough unanswered questions and shadowy characters and organizations.

It is time for MUFON to sweep its own house...

Copyright =A9 2011 / The UFO Chronicles

http://www.theufochronicles.com/2011/02/ufo-news-former-mufon-director-james=
.html

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Bigelow & NASA

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>** Date: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 07:15:50 -0500 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 07:15:50 -0500 Subject: Bigelow & NASA

Bigelow & NASA

ebk

From: NASA News <<u>hqnews</u>.nul>
To: NASA News <<u>hqnews</u>.nul>
Date: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 16:03:57 -0700
Subject: NASA Deputy Administrator Meets With Commercial Space Innovators

Feb. 2, 2011

Sarah Ramsey Headquarters, Washington 202-215-9680 sarah.ramsey.nul

MEDIA ADVISORY: M11-021

NASA DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR MEETS WITH COMMERCIAL SPACE INNOVATORS

WASHINGTON -- NASA Deputy Administrator Lori Garver will travel to Las Vegas and Boulder, Colo., this week to meet with leaders of two commercial space companies, Bigelow Aerospace and Sierra Nevada Corp., and tour their facilities. NASA is partnering with the commercial sector to develop innovative technologies to ensure that the U.S. remains competitive in future space endeavors.

On Friday, Feb. 4, Garver will tour Bigelow Aerospace facilities at 1899 W. Brooks Ave. in North Las Vegas. NASA has been discussing potential partnership opportunities with Bigelow for its inflatable habitat technologies. Garver and the company's President Robert Bigelow will hold a news conference at 1 p.m. PST. To attend, media representatives must contact Mike Gold at mgold.nul

On Saturday, Feb. 5, Garver will meet with Mark Sirangello, chairman of Sierra Nevada Space Systems. Afterward, she will tour the company's facility responsible for spacecraft mechanical subsystems, components and satellite manufacturing in Louisville, Colo. Garver also will visit a test facility at the University of Colorado at Boulder to observe progress on Sierra Nevada's Dream Chaser spacecraft. It is under development with support from NASA's Commercial Crew Development Program to provide crew transportation to and from low Earth orbit.

A news conference will be held at 11:30 a.m. MST in the CU-Boulder College of Engineering and Applied Science, Civil Engineering Building, located on the northeast corner of Colorado Ave. and Regent Drive. To attend, news media must contact Teresa Jones at 720-256-7073 or <u>teresa.jones</u>.nul

Journalists interested in a one-on-one interview with Garver

during the Feb. 4 and Feb. 5 events should contact Sarah Ramsey at 202-215-9680 or <u>sarah.ramsey</u>.nul

Deputy Administrator Garver's biography is available at:

http://www.nasa.gov/about/highlights/garver_bio.html

Photos and information about the two events will be posted on her Facebook and Twitter sites.

http://www.facebook.com/LoriGarver

http://twitter.com/lori_garver

For information about NASA and agency programs, visit:

http://www.nasa.gov

-end-

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 08:14:13 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

<snip>

>If any of the conclusions of Hopkins, Jacobs, Mack and others >are in any part true, then the abductees need rescue, and the >abductors need to be stopped.

>But what exactly are we dealing with here? No one knows for sure.

And therein lies the problem with trying to deal with abductions in a rational manner to "stop" the activity. I think it was acknowledge long ago by many that the act of "abducting" people is a horrific act that should be stopped if possible. But, I'm not sure how that could be accomplished under the circumstance.

>We've gazed at the greys. We've dreamed the reams. We've even >experienced the experiencer's experiences.

>Is there really a 'standard abduction experience'? Or do we >accept as 'canon' >the multitude of types of aliens and >contacts? (You can get an idea of the many types by checking >out the encyclopedic works of smarter folks than me, like Jerry >Clark, et al.)

I believe there is a "standard" experience that researchers have identified, and that's part of the mystery. My understanding is that there are un-publicized "markers" that researchers look for to verify certain aspects of an alleged experience. This is similar to police investigations where they don't give all the facts to the press. I also believe that some of the key similarities in the abduction events relate to the descriptions of the craft and specifics of what they recall.

>Once we decide what we're talking about, what standards do we use to support the subjects and to interpret the interviews?

>Since we're talking about research involving human beings, we >need standards which respect all the rights of those >participating: We must first do no harm. We must have ethics. >We must have real peer-review.

We have one researcher that sold his abduction case files to Bigalow's group, which caused quite a stir a number of years ago. I believe there is another case where case file information loaned by one researcher to another was publicly released without permission, so there is certainly a need to bring ethical behavior and "respect" to a much higher level in the genre. I would suggest that some of the issues you've raised apply to ufology in general, and not just to the abduction side of the equation.

>And this is the very point that stops the ethical researchers. >This point creates an ethical dilemma for those who want to >continue and don't know how to resolve the matter. As we've >seen, with Hopkins and Jacobs, there were compromises and the Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>abductees were made to suffer more.

In 1992 there was a week-long Abduction Conference held at MIT, which allowed researchers from all around the world to gather and share information. The book "Close Encounters of the Fourth Kind" is an author's view of the event, and the information presented is available in a publication that can still be found, I believe.

This field is far larger than the three primary researchers we've mention here can account for, but they've been the most prolific over the years in writing about the subject. I have a few friends who believe they are abductees, and while I'm not necessarily convinced that they have, I can't look them in the eye and say that they haven't. Until I can, a mystery (in my mind) remains.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > 2011 > Feb > Feb 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Sean Jones <<u>Sean.Jones</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:23:57 +0000 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 08:53:19 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul> >To: >To: >To: _post.nul> >Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500 >Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul> >>To: post.nul >>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST) >>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>But what exactly are we dealing with here? No one knows for sure. >And therein lies the problem with trying to deal with abductions I have often wondered why the vast majority of abductions happen in the US more than other in any other country of the world?? Other examples: Cattle mutilations, US. Chupacabra, South America "Little men", Ireland Big foot, US Yeti, Himalayas Tasmanian Devil, Tasmania There are many others. Slightly differently: Crop circles started in the UK, fact, and now are seen in many countries. I wonder if the localisation of each phenomenon is cultural? Just an aside <g> Sean In an infinite universe infinitely anything is possible. http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/ Sean Jones Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At: http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/ These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

News Links - 02-02-11

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>** Date: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 09:18:22 -0500 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 09:18:22 -0500 Subject: News Links - 02-02-11

News Links - 02-02-11

Witness Thought UFOs Were An 'Invasion' Examiner.com http://tinyurl.com/4ovyacm

UFOs Over Utah Drop Flare-Like Objects AOL News http://tinyurl.com/4zplw7t

UFO Spotted In Utah Gearlog http://tinyurl.com/4uyc3k2

Footage captures 'UFO' above town Thisisgloucestershire http://tinyurl.com/6bl19v5

UFO Mystery In Kolkata Air Deccan Herald http://tinyurl.com/47p4mzo

National UFO Alert Rating: California, Florida, Texas Retain Lead Examiner.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/4q63931</u>

'UFO' Was Explainable, NRC Physicist Says Oak Bay News http://tinyurl.com/62rdezo

Filer's Files # 6 - 2011
http://tinyurl.com/6x9ng3f

UFO Investigator Nick Pope Discusses The Real X Files PRLog.Org http://tinyurl.com/4k925xw

UFO, 'Truth' Events Are Booked In Port Townsend Port Townsend Leader <u>http://tinyurl.com/6eepx3a</u>

Jerusalem, Jesus And UFOs God Discussion http://tinyurl.com/6yzzvak

Shaun Ryder Has Seen A UFO Unreality TV http://tinyurl.com/4kfzyxe

Roswell Native To Show UFO footage Ventura County Star <u>http://tinyurl.com/4sdylar</u>

Saucer Sects Fortean Times News Links - 02-02-11

http://tinyurl.com/632nrpz

UFO Hunters iPhones App: History & Sightings Phones Review

From Frank Warren's The UFO Chronicals:

Falling Skies Video - A Preview
http://tinyurl.com/4v6lrfx

UFOs Filmed Over City of Buenos Aires - Video http://tinvurl.com/4anudl3

200 Dead Cows Mystery Solved In Wisconsin: It Was The Sweet Potatoes?! http://tinyurl.com/41jq3eh

Investigators For Korea UFO Research Association And The Korea UFO Analysis Center Speak Out http://tinyurl.com/4jtdwsb

From Dave Haith:

The Lighter Side http://tinyurl.com/5vw6e88 http://tinyurl.com/6dj9rdh

From 'The Norm':

Utah Army Base Goes Into Lock-Down As UFOs Are Reported Over Nearby Town <u>http://tinyurl.com/49xyaks</u>

From 'Believer' To 'Skeptic'
Billy Cox
http://tinyurl.com/49xlck6

Three dozen UFOs near Pittsburgh? Billy Cox http://tinyurl.com/6xyzcq9

Cash Landrum And Crash Retrievals Kevin Randle <u>http://tinyurl.com/4ar78ks</u>

Extraterrestrial UFO Are Real: Ben Rich Lockheed Skunk Works Director Admitted In His Deathbed Confession <u>http://tinyurl.com/3yqr7xe</u>

Exoplanet Bonanza Boosts Count By 1,200 http://tinyurl.com/6q9wk9c

The Powers Of 10 http://tinyurl.com/66c2473

Japan's Kounotori2 Supply Ship Approaches The Space Station http://tinyurl.com/4k3skbz

Falling Brains Dept:

Excitement Running High As "Exopolitics" Group Claims Stunning UFO Proof American Banking News http://tinyurl.com/6jez2gj

How UFO Sightings Continue To Impact Shares Of Lockheed Martin And Boeing Minyanville.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/4zwzrgl</u>

Is UFO Orb Over Dome Of The Rock In Jerusalem A Context Communication By ET? <u>http://tinyurl.com/4dlp8lc</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins &

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:50:52 -0000
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 09:49:43 -0500
Subject: 'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins &

>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>I believe there is a "standard" experience that researchers have >identified, and that's part of the mystery. My understanding is >that there are un-publicized "markers" that researchers look for >to verify certain aspects of an alleged experience. This is >similar to police investigations where they don't give all the >facts to the press.

<snip>

From limited reading I'd guess there's at least three -

i) an 'entity' seems to appear & move about without 'walking' (sort-of tele-porting illusion);

ii) abductee similarly 'arrives' in a location (or craft) with no knowledge of actually entering;

ii) if a 'craft' is entered, the interior is unfeasibly much bigger than its exterior.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 09:38:59 -0500 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 09:52:06 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >From: Sean Jones <<u>Sean.Jones</u>.nul> >To: post.nul >Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:23:57 +0000 >Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul> >>To: <<u>post</u>.nul> >>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500 >>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul> >>>To: post.nul >>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST) >>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>>But what exactly are we dealing with here? No one knows for >>>sure. >>And therein lies the problem with trying to deal with abductions >I have often wondered why the vast majority of abductions >happen in the US more than other in any other country of the >world?? >Other examples: >Cattle mutilations, US. >Chupacabra, South America
>"Little men", Ireland >Big foot, US >Yeti, Himalayas >Tasmanian Devil, Tasmania >There are many others. >Slightly differently: >Crop circles started in the UK, fact, and now are seen in many >countries. >I wonder if the localisation of each phenomenon is cultural? The issue may well be cultural interpretation of unknown phenomena that fails to fall within an easily defined mental framework. We tend to look at distant objects and try to identify them by whatever means we can, even if they are too far to see clearly. A distant light in the night sky moving at a constant speed if interpreted as an airplane in today's world, but that really only an educated guess unless you have some way to confirm it.

I believe that behind most myths there are real events that created them, but interpretation of such events are seen through the accepted reality of the time and often evolve with the retelling. You left out the Lock Ness monster, and the last of the dinosaurs living deep in the jungles of Africa, but let's not get carried away. Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1.nul></u>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:25:23 +0000
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 10:27:51 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Ray Dickenson <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:50:52 -0000
>Subject: 'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins & Jacobs]

>>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>I believe there is a "standard" experience that researchers have >>identified, and that's part of the mystery. My understanding is >>that there are un-publicized "markers" that researchers look for >>to verify certain aspects of an alleged experience. This is >>similar to police investigations where they don't give all the >>facts to the press.

><snip>

>>From limited reading I'd guess there's at least three -

>i) an 'entity' seems to appear & move about without 'walking'
>(sort-of tele-porting illusion);

>ii) abductee similarly 'arrives' in a location (or craft) with
>no knowledge of actually entering;

>ii) if a 'craft' is entered, the interior is unfeasibly much >bigger than its exterior.

Hi,

I think the standard abduction structure has been shaped and created by the abductionists.

Over time different 'researchers' or experiencers have added their own embellishments, that fit their own ideas and concepts about the aliens.

Jacobs, for instance, has made a consistent effort to fit these experiences into a standard pattern.

Pardon the pun, but Jacobs has been unable to see the Woods for the trees.

Nigel

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience

From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 10:44:11 -0600 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 12:23:21 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:25:23 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Ray Dickenson <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:50:52 -0000
>>Subject: 'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins & Jacobs]

>>>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>>I believe there is a "standard" experience that researchers have >>>identified, and that's part of the mystery. My understanding is >>>that there are un-publicized "markers" that researchers look for >>>to verify certain aspects of an alleged experience. This is >>>similar to police investigations where they don't give all the >>>facts to the press.

><snip>

>I think the the standard abduction structure has been shaped and >created by the abductionists.

In common with a broad range of experience anomalies, abduction phenomena have both general similarities and specific differences. (I was personally investigating abduction reports in the 1970s, before abductions became the big deal they would be over the next two decades, and learned this - and much else quite early on.) As an overall proposition, the notion expressed in the sentence above doesn't appear to be true, as Eddie Bullard has demonstrated in his outstanding work on the phenomenology of the experience. Still, there are, no doubt, occasional exceptions.

Besides Bullard's papers, among the best assessments of the phenomenon - devoid of the polemics that ordinarily define the debate on both sides or that rely upon lazy demonization of investigators - is psychologist Stuart Appelle's scholarly overview The Abduction Experience: A Critical Evaluation Of Theory and Evidence (Journal of UFO Studies n.s. 6, 1995/1996): 29-78. After a searching examination of the strengths and weaknesses of all proposed solutions (prosaic and extraordinary) Appelle concludes that no theory thus far proposed is without grave problems.

In a wonderfully thoughtful, nuanced, but overlooked short essay (Awakening Paralyzed In The Presence Of A Strange 'Visitor', Alien Discussions: Proceedings of the Abduction Study Conference, 1992: 348-53), behavioral scientist/folklorist David J. Hufford acknowledges the deeply anomalous nature of the abduction phenomenon (opening with a strange and puzzling case treated by a psychiatrist colleague, not an "abductionist") while criticizing narrow, theory-driven approaches. He writes: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience

"Much abduction theory, at present, is _way_ out in front of its data, and its data collection and analysis are suffering as a result. Adequate theory development regarding abduction experiences will require rigorous phenomenological inquiry in which the distinctions among observation, interpretation and language are made and empirically supported... Good theory will also require an openness to the possible relationships of abduction sequences and other anomalous experiences, a connection frequently emphasized by abductees themselves but strongly resisted by many investigators...

Unfortunately the political divisions current within the abduction research community _greatly_ increase the noise in the signal we are attempting to understand, and they motivate hasty theory development. Methodological and theoretical sophistication require serious literature review and interdisciplinary breadth - values which _many_ UFO researchers do exemplify. Theoretical narrowness and exclusivity are always damaging... If we are as narrow and dogmatic as the debunkers, our conclusions will be just as mistaken as theirs."

My own view, probably something like Hufford's though arrived at independently, is that abduction phenomena are unrelated to the core UFO phenomenon (i.e., CE2s, radar/visuals) in any epistemological sense. The only link is a superficial one of appearances. For how this apparently works, I refer Listfolk to my various writings on experience anomalies vs. anomalous events.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:36:48 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 15:17:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:44:32 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

Can anyone confirm that this is still the original UFO UpDates and I have not entered some alternate reality? As I find that the person writing the most sense on it at the moment is Mr Lehmberg.

Keep up the good work, sir.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:39:28 +0000
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 15:21:48 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:25:23 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Ray Dickenson <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:50:52 -0000
>>Subject: 'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins & Jacobs]

>>>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

<snip>

Dear People:

I am sure you all are well meaning, but please, if you have not experienced interacting with whatever the hell we eventually are going to call it, I am going to have to discount your opinion.

There is no such thing as a standard experience except in the mind of people who have never had one. Period. And, for Yvonne Smith I have to say she should know better.

However, as an experiencer developing a new approach to the phenomena I was pleased to read two articles in UFO Matrix that I agree with.

One was authored by Albert Rosales called All That Glitters Is Not Gray. The other one was by Phillip Gardiner called Alternatives: A sceptical Perspective; Close Encounters Under Scrutiny.

Apparently, according to Gardiner, I am one of the few knowledgeable people who has an insight into how the mind works. A very rare breed, according to Gardiner.

Therefore, I feel that if those of you who are truly serious about entering into a discussion of the phenomena is to acquaint yourselves with the literature discussing how the brain works.

For a start, PBS is doing a NOVA series called How The Brain Works. The mechanisms are reduced to a simple, easy to understand discussion. This goes, as well, for people claiming to be hypnotherapists.

These discussions are starting to sound like males trying to tell a pregnant woman whether she should be able to make the decision to abort a fetus or not.

Could you offer an enlightened opinion not what other people have said, but what you have experienced; what YOUR gut tells you.

Thanks,

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At: http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:40:34 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 15:22:51 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:13:41 -0600
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too
>>closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums
>>who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic
>>tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you
>>examine the deck too closely.

>I could have sworn that you were talking about the way UFO >debunkery works, John.

>Jerry Clark

Jerry, I am sure you are aware of, and understand the origins of, the phrase "He would say that, wouldn't he", as used in England.

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:42:49 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 15:24:04 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:13:41 -0600
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

By the way, Jerry, thank you for keeping up the great, timehonored tradition of replying to absolutely everything I write on UpDates. I really do appreciate it.

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:48:10 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 15:25:23 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 16:09:00 -0400
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>Here's something interesting though. For years some people have >been claiming implants; tracking devices and lo and behold... we >have them now. Watch the nature shows with seals and whales and >wolves and even butterflies being tracked from satellites. Who'd >a thunk it, John.

Although I think most of the claims made about human implants are well into the realms of conspiracy theorising, it is certainly possible to use implants to track people. A primitive form of this is the 'tagging' of criminals used in some lawenforcement areas. This is rather different from aliens abducting people a and putting implants into their brains, noses or toes. I fail to see your point.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: 4 MUFON State Directors Axed

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 20:23:31 +0000 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 15:59:21 -0500 Subject: Re: 4 MUFON State Directors Axed

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 09:31:08 -0000
>Subject: 4 MUFON State Directors Axed

>----

>From: Victor Martinez
>Date: Thursday, February 03, 2011 6:26 AM
>Subject: Ufology MeltDOWN Continues With 4 MUFON State Directors
>Being Axed!

>http://www.petitiononline.com/wikiufo/petition.html

>The COMPLETE MELTDOWN and DISINTEGRATION of UFOLOGY and >"DISCLOSURE" IN THE U.S. CONTINUES UNABATED WITH A REAL-LIFE >'SLAUGTERHOUSE-5' AS 4 MUFON STATE DIRECTORS LEARN THEIR UNHAPPY >FATE ON THE CHOPPING BLOCK OF UFO HISTORY! / THIS IS IN ADDITION >TO THE UNTIMELY FIRING OF JAMES CARRION ... WILL THE BLOOD->LETTING STOP AT 5 or WILL MORE HEADS UNCEREMONIOUSLY ROLL IN THE >COMING MONTHS?! - The UFO Nation, Thursday, February 3, 2011

>From: ELAINE DOUGLASS

>EDouglass.nul

>Dear MUFON Colleague:

>Last week, Leslie Varnicle, State Director of Colorado and a 41->year-member of MUFON, was fired by Clifford Clift. Many of you >have seen Leslie's tireless work at the MUFON annual symposia. >She was head of a vibrant state chapter with more than 160 >members and a \$20,000 kitty. She was the originator of the >webinar concept in MUFON; she spent thousands of dollars of her >own money for equipment for her state; she is a MUFON >"Benefactor".

>WHY did Clift and the MUFON Board fire Leslie Varnicle and >demote this 41 year member to the status of "Journal >subscriber"? No one knows! But we do know this: a whole lot of >people think it was WRONG.

<snip>

Dear List:

Leslie now joins an excellent company of former State Directors reduced to Journal Subscribers.

James Moseley, editor and publisher of the long reigning Saucer Smear, just recently disclosed what the J.S. on the SS masthead meant. He stated that he had been a State Director for MUFON and without reason received a letter from MUFON telling him he was now a Journal Subscriber.

I suggest that Leslie contact Jim and offer her services.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 20:26:32 +0000 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 16:02:10 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >From: Sean Jones <<u>Sean.Jones</u>.nul> >To: post.nul >Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:23:57 +0000 >Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul> >>To: <<u>post</u>.nul> >>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500 >>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul> >>>To: post.nul >>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 07:15:59 -0800 (PST) >>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs >>>But what exactly are we dealing with here? No one knows for sure. >>And therein lies the problem with trying to deal with abductions >I have often wondered why the vast majority of abductions happen >in the US more than other in any other country of the world?? >Other examples: >Cattle mutilations, US. >Chupacabra, South America
>"Little men", Ireland >Big foot, US >Yeti, Himalayas >Tasmanian Devil, Tasmania >There are many others. >Slightly differently: >Crop circles started in the UK, fact, and now are seen in many >countries. >Just an aside <g> Sean: Finally, a very different opinion than the usual one. I might end up agreeing with you. Americans can afford to be abducted. I think I like that opinion. ΚK Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At: http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/ These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 14:28:37 -0600 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 16:03:11 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:40:34 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:13:41 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>>Abductionoligy can only continue so long as no-one looks too >>>closely at the practicalities of it. They're like the mediums >>>who don't want you to turn the light on when the psychic >>>tambourine's playing, or the card sharps who won't let you >>>examine the deck too closely.

>>I could have sworn that you were talking about the way UFO >>debunkery works, John.

>Jerry, I am sure you are aware of, and understand the origins >of, the phrase "He would say that, wouldn't he", as used in >England.

And not just in England, John. In fact, the very words leaped to mind when I read your words. Not only would you, but you do. All the time.

Sigh,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 14:30:01 -0600 Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 16:04:12 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:42:49 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:13:41 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>By the way, Jerry, thank you for keeping up the great, time->honored tradition of replying to absolutely everything I write >on UpDates. I really do appreciate it.

You're welcome, my friend. But really, the pleasure is mine.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 3</u>

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1.nul></u>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 20:39:22 +0000
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 16:05:44 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:39:28 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:25:23 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:50:52 -0000
>>>Subject: 'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins & Jacobs]

>>>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

><snip>

>Dear People:

>I am sure you all are well meaning, but please, if you have not >experienced interacting with whatever the hell we eventually are >going to call it, I am going to have to discount your opinion.

<snip>

>Therefore, I feel that if those of you who are truly serious >about entering into a discussion of the phenomena is to acquaint >yourselves with the literature discussing how the brain works.

>These discussions are starting to sound like males trying to >tell a pregnant woman whether she should be able to make the >decision to abort a fetus or not.

<snip>

Hi,

For what it is worth I do have a degree in psychology. I don't buy the idea that you have to be an experiencer to make any valid study of this subject. It's like saying you can't write about the moon unless you've been there.

Not sure what logic there is to the gang of males and abortion comment, sounds very sexist of you. To use your previous notion I could ask how you might have the presumption to try to understand the male mind if you are not or never been a man.

Nigel

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 3

Implants & RFID [was: Hopkins & Jacobs]

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 21:07:16 +0000
Archived: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 16:18:04 -0500
Subject: Implants & RFID [was: Hopkins & Jacobs]

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:48:10 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 16:09:00 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>Here's something interesting though. For years some people have >>been claiming implants; tracking devices and lo and behold... we >>have them now. Watch the nature shows with seals and whales and >>wolves and even butterflies being tracked from satellites. Who'd >>a thunk it, John.

>Although I think most of the claims made about human implants
>are well into the realms of conspiracy theorising, it is
>certainly possible to use implants to track people. A primitive
>form of this is the 'tagging' of criminals used in some law>enforcement areas. This is rather different from aliens
>abducting people and putting implants into their brains, noses
>or toes. I fail to see your point.

List:

My research into mind control technology a few years ago provided an insight into the implanting of radio frequency chips into humans. I believe my article discussing the implants claimed by Timothy McVeigh is still available:

http://www.rense.com/general2/truth.htm.

There are commercially available implants and ones that were developed and funded by black operations within the government and private industry.

In all the years I researched this technology, and was in contact with Dr. Roger Leir, I never discovered a truly "alien developed" implant. And, clearly, neither has Dr. Leir. He says so, but has been unable to prove it.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 21:20:13 +0000
Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 06:13:28 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 20:39:22 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:39:28 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

<snip>

>>Dear People:

>>I am sure you all are well meaning, but please, if you have not >>experienced interacting with whatever the hell we eventually are >>going to call it, I am going to have to discount your opinion.

><snip>

>>Therefore, I feel that if those of you who are truly serious
>>about entering into a discussion of the phenomena is to acquaint
>>yourselves with the literature discussing how the brain works.

>>These discussions are starting to sound like males trying to >>tell a pregnant woman whether she should be able to make the >>decision to abort a fetus or not.

><snip>

>For what it is worth I do have a degree in psychology. I don't >buy the idea that you have to be an experiencer to make any >valid study of this subject. It's like saying you can't write >about the moon unless you've been there.

>Not sure what logic there is to the gang of males and abortion >comment, sounds very sexist of you. To use your previous notion >I could ask how you might have the presumption to try to >understand the male mind if you are not or never been a man.

Yes, Nigel, I get to be sexist as I am among the few women who fearless march into the generally male company of UFO researchers.

I might ask what the hell are you doing in the UFO UpDates List, but I will not because I believe in freedom of thought. Unlike males who have to weigh in on the issue of what a woman gets to say happens to her body. You don't see the similarity between so called "alien abduction" researchers and the abortion issue? Well, I do. That is what is important here.

And, by your comment, I take it you have never interacted with anything highly strange. And, yes, it is a completely different animal then visiting the moon in a rocket ship.

Therefore, because the experience involves contact with a reality psychologist can barely comes to grips with except as a psychosis, I will have to discount any orthodox approach coming

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

from the psychology community. However, if you were a neurologist I could see my way clear to hear what you have to say. Okay?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 4

Re: James Carrion Fires Back

From: John Velez <<u>ivelez49</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 16:20:25 -0500
Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 06:21:56 -0500
Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visionsl</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 09:33:59 -0000
>Subject: James Carrion Fires Back

>More from Victor...

>Dave

>----

>From: Victor Martinez
>Date: Thursday, February 03, 2011 4:10 AM
>Subject: UFO NEWS Former MUFON Director, James Carrion Fires Back atCritics
>and Reveals Reasons For His Resignation!

Hello All,

Victor writes:

>MORE UFOlogy DIRTY LAUNDRY BEING AIRED: WHERE'S THE GAS MASK?!

Sir,

I respectfully submit that if your nose hasn't gotten used to the stench by now, a gas mask isn't going to do much good.

>Speaking of strange relationships, in 2009, billionaire >entrepeneur Robert Bigelow decided to hire MUFON to conduct UFO >investigations on behalf of his new venture, BAASS, an alleged >aerospace company interested in discovering and exploiting novel >and cutting edge technologies that relate to space travel.

If you go back far enough into the UFO UpDates Archive you will find a thread I started in the late 90's regarding the sale of abductee files by John Carpenter (and others) to Mr. Bigelow. The problem with the whole scheme was; the abductees were not informed, nor had they consented to the transfer of their personal files and information to a third party. (Bigelow)

Before I went public with this information I made sure I had confirmation from two independent sources. One of those sources was John Scheussler himself who mistakenly believed he was talking to Budd Hopkins on the telephone. When I called him, I introduced myself as Budd's assistant from the Intruders Foundation. He thought it was Budd on the other end. It didn't take him long to realize his error.

When I informed him that I was calling because I was concerned that John Carpenter - who at the time was the head of the MUFON Abduction Database - was transferring abduction files to Bigelow for monetary gain. Files that were no doubt being taken directly from the database. Scheusslers response was, "I told John that this thing had the potential to blow up in our faces!"

When I responded with the question; "You knew about this?!" He suddenly snapped awake and asked; "Who is this?" When I identified myself for him for the second time in minutes, he immediate hung up on me. There was a real schitt-storm generated over this at the time that eventually ended with John Carpenter resigning from MUFON. MUFON publicly (on this very List - it's in the archive somewhere,) promised to conduct an internal investigation to determine if the Abduction database files and the abductees personal information had been compromised. They said they were going to publish the results of the internal investigation.

None was ever forthcoming. The 'Dark-Side' relationship between MUFON and things Bigelow goes back for more than a decade. You are about 10-15 years late to the party with your pointed question about that relationship.

>- John Schuessler, MUFON Board Member and former International >Director was offered a U.S. government security clearance >allegedly related to his consulting work for Mr. Bigelow.

Kinda like government employees leaving public service jobs to lobby for the same industries they administered. It's almost incestuous isn't it?

>4) What is MUFON's current relationship to BAASS and Mr. >Bigelow?

To find that out, you have go back and find out how it began and how long ago it started.

Quick note re: Budd Hopkins/Carol Rainy/Dave Jacobs.

1. Re: Carol Rainey and her indictment of Budd - which is valid BTW - don't attribute any 'high motivations' to this sudden revelation. "Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned!" Consider it in context; it's an ex-wife excoriating (trashing) her exhusband and his career in a very public manner. Just saying...

2. The whole time I worked with Budd, almost ten years, I complained here, in public, about how sloppy his methods were. I was always very vocal about that. That and the need for him and others to properly catalog the raw data coming in so that hopefully somebody could make some sense of it all. He never listened.

3. I warned Budd and - everybody else - about Jim Mortellaro. I was the one who introduced Jim to Budd. The very day I introduced them, I warned Budd that there was something seriously amiss with the guy.

Mortellaro had volunteered to pick me up at my home and drive us both into the city. Between my house and Budd's studio, a short 20 minute drive, Mortellaro had swallowed a handful of multicolored pills, dry, no water, and he had pulled out an enormous Dirty Harry style magnum and was pointing it at the roof of the car as we drove, explaining to me how he needed to have it for his part time Sheriff job.

When I got out of the car at Budds, I was more than a little freaked out.

I told Budd immediately. I warned him. Before anybody else said squat, I warned him about Mortellaro. I took a serious public beating for it from "friends" - because they thought 'I was being mean to poor Jim.' They didn't know and when I told them, I was publicly dragged through the dirt for it.

Ufology as a whole is a sad joke. No science, no academic interest, no funding, just a new-age scam for some and a career opportunity for others. Bunch of phonies, liars and opportunists for the most part. Don't get me wrong, there are some real good people associated with the study of the phenomenon. But none of them care to get deeply involved in helping to make something of value to happen in terms of research. I have a very dim view of ufology and those who scramble to be at the top of that dung heap.

But please, don't let me interrupt your most interesting dialogs...

Warm regards to my old friends...

Re: James Carrion Fires Back

John Velez Former webmaster: Intruders Foundation

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: William Treurniet <<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 16:27:07 -0500 Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 06:23:13 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Kathy Kasten<<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:39:28 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Nigel Watson<<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:25:23 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>From: Ray Dickenson<<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>>>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:50:52 -0000
>>>Subject: 'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins& Jacobs]

>>>From: Steven Kaeser<<u>steve</u>.nul>
>>>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Hopkins& Jacobs

><snip>

>Therefore, I feel that if those of you who are truly serious >about entering into a discussion of the phenomena is to acquaint >yourselves with the literature discussing how the brain works.

>For a start, PBS is doing a NOVA series called How The Brain
>Works. The mechanisms are reduced to a simple, easy to
>understand discussion. This goes, as well, for people claiming
>to be hypnotherapists.

I am very much an observer when it comes to abduction experiences, so you probably would not appreciate my opinion on what it's all about.

However, I would like to say that mainstream neuropsychology (and presumably the NOVA series) takes a materialist's view on how the brain works. That is, the mind is seen as a byproduct of brain physiology. I think that's a dead end approach for understanding the mind. There is psychic activity that is more than just a product of prior experience and memory. I recommend "Irreducible Mind" by Kelly et al. (2010) to get a flavor of the kind of psychological theory that is needed. The book resurrects F. W. H. Meyers' theory (1903) on the mind-body relation. Psychology is just starting to recover from the behaviorists' wrong turn.

My understanding of Meyers' theory is that the brain is not merely a repository of information. Rather, it is a filter through which information passes. Mostly, the brain filter restricts access to only personal information, but the filter properties can change (via drugs, meditation, etc.) and let trans-personal stuff through. This material may be accessible to multiple people under the right conditions, so it can have the flavor of objective reality. The theory seems to offer a viable framework for understanding the 'abduction' experience without trivializing it.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:31:45 -0600 Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 06:24:43 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:36:48 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:44:32 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>Can anyone confirm that this is still the original UFO UpDates >and I have not entered some alternate reality? As I find that >the person writing the most sense on it at the moment is Mr >Lehmberg.

>Keep up the good work, sir.

I can't accept your accolade, Mr. Rimmer. Unlike _some_, I'm not hidebound to a "party line" and required to vote the straight ticket when the straight ticket must proclaim wrong as right and right as wrong. Wrong is wrong. Right is right. There's a certain honor in being able to consistently perceive the difference and then acting accordingly, regardless.

The antithesis is a CSI membership, eh?

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 17:33:07 -0400 Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 06:26:29 -0500 Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:48:10 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 16:09:00 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Hopkins & Jacobs

>>Here's something interesting though. For years some people have >>been claiming implants; tracking devices and lo and behold... we >>have them now. Watch the nature shows with seals and whales and >>wolves and even butterflies being tracked from satellites. Who'd >>a thunk it, John.

>Although I think most of the claims made about human implants
>are well into the realms of conspiracy theorising, it is
>certainly possible to use implants to track people. A primitive
>form of this is the 'tagging' of criminals used in some law>enforcement areas. This is rather different from aliens
>abducting people a and putting implants into their brains, noses
>or toes. I fail to see your point.

Fact now follows what was once considered fiction.

That's the point.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 4

How To Take Down A UFO Organization 101

From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
Date: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 06:36:54 -0500
Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 06:36:54 -0500
Subject: How To Take Down A UFO Organization 101

Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread Blog

http://tinyurl.com/478eu36

Thursday, February 3, 2011

How To Take Down A UFO Organization 101 [by James Carrion]

I have written before about the coming war between the Intelligence Agencies and Ufology and a series of recent events lead me to believe that this war which started some time ago is now in full battle.

You see, these intelligence agencies are absolutely obsessed with control and when an intelligence source like MUFON acts outside of the box the intelligence agencies would like to contain it in, then the gloves come off and the punches fly.

MUFON - an intelligence source? Yep, that is exactly how the US Air Force Office of Special Investigation (AFOSI) and other related three letter agency see any UFO organization that collects detailed data on strange things flying around our atmosphere. Of course, AFOSI knows what's operating in US Air Space, but they want to control what data reaches say the Russians and the Chinese about what is flying around. AFOSI prefers that the general public think of it as a "UFO" and not the latest US stealth fighter or bomber, but of greater concern is what our less than believing and less than glazed eye enemy intelligence analysts glean from the published sighting data.

Recently, US Defense Secretary Robert Gates warned of the unanticipated advances in Chinese stealth technology that led to the development of the J-20 stealth fighter. Did the Chinese develop this technology of their own accord or did they "borrow" it through espionage and gleaning open intelligence sources. Regardless of how they did it, clamping down on any intelligence source foreign enemies can exploit is what keeps the AFOSI in business.

If an intelligence source cannot be contained, then the next logical action is to terminate it, the same way NICAP was, once it outlived its usefulness to those in the know and in control. MUFON has been around for 40 plus years and to bring down an established grass roots organization requires much more finesse with proven destabilization techniques and tools. Let me share some of those with you.

Tool # 1: Agent Provocateurs

An Agent Provocateur per Wikipedia's definition is a person employed by the police or other entity to act undercover to entice or provoke another person to commit an illegal act. More generally, the term may refer to a person or group that seeks to discredit or harm another by provoking them to commit a wrong or rash action. When I was the International Director of MUFON, a MUFON member who recently joined approached me with the alleged criminal record of a MUFON State Director. It was suggested that I use the criminal record information as grounds for removing the State Director. I did not.

Subsequently this State Director became very vocal and critical of anything that I did at MUFON beginning with his criticism of the Discovery Channel show "UFOS Over Earth" that I was involved in. He started a long and tireless campaign of spreading false information and inciting others within MUFON to action.

Then this State Director's criminal record was released to other MUFON members from an anonymous email address (perpetrator now known) which unleashed another endless tirade of accusations against myself and the MUFON Board.

Now you are probably wondering where I am going with this? Consider for a moment that the new MUFON member who gave me a copy of the criminal record, the alleged State Director victim and the perpetrator of the anonymous email are all on the same intelligence agency payroll and you can get an idea of how a defamation and smear campaign works. Agent provocateurs can be very effective in inciting unrest inside of an organization. I think many in MUFON can put names to the characters. I will reveal their names in due time.

Does this sound paranoid to you? Maybe, but in the shadowy world of spies and counter-spies where a country's national security is at stake, you best put your paranoid hat on, because anything is fair game.

Tool # 2: Rumor and Innuendo

Internet message boards like the Open Minds Forum, Above Top Secret and even MUFON's own original message board (RIP) which are based on public posts from anonymous individuals are an excellent medium for keeping smear campaigns going. I have already posted many articles on my blog about the disinformation tactics used on these boards and the dangers of anonymity. Critical minds can normally read between the lines, but many do not.

Despite the dangers these open media sources pose for the truth, it was still a very bad idea for the new MUFON International Director to trash MUFON's original board in favor of one that does not allow divergence of opinion or is too heavily moderated. Now the anonymous intelligence agency thugs active on the remaining boards can point to this as evidence of an organization that is more interested in its own image rather than in promoting open discourse and truth.

Of course the intelligence agencies like to have multiple data streams to push their message, so UFO email Lists are also used to reinforce the intended message.

Tool # 3: Human Nature

It is human nature to become defensive when attacked especially if you feel you are outnumbered. Which defenses you mount will tell whether you repel the attack or are overrun. Sometimes the agencies will simply exploit ego or leadership style to ensure an intended result.

Clifford Clift has done an incredibly good job of causing dissent within MUFON's ranks by firing productive State Directors, taking an authoritarian bureaucratic stance on managing MUFON's image at the expense of the truth, and is leading MUFON into dangerous waters by plotting a course that started with his actions trying to preserve the MUFON-BAASS relationship.

A petition to the MUFON Board of Directors is currently circulating the MUFON membership and across the Internet demanding changes within the MUFON Board. The ranks of MUFON are not happy.

Tool # 4: Person of Influence

A strategically placed individual on the MUFON Board can

reinforce rumor and innuendo that organizational collapse is imminent, that the peasants are rising in revolt and that additional severe (but counterproductive) methods should be used to regain control. It is at this point that the organization is in most danger of sinking because without an ethical and moral compass, it is now floating aimlessly.

After I resigned from MUFON, I discovered that someone on the MUFON Board was leaking emails intended only for Board Members to an outside third party. Someone on the Board (perhaps the strategically placed individual) accused me of hijacking Board Member computers and being the source of the leak. The MUFON Board would rather believe that their computers were hijacked rather than consider that there is an inside mole.

End Game

If the MUFON Board and those critical minds within the organization can't put up the proper defenses to the current Intelligence Agencies' onslaught, in the end, yet another UFO organization will bite the dust, nothing but a page in the long and troubled history of the UFO conundrum. Perhaps another will rise to take its place and this time the watchers and handlers will take even greater care in ensuring its control. End game -Ufology loses, Intelligence Agencies win.

James Carrion Fort Collins, Colorado, United States

I am a truth seeker and to find out the ultimate truth on the UFO phenomemon is my goal.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: Implants & RFID

From: Terry W. Colvin <<u>fortean1.nul></u> Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 15:48:05 +0700 (GMT+07:00) Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 07:01:48 -0500 Subject: Re: Implants & RFID

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 21:07:16 +0000
>Subject: Implants & RFID [was: Hopkins & Jacobs]

<snip>

>List:

>My research into mind control technology a few years ago >provided an insight into the implanting of radio frequency chips >into humans. I believe my article discussing the implants >claimed by Timothy McVeigh is still available:

><u>http://www.rense.com/general2/truth.htm</u>.

>There are commercially available implants and ones that were >developed and funded by black operations within the government >and private industry.

>In all the years I researched this technology, and was in >contact with Dr. Roger Leir, I never discovered a truly "alien >developed" implant. And, clearly, neither has Dr. Leir. He says >so, but has been unable to prove it.

Here is a recent book review by David Hamling from Fortean Times magazine:

http://tinyurl.com/4ncmoa9

September 2010

Reviews: Books

Electromagnetic Mind Control: Fact Or Fiction? A Scientific View Author: V N Binhi Publisher: Nova Publishers, 2009 Price: =C2=A357.50 (hardback) Isbn: 9781607414315 Rating: ***

Warns of a possible future threat, but needs an edit

Terry

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-007.shtml[10/12/2011 12:40:40]

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 11:32:39 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 07:02:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:31:45 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:36:48 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>Can anyone confirm that this is still the original UFO UpDates >>and I have not entered some alternate reality? As I find that >>the person writing the most sense on it at the moment is Mr >>Lehmberg.

>>Keep up the good work, sir.

>I can't accept your accolade, Mr. Rimmer. Unlike _some_, I'm not >hidebound to a "party line" and required to vote the straight >ticket when the straight ticket must proclaim wrong as right and >right as wrong. Wrong is wrong. Right is right. There's a >certain honor in being able to consistently perceive the >difference and then acting accordingly, regardless.

Mr Lehmberg, I never thought for one moment you were hidebound to a party line. I just thought that on most issues you were simply wrong. However on this you are right, and others who should know better, are wrong.

>The antithesis is a CSI membership, eh?

Ah, now you are really talking about a hidebound party line!

--

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1.nul></u>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 11:36:36 +0000
Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 07:05:10 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
To: post.nul
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 21:20:13 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

<snip>

>Therefore, because the experience involves contact with a >reality psychologist can barely comes to grips with except as a >psychosis, I will have to discount any orthodox approach coming >from the psychology community. However, if you were a >neurologist I could see my way clear to hear what you have to >say. Okay?

Ηi,

I think you are confusing psychology with psychiatry, but you are free to choose neurology over them if you want to.

Your other comments show that you are being closed-minded in thinking that I shouldn't have the right to write to this List, and that neurology has all the answers.

At least psychological and psychiatric research has far better standards than anything used by alien abduction researchers (hypnosis over the phone - gasp of horror, naming no names).

Nigel

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul> Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 06:27:00 -0600 Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 07:47:15 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 11:32:39 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:31:45 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:36:48 +0000 (GMT)
>>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

<snip>

>>The antithesis is a CSI membership, eh?

>Ah, now you are really talking about a hidebound party line!

Amen, Brother! We can agree, and not, entirely, without frequency.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 4</u>

Re: How To Take Down A UFO Organization 101

From: John Rimmer < johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 12:22:46 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 07:48:39 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Take Down A UFO Organization 101

UFO Organisation in Bitter Internal Rivalry and Bloodletting Shock Horror.

Who'd have thought it? (Why does the acronym 'BUFORA' come to mind?)

--

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > 2011 > Feb > Feb 4

PRG Notice - Friday, February 4, 2011

From: **Stephen Bassett** <<u>PRG</u>.nul> Date: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 07:07:59 -0800 Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 10:35:32 -0500 Subject: PRG Notice - Friday, February 4, 2011

PRG

PRG Notice - Friday, February 4, 2011

Los Angeles, CA - PRG executive director Stephen Bassett will present this evening a PowerPoint lecture on Exopolitics, Disclosure, the Truth Embargo and the Post-Disclosure World at the Flying Saucers Cafe in Santa Monica, CA. The lecture will be two hours followed by an hour of Q&A.

This notice of clarification is necessary because publicist Michael Horn has been spreading disinformation about this presentation across the Internet via email, posts and press releases. This disinformation claims that Mr. Bassett will bring "stunning" "undeniable" proof of UFOs, that Mr. Bassett will be debating Mr. Horn, and other false and misleading statements.

PRG regrets these actions by Horn for these reasons:

1) Horn's hyperbolic falsehoods have created interest in the event which will likely result in attendance far exceeding the Cafe's capacity creating possible discomfort for the attendees,

2) a number of people will attend the event with false expectations,

3) some damage may be done to PRG's advocacy work.

If Mr. Horn is not being paid by the government for this kind of conduct, he is missing out on a fine career in COINTEL.

Stephen Bassett Executive Director Paradigm Research Group

[Please forward this notice.]

Paradigm Research Group 4938 Hampden Lane, #161, Bethesda, MD 20814 <u>PRG</u>.nul 202-215-8344 www.paradigmresearchgroup.org

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 4

New Information About The Trindade Island Case

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 12:26:54 -0200
Archived: Fri, 04 Feb 2011 11:48:48 -0500
Subject: New Information About The Trindade Island Case

[The previous post by Thiago Luiz Ticchetti was sent inadvertantly and was a draft and not meant for distribution --ebk]

Dear Listers:

Those of you who know me personally also know that I take the UFO research and information circulation very seriously. This is what I believe that other people who don't know me personally also think. For instance, I have been persistently announcing here every steps given and every results achieved in the Brazilian disclosure process, that happened because a campaign that my team and I initiated in 2004, resulting in the release of almost 5,000 pages of previously classified docs from the Brazilian Air Force, for the very first time in history.

I have also relentlessly stand in the frontline to repel attacks that the Brazilian Ufology has received from domestic or international skeptics, specially attacks to our major cases, such as the UFO sightings in the Amazon covered by the Brazilian Air Force Operation Saucer, whose commander gave me an exclusive interview in 1997 and which cases I have pursued for so long, in a determined position to investigate as deep as possible what happened there with many trips to the affected areas.

I also did the same about The Varginha Case, the capture of alien creatures in Minas Gerais state, back in January 20, 1996. And other Brazilian Ufology episodes, such as the Trindade Island Case, specially the photos of a Saturn-shaped craft over the island made by Almiro Barauna on January 16, 1958. This case is a milestone in Ufology and has been under rigorous inquiry for decades. The photos have been many times legitimated by some of the major UFO researchers and image experts in Brazil and in the world.

Well, during all my UFO life, some 35 years now, I have been in the forefront to defend the legitimacy of the Trindade Island Case and will continue to do so until I have any strong, reliable and consistent evidence on the contrary. Many skeptics tried to discredit the case and Barauna's photos before, some with the most childish explanations that didn't stand up for more than 5 minutes.

I explain it all to you because in the next days you will see some staggering information coming from my team at the Brazilian UFO Magazine (the oldest regular UFO magazine in the world now). These are new information about the Trindade Island Case that will disturb some of my colleagues here at this List, just as it has disturbed us in Brazil.

Our consultant Alexandre de Carvalho Borges has been pursuing the case for over a decade and has been also a great and relentless defender of its legitimacy, based on the facts that he and we all very well know and a few that he dug. Well, he just recently found out, however, information that amazed him and led him to think otherwise. He found and interviewed what has been considered so far a real nephew of Barauna, Marcelo Ribeiro, also a rewarded photographer now in his late sixties, who claims that he heard directly from his uncle that the photos were faked and learned from Barauna himself how they were made and why he never came up with the real story.

This stunning information surfaced last Tuesday and was kept only in the inner circle of the Director Board of the Brazilian UFO Magazine for two days, until we discusses how to handle it impartially and responsibly -- not damage countrol, but journalism. Over a dozen UFO experts from my team along with Alexandre and myself made a serious debate of how to announce the new witness declarations. And even under a few of my team members opposition, including that of my co-editor Marco Antonio Petit de Castro (one of the most productive and reliable UFO researcher in Brazil), we democratly decided to publish the new info last night.

The entire new story is already in Portuguese in our website and is being translated into English and Spanish in the next few days:

http://www.ufo.com.br/noticias/caso-trindade-sobrinho-de-almiro-barauna-afirma-que-as-fotos-saoum-truque-parte-1

http://www.ufo.com.br/noticias/caso-trindade-sobrinho-de-almiro-barauna-afirma-que-as-fotos-saoum-truque-parte-2

http://www.ufo.com.br/noticias/caso-trindade-sobrinho-de-almiro-barauna-afirma-que-as-fotos-saoum-truque-parte-3

http://www.ufo.com.br/noticias/caso-trindade-sobrinho-de-almiro-barauna-afirma-que-as-fotos-saoum-truque-parte-4

Our immediate decision was to keep the Brazilian and the World UFO Communities informed of the new information, or allegations, but we discussed for two days how to do so in the best way possible. It has to be noted that, among other of my team, Petit is the one who most rejects the new info and has solid ground to do so, as he rejected other previous new witnesses before. He was the Brazilian UFO researcher closest to Barauna and interviewed him on several occasions.

The new information, or allegations, are very serious and towards a very important case, and we will keep you posted of the new developments in this. However, it is crucial to state here that neither we, at the Brazilian UFO Magazine or I as the editor, support the new witness claims (for more consistent they may appear), nor we reject them at this point (considering some contradictions in his report). It has been checked out that, unlike other people in the past, Marcelo Ribeiro actually was Barauna's nephew and was very close to him. So it would be unethical not to recognize it, as well as it wouldn't be responsible simply to assume that because of his proximity to Barauna he is OK. So we keep doing what has to be done.

Marcelo Ribeiro claims that Barauna told him and only a few other members of his family that he forged the photos. He recognizes, however, that it may have occurred some sighting onboard the Almirante Saldanha vessel, witnessed by the military there, but claims that Barauna had taken advantage of that to make his tricks. Marcelo Ribeiro doesn't' believe in UFOs and is quite a skeptic about them, which is certainly an issue. As there are also issues on other of his allegations. However, as he is who he say he is and his claims are serious, we are giving you this information.

We at the Brazilian UFO Magazine will keep our position impartial about these new data. We will keep our search for the truth on this and any other cases. And until proven a fake at no reasonable doubt, Trindade Island Case stands.

I sign this joined by the magazine's international relations coordinator, Thiago Luiz Ticchetti.

Thank you very much.

A. J. Gevaerd Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine E-mail 1: aj.nul E-mail 2: ajgevaerd.nul E-mail 3: ajgevaerd.nul Site: www.ufo.com.br New Information About The Trindade Island Case

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

UFO Cover-Ups As University Master Thesis

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 19:11:06 -0000
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 08:04:59 -0500
Subject: UFO Cover-Ups As University Master Thesis

I've excerpted this from the link below

Dave Haith

http://tinyurl.com/4ad89k8

One Student's Quest For UFO Truth

Oregon State University student Harriet Bridges is "into UFOs" because she's completing a master thesis, titled "UFO Cover-ups throughout the 20th and 21st Century." Bridges chose the topic because Corvallis and the surrounding area have experienced numerous UFO sightings, going back to the 19th century when pioneers wrote letters about "strangers in the heavens."

Moreover, Bridges has unearthed a group of Oregon UFO event posters from the 1960's and 70's that point to ET popularity that's now, for a number of reasons, is on the comeback.

"I've done some extensive research and writing about UFOs because it's of interest to me and important part of my master's degree program," asserts Bridges with a serious look on her face.

"As far as what UFO files WikiLeaks may have, it's most likely tied to a series of UFO sightings in eastern Russia in 1977 that lasted until 1981 with the United States and many European nations working with Soviet UFO researchers on a project titled: =910bservations of Anomalous Atmospheric Phenomena in the USSR: A Statistical Analysis.' This UFO report has numerous connections with high ranking scientific officials in the U.S., in Europe and in the United Nations. It's fascinating," she says.

According to Freedom of Information Act and National Achieves documents, Bridges found the Soviet UFO report under "UFOlogy in the Soviet Union." The report pointed to a "major UFO controversy in 1967 where U.S. officials were in conflict with their Soviet counterparts about what UFO files and information they would release to the public.

Moreover, Bridges found an official 1974 report from the USSR Academy of Sciences are "real" in a mathematically provable sense. Translated, the title of the report is "Observations of Anomalous Atmospheric Phenomena in the USSR: A Statistical Analysis." The main author was Dr. Lev Gindilis of the Shternberg State Astronomical Institute in Moscow. Hughes said the report was approved for official publication by Academician Nikolay Kardashev, one of the USSR's top experts in SETI, the Search for Extra-Terrestrial Intelligence and dubbed the "Gindilis Report."

According to National Archives notes, a copy of this secret --WikiLeaks type of document -- was smuggled out of the Soviet Union and found its way to the French government's official UFO research group, titled "GEPAN."

At the same time, the files point to Dr. J. Allen Hynek

reviewing the GEPAN copy that was also reviewed by famed NASA scientist Dr. Richard Haines at the Ames Research Center in California. Haines then had it translated and then reproduced with a plan for public release.

However, NASA printed a warning on the front of its file copies of the translation: "This copy is for internal use of NASA personnel and any reference to this paper must be to the original foreign source." Access to file copies was restricted to NASA and contractor personnel.

In brief, both Hynek and Haines and other leading American UFO experts, said the Soviet report was "earth breaking," and "proof" that UFOs are a serious national security issue.

"They buried this report, and there's a sort of dead end to whatever happened to Gindilis," says Hughes who is set to complete her thesis work.

At the same time, she's concerned that WikiLeaks UFO information will suffer the same fate and be both protected and buried by government officials.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 18:04:52 +0000
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 07:54:59 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: William Treurniet <<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 03 Feb 2011 16:27:07 -0500
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Kathy Kasten<<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 19:39:28 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>From: Nigel Watson<<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 15:25:23 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>From: Ray Dickenson<<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>>>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 13:50:52 -0000
>>>Subject: 'Standard Abduction Experience'[Was: Hopkins& Jacobs]

>>>>From: Steven Kaeser<<u>steve</u>.nul>
>>>>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 12:21:33 -0500
>>>>Subject: Re: Hopkins& Jacobs

>><snip>

>>Therefore, I feel that if those of you who are truly serious
>>about entering into a discussion of the phenomena is to acquaint
>>yourselves with the literature discussing how the brain works.

>>For a start, PBS is doing a NOVA series called How The Brain >>Works. The mechanisms are reduced to a simple, easy to >>understand discussion. This goes, as well, for people claiming >>to be hypnotherapists.

>I am very much an observer when it comes to abduction >experiences, so you probably would not appreciate my opinion on >what it's all about.

>However, I would like to say that mainstream neuropsychology >(and presumably the NOVA series) takes a materialist's view on >how the brain works. That is, the mind is seen as a byproduct of >brain physiology. I think that's a dead end approach for >understanding the mind. There is psychic activity that is more >than just a product of prior experience and memory. I recommend >"Irreducible Mind" by Kelly et al. (2010) to get a flavor of the >kind of psychological theory that is needed. The book resurrects >F. W. H. Meyers' theory (1903) on the mind-body relation. >Psychology is just starting to recover from the behaviorists' >wrong turn.

>My understanding of Meyers' theory is that the brain is not >merely a repository of information. Rather, it is a filter >through which information passes. Mostly, the brain filter >restricts access to only personal information, but the filter >properties can change (via drugs, meditation, etc.) and let >trans-personal stuff through. This material may be accessible to >multiple people under the right conditions, so it can have the >flavor of objective reality. The theory seems to offer a viable
>framework for understanding the 'abduction' experience without
>trivializing it.

William:

Well, thanks for your recommendation. I am not going to list all the material I have been reading on the subject of how the mind works.

The PBS series was recommended for those out there in the public who have never thought about thoughts.

I agree that most of the sciences dealing with how the mind works took a wrong turn. However, the newer material discussing recent research is truly fascinating and incorporates that "something other" that was missing from the equation. I have already previously provide a couple of links to those papers.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

MUFON's Clift Responds

From: Terry Groff <terry.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 14:31:36 -0600
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 08:12:18 -0500
Subject: MUFON's Clift Responds

>From: ELAINE DOUGLASS

>EDouglass.nul

>Dear MUFON Colleague:

>Last week, Leslie Varnicle, State Director of Colorado and a 41->year-member of MUFON, was fired by Clifford Clift. Many of you >have seen Leslie's tireless work at the MUFON annual symposia. >She was head of a vibrant state chapter with more than 160 >members and a \$20,000 kitty. She was the originator of the >webinar concept in MUFON; she spent thousands of dollars of her >own money for equipment for her state; she is a MUFON >"Benefactor".

>WHY did Clift and the MUFON Board fire Leslie Varnicle and >demote this 41 year member to the status of "Journal >subscriber"? No one knows! But we do know this: a whole lot of >people think it was WRONG.

<snip>

Hello all

I am giving two responses. Both are with permission

The first is from Clifford Clift, International Director of MUFON and the second is from Marc D'Antonio Senior Photo Analyst for MUFON

Cliff's Response:

Hi All,

Just a note to clear up comments being made by former MUFON members.

First of all, I would like to apologize to SDs and their state membership. I did not want to cause anyone guilty feelings by not being able to send money, even though voluntarily, to MUFON. Some states were able to do this because they have developed an income source from their meetings or by personal donations. Other states were not able to donate since they didn't have any funds. In fact, some states don't even have enough money to pay for a meeting room. MUFON is able to assist states in efforts to raise money for their state.

Even though some states couldn't afford to send funds to MUFON they have provided MUFON with time, energy and commitment to our mission. Money isn't the only thing that makes MUFON run. It is the untiring efforts FIs make in their investigations; it is the dedication SDs and ASDs provide in seeing UFO information gets out to the public; it is the energy it requires for states to hold meetings every month and it is your commitment to seeing MUFON's mission statement is fulfilled. Yes, in kind support of MUFON is as important as dollars. No one was intended to be made to feel guilty.

Another concern that has been expressed to me is the resigning and removing of SDs within MUFON. I will explain.

Over a year ago Ken Cherry made derogatory comments about MUFON members, witnesses, and UFOlogists. I and the BoD asked Ken to apologize publically. Ken elected not to apologize and was removed as SD for Texas. His choice. Steve Hudgeons took over as SD for Texas and is doing a great job.

An aside and for your information. Ken Cherry has started his own UFO group and has written a book about the Stephenville, TX UFO event. I have not read the book but according to those who have reported to me, Ken is not very kind to MUFON even though he was one of MUFON's lead investigators at the event. Maybe he didn't like how he investigated the event?

Christine Dickey, by her request, was removed as SD for Arizona. Chris had health and family problems and wanted to resign as SD. We agreed with her. Health and family are the most important part of our lives. Jim Mann volunteered as SD and is doing a great job in AZ. Chris has since had a change of heart and wants her SD job back.

Marilyn Carlson had a problem with a FI. The FI reported to MUFON there were problems going on within the Oklahoma meetings. Others indicated their concerns about Marilyn. MUFON's ethics committee was asked to investigate what was going on. Marilyn was not the problem in this case. We asked Marilyn to step down as SD until the investigation was complete which might take up to six months. This was asked of her to protect her from the possibility of the investigation appearing to be one sided. Marilyn didn't like this and resigned. Chuck Pine took over as SD and OK is moving right along.

Elaine Douglas was removed from her position as SD because she wasn't truthful about her ASD. Strange, now this same ASD is one if the originators of the petition going around.

Leslie Varnicle was removed as SD of Colorado due to her management style not being beneficial to MUFON's success. In fact, not one person has resigned from the Colorado MUFON Board. Interesting. Barry Roth, Doug Wilson, Jude Talaba, and Cheryl Gantz have agreed to keep Colorado up and running while a new SD is put in place.

James Clarkson has resigned as SD for the state of Washington due to his dislike of MUFON's bureaucracy and his desire to conduct UFO investigations on his own. James will be missed. We have two others qualified to take over Washington.

I would also like to point out there is not a "hit" list on SDs for MUFON. That is silly. MUFON'S SDs and their state members are the reason MUFON is considered the best UFO Investigative organizations in the world. The public and media look to MUFON for the facts and truth about UFOS. The reason they come to MUFON is because the commitment we all have for MUFON and fulfilling our Mission. MUFON investigates, others report.

I wish you all the best. I, also, thank all of you for your untiring commitment, support, and efforts in seeing MUFON's Mission Statement is fulfilled. "The Scientific Study of UFOs for the Benefit of Humanity."

Hang in there, this, too, shall pass.

Please call me if you have any questions or concerns.

All the Best, Clifford Clift, ID MUFON

2nd response from Marc

_ _ _ _ _

What I don't understand is... MUFON chapters are:

- INDEPENDENT of MUFON International - Use the MUFON name

- Acquire money based in part on the MUFON name, money which has not historically been required to be shared with MUFON Internationa...

In short, these State Chapters of MUFON expect the full benefits and weight of the name and MUFON reputation but dont contribute monetarily to the originating company or pay any kind of fee for usage of the corporate name... As a business owner, I think that is pretty generous of the parent organization unless I am missing something!

The petition to reform MUFON seems to be ill thought. They want to reform an organization that they have no ownership stake in. Further, I dont understand how they can receive the impression that they can force a VOTE on who will be on the Board of Directors. The Board is made up of people who put their own money into this organization! The public members of MUFON are free to join MUFON and free to leave at any time. But public subscribers to the Journal and non Board members have NO say who may serve on the Board in this type of organization regardless of the charter as I see it... The folks who are concerned about MUFON are acting like upset stockholders or as owners of the business. They are neither. MUFON may have a few issues, but find me an organization as broad based as MUFON that doesnt. You cant. Most importantly, MUFON is a volunteer organization and you enter it completely cognizant of its volunteer data collection status, and rules and regulations set forth by the founders of the organization must be followed. Seems simple to me.

The entire concept of a "petition" against MUFON is misplaced in my view. It is just my opinion as the owner of a business...

Marc

Chief Photo/Video Analyst MUFON

Thanks

Terry Groff Deputy Director of Investigations MUFON International

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

MUFON's Clift Responds

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Cathy Reason <<u>CathyM</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 18:46:46 -0000
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 08:00:12 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 11:36:36 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

There's no way I can let this one go.

>At least psychological and psychiatric research has far better >standards than anything used by alien abduction researchers >(hypnosis over the phone - gasp of horror, naming no names).

This is laughable, given the degree of conceptual muddle which prevails in psychological research (the confused understanding of "operationalization" which is exactly the reverse of what Bridgeman intended, the subjectivity and lack of rigor in the interpretation of evidence, the regurgitation of paradigmatic assumptions in the guise of "experimental" data); and the appalling standards of methodology which have afflicted psychiatry for decades (lack of control groups, clinical judgements based on opinion instead of evidence, the dominance of pseudoscientific fads such as psychoanalysis).

I have no experience Of Abduction Research, So I Can't Comment On Its Deficiencies one way or the other. But anyone who is claiming some special quality for psychological and psychiatric research is selling snake oil.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: James Carrion Fires Back

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 17:58:02 +0000
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 07:53:07 -0500
Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>From: John Velez <<u>jvelez49</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 16:20:25 -0500
>Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 09:33:59 -0000
>>Subject: James Carrion Fires Back

<snip>

>Quick note re: Budd Hopkins/Carol Rainy/Dave Jacobs.

>1. Re: Carol Rainey and her indictment of Budd - which is valid
>BTW - don't attribute any 'high motivations' to this sudden
>revelation. "Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned!" Consider
>it in context; it's an ex-wife excoriating (trashing) her ex>husband and his career in a very public manner. Just saying...

>2. The whole time I worked with Budd, almost ten years, I >complained here, in public, about how sloppy his methods were. I >was always very vocal about that. That and the need for him and >others to properly catalog the raw data coming in so that >hopefully somebody could make some sense of it all. He never >listened.

>3. I warned Budd and - everybody else - about Jim Mortellaro. I
>was the one who introduced Jim to Budd. The very day I
>introduced them, I warned Budd that there was something
>seriously amiss with the guy.

<snip>

I don't get it, if Carol Rainey is willing to share what she knows about Budd Hopkins' careless interaction with abductees, how is that behaving like a woman scorned.

Some people have called me sexist because I call out sexism where I see it. Who is in a better position to make the call but a member of the so-called second lesser gender. As that seems to be what is being implied in the above quote.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

MUFON's Contract With Bigelow

From: Larry W Bryant <overtci.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 01:19:40 -0500
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 07:48:02 -0500
Subject: MUFON's Contract With Bigelow

Jeez... are thaangs gonna have to get worse before they get worse?

From: Elaine Douglass <<u>edouglass</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 19:04:40 -0700
Subject: To All MUFON! Here is the Contract with Bigelow
To: <u>overtci</u>.nul [plus others]

Dear MUFON Colleague --

January 15 (two weeks ago) an anonymous party sent Elaine Douglass a copy of the contract signed Feb. 2009 between MUFON and Robert Bigelow. This marks the entry of Bigelow into MUFON, which led to the Star Team effort. The Committee to Reform MUFON has confirmed this is the actual contract, correct in all details. The Committee feels all Members of MUFON should have the opportunity to read the contract, and we have forwarded it to you in its entirety below. Since we have studied the 5-page contract, permit us point out selected highlights of the document and make a few comments about it.

This is a commercial contract in which MUFON, ("the seller"), sells to Robert Bigelow, ("the buyer") certain "deliverables"-("the Product")-for a total price of \$672,000 payable in monthly installments of \$56,000. What is "the Product"?

"The Product" was MUFON

According to the contract, Bigelow is buying "the Seller's existing CMS database... and all other data," as well as "field investigative services and all information and material derived from those services, including but not limited to... physical evidence... photographs, film, data from detection devices. . .radar... satellite data, ground disturbance site information. .electronic information, interview transcripts, any testimony, including recordings... as well as any other item that may be recovered from prior, present and future field investigations [of] MUFON. This is "the Product."

The contract assumes the Buyer will not return any of this material to MUFON "unless there is a written agreement to return such property."

Regarding CMS, the contract states: "Buyer shall be given Administrator Access to Seller's CMS in order that Buyer may have 'real-time' access to such information... "

Apparently Bigelow's lawyers wrote this agreement and it was presented to the MUFON Board as is. "Seller can only accept this Agreement on the exact terms set forth," the contract says. No negotiating.

All the risks were MUFON's

The contract creates a relationship between MUFON and Bigelow in which, the contract states, "Seller assumes all risks in furnishing the goods and services ordered" and Bigelow, as well as his "affiliates" and "customers," are "held harmless against any and all losses, liabilities, claims," etc. If there were any such losses, claims, etc., MUFON is financial responsible for all of it and furthermore is required to "defend" Bigelow and his "affiliates" and "customers" against any claims, losses, etc. All of that notwithstanding, Bigelow retains "the option to control the defense and related negotiations of any suit, proceeding... without expense or liability... "

In other words, if anything went wrong, MUFON is 100 percent responsible; MUFON would pay all claims, would pay to defend itself, AND would pay to defend Bigelow and his "affiliates" and "customers." Yet, Bigelow may "control" the entire handling of such a lawsuit or claim and exerting that control will not generate any liability for Bigelow.

Can you believe this, MUFON?

MUFON better perform

The last thing the contract makes clear is MUFON is to walk straight, look smart, and PERFORM in connection with this contract, by golly! Bigelow requires "strict conformity of the Product with all dimensions and specification... as deemed material... time is of the essence. The Product shall be delivered... weekly written reports, in detail. ..[including] a plan for the next 7 days... Monthly written reports and financial statements. . [with] detailed exposition... Seller agrees to properly safeguard and protect all evidence strictly in accord with specifications... [there will be a] Performance Review" and "critical decision dates" are associated with that. "MUFON shall submit an itemized account of disbursements... [and Buyer] may, at its discretion... request additional detail... Seller shall produce, furnish and deliver all Products in strict compliance... " And on and on.

Curiously, the contract also stipulates that the "Buyer shall not, at any time and is specifically precluded from providing... any advice, consultation or management to Seller."

The Board of Directors signed

And so, in Feb. 2009 the MUFON Board of Directors signed poor sleepy little MUFON up for the terms of this contract. And - is anyone surprised? - MUFON fell down on the job, we hear. NOT because MUFON didn't do it's best, but in all likelihood because MUFON did not have the in-depth experience to comply, suddenly, with a huge influx of funds along with a demanding contract such as this is. And it occurs to us to wonder if that wasn't Bigelow's the plan all along-a plan to destabilize MUFON? That is just a theory.

In Sept. of 2009, eight months after the contract began, Bigelow swooped down on MUFON demanding, "What did you do with the money!" Predictably, that threw the MUFON Board into a panic, they collapsed before Bigelow (figuratively), produced the project's and MUFON's books for HIM to audit, and threw James Carrion under the bus, it is said. But that is another story. When Bigelow stopped sending money to MUFON in November, MUFON had received \$334,000 of the promised \$672,000.

They sold MUFON

Does anyone remember a few years ago a noted researcher was (wrongly) accused of selling his UFO files to another organization? The researcher was pilloried for that. But here we have the MUFON Board of Directors nakedly SELLING the entire capability of our organization to a ruthless businessman who had NO respect for MUFON's Mission of reporting UFO information to Members and the public. The entirety of CMS was put at his disposal, and our idealistic MUFON investigators were turned into employees. In order to live up to the demanding terms of the contract, a draconic regime of secrecy and conformity was imposed on Mufon-and it is still with us today. As for the risks, whatever they may be, they all belonged to MUFON. Bigelow's risks, and even the risks of his "affiliates" and "customers," were loaded onto the back of MUFON. Of course, it is our understanding the Board of Directors has some kind of insurance policy which covers the 12 members of the Board, and we wonder if they ran this contract by their insurers before signing it. In the meantime, the legions of investigators who were to carry out Bigelow's bidding were left without insurance coverage.

The real problem is lack of fund raising

The root of this problem is that MUFON's Board does no fund raising, and so they are easy prey to exploitation by a Robert Bigelow. And what about Bigelow's "affiliates"? According to James Carrion, in this recent column:

http://followthemagicthread.blogspot.com/2011/01/strange-bedfellows.html

it was Bigelow's undisclosed backers who actually put up the \$672,000 to buy MUFON, and only one person on MUFON's board knew who those backers were. In other words, the MUFON Board signed a contract and sold MUFON to persons and they did not know who those persons were-and they even assumed the risks for those persons.

MUFON needs a democratically-elected Board

It is the view of The Committee to Reform MUFON that these actions by the Board in no way resemble a safeguarding of the integrity of our organization or its Mission. Accordingly, we feel the Board has forfeited its claim to carry on running MUFON under MUFON's current corporate structure.

Sign the petition, MUFON needs to change

That is why, in the "Petition to the Board of Directors and the International Director of MUFON," which our Committee circulated to all of MUFON two days ago, we called for a scrapping of the current undemocratic corporate structure of MUFON and its replacement with a new corporate structure in which we would vote for the members of the Board of Directors.

The Committee to Reform MUFON Marilyn Carlson Dean DeHarpporte Elaine Douglass Bill McNeff Marlee Spendlove

PURCHASE AGREEMENT FOR THE ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION

This Purchase Agreement ("Agreement") dated this ______ day of ______, 200__, defines a contract between Mutual UFO Network ("MUFON"), with an office located at 155 E. Boardwalk Drive, Ste. 300, Fort Collins, CO 80525, hereinafter referred to as "Seller" and Bigelow Aerospace Advanced Space Studies, LLC, ("BAASS"), a Nevada Limited Liability Company with an office located at 4640 S. Eastern Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, hereinafter referred to as "Buyer".

1.0 This Agreement, all exhibits and other documents incorporated herein by reference, whether or not attached hereto, constitute the complete and exclusive statement of the Agreement between the parties hereto. This Agreement supersedes any previous understanding or agreement between Seller and Buyer (oral or written) with respect to the subject matter hereof.

2.0 Engagement of Services: Buyer is engaging Seller to:

a. Purchase field investigation services that provide diversified information covering the topic of aerial phenomenology and any spacecraft, mobile objects, or events that could be considered anomalous.

b. Provide to Buyer services including the Seller's existing CMS database in Read Only format and all other data. c. Purchase from Seller field

investigation services and all information and material derived from those services, including, but not limited to: Physical evidence, signature information from detection devices (including third-party witness photographs, film, data from detection devices, ground or air-derived radar data from FAA or other sources, and satellite data), ground disturbance site information and evidence including photographs and other material including effects on humans, foliage, trees, soil, fauna and insects, photographs of casts of footprints, film, any other photographs, electronic information, interview transcripts, any testimony, including recordings (audio, video, stenographic, etc.) of first-hand accounts and observations, as well as any other item that may be recovered from prior, present, and future field investigations, submitted by MUFON which shall be hereinafter referred to as the "Product " Buyer is only obligated to return original case files, photos and audio recordings to Seller providing there is a written agreement to return such property belonging to Seller or other established ownerships. Such agreements shall be executed on a case by case basis. Seller agrees to provide the labor, resources and equipment necessary to deliver the Products to Buyer upon the scheduled and terms and described within this Agreement. Strict conformity of the Product with all dimensions and specifications described in this Agreement and/or any Exhibit or attachment shall be deemed a material term of this Agreement.

3.0 Warranty of Performance. Buyer agrees to Five Thousand US Dollars (\$5,000.00) per month to be spent from the Fifty Six Thousand US Dollar (\$56,000) monthly payment stipulated in paragraph 8 below for a special Rewards Program. Seller intends to disburse Five Thousand US Dollars (\$5,000.00) per month according to the following formula in order to fund a Rewards program that encourages and enhances the quantity and quality of the information Product. Buyer agrees to accept the following formula for the disbursement of such funds. Seller warrants that it shall follow this disbursement formula throughout the term of this Agreement. Seller's rewards disbursement formula is as follows:

a. One monthly prize for the dispatcher accumulating the most performance points as described by the MUFON SIP Project Incentives schedule attached as Exhibit A. This prize will be \$500.00.

b. There will be monthly prizes for the first place and second place State Coordinators as described by monthly accumulation of performance points. First prize will be \$750 and second prize will be \$500.

c. There will be monthly prizes for the first, second and third place field investigators based on monthly accumulation of performance points. First prize will be \$1,500, second prize will be \$1,000 and third prize will be \$750.

4.0 Scope of the Agreement. This Agreement shall not be interpreted as having any characteristics or force as a partnership agreement of any kind between the parties hereto. Buyer shall not at any time and is specifically precluded from providing during the term of this Agreement any advice, consultation or management to Seller.

5.0 Term. The term of this Agreement shall be one year from the date of execution subject to the terms and conditions of Paragraph 6.0 below. Buyer shall have an option to renew this Agreement at the conclusion of each one-year period. Buyer shall notify Seller of its intent to exercise this option in writing five (5) days prior to the expiration of the Agreement subject to Paragraph 5.0 below. Seller can terminate this agreement at any time upon Fifteen (15) days written notice to Buyer providing Seller refunds to Buyer a prorated amount of monies previously distributed from Buyer to Seller for the remaining unused time in that particular month. Under no circumstances shall such notice be permitted to be delivered when insufficient time is available to allow said notice to expire within the current month of delivery.

6.0 Product Delivery: The Parties understand and agree that time is of the essence. The Product shall be delivered in the following manner:

a. Buyer shall be given Administrator Access to Seller's CMS in order that Buyer may have "real-time" access to such information within 24 hours of the execution of this Agreement. b. Weekly written reports shall be

delivered by Seller to Buyer via facsimile by 5:00 pm PDT on the Friday of each week throughout the term of this Agreement to a destination facsimile number given to Seller in writing by Buyer. The Weekly report shall set forth in detail all field investigation activities encompassing all applicable items set forth in Paragraph 2.0 above occurring for the previous seven-day period.

The Weekly report shall also set forth

с.

the investigation plan for the next seven days for each active case investigated.

d. Monthly written reports and monthly financial statements shall be delivered via FedEx to Buyer by the tenth (10th) day of each calendar month following execution of this Agreement. Seller shall deliver two copies each of the written and financial statements to Buyer at 4640 S. Eastern Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89119 or such other address as may be directed in writing by Buyer. The monthly written report shall include an executive summary and a detailed exposition of all MUFON activities of the previous month as they pertain to this Agreement. It shall also set forth all field investigation activities encompassing all applicable items set forth in Paragraph 2.0 above occurring for the month being reported. The monthly financial statement shall encompass all items set forth in Paragraph 8.0 below.

e. All other information and evidence as described in Paragraph 2 shall be delivered via FedEx to Buyer at 4640 S. Eastern Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89119, or such other address as may be directed in writing by Buyer. Seller agrees to properly safeguard and protect all evidence strictly in accord with the specifications regarding protection of the field investigation site, establishing chain of custody, and preservation of evidence prior to shipping as required by Buyer.

7.0 Seller Product and Performance Review: Buyer shall review the quality and quantity of the Product delivered through approximately the first four to five months of the term of this Agreement ("The Performance Review"). The Performance Review shall take place during the second half of June, 2009 and will require Buyer to determine three possible actions based upon Seller's performance. Those actions are:

a. Immediate termination effective June 30, 2009, of the contract for Seller's failure to provide the quality and quantity of information to the satisfaction of Buyer. b. Continuation of the Agreement under the same terms and conditions as agreed. c. Continuation of the Agreement with a merit-based increase in price for the Product.

In the event continuation of the Agreement is chosen, a second Performance Review shall be conducted during the second half of October, 2009. The criteria for the second Performance Review and any subsequent Performance Review shall be a, b, and c above. The critical decision date for the June, 2009 Performance Review shall be June 26, 2009, and the critical decision date for the October, 2009 Performance Review shall be October 27, 2009 with the termination date, if applicable, to be October 31, 2009.

8.0 Price and Payment: Subject to Paragraph 6.0 above, the total fixed price payable to Seller for the goods and services of this Agreement is Six Hundred Seventy-two Thousand US Dollars (\$672,000US) payable in twelve monthly installments of Fifty-six Thousand US Dollars (\$56,000US). The total fixed price paid by Buyer to Seller shall be for the Product as described in Paragraph 2.0 above and deliverables as described in Paragraph 5.0 above. Seller agrees to be responsible for and pay all sales tax, use tax, and all contributions for health insurance, social security charges, fees, worker's compensation premiums or other labor related taxes, fees or premiums arising in connection with the performance of the scope of work of this contract. This is a "Turn-key" price Agreement. Seller will not and shall not seek to pass-along any additional costs that it may incur in connection with any activity that it may require in order to produce or deliver the Product as set forth in Paragraph 2 or deliverables as defined in Paragraph 5. In the event Buyer is found to be liable for any of the costs described above, Seller shall indemnify Buyer to the full extent as set forth in paragraph 10.0 below.

9.0 Financial Statements. As a condition of this contract, MUFON shall submit an itemized account of disbursements, on an approved BAASS format, on a monthly basis, no later that the 10th of each month. Further, BAASS may, at its discretion, request and receive within 10 days additional detailed information relative to any allocation or expenditure of monies paid to MUFON.

This supporting information may include (but not be limited to) invoices, receipts, statements, contracts, time/payroll reports, independent contractor submissions, and summarizing schedules, which shall allow easy correlation from the detail to the total expenditures.

10.0 Terms: The first prorated payment shall be made to Seller within three business days after complete execution of this Agreement. Each month thereafter payment shall be made on or before the fifth day of each month.

11.0 Modifications: This Agreement may only be modified by a written instrument, signed by both parties. Such a written instrument is only valid if signed by Robert T. Bigelow and identifies specifically the sections of this Agreement affected along with the specific changes caused by signing the written instrument.

12.0 Non-Waiver: Failure of Buyer to insist on any term of this Agreement shall not release Seller from any warranties or obligations under this Agreement and shall not be deemed a waiver of any right of Buyer to insist upon strict performance hereunder of any of its right and/or remedies.

13.0 Hold Harmless: Seller assumes all risks in furnishing the goods and services ordered hereunder and shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Buyer or any affiliates from and against any and all such claims, debts, demands, judgments, awards, losses, liabilities, interest, attorneys fees, costs and expenses arising out of any failure of Seller to perform any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

14.0 Indemnity Against Patent Infringement:

A. Seller shall indemnify, hold harmless, and defend, at Seller's expense, Buyer and/or Buyer's customers against any and all losses, damages, liabilities, claims, settlements, and judgments resulting from an allegation of infringement or misappropriation of an intellectual property right (whether or not successful), as well as attorney's fees, expert's fees, consultant's fees, court costs, and related expenses incurred by Buyer and/or Buyer's customers in defending such an action. Seller shall provide to Buyer a suitable substitute product with Buyer's written approval and at Seller's expense if an injunction issues covering the subject matter of this Agreement. This indemnity shall not apply to products that are made to a specific and detailed design furnished by Buyer.

B. Seller shall notify Buyer in writing of any claim of infringement or misappropriation related to the subject matter of this Agreement immediately upon Seller's receipt of notification of such claim(s). Buyer shall have the option to control the defense and related negotiations of any suit, proceeding, or action of infringement or misappropriation against Buyer without expense or liability pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

15.0 Intellectual Property Rights. Intellectual property rights and the nature of ownership of physical materials and the particular permissions surrounding destructive testing shall be determined on a case by case basis through written permission from MUFON when and where such materials are offered to Buyer. Seller shall notify Buyer in writing of any claim of infringement or misappropriation of Intellectual Property immediately upon Seller's receipt of notification of such claim(s). Buyer shall have the option to control the defense and related negotiations of any suit, proceeding, or action of infringement or misappropriation against Buyer without expense or liability pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

16.0 Compliance: Seller shall produce, furnish and deliver all Products to be provided in strict compliance with any and all terms of this Agreement.

17.0 Warranty: Exhibit A attached to this Agreement shall specify the term for any additional warranties by Seller pertaining to all deliverables subject to this Agreement.

18.0 Applicable Law and Jurisdiction: The parties agree 1) to personal jurisdiction in the State of Nevada; 2) the laws of the State of Nevada shall govern the validity, performance and enforcement of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and; 3) any proceedings with respect to the performance or enforcement of this Agreement shall be brought in a court of competent jurisdiction in the State of Nevada.

19.0 Severability: If any portion of this Agreement is held invalid, the Parties agree that such invalidity shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Agreement, unless applying the remaining portions would frustrate the purpose of this Agreement.

20.0 Acceptance: Seller can only accept this Agreement on the exact terms set forth. The Seller can only make acceptance by executing this Agreement and initialing all attached exhibits within seven (7) calendar days of the Agreement date.

21.0 Delegation of Performance: No delegation of performance by Seller is permitted unless the delegation is approved in a writing specifically identifying the authorization to delegate and signed by an executive of Buyer. MUFON's Contract With Bigelow

MUTUAL UFO NETWORK BIGELOW AEROSPACE ADVANCED SPACE STUDIES, LLC Authorized Signature Authorized Signature Robert T. Bigelow Printed Name Printed Name Operating Manager Title Title Date Date

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Ronald Reagan Cared More About UFOs Than AIDS

From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <<u>socex.ufobras</u>.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 12:08:06 -0200
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 09:53:55 -0500
Subject: Ronald Reagan Cared More About UFOs Than AIDS

Source: <u>www.salon.com</u>

http://tinyurl.com/4zdvb2p

04/02/2011

Ronald Reagan Cared More About UFOs Than AIDS

He often dreamed of the world coming together to battle spacemen, but never gave much thought to an actual kille

Ronald Reagan claimed to have seen UFOs on at least two occasions, according to reports from sources as disparate as the Wall Street Journal, Lucille Ball and the National Enquirer. He alerted the Navy to one of his sightings, and he and Nancy believed that Egyptian hieroglyphics referenced extraterrestrial flying craft.

In 1985, at the first summit meeting between Reagan and Mikhail Gorbachev, Reagan surprised the Soviet premier with this odd line of questioning:

"From the fireside house, President Reagan suddenly said to me, 'What would you do if the United States were suddenly attacked by someone from outer space? Would you help us?'

"I said, 'No doubt about it.'"

"He said, 'We too.'"

"So that's interesting," Gorbachev said to much laughter.

That hypothetical space invaders scenario - a sort of "this island Earth" fantasy that sounds profound to either the screenwriter of a 1950s B picture or a very high college freshman - was so compelling to Reagan that he repeated it in public speeches, on multiple occasions, while President.

Reagan talked about this alien threat all the time, to the apparent consternation of his staff. When his 1987 U.N. assembly speech didn't include the alien story, he wrote, "and toward the end perhaps I still would like my 'fantasy' - how quickly our differences world wide would vanish if creatures from another planet should threaten this world."

If Ronald Reagan was a genuine UFO nutter or simply in thrall to a simplistic sci-fi plot makes no difference to me. But the fact remains that he spent a lot of time talking about spacemen. Spacemen killed, according to my estimates, no Americans, at all, during Reagan's presidency.

Reagan never mentioned AIDS until he was directly questioned about it in his second term, and he never gave a public statement on the epidemic until 1987, when 20,000-30,000 people had already died from it. When it came up in press briefings, it was, at first, a subject of humorous cajoling. Later, the President was advised not to say that children couldn't catch AIDS from casual contact. Members of the Reagan inner circle attacked Surgeon General C. Everett Koop for encouraging sex education and condom use. The Centers for Disease Control was underfunded and there was never a comprehensive plan for dealing with the epidemic.

The President mentions in a 1985 diary entry, in passing, that Rock Hudson was rumored to have AIDS - and the topic doesn't come up again for two years. (And for some reason, late in his term, he begins consistently misspelling it, as "Aides.") There isn't much evidence that he devoted much time at all to even thinking about AIDS, which was killing a frankly staggering number of Americans throughout his entire presidency. Edmund Morris recalls him wondering if "the Lord brought down this plague [because] illicit sex is against the Ten Commandments," which does not sound like the statement of a man who's given the disease much thought.

Whether he himself was an anti-gay bigot who thought that the disease was a punishment from an angry god or simply a callous old man (surrounded by Christian right ideologues) who didn't concern himself with what was killing so many homosexuals hardly matters. People died either way, because the government didn't make fighting the epidemic a priority.

Maybe, instead of imagining or wishing for an extraterrestrial threat that could've united mankind in an all-out militaristic space battle to save the future, the Great Communicator could've spared some of that SDI money to battle an actual, existent threat, with a similar disregard for borders or nations.

* Alex Pareene writes about politics for Salon. Email him at <u>apareene</u>.nul and follow him on Twitter .nul More: Alex Pareene

BTW: See two small videos (Reagan Comments on ET Alien Threat) and (Regan's ALIEN speech to UN) at:

http://tinyurl.com/4zdvb2p

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <<u>socex.ufobras.nul></u> Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 12:13:43 -0200 Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 09:59:17 -0500 Subject: Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

Source: The Hindustan Times

http://tinyurl.com/46q91wg

Washington, February 05, 2011

Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

A team of scientists has said that no matter how much we try to contact extra terrestrial life, we may never get any response because we are going about it all wrong.

Humans began sending messages into space, starting in 1994 with transmission sent using the Arecibo radio telescope to a star cluster 25,000 light years from Earth.

A trio of researchers - Dimitra Atri from the University of Kansas, Julia DeMarines from the International Space University in France, and Jacob Haqq-Misra from Pennsylvania State University - offer an explanation for our failure to communicate.

The team believes that as the broadcasts became more complex and the types of content increased, the absence of an established protocol "has produced unorganized or cryptic messages that could be difficult to interpret."

They said that any future messaging protocol for messaging to extraterrestrial intelligence should account for a myriad of attributes, such as signal encoding, message length, and information content.

The team added that post development the protocol would be disseminated for testing on humans around the world, cutting across cultural boundaries.

"An effective message to extraterrestrials should at least be understandable by humans, and releasing the protocol for testing will allow us to improve the protocol and develop potential messages," Fox News quoted the authors as writing.

"Through an interactive website, users across the world will be able to create and exchange messages that follow the protocol in order to discover the types of messages better suited for crosscultural communication."

The study appears in the journal Space Policy.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Extraterrestrial Contact: How Close To Discovery

From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <<u>socex.ufobras.nul></u>
Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 12:35:46 -0200
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 10:16:14 -0500
Subject: Extraterrestrial Contact: How Close To Discovery

Source: Daily Sundial

http://tinyurl.com/4pjpweh

February 04, 2011

Extraterrestrial Contact: How Close To Discovery Are We? By Christiaan Patterson

It might seem that since humanity=92s technological gismos have evolved at such a rapid pace, there would be no further interest in outside worlds. For the collective bunch, this may appear to be true, however, scientists are feverishly working on contact with extraterrestrials.

In the January 2011 edition of Scientific American, I stumbled across an article written by Tim Folger about contacting civilizations in other galaxies. Curiosity sparked my interest since questions of =93are we alone in the universe?=94 have plagued my mind from childhood.

The National Radio Astronomy Observatory in Green Bank, West Virginia, houses a 100 meter wide satellite which is the globe=92s largest steerable dish in existence. It tracks the entire sky except for five degrees above the horizon that equates to roughly 170 degrees north to south or east to west.

So far, contact has remained an unfulfilled desire of astronomers worldwide.

Despite the unsuccessful outreach, astronomers have protocol to follow if an outside world is ever detected. Would these set guidelines be followed to a =93T=94 if it happened? An event about 13 years ago put these protocols to the test.

Jill Tarter, director of the search for extraterrestrial intelligence or SETI, received a signal one morning and used all protocol to confirm if it was a false alarm or not. Everything ruled out a mistake so she continued to track the signal. When the star she believed to be transmitting fell beyond the 5?, Tarter knew something was suspicious.

It turned out the signal had been coming from a NASA satellite rather than the outside world. This event gave astronomers a good idea of what would take place in the occurrence aliens are detected. In all the excitement that day, word had leaked to the press and media was flocking to report at lightning speed.

One of the largest challenges and questions that hinder contact is how to translate such signal into our language. John R. Elliot, researcher of AI and language structure, devised a computer program that breaks down an unknown language by comparing it to about 60 human languages.

ET signal would arrive in a binary system containing zeros and ones. This would determine the IQ of whatever was transmitting. Elliot honestly admitted that we may never be able to decipher an actual message. I=92m not sure I would want to know what an Extraterrestrial Contact: How Close To Discovery

outside being was trying to communicate

As technology evolves, contacting outside worlds seems to be more plausible than one may think. In just a few decades, these astronomers are expecting to view 10 million stars, which steeply raises the chances of detecting other civilizations.

As much as we want to know the answer to the question that has been asked for thousands of years, I=92m not sure if we as humans could comprehend such a notion with an open mind. Panic and fear are the basic reactions to the unknown. Curiosity and intrigue would ignite my mind, but I am unsure if they would be mixed with fear.

Scientists have different views and concerns about attempting to contact alien life, as do I. Some believe that transmitting signals to space wouldn=92t be harmful since travel through the universe is almost impossible.

Others, such as Stephen Hawking, warn that an alien visit could be dangerous, much in the same way as when Christopher Columbus came to America: Native Americans were almost wiped out.

This idea that other beings exist outside ourselves both excites and concerns me. I truly believe that when I look up into the night sky, somewhere, there are other beings looking back at me and wondering if I exist. Don=92t be na=EFve to think these civilizations are not attempting to contact others as well. Perhaps they already know the answers we still seek. On the other hand, could they be seeking life forms to harvest?

One day we will receive an answer. Let=92s just hope it derives from a peaceful civilization.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark.nul></u>
Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 10:04:26 -0600
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 11:37:08 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Cathy Reason <<u>CathyM</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 18:46:46 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 11:36:36 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>There's no way I can let this one go.

>>At least psychological and psychiatric research has far better
>>standards than anything used by alien abduction researchers
>>(hypnosis over the phone - gasp of horror, naming no names).

>This is laughable, given the degree of conceptual muddle which >prevails in psychological research (the confused understanding >of "operationalization" which is exactly the reverse of what >Bridgeman intended, the subjectivity and lack of rigor in the >interpretation of evidence, the regurgitation of paradigmatic >assumptions in the guise of "experimental" data); and the >appalling standards of methodology which have afflicted >psychiatry for decades (lack of control groups, clinical >judgements based on opinion instead of evidence, the dominance >of pseudoscientific fads such as psychoanalysis).

>I have no experience Of Abduction Research, So I Can't Comment >On Its Deficiencies one way or the other. But anyone who is >claiming some special quality for psychological and psychiatric >research is selling snake oil.

It's even worse when handed over to the amateur psychologists and sociologists who comprise the pretentiously titled "psychosocial ufology." For specific examples of the (surely predictable) intellectual incoherence, see pp. 749-59 of my UFO Encyclopedia, 2nd ed. (1998).

I'll cite one, from my examination of the writings of a prominent and influential psychosocial ufologist, author of several books of advocacy. Because I am fond of him personally, I remove his name from what follows (p. 757):

"He proposes that entity-generating psychological states [such as those that lead people to believe aliens have abducted them] include relaxation and excitation, boredom and ecstasy, isolation and participation in a group, concentration and distraction, questioned faith and unquestioning faith, anxiety and peace of mind. When none of these conditions obtains - if that is conceivable - the weather, the phase of the moon, or the individual's diet may trigger the imaginary encounter. [X] may as well have argued that the psychological state in which one is most likely to have an entity encounter is being alive."

None of this excuses the blunders and excesses of some abduction proponents and researchers, but psychosocial ufologists are always far more keen to trumpet those than their own, about which - if they're aware of them at all - they remain tactfully silent.

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

AL•

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: MUFON's Clift Responds

From: Steven S. Bass, FFSc. <steven.s.bass.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 10:47:12 -0500
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 11:43:11 -0500
Subject: Re: MUFON's Clift Responds

>From: Terry Groff <<u>terry</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 14:31:36 -0600
>Subject: MUFON's Clift Responds

<snip>

The first things to come to mind are that anytime an organization has mass firings/resignations/exodus in one of its most visible areas, like that of states leadership, it makes the organization (MUFON) appear unstable, like there is more goings on behind the scenes.

Having read the aforementioned petition to reform MUFON, I agree with the concept of a duly elected Board of Directors responsive to and supportive of a membership with the ability to replace a BoD member for performance issues. I worry when a person in Clift's position states that the Board members are there because they spent money on MUFON. Last I heard, _every_ investigator spends money on MUFON (dues, equipment, travel expenses, etc.), not to mention the time investments and the time away from family.

If openness and educating the public is such a value to MUFON, then why do we see a contract with a wealthy third party which suggests very strongly otherwise? We don't work for Bigelow, we work for the public moreso.

And why hasn't the leadership been more vocal about helping state chapters with fund raising in the past?

Marc D'Antonio's statements are also curious, as is the tone I perceive from his statements. First, Mr. D'Antonio, if it was not for the membership, MUFON would not exist. Your statements show a complete disconnect from the reality of MUFON. Remember, the membership is why the organization exists, and that is where membership dues are paid to, so yes, the membership uses the name. You talk like MUFON existed before and independent of investigators and researchers. Am I missing something? Do you or _should_ you really speak for the leadership, cuz maybe you and Mr. Clift should work on releasing supportive and similar statements. (This is suggestive of weak leadership)

Yes, Mr. D'Antonio, the membership does have an ownership stake in MUFON. Without them, there is no MUFON. Maybe this is the kind of mindless, uncaring-of-the-membership-you-serve, selfimportant drivel that has everyone so up-in-arms in the first place!

And yes again, Sir, other organizations have issues, too. But they try to address them!

And yes yet again! The membership _can_ force a vote, with their wallets and their feet!

I'm guessing some changes as suggested by this petition would be appropriate.

Re: MUFON's Clift Responds

Steve Bass Executive Director Institute of Frontier Science www.FrontierScience.us

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: MUFON's Clift Responds

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 11:05:03 -0500
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 12:07:28 -0500
Subject: Re: MUFON's Clift Responds

>From: Terry Groff <<u>terry</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 14:31:36 -0600
>Subject: MUFON's Clift Responds

<snip>

I find this response interesting, as it seems to set up an "us versus them" relationship between the MUFON "Board of Directors" and the "public" supporters of MUFON.

If the "The Board is made up of people who put their own money into this organization," I am left with the impression that they bought their way in and I hope that isn't the case for a volunteer non-profit that was investigator based.

The recent airing of dirty laundry in the field should serve as a warning that there is need to reign in the membership (or "investigators" or whatever) to a more well-defined probe and actually begin to treat this as a scientific investigation.

I think there's good work being done, but it's lost in the noise of the Internet; and MUFON seems to be worried about its image and increasing attendance at the annual Symposium.

I also find it unfortunate that the MUFON leadership has allowed the transfer of un-redacted UFO Reports to the Bigalow group. This following their failure to properly deal with the transfer of abduction case files by John Carpenter in the 90s. Is the privacy of patients and witnesses becoming too much to deal with?

I will be the first to admit that I don't have enough personal knowledge to comment on whether the actions taken in dealing with four State Directors was valid, but I would say that from my perspective the Organization seems to be doing very little to bring a true scientific enquiry to the field.

Carry on...

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 12:03:07 -0500
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 12:15:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas<<u>socex.ufobras</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 12:13:43 -0200
>Subject: Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

>Source: The Hindustan Times

>http://tinyurl.com/46g9lwg

>Washington, February 05, 2011

>Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

>A team of scientists has said that no matter how much we try to >contact extra terrestrial life, we may never get any response >because we are going about it all wrong.

>Humans began sending messages into space, starting in 1994 with >transmission sent using the Arecibo radio telescope to a star >cluster 25,000 light years from Earth.

>A trio of researchers - Dimitra Atri from the University of >Kansas, Julia DeMarines from the International Space University >in France, and Jacob Haqq-Misra from Pennsylvania State >University - offer an explanation for our failure to >communicate.

>The team believes that as the broadcasts became more complex and >the types of content increased, the absence of an established >protocol "has produced unorganized or cryptic messages that >could be difficult to interpret."

>They said that any future messaging protocol for messaging to >extraterrestrial intelligence should account for a myriad of >attributes, such as signal encoding, message length, and >information content.

>The team added that post development the protocol would be >disseminated for testing on humans around the world, cutting >across cultural boundaries.

>"An effective message to extraterrestrials should at least be >understandable by humans, and releasing the protocol for testing >will allow us to improve the protocol and develop potential >messages," Fox News quoted the authors as writing.

>"Through an interactive website, users across the world will be >able to create and exchange messages that follow the protocol in >order to discover the types of messages better suited for cross->cultural communication."

Since we have already received a reply in the same format, perhaps the 1974 Arecibo message _was_ understood.

http://www.cropcircleresearch.com/articles/arecibo.html

SETI argued that this is not an ET message.

http://www.cropcircleresearch.com/articles/seti-reply.html

Re: Why Aliens Never Get In Touch With Us

The arguments can be summarized as follows.

The reply was not transmitted by radio.

It says that the ETs look like us.

Odds are low that any ETs would have received our message.

It says their biochemistry is similar to ours.

It appeared in England.

We should expect more from ETs.

These arguments are based on parochial assumptions, so are hardly conclusive.

Perhaps some ETs think that the best 'cross-cultural communication' is via pictures in fields. Afterall, not everyone on earth has access to the technology needed to receive a radio message from space.

--wct

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Re: James Carrion Fires Back

From: John Velez <<u>ivelez49</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 13:58:21 -0500 Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 14:16:53 -0500 Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 17:58:02 +0000
>Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>>From: John Velez <<u>jvelez49</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 16:20:25 -0500
>>Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2011 09:33:59 -0000
>>>Subject: James Carrion Fires Back

><snip>

>>Quick note re: Budd Hopkins/Carol Rainy/Dave Jacobs.

>>1. Re: Carol Rainey and her indictment of Budd - which is valid
>>BTW - don't attribute any 'high motivations' to this sudden
>>revelation. "Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned!" Consider
>>it in context; it's an ex-wife excoriating (trashing) her ex>>husband and his career in a very public manner. Just saying...

>>2. The whole time I worked with Budd, almost ten years, I
>>complained here, in public, about how sloppy his methods were. I
>>was always very vocal about that. That and the need for him and
>>others to properly catalog the raw data coming in so that
>>hopefully somebody could make some sense of it all. He never
>>listened.

>>3. I warned Budd and - everybody else - about Jim Mortellaro. I
>>was the one who introduced Jim to Budd. The very day I
>>introduced them, I warned Budd that there was something
>>seriously amiss with the guy.

><snip>

>I don't get it, if Carol Rainey is willing to share what she >knows about Budd Hopkins' careless interaction with abductees, >how is that behaving like a woman scorned.

>Some people have called me sexist because I call out sexism
>where I see it. Who is in a better position to make the call
>but a member of the so-called second lesser gender. As
>that seems to be what is being implied in the above quote.

Kathy,

I am so disappointed. You obviously took the time to read my lengthy post and yet the only thing you came away with, the only thing you felt you had to say about it all is;that you believe one of my many comments "implies" that I am a sexist???

Really?

That's it then, that's your take-away from all that was said?

That my 'sexist' attitude toward women is what motivated my remarks. That's a real stretch even on a good day, Kathy.

Frankly, I would appreciate it if you would make some small effort to 'stay on topic.' You know, as opposed to insulting me by calling me a sexist. (Is this who you really are?)

If you have anything informative or pertinent to contribute please do. But I'll tell you what; If I want to see monkeys hurling filth at each other, I'll take a trip to the zoo.

Thank you very much...

<grin>

John

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 5

Budd Hopkins

From: John Kubish <dooleys09.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 15:55:27 EST
Archived: Sat, 05 Feb 2011 17:40:06 -0500
Subject: Budd Hopkins

With all the posts about Budd Hopkins, I would like to say the following in his defense. Budd worked with me about 7 years ago. We had lengthy phone discussions on several occasions years before I came to New York to meet with him. I have been hypnotized by the State Police helping in an investigation back in the 60's. Budd used similar methods also. At no time did Budd try to influence, plant ideas or lead the session in any direction. He was always very careful in the questions he asked and everything was recorded and notes taken, including the phone conversations prior to our meeting several years before.

As stated elsewhere, there are certain things learned during these session that Budd never made public in regard to writing on these craft. Now, it in the public domain because of Budd's former wife releasing a video of these writing. I had never seen them before my own experience. They were identical to the drawing's made by other people.

I was very young with I saw these "Gray" and had no idea what they were or where they cam from. I was missing and the police had a search out for me. I recall walking into a wooded area in back of our yeard and ending up miles away in seconds. I had not recalled seeing these being again until years later when I saw a story on Travis Walton. That was when I saw that the being's I saw where the same as I had seen while being 100% awake at the time.

Budd had a heavy caseload and did try and help everyone he could. It's a shame that someone that has been respected for years has to face all this now. I'm sure other's have their opinions, but having experienced a hypnosis session with Budd, I have nothing but great respect for him.

John Kubish

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 6</u>

Alexander Book Review

From: Bruce Maccabee

Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 23:33:05 -0500 (EST)

Archived: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 07:10:42 -0500

Subject: Alexander Book Review

Sure to be a subject of intense controversy in within and outside the community of UFO investigators is the new book by Dr. John Alexander. My review and commentary are at my site:

http://tinyurl.com/5vdxyxm

If you are not familiar with John you can learn about him at:

http://www.johnbalexander.com/

This book is due for official release next week

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 6

News Links - 05-02-11

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>** Date: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 08:23:53 -0500 Archived: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 08:23:53 -0500 Subject: News Links - 05-02-11

News Links - 05-02-11

Triangle UFO Seen Over Brighton In Rochester New York Examiner.com http://tinyurl.com/4p32bz9

UFO Sightings Not On Wikileaks Radar For Now, Says Assange HULIQ http://tinyurl.com/4ad89k8

New Utah UFO Video Surfaces Ghost Theory http://tinyurl.com/65sdspv

Shaun Ryder Spotted A UFO NZ City http://tinyurl.com/5u8951g

A UFO IS Still A UFO: From Antiquity To Today AOL News http://tinyurl.com/63wjgn5

UFO Week on VisionTV With Two Inter-Planetary Premieres Channel Canada <u>http://tinyurl.com/4lhqjkt</u>

Jerusalem UFO 'Almost Certainly A Hoax' Discovery News http://tinyurl.com/6du3cpf

Jerusalem UFO - Google Aggregation http://tinyurl.com/6j5nsz5

Crop Circles Provide Food For Thought Malaysia Star <u>http://tinyurl.com/613bpgc</u>

D.C. Friday Forecast: Wintry Mix Tonight, Plus Tombaugh TBD.com http://tinyurl.com/4bocp9j

Simon Pegg & Nick Frost In 'Paul' - Soundtrack Announced Altsounds.com http://tinyurl.com/68es7y8

From Diana Cammack:

European Space Prepares To Make A Really Big Decision BBC News http://tinyurl.com/4csukkm

From Frank Warren's The UFO Chronicals:

Innovation And UFOs A Commentary On The Recent Global Competitiveness Forum (GCF) $% \left(\left({\rm GCF} \right) \right)$

News Links - 05-02-11

By Stanton T Friedman http://tinyurl.com/4s4cnwy

From 'The Norm':

Scientists Suggests Protocol For Messaging To Aliens http://tinyurl.com/6ag8hjs

Six Small Planets Orbiting A Sun-Like Star Amaze Astronomers http://tinyurl.com/6eun6m3

Apollo 14: A View From Antares http://tinyurl.com/4srvgo6

Falling Brains Dept:

Israeli Media: "Jerusalem UFO Orbs Fulfill Stan Fulham's ET Council Prediction" Examiner.com http://tinyurl.com/6xeaep3

A UFO Over Jerusalem? Only If You Want To Believe In Those Sorts Things NPR http://tinyurl.com/4vvtf9h

Excitement Running High As Exopolitics Group Claims Stunning UFO http://tinyurl.com/4g6gp5x

Dead Birds, Egypt and UFOs... Is The End Upon Us? Paranormal Utopia <u>http://tinyurl.com/4u7k8ob</u>

Julian Assange's Secret Messages Released In Vancouver: Wikileaks UFO UFODigest http://tinyurl.com/4df6lzr

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 6

The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** post.nul>
Date: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 10:49:12 -0500
Archived: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 10:49:12 -0500
Subject: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

I've been slow in catching up on hard-copy of late... and unfortunately have been tardy in getting to Bullard's latest, kindly sent to me by Editor in Chief: Michael Briggs.

Jerry Clark's review, below, from the dust-jacket sums up another must-have book for the seriously interested...

ebk

Source: University Press Of Kansas

http://www.kansaspress.ku.edu/bulmyt.html

October 2010

The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs Thomas E. Bullard

University Press Of Kansas

ISBN 987-0-7006-1729-6

When United Airlines workers reported a UFO at O'Hare Airport in November 2006, it was met with the typical denials and hush-up that usually accompany such sightings. But when a related story broke the record for hits at the Chicago Tribune's Web site, it was clear that such unexplained objects continued to occupy the minds of fascinated readers. Why, wonders Thomas Bullard, don't such persistent sightings command more urgent attention from scientists, scholars, and mainstream journalists?

The answer, in part, lies in Bullard's wide-ranging survey of the mysterious, frustrating, and ever-evolving phenomenon that refuses to go away and our collective efforts to understand it. In his trailblazing book, Bullard views those efforts through the lens of myth making, discovering what UFO accounts tell us about ourselves, our beliefs, and the possibility of visitors from beyond. Bullard shows how ongoing grassroots interest in UFO5 stems both from actual personal experiences and from a cultural mythology that defines such encounters as somehow "alien"-and how it views relentless official denial as a part of conspiracy to hide the truth. He also describes how UFOs have catalyzed the evolution of a new but highly fractured belief system that borrows heavily from human history and mythic themes and that UFO witnesses and researchers use to make sense of such phenomena and our place in the cosmos.

Bullard's book takes in the whole spectrum of speculations on alien visitations and abductions, magically advanced technologies, governmental conspiracies, varieties of religious salvation, apocalyptic fears, and other paranormal experiences. Along the way, Bullard investigates how UFOs have inspired books, movies, and television series; blurred the boundaries between science, science fiction, and religion; and crowded the Internet with Web sites and discussion groups. From the patches of this crazy quilt, he posits evidence that a genuine phenomenon seems to exist outside the myth.

Enormously erudite and endlessly engaging, Bullard's study is a sky watcher's guide to the studies, stories, and debates that this elusive subject has inspired. It shows that, despite all the competing interests and errors clouding the subject, there is substance beneath the clutter, a genuinely mysterious phenomenon that deserves attention as more than a myth.

Thomas E. Bullard is a board member of the Center for UFO Studies and the Fund for UFO Research and author of UFO Abductions: The Measure of a Mystery and The Sympathetic Ear: Investigators as Variables in UFO Abduction Reports.

_ _ _

Contents Acknowledgments vii List of Abbreviations ix Introduction: A Mystery of Mythic Proportions 1 Who Goes There? The Myth and the Mystery of UFOs 25 2 The Growth and Evolution of UFO Mythology 52 3 UFOsofthe Past 97 4 From the Otherworid to Other Worlds 124 5 The Space Children: A Case Example 176 6 Secret Worlds and Promised Lands 201 7 Other Than Ourselves 229 8 Explaining UFOs: An-Inward Look 252 9 Explaining UFOs: Something Yet Remains 286 Appendix: UFO-Related Web Sites 315 Notes 319 Select Bibliography 375 Index 399 An illustration section follows page 165

_ _ _

"A compelling and admirable study that combines lucidity and intellectual rigor and treats the human context of UFO matters without losing sight of the UFOs themselves. Bullard outlines in eloquent prose the difference between the stimulus (puzzling sightings and encounters) and the stimulated (witnesses, investigators, theorists, hoaxers, skeptics, and spiritual seekers) to reveal a complex and shifting phenomenon that continues to challenge our J understanding of reality."

--Jerome Clark, author of The UFO Encyclopedia

University Press Of Kansas Lawrence KS 66045 www.kansaspress.ku.edu

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 6</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 09:52:16 -0600 Archived: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 11:54:47 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: John Kubish <<u>dooleys09</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 15:55:27 EST
>Subject: Budd Hopkins

>With all the posts about Budd Hopkins, I would like to say the >following in his defense. Budd worked with me about 7 years ago. >We had lengthy phone discussions on several occasions years >before I came to New York to meet with him. I have been >hypnotized by the State Police helping in an investigation back >in the 60's. Budd used similar methods also. At no time did Budd >try to influence, plant ideas or lead the session in any >direction. He was always very careful in the questions he asked >and everything was recorded and notes taken, including the phone >conversations prior to our meeting several years before.

<snip>

Budd Hopkins has been a valued friend of mine since the 1980s. Because I live far from New York City, others (such as the estimable John Velez) are better qualified to assess what goes on between Budd and abductees than I am.

What I can say is that I once attended a meeting of his abduction group. I have spoken with medical professionals whom Budd brought into his researches. They supported his efforts even if not all agreed with Budd's conclusions about the overall meaning of the phenomenon. No abductee with whom Budd worked has come to me with allegations of misconduct. Complaints from abductees with whom he's worked have been relatively few, and even these generally muted. At the risk of being called names, I will simply state (as a divorced man myself) that ex-spouses' claims about the character of their ex-spouses need to be read with due caution.

It also bears mentioning that most of Budd's fiercest critics have never met him. The more excitable among them seem to believe that Budd in some sense "invented" the phenomenon. He didn't, of course, and as I know from my experience investigating and interacting with abductees in the 1970s (before I knew what a "Budd Hopkins" might be), he didn't invent the phenomenology of the experience. Eddie Bullard's empirical analyses of the data have shown as much.

I do know that as a kind and compassionate man Budd early on was moved by the suffering of abductees, shunned and ridiculed by others to whom they recounted their experiences. Just by listening to them and respecting their testimony, Budd did them a service. As I can testify from my own conversations with him, he also gave a lot of thought to the proper use of hypnosis.

Like all of us, including his critics, Budd is imperfect. When he entered the abduction arena, he could have had no idea what he was getting into. In time he was overwhelmed, not only by strange accounts but by the human suffering associated with them. He was also prey (and hardly alone in that) to the occasional psychopath who wandered through the door.

My own view of the phenomenon parted from his in the wake of the

Re: Budd Hopkins

Roper poll in the early 1990s. Ufology's abduction era ended when it became apparent that theory had exceeded evidence by a considerable margin. (That's also true, by the way, of Budd's critics, who are nothing if not determined theoriticians.) I no longer consider abductions to be event phenomena, even as the phenomenon solely from an experience angle remains deeply puzzling, resistant to any explanation - prosaic or extraordinary - that makes much sense. It would do us all good, in my opinion, if we would just stand back, take a deep breath, and acknowledge the obvious: a conclusive solution remains elusive. Not exciting, I know, but surely the only demonstrable reality at this stage.

Still, perhaps if I were in Budd's shoes, I would not have done things much differently from the way he did them. It's the easiest thing in the world to judge coolly from a perspective outside the hothouse. I am certain that history will offer this judgment of Budd Hopkins's work: he did the best he could under the circumstances. Because of him, we know more about one curious, often frightening and bewildering variety of human experience than we would have otherwise.

Finally, reading the often unwarranted, sometimes sickening attacks on this good man, I make this plea to whatever basic decency remains in ufology. Budd Hopkins is gravely ill, now involved with a personal crisis each one of us without exception will confront one day. Let us hope that when this happens, people who hate us and seek to discredit us are not out there frothing at the mouth and howling our name at the moon. Agree or disagree with him, he has worked hard. He has given and sacrificed much. For now, basic decency (if it still exists in this field) requires, at the least, a lowered polemical volume.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 6</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 09:52:16 -0600 Archived: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 11:55:26 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: John Kubish <<u>dooleys09</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 15:55:27 EST
>Subject: Budd Hopkins

>With all the posts about Budd Hopkins, I would like to say the >following in his defense. Budd worked with me about 7 years ago. >We had lengthy phone discussions on several occasions years >before I came to New York to meet with him. I have been >hypnotized by the State Police helping in an investigation back >in the 60's. Budd used similar methods also. At no time did Budd >try to influence, plant ideas or lead the session in any >direction. He was always very careful in the questions he asked >and everything was recorded and notes taken, including the phone >conversations prior to our meeting several years before.

<snip>

Budd Hopkins has been a valued friend of mine since the 1980s. Because I live far from New York City, others (such as the estimable John Velez) are better qualified to assess what goes on between Budd and abductees than I am.

What I can say is that I once attended a meeting of his abduction group. I have spoken with medical professionals whom Budd brought into his researches. They supported his efforts even if not all agreed with Budd's conclusions about the overall meaning of the phenomenon. No abductee with whom Budd worked has come to me with allegations of misconduct. Complaints from abductees with whom he's worked have been relatively few, and even these generally muted. At the risk of being called names, I will simply state (as a divorced man myself) that ex-spouses' claims about the character of their ex-spouses need to be read with due caution.

It also bears mentioning that most of Budd's fiercest critics have never met him. The more excitable among them seem to believe that Budd in some sense "invented" the phenomenon. He didn't, of course, and as I know from my experience investigating and interacting with abductees in the 1970s (before I knew what a "Budd Hopkins" might be), he didn't invent the phenomenology of the experience. Eddie Bullard's empirical analyses of the data have shown as much.

I do know that as a kind and compassionate man Budd early on was moved by the suffering of abductees, shunned and ridiculed by others to whom they recounted their experiences. Just by listening to them and respecting their testimony, Budd did them a service. As I can testify from my own conversations with him, he also gave a lot of thought to the proper use of hypnosis.

Like all of us, including his critics, Budd is imperfect. When he entered the abduction arena, he could have had no idea what he was getting into. In time he was overwhelmed, not only by strange accounts but by the human suffering associated with them. He was also prey (and hardly alone in that) to the occasional psychopath who wandered through the door.

My own view of the phenomenon parted from his in the wake of the

Re: Budd Hopkins

Roper poll in the early 1990s. Ufology's abduction era ended when it became apparent that theory had exceeded evidence by a considerable margin. (That's also true, by the way, of Budd's critics, who are nothing if not determined theoriticians.) I no longer consider abductions to be event phenomena, even as the phenomenon solely from an experience angle remains deeply puzzling, resistant to any explanation - prosaic or extraordinary - that makes much sense. It would do us all good, in my opinion, if we would just stand back, take a deep breath, and acknowledge the obvious: a conclusive solution remains elusive. Not exciting, I know, but surely the only demonstrable reality at this stage.

Still, perhaps if I were in Budd's shoes, I would not have done things much differently from the way he did them. It's the easiest thing in the world to judge coolly from a perspective outside the hothouse. I am certain that history will offer this judgment of Budd Hopkins's work: he did the best he could under the circumstances. Because of him, we know more about one curious, often frightening and bewildering variety of human experience than we would have otherwise.

Finally, reading the often unwarranted, sometimes sickening attacks on this good man, I make this plea to whatever basic decency remains in ufology. Budd Hopkins is gravely ill, now involved with a personal crisis each one of us without exception will confront one day. Let us hope that when this happens, people who hate us and seek to discredit us are not out there frothing at the mouth and howling our name at the moon. Agree or disagree with him, he has worked hard. He has given and sacrificed much. For now, basic decency (if it still exists in this field) requires, at the least, a lowered polemical volume.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 6

Re: Alexander Book Review

From: Shepherd Johnson <shepherd.nul>
Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 11:24:29 -0500
Archived: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 11:57:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Alexander Book Review

>From: Bruce Maccabee <<u>brumac</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 23:33:05 -0500 (EST)
>Subject: Alexander Book Review

>Sure to be a subject of intense controversy in within and >outside the community of UFO investigators is the new book by >Dr. John Alexander. My review and commentary are at my site:

>http://tinyurl.com/5vdxyxm

>If you are not familiar with John you can learn about >him at:

>http://www.johnbalexander.com/

>This book is due for official release next week

"Dr. Alexander has had conversations with several Apollo astronauts and other people familiar with NASA protocols and they all agree that there were no contingency plans related to astronauts detecting the presence of or interacting with extraterrestrials on or near the moon."

This is simply not true. For the first few Apollo missions the crews were sequestered in the Mobile Quarantine Facility upon return to earth. They were also required to wear "biological isolation garments".

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: John Velez <<u>ivelez49</u>.nul>
Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 16:04:30 -0500
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 06:16:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 09:52:16 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: John Kubish <<u>dooleys09</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 15:55:27 EST
>>Subject: Budd Hopkins

>>With all the posts about Budd Hopkins, I would like to say the
>>following in his defense. Budd worked with me about 7 years ago.
>>We had lengthy phone discussions on several occasions years
>>before I came to New York to meet with him. I have been
>>hypnotized by the State Police helping in an investigation back
>>in the 60's. Budd used similar methods also. At no time did Budd
>>try to influence, plant ideas or lead the session in any
>>direction. He was always very careful in the questions he asked
>>and everything was recorded and notes taken, including the phone
>>conversations prior to our meeting several years before.
<snip>

Hi Jerry (long time no speak...)

You wrote:

>Budd Hopkins has been a valued friend of mine since the 1980s. >Because I live far from New York City, others (such as the >estimable John Velez) are better qualified to assess what goes >on between Budd and abductees than I am.

John Kubish pretty much nailed it and I would like to second all of what he had to say about Budd and how conducts his business with the people who come his way.

>At the risk of being called names,

Oops, too late! <LOL>

>I will simply state (as a divorced man myself) that ex-spouses' >claims about the character of their ex-spouses need to be read >with due caution.

As a fellow divorcee, I concur... 'in spades'. That bit of hard earned insight is not readily apparent to all however. I have already been accused of being a "sexist" for daring to say that our Carol's words need to be read - with both eyes open.

>Finally, reading the often unwarranted, sometimes sickening
>attacks on this good man, I make this plea to whatever basic
>decency remains in ufology. Budd Hopkins is gravely ill, now
>involved with a personal crisis each one of us without exception
>will confront one day. Let us hope that when this happens,
>people who hate us and seek to discredit us are not out there
>frothing at the mouth and howling our name at the moon. Agree or
>disagree with him, he has worked hard. He has given and
>sacrificed much. For now, basic decency (if it still exists in
>this field) requires, at the least, a lowered polemical volume.

Re: Budd Hopkins

Jerry I am deeply sorry to hear of Budd's condition. We had our differences and our parting of ways was difficult and painful. But, all that aside, in my ten years of working closely with him, I learned to love him. We developed a warm friendship and when I broke away, it was every bit as painful a loss as the death of a close friend. I mourned or friendship for quite awhile after the split.

Jerry, if you speak to him please tell him that I love him and that all my best thoughts and wishes are with him in what is undoubtedly a very difficult and challenging time for him. Tell him that I hold no grudges or ill-will towards him at all. After all these intervening years, all that is left is the memories of the good times. To this day I miss getting the spontaneous call from him to meet him at MOMA for an afternoon of art and camaraderie. I miss him and I know he misses me. Just tell him I said; it's all good.

Your post (as they all do) reflect your always thoughtful nature. Well said, amigo. Very well said indeed.

Warmest regards,

John

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 22:16:28 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 06:18:44 -0500 Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>Date: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 10:49:12 -0500
>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>I've been slow in catching up on hard-copy of late... and >unfortunately have been tardy in getting to Bullard's latest, >kindly sent to me by Editor in Chief: Michael Briggs.

>Jerry Clark's review, below, from the dust-jacket sums up >another must-have book for the seriously interested...

>ebk

>Source: University Press Of Kansas

>http://www.kansaspress.ku.edu/bulmyt.html

>October 2010

>The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs >Thomas E. Bullard

>University Press Of Kansas

>ISBN 987-0-7006-1729-6

Readers may also be interested in Peter Rogerson's review on the Magonia Review of Books blog:

http://pelicanist.blogspot.com/2010/11/thomas-e-bullard.html

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Emma Woods <e.woods33.nul>
Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 16:29:29 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 06:35:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <<u>ufo-updates-list</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 13:46:18 -0500
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Woods Defamation Campaign

>The Defamation Campaign >Dr. David Jacobs

>Over the past few months, the Internet has been filled with >material from a woman who is on a campaign to defame me. ...

>From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:34:58 -0500
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>On reading Jacobs's defense, I find it only lessens his >credibility and digs him into a much deeper hole. He should >have recognized far sconer the glaringly obvious signs of >mental illness, and the fact that he believed (or claims to >have believed) that "hybrids" were using the woman's phone >and email accounts to threaten him is hard to swallow. He >shows an unforgivable lack of discernment and signs of >delusion himself. ...

Dr. Jacobs' defamatory statement about me on his website consists of a series of outright lies and distortions calculated to make me appear mentally ill. In my opinion he has done this in an attempt to destroy my credibility so that what I have made public about his psychological abuse of me as his research subject is not listened to.

As a way of response, I thought that I would provide a letter that I recently sent to Temple University which addresses this issue.

LETTER TO TEMPLE UNIVERSITY

Richard C. Throm Program Manager & Coordinator The Institutional Review Board (IRB) Temple University

Cc:

Ann Weaver Hart President Temple University

Office of the Provost Temple University

Jodi Levine Laufgraben, Ed.D Vice Provost of Academic Affairs and Assessment Temple University Dean Teresa Scott Soufas College of Liberal Arts Temple University

Beth Bailey Department Chair The Department of History Temple University

Jay Lockenour Director of Undergraduate Studies The Department of History Temple University

Richard Immerman Director of Graduate Studies The Department of History Temple University

Dr. Kristina Borror Director Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) United States Department of Health & Human Services

Dear Mr. Throm,

Thank you for your email of January 12, 2010. Although I did not respond to your email at the time, I have decided to do so now in order to clarify a statement that you made, in order to have it on record.

In your email you said:

"You recently contacted the Temple University Institutional Review Board with a request to have the agreements you signed along with another individual regarding your participation in a protocol project to be rendered null and void."

This is not strictly true.

As you are aware, I filed a complaint with Temple University in September 2008 regarding Associate Professor of History at Temple University, Dr. David M. Jacobs', misconduct towards me as his research subject.

As I believe that Dr. Jacobs' misconduct is a matter of public interest, I have documented some of it on my website, together with supporting evidence in the form of recordings from the hypnosis sessions that he conducted with me, and telephone conversations that we had about the events:

www.ufoalienabductee.com

In December 2004, Dr. Jacobs offered to conduct hypnosis with me over the telephone. He presented himself to me as an academic researcher, and led me to believe that I would be a research subject of Temple University. He sent me what he told me was a research consent form citing Temple University. I therefore thought that it was safe to proceed.

Over the following two years, Dr. Jacobs conducted 91 hypnotic regressions with me during 37 hypnosis sessions. While he was conducting hypnosis with me, he engaged in extensive leading and suggestion. I was not aware of what he was doing while I was hypnotized, and I only realized that he had done this much later, when I listened to the recordings of the hypnotic regressions.

Dr. Jacobs has studied the literature on hypnosis, and he has written about it in his books. He knows that the use of leading and suggestion in hypnosis causes people to confabulate false memories. As his use of it was so overt, in my opinion he must have been aware of what he was doing. His actions amounted to the systematic implantation in my mind of false hypnotic memories, including of violent assault and rape, which fit his theories.

During my twentieth hypnosis session, as a result of Dr. Jacobs' leading and suggestion, I confabulated a hypnotic "memory" of

being sexually assaulted. Dr. Jacobs then told me under hypnosis to send him my unwashed underpants, and not to remember doing it, ostensibly so that he could have them analyzed. This is an audio clip from my hypnosis session of him telling me to do this:

[http://tinyurl.com/49qxxzp]

Dr. Jacobs told me while I was hypnotized during my twentyeighth hypnosis session that I should wear a chastity belt that he would send to me. He said that he had found one in a sadomasochistic sex shop that had nails sticking across where the vaginal opening is. Although he did not send me the chastity belt, he told me that he had bought one for another research subject to wear. This is an audio clip from my hypnosis session of him suggesting this to me:

[http://tinyurl.com/4nbqxhn]

During my twenty-ninth hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs put hypnotic suggestions my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder (MPD). This an audio clip from my hypnosis session of him doing implanting these hypnotic suggestions in my mind:

[<u>http://tinyurl.com/4ntu5zr</u>]

Dr. Jacobs subsequently reinforced the hypnotic suggestions that he had given me that I had Multiple Personality Disorder by asking me to write emails to him as though I had MPD, and by writing me emails in which he addressed me as though I had that disorder.

At times Dr. Jacobs did not bring me out of the hypnotic state at the end of the hypnosis sessions that he conducted with me. Instead, he left me to gradually resurface from the hypnotic trance myself in the hours following the sessions.

Dr. Jacobs eventually began to give me post-hypnotic suggestions that I would not remember the content of some of my hypnosis. This an audio clip from my thirty-first hypnosis session of him doing this:

[<u>http://tinyurl.com/4dqtvxy</u>]

Consequently, I forgot large sections of my hypnosis sessions, although I did remember parts of them.

By the time that my hypnosis sessions with Dr. Jacobs came to an end, I had no conscious memory of his request that I send him my unwashed underpants, nor his suggestions that I should wear a chastity belt, and that I had Multiple Personality Disorder. These are things that I would normally never forget.

I only realized that Dr. Jacobs had done these things to me much later, when I listened to the recordings of the hypnosis sessions.

Although I had no conscious awareness of these events, they nevertheless affected me. For instance, while I had no conscious memory of Dr. Jacobs putting the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder, I nonetheless had an underlying sense I had it, and I used to wonder why.

I chose to end my association with Dr. Jacobs in February 2007, after he told me that he had received a warning from an "alien/hybrid" on AOL Instant Messenger, originating from his webmaster's computer, saying that it was extremely dangerous for him to work with me as there were "hybrids" around me who were very concerned about "security".

Once I was no longer being hypnotized by Dr. Jacobs, I began the long process of recovering from the psychological abuse that he had subjected me to.

Subsequently, Dr. Jacobs tried to stop me from speaking out about his actions towards me.

During a telephone conversation that I had with Dr. Jacobs in May 2007, he tried to intimidate me into not talking publicly about the content of the warning that he received from an

alleged "hybrid" via instant messenger, by threatening to reveal my identity as his research subject if I did. This is an audio clip from that conversation of him threatening to do this:

[http://tinyurl.com/489alb9]

Dr. Jacobs subsequently threatened to "paint a horrible picture" of me if I spoke in public about his actions towards me as a research subject. In 2010, the Paratopia podcast produced a show that contains a recording of a telephone conversation that I had with Dr. Jacobs in June 2007. During this conversation, Dr. Jacobs bullied and threatened me in this manner in order to try to stop me from speaking out:

Paratopia 57: The Emma Woods Tapes

```
[<u>http://tinyurl.com/4ps47nd</u>]
```

In March 2010, I was contacted by Kaz Schwarz, a member of the public who heard the show concerned. She told me that she had filed a complaint with Temple University about Dr. Jacobs' conduct towards me.

Ms. Schwarz sent me copies of her correspondence with you about this:

HI,

I have no idea if this is the right place, but I'm wondering how to file a complaint against a faculty member? He is an associate professor at Temple, and I believe he has made serious violations, ethical and moral, against his human research subjects,

Maybe you could help me by referring me to the proper authorities?

Thanks, K

Forwarded conversation Subject: Temple University Office for Human Subject Protections

From: *RICHARD C. THROM*
Date: Mon, Mar 8, 2010 at 5:53 AM
To: "ks0255.nul"

Dear K: Your message was forwarded to me by a member of my staff who received the original email. Thank you for taking the first step in notifying the university about a potentially serious matter. I am the person who will take and investigate the complaint - IN A CONFIDENTIAL =E2=80=93 manner, so you can be assured protections. Please feel free to contact me in my office at 2.8757 (private line), via email (again private) or to meet personally (at a location to be decided) to discuss. I am bound by confidentiality agreements to maintain information received in a protective and confidential manner. Thanks/Richard

From: *s*
Date: Mon, Mar 8, 2010 at 10:45 PM
To: "RICHARD C. THROM"

Ηi,

On the internet there is an audio clip of Dr. David Jacobs, an associate professor at Temple University with a research subject. Dr. Jacobs is apparently telling a woman subject that she has multiple personality disorder, MPD, while she is under hypnosis. He is instructing her about her MPD condition to protect himself from what he calls "hybrid aliens". Apparently he believes that this woman on the recording is in contact with the hybrids and that when they read her thoughts, they will discover messages he gives her under hypnosis to give them. It's all very disturbing. Can a Professor at Temple University put someone under hypnosis, and then tell them they have multiple personality disorder? He claims he is an expert. While under hypnosis, he also tells his subject to not remember anything when they wake up. It's all on audio on the internet!

The audio is on the following address. It starts at 32:40 minutes. The webspage has some more details about the context of the entire audio, of which Dr. Jacobs is only a small part.

[<u>http://tinyurl.com/4gej3vp</u>]

If you are interested in hearing a recording of Dr. jacobs and his research subject discussing his treatment of her, here is a page with the audio link. This audio is of a phone conversation between the two, where Dr. Jacobs threatens the woman subject serveral times to 'keep quite" Or else he'll "be forced" to do all sorts of terrible things to her. It's pretty crazy. The woman subject mentions signing a university document, I assume it was the human subjects contract or agreement that Temple University used in their research projects.

[http://tinyurl.com/4ps47nd]

I do not know if you are the right person to bring this matter to, but if you are not, maybe you could direct me to the proper authorities. The way this Dr. Jacobs verbally abuses this woman is stomach turning. I just want a formal complaint about Dr. Jacobs put forward so that his future research subjects will have that extra evidence if any thing, god forbid, similar occurs in the future.

Thank you! Kaz Schwarz

From: *RICHARD C. THROM*
Date: Wed, Mar 10, 2010 at 9:43 AM
To: s

This is very interesting - BTW: There is no one here at Temple University by the name of Kaz Schwarz. For Temple to be able to take this blind report I will need to have it submitted from your valid Temple HR registered email address. Thanks

From: *s*
Date: Wed, Mar 10, 2010 at 10:18 PM
To: "RICHARD C. THROM"

I don't go to Temple University. I just want to file a complaint against a Temple University professor on behalf of a research subject who says on audio, posted on the internet, in several places, that she was seriously hurt by this Dr.

If you can't take a complaint, maybe you could tell me who at Temple U. can? Thanks.

From: *RICHARD C. THROM*
Date: Thu, Mar 11, 2010 at 4:47 AM
To: s

This is a closed matter.

From: *s*
Date: Fri, Mar 12, 2010 at 3:14 PM
To: "RICHARD C. THROM"

Okay. Thanks.

I'll just hang on to these emails in case Dr. Jacobs ever, god forbid, "goes postal" (as the saying goes) one day.

If that were to ever happen, there will be evidence that you

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

and Temple University were well aware of Dr. Jacobs conduct. I'm sure you have taken the appropriate actions and notified the appropriate people about these serious concerns.

Κ

In May 2007, Dr. Jacobs forwarded me an inappropriate and, in my opinion, abusive letter from his close friend Budd Hopkins in which he tried to pressure me into not saying anything. He made an analogy between my actions and George Bush's invasion of Iraq causing the deaths of thousands of people.

In addition, I have been subjected to wholesale defamation by Dr. Jacobs, as he has tried to damage my personal reputation to that people will not listen to what I say about his misconduct towards me.

On April 4, 2010, Dr. Jacobs defamed me on the Paracast radio show, implying that I was mentally ill and not telling the truth.

[http://tinyurl.com/4cjo553]

At the same time, Dr. Jacobs published an extremely dishonest and defamatory statement about me on his website, in which he referred to me as "Alice":

http://www.ufoabduction.com/defamationcampaign.htm

Dr. Jacobs' statement consists of a series of outright lies and distortions calculated to portray me as suffering from a personality disorder that is motivating me to engage in a baseless vilification campaign against him.

I have evidence in the form of numerous audio recordings and emails that prove that Dr. Jacobs' defamatory claims about me are false.

Furthermore, in his statement, Dr. Jacobs attempts to justify putting the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD, which in itself is a serious warning indicator about his lack of ethics. He lists a series of alleged precipitating events that took place in October 2006, all of which are either outright lies or distortions of what occurred. He declares that he then "thought long and hard" about to do, and that he decided to carry out the MPD "tactic" on me.

However, Dr. Jacobs had already put the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD in June 2007, several months before the events that he claims precipitated it. Therefore, his entire story about why he carried out the MPD "tactic" on me is a fabrication.

Moreover, it is instructive to compare the audio recording of Dr. Jacobs implanting the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder with his misleading description of it in his statement.

Dr. Jacobs implanting hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD:

"I'm going to count from five to one, and just remember now, my diagnosis is that this is Multiple Personality Disorder and you should take medication for it. I have seen lots of cases of MPD, and this absolutely fits the MPD profile. And, my professional diagnosis therefore is Multiple Personality Disorder. ... [L]ots of people are walking around with it. It's a public health problem. And that, you are unfortunately suffering from it. And, my opinion is that yours is a classic case, and that =E2=80=93 that the only thing that will help you will be medication. ... your stories, I am only interested in the fact that you tell those stories, because multiple personalities are all different. As you know, that each =E2=80=93 each individual within Multiple Personality Disorder =E2=80=A6 comes out. And, I think that we've been dealing with three or four personalities here =E2=80=A6 [y]ours is, in fact, Multiple Personality Disorder. And, when people want to talk to you about the - about your contact with me, that is the first thing you tell them. I have decided it is all Multiple Personality Disorder, and that's what I'm going to be talking about. A psychiatric condition. Multiple Personality =E2=80=93 Personality Disorder, well-known in the world. And, you think I may be wrong, but I think that I'm right. And, that's what it is. And, this is what it is. ... [A]nd that's all there is to it. So, now I'll count from five to one and bring you out of this. And, we'll talk about MPD a little bit more. Five, kind of coming out of this ... "

Dr. Jacobs' misleading description of his MPD "tactic" in his defamatory statement about me:

"Then at one point during a hypnosis session I told her that as a researcher was interested not in abductions but in multiple personality disorder."

Dr. Jacobs tries to imply in his statement that he told me in advance that he was going to carry out the MPD "tactic" on me. Once again, this is completely untrue. I had no idea that he was going to do it until he did.

On December 19, 2010 Dr. Jacobs publicly defamed me on the Coast to Coast AM radio show:

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/show/2010/12/19

During the show, Dr. Jacobs told a series of outright lies calculated to portray me as a mentally ill liar. In addition, he made the ludicrous assertion that he had obtained advice from nine mental health professionals that implied that I was mentally ill.

It is patently obvious that no mental health professional in their right mind would ever support Dr. Jacobs implanting hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder, or any of the other psychologically abusive actions that he engaged in.

My former and current therapists consider that I am psychologically normal, and that I do not suffer from any personality disorders. They are both qualified and in a position to be able to make that assessment. I have published on my website a psychological assessment of me by my former therapist which states this. Dr. Jacobs has the original signed copy of this assessment. My current therapist is supporting me in my action in making Dr. Jacobs' abuse of me as research subject known as a matter of public interest.

Following Dr. Jacobs' defamation of me on Coast to Coast AM, I have had to contend with another round of public discussion about the "fact" that I am mentally ill.

In addition, I have received hate mail through my website, and comments on my YouTube channel, that include the following statements:

"He planted false memories in that screwed up multiple personality brain of yours? GET A F#*&.nul LIFE BITCH....MOVE THE F#*& ON ...YOU WORTHLESS SCUM BAG....JUST DO EVERYONE A FAVOR AND BLOW YOUR F#*&.nul UP BRAINS ALL OVER THE PLACE...GO AWAY C#*&"

"emma woods has a multiple personality disorder and you should forget about all the garbage she puts forth. This woman is nuts...and all she wants is attention. She records people without their permission and then she tries to detroy them....typical psychopath."

"kill yourself and end this nitemare YOU HAVE CAUSED EVERYONE ...YOU MULTIPLE PERSONALITY PSYCHOPATH...YES YOU ARE A PSYCHOPATH."

"You are so correct....this woman is typical of multiple personality disorders, which results in a classic case of phycopathic self centered psychosis. Jacobs spent many hours trying to help this bitch."

"GET HELP YOU SICKO and Leave Dr. Jacobs alone already you sick bitch."

"this is that crazy witch that tried to ruin Jacob's career. She is crazy. She has got a mental disorder. She will stop at nothing to destroy anyone who tries to help her. She needs to be put away so she can't harm anyone else.She (emma) needs to be relocated to a padded cell. Everyone is laughing at you, It's all over the net.She can't control her rage,anyone who gets in her way,she will stop at nothing to destroy them. She needs a strait jacket."

"YOU WORTHLESS LYING PIECE OF CRAP...HE DID NOT DO ANYTHING BUT TRY TO HELP YOU. YOU ARE A LYING PSYCHOPATH....GET HELP"

"This monster drove the people over at Temple University crazy with outlandish demands. After awhile they realized that she was crazy and will not acknowledge her. She has an obsessive compulsive disorder and makes life hell for anyone who call her on it. People like this needs to be put away."

"COME AFTER ME , BITCH....I'LL SHOW YOU HOW TO DESTROY SOMEONE!"

Dr. Jacobs publishes his website under the name of ICAR: International Center for Abduction Research. On his homepage he states:

"The International Center for Abduction Research (ICAR) is an organization devoted to the dissemination of trustworthy information about UFO abductions. ... David M. Jacobs is the Director of the ICAR and there is a small Board."

At the time that I became Dr. Jacobs' research subject, I therefore believed that he was the Director of an international research organization with a Board overseeing its activities. Much later, however, he admitted to me that "ICAR" consisted solely of him. There was no organization and no Board.

In my opinion, Dr. Jacobs' statements on his website about "ICAR" are clearly intended to mislead the public, as well as his research subjects, as to his status as a researcher.

Prior to conducting hypnosis with me, Dr. Jacobs asked me to sign what he told me was a Temple University Research Consent Form.

Section 1 of the form states that I would be participating in "scholarly historical research". Temple University is cited in section 2 of the Research Consent Form in a manner that implies that the research was being conducted under the auspices of the university:

"I understand that my participation is voluntary, and that I may stop at any time. (Non-participation in this research or withdrawal from this research will not prejudice future interactions with the researcher or Temple University."

Section 5 of the form states that I was a "research subject", and that I could obtain information about my rights from the "Office of the Vice Provost for Research of Temple University".

In September 2008, I filed a complaint with you about Dr. Jacobs', in my opinion, misconduct towards me as a researcher.

In October 2008, you told me that after a "through [sic] review and inquiry into the matter of breach of confidentiality and misconduct" that "no breach of confidentiality" was made, and that no further action was required or planned in the matter.

However, at no stage did you speak to me about the substance of my allegations, or ask me for the large volume of evidence that I have substantiating them, including the recordings of all of the hypnosis sessions that Dr. Jacobs conducted with me. In my opinion it is therefore clear that you did not carry out a thorough review of it.

In August 2009, I filed a complaint with the United States Department of Health & Human Services Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) about Temple University's, in my opinion, failure to properly investigate my complaint about Dr. Jacobs' misconduct.

I was told by the OHRP that in response to my complaint to them, that you made a case to them that Dr. Jacobs was not conducting 'research', but that he was just taking 'oral history', and that therefore the OHRP did not have the mandate to investigate it

through the university.

The OHRP told me that they had accepted your characterization of Dr. Jacobs' work as not constituting 'research', and that therefore that they could not investigate it.

However, although the OHRP determined that they could not investigate Dr. Jacobs' work through Temple University, they were nevertheless concerned about it. They told me that they would ask Temple University to investigate it voluntarily.

To facilitate this, the OHRP asked my permission to forward to you all of the material that I had provided to them with my complaint, which I gave them. I understand that the OHRP subsequently forwarded you copies of my submission to them, which contained detailed information about Dr. Jacobs' misuse of hypnosis with me, as well as access to the recordings of the hypnosis sessions.

I did not receive any communication from you after the OHRP had written to you about this, and had forwarded the material on to you.

Consequently, on January 6, 2010, I called you to ask you what Temple University was intending to do about the OHRP's request to you to investigate the issue voluntarily.

I did NOT call you to ask to have the agreements that I signed along with Dr. Jacobs regarding my participation in a protocol project to be rendered null and void, as the statement in your email of January 12, 2010 implies.

At that stage, you told me that Dr. Jacobs was not conducting 'research' but that he was just taking "oral history", and that because of this, that you could not investigate my complaint.

I raised with you the fact that Dr. Jacobs had asked me to sign a form citing Temple University which stated that I was a "research subject", and that I could obtain information about my rights from Temple University (I had previously sent you a copy of the form with my complaint.)

Your response was that the forms that Dr. Jacobs had me sign were "unauthorized" by Temple University, and that they were not legally binding.

At that stage I said to you that I thought that Temple University was putting people at ongoing risk of psychological abuse by Dr. Jacobs, as he was presenting himself as a scholarly academic researcher conducting research under Temple University, and that he was leading people to believe that by participating in his research, that they would be research subjects of Temple University.

You informed me that you had told Dr. Jacobs that in future he was to remove all references to "Temple University" and to "research" in his work with people.

I told you that Temple University had left me in a difficult position, in that you had not investigated his abuse of me, nor protected me from his subsequent defamation of me as he tried to cover up what he did to me by destroying my personal reputation. I said that the difficulty of my position was compounded by the fact that the forms that I signed stated that I would not play the recordings of my hypnosis sessions in public without Dr. Jacobs' permission, which was hindering my ability to make public what he did to me during those hypnosis sessions.

At that stage, you told me that you understood about the effect of hypnosis. You said that you had undergone hypnosis yourself for issues related to stopping smoking and weight loss, and that you had some idea of it. You told me that you understood how I could have been affected by the hypnosis, especially as I had trusted Dr. Jacobs.

I asked you whether Temple University would put in writing the fact that the forms that Dr. Jacobs had me sign were "unauthorized" by the University, and that you did not consider them to be legally binding.

You responded that Temple University was sympathetic to my

situation and that you would like to help me if you could. You said that if it would help me, that you would send me a written statement saying that the forms were unauthorized by Temple University, and that I was not bound by them. You told me that Dr. Jacobs and I could then "duke it out on the net".

You asked me which email account of mine you should send the statement to, and I told you that I would send you the email address after we had finished our call. I subsequently sent you the email addresses to send the statement to.

However, in your email of January 12, 2010, you told me:

"You recently contacted the Temple University Institutional Review Board with a request to have the agreements you signed along with another individual regarding your participation in a protocol project to be rendered null and void. Legal Counsel was contacted to seek information regarding these agreements, and was advised that our institution may not provide legal advice to you regarding how to proceed in light of the agreements you have with Dr. Jacobs. You should seek your own legal advice whether the documents are enforceable or if the agreements may be broken or rendered null and void pursuant to applicable law."

In view of the statement in your email, I thought that it was important to clarify and put on record the events as outlined above.

In my opinion, it is not acceptable for Temple University to simply leave a research subject who has been egregiously psychologically abused by a faculty member to "duke it out on the net" in order to try to protect themselves.

However, following Temple University's, in my opinion, failure to investigate my complaint adequately and to uphold my protections as a research subject, I have attempted to make the issue widely known in the field as a matter of public interest.

As you are aware, I forward copies of my submission to the OHRP to members of the Temple University Department of History, as well as to many researchers in the field. In addition, I have spoken about it in a number of radio interviews. I have found this difficult, as I am shy, and it concerns events that are painful for me. However, I have done it in order to try to protect myself, as well as to prevent other people from being harmed in the future in the same way that I have been.

There are a number of other related issues stemming from our telephone conversation of January 6, 2010 that I wish to follow up on.

During our conversation you told me that Dr. Jacobs had sent back to me all of the material that I had provided him with. However, I told you that I had not received the material from him. You told me that you would follow it up, but I have still not received the material.

Could you please ensure that Dr. Jacobs returns the material to me, as you said that you would.

I told you during our conversation that Dr. Jacobs was continuing to defame me. You said that you had already talked to him about that, and you assured me that you would talk to him once more to ensure that he did not continue to do so.

However, as I have detailed above, Dr. Jacobs has subsequently engaged in extensive public defamation of me, which you are no doubt already aware of. I have been provided with additional information from a number of people that he is continuing to defame me behind the scenes as well.

I once again ask that you take steps protect me from this ongoing abuse by Dr. Jacobs, and that you take the necessary actions to restore my public reputation which has been deliberately damaged by him as he tries to cover up his misconduct towards me.

Dr. Jacobs has continued to use Temple University's name to facillitate his actions, including in his defamatory statement about me on his website. This includes his following statement:

"Temple also sent her a letter saying it would no longer respond to her."

I have received no such letter from you. In light of the series of outright lies that Dr. Jacobs has told throughout his statement, I assume that this was simply another lie.

However, if you did send me such a letter, could you please resend it, as I have never received it, and I would like to have a copy of it for my records.

Although you told me that you had instructed Dr. Jacobs that he was not to refer to Temple University, or to use the word "research" in his future work with people, he continues to present himself publicly on his website as an academic researcher. His Biography states that he is "Associate Professor of History at Temple University", and a glowing description of his research portrays him as a competent expert who abides by a "strict scientific and ethical research methodology." In his public interviews he is routinely introduced as an academic researcher and Professor at Temple University. This includes his most recent appearance on Coast to Coast AM, in which the host, George Knapp, introduced Dr. Jacobs as an "academic researcher", and as being associated with Temple University.

I have not found any statement on Dr. Jacobs' website that alerts members of the public to the fact that Temple University does not consider his work to be 'research', and that therefore his subjects are not protected by Temple University's Institutional Review Board. Neither am I aware of Temple University having taken any steps to alert members of the public to this fact.

In my opinion, Temple University's failure to investigate and deal appropriately with such clearly abusive and dishonest conduct by a faculty member, including his use of his academic status and Temple University's name both to facilitate his perpetration of that abuse, and to attempt to cover it up, is a disgrace.

Temple University is, in my opinion, leaving vulnerable members of the public open to serious psychological abuse, by Dr. Jacobs using his association with the university both to procure research subjects, whether he explicitly states this in his forms or not, and to help cover up his misconduct after the fact.

The research subject who Dr. Jacobs took on after me, who uses the pseudonym "Brian Reed", has recently spoken out in public on the Paratopia show about his experience as Dr. Jacobs' research subject:

Paratopia: Episode 94: Brian Reed Vindicates Emma Woods

http://paratopia.net/

Brian Reed was twenty-one years old when he was taken on as a research subject by Dr. Jacobs. In my opinion, it is clear that his protections as a research subject were not adequately upheld either.

I do not accept your characterization of Dr. Jacobs' work as taking "oral history", and in due course I will be presenting a case to the OHRP that his research does constitute research as defined by them, and asking them to review their decision.

If no individual(s) or institution steps up to take responsibility for Dr. Jacobs' unethical treatment of his research subjects, I will consider additional courses of action.

Sincerely,

Emma Woods

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 10:45:21 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

Ηi,

Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

Of course not.

Nigel

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

UFO Matrix Conference October 15 & 16

From: Philip Mantle cphilip.mantle.nul>
Date: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 15:46:00 +0000
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 11:08:44 -0500
Subject: UFO Matrix Conference October 15 & 16

UFO Matrix Magazine

Presents

50 Years Of Close Encounters

October 15th & 16th, 2011.

King's Croft Hotel, Pontefract, West Yorkshire, England

To commemorate the 50ACth anniversary of the Betty and Barney Hill alien abduction account, UFO MATRIX is staging a two-day conference. The conference will have a line-up of UFO researchers and experiencers plus new books signings and other unique UFO items for sale.

The full line-up of speakers is:

Travis Walton (USA).

Abductee whose experience was made into the 1993 Paramountmovie 'Fire in the Sky', and author of the book of the same name.

Kathleen Marden (USA).

The niece of Betty Hill and co-author of 'CAPTURED -- The Betty & Barney Hill UFO Experience.'

Nick Pope & Bridget Grant (A joint researcher/experiencer presentation).

Mike Hallowell.

Philip Mantle & Rosalind Reynolds (A joint researcher/experiencer presentation).

Robbie Graham.

Malcolm Robinson & Garry Wood (A joint researcher/experiencers presentation).

John Hanson.

Dave Hodrien.

This will be Kathleen Marden's first ever presentation in the UKand Travis Walton has not lectured here since the release of his movie in 1993. Kathleen will be speaking on both days and each presentation will be different.

All speakers subject o change.

Ticket Prices:

Single day =A325.00 per person

Saturday & Sunday ticket: =A340.00 per person.

Tickets for this event are strictly limited so you are advised

UFO Matrix Conference October 15 & 16

to order early to avoid disappointment. There is ample free parking at the venue but accommodation is limited. There will be a hot buffet lunch available for purchase on both days and refreshments can be obtained all day from the hotel bar. There will also be two vendors' rooms selling a wide variety of ufological items such as books, DVDs and a whole lot more. Accommodation at the venue is very limited; you are therefore advised to book alternative accommodation nearby if you plan to stay overnight.

Tickets will go on sale shortly. To be placed on our mailing list please email:

philip.mantle.nul

On behalf of everyone at UFO MATRIX we look forward to seeing you in October.

UFO MATRIX MAGAZINE:

www.healingsofatlantis.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Carol Rainey On Spectrum Radio Network

From: UFO UpDates - Toronto post.nul>
Date: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 11:44:10 -0500
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 11:44:10 -0500
Subject: Carol Rainey On Spectrum Radio Network

The Spectrum Radio Network

http://spectrumradionetwork.com/

Hosts Tom Theofanous Scott Jordan Present

Carol Rainey - The Co-Creation Of The Abduction Phenomenon

Tuesday February 8Th 7:55 Pm Pacific 10:55 Eastern

Carl Rainey

Carol Rainey has been making award-winning documentaries for PBS, cable networks, and commercial distribution for over two decades. Although in the past she has focused mainly on scientific and medical subjects, Rainey is currently working on a feature-length documentary about her 10 year journey into the heart of the UFO mystery as the wife and filmmaker of alien abduction investigator, Budd Hopkins.

Several of Carol Rainey's narrative short films and original screenplays have also won awards. She has written additional feature-length screenplays and teleplays and co-authored with Hopkins the book Sight Unseen, published in 2003 by Atria: Simon & Schuster.

Carol's Website: www.carolrainey.com

Read the High Strangeness The Co-Creation Of The Abduction Phenomenon by Carol Rainey article Here:

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/jan/m17-001.shtml

Special Guest: Dr. Tyler Kokjohn

Dr Tyler Kokjohn has a Ph.D. (Biochemistry) from Loyola University Chicago and am a Professor of Microbiology at the Arizona College of Osteopathic Medicine. He is also a adjunct Senior Scientist at Banner-Sun Health Research Institute (Sun City, AZ) working as a team member researching Alzheimer's disease (AD) biochemistry and the effects of immunotherapy on AD pathology and dementia. He has had a long-standing interest in the UFO phenomenon and, after a long absence, began following the field again in 2008.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 10:01:47 -0600
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 11:45:53 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction >research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

>Of course not.

"Clarke"?

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 17:25:41 +0100
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 11:49:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: John Velez <<u>jvelez49</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 16:04:30 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 09:52:16 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: John Kubish <<u>dooleys09</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 15:55:27 EST
>>>Subject: Budd Hopkins

>>>With all the posts about Budd Hopkins, I would like to say the
>>>following in his defense. Budd worked with me about 7 years ago.
>>>We had lengthy phone discussions on several occasions years
>>>before I came to New York to meet with him. I have been
>>>hypnotized by the State Police helping in an investigation back
>>>in the 60's. Budd used similar methods also. At no time did Budd
>>>try to influence, plant ideas or lead the session in any
>>>direction. He was always very careful in the questions he asked
>>>and everything was recorded and notes taken, including the phone
>>>conversations prior to our meeting several years before.

<snip>

>>Budd Hopkins has been a valued friend of mine since the 1980s. >>Because I live far from New York City, others (such as the >>estimable John Velez) are better qualified to assess what goes >>on between Budd and abductees than I am.

>John Kubish pretty much nailed it and I would like to second all >of what he had to say about Budd and how conducts his business >with the people who come his way.

>>At the risk of being called names,

>Oops, too late! <LOL>

>>I will simply state (as a divorced man myself) that ex-spouses' >>claims about the character of their ex-spouses need to be read >>with due caution.

>As a fellow divorcee, I concur... 'in spades'. That bit of hard >earned insight is not readily apparent to all however. I have >already been accused of being a "sexist" for daring to say that >our Carol's words need to be read - with both eyes open.

I noted, toward the end of the long article by Carol Rainey, this brief remark on the artistic career of her ex-husband:

"an artist whose brief, shining moment in the art world passed over forty years ago."

Perhaps Carol Rainey was not familiar with the art world, but after spending ten years with Budd Hopkins, she should know better! Read Budd Hopkins' book "Art, Life And UFOs and you will Re: Budd Hopkins

see that he's had a solid artistic career, lasting some thirty years, with art pieces in the best museums. This is a wrong, unfair statement on her part which reveals, obviously, great anger, years after her separation from Budd.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 16:56:06 +0000
Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 19:25:32 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 10:01:47 -0600
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >>importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >>psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction

>>Of course not.

>"Clarke"?

>Jerry Clark

Hi Jerry,

Sorry about the 'e', there goes my infallibility rating.

Nigel

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 7

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: **Carol Rainey** <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 12:17:02 -0500 Archived: Mon, 07 Feb 2011 19:35:15 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 09:52:16 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>I will simply state (as a divorced man myself) that ex-spouses' >claims about the character of their ex-spouses need to be read >with due caution.

>Ufology's abduction era ended when it became apparent that >theory had exceeded evidence by a considerable margin.

<snip>

I've tried to avoid getting pulled into the debate about the quality of abduction research since my Jan 15th article High Priests Of Strangeness appeared in Paratopia Magazine (http://www.paratopia.net). But I'd like to respond to comments regarding my reliability as a witness to abduction cases that I worked on with my former husband Budd Hopkins for ten years and videotaped for a feature documentary and for Budd's use in his lectures. It was especially disappointing to find Jerome Clark, a guy with mental contrails that have always been a pleasure to observe =96 how even he yanks out the old pre-feminist cliche that a former spouse's statements about an ex-spouse "need to be read with due caution."

Anybody recall the last time such a comment was made about a male UFO investigator? I don't. This is a male-dominated field and such facile dismissal of serious concerns raised by someone outside the old boy's club is not terribly promising for a field that has not even learned to tie its investigative shoes.

So it's with some amusement that I'd like to add an equally cautionary note - that any testimony from a man's good male buddy in a beleaguered, marginal field should also be read with due caution.

But that's me he's talking about and if I'd only been the UFO 'wife', I wouldn't be saying a word now. I wouldn't have been compelled to go on a yearlong internal odyssey to sort out what I actually believed about my decade inside abduction research. The High Priests article was written to help me to come to terms with my own role in that work (even in a minor way). I felt personally responsible for some part in the deceptive practices and in the unethical treatment of individuals. This was about _my_ coming clean, so that I can move on. When you clean house, dirt turns up on the cloth. On mine, as well as on other people's.

I regret, too, that the timing isn't good, but this is _my_ truth. It was gained at great cost, and it was full term when it was ready, not when it was expedient or seemly.

Actually, the question for Jerry is: How close-up and personal are his own observations about Hopkins' work, his ethics, his research protocols, and his protection of de facto patients? Jerry clearly feels quite an affinity for Budd Hopkins as a person. But Clark was, by his own admission, a distant observer of the alien abduction phenomenon as it developed over the past four decades. He knows what experiencers might have told him or did not tell him, but he was not there. He was not inside the room on a day-to-day basis, watching abduction research evolve before his own eyes.

But I was there. And I've raised some very serious and quite justified questions about this research. Let's not dismiss the hard questions just because they're asked by someone who was directly involved with both the work and the worker.

I was an active participant in the research of some of Budd's cases and I've written only about the cases I was directly involved in. I'm also exceptionally well qualified to address the research issues involved. For over 20 years in Boston, I worked closely with research scientists funded by National Institutes of Health, making films about their work. You don't do research for the NIH without plenty of research protocols, testable hypotheses, peer review and subject protection measures in place.

Abduction research - and by extension, what can be known about the unknown - follows none of those tried and true methods of science for the development of knowledge. Over the decade, I gradually saw that two abduction researchers, Hopkins and Jacobs, were building on sand. No, they had not invented the phenomenon. But they did build or create a distinct new narrative that was not present in Bullard's 1987 study of classic elements of abduction, referred to by Clark.

Hopkins and Jacobs have introduced in Intruders, Witnessed, Secret Life and The Threat the following as the most common narrative elements - the sexual/reproductive emphasis of the abduction, the hierarchy of greys, sickly hybrid babies, stolen fetuses, Mindscan and extrapolation of human thoughts, the aliens' artificially-induced bonding with human captives, sadomasochistic adult male hybrids walking among us and given to violent rape, the subversive alien take-over of the planet and the human species.

Shouldn't we be concerned about the methods used to discover" such alarming, species-altering information? Only if we want to know what is true.

Most of all, we need to understand that these researchers engage their subjects within a highly suggestive, contextual environment - nothing done with evil intent, but out of ignorance and, eventually, out of conviction in their own perceptions of the phenomenon.

"Leading" happens way _before_ hypnotic regression. It happens in preliminary phone calls, in first interviews, in all the books, articles, movies, commercials, and cultural tropes absorbed by people before they even enter that special place where they meet the media-anointed expert on alien abduction". There's a substantial body of research about the suggestive environment" and the influence of context" on the creation of recalled memories. It's not possible to deal with this subject in such short form, so I'd encourage people to seek out this information.

Here's what arises out of all the feedback I've gotten, including from many of the old time researchers: They praised me for having the courage to speak up about the shaky research practices of abductionists, something many of them privately questioned for years. If so many people realized that Hopkins/Jacobs were using really bad research tactics, why didn't anyone speak up? People who were considered their friends, not ones on the rather lengthy enemies list? They might have had an influence. People like Jerome Clark, even?

The point is, the way abduction research is currently being done, outsiders can only judge the methods by what the researchers tell them they do. And as an insider for a time and someone with an understanding of scientific method - I felt the responsibility to speak up and say: Those methods are faulty, dangerous, and the perceptions are hopelessly skewed toward the disastrous, the horrifically invasive, sexualized, and lurid abduction scenario. So it's time for a course Re: Budd Hopkins

correction. Because at present, we can't really say we know much, if anything, about this real and mysterious human phenomenon."

In that last matter, at least, Jerry Clark and I are definitely in accord.

Carol Rainey http://www.carolrainey.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 09:35:16 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:00:15 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>Hi,

>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction >research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

>Of course not.

>Nigel

Damning all things psyche aside, "first do no harm" applies here. So does "people don't care how much you know until they know how much you care."

When proving a theory trumps the two guides above, all results are suspect. When ethics are questionable, that's when the claws come out in any association. And yes, it's always a two- way street.

Client-centered, compassionate caring and constructive counseling increasing coping capacity; that's the goal of psychology and psychiatry. It should also be the goal of those who deal with abductees of any type.

And you probably already know that.

For those who don't, I highly recommend Motivational Interviewing which I use daily in my work. You can find out more about it by clicking this link:

http://www.motivationalinterview.org/

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 13:52:33 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:01:53 -0500
Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 22:16:28 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 10:49:12 -0500
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>>I've been slow in catching up on hard-copy of late... and >>unfortunately have been tardy in getting to Bullard's latest, >>kindly sent to me by Editor in Chief: Michael Briggs.

>>Jerry Clark's review, below, from the dust-jacket sums up
>>another must-have book for the seriously interested...

>>ebk

>>Source: University Press Of Kansas

>>http://www.kansaspress.ku.edu/bulmyt.html

>>October 2010

>>The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs
>>Thomas E. Bullard

>>University Press Of Kansas

>>ISBN 987-0-7006-1729-6

>Readers may also be interested in Peter Rogerson's review on the >Magonia Review of Books blog:

>http://pelicanist.blogspot.com/2010/11/thomas-e-bullard.html

John,

Who is Peter Rogerson and what does he bring to the table; and what's a Magonian?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 14:10:31 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:03:05 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clark have rubbished the >importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction >research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

>Of course not.

Psychiatry and psychology rubbished themselves over the years. They've been blundering around in the heads of the unfortunate for many decades. Electro-shock therapy, lobotomies, deprevation therapy, assorted drugs. Neither persuasion needed help from Cathy Reason or Jerry Clark.

Psychosocial ufology is just another bullshit term the skeptics made up in the UK some years ago. It has no provenance.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Donald Rumsfeld's UFO Connection

From: Peter Booth peterbth2002.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 10:12:04 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:07:15 -0500
Subject: Donald Rumsfeld's UFO Connection

It may be worthwhile checking out Mr Rumsfeld's website:

www.rumsfeld.com

later this week, in connection with his new book Known And Unknown. CBS reports that he his releasing almost 2000 documents relating to his career dating back to the 1960s.

He was, of course, a member of the Committee on Science & Astronautics UFO hearing in 1968.

Peter Booth

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Cathy Reason <<u>CathyM</u>.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 18:10:50 -0000
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:19:28 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction >research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

>Of course not.

Now that Nigel Watson has ducked the issue and failed to respond to questions about the methodology of psychology, psychiatry, and psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that any of these rise above alien abduction research like a towering edifice of reason?

Of course not.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: James Carrion Fires Back

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:11:54 +0000 Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:22:03 -0500 Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>From: John Velez <<u>jvelez49</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 13:58:21 -0500
>Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 4 Feb 2011 17:58:02 +0000
>>Subject: Re: James Carrion Fires Back

<snip>

>>I don't get it, if Carol Rainey is willing to share what she >>knows about Budd Hopkins' careless interaction with abductees, >>how is that behaving like a woman scorned.

>>Some people have called me sexist because I call out sexism
>>where I see it. Who is in a better position to make the call
>>but a member of the so-called second lesser gender. As
>>that seems to be what is being implied in the above quote.

>Kathy,

>I am so disappointed. You obviously took the time to read my >lengthy post and yet the only thing you came away with, the only >thing you felt you had to say about it all is;that you believe >one of my many comments "implies" that I am a sexist???

>Really?

>That's it then, that's your take-away from all that was said? >That my 'sexist' attitude toward women is what motivated my >remarks. That's a real stretch even on a good day, Kathy.

>Frankly, I would appreciate it if you would make some small >effort to 'stay on topic.' You know, as opposed to insulting me >by calling me a sexist. (Is this who you really are?)

>If you have anything informative or pertinent to contribute >please do. But I'll tell you what; If I want to see monkeys >hurling filth at each other, I'll take a trip to the zoo.

Thanks, John, you just proved my point and I didn't even have to point a finger. I guess you should try not to hurl comments about Carol Rainey's willingness to share the truth behind four so-called abductees faking their abductions just to get attention. Unbelievable is all I can say. You know besides the fact that I labeled myself a sexist.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 20:30:31 +0000 Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:25:53 -0500 Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 22:16:28 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 06 Feb 2011 10:49:12 -0500
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>>I've been slow in catching up on hard-copy of late... and >>unfortunately have been tardy in getting to Bullard's latest, >>kindly sent to me by Editor in Chief: Michael Briggs.

>>Jerry Clark's review, below, from the dust-jacket sums up
>>another must-have book for the seriously interested...

>>ebk

>>Source: University Press Of Kansas

>>http://www.kansaspress.ku.edu/bulmyt.html

>>October 2010

>>The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs
>>Thomas E. Bullard

>>University Press Of Kansas

>>ISBN 987-0-7006-1729-6

>Readers may also be interested in Peter Rogerson's review on the >Magonia Review of Books blog:

>http://pelicanist.blogspot.com/2010/11/thomas-e-bullard.html

John:

I liked your review of the book because it pointed out the good and bad aspects of Bullard's approach.

But, here comes the but... in response to this paragraph:

"The problem is that without the cultural structure of the ETH or its more esoteric cousins there is little reason to require the existence of a unitary UFO phenomenon. That, of course, does not automatically imply that all UFO reports are ultimately generated by well-catalogued and understood environmental or psychological/neurological processes, indeed such a view would imply that we know everything there is to know about ourselves and our environment. It does however suggest that really puzzling UFO reports might be generated by lots of different things."

In my recent reading of neurological studies, or lack of studies related to UFO research, I found a few articles reassuring the

Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

public that people who experienced the abduction phenomena were not crazy or suffering from a psychosis. However, no one has done any neurological studies of individuals claiming to have experienced an abduction.

This weekend I took note of Clue #3. That is, many times the "abduction" experience runs in families. I wondered if there was a genetic predisposition to altered states. And, I use the term altered states to mean both an external and internal generated phenomena. (See Sunday's interview on Coast to Coast AM with Dr. David Perlmutter and his discussion of genetic traits in families.)

Along those same lines, I wondered if something in the environment triggered an altered state where a highly strange event was reported.

I further wondered if individuals claiming abduction should have an MRI or CAT. Just to see what is happening to the brain. Plus, chemical panels testing for... I haven't decided yet what that panel would consist of.

Still thinking and sharing. I welcome any input from anyone else who has been thinking outside the box of orthodox UFO research.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Michael M. Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:47:17 -0500
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:27:20 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 09:52:16 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>Budd Hopkins has been a valued friend of mine since the 1980s. >Because I live far from New York City, others (such as the >estimable John Velez) are better qualified to assess what goes >on between Budd and abductees than I am.

<snip>

>Finally, reading the often unwarranted, sometimes sickening
>attacks on this good man, I make this plea to whatever basic
>decency remains in ufology. Budd Hopkins is gravely ill, now
>involved with a personal crisis each one of us without exception
>will confront one day. Let us hope that when this happens,
>people who hate us and seek to discredit us are not out there
>frothing at the mouth and howling our name at the moon. Agree or
>disagree with him, he has worked hard. He has given and
>sacrificed much. For now, basic decency (if it still exists in
>this field) requires, at the least, a lowered polemical volume.

Thank you for that. I've only met Budd once, but he was extremely kind, welcoming, funny, and a great conversationalist. My best wishes go out to him.

Michael M. Hughes http://michaelmhughes.com http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Budd Hopkins

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 21:00:39 +0000 Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:31:09 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 16:29:29 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <<u>ufo-updates-list</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 13:46:18 -0500
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>The Defamation Campaign
>>Dr. David Jacobs

>>Over the past few months, the Internet has been filled with >>material from a woman who is on a campaign to defame me. ...

>>From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 14:34:58 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>Forwarded conversation
>Subject: Temple University Office for Human Subject Protections

<snip>

>Prior to conducting hypnosis with me, Dr. Jacobs asked me to >sign what he told me was a Temple University Research Consent

<snip>

>You informed me that you had told Dr. Jacobs that in future he >was to remove all references to "Temple University" and to >"research" in his work with people.

<snip>

>"You recently contacted the Temple University Institutional >Review Board with a request to have the agreements you signed >along with another individual regarding your participation in a >protocol project to be rendered null and void. Legal Counsel was >contacted to seek information regarding these agreements, and >was advised that our institution may not provide legal advice to >you regarding how to proceed in light of the agreements you have >with Dr. Jacobs. You should seek your own legal advice whether >the documents are enforceable or if the agreements may be broken >or rendered null and void pursuant to applicable law."

<snip>

>Dr. Jacobs has continued to use Temple University's name to >facillitate his actions, including in his defamatory statement >about me on his website. This includes his following statement:

>"Temple also sent her a letter saying it would no longer respond >to her."

>I have received no such letter from you. In light of the series

>of outright lies that Dr. Jacobs has told throughout his >statement, I assume that this was simply another lie.

>However, if you did send me such a letter, could you please re->send it, as I have never received it, and I would like to have a >copy of it for my records.

<snip>

>In my opinion, Temple University's failure to investigate and >deal appropriately with such clearly abusive and dishonest >conduct by a faculty member, including his use of his academic >status and Temple University's name both to facilitate his >perpetration of that abuse, and to attempt to cover it up, is a >disgrace.

>Temple University is, in my opinion, leaving vulnerable members >of the public open to serious psychological abuse, by Dr. Jacobs >using his association with the university both to procure >research subjects, whether he explicitly states this in his >forms or not, and to help cover up his misconduct after the >fact.

<snip>

List:

I was a staff member of UCLA's Human Subject Protection Committee for four and half years. During that time, I remember one previous subject attempting to sue UCLA for what he claimed was the misuse of blood sera to clone for material to be used for research. There was an investigation. All staff members and directors were required to face interrogation, an interview, by legal counsel to the University. However, to release this information to the public it was felt would open the University to future law suits by subjects who did not like the outcome of the protocol procedures. The emphasis was on the fact that the subjects had to take responsibility for the fact that they were volunteering.

I have not seen the Informed Consent form that was provided to Emma Woods. She only provided a couple of paragraphs from the ICF she signed. They support her argument, but do not cover issues I would consider just as important. Too many to go into in this already long e-mail.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 21:09:43 +0000
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:39:42 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction >research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

>Of course not.

Nigel:

As I stated in an earlier post, the only aspect of the 'ologies' you list is that they attempted to prove that individuals claiming "alien abduction" were not suffering from an already designated psychosis.

However, I suggesting that individuals claiming the AA submit to MRI and CAT. Plus, chemistry panels. I haven't determine what those chemistry panels would test for quite yet. I am still thinking.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: Alexander Book Review

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 21:15:52 +0000 Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:41:58 -0500 Subject: Re: Alexander Book Review

>From: Shepherd Johnson <<u>shepherd</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 11:24:29 -0500
>Subject: Re: Alexander Book Review

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <<u>brumac</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Sat, 5 Feb 2011 23:33:05 -0500 (EST)
>>Subject: Alexander Book Review

>>Sure to be a subject of intense controversy in within and >>outside the community of UFO investigators is the new book by >>Dr. John Alexander. My review and commentary are at my site:

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/5vdxyxm</u>

>>If you are not familiar with John you can learn about >>him at:

>>http://www.johnbalexander.com/

>>This book is due for official release next week

>"Dr. Alexander has had conversations with several Apollo
>astronauts and other people familiar with NASA protocols and
>they all agree that there were no contingency plans related to
>astronauts detecting the presence of or interacting with
>extraterrestrials on or near the moon."

>This is simply not true. For the first few Apollo missions the >crews were sequestered in the Mobile Quarantine Facility upon >return to earth. They were also required to wear "biological >isolation garments".

List:

Let's put it this way, sometimes Alexander likes to spin information. It was part of his training. I have had several occasions to witness him in action.

My suggestion is to be well read in the areas Alexander is commenting on. It will keep one grounded in the truth of the matter. He really enjoys listening to himself tell a good story. I am not saying there anything wrong with this. I am just saying buyer beware.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:17:57 -0600 Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:44:04 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 12:17:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 09:52:16 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>I will simply state (as a divorced man myself) that ex-spouses'
>>claims about the character of their ex-spouses need to be read
>>with due caution.

>It was especially disappointing to find Jerome Clark, >a guy with mental contrails that have always been a pleasure to >observe - how even he yanks out the old pre-feminist cliche that >a former spouse's statements about an ex-spouse "need to be read >with due caution."

Is there any divorced man or woman on this List - besides Carol, apparently - who doesn't know exactly what I meant?

>Anybody recall the last time such a comment was made about a >male UFO investigator? I don't. This is a male-dominated field >and such facile dismissal of serious concerns raised by someone >outside the old boy's club is not terribly promising for a field >that has not even learned to tie its investigative shoes.

And who couldn't see the conveniently self-serving male-baiting coming from a mile away?

Seriously, folks:

My sole point, which I would have thought blindingly apparent to any adult reader, is that an ex-spouse has his or her story, but - as we have already seen - it isn't the only one to be told. That's such commonplace wisdom that it's even the subject of country songs (e.g., George Jones and Tammy Wynette's famous "We Live in a Two Story House," on which I often reflected ruefully as I was going through my own divorce years ago). I am _not_ accusing anybody of insincerity, by the way, nor am I urging onlookers not to read or consider what interested persons, Carol included, have written recalling their particular (and, as we've already seen, conflicting) observations.

Enough. I have no desire to get caught in this pool of quicksand. Carol has said what she wants to say, I've said what I have to say, and now others can tell their stories. Nobody owns this story.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 8</u>

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:21:50 -0600
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:45:17 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 16:56:06 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 10:01:47 -0600
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >>>importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >>>psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction

>>Of course not.

>>"Clarke"?

>>Jerry Clark

>Hi Jerry,

>Sorry about the 'e', there goes my infallibility rating.

Hi, Nigel,

I'm afraid we're both going to have to go sit in a corner and meditate on our sins. I misspelled "theoretician" in my post on Budd Hopkins and ever since have been too ashamed to leave the house.

Yours in failed perfection,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

More On The Trindade Island Case

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul> Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200 Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 09:50:03 -0500 Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

Dear Listers:

As I informed in my previous message,

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml

we already have a complete version of the new information about the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine (www.ufo.com.br).

As stated before, the difference between this new info and previous attempts to discredit the case and Barauna's photos (some of them that didn't stand up for more than 5 minutes), is that this time the new witness to make his claims, Marcelo Ribeiro, is Barauna's nephew and was actually very close to him, like a son.

Marcelo declares that he Barauna told him and only a few other members of his family that he forged the photos. He recognizes, however, that it may have occurred some sighting onboard the Almirante Saldanha vessel, witnessed by the military there, but claims that Barauna had taken advantage of that to make his tricks.

These are very serious accusations.

Only because of that and because he is who he say he is that we took the time to translate the new info into English to offer to the International UFO Community. You will see in the material that Marcelo Ribeiro doesn't' believe in UFOs at all and he is very skeptic about them, which is certainly an issue to be considered (there are others that you can judge by yourselves).

Our consultant Alexandre de Carvalho Borges, author of the piece, pursued the case for over a decade and has been a relentless defender of its legitimacy, based on the facts very well known and a few that he dug. The new information that he found out amazed him. After all, the Trindade Island Case is one of the most important UFO events in the world and has been under debate for decades, always passing with high standards.

Of course, everyone here who chased this info in the past will feel outraged. However, it would be very unethical not to inform you of the new allegations. If they are real facts, it is now open for a debate.

In closing, let me state again that that neither the Brazilian UFO Magazine nor I, as its founder and editor, support the new witness claims, for more consistent they may sound. Neither we reject them, even considering some contradictions in his report.

While we give you this information, for journalistic and ufological ethical principles, we will keep our position impartial about these new data. Until proven fake at no reasonable doubt, Trindade Island Case stands.

I will be presenting this new situation at the next International UFO Congress in Phoenix, AZ, February 23 to 27.

http://ufocongress.com/2011-ufo-congress-update/

Again I sign this joined by the magazine's international relations coordinator, Thiago Luiz Ticchetti. With sincere thanks to him, Eduardo Rado, volunteer translator, Paulo Poian, our collaborator, and the entire team of the Brazilian UFO Magazine (www.ufo.com.br).

Thank you very much.

A. J. Gevaerd Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine E-mail 1: <u>aj</u>.nul E-mail 2: <u>ajgevaerd</u>.nul E-mail 3: <u>ajgevaerd</u>.nul Site: <u>www.ufo.com.br</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

More USAF 'Crash Test Dummies'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** post.nul>
Date: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 10:12:41 -0500
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 10:12:41 -0500
Subject: More USAF 'Crash Test Dummies'

Source: Secrecy News From The FAS Project On Government Secrecy

http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/

February 7th, 2011

Accessing Wikileaks Violates Espionage Act, USAF Says by Steven Aftergood

Americans who have accessed the WikiLeaks web site may have violated the Espionage Act, under an extreme interpretation of the law advanced by Air Force officials last week.

Many government agencies have instructed their employees not to download classified materials from the WikiLeaks web site onto unclassified computer systems. The government's position is that although the material is in the public domain, its classification status is unaffected. Therefore, to preserve the integrity of unclassified systems, the leaked classified information should not be accessed on such systems. If it is accessed, it should be deleted.

But on February 3, Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC) at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base issued startling new guidance stating that the leaked documents are protected by the Espionage Act and that accessing them under any circumstances is against the law, not simply a violation of government computer security policy.

"According to AFMC's legal office, Air Force members -- military or civilian -- may not legally access WikiLeaks at home on their personal, non-governmental computers, either. To do so would not only violate the SECAF [Secretary of the Air Force] guidance on this issue,... it would also subject the violator to prosecution for violation of espionage under the Espionage Act," the AFMC legal office said.

http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/2011/02/afmc-020311.html

Then, in an astounding interpretive leap, the AFMC went on to say that similar prohibitions apply to the relatives of Air Force employees.

"If a family member of an Air Force employee accesses WikiLeaks on a home computer, the family member may be subject to prosecution for espionage under U.S. Code Title 18 Section 793."

This is a breathtaking claim that goes far beyond any previous reading of the espionage statutes.

"That has to be one of the worst policy/legal interpretations I have seen in my entire career," said William J. Bosanko, director of the Information Security Oversight Office, by email.

If taken seriously for a moment, the AFMC guidance raises a host of follow-on questions. What if a family member accessed WikiLeaks on a computer outside the home? What if a non-family member accessed WikiLeaks on the home computer? What if one learns that a neighbor has accessed WikiLeaks in the neighbor's home? Is the Air Force employee obliged to intervene or to report the violation to authorities? And how could any of this possibly be constitutional?

Since the AFMC guidance is not based in existing case law or past practice, these questions have no immediate answers.

Last December, a Department of Homeland Security official complained to Secrecy News that government policy on WikiLeaks produced the incongruous result that "my grandmother would be allowed to access the cables but not me." But if the new Air Force guidance can be believed, this is incorrect because the official's grandmother would be subject to prosecution under the Espionage Act.

In reality, there does not seem to be even a remote possibility that anyone's grandmother would be prosecuted in this way.

Instead, ironically enough, the real significance of the new AFMC guidance could lie in its potential use as evidence for the defense in one of the pending leak prosecutions under the Espionage Act. Defendants might argue that if the Espionage Act can be seriously construed by Air Force legal professionals to render a sizable fraction of the American public culpable of espionage, then the Act truly is impermissibly broad, vague and unconstitutional.

For a standard view of the general subject see "The Protection of Classified Information: The Legal Framework," Congressional Research Service, January 10, 2011:

http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/secrecy/RS21900.pdf

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul> Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 15:15:46 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 10:20:57 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 14:10:31 -0400
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>Psychosocial ufology is just another bullshit term the skeptics >made up in the UK some years ago. It has no provenance.

>Don Ledger

Actually is was the French, but thanks for your cogently expressed views.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m08-016.shtml[10/12/2011 12:41:07]

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul> Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 09:22:32 -0600 Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 10:40:13 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 21:00:39 +0000
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Sun, 6 Feb 2011 16:29:29 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <<u>ufo-updates-list</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 02 Feb 2011 13:46:18 -0500
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>>The Defamation Campaign
>>>Dr. David Jacobs

>>>Over the past few months, the Internet has been filled with >>>material from a woman who is on a campaign to defame me.

<snip>

>I have not seen the Informed Consent form that was provided to >Emma Woods. She only provided a couple of paragraphs from the >ICF she signed. They support her argument, but do not cover >issues I would consider just as important. Too many to go into >in this already long e-mail.

Moreover, I suspect it doesn't matter if Jacobs _did_ have Wood's permission. No one gives permission to be later raped or abused. Woods was either hypnotized, so not in her right mind by definition, _or_ she was expecting professional regard from a PhD and then subsequently betrayed. It's like Dracula saying Woods gave him _permission_ to suck her blood.

That said, I was relieved that no one pointed to the length of Woods initial post as a facile evidence of the obsessive. I would have had to take issue. I mean pardon the woman for putting her ducks in order and having the stone to stand up for herself, eh?

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 15:21:01 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 10:41:32 -0500 Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 13:52:33 -0400
>Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>John,

>Who is Peter Rogerson and what does he bring to the table; and >what's a Magonian?

>Don Ledger

Ah, such weighty problems which have troubled thinkers through the ages, but I'm sure someone as clever as you can work them out.

Now do you have anything to say about the review?

Thought not.

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark.nul></u>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 09:46:11 -0600
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 11:08:35 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 14:10:31 -0400
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

<snip>

>Psychosocial ufology is just another bullshit term the skeptics >made up in the UK some years ago. It has no provenance.

Hi, Don,

As I have had occasion to remark in the past, psychosocial ufology amounts to an entirely predictable psychosocial response to the UFO phenomenon.

In fact, PSH ufology, the creation of amateur psychologists and amateur sociologists, is in practice little different from debunking as usual. Now open in their adulation for the likes of Philip J. Klass (genius _and_ moral arbiter, we are to believe), they have largely abandoned the pretense of being up to much of anything else.

Well, perhaps in fairness, one must note a single difference: PSH rhetoric is ordinarily less crude than Klass's was. That's because it bears a certain resemblance - as befits the liberalarts majors and librarians who comprise its psychosocial/demographic core - to literary criticism.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 12:08:08 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 11:40:16 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 15:15:46 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 14:10:31 -0400
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>Psychosocial ufology is just another bullshit term the skeptics >>made up in the UK some years ago. It has no provenance.

>>Don Ledger

>Actually is was the French, but thanks for your cogently >expressed views.

Really. Perhaps the French coined it (spelled how?) but I'm sure you will admit that it it was your friends - perhaps even you - who ran with it?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: John Rimmer < johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 16:11:37 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 11:41:48 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200
>Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

>Dear Listers:

>As I informed in my previous message,

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml

>we already have a complete version of the new information about >the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO >Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine.

I am totally, positively, definitely, absolutely not saying "told you so!"

Okay?

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 12:14:01 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 11:43:53 -0500
Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 15:21:01 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 13:52:33 -0400
>>Subject: Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

>>Who is Peter Rogerson and what does he bring to the table; and >>what's a Magonian?

>Ah, such weighty problems which have troubled thinkers through >the ages, but I'm sure someone as clever as you can work them >out.

>Now do you have anything to say about the review?

>Thought not.

>--

>John Rimmer

But no answers, I see - other than answering your own question. Review was okay but I haven't read the book. And the reason I asked about Rogerson - I've never heard of him therefore my question re. his background.

Why the smokescreen?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: The Myth And Mystery Of UFOs

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 17:34:33 -0000
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 12:52:33 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 16:11:37 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <<u>aj</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200
>>Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>Dear Listers:

>>As I informed in my previous message,

>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml

>>we already have a complete version of the new information about >>the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO >>Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine.

>I am totally, positively, definitely, absolutely not saying >"told you so!"

>0kay?

>John Rimmer

Leaving John to say whatever it is he is saying, I recommend the wisdom of circumspection. Some first thoughts about this new story:

Ribeiro claims that Barauna told him there really was a "UFO" and that people on deck did see it, but that it was not what he photograped - it was only "a weather balloon, or a cloud formation, something". This does not begin to address (e.g.) the emphatic and (IMO) rather credible statements of banker Amilar that he came on deck in time to see a fast moving lightcoloured oval object flying over the sea fitting the descriptions also reported by Barauna and Viegas.

Ribeiro says that Barauna told him he had come straight from a photographic dive in which he used up the film roll in his camera ("was taking pictures underwater for the Navy and was climbing to the vessel") so he could only pretend to photograph whatever was really seen. But Barauna told the world, including the Navy, that he was actually using his camera on deck photographing the boat winching at the time. So if he had been diving earlier, he did not use up his film on that dive. This story is corroborated by Capt Bacellar, who noted that the UFO shots he inspected were on the same film roll with pictures taken by Barauna on deck immediately before.

Ribeiro says there was nothing at all on these original negatives but empty sky, and rejects the claim that the images of the object were confirmed on the wet negatives by eyewitnesses and others because "people will see anything they want to see". This strikes me as dismissive hand waving. It doesn't begin to address the specific testimony of Capt Bacellar concerning his personal inspection of the images on the stillwet negative roll.

Ribeiro claims that Barauna told him he faked the object with a model made of Carioca chips (bus tokens) - a repeat of the crude Mundo Illustrado photo - because "he knew he could do it with the chips". I'm afraid this doesn't make sense. The configuration of the Trindade object absolutely could not be reproduced with the Carioca chips.

Ribeiro says that Barauna's earlier and inconsistent alleged claim to Emilia Bittencourt that he used two spoons on a fridge, not bus tokens against the sky, shouldn't be believed. Why? Because Barauna was an inveterate joker who liked winding people up with fake stories. Yet Ribeiro asks us to take Barauna's atleast-equally-implausible claim to him seriously. Should we do so?

Until evidence emerges to lift this new claim from the category of the recent and already largely-discredited Bittencourt claim, I suggest cautious scepticism.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 8

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 11:37:29 -0600
Archived: Tue, 08 Feb 2011 12:56:52 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 16:11:37 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <<u>aj</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200
>>Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>As I informed in my previous message,

>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml

>>we already have a complete version of the new information about >>the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO >>Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine.

>I am totally, positively, definitely, absolutely not saying >"told you so!"

>Okay?

Awww... you should have refrained, altogether. See, presuming your too cute "told you so" remained to have the remotest lactation of a piss-wits tic-teat to it and the jury is far from in on that: it is but one case.

One case.

Now while I'm sure you can take irrational solace from that and say to yourself, "Yes! Another one down," and then do copious high-fives with the brethren... Its really an empty gesture unworthy of your intelligence even if that intelligence is without _all_ imagination.

Yea and verily, friends and neighbors if remembering the aforementioned Intelligence Without Imagination (IWI) and other entities in gravid 2D mopery. Yes! Wholly unconsidered and even ignored by these IWI card carriers-not _all_ dulled dopes duped to dreary dumb-down-is a massive Ufological evidentiary pathway revealed in seven categories of compelling evidence, evidence that some of the circumspect even call proof, to include:

(1) The Historical textual documents glyphed in soot and cut into stone or otherwise writ in ancient inks on chalky vellum.

(2) The Artistic Historical composed by primitives on the walls of their caves through Middle-Age wood-cuts to the masterpieces of the masters on rough canvas and slick gesso.

(3) The quality Anecdotal reports backed up by multiple vetted witnesses and corresponding radar.

(4) Genuine Photographic pieces from professionals prior to digital photography.

(5) Extant Physical Traces of landing UFOs as laboriously

outlined by Ted Phillips and others.

(6) The wholly Personal evidence, if you have any as I do.

(7) Even the Mathematical as it is described in modern physics regarding the consequences of satisfying requirements for the formality of the actual occurrence of "things"... something corporeal condensing into actuality in this multiverse (humanity "happened" so others _must_) but, specifically, as it is described in _Probability One_ by Amir D. Aczel, PhD. Alien intelligence, reader, is a certainty! Moreover, the evidence is that they are _here._

See, reader, I just went through a laborious explication without _once_ mentioning Trindade...

Still, it remains... Every bit of the preceding must come to _bupkis_ for easily distracted IWI adherents to be correct about "pipe-dream UFOs, and the dolts, dim-wits, or dumb-asses following them." I paraphrase, but... Every bit! Every item! Every shred! The dolts, dim-wits or dumb-asses, remember, only have to be be correct once.

Tall odds looming over short jubilation ignoring a still humiliated science, arrogant Intellect without imagination, and UFOs appearing regularly, still, eh?

Though, do enjoy that short-run feel-good, Mr. Rimmer. That scary unsettled feeling will return soon enough.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 20:55:27 +0000 Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:04:58 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 09:35:16 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >>importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >>psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction >>research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

>>Of course not.

>Damning all things psyche aside, "first do no harm" applies >here. So does "people don't care how much you know until they >know how much you care."

>When proving a theory trumps the two guides above, all results >are suspect. When ethics are questionable, that's when the claws >come out in any association. And yes, it's always a two- way >street.

>Client-centered, compassionate caring and constructive
>counseling increasing coping capacity; that's the goal of
>psychology and psychiatry. It should also be the goal of those
>who deal with abductees of any type.

>And you probably already know that.

>For those who don't, I highly recommend Motivational >Interviewing which I use daily in my work. You can find out more >about it by clicking this link:

><u>http://www.motivationalinterview.org/</u>

Nigel:

I accessed the website you recommended. For me, it was a disappointment as I was looking for a definition of MI and didn't find any. Just text promoting itself. Nothing wrong with that, but I was looking for info providing more specific details.

Where are you on the issue of so-called "alien abductions?"

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 21:04:10 +0000
Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:07:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 09:22:32 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 21:00:39 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

<snip>

>>I have not seen the Informed Consent form that was provided to >>Emma Woods. She only provided a couple of paragraphs from the >>ICF she signed. They support her argument, but do not cover >>issues I would consider just as important. Too many to go into >>in this already long e-mail.

>Moreover, I suspect it doesn't matter if Jacobs _did_ have >Wood's permission. No one gives permission to be later raped or >abused. Woods was either hypnotized, so not in her right mind >by definition, _or_ she was expecting professional regard from a >PhD and then subsequently betrayed. It's like Dracula saying >Woods gave him _permission_ to suck her blood.

>That said, I was relieved that no one pointed to the length of >Woods initial post as a facile evidence of the obsessive. I >would have had to take issue. I mean pardon the woman for >putting her ducks in order and having the stone to stand up for >herself, eh?

Alfred:

I was more concerned with her attempt to have Jacobs investigated by his institution based on the ICF she signed.

The ICF she claimed to have signed has never been seen in its entirety.

If the emphasis was on the fact that she was volunteering and could quit at any time, I have not seen this statement she claims was in the ICF. Usually, there should be something stated along those lines in the ICF.

I would rather treat the individual with respect and listen to her complaint, and her struggles to get her complaint to be taken seriously by Jacobs employer. That would require a legal reading of the ICF.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 22:44:07 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:09:10 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 09:46:11 -0600
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>Well, perhaps in fairness, one must note a single difference: >PSH rhetoric is ordinarily less crude than Klass's was. That's >because it bears a certain resemblance - as befits the liberal->arts majors and librarians who comprise its >psychosocial/demographic core - to literary criticism.

Jerry, you are increasingly in danger of becoming a parody of yourself.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: **Carol Rainey** <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 17:51:21 -0500 Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:15:09 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:17:57 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>My sole point, which I would have thought blindingly apparent to >any adult reader, is that an ex-spouse has his or her story, but >- as we have already seen - it isn't the only one to be told.

<snip>

>Enough. I have no desire to get caught in this pool of >quicksand. Carol has said what she wants to say, I've said what >I have to say, and now others can tell their stories. Nobody >owns this story.

<snip>

In this field, apparently, the more one tries to stick to the facts of the issue, the less attention respondents pay to the actual facts that are presented.

In both my article in Paratopia Magazine and in my post to this List, I presented some serious and justified concerns about where and how alien abduction research had taken students of the phenomenon, the experiencers and the public over the past 20 years. I spoke only of cases I was directly involved in, as Budd's former life partner. I gave precise, evidence-based instances of observing faulty, sometimes dangerous, and certainly misleading research conclusions reached by Budd Hopkins and his best friend David Jacobs.

I compared Eddie Bullard's 1987 classic abduction scenario with the Hopkins/Jacobs narrative that has developed out of their books and their presence in the field. It has morphed into a lurid, sexualized scenario of hybrid take-over of the planet and the species - one put forth confidently by both researchers, with no tangible evidence. In giving my own qualifications to raise this alarm, I stated the two decades I spent film-making and grant-writing with National Institutes of Health researchers, prior to my direct experience in abduction research.

All of which drove Jerry Clark, in response, to only double-down on his _personal_ slant to my concerns. He reinforced my motives as the ex-wife, citing, as evidence, the lyrics of George Jones and Tammy Wynette's We Live In A Two Story House. He also cited his own experiences as a multiply-divorced man, which inform his opinion of the validity of my alarm about the abductionists' research methods.

Clark completely evaded _all_ commentary about the substantive issues that were raised, bringing it right back around to the personal. He himself has said he feels that ufology's abduction era has ended because the narrative is way out ahead of the evidence.

Yet, as a senior spokesman for and leader in the field of UFO

Re: Budd Hopkins

research, Clark has taken zero responsibility - to the best of my knowledge - for actually educating himself about how abduction research is done. He disagrees with his two good friends, Hopkins and Jacobs, about their conclusions. Privately, he has stated to one correspondent that he has entertained doubts about some of their methods for a long time. He doesn't know what those methods are, exactly, because he's never been involved, but evidently something seems off. He's just not going to mention it publicly. He's not going to speak up.

And now that I have publicly spelled out exactly what is wrong with their research, Clark, one of the field's most respected leaders, cries: Enough! I don't want to talk about it anymore.

Essentially, the leadership position of the UFO field seems to be this: Those guys are our best buddies! What they do with their research subjects is _not_ our problem. People like Emma Woods and "Dora" should just take a hike. The abductionists' over-the-top conclusions about the coming end of the human species are not our problem, either. It's a helluva a lot more fun to hang out after a long-day's seminar with two entertaining friends than it is to speak up for what is rightfully gained knowledge, for what is ethical, moral, and true.

Carol Rainey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:18:24 -0500 Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:18:24 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 12:08:08 -0400
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 15:15:46 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 14:10:31 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>Psychosocial ufology is just another bullshit term the skeptics >>>made up in the UK some years ago. It has no provenance.

>>Actually is was the French, but thanks for your cogently >>expressed views.

>Really. Perhaps the French coined it (spelled how?) but I'm sure >you will admit that it it was your friends - perhaps even you ->who ran with it?

Hi Don,

Rather than "psychosocial", the usual term in French is "psychosociological".

Or "sociopsychological", if you prefer!

As they are numerous and well established, they have come to be called in brief: the "psychosocios".

I think that trend took shape toward the end of the seventies, when a number of ufologists turned skeptics.

The Magonians are another trend well represented in France, like in the UK. They are followers of Jacques Vallee, who recalled the old legend of Magonia in his book Passport To Magonia. An amusing detail is that the book was translated in French under the title The Search Of Extraterrestrials (A la recherche des Extraterrestres), but it was his first book putting in doubt the ETH!

Cheers

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

News Links - 08-02-11

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** post.nul>
Date: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 10:03:03 -0500
Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 10:03:03 -0500
Subject: News Links - 08-02-11

News Links - 08-02-11

UFO Traffic Report: February 7, 2011 Examiner.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/4h3kjtc</u>

Oval UFO Photographed Over Detroit River Examiner.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/6kgapxr</u>

Arizona Desert UFO 'Morphs' Into Two Lights Examiner.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/4e3486y</u>

WikiLeaks' UFO Cables About Raelian Cult - Video Forbes http://tinyurl.com/5tjzyxx

North Wales UFO 'Crash' Back In Spotlight http://tinyurl.com/4vjxhna

UFO Sightings Linked To Belief And Origins, Said Ken Kesey HULIQ http://tinyurl.com/6dp78b2

UFO Evidence Or Just A Marketing Stunt? Perth Now http://tinyurl.com/486gsmk

Former Military Officials To Present Evidence Of UFOs At 25th UFO Congress ABC15.com (KNXV-TV) http://tinyurl.com/6hgrvfo

Focus Of UFO Disclosure Should Be On Meaningful Factors Transcendent TV & Media <u>http://tinyurl.com/41gm69g</u>

Review: Journal Of A UFO Investigator The Independent Weekly <u>http://tinyurl.com/4r2k5c2</u>

From 'The Norm':

The Threat: Revealing the Secret Alien Agenda.pdf by David M Jacobs <u>http://tinyurl.com/6c5ea7z</u>

Earth-Like Worlds Might Be As 'Common As Ants At A Picnic' Space.Com <u>http://tinyurl.com/6hyvgad</u>

Review: The Physicist Who Knew Too Much http://tinyurl.com/6bnt7sx

News Links - 08-02-11

Social Scientists See Bias Within http://tinyurl.com/6628rym

The Universe Could Be 250 Times Bigger Than What Is Observable http://tinyurl.com/667algs

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark.nul></u>
Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 09:03:12 -0600
Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 10:16:06 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 22:44:07 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 09:46:11 -0600
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>Well, perhaps in fairness, one must note a single difference: >>PSH rhetoric is ordinarily less crude than Klass's was. That's >>because it bears a certain resemblance - as befits the liberal->>arts majors and librarians who comprise its >>psychosocial/demographic core - to literary criticism.

>Jerry, you are increasingly in danger of becoming a parody of >yourself.

Hey, good answer, John.

The wit and perception of PSHers are a thing of wonder. Not their views and overall approach, understand, but the w & p are truly legendary. I stand in all due awe.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:28:38 +0100 Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 10:50:14 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 17:51:21 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:17:57 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>>My sole point, which I would have thought blindingly apparent to >>any adult reader, is that an ex-spouse has his or her story, but >>- as we have already seen - it isn't the only one to be told.

><snip>

>>Enough. I have no desire to get caught in this pool of
>>quicksand. Carol has said what she wants to say, I've said what
>>I have to say, and now others can tell their stories. Nobody
>>owns this story.

><snip>

>In this field, apparently, the more one tries to stick to the >facts of the issue, the less attention respondents pay to the >actual facts that are presented.

Carol,

I think that you miss an important point that Jerry Clark, to the contrary, has well seen. It is a question of decency.

You are talking about a man who has done a great amount of ground breaking research on abductions. It was, and still is, a difficult and risky task, requiring a lot of intelligence and courage among other things, and where one may be mistaken. His books are among the best I have read in ufology. BTW, the book you wrote with him is an interesting one, too.

On the other hand, he has made mistakes, apparently. Even a big one with Mortellaro. You have chosen to publish a long text dealing only with that later part of his work, in which you find everything wrong. It so happens that you have been his wife during ten years and that you have decided to put in question the man and his work after a painful separation (painful to him too, as he tells briefly in his book), at a time when he is gravely ill.

Even those who don't know Budd Hopkins cannot fail to notice that. Accusations of sexism are out of place here.

In my opinion, your attitude raises a problem of decency, and Jerry Clark is quite right to say so. And never mind if some people don't agree.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 10:24:19 -0600 Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 13:11:52 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 17:51:21 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:17:57 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

Patient and gentle Listfolk:

>In this field, apparently, the more one tries to stick to the >facts of the issue, the less attention respondents pay to the >actual facts that are presented.

>In both my article in Paratopia Magazine and in my post to this
>List, I presented some serious and justified concerns about
>where and how alien abduction research had taken students of the
>phenomenon, the experiencers and the public over the past 20
>years. I spoke only of cases I was directly involved in, as
>Budd's former life partner. I gave precise, evidence-based
>instances of observing faulty, sometimes dangerous, and
>certainly misleading research conclusions reached by Budd
>Hopkins and his best friend David Jacobs.

Sigh. Apparently, only you are entitled to a point of view. Only your story is true. All else, we learn, is sexism.

In any event, hardly anything of my original posting was about you -disappointingly, I'm sure, to you - but about Budd as a man, his work, and his current physical incapacity, which makes it all but impossible for him to respond to your myriad accusations. [I had a follow-up sentence to that, but on second thought I have deleted it.] I might also add that nothing is strikingly original about your criticisms of abduction research methodology, the subject of a vast controversy and debate within ufology and other literature. Eddie Bullard has covered it well in my encyclopedia and elsewhere, and he always provides excellent bibliographies. Having a life and a book deadline to meet, I preferred not to revisit the matter.

Let's remember this: I devoted a single sentence to you, didn't even name you (though the reference is apparent), and said not a single thing about your character, noting only that you (as everybody but you would agree) are a uniquely interested party in the discussion. _That's all_. Since then, while going to extraordinary lengths to trash my character, you have placed yourself at the center of all this. Frankly, whatever satisfaction you may derive from such a dramatic posture, it strikes me as boring and irrelevant, whether viewed as rhetorical strategy or reflexive self-centeredness. In one way or another, nearly every sentence of this posting and your previous one makes you the virtuous, cruelly attacked hero of the story. How unfortunate. How uninteresting.

Those who reread my original posting will recall its actual point: that Budd Hopkins did not invent the abduction phenomenon, which exists in recognizable form independently of

Re: Budd Hopkins

him. I also said that in my experience and observation, abductees who have worked with him tend to speak warmly of him, and I have heard no complaints from participating medical professionals about his methodology. I also said I think he's a good guy, if like all of us susceptible to human failings, misjudgments, and mistakes. I never accused him of being any more perfect than I am. Really, Carol, _it wasn't about you_. I have lived a relatively happy life practically never thinking about you. I look forward to returning to that life.

<snip>

>Yet, as a senior spokesman for and leader in the field of UFO >research, Clark has taken zero responsibility - to the best of >my knowledge - for actually educating himself about how >abduction research is done. He disagrees with his two good >friends, Hopkins and Jacobs, about their conclusions. Privately, >he has stated to one correspondent that he has entertained >doubts about some of their methods for a long time.

Ah yes, a dubiously ethical tactic I recognize all too well: the citing of anonymous testimony with conveniently paraphrased words intended to damn and embarrass. This is the second time Carol Rainey (in the third person hereafter), whom I barely know, has done this to me in a public forum. (Incidentally, till the current exchange, I have not mentioned her a single time in public, and virtually never in private.) The first time I was the target of her ire, however, the anonymous informant was herself, and the subject was my character (loathsome, of course). The assault was published in a widely read UFO bulletin.

Of far more importance to me and relevance to larger issues, I have harbored serious doubts about Dave Jacobs's approach for some time. Because Dave is a longtime friend, this judgment, as it would be for any normal person, has been an uncomfortable, even painful one. It is also one on which I have nothing informed or useful to say beyond firm disbelief in the notion that hybrids are coming to get us. Having had only concerns and questions unlinked to specific facts and revelations, I haven't had reason or occasion to say anything publicly till now. As we all know, things are playing out melodramatically on the Internet these days, and if I have anything of significance to contribute, I will do so. Till then, I'll attend to my own work, from which the necessity to defend myself from ungrounded charges and inexplicable personal accusations is an irksome distraction.

My impressions of Budd - qualified, as I tried to make abundantly clear, by the distance that separates Minnesota from Manhattan - are as stated in my original posting. The reasons behind my opinion are as stated. _Of course_ there are those who are much closer to day-to-day events than I am. They will tell their stories which - as we have already seen - are hardly identical to Carol's. This house has multiple stories.

Unlike Carol, I do not mistake Hopkins/Jacobs, even though close friends, for a single entity with a single brain. Carol does not cite the actual words of my alleged criticisms, or the context or the name of the recipient of same. Like the rest of you, I often think aloud in private communication as I'm working my way through a complex or troubling issue. That's why it's _private_. That's why people don't serve food until it's cooked. It is only when I've thought something through and am willing to stand by it that I put it out there for whoever cares to see, consider, and agree or disagree.

It is thus entirely possible that at some time I may have expressed some concern about some specific instance or approach or action of Budd's. So what? In the end, I came to the conclusion - personalities of specific individuals removed from the equation - that the abduction phenomenon, while surely anomalous, is not what it appears to be. I came to that conclusion, let me repeat, without having to demonize any specific human being, fallible as each of us (Carol excepted) is. Apparently, in Carol's world it's not possible to arrive at conclusions without accompanying them with blistering denunciations of colleagues.

>Essentially, the leadership position of the UFO field seems to >be this: Those guys are our best buddies! What they do with

>their research subjects is _not_ our problem. People like Emma
>Woods and "Dora" should just take a hike. The abductionists'
>over-the-top conclusions about the coming end of the human
>species are not our problem, either. It's a helluva a lot more
>fun to hang out after a long-day's seminar with two entertaining
>friends than it is to speak up for what is rightfully gained
>knowledge, for what is ethical, moral, and true.

"The abductionists' over-the-top conclusions about the coming end of the human species"? That sounds like Jacobs, obviously, but "the abductionists" as an entire species? It's news to me that this is the conclusion of "abductionists" everywhere, or even of a significant faction of them. And Carol is accusing _others_ of playing loosely with facts?

I have tried to be generous, obviously to a futile end. Rather than have to repeat myself, I reprint below - so that my meaning remains plain after this concerted effort to obfuscate it - what I said last time. I doubt that the views expressed will look all that controversial or contemptible to anybody but Carol:

"My sole point, which I would have thought blindingly apparent to any adult reader, is that an ex-spouse has his or her story, but - as we have already seen - it isn't the only one to be told.... I am _not_ accusing anybody of insincerity, by the way, nor am I urging onlookers not to read or consider what interested persons, Carol included, have written recalling their particular (and, as we've already seen, conflicting) observations.... Carol has said what she wants to say, I've said what I have to say, and now others can tell their stories. Nobody owns this story."

Can we hear from somebody else now?

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 9

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul> Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 15:28:34 -0200 Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 13:15:06 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:28:38 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 17:51:21 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:17:57 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

Gildas and Jerry, I join you guys and a few other friends here in defense of Budd (and, of course, David), a man without whom we would be in the dark ages when it comes to abduction research. He made a few mistakes? Who haven't? Especially in such a complex and metamorphic area as Ufology, or worst, abductions.

I rather have Budd around doing his extraordinary research and making a few mistakes than have nobody else doing what he has decided to devote his life to, very bravely to say the least. In fact, we all owe Budd knowledge about the abductions that we couldn't find anywhere else when he started. I even trusted him to hypnotize me some 10-12 years ago.

On a personal note, Carol, I met you with Budd in several occasions, in conferences around the globe, and quite frankly I read your initial message with an enormous surprise because every time we met (you may remember a few) you appeared to be very happy to be part of those occasions and to be side-by-side with Budd in his research.

Best to you, Gildas and Jerry. Gildas, long time no see. And I wish peace to Carol's mind and heart.

A. J. Gevaerd Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine E-mail 1: <u>aj</u>.nul E-mail 2: <u>ajgevaerd</u>.nul E-mail 3: <u>ajgevaerd</u>.nul Site: <u>www.ufo.com.br</u>

Our communities: Yahoo: <u>http://br.groups.yahoo.com/group/Revista_UFO</u> Orkut: <u>http://www.orkut.com.br/Main#Community?cmm=67462</u> Twitter: <u>http://twitter.com/revistaufo</u> Facebook: <u>http://www.facebook.com/revistaufo</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

FBI Confirms Meeting With Davenport?

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1.nul></u> Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 19:30:12 -0000 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:04:22 -0500 Subject: FBI Confirms Meeting With Davenport?

I have no idea if this is new or even significant but I noticed the below few paragraphs as part of a long and fair article on Peter Davenport in the Seattle Weekly.

The full article is here:

http://tinyurl.com/6kdkzgb

It confirms - at least from the newspaper's claimed recently received emails from an FBI agent - Peter's story that he was requested to meet FBI agents following the Pheonix Lights sightings.

The section of the story says:

On April 21, 1997, five weeks after the Phoenix Lights, a special agent in the FBI's New York office named Steve Bongardt called and left Davenport a message.

"He said, 'We know who you are. We have seen [the sightings] you have posted on your website and we have found them very interesting, and we would like to meet you.'"

Davenport says he met with two agents a month later, for four hours, at a home in Rutherford, N.J.

"[Bongardt] said UFOs are for real," Davenport remembers. "Number two, he said, 'We know what UFOs are and what they appear to be, namely sophisticated craft under intelligent control,' and number three, 'The people in government are a bit worried.' I was stunned to silence."

Two weeks ago, on January 27, Bongardt e-mailed Seattle Weekly to say that he could confirm only that he was and remains a special agent with the FBI, and that he and the other agent(s), whose names cannot be disclosed, did meet with Davenport in May 1997. Bongardt would not divulge anything further.

Davenport has had no correspondence since that meeting with either agent."

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m10-001.shtml[10/12/2011 12:41:20]

[Next Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 10

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: **Carol Rainey** <<u>csrainey2.nul></u> Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 13:54:35 -0500 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:06:44 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:28:38 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:17:57 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>I think that you miss an important point that Jerry Clark, to >the contrary, has well seen. It is a question of decency.

>You are talking about a man who has done a great amount of >ground breaking research on abductions. It was, and still is, a >difficult and risky task, requiring a lot of intelligence and >courage among other things, and where one may be mistaken.

<snip>

>>My sole point, which I would have thought blindingly apparent to >>any adult reader, is that an ex-spouse has his or her story, but >>- as we have already seen - it isn't the only one to be told.

Yet again, we're back to the personal. I was talking about research methods. I wasn't talking about one man. My entire message is that the two primary alien abduction researchers are using faulty, dangerous and deceptively distorted techniques. That some of their subjects are getting hurt. _Two_ guys and their silent cohorts.

So that probably adds up to at least two dozen miscreants.

Decency... Nobody seems to decently care about the patients of these two researchers. Nobody on this list seems to give a damn about how Jacobs has damaged a young woman while she was hypnotized by falsely placing in her mind the self-serving diagnosis that she was profoundly mentally ill. This history professor diagnosed Ms. Woods with Multiple Personality Disorder to save himself from an imaginary hybrid.

Decency requires people in this field to listen to him do it on tape. Don't take my word for it.

Decency would require people in this field to give a damn that one of the cases I worked on with Budd, "Dora," had been diagnosed 3 years earlier by two abduction-friendly therapists as suffering from severe mental illness--not alien abduction. She needed to be treated for years of severe sexual and physical abuse, not regressed into a spaceship or brought under hypnosis to meet Ralph Nader and Colin Powell in cahoots with the aliens or facilitate her paddling about in a vat of floating human body parts.

Decency requires that "Dora" get the help she needed, not be milked for her output of high strangeness.

Re: Budd Hopkins

You people have _not_ done the decent thing by these vulnerable subjects and scores more. Yet the bearer of bad news is the one you see as not decent.

Let me ask you, if Daddy's diddling the kids or Father John is playing with little boys or Dr. Smith is manipulating hypnotized patients into delivering the lurid story he wants for his book-tell me, Mr. Bourdais, when _is_ a decent time to let the public know?

The implication certainly seems to be, well... never.

Carol Rainey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 10

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:56:09 -0700 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:08:32 -0500 Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200
>Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

>As I informed in my previous message,

>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml

>we already have a complete version of the new information about >the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO >Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine >(www.ufo.com.br).

>As stated before, the difference between this new info and >previous attempts to discredit the case and Barauna's photos >(some of them that didn't stand up for more than 5 minutes), is >that this time the new witness to make his claims, Marcelo >Ribeiro, is Barauna's nephew and was actually very close to him, >like a son.

Question for Brazilian UFO Magazine:

Since there was a 14 second period by which all the photos were allegedly taken, how much time would you actually allow for slop in that published estimate? For instance, if it was proven they were instead taken over a two minute window rather than the reported 14 seconds, would that change your mind? What if 10 minutes had passed, etc.?

At what point would you have to concede their forgery if only time itself became established factually in contrast to the Barauna 14 second Barauna account? What would the cut-off... the acceptable cut-off number be for all of you: for acceptance vs forgery, etc?

I'm would ask each of you to give a collective or separate response.

Thanks,

G. Paloma

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 18:21:04 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:09:54 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 20:55:27 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 09:35:16 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>From: Nigel Watson <<u>nigelwatson1</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:30:32 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: 'Standard Abduction Experience'

>>>Now that Cathy Reason and Jerome Clarke have rubbished the >>>importance and methodology of psychology, psychiatry and >>>psychosocial ufology, can we all be happy that alien abduction >>>research rises above them like a towering edifice of reason?

>>>Of course not.

>>Damning all things psyche aside, "first do no harm" applies
>>here. So does "people don't care how much you know until they
>>know how much you care."

>>When proving a theory trumps the two guides above, all results >>are suspect. When ethics are questionable, that's when the claws >>come out in any association. And yes, it's always a two- way >>street.

>>Client-centered, compassionate caring and constructive
>>counseling increasing coping capacity; that's the goal of
>>psychology and psychiatry. It should also be the goal of those
>>who deal with abductees of any type.

>>And you probably already know that.

>>For those who don't, I highly recommend Motivational >>Interviewing which I use daily in my work. You can find out more >>about it by clicking this link:

>>http://www.motivationalinterview.org/

>Nigel:

>I accessed the website you recommended. For me, it was a >disappointment as I was looking for a definition of MI and >didn't find any. Just text promoting itself. Nothing wrong with >that, but I was looking for info providing more specific >details.

>Where are you on the issue of so-called "alien abductions?"

>KK

Kathy:

You wrote to Nigel above. But I think the question was for me as the author of the recommendation of MI. So I'll attempt an answer based on that presumption. And as you're probably aware, I tried to clarify this with you off List.

I redirect you to the website listed above. It _does_ carry a definition, and a good one, about Motivational Interviewing. You might also benefit from reviewing the links at that website, to round out your education on the topic.

No harm done Kathy. A simple, but actual reading of the material at the website, not just saying you did, will enlighten you to your greatest desire. As would a review of who you're actually responding to on this List.

All the best!

Your comrade in investigation,

Rick

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 10

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Emma Woods <e.woods33.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 20:57:39 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:24:53 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 21:04:10 +0000
>Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:07:00 -0500
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

<snip>

>I was more concerned with her attempt to have Jacobs >investigated by his institution based on the ICF she >signed.

>The ICF she claimed to have signed has never been >seen in its entirety.

>If the emphasis was on the fact that she was >volunteering and could quit at any time, I have not >seen this statement she claims was in the ICF. >Usually, there should be something stated along >those lines in the ICF.

>I would rather treat the individual with respect and >listen to her complaint, and her struggles to get >her complaint to be taken seriously by Jacobs >employer. That would require a legal reading of the >ICF.

The form citing Temple University that Dr. Jacobs and I signed when he took me on as his research subject is available here:

http://tinyurl.com/4rj6oug

Gary Haden has published an analysis of both of the forms that Dr. Jacobs and I signed:

http://tinyurl.com/48qpkh4

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 22:22:30 -0800 (PST) Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:30:35 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 10:24:19 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>In any event, hardly anything of my original >posting was about you [Carol Rainey]->disappointingly, I'm sure, to you - but about >Budd as a man, his work, and his current >physical incapacity, which makes it all but >impossible for him to respond to your myriad >accusations.

Okay, I am going to ask a difficult question here:

Are you sure that Budd Hopkins is really not able to respond to Carol Rainey's critique of his research?

The reason that I ask that question is because Hopkins has recently written a vicious statement about me for the upcoming issue of UFO Magazine, in which he tries to portray me as mentally ill as part of his continued attempt to cover up David Jacobs' abuse of me as a research subject.

If Hopkins can write a statement attacking me in defense of Jacobs, then it raises the question as to why he cannot write a response to Rainey's critique of his research?

>I also said that in my experience and observation, abductees >who have worked with him tend to speak warmly of him, and I >have heard no complaints from participating medical >professionals about his methodology.

Hopkins knows that when Jacobs had me in the vulnerable hypnotic state, that he implanted hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder.

As the leading abduction researcher in the world, and as Jacobs' close colleague, what did Hopkins do about this?

Hopkins tried to help Jacobs to cover it up by publicly defaming so that no one would listen to me when I tried to tell people what he did.

If you think seriously about that, I think that it says all you need to know about Hopkins' "methodology".

I can absolutely guarantee that any competent medical professional who knows that Hopkins supports Jacobs in implanting hypnotic suggestions in my subconscious mind that I had a serious mental disorder, and that he is assisting Jacobs in trying to destroy my personal reputation so that no one will listen to me as I try to make that known, will have serious complaints about Hopkins' methodology.

>Of far more importance to me and relevance to larger issues, I >have harbored serious doubts about Dave Jacobs's approach for >some time. Because Dave is a longtime friend, this judgment, as >it would be for any normal person, has been an uncomfortable, Re: Budd Hopkins

>even painful one. It is also one on which I have nothing >informed or useful to say beyond firm disbelief in the notion >that hybrids are coming to get us. Having had only concerns and >questions unlinked to specific facts and revelations, I haven't >had reason or occasion to say anything publicly till now. As we >all know, things are playing out melodramatically on the >Internet these days, and if I have anything of significance to >contribute, I will do so.

You know that Jacobs implanted hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I have Multiple Personality Disorder. You can listen to the audio clip on my website of him doing it. You can read his attempt to justify doing it on his website. You can listen to him on his recent Coast to Coast AM interview admitting that he did it, and again trying to justify it.

If that does not give you a reason or occasion to say anything publicly, I do not know what will.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

From: William Sawers <<u>orkal</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 14:17:12 +1000 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:35:37 -0500 Subject: Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>From: Bruce Maccabee
brumac.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Dec 2010 13:19:57 -0500 (EST)
>Subject: Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>>From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 17 Dec 2010 02:38:30 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>>>From: Donald Johnson <<u>ufocat2006</u>.nul>
>>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 16 Dec 2010 05:46:59 -0800 (PST)
>>>Subject: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>>>Dancing Multicolored UFO Videoed In Thailand 13 December 2010

>>><u>www.youtube.com/watch?v=tsuyNw1MH-g</u>

>>>This UFO was videoed by my colleague at work, and witnessed by >>>his wife and him. The witnesses are both in their 30s and >>>college educated professionals.

Don contacted me before he posted a message to UpDates.

<snip>

Hi Bruce,

This video immediately reminded me of the Kaikoura sighting in New Zealand in 1978. There does seem to be similarities to the way the movement does circle back on itself and appear to 'dance'.

Could there be any relationship, or did it remind you of Kaikoura as well? I know you did extensive research on both sightings, more especially NZ '78?

Regards,

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

UFO And Alien Subjects Veiled In Naked Lunch

From: Terry Groff <terry.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 23:58:00 -0600
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 07:42:41 -0500
Subject: UFO And Alien Subjects Veiled In Naked Lunch

Source: Huliq.Com

http://tinyurl.com/4nmbptm

2011-02-09

UFO And Alien Subjects Veiled In Naked Lunch, As Book Turns 50 by Dave Masko

Bray'S Point, Ore. -"You're not quite human are you", questioned William S. Burroughs after one of his alien encounters that's detailed in the special 50th anniversary edition of his landmark book Naked Lunch that includes additions by the author about UFOs and aliens; while, also stating that there's a "lack of interest and fear of finding the truth", as to why UFOs have not become the story of the century, as it should be. Naked Lunch reaches 50 year milestone.

Naked Lunch was originally published with the title The Naked Lunch in Paris in 1959. But, because of U.S. obscenity laws and Burroughs frankness about UFOs and aliens, the complete American edition did not follow until 1962.

However, a special 50th anniversary edition with rare outtakes and interview transcripts has just been published, and based on the complete Burroughs writings that were in the possession of the great American poet Allen Ginsberg.

Time magazine put Naked Lunch on its list of 100 Best English-Language Novels, and its fame also extended to the 1991 film Naked Lunch by David Cronenberg.

Burroughs states in his introduction that the famed Beat writer Jack Kerouac suggested the title. "The title means exactly what the words say: naked lunch, a frozen moment when everyone sees what is on the end of every fork."

Burroughs and UFO encounter

The legendary UFO and alien close encounter writer Whitley Strieber stated in an interview about his meetings with Burroughs - who he called "one of my favorite authors" - that he read Strieber's Communion books and wished to encounter the "visitors" firsthand.

Burroughs had already had a taste of meeting with aliens during a much documented 1976 visit to meet with friend Ken Kesey at his Bray's Point home. Today, there's a tree at Bray's Point that includes Burroughs initials WSB carved in it near the Kesey summer home.

"Burroughs was on the list of visitors to Bray's Point", explained Steve Hallett , a retired logger from the region who's hobby is collecting artifacts of the coastal Native American tribes that "worshipped the hovering flying discs."

Hallett is also a member of the Oregon UFO group called the "watchers", who frequent the areas along the central Oregon coast that include Stonefield Beach and Bray's Point. In fact,

Hallett likes to joke that "all roads lead to Bray's Point and UFOs."

At the same time, Hallett notes an interesting convergence at Bray's Point back in 1976 - the location of author Ken Kesey's summer home - that links the late Dr. J. Allen Hynek with UFO events that are still breaking in today's world.

Both Burroughs and Hynek attended Kesey's 1976 "Intrepid Trips Society for Aesthetic Revolutionary Training " Festival in Eugene and Bray's Point. It was also known as the First and Last Annual Poetic Hoo-Haw, and featured other top writers and thinkers of the day.

Burroughs went deep to unearth alien life

"Burroughs uses Naked Lunch to take on everything, framing a work of literary resistance on the most fundamental terms", writes David L. Ulin who produced a special afterword for the 50th anniversary edition of Naked Lunch. Ulin is the author of "The Myth of Solid Ground: Earthquakes, Prediction and the Fault Line Between Reason and Faith."

Along those lines, the editors of Naked Lunch point to the many "myths surrounding Burroughs beliefs in UFO and alien life on Earth".

For instance, the 50th anniversary edition includes outtakes and interview transcripts that were considered too crazy because of his "alien talk", in the sixties when civil rights and women's rights trumped those who believed in visitors from another planet.

"Every race and condition and potentiality no matter now vile and horrible must merge into new forms. Just as the disciplines of physics, literature cannot maintain separate existence in the 'light' of the facts of ESP and alien life. This understanding that we are not alone will knock down our wall of misunderstanding with a sledgehammer", wrote Burroughs in his Naked Lunch outtakes.

Moreover, Burroughs describes the alien's he encountered as having "faces with the eerie innocence of old peoples."

Overall, the writer's words about UFOs and aliens are veiled within a text that both disturbs and inspires, say fans.

"Nature has a way of biting back, and teaching us lessons. Why should we not look for answers about alien life? It's fear of finding out that's kept this from being the story of the century", said Burroughs during one of his last interviews about UFO sightings before his death.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 10

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 13:06:19 -0000
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 09:33:23 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:56:09 -0700
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <<u>aj</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200
>>Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>As I informed in my previous message,

>>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml

>>we already have a complete version of the new information about
>>the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO
>>Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine
>>(www.ufo.com.br).

>>As stated before, the difference between this new info and >>previous attempts to discredit the case and Barauna's photos >>(some of them that didn't stand up for more than 5 minutes), is >>that this time the new witness to make his claims, Marcelo >>Ribeiro, is Barauna's nephew and was actually very close to >>him, like a son.

>Question for Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>Since there was a 14 second period by which all the photos were >allegedly taken, how much time would you actually allow for slop >in that published estimate? For instance, if it was proven they >were instead taken over a two minute window rather than the >reported 14 seconds, would that change your mind? What if 10 >minutes had passed, etc.?

>At what point would you have to concede their forgery if only >time itself became established factually in contrast to the >Barauna 14 second Barauna account? What would the cut-off... the >acceptable cut-off number be for all of you: for acceptance vs >forgery, etc?

>I'm would ask each of you to give a collective or separate >response.

Greg

Excuse me for butting in, but when considering the answers you get you might want to remember that the 14 seconds was stated by Barauna to have been the minumum time required to take 6 rapid shots with his Rolleiflex, measured by the Navy timing him.

This does not necessarily mean that the actual event duration was 14 seconds (allowing for confusion and excitement, being jostled - as claimed - and keeping the eye away from the viewfinder to get a good look etc), and the result was not so interpreted by the Navy, who IIRC estimated that the duration was probably "less than1 minute".

There is certainly a tension between plausible duration and

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

likely duration estimated from cloud motions. This tension may amount to a discrepancy. But the 14 second figure is a bit of a red herring.

Regards

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

From: Joe McGonagle <<u>ioe.mcgonagle.nul></u>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 14:26:26 +0000
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 11:07:28 -0500
Subject: Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

USA Today

http://tinyurl.com/6lavrju

1/31/2011 Doyle Rice

The truth is up there =97 and it isn't alien spaceships or covert government operations.

"Hole punch" clouds, so named for gaps in clouds that appear to be made by a giant hole punch, have fascinated and worried people around the world for decades, leading to speculation about UFOs and other sinister plots.

In October 2009, a hole-punch cloud caused a furor in Russia, sparking such headlines as "UFO cloud hovers over Moscow" in the China Daily newspaper.

Now a study led by scientist Andrew Heymsfield of the Boulder, Colo.-based National Center for Atmospheric Research found that airplanes taking off or landing are the likely cause of these cloud holes. The airplanes can also cause additional rain or snow to fall after creating the holes.

(Full article available at URL above.)

[Originally post to the List in

Newslinks - 30-01-11

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/jan/m31-006.shtml]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 15:47:39 +0100 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 11:10:34 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 13:54:35 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:28:38 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 19:17:57 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>>I think that you miss an important point that Jerry Clark, to >>the contrary, has well seen. It is a question of decency.

>>You are talking about a man who has done a great amount of
>>ground breaking research on abductions. It was, and still is, a
>>difficult and risky task, requiring a lot of intelligence and
>>courage among other things, and where one may be mistaken.

><snip>

>>>My sole point, which I would have thought blindingly apparent to >>>any adult reader, is that an ex-spouse has his or her story, but >>>- as we have already seen - it isn't the only one to be told.

>Yet again, we're back to the personal. I was talking about >research methods. I wasn't talking about one man. My entire >message is that the two primary alien abduction researchers are >using faulty, dangerous and deceptively distorted techniques. >That some of their subjects are getting hurt. _Two_ guys and >their silent cohorts.

Carol,

Your answer amazes me again. Of course you talked about Budd Hopkins and you did all you could to destroy is crediblity. You even made a pejorative remark about his artistic career!

The fact that I felt the need to react to that does not mean that I don't care about the mistakes he may have made.

This is another unfair, unfounded, accusation on your part.

AS my friend A.J. Gevaerd just said, I saw you too, in San Marino in 1998, having obviously a very good time with Budd. And I don't like the way you talk about him now.

This is my last comment, I hope.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 10

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 16:13:50 +0100
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 11:12:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 22:22:30 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 10:24:19 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>In any event, hardly anything of my original
>>posting was about you [Carol Rainey]>>disappointingly, I'm sure, to you - but about
>>Budd as a man, his work, and his current
>>physical incapacity, which makes it all but
>>impossible for him to respond to your myriad
>>accusations.

>Okay, I am going to ask a difficult question here:

>Are you sure that Budd Hopkins is really not able to respond to >Carol Rainey's critique of his research?

What if Budd just does not want to quarrel publicly with his exwife? Having met him several times, in conferences, it's quite plausible to me. Again, a matter of decency.

I dont know your problem with David Jacobs, and its hard for me, not being completely fluent in English, to listen to your tapes, so I cannot really judge for myself. I am willing to admit that he made a severe mistake with you.

But I can also say that I met David Jacobs several times at conferences and that, like with Budd, I remember a courteous, friendly and quiet person.

So, I am very perplexed by your story.

One question, though: isn't it illegal to record telephone conversations and to make them public? Who can answer that?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 09:29:42 -0600 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 11:31:08 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 22:22:30 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 10:24:19 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>In any event, hardly anything of my original
>>posting was about you [Carol Rainey]>>disappointingly, I'm sure, to you - but about
>>Budd as a man, his work, and his current
>>physical incapacity, which makes it all but
>>impossible for him to respond to your myriad
>>accusations.

>Okay, I am going to ask a difficult question here:

>Are you sure that Budd Hopkins is really not able to respond to >Carol Rainey's critique of his research?

>The reason that I ask that question is because Hopkins has
>recently written a vicious statement about me for the upcoming
>issue of UFO Magazine, in which he tries to portray me as
>mentally ill as part of his continued attempt to cover up David
>Jacobs' abuse of me as a research subject.

News to me, if true. If "vicious", moreover, that would be uncharacteristic of Budd.

>If Hopkins can write a statement attacking me in defense of >Jacobs, then it raises the question as to why he cannot write a >response to Rainey's critique of his research?

Remains, I guess, to be seen.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: FBI Confirms Meeting With Davenport?

From: Bruce Maccabee

Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:22:21 -0500 (EST)
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:30:31 -0500
Subject: Re: FBI Confirms Meeting With Davenport?

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 19:30:12 -0000
>Subject: FBI Confirms 4 Hour Meeting With Davenport?

>I have no idea if this is new or even significant but I noticed >the below few paragraphs as part of a long and fair article on >Peter Davenport in the Seattle Weekly.

>The full article is here:

><u>http://tinyurl.com/6kdkzqb</u>

>It confirms - at least from the newspaper's claimed recently >received emails from an FBI agent - Peter's story that he was >requested to meet FBI agents following the Pheonix Lights >sightings.

>The section of the story says:

>On April 21, 1997, five weeks after the Phoenix Lights, a >special agent in the FBI's New York office named Steve Bongardt >called and left Davenport a message.

>"He said, 'We know who you are. We have seen [the sightings] you >have posted on your website and we have found them very >interesting, and we would like to meet you.'"

>Davenport says he met with two agents a month later, >for four hours, at a home in Rutherford, N.J.

>"[Bongardt] said UFOs are for real," Davenport remembers. >"Number two, he said, 'We know what UFOs are and what they >appear to be, namely sophisticated craft under intelligent >control,' and number three, 'The people in government are a bit > worried.' I was stunned to silence."

>Two weeks ago, on January 27, Bongardt e-ma>iled Seattle Weekly >to say that he could confirm only that he was and remains a >special agent with the FBI, and that he and the other agent(s), >whose names cannot be disclosed, did meet with Davenport in May >1997. Bongardt would not divulge anything further.

>Davenport has had no correspondence since that meeting with >either agent."

Davenport should file a FOIPA request with the FBI giving his full name, place of birth and date of the meeting and with whom.

Based on my experience of having been interviewed by the FBI months after I met a Russian embassy employee at a UFO symposium in the DC area back in 1993, I would guess that he will receive a partially censored document that confirms the meeting but does not provide the important part of the content of the discussion.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

PopMech - Are We Alone?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 16:59:28 -0000
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:32:54 -0500
Subject: PopMech - Are We Alone?

'Popular Mechanics' article

Are We Alone?

Life almost certainly exists beyond our planet. Ask most astronomers, and they'll say it's not a matter of if, but when we find extraterrestrial life (or, for those more paranoid, it finds us). Several recent discoveries have brought this radical moment tantalizingly closer.

From a pure numbers point of view, more stars mean more planets, which in turn mean more chances for life. To wit: Late last year, researchers reported there are three times as many stars in the universe as previously reckoned. These easily overlooked stars are dim, low-mass red dwarfs much smaller than our sun. While not ideal for the development of life, these slow-burning stars could have served as hosts for habitable planets for nearly as long as the 13.7-billion-year-old universe has existed - oodles of time for extraterrestrial creatures to take hold. (It took close to a billion years for the emergence of earthly bacteria.)... More at site:

http://tinyurl.com/6cq98ay

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m10-015.shtml[10/12/2011 12:41:38]

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: **Carol Rainey** <<u>csrainey2.nul></u> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:09:01 -0500 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 16:41:29 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 15:47:39 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 13:54:35 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:28:38 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>>I think that you miss an important point that Jerry Clark, to >>>the contrary, has well seen. It is a question of decency.

>>You are talking about a man who has done a great amount of >>>ground breaking research on abductions. It was, and still is, a >>>difficult and risky task, requiring a lot of intelligence and >>>courage among other things, and where one may be mistaken.

>><snip>

>>>>My sole point, which I would have thought blindingly apparent to >>>any adult reader, is that an ex-spouse has his or her story, but >>>- as we have already seen - it isn't the only one to be told.

>>Yet again, we're back to the personal. I was talking about >>research methods. I wasn't talking about one man. My entire >>message is that the two primary alien abduction researchers are >>using faulty, dangerous and deceptively distorted techniques. >>That some of their subjects are getting hurt. _Two_ guys and >>their silent cohorts.

>Carol,

>Your answer amazes me again. Of course you talked about Budd >Hopkins and you did all you could to destroy his crediblity. You >even made a pejorative remark about his artistic career!

Mr. Bourdais,

I don't know you and you don't know me.

But I do hope your UFO work is supported by something substantially better than the deductive powers you reveal in drawing sweeping conclusions from a single, factual sentence. It's like being certain that one light in the sky is The MotherShip.

Your assumptions about my motives were blatantly emotional, personalized and, forgive me, thoroughly ignorant.

In my Paratopia article, I stated one specific fact you took

exception to and have raised _twice_ now - that the pinnacle of Budd Hopkins' art career occurred many years earlier.

Statement of fact. Check out when his one-man shows were held. Check out if any major museums who bought an early work have ever actually displayed his work. Check out Christies. Check out art auction indexes. Check out MoMA's current comprehensive, retrospective of American Abstract Expressionists. If you know anything about any of this I'd be quite startled.

On the walls of my apartment, by my choice, are many, many of Budd's works of art, two of them life-sized and in the living room. They are bold, powerful and evocative. I have total respect for Budd's art and always have.

Again, I referred to the _facts_ of his place in the established art world of New York City. That single statement does not refer to the quality of work nor the fact that he has certainly continued to work as an artist for most of his life. All things I continue to admire about Budd Hopkins.

Since you are unaware of the structure of the art world, I'll just briefly say that the career trajectory of any aspiring artist (who, in this case, began his career in the 1950s) is primarily determined by the power brokers in art capitals like NYC, London, Paris, etc. They are the major galleries, major dealers, collectors and museum curators - as well as the whim of the public and its ever-shifting tastes in art. Combined, they determine the level of fame, number of one-man shows, and auction value of an artist's work.

I stand by my statement of fact. If you want details, look them up.

I hope you enjoy getting to know the art world.

Carol Rainey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

From: Bruce Maccabee
brumac.nul> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:33:31 -0500 (EST) Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 16:43:23 -0500 Subject: Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>From: William Sawers <<u>orkal</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 14:17:12 +1000
>Subject: Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <<u>brumac</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 17 Dec 2010 13:19:57 -0500 (EST)
>>Subject: Re: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>>Date: Thu, 16 Dec 2010 05:46:59 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Dancing Multicolored Thai UFO Video

>>>Dancing Multicolored UFO Videoed In Thailand 13 December 2010

>>><u>www.youtube.com/watch?v=tsuyNw1MH-g</u>

>>>This UFO was videoed by my colleague at work, and witnessed by
>>>his wife and him. The witnesses are both in their 30s and
>>>college educated professionals.
<snip>

>Hi Bruce,

>This video immediately reminded me of the Kaikoura sighting in >New Zealand in 1978. There does seem to be similarities to the >way the movement does circle back on itself and appear to >'dance'.

>Could there be any relationship, or did it remind you of >Kaikoura as well? I know you did extensive research on both >sightings, more especially NZ '78?

The rapid image motion in the Kaikoura film was probably not caused by rapid motion of the object. What is known is that the camera was held on the shoulder of the cameraman for most of the film, and especially for the sections that were shown on documentaries, and therefore the camera wobbled a lot because he couldn't hold it perfectly steady. There was one frame which had a complicated image trail that resembles a "ampersand" figure. This was most likely due to a rapid vibration caused by the camera hitting the ceiling of the cockit as the cameraman tried to point the camera toward the light outside the airplane.

I can't rule out occasional rapid motions by the light itself, but "hand vibration" can explain most if not all of the image motion.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1.nul></u>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 16:53:30 -0500
Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

A picture of an archetypal 'grey' being, photos from another dimension appearing on sealed up Polaroid film, mystery lights filmed swirling around witnesses and newspapers from the past plus other solid objects materialising in a room...

These and many more wonders feature in a new documentary on line called The Afterlife Investigations freely viewable here:

http://www.vimeo.com/18714325

As I've discovered previously, this List is not exactly open to the idea of psychic connections with UFOs - despite the latter sharing much phenomena with the former.

But when in the UK village of Scole, a couple of Professors and many other scientists, investigate for two years, witness the events and can find no evidence of hoaxing then surely this documentary must be worth a viewing?

The film - more details here:

http://www.theafterlifeinvestigations.com/

deals primarily with physical phenomena designed to prove - as the title suggests - life after death.

But the investigative group also claim contact with further dimensions which is where the possible ET being they called Blue, comes in.

I personally knew many of the scientists involved (most have now died) and Tim Coleman the film-maker (still very much alive) and can vouch for their authenticity and sanity.

Although not perfect, this evidence for physical paranormal phenomena at least, is as good as it gets.

It would be pleasing if some of the less nuts-and-bolts orientated List members I know exist would comment on this without fear of mockery from the hardliners...

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 10

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:10:27 +0000 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 16:55:41 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 20:57:39 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 21:04:10 +0000
>>Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:07:00 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

><snip>

>>I was more concerned with her attempt to have Jacobs >>investigated by his institution based on the ICF she >>signed.

>>The ICF she claimed to have signed has never been >>seen in its entirety.

>>If the emphasis was on the fact that she was
>>volunteering and could quit at any time, I have not
>>seen this statement she claims was in the ICF.
>>Usually, there should be something stated along
>>those lines in the ICF.

>>I would rather treat the individual with respect and >>listen to her complaint, and her struggles to get >>her complaint to be taken seriously by Jacobs >>employer. That would require a legal reading of the >>ICF.

>The form citing Temple University that Dr. Jacobs and >I signed when he took me on as his research subject >is available here:

>http://tinyurl.com/4rj6oug

>Gary Haden has published an analysis of both of the >forms that Dr. Jacobs and I signed:

>http://tinyurl.com/48qpkh4

Ms. Woods:

Where is page one of the "consent form"? Please forward to UFO UpDates.

I have not finished reading the article you attached from Gary Hayden.

The only thing I find so far is that the "consent form" is dated December 2004 and the page you attached does not have the stamp at the bottom showing that the protocol and ICF was reviewed by Temple's IRB. Apparently, Temple created an IRB in 2004, so there should have been some indication that their HSPC had approved it.

Thanks,

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At: http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 10</u>

Re: Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

From: Jim Deardorf <deardorj.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:26:05 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 17:12:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 14:26:26 +0000
>Subject: Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

>Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

>USA Today

>http://tinyurl.com/6lavrju

>1/31/2011 >Doyle Rice

>The truth is up there =97 and it isn't alien spaceships or covert >government operations.

>"Hole punch" clouds, so named for gaps in clouds that appear to >be made by a giant hole punch, have fascinated and worried people >around the world for decades, leading to speculation about UFOs >and other sinister plots.

>In October 2009, a hole-punch cloud caused a furor in Russia, >sparking such headlines as "UFO cloud hovers over Moscow" in the >China Daily newspaper.

>Now a study led by scientist Andrew Heymsfield of the Boulder, >Colo.-based National Center for Atmospheric Research found that >airplanes taking off or landing are the likely cause of these >cloud holes. The airplanes can also cause additional rain or >snow to fall after creating the holes.

>(Full article [by Rice] available at URL above.)

>[Originally post to the List in

>Newslinks - 30-01-11

>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/jan/m31-006.shtml]

I find it difficult to believe that Andy Heymsfield of NCAR would claim that large hole-punch clouds like the one pictured in the USA Today newspaper are to be explained by the mechanism he discussed in his Bulletin article, which was not cited. Heymsfield was apparently explaining how elongated holes in a supercooled cloud or fog layer can be generated by one or more aircraft flying up or down through it. This can produce a highly elongated gap, which does not resemble an almost perfectly circular hole which may be a half mile across within a thin supercooled layer of altocumulus.

The writer of the article, Doyle Rice, seems to have extended the shape of the channels Heymsfield described by using the phrase "holes and channels", thereby bringing hole-punch clouds into the picture. Thus Rice was trying to dispense with the possible UFO explanation for hole-punch clouds.

I've had some email correspondence with some NCAR scientists who are into cloud physics (Charlie Knight and Gabor Vali), and they

Re: Study Closes Hole In 'Hole-Punch' Cloud Theory

admitted (this was in 2006) that rare, large circular holes "punched" in supercooled cloud layers are unexplained. There are conflicting speculations as to just what processes are responsible and at play, and no explanations why/how:

a) such a hole could grow to be so large yet never have been additionally photographed when it was in earlier stages of growth and was considerably or much smaller; and

b) an initially circular hole growing very large from one small spot could remain circular despite small variations in supercooled cloud droplet density and cloud layer thickness, and despite large-scale wind shear and whatever gentle cloud turbulence prevailed.

Jim

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 10

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:54:32 +0000 Archived: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 17:16:44 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 20:57:39 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 21:04:10 +0000
>>Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:07:00 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

><snip>

>>I was more concerned with her attempt to have Jacobs >>investigated by his institution based on the ICF she >>signed.

>>The ICF she claimed to have signed has never been >>seen in its entirety.

>>If the emphasis was on the fact that she was
>>volunteering and could quit at any time, I have not
>>seen this statement she claims was in the ICF.
>>Usually, there should be something stated along
>>those lines in the ICF.

>>I would rather treat the individual with respect and >>listen to her complaint, and her struggles to get >>her complaint to be taken seriously by Jacobs >>employer. That would require a legal reading of the >>ICF.

>The form citing Temple University that Dr. Jacobs and >I signed when he took me on as his research subject >is available here:

>http://tinyurl.com/4rj6oug

>Gary Haden has published an analysis of both of the >forms that Dr. Jacobs and I signed:

>http://tinyurl.com/48qpkh4

Ms. Woods:

I have read those parts of Haden's article that pertain to the basis for his allegations and found his argument on shaky ground. Yes, he quotes the Federal Regulations correctly. Yes, he cites that historic background for implementing an IRB. However, he does not have a background in interacting with a real life IRB.

First and foremost to the issue of the institution's responsibility to the human subject: Dr. Jacobs, quite possibly, because he does not practice medicine, was unaware he needed to design his protocol, including an ICF, according to Fed Regs governing human subjects.

He must have heard something about getting a volunteer to sign a

piece of paper with certain statements on it. We can only guess who and where he heard that from.

(After reading Dr. John Mack's ICF, I wrote to Harvard and pointed out that it did not meet Federal Regulations.

Mack was called before his institution's board to answer for his actions. Much was made of this in the UFO research community. I was appalled the community sided with Mack against the human subject.)

Second, of course Temple U can tell Dr. Jacobs to do remove any reference to the institution he works for. That is the clue, Dr. Jacobs works for Temple, therefore, Temple can tell him what to do with regard to pieces of paper pretending to be legal documents.

Third, he was not doing research and therefore the IRB - never saw nor approved his protocol - and had no jurisdiction over him. Temple was correct in their response.

Fourth, the person Haden should be investigating is Jacobs. Because he was a Professor of History messing around with hypnotherapy, he did not follow the cardinal rule of that technique: Do _not_ transfer on to your patient/client _your_ fears. _If_ he had been a professional, he would have had a professional periodically evaluate his emotional state. Just talking to professionals to pick up tips on how to hypnotize an individual is not enough.

One thing that is offered to human subjects who volunteer, under certain protocols, is monetary compensation.

One other concern of mine regarding Dr. Mack's 'research' was that he used case histories in his books and made money off those books. Mack used the people who came to him. Oh, sure, he offered them his time, comfort and solace, but he was compensated by the royalties he made; he was paid to make trips to Europe, etc., etc.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 21:52:16 +0000 Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:17:56 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 15:47:39 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 13:54:35 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:28:38 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>Carol,

>Your answer amazes me again. Of course you talked about Budd >Hopkins and you did all you could to destroy is crediblity. You >even made a pejorative remark about his artistic career!

>The fact that I felt the need to react to that does not mean >that I don't care about the mistakes he may have made.

>This is another unfair, unfounded, accusation on your part.

>AS my friend A.J. Gevaerd just said, I saw you too, in San >Marino in 1998, having obviously a very good time with Budd. And >I don't like the way you talk about him now.

>This is my last comment, I hope.

Glidas:

I hope this is the last comment about Budd Hopkins because some people in the UFO research community - if it can be called that - seem unable to focused on any other information except what they read in other researchers' books. Too bad there is so much recent neurological research being done that might be relevant to ufo research.

Control of the Mind are papers published after a symposium sponsored by Schering-Plough before it merged with Merck.

As I was reading Arthur Koestler's paper, I wondered if Hopkins had ever read any of his essays. Because Hopkins was an artist, he understood the emotional effect color has on the observer. He manipulated color for his own emotional pleasure.

However, he had little or no understanding what color and tone does to effect brain chemistry. Or, maybe, he did but never discussed that aspect of what in the witness's environment might have triggered a highly strange event.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 22:08:22 +0000
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:21:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>A picture of an archetypal 'grey' being, photos from another >dimension appearing on sealed up Polaroid film, mystery lights >filmed swirling around witnesses and newspapers from the past >plus other solid objects materialising in a room...

>These and many more wonders feature in a new documentary on line >called The Afterlife Investigations freely viewable here:

>http://www.vimeo.com/18714325

This website would not let me on without personal information from me. I do not respond to requests for personal information from a website robot.

>As I've discovered previously, this List is not exactly open to >the idea of psychic connections with UFOs - despite the latter >sharing much phenomena with the former.

>But when in the UK village of Scole, a couple of Professors and >many other scientists, investigate for two years, witness the >events and can find no evidence of hoaxing then surely this >documentary must be worth a viewing?

>The film - more details here:

>http://www.theafterlifeinvestigations.com/

>deals primarily with physical phenomena designed to prove - as >the title suggests - life after death.

>But the investigative group also claim contact with further >dimensions which is where the possible ET being they called >Blue, comes in.

>I personally knew many of the scientists involved (most have now >died) and Tim Coleman the film-maker (still very much alive) and >can vouch for their authenticity and sanity.

>Although not perfect, this evidence for physical paranormal >phenomena at least, is as good as it gets.

>It would be pleasing if some of the less nuts-and-bolts >orientated List members I know exist would comment on this >without fear of mockery from the hardliners...

Dave:

As you know, I have no fear of being mocked as stated in previous e-mails to this List.

I am still in the process of gathering information to see whether some of my hypothesis have any basis in the new approach to science. As you know, I will admit to some highly strange events in my own life. For me, there have been several contacts with good friends that have passed out of the physical. I am not sure how that happens. This would include the explanation that it is all in my own mind.

However, there has been contact with the packet of energy once called human only to later have verified by proof in the physical agreed upon reality that the individual did in fact transition out of the physical. What can I say...

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 14:03:01 -0700 Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:26:48 -0500 Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 13:06:19 -0000
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:56:09 -0700
>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200
>>>Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>>As I informed in my previous message,

>>><u>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml</u>

>>>we already have a complete version of the new information about
>>>the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO
>>>Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine
>>>(www.ufo.com.br).

<snip>

>>Question for Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>>Since there was a 14 second period by which all the photos were
>>allegedly taken, how much time would you actually allow for slop
>>in that published estimate? For instance, if it was proven they
>>were instead taken over a two minute window rather than the
>>reported 14 seconds, would that change your mind? What if 10
>>minutes had passed, etc.?

>>At what point would you have to concede their forgery if only
>>time itself became established factually in contrast to the
>>Barauna 14 second Barauna account? What would the cut-off... the
>>acceptable cut-off number be for all of you: for acceptance vs
>>forgery, etc?

>I'm would ask each of you to give a collective or separate >response.

>Greq

>Excuse me for butting in, but when considering the answers you >get you might want to remember that the 14 seconds was stated by >Barauna to have been the minumum time required to take 6 rapid >shots with his Rolleiflex, measured by the Navy timing him.

>This does not necessarily mean that the actual event duration >was 14 seconds (allowing for confusion and excitement, being >jostled - as claimed - and keeping the eye away from the >viewfinder to get a good look etc), and the result was not so >interpreted by the Navy, who IIRC estimated that the duration >was probably "less than1 minute".

>There is certainly a tension between plausible duration and

>likely duration estimated from cloud motions. This tension may >amount to a discrepancy. But the 14 second figure is a bit of a >red herring.

Mr Shough,

I much appreciate this reply but this should not also substitute for the anticipated response I most desire from the case champions closest to the event by language, length of study, and assumed detail.

Many interconnecting factors underlie my question including the linear relationship between event time and the number of witnesses reported. This is also in direct correlation to the cloud shift.

We should all agree that the greater the length of time of the actual ufo sighting event, the greater the probability for more witneses. Since we have only a few direct witnesses, we should count that as favoring less time for the transpiration of the event.

Your estimate is reasonable and in the interest of the unavoidable and sought after consensus, I will assume you would settle for a total time of 45 + / - 15 seconds. That is about 9 + / - 3 seconds average between all six shots. Are we agreeed? Do the Brazillian researchers agree as well?

I should add for the readers benefit that photo 4 is actually photo 6, photos 4 and 5 did not contain the object. Also, with six shot we divide by five intervals not six - just in case one wondered how I derived my numbers in the above section.

We could lengthen that time interval between shots 2 and 3 but we must also decrease it proportionately for the others - I say this only because there was an apparent pause and wait between shots 2 and 3 as it came back from behind the central peaks. I believe I'm correct? However, it should not deviate considerably within the stated ranges.

It should be added that not having been encumbered with a camera, those equally startled by the event would be less apt to find excuses for not finding the object in the sky. So, it is amazing we see his first photo of a far away object which does not agree with the assumed prep time one might have expected him to go through. I would rather think that of the six attempted photos that the first image would have been much more over the island and much after it's celebrated entrance.

Does Barauna ever give a revised estimate or better answer for the total photographic time duration? I believe I have also read 30 seconds too, but not sure? If so, how soon after the event did he provide such information?

Still waiting for my response from the others. So, I hope that you might wait a little for them before responding again . . . OK? :)

Kind Regards,

G. Paloma

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages]

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

This Month's Index

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 17:26:20 -0500
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:29:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:09:01 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 15:47:39 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>Your answer amazes me again. Of course you talked about Budd >>Hopkins and you did all you could to destroy his crediblity. You >>even made a pejorative remark about his artistic career!

>Mr. Bourdais,

<snip>

>In my Paratopia article, I stated one specific fact you took >exception to and have raised _twice_ now - that the pinnacle of >Budd Hopkins' art career occurred many years earlier.

>Statement of fact. Check out when his one-man shows were held. >Check out if any major museums who bought an early work have >ever actually displayed his work. Check out Christies. Check out >art auction indexes. Check out MoMA's current comprehensive, >retrospective of American Abstract Expressionists. If you know >anything about any of this I'd be quite startled.

<snip>

So you think Mr. Hopkins' art sucks. Fine. However, what does any of this have to do with claims that Hopkins and/or Jacobs may have committed ethical violations in their use of hypnosis on subjects, or claims that their conclusions were faulty on the facts discovered? Point me to a Belmont Commission violation and I'll listen. But through these many threads all I read is more personal invective than factual claims of official wrongdoing. An official report of wrongdoing by a human subject review board committee would be of interest here. Please post a link, or quotes from an official report available to the public.

As for your assertions that:

- Hypnosis is a poor means for determining a subject's truthful vs. confabulated statements (poor evidence gathering methods)

- Hopkins and Jacobs drew poor conclusions based on the evidence they did uncover (poor evidence analysis methods)

I think you'll find many who agree with these claims. I'm highly skeptical of the so-called 'abduction phenomena' as depicted by the Hopkins-Jacobs-Mack trio. But that doesn't make their work worthless. Many others disagree. That's the norm in all fields, even - apparently - for those on the fringe. It just means that _I'm_ skeptical of their conclusions too. Which is as it ought to be.

But I have to say, like Mr. Clark, who seems to have had his full with this thread (I don't blame him), your messages come across as highly biased and as personal attacks against Hopkins and Jacobs. By your own admission, you had a personal relationship with one of them. Why should list readers not be skeptical of your motives given that this relationship once existed?

Put it a better way, even assuming that everything you write is true: that Hopkins' and Jacobs' abduction evidence gathering and analysis methods were faulty; that Bud Hopkins' art work truly sucks; and that thus Mr. Hopkins is a no-good very bad man... so what? How do your claims benefit resolving the question of what so-called abduction phenomena is all about?

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 22:35:33 +0000
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:32:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:09:01 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

I have been fighting my impulse to take part in this thread for some time, but I think it is time I contributed a a couple of broad observations.

I doubt that Carol or Emma have ever heard of me, my views have been expressed on this List and elsewhere so to summarise them for the benefit of anyone who doesn't already know them (and is in the slightest bit interested):

- I have always doubted the value of hypnotic regression.

- I consider that the use of HR outside a clinical context to be irresponsible, and potentially dangerous to the (person) subject and damaging and misleading for the (UFO) subject.

- I regard people who apply HR without a clinical background to be nothing more than 'dabblers', unable to recognise the dangers involved and unprepared to deal with the problems caused by their actions when they arise. I also have the (admittedly subjective) impression that the dabblers are prone to shirk responsibility for their actions when things to go wrong.

- I also feel that HR has become a form of entertainment. At one time, I recall that someone was actually advertising along the lines of 'let us create a full-blown abduction experience for you for \$100. Mastercard and Visa accepted', much in the same vein as past-life regressions (just how many Henry VIIIs and Joan of Arcs were there?).

That isn't to say that I consider all dabblers to be bad people, just as people who conduct car repairs or home repairs badly aren't intrinsically bad. However, I struggle to understand why they persist in dabbling when others have pointed out to them the dangers of their actions and to that degree, they are at least negligent. I was especially disturbed by Budd's focus on children who are in the main far more vulnerable and impressionable than adults.

Without knowing the individuals concerned personally (Carol, Emma, Budd, and David) it is difficult to reach a meaningful conclusion based on the internet traffic in progress at the moment as to who is at fault, but given my viewpoint as expressed above, I am naturally inclined to sympathise with Carol and especially Emma.

I have had some minor dialogue with David in the past, and he was helpful and friendly. I suspect that all this stuff has led to delusion on his part. I don't recall having any discourse directly with Budd.

When I first read the paratopia article from:

Re: Budd Hopkins

http://tiny.cc/2pzis

which was also posted to the list at:

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/jan/m17-001.shtml

one of my first thoughts was and still is that Carol appears to me to have deliberately avoided turning the situation into a post marital breakdown bitching session against her ex partner. I think the suggestion that she has done so is extremely unfair. I am sure if she wanted to, there is probably a lot more dirty laundry she could pull out of the basket, but it wouldn't be relevant to the topic in hand.

I don't know where this current situation will lead, I actually hope it does end up in court and that David Jacobs finds himself having to pay out massive damages. That might cause people to think twice before dabbling with people's minds.

That's my tuppence worth (ten cents for our colonial readers).

regards, and respect to Carol and Emma,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 22:33:43 -0000
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:33:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>A picture of an archetypal 'grey' being, photos from another >dimension appearing on sealed up Polaroid film, mystery lights >filmed swirling around witnesses and newspapers from the past >plus other solid objects materialising in a room...

>These and many more wonders feature in a new documentary on line >called The Afterlife Investigations freely viewable here:

>http://www.vimeo.com/18714325

<snip>

Sorry folks. I have been informed that since I viewed the film at that site it has become password protected and thus now is unviewable except to a private group.

I will let you know if I find it available elsewhere on the web.

It is, of course, available to buy as a DVD.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: William Sawers <<u>orkal</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 11:21:46 +1000
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:58:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>A picture of an archetypal 'grey' being, photos from another >dimension appearing on sealed up Polaroid film, mystery lights >filmed swirling around witnesses and newspapers from the past >plus other solid objects materialising in a room...

>These and many more wonders feature in a new documentary on line >called The Afterlife Investigations freely viewable here:

>http://www.vimeo.com/18714325

Hi Dave,

Thanks for passing this on.

I had a look at the trailer from the link you provided and it is interesting enough for a more in depth look, but when I checked the above Vimeo site it appears it's "password protected" Do you have a password, or is there any other way to access the full documentary online for free?

Best

William Sawers

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>tkokjo</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:02:48 -0700
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 07:01:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:54:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 20:57:39 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

>First and foremost to the issue of the institution's
>responsibility to the human subject: Dr. Jacobs, quite possibly,
>because he does not practice medicine, was unaware he needed to
>design his protocol, including an ICF, according to Fed Regs
>governing human subjects.

<snip>

>Third, he was not doing research and therefore the IRB - never >saw nor approved his protocol - and had no jurisdiction over >him. Temple was correct in their response.

Ms. Kasten -

I absolutely agree with you that it is possible Dr. Jacobs was unaware of his responsibilities. Having a little experience dealing with IRBS, I also feel it is probable they (the IRB and University administrators) had no awareness of his activities. My reason is simple - the Temple University IRB is undoubtedly staffed with thoroughly competent professionals and the documents presented by Emma would have never passed muster with them. Just how effective an ignorance defense might be for a professional is an interesting question.

The issue of whether or not Dr. Jacobs was doing research is not so clear to me for much depends on how one parses the definitions. The University has deflected the issue by maintaining he was taking oral histories. But under some circumstances even oral histories might pose risks and may be subject to IRB scrutiny. Should questions regarding exemptions arise, the IRB has jurisdiction and makes the determination.

I do understand how the IRB, the History Department chairman and higher administration might not have known what Dr. Jacobs was doing. After Emma's complaint reached them, I am baffled. Polite debates over research definitions, oral histories, jurisdiction and whether he operated within the narrow confines of the official rules all become rather academic distractions in light of her meticulously documented allegations of serious misconduct. Unfortunately, the full facts are still known to only a few.

Based on the University response, Dr. Jacobs seems to be in the clear. If there was no wrongdoing and there will be no book, perhaps he will release his session recordings, reveal how he has been defamed and bring this sad story to a definitive conclusion.

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

Tyler Kokjohn

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 11:14:44 +0100
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 07:06:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:09:01 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 15:47:39 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 13:54:35 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>Carol,

>>Your answer amazes me again. Of course you talked about Budd >>Hopkins and you did all you could to destroy his crediblity. You >>even made a pejorative remark about his artistic career!

>Mr. Bourdais,

>I don't know you and you don't know me.

>But I do hope your UFO work is supported by something >substantially better than the deductive powers you reveal in >drawing sweeping conclusions from a single, factual sentence. >It's like being certain that one light in the sky is The >MotherShip.

>Your assumptions about my motives were blatantly emotional, >personalized and, forgive me, thoroughly ignorant.

>In my Paratopia article, I stated one specific fact you took >exception to and have raised _twice_ now - that the pinnacle of >Budd Hopkins' art career occurred many years earlier.

<snip>

>On the walls of my apartment, by my choice, are many, many of >Budd's works of art, two of them life-sized and in the living >room. They are bold, powerful and evocative. I have total >respect for Budd's art and always have.

<snip>

>Since you are unaware of the structure of the art world, I'll
>just briefly say that the career trajectory of any aspiring
>artist (who, in this case, began his career in the 1950s) is
>primarily determined by the power brokers in art capitals like
>NYC, London, Paris, etc. They are the major galleries, major
>dealers, collectors and museum curators - as well as the whim of
>the public and its ever-shifting tastes in art. Combined, they
>determine the level of fame, number of one-man shows, and
>auction value of an artist's work.

>I stand by my statement of fact. If you want details, look them >up.

>I hope you enjoy getting to know the art world.

Carol,

Yes, you don't know anything about me. Just a couple of informations: I lived three years in New York, from 1967 to 1970. I went there to better study the art scene, and see if I had any chance to become an artist myself (after having studied business administration in France!).

I was a student for a while at the Art Student's League (Stamos class: do you know him?) and at the School of Visual Arts. I had some paintings on deposit in a gallery not far from the MOMA (East Hampton gallery) but the art dealer, and some others (like Roy Lichtenstein, whom I visited) told me gently that I did not have a chance there.

I also studied film production at NYU, before going back to France.

I kept doing painting, had several shows in Paris, but I would not align myself on the the ruling official "avant-garde" of the time. So, little success again.

I kept studying modern art and wrote a book on it, published in 1990, with some 450 color plates (entitled The Modern And The Others). Some good reviews this time, and 6,000 copies all sold.

You see, I do know a bit about modern and contemporary art.

To tell the truth, I am quite aware that Budd Hopkins is not among the biggest names in the field. But, like many others, he is a good, serious, almost austere artist. So, I keep thinking it was quite unfair and out of place to make that pejorative remark on his artistic career, in your harsh critique of him.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: John Rimmer <<u>iohnrimmer</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 10:22:40 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 07:07:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>But when in the UK village of Scole, a couple of Professors and >many other scientists, investigate for two years, witness the >events and can find no evidence of hoaxing then surely this >documentary must be worth a viewing?

For an alternative view of the Scole experiments, see here:

http://magonia.haaan.com/2009/scole/

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Sean Jones <<u>Sean.Jones</u>.nul> Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 11:52:26 +0000 Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 07:09:03 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

I too didn't intend to post on this topic, but as it appear more & more people are stating their views, I will add my tuppence (10cents) worth.

I met Budd twice a number of years ago here in the UK. He was at the Fortean Times UNconvention, and at a book signing. I sought after Budd as he was/is a leading researcher in the field and he was over this side of the pond.

I was rewarded with the chance to sit down with Budd for private chat lasting a little over twenty minutes. Basing my opinion on this, and what I have read about the man is where my view point is coming from.

I thought Budd was, and to my knowledge still is, a genuine, and very likeable man.

I have grave doubts over abductions full stop. Like many other things in the UFO field I did my own research to come to my own conclusions rather than reply on the opinions of others. I have interviewed Ros Reynolds on a Skywatch in Wales. I interviewed a lady in Gravesend, Kent (I still have that interview on tape) and I read many books on the subject.

I have expressed a _concern_ that the vast majority of abductions happen in the US. I think that this puts a skew on any possible results.

I doubt that memories recovered under hypnosis are 100% reliable. To my knowledge (which may be out of date) evidence/reports recovered under hypnosis are not admissible in court in the UK. Ergo, is they are not good enough to use in court, then their veracity is in doubt.

In short, I am sceptical of abductions _per se_ and of hypnotic memory recovery, but I am not in any way in doubt if the genuine honest, and overall decent-ness of Budd Hopkins.

My only comment on this thread.

In an infinite universe infinitely anything is possible. http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/ Sean Jones

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 12:23:52 -0000
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 07:28:33 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 14:03:01 -0700
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 13:06:19 -0000
>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 16:56:09 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>>To: post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 08:48:03 -0200
>>>Subject: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>>>As I informed in my previous message,

>>>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m04-013.shtml

>>>we already have a complete version of the new information
>>>about
>>>the Trindade Island Case available to the International UFO
>>>Community in the website of the Brazilian UFO Magazine
>>>(www.ufo.com.br).

><snip>

>>>Question for Brazilian UFO Magazine:

<snip>

>Mr Shough,

>I much appreciate this reply but this should not also substitute >for the anticipated response I most desire from the case >champions closest to the event by language, length of study, >and assumed detail.

>Many interconnecting factors underlie my question including the >linear relationship between event time and the number of >witnesses reported. This is also in direct correlation to the >cloud shift.

>We should all agree that the greater the length of time of the >actual ufo sighting event, the greater the probability for more >witneses. Since we have only a few direct witnesses, we should >count that as favoring less time for the transpiration of the >event.

>Your estimate is reasonable and in the interest of the >unavoidable and sought after consensus, I will assume you would >settle for a total time of 45 +/- 15 seconds. That is about 9 >+/- 3 seconds average between all six shots. Are we agreeed?

Hi Greg

Your arithmetic is correct, but I offered my comment in a spirit of helping you to callibrate the significance of answers to the question you posed to Brazilian UFO Magazine. I am not "settling for" anything, personally, and prefer that you don't assume anything. There are various figures in the literature, and different assumptions about what they signify and what their source is. It is one ambiguous detail among many. I reserve my right to adapt my judgments on them all without notice in the light of all those "interconnecting factors" that make the whole Trindade puzzle so complex and fascinating. I am an interested observer and await your argument when it comes.

>Do the Brazillian researchers agree as well?

Please do not induct me into any sort of "block vote" on this issue or any other. If "the Brazilian researchers" have a corporate position, then like you I will be interested to see what it is, and why, but I am not joining any campaign team or having any badges pinned on my lapel, thanks ;-)

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 11</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Tyler Kokjohn <TKOKJO.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:22:38 -0700
Archived: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 09:48:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 17:26:20 -0500
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 12:09:01 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 15:47:39 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>>>Your answer amazes me again. Of course you talked about Budd >>>Hopkins and you did all you could to destroy his crediblity. You >>>even made a pejorative remark about his artistic career!

>>Mr. Bourdais,

><snip>

>>In my Paratopia article, I stated one specific fact you took
>>exception to and have raised _twice_ now - that the pinnacle of
>>Budd Hopkins' art career occurred many years earlier.

>>Statement of fact. Check out when his one-man shows were held. >>Check out if any major museums who bought an early work have >>ever actually displayed his work. Check out Christies. Check out >>art auction indexes. Check out MoMA's current comprehensive, >>retrospective of American Abstract Expressionists. If you know >>anything about any of this I'd be quite startled.

><snip>

>>So you think Mr. Hopkins' art sucks. Fine. However, what does
>>any of this have to do with claims that Hopkins and/or Jacobs
>>may have committed ethical violations in their use of hypnosis
>>on subjects, or claims that their conclusions were faulty on the
>>facts discovered? Point me to a Belmont Commission violation and
>>I'll listen. But through these many threads all I read is more
>>personal invective than factual claims of official wrongdoing.
>>An official report of wrongdoing by a human subject review board
>>committee would be of interest here. Please post a link, or
>>quotes from an official report available to the public.

><snip>

>>Put it a better way, even assuming that everything you write is >>true: that Hopkins' and Jacobs' abduction evidence gathering and >>analysis methods were faulty; that Bud Hopkins' art work truly >>sucks; and that thus Mr. Hopkins is a no-good very bad man... so >>what? How do your claims benefit resolving the question of what >>so-called abduction phenomena is all about?

Mr. Gelinas -

You have posed some seminal questions. It is time to focus on getting somewhere.

One of the benefits of Ms. Rainey's article is that it exposed the methods of one investigator to scrutiny. In that specific case, it raised serious concerns about methods.

The Belmont Report and other documents guiding research conduct apply to Mr. Hopkins only if he wants them to for he answers, it appears, to himself. Although I question how he safeguarded his subjects well-being, he engaged in no physically invasive medical procedures and worked with consenting adults.

Dr. Jacobs may be in a more precarious position. The Belmont Report and other guidelines are not a list of specific ordinances and rules, they are principles we follow. The allegations suggest his actions may have violated the ideals of beneficence and respect for subject autonomy that are fundamental to human subject research. I would be interested in hearing his rationale.

As it stands, neither investigator is meeting the challenge to produce corroborating data. One effort that could be undertaken is to obtain genetic evidence for human-alien hybrids using the newer methods of cloning and DNA analyses. If things are as these investigators hypothesize, samples from once-pregnant abductees or touchDNA analysis of items contacted by hybrid entities might reveal the truth. It is time for them to employ these tools.

The key to making progress regarding the alien abduction mystery is held by these investigators. Perhaps they will soon come forward with corroborating data and other information that will help us decide precisely what truth has been revealed.

Tyler Kokjohn

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1.nul></u> Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 16:09:03 -0000 Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 05:59:15 -0500 Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 10:22:40 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>But when in the UK village of Scole, a couple of Professors and
>>many other scientists, investigate for two years, witness the
>>events and can find no evidence of hoaxing then surely this
>>documentary must be worth a viewing?

>For an alternative view of the Scole experiments, see here:

>http://magonia.haaan.com/2009/scole/

>John Rimmer

Hi John

No surprise of course as the post came from you that the 'alternative view' was basically 'Scole was a phony'!

There are small things in this crit I would concur with - I have never been happy for instance with the archetypal 'characters' that appear in seances like some form of music hall, for instance.

And as usual the ban on infra-red cameras by the 'spirit team' plays into the hands of critics and sceptics. An issue I've taken up more than once with researchers.

That said, Rogerson seems only to be able to cry 'fraud' as an explanation for it all and then no details of who among the team is supposed to have produced all these fake films and other evidence presented and why.

Of course I understand if in the first place you are coming from a place of 'this is just not possible' - not too much focus will be placed on what we can learn about the universe, simply that it must all be baloney.

Rather like the debunking of particular UFO incidents. the detractors seem delighted to tear apart a case but the big picture is rarely part of the operation.

The fact that for 100 years or more greater minds than Rogerson's have been totally convinced by identical phenomena, hardly comes into the equation.

All of them of course must have been hoaxed or deluded too.

He obviously places no credence in personal experience - even the testimonies of Sheldrake, Fontana, Keen, etc that this stuff was real, has to be totally disregarded. I too have read the book and report on Scole, chatted to participants still reeling over their experiences and also attended a Scole study day in London.

I have also had my fair share of seance events - materialised hands, voices from the air etc but reading this review I guess I must disregard my own convictions and accept I've also been hoodwinked and conned silly.

John, please convey thanks to Mr Rogerson, for setting me straight.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 11:40:23 -0500
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 06:01:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: John Rimmer<johnrimmer.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 10:22:40 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>From: Dave Haith<<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>But when in the UK village of Scole, a couple of Professors and
>>many other scientists, investigate for two years, witness the
>>events and can find no evidence of hoaxing then surely this
>>documentary must be worth a viewing?

>For an alternative view of the Scole experiments, see here:

>http://magonia.haaan.com/2009/scole/

I managed to see the video before it was password protected and, because most people here have not seen it, it is perhaps unfair to talk about the contents. But since the Scole experiment was dissed in the above link, I thought I would put in my two cents.

Accounts of these sessions, written or video, are biased by the authors' preconceptions. But unless the video was an out-and-out fraud, there were a few impressive happenings. For example, there is one scene where a round table levitates, tips 90 degrees, and spins rapidly, all while no one is standing near it. Sure, someone might be able to make this happen using purely mechanical means. Nowadays, anything seen in the media can be faked. Even the results of scientific experiments can be hoaxed, and some have been.

What it comes down to is what your world view can support, what your critical faculties will accept, and how much faith you have in your fellow human beings. In my experience, I have found it useful to weigh skeptical points of view such as the above magonia review against my own impressions. So thanks, John, for bringing it to our attention. But it's only one person's point of view which really should be balanced by viewing the video.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:21:18 +0000 Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 06:31:36 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>tkokjo</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:02:48 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:54:32 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 20:57:39 -0800 (PST)
>>>Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>First and foremost to the issue of the institution's
>>responsibility to the human subject: Dr. Jacobs, quite possibly,
>>because he does not practice medicine, was unaware he needed to
>>design his protocol, including an ICF, according to Fed Regs
>>governing human subjects.

><snip>

>>Third, he was not doing research and therefore the IRB - never >>saw nor approved his protocol - and had no jurisdiction over >>him. Temple was correct in their response.

>Ms. Kasten -

>I absolutely agree with you that it is possible Dr. Jacobs was >unaware of his responsibilities. Having a little experience >dealing with IRBs, I also feel it is probable they (the IRB and >University administrators) had no awareness of his activities. >My reason is simple - the Temple University IRB is undoubtedly >staffed with thoroughly competent professionals and the >documents presented by Emma would have never passed muster with >them. Just how effective an ignorance defense might be for a >professional is an interesting question.

>The issue of whether or not Dr. Jacobs was doing research is not >so clear to me for much depends on how one parses the >definitions. The University has deflected the issue by >maintaining he was taking oral histories. But under some >circumstances even oral histories might pose risks and may be >subject to IRB scrutiny. Should questions regarding exemptions >arise, the IRB has jurisdiction and makes the determination.

>I do understand how the IRB, the History Department chairman and >higher administration might not have known what Dr. Jacobs was >doing. After Emma's complaint reached them, I am baffled. Polite >debates over research definitions, oral histories, jurisdiction >and whether he operated within the narrow confines of the >official rules all become rather academic distractions in light >of her meticulously documented allegations of serious >misconduct. Unfortunately, the full facts are still known to >only a few.

>Based on the University response, Dr. Jacobs seems to be in the >clear. If there was no wrongdoing and there will be no book,

>perhaps he will release his session recordings, reveal how he >has been defamed and bring this sad story to a definitive >conclusion.

Dr. Kokjohn:

Whether Jacobs was doing research that needed to be covered by Temple's human subject protection committee is unknown. That was my point. Jacobs, for whatever reason, did not have his "protocol" reviewed by anybody. In that sense, legally, Dr. Jacobs was not doing research. He saw himself as a History Professor taking oral testimonies. Is that defensible? Only a court could decided, and the institution is unwilling to allow the case to go to court. Is Emma Woods willing to take the issue to court? Maybe.

All of the above is from my perspective of four and a half years interacting with principle investigators through UCLA's Human Subject Protection Committee. My, my, but it certainly gave me an insight into the medical community of researchers. I have used those experiences to come to conclusions about ufo researchers.

BTW, I would like to have a private dialog with you. Especially, if you were the guest with Carol Rainey on a computer broadcast. Just a few questions involving out of my reading of recent research into neurology and psychiatry. Are you game?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:34:47 +0000
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 06:34:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 10:22:40 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>But when in the UK village of Scole, a couple of Professors and
>>many other scientists, investigate for two years, witness the
>>events and can find no evidence of hoaxing then surely this
>>documentary must be worth a viewing?

>For an alternative view of the Scole experiments, see here:

>http://magonia.haaan.com/2009/scole/

Wow, pretty damning stuff. Okay, if what happened to me is proof of my delusions, bless my delusions.

Just for the record:

Experience with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition (DSM-III) revealed a number of inconsistencies in the system and a number of instances in which the criteria were not entirely clear. Therefore, the American Psychiatric Association appointed a work group to revise DSM-III, which developed the revisions and corrections that led to the publication of DSM-III-R in 1987.

The APA added seeing ghosts and such symptoms of a designated psychosis. Oh well, one wonders about the spiritual life of APA members.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 16:48:16 -0500
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 06:35:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

<snip>

>It would be pleasing if some of the less nuts-and-bolts >orientated List members I know exist would comment on this >without fear of mockery from the hardliners...

I'm a less nuts-and-bolts oriented member of the List, though I certainly think nuts-and-bolts physical evidence is the most important.

This discussion goes back to what I've said many times here.

Science is holding itself back from the "next level" of scientific knowledge by insisting that if it can't be replicated by everyone who tries, it's not real (or something along those lines.)

Demanding total repeatability, or evidence you can touch, is indeed the safest haven for scientists to work in. You can't argue with totally repeatable phenomena which can be done by anyone.

But I believe there is a _huge_ body of knowledge beyond what is totally repeatable. _Huge._ All the quantum and parallel dimension theorizing certainly point in that direction.

As long as not repeatable on demand phenomena are ridiculed, UFOlogy won't get much further than it is now, in my opinion. Indeed, not-repeatable on demand phenomena seem to me to be at the root of some of the long debates, though not mentioned by name.

It will be somewhat messy to begin to seriously study the advanced, not-repeatable-on-demand phenomena, and weeding out those who claim "special abilities" but are fakes will be necessary, unpleasant work. My guess is a majority of those claiming to have "special abilities" are fakes, but definitely not all.

Another problem will be that people with genuine special abilities may not want to be studied, for fear of ridicule, career damage or loss, and negative impact on their families.

But since when is unpleasant work in large amounts an excuse for not studying phenomena that just keep on happening, and show remarkable similarity to some of the observed properties of UFO incidents?

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 22:29:17 +0000
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 06:39:54 -0500
Subject: McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM

Source: The Daily Mail

http://tinyurl.com/5wupamc

9th February 2011

Keep Your Pledge On Gary McKinnon, Hacker's Mother Tells Clegg By Michael Seamark and James Slack

Gary McKinnon's mother is demanding a meeting with Nick Clegg to urge him to 'do the right thing' and stop her son's extradition.

Before he became Deputy Prime Minister the Liberal Democrat leader was implacable in his opposition to sending the computer hacker to the U.S...

Gary's mother Janis Sharp now wants Mr Clegg to keep his preelection promises and end her son's ordeal.

"He stood by my side 14 months ago demonstrating outside the Home Office and demanded that Gary could -- and should -- be kept in the UK", she said.

"When the Coalition came into power the relief we felt was indescribable. I believed our new government would announce that Gary would not be extradited but be tried in the UK. Nine months later he is still in limbo." Before he became Deputy PM the, Nick Clegg was implacable in his opposition to sending the computer hacker to the U.S..

Next month it will be nine years since Gary was arrested and ten since his alleged crime.

His mother said: "He has effectively served a longer sentence than most murderers and rapists. Nick Clegg said my son had been hung out to dry by the previous government to appease the Americans.

I just want him to remember -- and honour -- those words and not fall into the same trap."

Expert opinions obtained by Mr McKinnon's legal team have stated that because of his mental condition, suicide was an "almost certain inevitability" should he be sent to the U.S.. Home Secretary Theresa May agreed to halt the extradition last year, saying she wanted to ensure he was treated "fairly".

Mrs. Sharp is now at loggerheads with the Home Office over the choice of an independent expert to reassess the Asperger's patient, who is 45 today.

She said: "Gary has been assessed by four of the UK and Europe's leading psychiatrists and now the Home Office wants him reassessed by yet another and unbelievably, I am having to fight to ensure it is an expert in Asperger's and autism."

Mr McKinnon was searching for evidence of 'little green men' when he hacked into NASA and Pentagon computers.

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m12-006.shtml[10/12/2011 12:41:50]

McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM

Since the Daily Mail launched its An Affront to British Justice campaign to highlight the case, Ministers have announced a review of the lop-sided Extradition treaty which allows the U.S. and EU countries to have British citizens sent for trial abroad, without presenting the level of evidence which would be needed for prosecution in the UK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:13:08 -0500
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 06:44:14 -0500
Subject: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

Hi Tyler,

I liked your response so much I thought it worthy of a new subject line and a separate discussion thread.

>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:22:38 -0700
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 17:26:20 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>How do [Ms. Rainey's] claims benefit resolving the question of what >>so-called abduction phenomena is all about?

>Mr. Gelinas -

>You have posed some seminal questions. It is time to focus on >getting somewhere.

>One of the benefits of Ms. Rainey's article is that it exposed >the methods of one investigator to scrutiny. In that specific >case, it raised serious concerns about methods.

[On human subject ethics in science research and whether Jacobs may have violated basic ethical standards in his work]

I don't think UFO UpDates is the right place to resolve that issue. Those subjects who have a complaint about Jacobs' practices ought to contact a lawyer and file a complaint with his university ethics board. Let the institution, or, if necessary, a court of law decide this issue. But laying this out in the press and on e-mail Lists is not a remedy for relief for those who claim to have been harmed by his practices. And it may expose those who make these claims yet can't prove wrongdoing to a defamation lawsuit.

>As it stands, neither investigator is meeting the challenge to >produce corroborating data. One effort that could be undertaken >is to obtain genetic evidence for human-alien hybrids using the >newer methods of cloning and DNA analyses. If things are as >these investigators hypothesize, samples from once-pregnant >abductees or touchDNA analysis of items contacted by hybrid >entities might reveal the truth. It is time for them to employ >these tools.

This portion of your comment really caught my attention.

I'm not a biologist, or even a scientist, but I am currently taking a class on bioethics. I suppose that gives me just enough knowledge to be dangerous in drawing poor conclusions. However, much of the assigned content is of recent studies published in Cell and other biotechnology journals, right now specifically related to stem cells. Recent findings from the early 2000s to late 2010 in published studies make a strong argument _against_ the alien hybridization claims of Jacobs, et all.

For example, at the time of Jacobs' publication of _The Threat_ the only means for creating a totipotent or pluripotent stem cell was Somatic Nuclear Transfer (typically called cloning).

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Somatic_cell_nuclear_transfer

The process involves destroying a blastocyst (pre-embryo) and removing a certain cell type from the embryoblast layer that is already totipotent. Next, the biologist removes the entire genome from the target cell nucleus and reinserts a new genome from a host cell, which had been part of some terminally differentiated tissue from part of the organism to be cloned (say, skin). A small portion of these cloned cells will divide and then form an entirely new organism with the target genes. Thus, at the time Jacobs published _The Threat_ and other books on aliend abduction, the only way known to genetically modify and/or clone an organism _required_ the use of embryonic stem cells as a precursor step to the cloning process. I would argue that this formed the basis of his and others' claims that aliens were abducting humans as part of an advanced hybridization program, because at the time harvesting embryos were a necessary component to genetic modification and cloning.

If my reading of these assigned studies is correct, then SNT is not the only means for stem cell generation any longer. I point to these studies as backing evidence:

Human Ebryonic Stem Cell Lines Generated Without Embryo Destruction, Young Chung et all in Cell Press, 2007:

http://www.cell.com/cell-stem-cell/fulltext/S1934-5909%2807%2900330-X

"Here we report the derivation of five hESC lines without embryo destruction, including one without hESC coculture. ... Here we clearly show that hESC lines can be derived without embryo destruction embryo destruction and that the biopsy procedure did not appear to interfere with subsequent good blastocyst development of the parent embryo. These results were achieved without culturing multiple blastomeres together, and at an efficiency substantially higher (20% or 50% versus 2%) than in our previous report. The success rate is siilar to that of conventional hESC derivation techniques using blastocysts."

Thus, destroying an embryo is now not a necessary component of creating a pluripotent stem cell.

Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells from Adult Human Fibroblasts by Defined Factors, Kazutoshi Takahashi et all, Cell Press 2007:

http://www.cell.com/fulltext/S0092-8674%2807%2901471-7

"In this study, we showed that iPS cells (induced pluripotent stem cells) can be generated from adult HDF (human development factors) and other somatic cells by retroviral transduction of the same four transcription factors with mouse iPS cells, namely Oct3/4, Sox2, Klf4, and c-Myc. The four retroviruses are strongly silenced in human iPS cells, indicating that these cells areefficiently reprogrammed and do not depend on continuous expression of the transgenes for self renewal."

Thus, it is now possible to take a terminally differentiated cell (say a skin cell) and _convert it back to pluripotency_ without even needing an embryo or embryoblast cells for Somatic Nuclear Transfer. Current technologies rely on a retrovirus carrier to conduct the reprogramming. But as seen in another study, it's may well be possible to do away with genome modification to induce pluripotency by using a bath of the right development factors in the correct density and order.

"Extreme Makeover: Converting one Cell into Another", Qiao Zhou and Douglas Melton, Cell Press, 2008:

http://www.cell.com/cell-stem-cell/fulltext/S1934-5909%2808%2900485-2

"A primary goal of regenerative medicine is to produce new cells to repair or replace diseased and damaged tissues. Among the many innovative ideas proposed to achieve this goal, a particularly interesting one involves remaking existing adult cells into new ones by converting them from one cell type to another. For example, abundant human cells such as dermal fibroblasts and adipocytes could be harvested and converted into other, medically important cells such as neurons, cardiomyocytes, or pancreatic B cells. These new cells may then be transplanted back into the same patient. Remaking adult cells in this way has the advantage of providing a fully immunologically matched gradt; the patient would be getting his or her own cells back."

Thus, it is now possible to take terminally differentiated cells from one tissue type and reprogram them such that they turn into multipotent stem cells for the same specific tissue type. This technique essentially uses a bath of human development factors to signal cells to change their programming. It is currently limited to converting terminally differentiated cells into stem cells of the same tissue type. For example, the creation of stem cells from that would generate daughter B pancreatic cells suitable for outputting insulin from other cell types in the pancreas. Unfortunately, right now this technique would not be able to create B cells from, say, heart tissue. Still, the presumption is that it _may_ be possible to walk all the way up the differentiation ladder back to an iPSC (induced pluripotent stem cell), or even a totipotent stem cell using the right combination and density of human development factors over time.

If that's true, it brings into doubt the entire technical rationale that Dr. Jacobs (among others) presented as to why socalled interstellar aliens might need to abduct humans for their genetic experiments. If humanity can do already better than aliens supposedly far more technically advanced than us, only a decade to a decade and a half after Jacobs et all made their arguments, then IMO the entire 'abduction hybridization program' as depicted by the 'alien abduction' hypothesizers is simply not supported by the evidence. It is not just that Jacobs' data collection and analysis methodology were poorly conducted, but that those conclusions are a priori _REFUTED_ by recent research showing that their initial technical assumptions about the need for human embryos for cloning are simply false. Therefore, whatever is going on WRT the claims about abduction phenomena, it is not an alien genetic modification program.

I don't know what is the root cause of abductees' claimed experiences. I'm sure thousands - perhaps tens of thousands - of people claim to have had this experience in all honestly and sincerity. Refuting Jacobs and the standard alien abduction narrative need not diminish the importance of this experience to those who have had it. One can fairly say that they're experiencing _something_ meaningful, even if it's not part of some alien genetic engineering program. What those other hypotheses might be I don't know.

To summarize: Jacobs' assertions in _The Threat_, and in other works, then relied on the limits of what biologists knew about cloning from that period. However, I think it can be strenuously argued that the discovery of new genetic engineering methods outside the scope of reported alien conduct during claimed abduction events means that common assumptions about the necessity for genetically modifying and reimplanting so-called 'hybrids' into human hosts no longer follows. What does that say about the rest of those conclusions from the Hopkins-Jacobs-Mac synthesis? I would argue that the entire logic chain is damaged by recent biotechnological findings.

Now, I repeat that I'm not a scientist and I'm not a molecular biologist, I'm just an interested layperson. So, please consider my argument from that perspective. And I look forward to corrections from better informed readers.

Best,

--M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: John Rimmer <<u>iohnrimmer.nul></u>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 12:23:37 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 11:01:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 16:09:03 -0000
>Subject: Re Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>The fact that for 100 years or more greater minds than >Rogerson's have been totally convinced by identical phenomena, >hardly comes into the equation.

Ah, the classic argument from authority. "I am the great Professor, I cannot be fooled by lesser mortals." If I started quoting "greater minds" who are sceptical of such claims (the executive board of CSICOP, for instance) you would be scornful of such an argument, as indeed would I.

And of course you have no way of knowing whether these "greater minds" are greater (or lesser) than Rogerson's.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 13:27:56 -0000
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 11:02:44 -0500
Subject: Re: McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM

From: roy.nul Subject: McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 22:29:17 +0000
>Subject: McKinnon's Mother Seeks Meeting With Deputy PM

Snip

>Gary McKinnon's mother is demanding a meeting with Nick Clegg to >urge him to 'do the right thing' and stop her son's extradition.

>Before he became Deputy Prime Minister the Liberal Democrat >leader was implacable in his opposition to sending the computer >hacker to the U.S...

I feel not only the MP expenses scandal but also Clegg has single-handedly changed the faith people had in politicians in the UK forever more, I hold little hope in this event occurring.

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m12-010.shtml[10/12/2011 12:41:52]

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 17:21:01 +0100
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 11:45:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 06:22:38 -0700
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 17:26:20 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>As it stands, neither investigator is meeting the challenge to >produce corroborating data. One effort that could be undertaken >is to obtain genetic evidence for human-alien hybrids using the >newer methods of cloning and DNA analyses. If things are as >these investigators hypothesize, samples from once-pregnant >abductees or touchDNA analysis of items contacted by hybrid >entities might reveal the truth. It is time for them to employ >these tools.

I suggested that to Budd Hopkins when I met him in December 1997 at the conference in Brazilia, organized by our friend A.J. Gevaerd. It was a great opportunity (thank you, A.J.!) to meet a lot of people during a whole week, and I talked several times with Budd.

He suspected the son of an alleged abductee to be a hybrid. So, I suggested to perform DNA analysis on both mother and child, but he replied that it was out of question, considering the risk of such a test becoming a legal issue.

BTW, Budd was accused by Jenny Randles to have been unethical in regressing chidren. It was false and she had to drop her accusation. I don't remember if this happened before or after that conference, but in any case it illustrates well that sort of risk.

That being said, I guess that, if there is any truth in this hybrid senario, some people already have the answers, somewhere in very secret labs... And that answer might be one of the reasons for still imposing secrecy... Just speculation, of course.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1.nul></u>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:23:21 -0000
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 11:46:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 12:23:37 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 16:09:03 -0000
>>Subject: Re Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>The fact that for 100 years or more greater minds than
>>Rogerson's have been totally convinced by identical phenomena,
>>hardly comes into the equation.

>Ah, the classic argument from authority. "I am the great >Professor, I cannot be fooled by lesser mortals." If I started >quoting "greater minds" who are sceptical of such claims (the >executive board of CSICOP, for instance) you would be scornful >of such an argument, as indeed would I.

>And of course you have no way of knowing whether these "greater >minds" are greater (or lesser) than Rogerson's.

You're right of course John.

Perhaps I should have said minds 'greater informed' than Rogerson's in the sense that their opinions were based on witnessing the phenomena rather than merely writing about them.

Unless of course Rogerson has been privy to similar events, which if so, I'd be interested in hearing about.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: Tyler Kokjohn <TKOKJO.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 13:01:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:13:08 -0500
>Subject: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

Mr. Gelinas -

Thank you so much for this well-researched and magnificently thought provoking response. I hope it stimulates a productive conversation.

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m12-007.shtml

Like you, I note that many underlying explanatory rationales for alien abductions seem intrinsically weak and readily undermined. But even sound, documented arguments do not automatically carry the day. One problem is that skeptics are in a bad position (logically) because it can be so challenging to prove a negative assertion in a simple, rigorous sense. Although you may demonstrate they are unlikely, lacking hard evidence it can be virtually impossible to completely falsify some hypotheses.

Scientific intuition tells me the claims of Dr. David Jacobs and Mr. Budd Hopkins in particular have grown far beyond anything the existing objective evidence supports. However, that remains nothing more than my personal opinion and the debate could continue forever. But, there may be a way out of this dilemma.

A particular class of abductee, those allegedly impregnated or used as incubators for human-alien hybrid entities, offers a potentially rich source of hard genetic evidence.

During pregnancy, DNA and cells from the unborn child become incorporated into the mother. Remarkably, the fetal cells may persist in the mother for decades after the pregnancy ends (Science 296:2169-2172, 21 June 2002).

In principle, if the hypotheses are correct, some female abductees must harbor physical evidence of alien hybrids. Because these cells are long-lived, investigators can simply recontact some past research subjects to begin experiments (after the required IRB approvals are obtained). No one need capture an entity or await other happenstance to uncover some hard evidence.

If the hypotheses of Dr. Jacobs and Mr. Hopkins are correct, telltale genetic evidence should be recoverable. Perhaps they or a new generation of abduction researchers will now take on this challenge and reveal some facts surrounding the alien abduction mystery.

Again, many thanks for your thoughts and efforts. You taught this professor something and I am grateful for it.

Best wishes,

Tyler Kokjohn

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 12</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: **Tyler Kokjohn** <<u>TKOKJO</u>.**nul>** Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:55:56 -0700 Archived: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 13:04:15 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:21:18 +0000
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>Dr. Kokjohn:

>Whether Jacobs was doing research that needed to be covered by >Temple's human subject protection committee is unknown. That was >my point. Jacobs, for whatever reason, did not have his >"protocol" reviewed by anybody. In that sense, legally, Dr. >Jacobs was not doing research. He saw himself as a History >Professor taking oral testimonies. Is that defensible? Only a >court could decided, and the institution is unwilling to allow >the case to go to court. Is Emma Woods willing to take the issue >to court? Maybe.

>All of the above is from my perspective of four and a half years >interacting with principle investigators through UCLA's Human >Subject Protection Committee. My, my, but it certainly gave me >an insight into the medical community of researchers. I have >used those experiences to come to conclusions about ufo >researchers.

>BTW, I would like to have a private dialog with you. Especially, >if you were the guest with Carol Rainey on a computer broadcast. >Just a few questions involving out of my reading of recent >research into neurology and psychiatry. Are you game?

Dear Ms. Kasten -

Thank you for your response. We are both peering in from the outside trying to make sense of all this. Given the conditions, I agree that we will have to be content for events to reveal whatever facts they may.

I have been a guest with Carol Rainey on three podcasts where we discussed her recent article and how genetic evidence may be obtainable to confirm or falsify some frightening claims regarding the alien abduction mystery. She was the first person I encountered who had an interest in discussing such ideas. And, yes. I am definitely game for questions. No guarantees as to results, but I can promise a good effort to be of help if possible.

Tyler Kokjohn

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1.nul></u>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 18:40:48 -0000
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 07:02:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>From: William Treurniet <u>wtreurniet</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 11:40:23 -0500
>Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>From: John Rimmer<johnrimmer.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 10:22:40 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>>From: Dave Haith<<u>visionsl</u>.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 18:13:46 -0000
>>>Subject: Group Films 'Trans-Dimensional Contact'

>>>But when in the UK village of Scole, a couple of Professors and >>>many other scientists, investigate for two years, witness the >>>events and can find no evidence of hoaxing then surely this >>>documentary must be worth a viewing?

>>For an alternative view of the Scole experiments, see here:

>><u>http://magonia.haaan.com/2009/scole/</u>

>I managed to see the video before it was password protected and, >because most people here have not seen it, it is perhaps unfair >to talk about the contents. But since the Scole experiment was >dissed in the above link, I thought I would put in my two cents.

>Accounts of these sessions, written or video, are biased by the >authors' preconceptions. But unless the video was an out-and-out >fraud, there were a few impressive happenings. For example, >there is one scene where a round table levitates, tips 90 >degrees, and spins rapidly, all while no one is standing near >it. Sure, someone might be able to make this happen using purely >mechanical means. Nowadays, anything seen in the media can be >faked. Even the results of scientific experiments can be hoaxed, >and some have been.

William, you are perfectly correct in your description of this event based on the testimonies of several witnesses but the spinning sequence in this film was simulated - there was a caption saying so.

So too was another segment which showed one of the professors putting his hand through a lighted crystal on a table.

I gather from Robin Foy, the Scole leader, that others in the group also performed this amazing feat - based, we're told, on the fact that the 'spirit team' dematerialised the crystal.

I have been in touch with Robin who has given me permission to post here his account of that particular event.

Robin writes:

"Of all the wonderful phenomena that professors David Fontana and Arthur Ellison; together with eminent journalist and egronomist Monty Keen witnessed at Scole and other locations, the one piece of phenomena that totally convinced Professor Arthur Ellison (who had a vast experience of physical phenomena during his lifetime) of the genuine nature of the phenomena at Scole, was this experience

The four members of the Scole Group and the three SPR investigators were sitting around the table in the 'Scolehole' during an experimental session. As usual, our two mediums were in deep trance, but sat around the table with the rest of us... We had our normal quota of bright spirit lights before the spirit team turned their attention to this one special experiment. A spirit light entered one of the large clear quartz crystal points we had on the central table, and stayed there which made the crystal effectively self-luminous with a greenywhite spirit illumination.

As we all watched, a solid spirit hand (in full view of us all, and no more than 30 inches away from any of us) picked up the self-illuminated crystal, and deposited it in a white, opaque glass pyrex dish we had put on the central table at the request of the spirit team in readiness for this sitting.

A spirit scientist spoke through Alan - one of our mediums who was in deep trance - to ask Arthur Ellison to pick up the crystal in the bowl, which remained constantly self-luminous. Arthur, who was always cautious during sittings, did so; but also placed his chin almost in the Pyrex bowl as a security measure. He verified that this was a normal quartz crystal weighing a normal amount. Each of the other two researchers was then asked to do the same. One by one they did so, confirming the crystal's reality. Arthur kept his chin close to the bowl. At all times the crystal remained visible from its self illumination, and there appeared to be no change to it at any time.

Moments after the three SPR investigators had confirmed the crystal's reality, they were again asked to pick the crystal up, one by one. This they TRIED to do, but this time their hands just went through the apparently solid and illuminated crystal as though it was not there! Moments later again, the three visitors were asked to pick up the crystal for a third time. Again it had remained fully visible to us all, but this time, it was once again solid. The demonstration was most impressive.

The spirit team explained that what we had seen when everyone's hand had passed through the apparently solid crystal was actually the spiritual essence of that crystal from which they had removed the solid matter.

They expanded on this and told us that it was somewhat similar to what happens to us when we die and pass into the spirit world. We can leave the physical body behind, whilst the spiritual essence of ourselves remains, allowing us to continue functioning as individual spirit personalities in a mental way."

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: John Rimmer <<u>iohnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 18:46:18 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 07:03:46 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 17:21:01 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>He suspected the son of an alleged abductee to be a hybrid. So, >I suggested to perform DNA analysis on both mother and child, >but he replied that it was out of question, considering the risk >of such a test becoming a legal issue.

It's funny how there's always some reason why a simple test cannot be applies to such controversial claims, isn't there? It's just the same with the Scole events and similar mediums just turning on the light might have terrible consequences.

Here's the chance to find out once and for all whether or not the human race is being interbred with aliens, possibly the most momentous event in history, affecting our whole future - but it can't be done for 'legal' reasons.

Pathetic.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:57:08 -0500
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 07:05:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>From: Tyler Kokjohn<<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas<<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:13:08 -0500
>>Subject: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

><snip>

>A particular class of abductee, those allegedly impregnated or >used as incubators for human-alien hybrid entities, offers a >potentially rich source of hard genetic evidence.

>During pregnancy, DNA and cells from the unborn child become >incorporated into the mother. Remarkably, the fetal cells may >persist in the mother for decades after the pregnancy ends >(Science 296:2169-2172, 21 June 2002).

>In principle, if the hypotheses are correct, some female >abductees must harbor physical evidence of alien hybrids. >Because these cells are long-lived, investigators can simply re->contact some past research subjects to begin experiments (after >the required IRB approvals are obtained). No one need capture an >entity or await other happenstance to uncover some hard >evidence.

>If the hypotheses of Dr. Jacobs and Mr. Hopkins are correct, >telltale genetic evidence should be recoverable. Perhaps they or >a new generation of abduction researchers will now take on this >challenge and reveal some facts surrounding the alien abduction >mystery.

This is an interesting approach, and I would point out that DNA evidence of an alien-human hybrid already exists. Lloyd Pye's Starchild skull is said to contain both human and non-human DNA.

http://www.starchildproject.com/dna.htm

Before embarking on a project to find non-human DNA in abductees, perhaps one ought to consider what kind of evidence would be recognized by the scientific community. My impression is that Lloyd Pye is not exactly being overwhelmed by interested molecular biologists, etc. wanting to confirm his geneticist's findings. Further, if he is having problems funding his research, imagine how funding agencies would respond to the kind of research being proposed here.

If someone does find old fetal cells containing genes that don't match up with anything in the genetic database, the obvious conservative response would be that these are normal cells that have degraded over time. That would be considered more likely than that they are evidence of alien hybrids. So it's important to have an appropriate control group. That might be a problem if all abductees are not aware that they were abducted.

There is an unproven assumption underlying the proposed research

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

that the abduction accounts are all from physical encounters with real aliens. This assumption should be made explicit as part of the hypothesis under test. Otherwise, if the results reveal no evidence of alien DNA, they may be generalized inappropriately to other lines of research based on different assumptions.

For example, suppose instead that the experience is a psychic event that is nevertheless as real as real could be. Under this assumption, there would be no expectation of finding alien DNA. If there are hybrids, they would exist in some psychic realm. The important experimental questions would deal with the role of consciousness in forming consensus reality. This may not be too fanciful, considering that alien greys have appeared in Strassman's subjects' DMT experiences and in Hancock's reported experience with the shamanistic trance state. Also, endogenous DMT apparently peaks in the early morning hours, which might possibly coincide with the timing of the majority of abduction experiences.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 13

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Greg Paloma <fractalmaze.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 15:54:40 -0700
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 07:21:02 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 12:23:52 -0000
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 14:03:01 -0700
>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>>>To: post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 13:06:19 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

<snip>

>>Mr Shough,

>>I much appreciate this reply but this should not also substitute
>>for the anticipated response I most desire from the case
>>champions closest to the event by language, length of study,
>>and assumed detail.

>>Many interconnecting factors underlie my question including the
>>linear relationship between event time and the number of
>>witnesses reported. This is also in direct correlation to the
>>cloud shift.

>>We should all agree that the greater the length of time of the >>actual ufo sighting event, the greater the probability for more >>witneses. Since we have only a few direct witnesses, we should >>count that as favoring less time for the transpiration of the >>event.

>>Your estimate is reasonable and in the interest of the
>>unavoidable and sought after consensus, I will assume you would
>>settle for a total time of 45 +/- 15 seconds. That is about 9
>>+/- 3 seconds average between all six shots. Are we agreeed?

>Hi Greg

>Your arithmetic is correct, but I offered my comment in a spirit >of helping you to callibrate the significance of answers to the >question you posed to Brazilian UFO Magazine. I am not "settling >for" anything, personally, and prefer that you don't assume >anything. There are various figures in the literature, and >different assumptions about what they signify and what their >source is. It is one ambiguous detail among many. I reserve my >right to adapt my judgments on them all without notice in the >light of all those "interconnecting factors" that make the whole >Trindade puzzle so complex and fascinating. I am an interested >observer and await your argument when it comes.

>>Do the Brazillian researchers agree as well?

>Please do not induct me into any sort of "block vote" on this >issue or any other. If "the Brazilian researchers" have a >corporate position, then like you I will be interested to see >what it is, and why, but I am not joining any campaign team or >having any badges pinned on my lapel, thanks ;-)

Hi Martin, this is not a call to pin a badge on anyone, but more a field sticker tagging an important fact. I'm looking forward to their comments, but perhaps I'm too hopeful that they are willing to state published fact since there is still silence from their end?

I accepted your one-minute upper estimate based upon your current understanding. But, we must concede that the Trindade UFO observation is well known as a short duration event. I have also come to understand that you are much more than a casual observer. Therefore, if there are various published estimates as you have stated, I shall look forward to your listing of them. I see a period article with the headlines of 14 seconds... there you will see a big picture of Barauna holding up his camera. Not sure if he states general agreement with that number but certainly he had ample opportunity to provide, counter, and correct with his own value and for them to include anyone else's... earliest accounts often being the most correct!

Certainly, by your own careful estimate, having been familiar with the case for so long, you have not exceeded any of the published numbers?

Your willingness to jump to answer my question seems to signal your innate confidence on this issue pointing to an unavoidable reality. I might believe now that evidence of the cloud shift itself has caused a tug to accommodate the larger time interval favoring one minute. But, might it also reveal an important divergence with the historically witnessed account?

If by consensus we establish an average value that is also my intention through the course of this exercise. But, if we can actually reach agreement it might also serve to demonstrate cohesive understanding removing a complexity that really shouldn't exist in a case allegedly witnessed by so many and studied for so long. I'm perplexed that we might be evidencing an active back peddle to the prevailing facts that all strengthened upon it's rather short duration.

My estimate, as you of course know, includes a reasonable range that includes your upper bound as my maximum and is 2 to 4 times the 14 second account. Add to this that a skilled photographer missed two shots due to the harried nature of the incident and the rapid object movement described, we must also concede that the lesser amount is more reasonable and yet note that we have still doubled and even quadrupled the time interval if for nothing else but to allow prevailing reason to surmount certain mental obstacles.

I hoped also to illustrate the scientific process. That by merely asking such a simple question how it can rally forces to encompass and consider much more of the case - enough to see limits emerge both in terms of critical thought and in the emotional temperaments of those favorable to the case.

Might I say limits of incredulity possibly too... for I can't imagine in any reasonable manner redefining this incident as a long term affair, especially within the confines of the published facts. The photos themselves fairly well map the beginning and end of the entire event. Even under careful scrutiny we might also find the photos revealing evidence of lateral elongation (motion blur), which is again indicative of rapid speed and again parallels and reconciles with the short duration witness accounts.

I should add a note of philosophy that emotional investment of time in any particular venture is, in of itself, an obstacle to change and scientific progress. Often requiring one to flip opinion at the furthest reaches of ones own personal limits whether correct, incorrect, or foolish appearing - that most often being the case when it counters cherished and often critical beliefs.

But, we must also confess that beliefs drive science importantly just as they can detract, especially when an internal hunch throws alignment to a seemingly disconnected set of variables. Or, in retrospect is it all merely coincidence that some get it Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

right while others do not, leaving the false appearance of insight?

But, in all this, I personally find truth from the human blessings of creativity - a kernel to the unknown on a path to the furthest reaches of imaginations, safe and secure in own individual thoughts! =)

G. Paloma

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze.nul></u> Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700 Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 07:22:40 -0500 Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

A Question for The Brazilian UFO Magazine:

What are the various published total duration intervals for the Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and evolutionary reflections where needed.

Thanks,

G. Palomae

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 22:43:08 -0800 (PST) Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 07:24:00 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:10:27 +0000
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Wed, 9 Feb 2011 20:57:39 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 2011 21:04:10 +0000
>>>Archived: Wed, 09 Feb 2011 07:07:00 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>>>I was more concerned with her attempt to have Jacobs >>>investigated by his institution based on the ICF she >>>signed.

>>>The ICF she claimed to have signed has never been >>>seen in its entirety.

>>>If the emphasis was on the fact that she was
>>>volunteering and could quit at any time, I have not
>>>seen this statement she claims was in the ICF.
>>>Usually, there should be something stated along
>>>those lines in the ICF.

>>>I would rather treat the individual with respect and >>>listen to her complaint, and her struggles to get >>>her complaint to be taken seriously by Jacobs >>>employer. That would require a legal reading of the >>>ICF.

>>The form citing Temple University that Dr. Jacobs and >>I signed when he took me on as his research subject >>is available here:

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/4rj6oug</u>

>>Gary Haden has published an analysis of both of the >>forms that Dr. Jacobs and I signed:

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/48qpkh4</u>

>Ms. Woods:

>Where is page one of the "consent form"? Please forward to UFO >UpDates.

>I have not finished reading the article you attached from Gary >Hayden.

>The only thing I find so far is that the "consent form" is dated >December 2004 and the page you attached does not have the stamp >at the bottom showing that the protocol and ICF was reviewed by >Temple's IRB. Apparently, Temple created an IRB in 2004, so >there should have been some indication that their HSPC had Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>approved it.

Hi Kathy,

The form that I provided is the form in its entirety.

Dr. Jacobs sent me the form by fax, and the numbers at the top refer to the pages that were faxed and are not the numbers of the form itself.

Sorry, I should have made that clear in my post.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 22:47:40 -0800 (PST) Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 07:26:02 -0500 Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 20:54:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: Woods Defamation Campaign

>Ms. Woods:

>First and foremost to the issue of the institution's
responsibility to the human subject: Dr. Jacobs, quite
>possibly, because he does not practice medicine, was
>unaware he needed to design his protocol, including
>an ICF, according to Fed Regs governing human subjects.

>He must have heard something about getting a volunteer >to sign a piece of paper with certain statements >on it. We can only guess who and where he heard that >from.

<snip>

>Second, of course Temple U can tell Dr. Jacobs to do
>remove any reference to the institution he works for.
>That is the clue, Dr. Jacobs works for Temple,
>therefore, Temple can tell him what to do with regard
>to pieces of paper pretending to be legal documents.

>Third, he was not doing research and therefore the >IRB - never saw nor approved his protocol - and had >no jurisdiction over him. Temple was correct in their >response.

Hi Kathy,

Thank you for sharing your thoughts on it.

In regard to Temple University's responsibility for Dr. Jacobs' research, they initially did accept that they were responsible for it by opening an investigation on it, even though, in my opinion, it was for the purpose of trying to cover it up.

It was only when I went to the OHRP, the government body that oversees the Temple university IRB, that Temple University then made the case that Dr. Jacobs was not conducting research, but was taking oral history, which is exempted from OHRP oversight. In my opinion, they did that to avoid being investigated by the OHRP over it.

I personally think that Dr. Jacobs' work does meet the OHRP's definition of research. It is because of this that I am going to ask them to review their decision.

I suppose time will tell how Dr. Jacobs' work is finally classified, and therefore what jurisdiction it comes under.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

News Links - 12-02-11

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>** Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 09:24:59 -0500 Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 09:24:59 -0500 Subject: News Links - 12-02-11

News Links - 12-02-11

Peter Davenport Believes In UFOs Seattle Weekly http://tinyurl.com/6kdkzgb

Peter Davenport's UFO Center: Behind The Scenes Seattle Weekly http://tinyurl.com/4h77mm9

Air Force Rescinds New Guidance On Wikileaks FAS.Org

http://tinyurl.com/4cyz2bo

Julian Assange Moves to Block Release of Twitter Data Examiner.Com http://tinyurl.com/4wq5duk

Filer's Files #7 2011
http://tinyurl.com/6hszt6g

Seen A UFO? You Are Not Alone Lismore Northern Star http://tinyurl.com/5vj49so

UFO Writer Remarkably Down To Earth Herald Sun <u>http://tinyurl.com/6hdfbga</u>

Review: Journal Of A UFO Investigator A.V. Club New York <u>http://tinyurl.com/4jm5sax</u>

Stars Invade For Alien Film 'Paul' Reveal Love For Spielberg Irish Independent <u>http://tinyurl.com/69bfykg</u>

Is ET Out There? - On Shostak
The Pirates' Log
http://tinyurl.com/5uv42vh

From Frank Warren's The UFO Chronicals:

Doubts About The Real Purpose Behind The MUFON- BAASS Project James Carrion http://tinyurl.com/46dycrp

From Diana Cammack:

E.T. Might Fire Up Intergalactic Lighthouses Discovery.Com <u>http://tinyurl.com/6dnvc7s</u> News Links - 12-02-11

From Jeri Jahnke:

The Book That Can't Be Read University Of Arisona <u>http://tinyurl.com/4rv73a6</u>

From Larry W Bryant:

Request fOr An Inspector General Investigation Into Reported UFO-E.T.-Related Censorship At Warren AFB http://tinyurl.com/49tdzrk

From 'The Norm':

The 1963 Santa Rosa UFO Crash Kevin Randle <u>http://tinyurl.com/4zs7kya</u>

Walking The Line On Mom's Tale Billy Cox http://tinyurl.com/4efreom

Glimpses Behind The Curtain Bruce Maccabee <u>http://tinyurl.com/66brlmr</u>

UFOs Photographed Over Mount Fuji, Japan? EpochTimes.Com <u>http://tinyurl.com/412w4fa</u>

Best Evidence: Top 10 UFO Sightings Paul Kimball http://tinyurl.com/4b2aha2

Close Encounters Of The Second Kind, Physiological Effects Parts 1 - 4 ++ http://tinyurl.com/4vh23t2

Thousands Of New Stars Emerge In Glowing Nebula Wired.Com <u>http://tinyurl.com/4u4nczt</u>

Falling Brains Dept:

Now WikiLeaks Cable Confirms Billy Meier UFO Information! Benzinga http://tinyurl.com/414x3mz

Man Building UFO Gas Station Examiner.com http://tinyurl.com/62ftc7h

Bob Lazar Passes Lie Detector Test On Gov UFOs - Video Paranormal Utopia <u>http://tinyurl.com/5tvxvm2</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 11:04:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>A Question for The Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >evolutionary reflections where needed.

Hi Greg

I frankly don't know the duration intervals for the Barauna UFO photo sequence. I believe they have already been published somewhere and there will be - I hope - a list of available publishers and publishing dates for the info on the Web.

I am not sure of what you mean by "evolutionary reflections".

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 10:16:46 -0600
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 11:33:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>I am not sure of what you mean by "evolutionary reflections".

It means, A. J., that while Greg has reached the highest state of evolution, you're still way down somewhere on the chain.

You just need a translation of the rhetorical strategy.

Sigh,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 11:26:03 -0600
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 10:16:46 -0600
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>>I am not sure of what you mean by "evolutionary reflections".

>It means, A. J., that while Greg has reached the highest state >of evolution, you're still way down somewhere on the chain.

>You just need a translation of the rhetorical strategy.

I do so hope Mr. Paloma continues with his lesson of rhetorical strategy... as it has been shown to be an effective decay preventative when used in a conscientiously applied program of ideological hygiene, denialist 12 step programs, and irregular professional care.

Top drawer at getting closer to the truth of the matter, too, without any ax grinding, point taking, cherry picking, party lining, meme bashing, partisan flogging, back-stepping, sidetaking, corner-shooting, RRRpucent (sic) slandering or... such, eh?

In humility, with humanity, and using _far_ more Plato and _much_ less Aristotle. I'm sure Mr. Paloma is equal to the task and can provide _much_ insight into his position, such as it is.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 14:38:23 EST
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 16:12:04 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:13:08 -0500
>>Subject: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>Mr. Gelinas -

>Thank you so much for this well-researched and magnificently >thought provoking response. I hope it stimulates a productive >conversation.

>http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m12-007.shtml

>Like you, I note that many underlying explanatory rationales for >alien abductions seem intrinsically weak and readily undermined. >But even sound, documented arguments do not automatically carry >the day. One problem is that skeptics are in a bad position >(logically) because it can be so challenging to prove a negative >assertion in a simple, rigorous sense. Although you may >demonstrate they are unlikely, lacking hard evidence it can be >virtually impossible to completely falsify some hypotheses.

>Scientific intuition tells me the claims of Dr. David Jacobs and >Mr. Budd Hopkins in particular have grown far beyond anything >the existing objective evidence supports. However, that remains >nothing more than my personal opinion and the debate could >continue forever. But, there may be a way out of this dilemma.

>A particular class of abductee, those allegedly impregnated or >used as incubators for human-alien hybrid entities, offers a >potentially rich source of hard genetic evidence.

>During pregnancy, DNA and cells from the unborn child become >incorporated into the mother. Remarkably, the fetal cells may >persist in the mother for decades after the pregnancy ends >(Science 296:2169-2172, 21 June 2002).

Hello List, All -

I have been reticent to join the discussion before now, but thought that we should explore this idea. Back in the 90s, Dr. Richard Neal, a medical doctor, explored this aspect of abduction, publishing his results in the American UFO magazine. We, Russ Estes, Bill Cone and I, in The Abduction Enigma, reported on Neal's investigation and his results.

Neal wrote, "Many researchers have claimed that they have several cases of Missing Embryo/Fetus Syndrome (ME/FS) in their files. Yet during my research into this phenomenon over the past three years, all have failed to produce one verified case. Why?"

Neal speculated that the syndrome might be the result of hysteria that is associated with the abduction phenomenon. He also suggested that it might be related to the post-traumatic experience.

Neal wrote that the missing fetus syndrome involved female abductees who alleged a pregnancy between 6 weeks to 12 weeks. The women claimed that the child, usually the result of some primitive form of genetic or biological experimentation, had disappeared due to alien intervention. In other words, alien abductors had taken the child.

In the article, Neal wrote:

"These individuals are taken into what appears to be some type of examination room in which they are placed on a table. Abductees report they are usually administered some form of anesthesia, whether through the touching of an instrument to the head, alien hands applied to the temporal area, then telepathically told to relax and that it would not hurt, or are given some form of liquid for oral intake, ostensibly to alleviate apprehension."

In many of the cases, according to Neal, they also reported some kind of "quasi-gynecological" exam. And, as many abductees have claimed, these women report that a long needle was pushed into the belly. Neal reported that much of this sounds like common medical procedures including "a mini-laparoscopy in which there may be extraction of ova from the ovary or a procedure known as Gamete Intra-Fallopian Transfer (GIFT)."

Neal surveyed the work of others including Dr. Thomas "Eddie" Bullard, a folklorist, and Dr. Leo Sprinkle who was one of the earliest of the abduction researchers. Both reported that they had nothing like the missing fetus syndrome in their files. Bullard did comment, "A preoccupation with reproduction is a common theme from the earliest abductions onward, and a few of the stories describe rape, sexual activity, and events that might be described as artificial inseminations or tampering with a pregnancy already underway, but I noticed nothing in the literature (up to 1986 or there abouts) comparable to the missing embryo motif."

Sprinkle wrote, "...I have no medical information... In a few instances, women wrote to me about strange 'dreams' of sexual activity with aliens, then describing pregnancies that resulted in birth of babies with 'big eyes' and great psychic abilities."

Neal pointed out that no photographs of these children have been presented. Nor any evidence that they have an increased psychic capability. Letters are not proof of the claims.

The difference, however, seems to be Dr. Jean Moody. She wrote in Vol.6, No. 4 issue of UFO, that "Around nine percent of women with alien contact report medically confirmed pregnancies which disappear, usually in the fourth month. There is no evidence of miscarriage, they just were not pregnant any longer."

Moody said they were medically confirmed, which is in conflict with what Neal reported in the same issue. Neal wrote that each time he heard of a medically confirmed report, his insistence on the documentation failed to produce results. In Neal's experience, as a medical doctor, he had found no persuasive evidence of the missing fetus syndrome. Moody, however, did present one case. Claire, according to Moody, came to her "with the bits and pieces of such a story. She was unaware that she was pregnant, or perhaps she wasn't yet, when an alien being came into her bedroom. Several sessions of hypnotic regression were used to clarify her memories."

Moody related that she has two methods of obtaining data under hypnosis. One is to ask questions of the subject and the other is to say nothing once the "trigger" event has been mentioned. Interestingly, Moody referred to the first method as "the leading question method."

While under the influence of hypnosis, Claire related the trauma of an alien invasion of her room. In the mid-1970s, she was in her bedroom with her young son, when a light came toward her and seemed to force her back. She described, with the proper emotion, an eye that she couldn't get away from. She could see a silver needle and then that she could feel hands inside her.

Under hypnosis, she recalled that she had been told she would

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

have a boy child and that he would have blue eyes and be a mighty warrior. She was told that she would be given the name for the boy and that she would be given instructions on how to raise him.

Claire also described being on a ship where she could see lots of lights and panels. She said that she was sick to her stomach and nude as she was prodded and probed. At one point she said, "Such pain in my uterus... I don't like them being inside of me."

Moody gathered more data from Claire and even talked to the son who had been in the room during the abduction. He was not present during the regression, but did claim to remember the night that Claire had described in such horrifying detail.

Other evidence for the case was a scar on Claire's arm. She said that it happened on the night of the visitation and that the tissue was biopsied. There was no results of that provided.

Moody also reported that Claire said that she had had two miscarriages and one missing pregnancy of a four-month-old fetus. According to Moody, this happened after she had a tubal ligation. Her doctors were incredulous when reminded of her operation. No medical evidence of the pregnancies or miscarriages was presented.

Moody writes in the conclusion to her article in UFO, "By selectively ignoring many pieces of the puzzle, the protesting reader can conclude there was no craft, no aliens, just a strange rapist in Claire's bedroom while her husband was away. By ignoring her vivid emotional scars, one could conclude it was a normal pregnancy, and the son is just 'different.'... But if you put all the pieces into the picture, we have one more case of alien forces reaching down into the most intimate aspects of human life."

The problem is that no evidence, beyond the emotional "scars" of Claire has been presented. Hypnotic regression was used to retrieve the memories and no corroboration, beyond a son remembering lights, has been offered. The precise medical documentation that would help "the protesting reader" understand and believe has been neglected.

There might be a partial explanation for why there is no medical evidence to confirm these stories of disappearing pregnancies. David Jacobs, in The Threat, described what he termed "Extrauterine Gestation Units" which are some form of implanted device for carrying an alien hybrid child. He reported on a number of women who have experienced these devices, suggested that one had been seen on an ultrasound, but that it was not recovered. The aliens, through some unknown ability, are able to monitor the women, recovering the device before it can be removed for analysis.

Jacobs made it clear that these extrauterine gestation devices are not connected to the hosts' bodies. In a normal, human pregnancy, the mother is connected to the fetus through the placenta. The mother shares nutrients with the growing baby. But, according to Jacobs, these extrauterine gestation devices are a separate system that is implanted in the women.

If they are not connected to the women, if there is no circulation of blood between the host and the device, then what purpose does it serve? Jacobs suggests that the fetus, when removed from the device is then brought to term in class tubes filled with liquid. The hybrid children are "decanted" much in the same way as the children in Brave New World. At least this is the way some of his abductees recall it under hypnosis.

So why isn't there more physical evidence? In all the investigations that have been conducted, in all the reports that have been made, there has never been the documentation to prove that something had, in fact, happened. Neal wrote about the difficulties in investigation and research on missing fetus syndrome. During his research, he noted four considerations that inhibited investigation.

First, many of the abductees feared consulting with a private doctor. The women were afraid that if they requested the release of their records, and their doctor learned the reason, the

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

doctor might believe the patient to be unstable.

Second, many of the women were unwilling to release such private information to abduction researchers. Some were afraid of humiliation, and rightly so. Too often the UFO community has demonstrated that is not to be trusted with sensitive or private information. This fear often results in a failure to provide documentation needed to corroborate the testimony.

Third, according to Neal, many of the abductees only have a vague memory of the abduction and have real concerns that the pregnancy might be normal in origin. In other words, it was the husband, boyfriend, or mate who was involved in the contraception and not alien visitors.

And finally, there is fabrication. Although Neal wrote that the majority of the alleged female abductees would not lie about the event, there may be some who were seeking notoriety for self-gratification. The production of the proper documentation, in these cases, would be extremely difficult since it is all a hoax.

Neal provided a list of medical reasons why a woman who is not pregnant might believe she was. Many of these conditions produce symptoms that mimic pregnancy. In some cases, tests suggested the woman was pregnant when she was not. Neal advocated the proper medical documentation in these cases. Some would be explained by medical science as not involving alien abduction and intervention but some might provide the very corroboration that the abduction researchers require.

It should also be noted that many of the women who have reported these false pregnancies, or who Jacobs believed had been implanted with his extrauterine gestation devices were post menopausal women, women who had had hysterectomies, or who were, for whatever reason, unable to bear children. The psychological literature is full of reports on why women who cannot conceive believe that they have, through some miracle, become pregnant. Such a belief fulfills a real psychological need in these women.

In the second part of an article appearing in the January 1992 issue of UFO, Neal asked, "Are [missing fetus] cases on file?" He answered his own question, writing, "Why do some of our top researchers say they have numerous cases in their files related to the ME/FS motif, yet fail to produce anything that can be investigated or documented when questioned thoroughly?"

He also noted, "As far as researchers go, we have no data for medical/ scientific review, due to failure of compliance of female abductees as well as the investigators' failure to submit cases to those of us interested in this bizarre aspect of the abductee phenomenon."

To document ME/FS, Neal recommended proper medical records. The information he thought important included an established date of missed menses, a positive pregnancy test in the doctor's office, confirmation of the pregnancy by a doctor, the alleged date of the abduction, alleged date of the missing pregnancy, documentation by a doctor of the missing pregnancy or complication of the pregnancy, Lab, X-ray, ultrasound scan, surgical procedures or pathology reports, and finally, hypnotic regression tapes of the alleged sexual encounter or quasi-gynecological examination. In other words, he required the type of evidence that would be accepted by medical authorities.

It should be noted, however, that Jacobs' extrauterine gestation device would defeat most of the tests recommended by Neal. Because, according to Jacobs, the device has nothing to do with the host's body, is not connected to it, and is merely "warehoused" in the women, many of the medical tests would be negative. The X-rays, ultrasounds, and recovery through surgical procedures would, of course, provide the proper scientific evidence. To date that simply hasn't happened for a variety of less than persuasive reasons.

Neal pointed out that without this documentation and until the medical records can be produced for proper peer review, "the ME/FS will remain as obscure and vague in the future as it is today. Merely hear-say cases of alleged female abductees having missed pregnancies will forever remain buried in the annals of ufology."

This was the state of this sort of research more than a decade and a half ago and I see nothing to suggest that this has changed. The documentation has not been offered, there has been no real peer review by medical practitioners, and no one has been able to prove that such cases exist. Instead we are given the same sort of anecdotal information that we have always been given and questions are dodged by claiming that in all of the research done some sort of consistency has been established.

But here we are, 15 years later, and we hear that no one has bothered to study this aspect. Dr. Neal did before his death and I have seen nothing to suggest his conclusions have been invalidated by evidence... just the claims of evidence which isn't quite the same thing.

KRandle

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 13

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze.nul></u> Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 15:05:27 -0700 Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 17:31:41 -0500 Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>A Question for The Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>Hi Greg

>I frankly don't know the duration intervals for the Barauna UFO
>photo sequence. I believe they have already been published
>somewhere and there will be - I hope - a list of available
>publishers and publishing dates for the info on the Web.

>I am not sure of what you mean by "evolutionary reflections".

Hi A. J.

The case is old, new information becomes available over time... things evolve... hope this explains my use of this term.

I believe my question was straightforward, fair, and open. I'm allowing you much for the margins of inclusivity and your full thoughts to emerge should you wish.

By your own admission, you are the defacto expert I presume? The Brazillian UFO Magaine has been following the case for years, correct?

Let me rephrase my question:.

Q1: What was the first reported time duration for the entire Barauna photographic sequence? Surely, you can confirm Barauna's testimony, and the first published account?

Q2: Also, has Barauna's total time estimates changed over time. Did he stick to the original time calculation? If not what were his later estimates?

I seek your answer to this since you are closest to the case in language, one of it's champions, and experts. I'm asking you not the Internet.

Regards,

G. Paloma

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 13</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 17:10:29 -0600
Archived: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 18:26:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 15:05:27 -0700
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>A Question for The Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>>Hi Greg

>>I frankly don't know the duration intervals for the Barauna UFO
>>photo sequence. I believe they have already been published
>>somewhere and there will be - I hope - a list of available
>>publishers and publishing dates for the info on the Web.

>>I am not sure of what you mean by "evolutionary reflections".

>Hi A. J.

>The case is old, new information becomes available over time... >things evolve... hope this explains my use of this term.

>I believe my question was straightforward, fair, and open. I'm >allowing you much for the margins of inclusivity and your full >thoughts to emerge should you wish.

>By your own admission, you are the defacto expert I presume? The >Brazillian UFO Magaine has been following the case for years, >correct?

>Let me rephrase my question:.

>Q1: What was the first reported time duration for the entire >Barauna photographic sequence? Surely, you can confirm >Barauna's testimony, and the first published account?

>Q2: Also, has Barauna's total time estimates changed over time. >Did he stick to the original time calculation? If not what were >his later estimates?

>I seek your answer to this since you are closest to the case in >language, one of it's champions, and experts. I'm asking you not >the Internet.

Any question properly couched, Sir, is immanently askable, even

if its premise is the first thing addressed; only, if you don't want to ask the INTERNET, you might reconsider asking on a public board.

I've a question. I wonder, would you ever work as hard to credit a case as you seem to toil to discredit one? I seek your answer to this since you are closest to the case in opposition, one of it's anti-heroes, so to speak, and one of its experts, of a sort. I'm asking _you_, moreover, along with anyone else wishing to contribute to the merriment.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 06:12:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 18:46:18 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 17:21:01 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>He suspected the son of an alleged abductee to be a hybrid. So, >>I suggested to perform DNA analysis on both mother and child, >>but he replied that it was out of question, considering the risk >>of such a test becoming a legal issue.

>It's funny how there's always some reason why a simple test >cannot be applies to such controversial claims, isn't there? >It's just the same with the Scole events and similar mediums ->just turning on the light might have terrible consequences.

>Here's the chance to find out once and for all whether or not >the human race is being interbred with aliens, possibly the most >momentous event in history, affecting our whole future - but it >can't be done for 'legal' reasons.

>Pathetic.

John,

Yes, it would be a momentous event, but, no, it would not be a "simple test". It would be a very difficult one, to be performed under a tightly controlled protocol with independant observers, by a well established laboratory. It would have to be repeated in other labs, and it would cost a lot of time and money.

It would have to be made on solid legal ground. It raises a very difficult ethical question. Just imagine the situation of a child who would be proven publicly, or even just suspected, to be a hybrid...

Apart from secret military studies, do you know any private, independant laboratory willing to undertake such a controversial study, and any reputed medical review willing to publish the results?

It seems that Dr Kokjohn is mentioning these aspects in other threads.

So, I understand very well why a man like Budd Hopkins - an artist not so well known, as noted on this List - would not, and just could not do that. Had he tried, I am sure he he would have been violently attacked by people of your kind.

It reminds me of the ban on hypnotic regression decided in England by BUFORA. Did you agree with that? Similarly, Dr Leir was violently attacked, even losing his licence for some time, with his implant operations. On the premier French TV network TF1, he was accused of being a crook. That's the kind of thing Re: Budd Hopkins

which may happen to you when you cross certain lines.

Who is pathetic here?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Paul Movie Trailer

From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 19:34:26 -0000 Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 06:15:18 -0500 Subject: Paul Movie Trailer

http://tinyurl.com/6xrxj3y

Bringing you the real truth about aliens...

Warning - sick joke at end

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:23:16 +0000
Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 06:35:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>Who is pathetic here?

Pretty damned obvious I think - a guy who carries out unnecessary surgery to produce _no_ peer-reviewed evidence of his claim that his subjects have advanced technology implanted in their bodies, and the people who are so pathetically gullible or such devout followers that they believe that.

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Bryant On Peckman's Denver Mayoral Run

From: Larry W Bryant <<u>overtci</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 22:01:42 -0500 Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 06:50:34 -0500 Subject: Bryant On Peckman's Denver Mayoral Run

Peckman As Cosmic Pathfinder

Judging from Jeeff Peckman's phenomenal success in raising Denverites' UFO-E.T. awareness with the recent ballot-initiative campaign, how can we doubt that his mayoral candidacy constitutes a natural progression of that awareness? See his platform details at:

http://www.extracampaign.org

At the very least his entering the race cannot but enliven what otherwise would be a boring exercise in politcs as usual. If you've yet to experience his keen sense of humor and civicmindedness that matches it, then you're in for a solid, practical glimpse of what he offers for Denver's citizenry of this decade.

Welcome aboard the UFO-E.T.-awareness train, now building up a full head of steam to depart upon the campaign trail - with or without those who, right now, feel threatened by the paradigm shift it brings to us.

To see posted comments - including one from Jeffrey - click on this link and scroll down to the comments section:

http://www.denverpost.com/news/ci 17367474

Larry W. Bryant

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:59:39 -0000
Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 07:17:09 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 15:54:40 -0700
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 12:23:52 -0000
>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

<snip>

>>Please do not induct me into any sort of "block vote" on this
>>issue or any other. If "the Brazilian researchers" have a
>>corporate position, then like you I will be interested to see
>>what it is, and why, but I am not joining any campaign team or
>>having any badges pinned on my lapel, thanks ;-)

>I accepted your one-minute upper estimate based upon your >current understanding. But, we must concede that the Trindade >UFO observation is well known as a short duration event.

There you go again. I neither offered an "upper limit", nor will I do so. I did not offer a one minute as an "my estimate" of anything. Rather, I cautioned you not to assume that your "14 seconds" (recalled by Barauna from the Navy's timing of 6 rapidfire shots with his camera) was regarded by the Navy or anybody else as the true event duration. Another example of what I mean is Capt Brandao's intelligence report in which he opted for (IIRC) "half a minute" for the 6 photos. Clearly the purpose of the timing exercise (14 seconds) was understood as setting a *lower bound*. The practical value dependent on memory and circumstances thern becomes a matter of judgement. But these are not my estimates, neither should you use them or any others to infer an upper limit on my behalf.

I already explained that there is an unresolved tension between the apparent cloud changes (well brought out by Kentaro Mori) and plausible duration, which might amount to a discrepancy. As you may have discovered, a few years ago I tried to estimate some brackets for possible duration based on angular displacements of some (possibly) identifiable cloud features and likely wind speed and direction at various levels estimated from historical averages. Making certain assumptions about the type and height of cloud etc I concluded that the photos were more likely to be consistent with a minimum duration of about 2 minutes.

Clearly this result is in tension to some extent with subjective guesses and reasoned judgements lying in the <1 minute area. But not so much as would be the case if the result had been 30 minutes, say, or if it had been impossible to find any cloud features in common at all. So whether the tension amounts to a serious discrepancy is another matter of judgement, and depends for example on whether one agrees or not with identification of a certain cloud type as cirrus or altostratus etc etc. I regarded this exercise as interesting but inconclusive.

Indeed Kentaro has since cast doubt on whether a certain

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

distinctive cloud feature which I attempted to isolate in multiple photos and use as a marker is really a reliable feature at all. He suspects I may have been misled by a defect in the print emulsion. If so this would mean the exercise is invalid and we're back to square one - except for one general result which I believe is more robust, and that is that *most* of the cloud in the photos is foreground convective cloud due to the presence of the island mountains and would probably change on a timescale too short and in a fashion too chaotic to offer a useful "clock".

So the argument rests on uncertain estimates about the type and altitude and likely speed of a single small area of what appears to possibly be (but may not be) a stable cirrus or altostratus feature visible through a gap in the foreground mountain clouds.

The meaning of much of the rest of your post is a little opaque to me, but I hope this gives you some feeling for the uncertainty involved and illustrates why a) I recommend caution in trying to reduce the case to a simplistic numbers game and why b) I will not give you a number.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Spacecraft To Be Controlled By AI

From: Ray Dickenson <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 13:46:51 -0000 Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 09:06:34 -0500 Subject: Spacecraft To Be Controlled By AI

Hello List,

Ignoring the mandatory 'dumbed-down' media intro and references, we can see this might explain several factors (often used by 'debunkers) which have confused our interpretations of sightings and the behaviour exhibited.

The Daily Telegraph

http://tinyurl.com/6ekgpuv

Spacecraft To Be Controlled By Artificial Intelligence

Spacecraft that can make their own decisions, have desires and reason like human beings are being developed by British scientists and the European Space Agency.

More at URL

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Paul Movie Trailer

From: **Kelly Freeman** <<u>khfsufo</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 08:46:42 -0500 Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 09:08:18 -0500 Subject: Re: Paul Movie Trailer

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 19:34:26 -0000
>Subject: Paul Movie Trailer

>http://tinyurl.com/6xrxj3y

>Bringing you the real truth about aliens...

>Warning - sick joke at end

Hello Dave,

Funny trailer, but that last few seconds says it all. Hopefully, the final revelation on UFOs won't_be a sick joke. Time will tell.

Kelly

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 17:20:22 -0700
Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 16:24:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>A Question for The Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>I frankly don't know the duration intervals for the Barauna UFO
>photo sequence. I believe they have already been published
>somewhere and there will be - I hope - a list of available
>publishers and publishing dates for the info on the Web.

Not having the familiarity with this case that Martin Shough and others on the UpDates list do, I can at least submit there is one readily available published estimate to be found, namely in the Navy report

see http://www.nicap.org/newevidarticle.htm

that followed Bacellar's briefing to the Navy High Command on 27 Jan 1958, in which it is stated explicitly that "The photographs were taken in no more than 30 seconds".

If there are any controversies surrounding the authenticity of this report, I am not aware of them, although it does seem to have a somewhat odd provenance.

I gather that the thrust of Greg's inquiry is to establish that the brevity of this interval is incompatible with the evolution of the cloud formations in the images.

It is straightforward in Photoshop to place corresponding areas of photos #1 and #4 side-by-side and adjust the brightness and contrast to enhance the cloud patterns. I must concede that I would not expect the indicated degree of cloud pattern evolution in a 30 second period, or even twice that.

Notwithstanding Martin's persuasive analysis demonstrating that this would not be ruled out meteorologically, it does strike me as an issue of serious concern. But assuming a hoax, it's difficult to believe that Barauna would not have anticipated the potential for such a (perceived) discrepancy and taken pains to avoid it, considering the care and skill, not to mention luck, that attended the scheme as a whole.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul> Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 13:14:17 -0200 Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 16:27:12 -0500 Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 15:05:27 -0700
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>A Question for The Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>>Hi Greg

>>I frankly don't know the duration intervals for the Barauna UFO
>>photo sequence. I believe they have already been published
>>somewhere and there will be - I hope - a list of available
>>publishers and publishing dates for the info on the Web.

>>I am not sure of what you mean by "evolutionary reflections".

>Hi A. J.

>The case is old, new information becomes available over time... >things evolve... hope this explains my use of this term.

>I believe my question was straightforward, fair, and open. I'm >allowing you much for the margins of inclusivity and your full >thoughts to emerge should you wish.

>By your own admission, you are the defacto expert I presume? The >Brazillian UFO Magaine has been following the case for years, >correct?

<snip>

Greg,

I am far away from being an expert in this case (never claimed to be that). I have never investigated it myself, like I did with other Brazilian cases.

I am sorry to say that you may have to look for the info somewhere else.

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul> Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 13:16:14 -0200 Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 16:28:58 -0500 Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 10:16:46 -0600
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>>I am not sure of what you mean by "evolutionary reflections".

>It means, A. J., that while Greg has reached the highest state >of evolution, you're still way down somewhere on the chain.

>You just need a translation of the rhetorical strategy.

Hi Jerry.

That explain much of what I have read here. Thanks!

I guess I will continue way down somewhere on the chain...

Take care,

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: Tyler Kokjohn <TKOKJO.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 10:34:55 -0700
Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 16:31:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>From: William Treurniet <<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:57:08 -0500
>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>>From: Tyler Kokjohn<<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas<<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 19:13:08 -0500
>>>Subject: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>This is an interesting approach, and I would point out that DNA >evidence of an alien-human hybrid already exists. Lloyd Pye's >Starchild skull is said to contain both human and non-human DNA.

>http://www.starchildproject.com/dna.htm

>Before embarking on a project to find non-human DNA in >abductees, perhaps one ought to consider what kind of evidence >would be recognized by the scientific community. My impression >is that Lloyd Pye is not exactly being overwhelmed by interested >molecular biologists, etc. wanting to confirm his geneticist's >findings. Further, if he is having problems funding his >research, imagine how funding agencies would respond to the kind >of research being proposed here.

William -

Your thoughts are important and insightful. Investigators will be well served if they give them full consideration. No doubt about it, research in this realm will be challenging for a number of reasons.

Although several strategies now exist to attack this problem genetically, there is another way entirely - one can simply do nothing for the moment. Genomic technology is advancing swiftly and some authorities are projecting that a day when genomes may be deciphered for \$1,000 (US) is near. When that cost goal is reached it may mark the emergence of genomics data as a quasi-routine component of medical information employed by practitioners.

The plummeting costs of sequencing offer two future possibilities. One; as genomics determinations begin to be reduced to routine medical practice, a hybrid entity might be discovered by simple accident. The second; launching an investigation (although still complicated) will not demand the intervention of a benefactor like Laurance Rockefeller. While taking full account of your ideas regarding assumptions and care to safeguard subject identities, it seems certain more investigators will have access to comprehensive genetic data in the near future. Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

New massively parallel sequencing methods may enable data capture from a single DNA molecule. This will revolutionize genomic comparisons to reference sequences. Such data are going to be important in noting how cancer cells could be destroyed with specific therapeutic molecules or avoiding toxic drug reactions in patients. Some recent issues of the journal Science (4 February 2011, 11 February 2011) and Nature (10 February 2011) have several articles discussing the future of genomics.

If the past ten years of the human genome project is any guide, we will not have to wait long for new enabling technologies to appear. An approach may be in hand soon (if it is not already) to confront hypotheses with data. Perhaps we will get closer to a real comprehension of the alien abduction mystery.

Some, like Lloyd Pye, are not content to wait. Despite the frustrations, he has worked creatively to obtain the most definitive data possible on the Starchild. It would have been far easier to play the role of an outsider shunned by mainstream science and hit the lecture circuit until he retired. Instead, he chose to get the hard data and answer the question to the best of his ability. In the end, that data will tell all about the Starchild, whether it is what some wish for or not. No matter the outcome, Lloyd Pye has revealed himself to be a man of integrity, determination, and sheer courage. I look forward to seeing what he discovers.

Best wishes,

Tyler

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 14</u>

Re: Paul Movie Trailer

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:18:17 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 16:32:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Paul Movie Trailer

>From: Dave Haith <<u>visions1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 19:34:26 -0000
>Subject: Paul Movie Trailer

>http://tinyurl.com/6xrxj3y

>Bringing you the real truth about aliens...

>Warning - sick joke at end

This must be the horrible truth we are being protected from - the Greys are frat boys.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 15</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 19:00:46 -0500
Archived: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 06:33:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

<snip>

>A particular class of abductee, those allegedly impregnated or >used as incubators for human-alien hybrid entities, offers a >potentially rich source of hard genetic evidence.

>During pregnancy, DNA and cells from the unborn child become >incorporated into the mother. Remarkably, the fetal cells may >persist in the mother for decades after the pregnancy ends >(Science 296:2169-2172, 21 June 2002).

>In principle, if the hypotheses are correct, some female >abductees must harbor physical evidence of alien hybrids. >Because these cells are long-lived, investigators can simply re->contact some past research subjects to begin experiments (after >the required IRB approvals are obtained). No one need capture an >entity or await other happenstance to uncover some hard >evidence.

>If the hypotheses of Dr. Jacobs and Mr. Hopkins are correct, >telltale genetic evidence should be recoverable. Perhaps they or >a new generation of abduction researchers will now take on this >challenge and reveal some facts surrounding the alien abduction >mystery.

Dr. Kokjohn,

First of all, I had no idea who you are when I wrote the initial comment. To be honest, I've since googled your name and now see that you're a Professor of Microbiology at the University of Nebraska with a Ph.D in the field. I'm pleased and amazed that there are university professors in the technical and scientific fields willing to comment upon this material and propose new technical methods for teasing out fact from the current record.

Further, I would argue that these questions of fact will not be resolved by the taking of additional testimony. What's necessary to move forward is the use of new technologies and instruments able to sort fact from opinion and personal interpretation. Collecting more personal testimony just continues what for so long hasn't worked. That said, I question your continuing focus on Dr. Jacobs and Mr. Hopkins. Since you have apparently allied yourself with an ex-wife of Hopkins and another woman claiming ethical violations by Jacobs, why would you want their data? Why prod Jacobs in this way? And, as has been made plain on list, why bother with Hopkins at all given what some say is a very serious illness he currently faces?

Perhaps a better question to ask is: Why don't YOU do this? You have the resources, an academic institution with access to the requisite equipment, and a clearly falsifiable theory. Instead of hoping for Jacobs to pursue this research - never mind that it's not his specialty, whereas it is yours - why don't you put together a good study, collect and analyze the data, and then Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

publish it?

Speaking strictly as a layperson making guesses, I could imagine that perhaps sixty to eighty people split into two groups, females who claim to have had an abduction experience with an alien/child hybrid birth vs. females who claim to have never had an abduction experience, ought to be a big enough sample to rule out the genetic changes you depict between those two groups. As for funding, Paypal. Get the community to provide the funding for a real study. And given your credentials, I bet you could find plenty of average people willing to do so. I'd certainly donate money for that project.

That said, I do have one speculative question to consider: Assuming that the Hopkins-Jacobs-Mack synthesis and analysis is correct, that biological extraterrestrial aliens are, in fact, using superior technology to abduct humans in order to pursue the modification of humanity on Earth, are there _other__ technical procedures than genetic that could be used? I'm thinking specifically of epigenetic shifts that might result in morphological changes to an organism - perhaps even changes that could be passed down subsequent generations - without there being a detectable genetic change for DNA analysis. Is this possible? I'm honestly not certain.

Thanks for your kind reply,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 15</u>

Acceleration And Disappearance

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 22:18:43 -0500 (EST)
Archived: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 06:47:21 -0500
Subject: Acceleration And Disappearance

Speed is nice but Acceleration is 'where it's at'. Acceleration is what separates 'them' from the boys.

See my improved article on acceleration:

http://www.brumac.8k.com/Acceleration/ACCELERATION.htm

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 15</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100 Archived: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 06:50:53 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:23:16 +0000
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>>Who is pathetic here?

>Pretty damned obvious I think - a guy who carries out >unnecessary surgery to produce _no_ peer-reviewed evidence of >his claim that his subjects have advanced technology implanted >in their bodies, and the people who are so pathetically gullible >or such devout followers that they believe that.

Joe, and John,

You are a perfect illustration of what I said.

Now, it's the turn of Dr Roger Leir to be "pathetic", because of his surgeries.

Hopkins is pathetic because he did not dare to do highly controversial tests.

Leir is pathetic because he dared to do that.

BTW, in his agreement signed by Roger Leir with NIDS, they had promised to publish the results of the implants analyses in a peer reviewed magazine. They had analyses done, at Los Alamos, and New Mexico Tech, but they failed to do so. NIDS black hole again.

Another example: Dr VernonClark has his career ruined after making public his isotopic analysis of an alleged UFO debris. Pathetic, too? Rather, a dangerous game, it seems to me.

Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

Re: Budd Hopkins

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 15</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:47:02 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 09:41:18 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in >ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John >Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? >Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

>Gildas Bourdais

As you say, Mr Bourdais, it is a far from simple question. I clearly believe in the 'UFO phenomenon', otherwise I would not have wasted a great deal of time over about 40 years publishing a magazine about them!

However I presume your question is actually asking "do you believe the the phenomena reported as 'UFOs' are craft of unknown, probably extraterrestrial origin?"

If so, my answer is "almost certainly not". If your question is more 'nuanced' (as Jerry Clark would say), we could be here all day.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 15</u>

McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins]

From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle.nul></u>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:54:07 +0000
Archived: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 10:19:16 -0500
Subject: McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in >ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John >Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? >Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

That's also a very simple question to answer, I'm surprised you need to ask it, and I'm not sure what it has to do with the topic in hand.

Unidentified Flying Objects exist, that is a certainty.

It is what they are which is uncertain to most rational people, myself included.

Personally I do not exclude the ETH, but it is very very low on the probability scale, below the probability of time travellers, which is also very low on the scale. Those are my opinions, don't expect me to substantiate them.

I hope that response meets your approval...

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins]

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 15</u>

Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 15:55:56 -0000
Archived: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:49:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

>From: Bruce Maccabee <<u>brumac</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 22:18:43 -0500 (EST)
>Subject: Acceleration And Disappearance

>Speed is nice but Acceleration is 'where it's at'. Acceleration >is what separates 'them' from the boys.

>See my improved article on acceleration:

>http://www.brumac.8k.com/Acceleration/ACCELERATION.htm

Hello Bruce

have you looked at the apparent huge accelerations shown by a craft/object filmed by NASA in 1991? It stopped dead - from moving at orbital speeds - then zoomed off at high speed in nearly the opposite direction, I think any humans in a craft doing that would've instantly been converted into raspberry jam splattered on the bulkheads.

From 1 min 45 secs into this NASA STS-48 clip

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IiDvkB_rG-0

"UFO Footage - STS-48 - "Abrupt Turn" - Full Length Original"

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Budd Hopkins Responds

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>** Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 06:34:41 -0500 Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 06:34:41 -0500 Subject: Budd Hopkins Responds

Source: Kay Wilson's Alien Jigsaw.Com

http://www.alienjigsaw.com/Articles/DeconstructingDebunkers.html

Deconstructing The Debunkers:

A Response

by Budd Hopkins

Ι

Probably no one seriously involved in investigating UFO reports has escaped the hydra-headed debunking machine and its many busy attendants. It's long been understood that debunking and skepticism are two very different things, the former, an artifact of rigid ideology and the latter an objective, scientifically-inclined position. At the outset of any investigation of a UFO incident, the skeptic can accept the case as possibly legitimate or reject it as possibly a hoax or a misunderstanding or whatever, but the debunker has only one fixed option; he/she knows that the incident, whatever it was, could not have involved a genuine UFO. This rigid stance is akin to a kind of quasi-religious fundamentalism, and in my paper I intend to examine the various tenets of such true-believer negativity.

The reason I'm writing this article at this point in my life has to do with both health and age. I am about to celebrate (?) my 80th birthday, and am currently suffering from two almost certainly fatal diseases, so I've decided, while I still have the time and energy, to do a bit of deconstruction of the nature and habits of the debunking mindset. Also, along the way I hope that my piece will provide a little helpful information for those who, like me, are involved in the serious investigation of the UFO abduction phenomenon. As an armature on which to hang my comments, I have selected a debunking article which appeared recently, written, surprisingly, by my ex-wife, Carol Rainey. Though readers may find her authorship either irrelevant or curiously suggestive, the debunking piece she produced admirably illustrates many of my points.

ΙI

Within the wide-ranging areas of UFO research, various subjects lead to different types of study: for example, the legitimacy of government cover-up issues might be resolved by a careful study of the layout and style of purported secret documents, and, in testing the veracity of alleged UFO photographs, many technical avenues of examination present themselves. The physical records of UFO sightings, radar cases and so on also lend themselves to objective, scientific study so we are not helpless in our quest to discover the truth about certain kinds of evidence. But rather than these categories of UFO cases, Ms. Rainey has chosen UFO abduction reports to use in challenging decades of work by many serious researchers, myself included, and it is here that she finds herself with a few different, but quite legitimate, problems. If scientific analysis can detect flaws in purported UFO photographs or government documents, thus settling the issue, how do debunkers such as she dismiss various detailed reports of accounts that may describe years-old incidents? She finds herself with one basic avenue of attack: if it is either a single witness account or one with supporting witnesses, a committed debunker will disparage the event as a hoax, which, we will see, is her chosen method. Thus she says that the "marshy ground [of abduction accounts] is afloat in hoaxes and partial hoaxes," thereby suggesting that thousands of those who, over the years have reported such experiences were liars.

Let me say at the outset that, unlike the all too common hoaxing of UFO documents and photos, abduction hoaxes, among the thousands of abduction reports I'm aware of, are extraordinarily rare, and for a number of reasons. First, a hoaxing abductee must lie and perform convincingly, over and over again, to the investigators, with no sense that any reward will necessarily accrue. Second, there are often additional witnesses who buttress the account, partly because a large percentage of UFO abductions originally involve more than a single individual. Third, hoaxers must be very assured of the truthful details of their carefully memorized "hoaxed" accounts, lest they be tripped up with the false leads which I often utilize in my interviews. As Mark Twain once said, always telling the truth means never having to remember anything.

But there is a genuine problem area for abduction researchers. In my experience, investigators are often contacted by people who show signs of mental illness but who may at the same time be telling the truth about their purported abductions. We refer such people to mental health professionals for treatment, and their (possible) abductions are tabled. But it is this group those who are suffering from some form of psychological illness - who make the job of the investigator more difficult, rather than the mythical pile of numberless hoaxers that Ms. Rainey prefers to imagine.

III

One of the basic debunking ploys one encounters is the marshalling of mainstream scientific opinion against UFO reports of every kind. Example: a trained military pilot, or perhaps several pilots flying in formation, sight a UFO at close range in bright daylight. A debunker, determined to explain the sighting away, brings in a credentialed astronomer who informs the public that distances are so great in outer space that 'you can't get here from there,' and that therefore the pilots all must have made the same misidentification, of, perhaps, the planet Venus. So, the debunker may assert, who are these eyewitness pilots anyway, when measured against a mighty astronomer with a Ph.D. degree who never saw what the pilots saw and may never have felt the need to interview them?

Similarly, in the debunking paper I've been describing, the writer employs the weight of mainstream, conservative science against those reporting abduction experiences. To buttress her case she brings in a man who holds a Ph.D. degree, one Tyler A. Kokjohn, to cast official doubt on those who report UFO abductions. However I was astounded that in this context the name of John Mack is never mentioned. Not once. Obviously, Dr. Mack, who was a Pulitzer prize winner, an M.D., a Harvard psychiatrist, and the author of two books on UFO abductions, 'outranks' Tyler A. Kokjohn, so Ms. Rainey perhaps felt it best to delete Dr. Mack's name and credentials from her piece and hope that we've forgotten him. Perhaps she has also forgotten a fact that I mentioned several times in her hearing, that I had worked with six psychiatrists who had come to me about their own, personal UFO abduction experiences.

IV

If, as I've suggested, Ms. Rainey chooses to believe that a multitude of those reporting abductions are liars, what happens when a single abduction report has many independent witnesses, such as the Travis Walton case (1975) and the Linda Cortile case (1989)? Well, for these cases to be debunked, as she attempts clumsily to do in her piece, she says that Linda Cortile, as in the multitude of single witness cases, has to be a hoaxer too,

and though she takes a pass on Travis Walton, her logic demands that both absolutely have to be labeled as hoaxes, involving, say, five, ten, twenty or more participants or witnesses who must be conniving together and whose stories have remained consistent over decades. I worked from 1989 until the publication of my book Witnessed in 1996 - seven long years - on the Linda Cortile case, during which I uncovered over a score of witnesses to one or another aspect of this dramatic incident. One key witness, driving across the Brooklyn Bridge at 3:00 am, was stunned to see the UFO, blazing with light, above Linda's building, and, floating in midair, a white-clad female and three diminutive figures rising up toward the craft. She sent me a letter and several drawings to illustrate what she saw, and I ultimately spoke to her and a relative on the phone and drove to her hometown in upstate New York. We met at a restaurant and I tape-recorded a fascinating first-hand account of what she saw that night.

A second eyewitness described the glowing UFO above Linda's building as she and a friend drove down the nearby FDR drive. When we met she brought a swatch of scarlet, metallic Christmas wrapping paper to illustrate the color of the glowing craft, a red tone which matched two sets of colored drawings I had received from other witnesses. She also sketched the simple architectural details of the structure concealing the water tank atop the building and very close to the hovering UFO.

A third woman, a more indirect witness who lived in Linda's apartment complex, awoke and glanced out her window because the normally shadowed courtyard was flooded with light from above. She was able to date the incident perfectly because it was her husband's birthday, and she said she was almost paralyzed when she looked at the lighted courtyard. I spent time in her apartment and was able to see the view she had that frightening night.

I interviewed the three people I've described above, face-toface, as well as all of the other witnesses to various later aspects of the case; the two security agents in the account are the only two witnesses I've never met face to face, yet I have received from them many letters and I have, as well, both their voices on audiotape. (Neither was willing to come forward, due to security issues involving their positions.) And in yet another important interview with one of the most central figures in the case I spoke at length to the so-called Third Man, (Chapter 32 in Witnessed) in a VIP lounge at O'Hare airport.

I am discussing all of these face-to-face interviews because our writer, straining to turn this entire case and all its witnesses into a collection of hoaxers, stated the following: that though Hopkins received "letters, audiotapes, telephone calls, and drawings," he had "never come face-to-face with any of the major players in the story" [my emphasis]. What are we to make of that statement? A slip of the pen? An outright fabrication? (Fabrication is a nice way of saying 'lie.') A need to hire a fact checker in her future musings? Clearly she wants to present me as an incompetent investigator, so she makes no mention of my contacts with the NYPD, the US Secret Service, the State Department, the UN Police Force, the British and Russian delegations to the UN, and so on. It's as if she never read Witnessed, a book which she claims to have edited!

In an interesting aside, two of the eyewitnesses reported independently that their first thought was that they were seeing a special effects, sci-fi movie being filmed, an image which demonstrates just how dramatic this very short-lived incident appeared to them.

Now I am surely here not going to re-write my four-hundred page book, and I feel there is no need to defend the case any further. After so many years, neither it nor the Travis Walton case requires any more support. And if the reader has any remaining doubts about the Linda Cortile case, please reread my book. As a final note, I should mention that one of the crucial witnesses in the case was Linda's son Johnny, nine years old at the time of his involvement. His role is of extraordinary importance because of an incident in which he dealt face to face with the Third Man. (If a reader wishes to learn - or recall the full text of the complicated story, please consult Chapters 25 and 26 in Witnessed). When Johnny told me over the phone what he had experienced, I went to the Cortile apartment that afternoon to interview him in person, but first I made some preparations. Without telling either Linda or Johnny I clipped the similarly posed photos of 19 businessmen out of old Business Sections of the Times and added a related photo I had of the Third Man. After I interviewed Johnny I told him that I had some pictures that I wanted him to look at to see which ones, if any, resembled the man he'd dealt with. I used the term 'resembled' so Johnny would not expect to see an actual photo of the subject. His father had a small video camera, and I asked him to tape the inquiry.

Johnny entered into the photo game with smiling excitement, as if he were participating in a real-life police drama. I instructed him to make two piles - one of pictures which did not resemble the Third Man, and another of those which did, even if perhaps only a little. I had put the Third Man's photo close to the end, and as I went through the 20, one by one, he had found three or four which somewhat resembled the man he's conversed with. But when I got to the actual picture, he said, "Wait a minute=85now that looks more like him. Maybe that's him=85yeah, maybe that's him."

The videotape of this identification shows that Johnny never once glanced inquiringly at his mother, desperate for clues; he behaved exactly like a nine-year old involved excitingly in a real-life police procedural. Everything that he said and did that day was, to me, limpidly honest and direct.

Obviously, either Johnny's behavior and testimony had been unerringly memorized and he had been professionally coached by his mother, or he was simply telling the truth. Logic demands that if he'd been forced into a more than twenty-person hoax, his mother would have thereby handed him an enormous Damocles sword to hold over her head for the rest of her life. For any reader with a nine-year-old, think about what that would mean: "Do what I want, Mommy, or I'll tell on you!"

Finally, remember that the little boy in the recent 'balloon hoax' accidentally spilled the beans the same day as the incident.

V

Now to bring up another aspect of the debunking mindset, there is the "tail wagging the dog" device in which any trivial piece of 'disconfirming evidence' is adduced to supposedly refute the mass of supporting evidence. This device is used frequently, not because it is persuasive but in the hope that it may plant a doubt in the reader's mind about the case.

Example: One evening in 1973, in Pascagoula, Mississippi, two friends, Charlie Hickson and Calvin Parker went fishing. A UFO landed near their pier, they were paralyzed and taken aboard. After they had been returned and the UFO departed, the terrified men went to the police to report their experience. Put in the interrogation room, the officers left to 'get them some coffee,' after switching on a hidden recorder. The police fully expected them to whisper about how their 'hoax' was working, but when they later played the tape, one of the men was praying and both were lost in the terror of the moment. The police officers, as well as Dr. J. Allen Hynek the next day, stated that the two had truly experienced something traumatic; there was no possibility that they had invented the story and were just consummate actors.

Other evidence in the case surfaced, including an eyewitness to the UFO as it sped away. I will not dwell more on this incident, the 'dog,' in my homely metaphor, except to describe the 'tail' that a debunker presented. Some distance away is a drawbridge which contained a small room where the man in charge sits and listens for toots from boats wishing to have him open the bridge. (There are few at night.) But because this man apparently didn't witness the abduction - Was he napping? Looking out the wrong window in the wrong direction? Reading? Watching TV? Whatever he was doing, since he hadn't seen the UFO, it proved to the debunker that the incident never happened. This flimsy little tail was wagging a very substantial dog. And oh, yes, sometime later Hickson requested, and passed, a polygraph test. **Budd Hopkins Responds**

Reading her piece I realize that Ms. Rainey is a master at introducing such scrawny, tail-wags-the-dog details in her attack on Linda Cortile. An example is this beauty: "I've never met anybody, for example, who could get an unexpected phone call from an admirer and so effortlessly spin a spontaneously fabricated, intricate, family-related reason for not meeting him for coffee, all the while winking broadly at me." Really? Has our author never done the same, in the same situation? I certainly have, because an invented family excuse often seems easier on the caller's ego than telling him the truth: I don't want to see you, or I'm too busy to bother, or something similarly dismissive. Does an anecdote like this - the scrawniest of dog tails, deserve even to be recorded?

There are more such tail-wagging-the dog attempts in her piece, but in the face of the masses of evidence supporting Linda's veracity, they do not warrant my spending any more time on them. (One involves my original misunderstanding of an incident with Linda and her cousin Connie; if anyone is interested, ask me about it.)

VI

As I said at the outset, my health and advanced age have sapped my energy - plus I'm a terrible typist - so I will soon have to shorten my rejoinders to this kind of hyperbolic - and endless debunking. But first I want to mention another aspect of the debunkers' game, and it has to do with boundaries, an issue which causes them serious problems. The truest among them do not believe that there are any unknown, solid, metallic objects maneuvering in our skies, and that every single UFO sighting, photograph and radar return, no matter how many people report it, can somehow be explained away. This is, naturally, a very difficult position to maintain, but should a debunker then narrow his/her boundaries and say that such mysterious foreign craft do, or might, exist, the question arises: if so, and UFOs have been seen for decades, what are they doing here? For this, the debunker has no coherent answer, but abduction researchers do. And what if a debunker like Ms. Rainey posits the theory that a huge number of abduction accounts are lies and hoaxes, does she believe that there are some legitimate cases? Does she think that genuine UFOs actually exist and are flying around? If so she doesn't say, and her article goes begging. If she should later say that not all abduction accounts are lies and hoaxes, which, then, are legitimate, which are not, and how can she tell the difference? Boundaries, boundaries, problems, problems!

VII

The case in which she seems to be most heavily invested involved a man named Jim Mortellaro, and it was here that I made a major error: I went public with the case before I had thoroughly checked out all of its many dangling appurtenances. In my quasitherapeutic role I automatically seek to protect the witness in order to gently learn the details of his/her claimed experience, but at the same time it was becoming clear to me that psychologically, Mortellaro was decidedly fragile. Yet since his case seemed to provide a wealth of physical evidence, I continued with it longer than I should have. After working for decades with hundreds of people reporting UFO experiences and trying my best to help them, I guess I'm entitled to at least one unfortunate error of judgment.

One of the problems with the Mortellaro case is the fact that the man was personally rather odd which cast him into an unusual category, a rarity among abductees I've worked with. Also, Ms. Rainey clearly did not like him from the first moment, and since the poor, arrogant man seemed to have few friends or supporters and a seriously ill wife at home - or so he claimed - I granted him more leeway than I should have. (I seem to instinctively gravitate to the underdog, a personal quirk I discuss at length in my memoir, Art, Life and UFOS.) Though my ex was never what one would call an independent investigator of UFO abduction cases, she did function as a kind of kibitzer in the Mortellaro case, wandering into meetings of our IF advisory committee, listening for a bit, expressing her anti-Mortellaro position, and then leaving. But essentially, this case is the centerpiece of her article, occupying as it does about eight columns of print.

Here, again, the reader must be on the alert for her

characteristic hyperbole and exaggerations of fact. About the increasing dissension among us over Mortellaro's trustworthiness, she asserts that "three=85Committee members eventually resigned including two psychotherapists and an engineer." Pretty damning stuff, except that it's not true. One of the only two therapists in the group, Jed Turnbull, is still with us and the second had to drop out months after the Mortellaro affair because he had married, moved far out on Long Island, become a new father, and consequently found it difficult to come to Manhattan to our meetings and seminars. We had no 'engineer' on the committee, though my friend Joe Orsini, a medical writer and researcher, did resign, partly because of the Mortellaro question. The irony of all of this is that Mortellaro's increasingly bizarre claims - mostly about non-UFO issues - were uncovered 'in-house,' and it was a final phone call I made to him and a trick question that ended all doubt. So, instead of the case being undone by an intrepid outside debunker (or by Ms. Rainey), it was ultimately broken by us, the IF advisory committee, and that was that. Why she now makes so much of it is a mystery to me.

In retrospect, because of my early interviews with his parents in which they described Jim's childhood behavior as similar to that which I'd often noticed in traumatized young abductees, and because of certain things he later said in my interviews with him, I am still not sure if he is simply a fantasist, lying and inventing because of some major psychological flaw, or if he is an abductee with unusual mental problems. You pays your money and you takes your choice, though mental problems obtrude in either decision.

Unfortunately, such psychological problems as his are not rare. All of us have probably at one time or another known people who project a heightened, even perhaps grandiose and infallible, sense of themselves, despite a real lifetime of quite middling accomplishments. Such narcissists paper over their own failings with invented or padded C. V's (two Ph.D.s in Jim's case), forged documents or the like, and present themselves as accomplished authorities in some often arcane field of endeavor (his was electronics). When challenged they often react with anger and a growing sense of paranoia; thus they invariably have few friends (Jim had almost none) and fraught personal and family relationships. They can also be extraordinarily vindictive. (In Mortellaro's case, I knew that he sometimes carried a gun.)

Such mentally skewed people are to be pitied, of course, and I, to my ultimate regret, pitied Jim Mortellaro.

VIII

The Beanie Case: During a trip to Albuquerque in the early Nineties, I worked with a delightful woman, "Brenda," who recalled a number of personal abduction experiences. Her husband, "Tom," a retired New Mexico State Police officer, was completely supportive of his wife's explorations with me, and some time after I returned to New York Brenda and her husband phoned me with an intriguing story. At a local MUFON meeting they had been approached by a woman about their age (mid-tolate-sixties?) who wanted desperately to talk to someone about troubling memories of a UFO experience she'd had some thirty years before. Beanie, so nicknamed because her last name was Bean, had seen a notice in the paper about the MUFON meeting and attended, seeking help.

She told Brenda and Tom that she had been watching a TV program which included troubling images from Somalia of starving children with wizened bodies and disproportionately large craniums. These distorted bodies caused her to remember an incident she had long ago tried to put out of her mind. At the time, around 1963, she was the medical technician in a tiny hospital in the town of Santa Rosa, some distance down the highway from Albuquerque, and one of her jobs was to ride in the ambulance, answering emergency calls and administering first aid. She explained that one day she had received a call and her friend, the owner of the ambulance, a reconfigured station wagon picked her up. The only information they had, she said, was the location and the report that there had been an accident. When they arrived in the designated area, she saw two state police cars parked in one of the barren fields, so they drove up to the site. Each police car was manned by a single state trooper, and when Beanie and the ambulance driver got out, the two men showed them three little bodies laid out, all three somewhat burned and all obviously dead. She vividly recalls asking, "Where are their parents?" The older trooper, a friend of Beanie's, explained, "I don't know what we have here, but I better call the Air Force."

Now for anyone reading this account of the case who finds himself/herself bored or confused, please understand that the incident is unfamiliar to most everyone in the UFO field, having never been much written about or publicly discussed. The account my ex presents in her screed is extremely brief, concentrating as it does on any little details that she felt might tend to make it seem false or outrageous, so I feel an obligation to at least get the facts down clearly and accurately.

Beanie told Brenda and Tom what she later told me, that she saw some metallic wreckage wedged in a hillock, and that the wrecked object was about the size of a Volkswagen beetle. She checked the bodies for vital signs and then she and her driver put two of the obviously dead figures on the gurney and took them to the ambulance; a folding stretcher was used for the third. At the hospital the bodies were taken as usual through the rear emergency room door and into the X-ray room where she X-rayed all of them. "I could get all of one body from the neck to the pelvis on one palette, they were that small," she later told me. The sole doctor in the town was summoned to examine them and sign the death certificates, but apparently few if any others went into the room. (It may be significant that the hospital was run by a religious order of nuns, a regime that ended a few years later). Beanie made some notes and hung her X-rays on their hangers to dry, but shortly thereafter a group of military officers and men arrived and brusquely removed both the bodies and the X-rays. They demanded all of Beanie's notes, ordered no one to ever speak about the incident, made a few final threats -"Remember, the army has a long arm" - and left. "They even took my hangers for the X-rays," she complained later.

After hearing many of these details from Brenda and Tom, I chatted with Beanie by phone and said that I wanted to come to Santa Rosa and talk with her face-to-face. I queried her on many details, far more than I've mentioned here. Meanwhile I spoke to Brenda's husband Tom, the retired state trooper, and he told me that Beanie well remembered the older trooper who had been at the accident, and she was insistent that they locate him. "She was extremely anxious to find him, not knowing where he might reside or even if he was still alive," Tom said. "It seems like ever since she had allowed herself to remember the incident, she was determined to find corroboration, and she'd known that trooper, Dutch, very well."

This detail was, of course, extremely important, because the last person a hoaxer wants to locate is a "designated witness" who says, "I don't know what you're talking about. What incident?" Hoaxers of anything, when the subject of possible witnesses arises, will say something like, "I don't remember him exactly but I think he might have had=85blonde hair=85 I don't remember his name." Beanie's intense search for Dutch was a mark on the side of her honesty. Despite the strangeness of what I was hearing, that detail alone left me eager to learn more.

In my many phone calls back and forth between Tom and Brenda and me, I learned that Tom, through a state police old boys network, had located the town where Dutch had retired. Beanie, he said, was ecstatic, but when she and Tom inquired further they discovered that the poor man had just had a serious heart attack and was in the hospital. Beanie wanted to go to the city where he lay in the hospital and talk to him there, but Tom demurred. The man was evidently very sick and in fact died a few days later. Beanie then wanted to attend the funeral to talk to his widow, and actually persuaded Brenda and Tom to take her there, but according to Tom the widow was far from interested in talking to anyone about such a subject at such an emotional moment. Interestingly, Beanie did talk to Dutch's brother, himself a sheriff, who said that his brother had never said anything to him about the incident, but he was not surprised; his brother was such an intense patriot that if the army had sworn him to secrecy, he would never have said anything about it, even to his own brother.

Meanwhile my friend Robert Bigelow agreed to pay my way to Santa Rosa, and that of astronomer Walter Webb, to look further into

the case, and I immediately took him up on the offer. I flew to Albuquerque, met with Brenda and Tom, and began to spend time with Beanie. She was a short, plump, feisty woman who, like me, had suffered from both polio and cancer, but she seemed to be truthful and quite intelligent, speaking in a charming, homespun, country argot. Later, when Webb arrived, we chatted about the case which seemed to him rather dubious; for many researchers, UFO crash-retrievals were - and still are - a hard sell. I was also aware that he was not informed about many aspects of the Beanie case of which I had become aware. Essentially Walt was an astronomer, not someone with extensive experience in working face to face with people like Beanie and I was right to be concerned.

In a rented car Walt, Beanie and I drove out to Santa Rosa and when we arrived at the house of the widow of the ambulance driver, I asked Walt to wait in the car for a few minutes until I came out and invited him in. I was afraid that two strangers 'from the East,' charging in together at an elderly woman's house, bearing a tape recorder and microphone, might seem a bit off-putting. I hoped that, along with Beanie, I could make some ingratiating small talk to put the widow at her ease, thereby beginning our questioning as gently as possible.

We were received politely by our hostess - in years past she and Beanie had been friends - and by several other family members, but it was clear that a visitor like me, inquiring about this strange subject, would have a job putting everyone at ease. After a few minutes of small talk, I decided to bring Walt into the conversation. I excused myself, saying that a colleague was waiting in the car and, making up some excuse for his absence, went out and brought him in. He came in quickly, bearing his equipment, and immediately asked the widow for a table so that he could put his instrument in the center of what he hastily improvised as a kind of circle so that he could record everyone. Since I had not yet mentioned tape recording any of the family, or asked permission, one can imagine the family's shocked response.

If Walter Webb had set off a small cherry bomb in the room he couldn't have caused more of a disruption. Family members scurried around, moving furniture and glancing uncertainly at one other, while I sat frozen with embarrassment. On the drive home I never said anything to Walt about his gaffe, not wanting to hurt his feelings, but I did tell Bob Bigelow about the problems his brusque and thoughtless behavior had caused. Needless to say, very little emerged from this first abortive visit to the family home, but my next visit, months later, at a calmer time and absent Mr. Webb, was extremely rewarding.

Because I was no longer a total stranger to the widow and her family I was received with warmth and a sense of friendship, so I will, at this point, jump ahead to what I learned during this last trip to Santa Rosa. I've made it clear that neither Beanie nor anyone else seemed to know, beyond, probably, 1963, exactly when the central incident with the bodies and the military's arrival occurred. However, the family ambulance service was then a kind of cottage industry and the driver 's wife, now the elderly widow I was visiting, had managed all its business paperwork, trip tickets, billing and so forth. It was on this visit to Santa Rosa that she explained to me they were never paid for the trip to pick up the bodies, and what's more, she recalled that her trip book had a number of consecutive pages missing around the same time. And then came the shocker. She said that the next day the Air Force had gone to the ambulance and removed everything from the rear area - the sheets, various pieces of portable equipment and so on. "And we were never paid for any of it."

This was, of course, an absolutely crucial piece of information. There is no reason that any 'government body' should seize sheets and other objects without explanation from the back of someone's privately owned ambulance - unless it is a matter of so called "national security." The combination of the missing pages from her ticket book, the stolen sheets and ambulance equipment, and the widow's still obvious anger about it after thirty years, went a long, long way to establishing the veracity of Beanie's account.

I should mention that Ms. Rainey was present during this visit, and she video-taped the widow's words, but considering her

recently expressed theory that the UFO phenomenon is "afloat" with hoaxes, she must now believe that this elderly woman is also a hoaxer. In her paper she dismisses the widow's testimony in this way: "When pressed, she seemed to vaguely recall that the Air Force had indeed once stripped the ambulance clean and taken the billable trip ticket, as Beanie claimed." Ms. Rainey is good with adverbs: note the word "vaguely." But she also wields verbs as well: "when pressed" I assume that what she is trying to get across is the idea that since she believes there was never an Air Force visit to the ambulance and no missing trip ticket, (facts Beanie had only learned from the widow) she is claiming that Beanie somehow forced the old lady to join her hoax by accepting her - Beanie's - lies and then passing them on to me.

Another important statement was made that day by the widow's son. Beanie had earlier thought that the ambulance might have been driven by this young man that fateful day, but she later decided that it had been his father. During this second visit to Santa Rosa, the son, now thirty years older, and with his family present, told me this: "I worked part-time in those days as a police dispatcher, so I was often around the police station, and I remember there was some talk about alien bodies." Score another one for Beanie - unless, in Ms. Rainey's rather paranoid view, the son, too, was also party to a gigantic, purposeless hoax.

The first time I visited Santa Rosa, Beanie and I made a long drive to another town some distance away. She thought that a certain young trooper just may have been the officer in the second car that day, and through Tom we learned his address. I suggested that we not call the man in advance, that we just show up to take anyone there by surprise and thereby get a thoroughly unrehearsed account. So we drove and drove, endlessly it seemed, and when we arrived, the ex-trooper's divorced wife was home and told us that her husband had moved out years ago and she had lost contact with him, though she recalled that he was possibly working for a security company in the far east somewhere. That was that, and I only mention this abortive trip because my ex put it this way: "Neither she [Beanie] or Budd had tracked down or spoken to any of the long list of witnesses." [Emphasis mine] I wish we had had even a short list of witnesses from this thirty-year-old incident, but we didn't, so apparently the helpful Ms. Rainey invented such a list for us, but then scorns us for not trying to find them.

She quotes from an early letter from Walt Webb in which he berates Beanie for reporting some details about her initial experience which vary, one from one another. In isolation it doesn't bother me that a woman of her age gets a few things mixed up about a frightening thirty-year-old experience; hoaxers, in fact, usually try to keep everything very straight, lest they trip themselves up. Obviously, Beanie had no such fear. My ex also attacks Beanie for "embellishing" her account an activity which often accompanies a witness's recollection of a long-ago experience; he or she often begins to wonder just how many odd incidents in one's past might be UFO connected. For a long time a necessary aspect of my work involves trying to convince such witnesses that not every odd thing in their past is UFO connected, and that common sense must be brought to bear to sort things out. Also, the UFO community has accepted perhaps uncritically - the complex, ongoing nature of one's actual UFO experiences. One ostensible abductee has had three substantial books written about her ongoing UFO experiences by a prominent researcher, and no one seems to have complained. Beanie's similar adventures might fill a paragraph or two.

I must apologize for trying the readers patience by their having to read all of this, but Ms. Rainey's rather vicious tactics require it. Because it comes down to this: to be taken in by someone like Jim Mortellaro and to solve the case 'in-house' is unfortunate but it harms very few people, while, in effect, to claim or imply that innocent people like Beanie and the elderly widow and her son, and Linda and her little boy and the score of witnesses in the Cortile case are all hoaxers is to call all of them liars, lowlife=85virtual criminals. Just think, if they are simply telling the truth and that some of them were genuinely traumatized by actual events, they are being labeled as crooks and so on by my angry ex-wife. What a travesty of justice that would be. I can excuse readers who were temporarily taken in by her honest-seeming literary style, but I cannot excuse her, herself. She knows better, and if she has even the slightest doubt about her accusations, then she owes the individuals an apology and a retraction.

IX

A few added remarks: I am not addressing the so-called Dora case because I remember very little about it except my view that her bizarre "Colin Powell and Ralph Nader" claims made me reject the case at the time. No colleague I've talked to recalls my ever mentioning the case to them, either. The problem may be that I often receive calls from people whose psychological problems are obvious, and I may speak to them if only to offer some kind of friendship and support to obviously needy people. I might have done so in her case.

Readers will note that David Jacobs and I, being two different people with different case portfolios, are not both dealt with in my paper. We are not identical twins, as Ms. Rainey would like to imply. David, I believe, is writing his own response to "Emma's" endless attacks, while I have produced this overlong reply.

I had not intended to be so detailed and long-winded, but once I got started I realized how many of Ms. Rainey's false and misleading statements had to be answered. And the Beanie case, not being widely known, needed an extended discussion.

Now some brief comments about my investigative methods: For some thirty years I've been aware of the problems inherent in researching such a bizarre subject, one that's compounded by the trauma and fear experienced by many of our subjects. Since the established psychological community does not take the subject of UFO abductions seriously, those concerned that they may have had such experiences have few choices about where to go for help. I've always been concerned that some of those who contact me have read books about UFOs and abductions, and so are aware of my work in the field and the things I've learned over the decades. Obviously I'm not able to control how this factor might affect any future interviews, hypnosis sessions, or any expectations the subjects may have as a result; I can only stay as neutral as possible and inform the person that I will not be able to tell him whether his experience is "real" or not. My mantra is to say, "I wasn't there when those things happened to you and I can't be in your head; therefore only you can decide if it was all real or not."

To mitigate some of these problems, I've always asked those contacting me with suspected abductions what they've already read, so I have a kind of baseline about their level of information. I also tell them to immediately cease reading anything about the subject (although in many instances they have not read anything). I inquire about additional witnesses or anyone they may have spoken to about their experiences shortly afterwards, and I ask them not to have any further discussions about the incidents. Obviously these other witnesses might be able to provide useful information in future independent interviews. In short, I'm very clear about the need to minimize outside influences on case information as much as possible, and Rainey's concerns about this manageable problem within the investigative process - exaggerated and used by her to dismiss decades of careful work by many researchers - are nothing new to me or to other serious investigators. At this point, it is the large volume of independent, similar accounts from around the world that compose a compelling wealth of case data.

When someone first contacts me about a possible UFO abduction, I always look for a number of different clues which indicate that the individual may, in fact, be an abductee, such as a few dramatic missing time episodes, childhood memories of 'little people' in their room, a scoop mark or two and signs of PTSD. So, by the time I agree to work with that person I feel I'm not wasting my time. I'm an artist and I have a life, so I don't want to deal with iffy cases, and always want to avoid all timewasting moments (such as the necessity for this long response). Also, both in general conversation and under hypnosis, I always pose a few false leading questions to see if the person is susceptible and thus seems to be trying to prove to me that he is a 'real abductee.'

Finally, as for the issue of hypnosis, I've written, in a peer-

reviewed, university press book, what I feel is a definitive statement of its value. I'm not hopeful enough to assume the readers of this piece have read this more academic piece in UFOs & Abductions - Challenging the Borders of Knowledge, edited by Dr. David M. Jacobs, and published by the University Press of Kansas, but if you have doubts about hypnosis, please look it up. One example: a large percentage of abductees report their experiences, or major aspects of them, from conscious memory, without hypnosis. But what they recall is virtually the same as what emerges from others under hypnosis. So what can we assume?

Many more things can be said about my investigative technique. In all my books I've published long transcripts of interviews and hypnotic sessions, but apparently no one ever seems to find fault by pointing out errors. So go back to my books if you wish, and good luck in finding any mistakes or leading moments you'd like to quote against me. I'm actually quite content with the investigative methods I've used for decades.

Lastly, throughout all this work, my priority has always been, first and foremost, aiding the person with the experience. Research always follows as number two, and I've done the best I could following those priorities. My only regret at this point in my life are that there is not a larger pool of qualified people willing to continue this challenging work, despite the many lives that have been helped along the way, and despite the massive amount of intriguing data that have already been accumulated. -- Budd Hopkins, New York, February, 2011

Note from Kay Wilson: To read comments of support, praises and tributes that are being sent in for Budd Hopkins, click here:

http://www.alienjigsaw.com/Articles/PioneerOfAbductionResearch.htm#Tribute

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Hopkins Rebuts Rainey & Colleagues Give Thanks

From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 14:28:58 -0600
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 06:38:02 -0500
Subject: Hopkins Rebuts Rainey & Colleagues Give Thanks

Breaking News at The Alien Jigsaw

Hopkins Rebuts ex-wife Carol Rainey * Colleagues Pay Tribute to Budd Hopkins

Please Circulate

Colleagues and Friends Give Thanks and Pay Tribute to Budd Hopkins, New February 14, 2011

Words of Support, Thanks and Tributes have been pouring in since my request to add people's comments to the article A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The Pioneer of Abduction Research. Please take the time to read each of these enlightening, from-the-heart statements by Ufologists, Historians, Abductees, Journalists, Radio Hosts and Researchers who study the subject of UFOs and alien Abductions. -- Click on the link below for more....

www.alienjigsaw.com/Articles/PioneerOfAbductionResearch.htm#Tribute

Deconstructing The Debunkers, by Budd Hopkins, New February 14, 2011

"The reason I'm writing this article at this point in my life has to do with both health and age. I am about to celebrate (?) my 80th birthday, and am currently suffering from two almost certainly fatal diseases, so I've decided, while I still have the time and energy, to do a bit of deconstruction of the nature and habits of the debunking mindset=85As an armature on which to hang my comments, I have selected a debunking article which appeared recently, written, surprisingly, by my ex-wife. Though readers may find her authorship either irrelevant or curiously suggestive, the debunking piece she produced admirably illustrates many of my points." -- Click on the links below for more....

www.alienjigsaw.com/Articles/DeconstructingDebunkers.html

Intruders Foundation http://www.intrudersfoundation.org/

www.intrudersfoundation.org/inside.html

A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The Pioneer of Abduction Research, by Kay Wilson Updated February 14, 2011

In light of recent events that have occurred within the ufological field of abduction research, I feel it is important to share this information with you. I am doing this because I believe Budd Hopkins, who has often correctly been referred to as a "pioneer of abduction research," deserves better treatment than what I have read in recent Internet publications. -- Click on the link below for more....

www.alienjigsaw.com/Articles/PioneerOfAbductionResearch.htm

Please Circulate Complete Email

www.alienjigsaw.com/Whats New/Whats new.html

Hopkins Rebuts Rainey & Colleagues Give Thanks

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

The Woods Evidence On-Line [was: Budd Hopkins]

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 08:40:42 -0600
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 06:54:30 -0500
Subject: The Woods Evidence On-Line [was: Budd Hopkins]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:23:16 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>><snip>

>>>Who is pathetic here?

>>Pretty damned obvious I think - a guy who carries out
>>unnecessary surgery to produce _no_ peer-reviewed evidence of
>>his claim that his subjects have advanced technology implanted
>>in their bodies, and the people who are so pathetically gullible
>>or such devout followers that they believe that.

>Joe, and John,

>You are a perfect illustration of what I said.

>Now, it's the turn of Dr Roger Leir to be "pathetic", because of >his surgeries.

>Hopkins is pathetic because he did not dare to do highly >controversial tests.

>Leir is pathetic because he dared to do that.

>BTW, in his agreement signed by Roger Leir with NIDS, they had >promised to publish the results of the implants analyses in a >peer reviewed magazine. They had analyses done, at Los Alamos, >and New Mexico Tech, but they failed to do so. NIDS black hole >again.

>Another example: Dr VernonClark has his career ruined after >making public his isotopic analysis of an alleged UFO debris. >Pathetic, too? Rather, a dangerous game, it seems to me.

>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in >ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John >Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? >Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

All good points, but acting as a signpost pointing back the other direction while noting position for point of reference, I am compelled to remind the reader that this tangential has spun off of the plight of Budd Hopkins: a man whose whole research effort is compromised by his own hubris to an arguable degree. This unflattering examination of Hopkins' hubris, I submit, is brought about by his entirely unconditional support of the The Woods Evidence On-Line [was: Budd Hopkins]

methods, practices, and activities of David Jacobs. David Jacobs' methods, practices, and activities are entirely suspect, suspicious and serially salacious where they are not mean, misogynistic , and utterly mendacious, imo.

My disappointed read, trusting reader, is that David Jacobs, _seemingly_ acting as an agent of self-interested and evil banality, _busily_ tried to facilitate the pathology of a person in his charge, do harm to the psychology of a person certified sane enough, or otherwise insure that this person would be taken for a lunatic if a "problem" arose.

The problem? The person regarded, certified same remember, began to question where Jacobs was taking her psychologically and thereby threatened pecuniary concerns as it pertained to a lucrative book deal that Jacobs was soon to enjoy.

Did Jacobs prosecute a malfeasance, an infidelity, or a kind of assault?

In the courtroom of public opinion Emma Woods finishes up a compelling two year summation with dozens of numbered evidentiary items, vetted documents, expert witnesses, pictures & charts, some pertinent prosthesis, and several PowerPoint presentations. She rests in stunned silence.

Doctor Jacobs stands up, fidgets with blank pages, and clears a sonorous throat.

"She's _crazy_ your honor... and, uh... the Defense rests."

Beaming a George Bush 'I-just-said-a-big-word' smirk, he sits back down to hearty cheers from the opposition, vested persons as reflexively supporting of Jacobs & Hopkins as Hopkins is reflexively supporting of Jacobs.

Affronted _myself_ as a long time Jacobs fan by Emma Woods, Kim Carlsberg, Jeff Ritzmann and Jeremy Vaeni, among others I reviewed their "evidence":

The current UFO Mag coverage of the Woods case

Carol Rainey's recent Paratopia article

Tyler Kokjohn's recent _Paratopia_ article

Woods' letter to Temple University summarizing the events

The Temple University research consent form that Dr. Jacobs and Woods signed

Woods submission to the OHRP parts 1-4

The MPD audio clip

A PDF of Gary Haden's blog post on Temple University

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2011/01/girl-who-saved-her-own-life-part-ii-did.html

A PDF of Gary Haden's blog post on the MPD suggestions

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2011/01/girl-who-saved-her-own-life.html

A PDF of Gary Haden's blog posts on Dr. Jacobs' leading with my hypnosis parts $1\mathcal{-}2$

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2010/09/multiple-personality-disorder-of-david.html

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2010/10/counter-transferential-mirror-trance.html

A PDF document of Matt Graeber's written interview with Woods parts 1-4

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2011/01/veteran-investigator-matt-graeber 5117.html

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2011/01/veteran-investigator-matt-graeber_3604.html

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2011/01/veteran-investigator-matt-graeber 06.html

http://speculativerealms.blogspot.com/2011/01/veteran-investigator-matt-graeber.html

The Woods Evidence On-Line [was: Budd Hopkins]

A PDF document with links to shows, articles, and blog posts on your article and Woods' case Links: The three ParatopiaPodcast shows on Woods case Rainey and Kokjohn's Paratopia interview Brian Reed's Paratopia interview Future Theater's interview with Vaeni and Ritzmann Hypnosis - hybrid dominate Woods http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-8-hybrid-control.mp3 Hypnosis - hybrid assault and death threats http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-11-elizabeth-assault-death-threats.mp3 Hypnosis - hybrid assault and total power http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-14-hybrids-sexually-assult-abductees.mp3 Hypnosis - underpants audio http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-20-send-underpants.mp3 Hypnosis - chastity belt audio http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-28-chastity-belt.mp3 DJ telling Woods about the hybrid instant messaging http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-29-hybrid-instant-messaging.mp3 DJ telling Woods about him being "the man who knows too much" http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-29-hybrid-mpd-tactic.mp3 Hybrid instant message warning audio http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/david-jacobs-hybrid-instant-messaging-warning.mp3

There is more reader...

My support of Jacobs must be regretfully withdrawn given the massive evidence of what can be, at best, _stunning_ impropriety... and at worst?

Whatever will become of UFO and Abduction research now? We moan and wring our hands.

Not to worry, reader. The UFOs are, and have been for centuries, quite capable of keeping the topic alive themselves. That's what they do. We're better employed refining our best practice as it pertains to UFOs and the persons regarding them as they regard one another.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

The Wrong Question [was: Budd Hpkins]

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 08:02:52 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:01:19 -0500
Subject: The Wrong Question [was: Budd Hpkins]

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:47:02 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in
>>ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John
>>Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs?
>>Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

>>Gildas Bourdais

>As you say, Mr Bourdais, it is a far from simple question. I >clearly believe in the 'UFO phenomenon', otherwise I would not >have wasted a great deal of time over about 40 years publishing >a magazine about them!

>However I presume your question is actually asking "do you >believe the the phenomena reported as 'UFOs' are craft of >unknown, probably extraterrestrial origin?"

>If so, my answer is "almost certainly not". If your question is >more 'nuanced' (as Jerry Clark would say), we could be here all >day.

John, it seems to me you have asked and answered the wrong question. It should be are _any_ UFOs ET spacecraft?

Similarly are _any_ isotopes fissionable?

Can _any_ aircraft go faster than the speed of sound?

Can _any_ drugs cure infections?

My answers are all yes. If I was asked the question with _any_ replaced by _all_, it would be no.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 10:29:44 -0700
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:02:45 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:54:07 +0000
>Subject: McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins]

<snip>

>Personally I do not exclude the ETH, but it is very very low on >the probability scale, below the probability of time travellers, >which is also very low on the scale. Those are my opinions, >don't expect me to substantiate them.

Joe,

As you evidently have at least a rudimentary ranking of hypotheses, with ETs and time travelers near the bottom, would you care to identify any that you place near the top? No need to substantiate them, just curious.

Let me be clear that I'm not referring to what you think can explain most UFO sightings. Let's proceed from the assumption that the vast majority are misidentifications, delusions, or hoaxes. My interest is in those cases, if you concede any such exist, that do not (apparently) admit any prosaic explanation.

As a gesture of good faith, I submit that I place the ETH at or near the top of the heap. But I'm by no means married to it. Any fresh alternative(s) gladly considered.

Regards

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was "Budd Hopkins"]

From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2.nul></u> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:56:23 -0500 Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:07:20 -0500 Subject: Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was "Budd Hopkins"]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 18:46:18 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 17:21:01 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>>He suspected the son of an alleged abductee to be a hybrid. So, >>>I suggested to perform DNA analysis on both mother and child, >>>but he replied that it was out of question, considering the risk >>>of such a test becoming a legal issue.

>>It's funny how there's always some reason why a simple test
>>cannot be applies to such controversial claims, isn't there?
>>It's just the same with the Scole events and similar mediums >>just turning on the light might have terrible consequences.

>>Here's the chance to find out once and for all whether or not >>the human race is being interbred with aliens, possibly the most >>momentous event in history, affecting our whole future - but it >>can't be done for 'legal' reasons.

>>Pathetic.

<snip>

>John,

>Yes, it would be a momentous event, but, no, it would not be a >"simple test". It would be a very difficult one, to be performed >under a tightly controlled protocol with independant observers, >by a well established laboratory. It would have to be repeated >in other labs, and it would cost a lot of time and money.

<snip>

>So, I understand very well why a man like Budd Hopkins - an >artist not so well known, as noted on this List - would not, and >just could not do that. Had he tried, I am sure he he would have >been violently attacked by people of your kind.

>It reminds me of the ban on hypnotic regression decided in >England by BUFORA. Did you agree with that? Similarly, Dr Leir >was violently attacked, even losing his licence for some time, >with his implant operations. On the premier French TV network >TF1, he was accused of being a crook. That's the kind of thing >which may happen to you when you cross certain lines.

>Who is pathetic here?

>Gildas Bourdais

Hello, Listers,

I'd like to raise the question of what alien abduction researchers can and should do to substantiate their claims. I'd also like to address the question without resorting to the rhetorical flames of repeated phrases such as those above: "violently attacked" (Hopkins) and "violently attacked" (Leir).

Most people talking about research techniques and tactics on this list are trying to have a calm, rational discussion about the sort of research that might move the field forward, rather than back into the mosh pit of tossing personal invectives and derogatory adjectives back and forth.

One of the key issues seems to be that evidence for the extraordinary claims of abduction researchers Hopkins and Jacobs is simply far too sparse to justify the species-altering claims they are making. The premises underlying the research that has been done for 3-4 decades by Hopkins and Jacobs are very similar and the manner in which they both deal with abductees is very similar (according to Hopkins himself on Nov 28, 2010 Coast to Coast radio show).

Simply stated, these abduction researchers claim they have evidence that aliens from elsewhere are physically invading Earth with an ongoing, vigorously implemented plan to alter the genome of the entire human species. This will bring about an all but invisible take-over of the human race and the planet. We are powerless to stop them.

The criticism of such findings is that the principal evidence presented is largely anecdotal and, while the accounts seem to be mutually corroborative to some degree, the lack of research protocols, use of hypnotic memory retrieval methods, and lack of peer review or supervision result in conclusions that are simply not supportable.

What is it that's not working here?

Sometimes analogies to more familiar subjects can be helpful. What if two well-known epidemiologists began publishing in online journals, spoke on podcasts, set up websites, wrote articles and books, appeared at conferences and on television shows. These epidemiologists were bringing terrible news to the entire human race.

These hypothetical researchers were breaking the news that they had substantial evidence that aliens (as in undocumented foreign nationals) had formulated a secret plot to take over and decimate the rest of the human race-or at least those who didn't agree with them. That these aliens (foreign nationals) harbored a complex, never-before-seen strain of influenza, one that the world of medicine had no vaccine for. That even now, these undocumented foreigners were, by land, sea, and air, infiltrating every country on the planet. They were carrying in their own persons the instruments of our destruction.

The degree of alarm spreading at high levels around the world would be extraordinary. Ordinary people would be on high alert, allowing extra taxation to support research and willing to give up personal freedoms to combat this claim. Governments would allocate funds to combat this takeover and slaughter.

But why would people at all levels clearly respond to _this_ claim and yet the world goes on, ho-hum, when people like our two best known abduction researchers make firmly convinced statements about an invasion that is even more alarming?

Very simply, because one of the "invasions", influenza epidemics, have been proven, by methods we know and respect, to be quite real and quite deadly. Three such influenza epidemics occurred in the 20th century and killed tens of millions of people. Each was caused by the appearance of a new strain of the virus in humans, one we were defenseless against.

Now I'm not a scientist and don't play one on television. I'm just asking questions that need to be raised: But how do we know these epidemics and millions of deaths occurred? What is

Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was "Budd Hopkins"]

the evidence? Why is it that the hospital I was writing for at the time of the last predicted influenza epidemic began buying and practicing set-up of portable emergency bed units that could spill out into city streets and around the block, if needed? What brought about funding for these emergency supplies and preventative, life-saving equipment and drugs? What evidence was needed to get the funding to do more lab research so that the virus could be understood and an effective vaccine be developed in time?

Yet similar catastrophic predictions made often and luridly by some abduction researchers goes totally unheeded by the mainstream medical and scientific worlds. Why is that, folks?

And it doesn't cut it any longer to point the finger out at "them." It's not about "them", the people with the power and money who refuse to be informed by "us." We cry out passionately that "they" don't listen to our great claim of alien invasion. Maybe "they" are in league with the aliens....Bulls**t.

It's about us. Those of us in the field, collectively speaking (with some fine exceptions) prefer to blame and personalize and take verbal potshots on Lists rather than step up the game and find out from contributors like Dr. Tyler Kokjohn and many others what it would take to turn the collection of people drawn to this phenomenon into a disciplined cadre of people able to think critically, behave ethically, and present research in such a way that they could draw precisely the re- sources needed to study the claims of UFO reports and anomalous experiences.

We have been told that the technology is now available to help researchers substantiate their extraordinary claims. By all means possible, shouldn't we unite and find a way to utilize such forensic and scientific techniques?

Carol Rainey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: Kathy Kasten <catra ja.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 19:24:09 +0000
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:21:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

><snip>

>>>A particular class of abductee, those allegedly impregnated or >>used as incubators for human-alien hybrid entities, offers a >>potentially rich source of hard genetic evidence.

>>During pregnancy, DNA and cells from the unborn child become
>>incorporated into the mother. Remarkably, the fetal cells may
>>persist in the mother for decades after the pregnancy ends
>>(Science 296:2169-2172, 21 June 2002).

>>In principle, if the hypotheses are correct, some female
>>abductees must harbor physical evidence of alien hybrids.
>>Because these cells are long-lived, investigators can simply re>>contact some past research subjects to begin experiments (after
>>the required IRB approvals are obtained). No one need capture an
>>entity or await other happenstance to uncover some hard
>>evidence.

>>If the hypotheses of Dr. Jacobs and Mr. Hopkins are correct, >>telltale genetic evidence should be recoverable. Perhaps they or >>a new generation of abduction researchers will now take on this >>challenge and reveal some facts surrounding the alien abduction >>mystery.

Dr. Kokojohn:

I am interested in seeing your protocol. I was a staff member of UCLA's Human Subject Protection Committee and acted as liaison between the Committee and Principal Investigators.

My main concern is that little attention to providing counseling to the women who come forward testifying to being impregnated by "ETs/aliens." In essence, raped by some unknown entity.

Just as Dr. Richard Neal never presented a protocol to "collect" evidence of women's impregnation, I would hope you have your protocol reviewed by your institution's IRB. And, further, to take into account that these women have been raped. That is, without their consent nor within normal loving, pleasurable, sexual interaction with a male human, have been used as if they were breeding stock. Further, I would hope that you are not only interested in proving your theory.

During an interview I had with Dr. Neal, I ended up getting pissed and thought the man lacked concern for the woman forced to carry an "alien hybrid." He got angry because the woman didn't have the presence of mind to collect the fetus she had just voided into the toilet. He exhibited anger because he had lost the opportunity to validate his theory.

Kevin Randle's report documented the fact that Dr. Neal considered women coming forward making claims of "alien" impregnation were faking it, lying, or looking for attention.

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

Dr. Kokjohn I hope that is not one of your considerations. Of course, there is the possibility that one in fifty women might be seeking attention and pretend to have been raped. That still leaves the other forty-nine who are reporting what they experienced.

And, yes, folks my concerns bear directly on the field of UFO research. Because if the ET craft are flying our skies, and as you claim, are intelligently controlled, you all have got to deal with the entities controlling them. You want disclosure and landings? Be careful what you wish for, the women of this planet are paying a very high price for your wish.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze.nul></u> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:51:49 -0700 Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:23:14 -0500 Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:59:39 -0000
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 15:54:40 -0700
>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>>>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>>>To: post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2011 12:23:52 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

><snip>

>>>Please do not induct me into any sort of "block vote" on this
>>>issue or any other. If "the Brazilian researchers" have a
>>>corporate position, then like you I will be interested to see
>>>what it is, and why, but I am not joining any campaign team or
>>>having any badges pinned on my lapel, thanks ;-)

>>I accepted your one-minute upper estimate based upon your >>current understanding. But, we must concede that the Trindade >>UFO observation is well known as a short duration event.

>There you go again. I neither offered an "upper limit", nor will >I do so. I did not offer a one minute as an "my estimate" of >anything. Rather, I cautioned you not to assume that your "14 >seconds" (recalled by Barauna from the Navy's timing of 6 rapid->fire shots with his camera) was regarded by the Navy or anybody >else as the true event duration. Another example of what I mean >is Capt Brandao's intelligence report in which he opted for >(IIRC) "half a minute" for the 6 photos. Clearly the purpose of >the timing exercise (14 seconds) was understood as setting a >*lower bound*. The practical value dependent on memory and >circumstances thern becomes a matter of judgement. But these are >not my estimates, neither should you use them or any others to >infer an upper limit on my behalf.

>I already explained that there is an unresolved tension between >the apparent cloud changes (well brought out by Kentaro Mori) >and plausible duration, which might amount to a discrepancy. As >you may have discovered, a few years ago I tried to estimate >some brackets for possible duration based on angular >displacements of some (possibly) identifiable cloud features and >likely wind speed and direction at various levels estimated from >historical averages. Making certain assumptions about the type >and height of cloud etc I concluded that the photos were more >likely to be consistent with a minimum duration of about 2 >minutes.

>Clearly this result is in tension to some extent with subjective
>guesses and reasoned judgements lying in the <1 minute area. But
>not so much as would be the case if the result had been 30
>minutes, say, or if it had been impossible to find any cloud
>features in common at all. So whether the tension amounts to a
>serious discrepancy is another matter of judgement, and depends

>for example on whether one agrees or not with identification of >a certain cloud type as cirrus or altostratus etc etc. I >regarded this exercise as interesting but inconclusive.

>Indeed Kentaro has since cast doubt on whether a certain >distinctive cloud feature which I attempted to isolate in >multiple photos and use as a marker is really a reliable feature >at all. He suspects I may have been misled by a defect in the >print emulsion. If so this would mean the exercise is invalid >and we're back to square one - except for one general result >which I believe is more robust, and that is that *most* of the >cloud in the photos is foreground convective cloud due to the >presence of the island mountains and would probably change on a >timescale too short and in a fashion too chaotic to offer a >useful "clock".

>So the argument rests on uncertain estimates about the type and >altitude and likely speed of a single small area of what appears >to possibly be (but may not be) a stable cirrus or altostratus >feature visible through a gap in the foreground mountain clouds.

>The meaning of much of the rest of your post is a little opaque >to me, but I hope this gives you some feeling for the >uncertainty involved and illustrates why a) I recommend caution >in trying to reduce the case to a simplistic numbers game and >why b) I will not give you a number.

>Martin Shough

Martin, there I go again? :))

I specifically wasn't asking you... but you volunteered a response. Most importantly, I had asked the question under the premise of a cut-off value for the time duration that would indicate forged Vs authentic...

Your response was "No more than one minute." Excuse me but that is the clear indication of an upper max! :)) Therefore, I have every right to stick to your first response since it was the most natural, unforced, and authentic provided. But, don't worry, I won't clobber you with it . . . Or, should I ;->

Notice that I at least put in ranges to convey the very variances that you now wish to own. See my response to Mike. You can't convincing sight the variables at play, which I'm quite aware of, but then provide a single static answer with no reasonable output error range to convey that very same understanding or viewpoint.

Our judgement is not better than the scientists and professional analysts that were involved in the immediate aftermath and closest to the available firsthand information.

Notice, I didn't presume to know either. I simply asked those who may know more or could confirm or deny published values. I believe the period witnesses quite intelligent to adequately address the time duration better than us.

So, finally... we have 14 and no more than 30 seconds from that time period (max of 30 seconds!). Yet, I'm to believe A.J. Gevaerd doesn't know what the published time durations are but he lives there, studied it for years, and runs a UFO Magazine. Confusing?

A. J. and company certainly have had adequate opportunity to contribute added insights here or to have interviewed some of the primary witnesses to better establish variances. (know of other interviews). What about a whole nest of other questions I could ask? Will they fall flat on those too? Or, is it just with the critical ones like this? My revised estimates based upon the best, most reliable, and available information is now: $\sim 30 + -15$ seconds. Or, $\sim 6 + -3$ second time intervals between each of the six shots! I believe it will be quite difficult to refute this except by proclamation or wishful thinking! But, this is now clashing with the cloud analysis. So, let's be much more fair to the data at hand!

Let me explain what another underlying tension might be:

If we accept longer total durations, then we must accept lower

average speed estimate for the objects involved plus throw away other testimony and witness accounts.

Unfortunately, this brings them into the realm of a rather undesirable UFO traveling at period aircraft speed. Therefore, in order to provide support to the cloud displacement (or total to partial replacement) we must accept a rather poorer UFO speed... no longer in the "remarkable" category based upon speed if we begin to accept larger time intervals. This may be one of the primary reasons some would still like to cling to the lower numbers in the cloud analysis despite new information.

It is more likely that the longer time durations discovered by a reasonable cloud study (Kentaro Mori, Martin, others, myself) indicates a staged series of photos later substituted in for the deck-originals. This would corroborate the recent confessions of the close nephew while providing a reason why the original negatives are missing since they would have nothing on them UFO related. Only during their transfer to a print would the "UFO" object have been easily impregnated onto a receiving surface by use of an overlying contact mask.

It is distinctly possible the smaller and rather confusing "contrast-inverted" negatives he showed on deck were merely close approximates to the finals - created by use of a simple internal mask such as another transparent film roll stationed above the real one. After all, Barauna was clever and he had faked photos before... particularly a UFO photo! If I saw that prominent and unique island formation in one "on-board" negative, it certainly could appear like any other that could have been artificially substituted in at a later date...

The nephew may not have remembered the precise details of which forgery attempt Barauna described to him but certainly would remember if Barauna had confessed their complete forgery! As a result, let's put these two facts into proper perspective - not hold one as being unaccountable to the other since the later, on a scale of much greater proportions, is the more obvious one to be correctly recalled years later by the close nephew.

I'd certainly like to scrutinize the nephew's first interview to determine the manner in which some information has come forward. How familiar was he with the case already and Barauna's other forgery? The double spoon hypothesis certainly carries with it the correct geometry and could provide several other underlying answers to more subtle questions.

It seems distinctly possible that the new Trindade viewpoint might have to be adjusted to something like this: "It really did happen, but only the UFO photos were faked." But then its still quite hard to swallow the whole thing whether that's true or not.

Greg Paloma

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze.nul></u> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:07:25 -0700 Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:24:50 -0500 Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 17:20:22 -0700
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 13:47:44 -0200
>>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 16:10:11 -0700
>>>Subject: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>>A Question for The Brazilian UFO Magazine:

>>>What are the various published total duration intervals for the >>>Barauna UFO photo sequence? A quick list would be best along >>>with their publisher and published dates. Add any thoughts and >>>evolutionary reflections where needed.

>>I frankly don't know the duration intervals for the Barauna UFO
>>photo sequence. I believe they have already been published
>>somewhere and there will be - I hope - a list of available
>>publishers and publishing dates for the info on the Web.

>Not having the familiarity with this case that Martin Shough and >others on the UpDates list do, I can at least submit there is >one readily available published estimate to be found, namely in >the Navy report

>see http://www.nicap.org/newevidarticle.htm

>that followed Bacellar's briefing to the Navy High Command on 27 >Jan 1958, in which it is stated explicitly that "The photographs >were taken in no more than 30 seconds".

Hi Mike,

Yes, thanks! Because of the language barrier and potential for new developments or other documents, I preferred to ask those we would consider the long standing experts in Brazil. They did make themselves known, and who could miss the opportunity to ask. Martin intercepted my question and volunteered an estimate of no more that one minute. I still haven't gotten any new replies from A.J..

>If there are any controversies surrounding the authenticity of >this report, I am not aware of them, although it does seem to >have a somewhat odd provenance.

I would agree that the lack of negatives brings about some serious concerns. Especially, when considering their importance!

>I gather that the thrust of Greg's inquiry is to establish that >the brevity of this interval is incompatible with the evolution >of the cloud formations in the images.

Yes, that would be one of the glaring problems. Rather than adjust to decreasing liklihood we see a willingness to bend earlier facts. By now, one has to be fair and claim an equal ownership to both potentials.

>It is straightforward in Photoshop to place corresponding areas >of photos #1 and #4 side-by-side and adjust the brightness and >contrast to enhance the cloud patterns. I must concede that I >would not expect the indicated degree of cloud pattern evolution >in a 30 second period, or even twice that. Scenario 1: The same cloud group evolving over time. Scenario 2: The group of clouds is completely or partially replaced by a new set, while considering potentials for both their decay or growth.

I would agree... I'd done this already and get a range of 3 +/- 1 minute based upon, broadly encompassing, several detailed scenarios. Perhaps I will publish?

>Notwithstanding Martin's persuasive analysis demonstrating that >this would not be ruled out meteorologically, it does strike me >as an issue of serious concern. But assuming a hoax, it's >difficult to believe that Barauna would not have anticipated the >potential for such a (perceived) discrepancy and taken pains to >avoid it, considering the care and skill, not to mention luck, >that attended the scheme as a whole.

Generally agreeing but these particular sections had to be contrast enhanced in order to behold their true and more convincing habit differences. Such quick-compare marvels weren't available back then. I believe he probably took a series or several sets of photos and selected the best he had in consideration of your valid point with ample opportunity to remove other mismatched problem areas since the photos are probably cropped. Basically, he did the best he could with what he had, up to an including the possibility of getting the photos true sequence out of order before transfering the UFO onto the receivinng print. Note, that he didn't immediately provide the negatives and took them home for a while, which again brings up issues with their genuine provenance. Esentialy, mistakes were made and now we're discovering them.

It would really help if we actually knew which way the clouds were moving and at what speed. From inspection, they appear to be moving SW to W - I see evidence of cloud drag (Slant) up their vertical column. I will see if I can get typical upper air movement/soundings during that time of year. Perhaps, there's a stable pattern this far out in the South Atlantic. I believe this may be true. I already left a few messages at NOAA for better leads. The cloud ceiling would also be nice to obtain.

Regards,

Greg Paloma

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: Tyler Kokjohn <TKOKJO.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 14:00:48 -0700
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:27:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <<u>j.maynard.gelinas</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 19:00:46 -0500
>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>Further, I would argue that these questions of fact will not be
>resolved by the taking of additional testimony. What's necessary
>to move forward is the use of new technologies and instruments
>able to sort fact from opinion and personal interpretation.
>Collecting more personal testimony just continues what for so
>long hasn't worked. That said, I question your continuing focus
>on Dr. Jacobs and Mr. Hopkins. Since you have apparently allied
>yourself with an ex-wife of Hopkins and another woman claiming
>ethical violations by Jacobs, why would you want their data? Why
>prod Jacobs in this way? And, as has been made plain on list,
>why bother with Hopkins at all given what some say is a very
>serious illness he currently faces?

>Perhaps a better question to ask is: Why don't YOU do this? You >have the resources, an academic institution with access to the >requisite equipment, and a clearly falsifiable theory. Instead >of hoping for Jacobs to pursue this research - never mind that >it's not his specialty, whereas it is yours - why don't you put >together a good study, collect and analyze the data, and then >publish it?

>That said, I do have one speculative question to consider: >Assuming that the Hopkins-Jacobs-Mack synthesis and analysis is >correct, that biological extraterrestrial aliens are, in fact, >using superior technology to abduct humans in order to pursue >the modification of humanity on Earth, are there _other__ >technical procedures than genetic that could be used? I'm >thinking specifically of epigenetic shifts that might result in >morphological changes to an organism - perhaps even changes that >could be passed down subsequent generations - without there >being a detectable genetic change for DNA analysis. Is this >possible? I'm honestly not certain.

Mr. Gelinas -

Once again, some excellent questions and insights. I hope you find my answers satisfactory.

My understanding is that Mr. Hopkins may not be conducting research, although he does seem able to write. Perhaps he will outline his thoughts soon, perhaps never. I wish him a speedy recovery from whatever health problems he may have. Dr. Jacobs may still be actively working, but I do not know this for a fact either. Why do I want their data? I don't. Why prod them in this way? Plain and simple - they put forth the hypotheses, one or both of them (if possible) has a responsibility to supply the supporting hard data to confirm the truth of some rather sweeping claims. Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

Your question as to why I do not do this myself is excellent. I could deflect and evade by telling you (truthfully) I am not funded for such work, restrictions regarding use of lab resources, etc. But I will be blunt and tell you that more than several legitimate operational concerns, it is a matter of hard-earned experience. I recognize a hairball when I see one. And I am unwilling to take away from other work to assume a primary leadership role gathering data attempting to prove someone else's hypothesis that my gut feeling tells me is completely wrong in the first place. You probably suspected as much, because if these harrowing hypotheses are correct, it is an almost instant Nobel prize (and an existential threat to humanity). Please note I am not saying I will not help, I will contribute if requested, subject to limits regarding the precise nature of the request. However, the primary burden (time, expense and effort) to prove their assertions rests properly and squarely on the hypothesis proposers.

Your next question literally leads into new territory and also cycles back to ideas already discussed. In theory, I think there may be many ways to manipulate human genetics or cells. Epigenetic modification is one, and we could probably scheme up quite a few more like autocatalytic proteins. Those would leave few tangible genetic traces (although I think there are ways to run them to ground) and I bet you see the issue clearly failing a definitive demonstration of its validity through direct genetic evidence, is the original hypothesis ever truly falsifiable through a lack of supporting evidence? There are so many ways to shift the argument if the data run contrary to desires it can be impossible to conclude the matter. What I foresee is this - investigators finding themselves mired in a situation in which positive corroborating data cannot ever seem to be obtained. That will decidedly undermine the original hypothesis, but technically not kill it. So, after much effort, an unwary altruistic investigator could end up right back in the midst of a you can't disprove it situation.

I like your idea of seeking alternative funding sources for the work. The reality is that mainstream science is disinterested in the area and options are limited. Along this line, you might look at the work of Lloyd Pye who is now creatively attacking an equally complex issue. His determination and courage are impressive and exemplary.

There is another thing to mull over - the times are changing. In a not too distant future, third generation sequencing technologies are projected to bring costs of determining entire human genomic sequences to under 1,000 US dollars. And that may usher in a new situation in which genomic data are employed more routinely in medicine. What does that imply? As genomic sequences are acquired routinely, it sets up a situation in which a hybrid might be revealed by accident, vast datasets could be mined to reveal suspicious alterations or investigators (taking care to safeguard subject confidentiality completely) could delve into direct research far more easily. It will become difficult for independent hybrids to avoid detection while becoming steadily easier for investigators to obtain comprehensive genetic data. The day will soon dawn when individuals may find it tough to propagate frightening hypotheses in the absence of corroborating data. By the way, did you know the entire human genomic sequence is available to the public in its entirety (free)? Any investigator today with a belief he/she has an adulterated gene sequence in hand may compare their data to the human standard immediately. Soon, we may well have a similar capacity for complete personal genomes. And that could change a lot of things.

Prior to hearing the terrible Emma Woods allegations, I had zero interest in alien abductions. But her story made me ask what the hell was going on. I can't do much about a lot of what may or may not have transpired with her, but felt it was time to point out some directions to take scientifically that had not yet seemed to have been considered that could yield progress regarding the basis of this mystery. Perhaps understanding will come with the sudden revelation of unequivocal genetic data. More likely I feel that comprehending alien abductions will necessitate a frustrating process of elimination and be long in coming. At least there exists a starting point in my view.

I am grateful that UFO UpDates has allowed me to offer my opinions and thankful that individuals like you read and

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

consider them. Still, they are opinions and as such must ultimately bow to the facts. I hope that Dr. Jacobs and Mr. Hopkins will come forward with data and/or explanations. Regardless, ultimately the field will have to forge ahead without them one day. When and how these investigators leave things still remains their choice.

Best wishes and thank you for your thoughts.

Tyler

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Spyros Melaris & Financing The AA Film

From: Rajan Patel <<u>rajanpatel2011.nul></u> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 22:03:50 +0000 Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:35:38 -0500 Subject: Spyros Melaris & Financing The AA Film

I have something to add to an older topic the List has previously discussed:

Spyros Melaris and the answer to where did the money come from to finance Alien Autopsy? See:

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2010/sep/m19-004.shtml

For the answer to that question check out this link:

http://tinyurl.com/47tvzm9

I have written proof of the details I have blogged at the above link.

Rajan Patel

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993.nul></u> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:39:42 -0500 Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:23:16 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>><snip>

>Another example: Dr VernonClark has his career ruined after >making public his isotopic analysis of an alleged UFO debris. >Pathetic, too? Rather, a dangerous game, it seems to me.

>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in >ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John >Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? >Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

Gildas, List, All -

Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after his public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he told me, within days of his appearance in Roswell had been overblown and taken out of context... I see that Sims is now saying that the material he analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

Thanks,

Kevin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

From: Bruce Maccabee
brumac.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 21:15:09 -0500 (EST)
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:50:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

>From: Ray Dickenson <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 15:55:56 -0000
>Subject: Acceleration And Disappearance

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <<u>brumac</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 22:18:43 -0500 (EST)
>>Subject: Acceleration And Disappearance

>>Speed is nice but Acceleration is 'where it's at'. Acceleration >>is what separates 'them' from the boys.

>>See my improved article on acceleration:

>>http://www.brumac.8k.com/Acceleration/ACCELERATION.htm

>Hello Bruce

>have you looked at the apparent huge accelerations shown by a
>craft/object filmed by NASA in 1991? It stopped dead - from
>moving at orbital speeds - then zoomed off at high speed in
>nearly the opposite direction, I think any humans in a craft
>doing that would've instantly been converted into raspberry jam
>splattered on the bulkheads.

>>From 1 min 45 secs into this NASA STS-48 clip

>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IiDvkB_rG-0

>"UFO Footage - STS-48 - "Abrupt Turn" - Full Length Original"

I was never convinced that it was a 'craft' at a long distance from the shuttle, so I haven't studied it.

If it were a real (large) craft, then the acceleration would have been tremendous.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 16

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 13:13:51 -0000
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 08:17:12 -0500
Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:51:49 -0700
>Subject: Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

<snip>

>I specifically wasn't asking you... but you volunteered a
>response...
>Your response was "No more than one minute." Excuse me but that
>is the clear indication of an upper max! :))

Please clean your filters, Greg. That was _not_ my response. I did _not_ volunteer an answer to your question. For the benefit of anyone else incapable of re-reading an email I repeat my post here:

[QUOTE]

Excuse me for butting in, but when considering the answers you get you might want to remember that the 14 seconds was stated by Barauna to have been the minumum time required to take 6 rapid shots with his Rolleiflex, measured by the Navy timing him.

This does not necessarily mean that the actual event duration was 14 seconds (allowing for confusion and excitement, being jostled - as claimed - and keeping the eye away from the viewfinder to get a good look etc), and the result was not so interpreted by the Navy, who IIRC estimated that the duration was probably "less than1 minute".

There is certainly a tension between plausible duration and likely duration estimated from cloud motions. This tension may amount to a discrepancy. But the 14 second figure is a bit of a red herring.

[END QUOTE]

I trust (for I am incorrigibly optimistic) you are now able to see that I did not at any time author the words you claim, and still less did I offer them as my personal "upper limit" on an acceptable photo duration. I was merely trying to helpfully steer you away from a too-literal and (IMO) misconceived focus on the "14 seconds" which you appeared to want to set down as a marker. No more.

>Therefore, I have >every right to stick to your first response since it was the >most natural, unforced, and authentic provided. But, don't >worry, I won't clobber you with it . . . Or, should I ;->

You have no right to misconstrue my first statement, then to squirm away from my clarification of its intent, and now to perversely misrepresent both statement and clarification with magnificent contempt for the black-and-white evidence that I now have to drag out and thrust under your nose - not without without getting clobbered by me, so wise up.

>You

Re: More On The Trindade Island Case

>can't convincing sight the variables at play, which I'm quite >aware of, but then provide a single static answer with no >reasonable output error range to convey that very same >understanding or viewpoint.

I can't be bothered to convince you that I was far from doing any such thing. As I said, I was trying to helpfully steer you away from a too-literal focus on the "14 seconds". Since you are now looking at the context of that figure I consider you so steered, and having sufficiently explained my ambivalent position with regard to the possible cloud development and the photo duration I leave you to your own thoughts.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 13:23:37 +0000
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 08:31:56 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 10:29:44 -0700
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:54:07 +0000
>>Subject: McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins]

><snip>
>>Personally I do not exclude the ETH, but it is very very low on
>>the probability scale, below the probability of time travellers,
>>which is also very low on the scale. Those are my opinions,
>>don't expect me to substantiate them.

>Joe,

>As you evidently have at least a rudimentary ranking of >hypotheses, with ETs and time travelers near the bottom, would >you care to identify any that you place near the top? No need to >substantiate them, just curious.

In general I would place undocumented/undiscovered met phenomena at or near the top, but it would depend on the exact nature of the report as to what potential explanation might be the best fit. At the end of the day, if a definite cause can not be determined, it is an (often subjective) 'best guess', with some reports even defying a guess.

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 16</u>

Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

From: Tyler Kokjohn <TKOKJO.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 07:29:09 -0700
Archived: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 09:50:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 19:24:09 +0000
>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>>>From: Tyler Kokjohn <<u>TKOKJO</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2011 10:37:08 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Refuting The Hopkins Jacobs Mack Synthesis

>I am interested in seeing your protocol. I was a staff member of >UCLA's Human Subject Protection Committee and acted as liaison >between the Committee and Principal Investigators.

Ms. Kasten -

That is simple - I do not have one.

I have never undertaken any work involving abductees at my institution or elsewhere.

To my knowledge an investigator is not required to have a protocol for work that has never been proposed.

Tyler Kokjohn

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 16:49:49 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:30:22 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 13:23:37 +0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>In general I would place undocumented/undiscovered met phenomena >at or near the top,

Interesting element of cultural orientation at work here. It is well-known that the British will instinctively convert most conversations into discussions of the weather.

I wonder how many German or Indian Listers, perhaps, read that sentence and, before re-calibrating to take account of the context, instinctively assumed that he was talking about metaphysical phenomena?

--

Gerald O'Connell http://www.onlyport.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: **Carol Rainey** <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 12:46:37 -0500 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:33:05 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 22:35:33 +0000
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>I doubt that Carol or Emma have ever heard of me, my views have >been expressed on this List and elsewhere so to summarise them >for the benefit of anyone who doesn't already know them (and is >in the slightest bit interested):

>- I have always doubted the value of hypnotic regression.

>- I consider that the use of HR outside a clinical context to be >irresponsible, and potentially dangerous to the (person) subject >and damaging and misleading for the (UFO) subject.

<snip>

Mr. McGonagle,

Although I don't know you, I'd like to express my thanks for your reading of my article Priests Of High Strangeness (Paratopia Magazine, January 15th) without prejudice and with actual attention to the serious problems I raised that exist in some abduction researchers' work.

Like you, I once fully supported the ETH hypothesis but after becoming aware of flaws in the work, I began my own search into the source of this mystery through previously 'forbidden' literature and other 'forbidden' researchers - forbidden through the scorn and distain of my then life partner Budd Hopkins.

Also like you, I insist on my freedom and right to hold two ideas simultaneously - that some individuals are having genuinely anomalous experiences which I fully respect and that we do not know what the source of these experiences are nor what they mean.

<snip>

>one of my first thoughts was and still is that Carol appears to >me to have deliberately avoided turning the situation into a >post marital breakdown bitching session against her ex partner. >I think the suggestion that she has done so is extremely unfair. >I am sure if she wanted to, there is probably a lot more dirty >laundry she could pull out of the basket, but it wouldn't be >relevant to the topic in hand.

I also appreciate your perception that it is extremely unfair of people on this list to totally disenfranchise my trained observations of flawed research methods - based solely on a relationship that dissolved many years ago and where the heat has left the building, so to speak. I deliberately did not write about this subject until that was the case.

And, yes, you are correct that there _is_ a great deal more that could have been disclosed about even more egregious violations

Re: Budd Hopkins

between researcher/healer and his subjects/patients.

I didn't choose to take that low road. I stuck to the observed and multiple ways in which Hopkins, as well as Jacobs, have manipulated both subjects and material to develop their shared and chosen slant on the narrative. Many in the community, however, _have_ chosen to take the cheap and easy road to discrediting my informed perceptions.

>I don't know where this current situation will lead, I actually >hope it does end up in court and that David Jacobs finds himself >having to pay out massive damages. That might cause people to >think twice before dabbling with people's minds.

>That's my tuppence worth (ten cents for our colonial readers).

>regards, and respect to Carol and Emma,

>Joe

Thanks again for fair treatment and a willingness to consider the validity of a different perspective.

Carol Rainey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 14:13:21 -0700
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:35:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:07:25 -0700
>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2011 17:20:22 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Question For A. J. Gevaerd On Trindade

>>Not having the familiarity with this case that Martin Shough and >>others on the UpDates list do, I can at least submit there is >>one readily available published estimate to be found, namely in >>the Navy report

>>see http://www.nicap.org/newevidarticle.htm

>>that followed Bacellar's briefing to the Navy High Command on 27 >>Jan 1958, in which it is stated explicitly that "The photographs >>were taken in no more than 30 seconds".

>>If there are any controversies surrounding the authenticity of >>this report, I am not aware of them, although it does seem to >>have a somewhat odd provenance.

>I would agree that the lack of negatives brings about some >serious concerns. Especially, when considering their importance!

Actually, my comment was in reference to the provenance of the Navy report itself. See my comments below on the negatives.

>>It is straightforward in Photoshop to place corresponding areas
>>of photos #1 and #4 side-by-side and adjust the brightness and
>>contrast to enhance the cloud patterns. I must concede that I
>>would not expect the indicated degree of cloud pattern evolution
>>in a 30 second period, or even twice that.

>Scenario 1: The same cloud group evolving over time. >Scenario 2: The group of clouds is completely or partially >replaced by a new set, while considering potentials for both >their decay or growth.

>I would agree... I'd done this already and get a range of 3 >+/- 1 minute based upon, broadly encompassing, several detailed >scenarios. Perhaps I will publish?

I personally cannot make any confident correspondence between the respective cloud features in Photos #1 and #4, and hence favor Scenario 2. In the area of actual overlap, it appears to require an obscured background pattern becoming visible after the disappearance of a more diffuse foreground.

Martin covered this ground pretty thoroughly and his results convince me that entirely plausible meteorological conditions could produce such an effect on short ($\sim 1 - 2$ minute) timescales. I would further suppose that under more unusual (but not extraordinary) conditions, several tens of seconds might be sufficient. My primary concern with the latter scenario, aside from the coincidence of unusual weather accompanying an unusual incident, is that such dramatically unsettled atmospheric conditions would have been noted in witness accounts of the incident itself. But perhaps such conditions are more commonplace at Trindade than I realize.

<snip>

>Note, that he didn't immediately provide the negatives and took >them home for a while, which again brings up issues with their >genuine provenance. Esentialy, mistakes were made and now we're >discovering them.

The fact that Barauna retained the negatives after the incident is noteworthy indeed. In fact, I find it one of the more curious aspects of the whole affair... almost any hoax scenario I can imagine depends critically on Barauna somehow keeping the negatives. The fact that he apparently had confidence in this outcome is as remarkable as the fact that it actually came to pass.

Of course, it could simply be the result of collusion between Barauna and Bacellar, but frankly that strains credulity as well, at least from my limited familiarity with the case. I defer to others with more knowledge of their relationship.

>It would really help if we actually knew which way the clouds >were moving and at what speed. From inspection, they appear to >be moving SW to W - I see evidence of cloud drag (Slant) up >their vertical column. I will see if I can get typical upper air >movement/soundings during that time of year. Perhaps, there's a >stable pattern this far out in the South Atlantic. I believe >this may be true. I already left a few messages at NOAA for >better leads. The cloud ceiling would also be nice to obtain.

Again, if you haven't already, see Martin's analysis at:

http://www.martinshough.com/aerialphenomena/trindade/clouds.htm

although if translation is needed, the results from Google may not be satisfactory. Certainly going the other way around (e.g., translating the <u>www.ufo.com.br</u> site into English) results in substantial amounts of gibberish.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell.nul></u> Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 15:08:35 -0700 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:36:42 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 13:23:37 +0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 10:29:44 -0700
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:54:07 +0000
>>>Subject: McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins]

>><snip>

>>>Personally I do not exclude the ETH, but it is very very low on
>>>the probability scale, below the probability of time travellers,
>>>which is also very low on the scale. Those are my opinions,
>>>don't expect me to substantiate them.

>>As you evidently have at least a rudimentary ranking of >>hypotheses, with ETs and time travelers near the bottom, would >>you care to identify any that you place near the top? No need to >>substantiate them, just curious.

>In general I would place undocumented/undiscovered met phenomena >at or near the top, but it would depend on the exact nature of >the report as to what potential explanation might be the best >fit. At the end of the day, if a definite cause can not be >determined, it is an (often subjective) 'best guess', with some >reports even defying a guess.

Fair enough. I would likewise rank unknown 'natural' physical phenomena (not necessarily meteorological) near the top, although it does not sit well with accounts of apparent intelligent control or behavior. I once made an inquiry to the List regarding the number/proportion of 'good' cases that involved such (in fervent hope that someone else had done the legwork I was unwilling to do), but received no response.

In general I see the ensemble of hypotheses as more of a broad pile than a linear stack, i.e., none of them really towers over the others, which I suppose is equivalent to the majority of them being almost equally ludicrous.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

From: Greg Paloma <<u>fractalmaze.nul></u> Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 18:49:58 -0700 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:43:27 -0500 Subject: Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 21:15:09 -0500 (EST)
>Subject: Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

>>From: Ray Dickenson <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 15:55:56 -0000
>>Subject: Acceleration And Disappearance

>>>From: Bruce Maccabee <<u>brumac</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 22:18:43 -0500 (EST)
>>>Subject: Acceleration And Disappearance

>>>Speed is nice but Acceleration is 'where it's at'. Acceleration >>>is what separates 'them' from the boys.

>>>See my improved article on acceleration:

>>><u>http://www.brumac.8k.com/Acceleration/ACCELERATION.htm</u>

>>Hello Bruce

>>have you looked at the apparent huge accelerations shown by a
>>craft/object filmed by NASA in 1991? It stopped dead - from
>>moving at orbital speeds - then zoomed off at high speed in
>>nearly the opposite direction, I think any humans in a craft
>>doing that would've instantly been converted into raspberry jam
>>splattered on the bulkheads.

>>>From 1 min 45 secs into this NASA STS-48 clip

>><u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IiDvkB_rG-Q</u>

>>"UFO Footage - STS-48 - "Abrupt Turn" - Full Length Original"

>I was never convinced that it tudied it. >If it were a real (large) craft, then the acceleration would >have been tremendous.

Hello,

If you listen to the audio they were performing a thrust maneuver. The ice crystals that were already floating were jostled by the directed thrust, the shuttle undertook a rotation in a different direction, or a combination of both occurred. However, since the earth remained within static view it appears the ice crystals were almost entirely affected by the directional thrust jets.

The importance of acceleration from a analytical point of view demonstrates that an object is under it's own power (intelligently constructed) and has an internal energy source. This is most persuasive if moving horizontally (not under apparent free fall) and/or moving upward against gravity. Especially convincing if in possession of a hyperbolic trajectory. Re: Acceleration And Disappearance

Regards,

Greg Paloma

[Before this becomes another redundant discussion please read past posts at the UFO UpDates Archive:

http://tinyurl.com/4kqggmg

ebk]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:45:57 +0100
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:46:43 -0500
Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST
>Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:23:16 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>><snip>

>>Another example: Dr VernonClark has his career ruined after
>>making public his isotopic analysis of an alleged UFO debris.
>>Pathetic, too? Rather, a dangerous game, it seems to me.

>>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in
>>ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John
>>Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs?
>>Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

>Gildas, List, All -

>Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after >his public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he >told me, within days of his appearance in Roswell had been >overblown and taken out of context... I see that Sims is now >saying that the material he analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

Kevin,

I talked at length about that, in 2003, with Dr Roger Leir, who was involved in that study, and knew Dr VernonClark.

Leir told me that he was forced to quit his post at the UCLA at San Diego, and had a hard time finding another job.

If I remember well, his marriage was broken too, after that. Skeptics will as usual put in doubt this information, but I don't care.

Now, that does not prove anything about the real nature of that fragment, of course

Gildas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:18:44 +0100
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:50:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:56:23 -0500
>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was "Budd Hopkins"]

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>Hello, Listers,

>I'd like to raise the question of what alien abduction >researchers can and should do to substantiate their claims.

<snip>

>One of the key issues seems to be that evidence for the >extraordinary claims of abduction researchers Hopkins and Jacobs >is simply far too sparse to justify the species-altering claims >they are making. The premises underlying the research that has >been done for 3-4 decades by Hopkins and Jacobs are very similar >and the manner in which they both deal with abductees is very >similar (according to Hopkins himself on Nov 28, 2010 Coast to >Coast radio show).

>Simply stated, these abduction researchers claim they have >evidence that aliens from elsewhere are physically invading >Earth with an ongoing, vigorously implemented plan to alter the >genome of the entire human species. This will bring about an all >but invisible take-over of the human race and the planet. We are >powerless to stop them.

Carol,

You imply here that Budd Hopkins shares completely the views of David Jacobs about a dramatic take-over of the human species. They are good friends, but your statement is plainly false. Budd has departed many times from that publicly. Here are two examples:

In the MUFON UFO Journal of July 1998 (No 369, page 10), Dwight Connelly interviewed Budd and asked him what he thought of David Jacobs' latest book The Threat. He replied:

"I couldn't have written that book. It's a marvelous book, but it's not in my nature to increase the number of dark clouds over us".

Here is another quote, from his bulletin of the Intruders Foundation of October 1997. In his article, entitled Good Aliens? Bad Aliens?, he writes:

"The answer is that I've seen no evidence to support the idea that the aliens are "bad" malevolent enemies bent on destruction and conquest". But he adds that he has seen no evidence either hat they are "good".

I have read his books and articles, I have met him several

Re: Budd Hopkins

times, have listened to several of his conferences, and I have never heard him say that he agreed with the Jacobs scenario. In short you have made again a wrong statement.

Budd Hopkins, in his refutation just published, points to many others. Why don't you make a good pause and think about all that?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 12:01:42 +0100 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:29:30 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 13:23:37 +0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 10:29:44 -0700
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 12:54:07 +0000
>>>Subject: McGonagle's Ufological Stand [was: Budd Hopkins]

>><snip>

>>>Personally I do not exclude the ETH, but it is very very low on
>>>the probability scale, below the probability of time travellers,
>>>which is also very low on the scale. Those are my opinions,
>>>don't expect me to substantiate them.

>>As you evidently have at least a rudimentary ranking of >>hypotheses, with ETs and time travelers near the bottom, would >>you care to identify any that you place near the top? No need to >>substantiate them, just curious.

>In general I would place undocumented/undiscovered met phenomena >at or near the top, but it would depend on the exact nature of >the report as to what potential explanation might be the best >fit. At the end of the day, if a definite cause can not be >determined, it is an (often subjective) 'best guess', with some >reports even defying a guess.

Joe,

That's a really funny answer. I suppose you mean meteorological phenomena?

Let's test that with just one example.

Would you say that for the big, glowing UFO which hovered at night above missile silos of Minot AFB, on October 1968 and for which researchers found some twenty very credible witnesses?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

UFO Matrix Review - Kuhlman

From: Philip Mantle cphilip.mantle.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 13:28:35 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:32:31 -0500
Subject: UFO Matrix Review - Kuhlman

UFO Matrix Magazine, 11th Dimension Publishing, U.K. Editor: Philip Mantle Assistant Editor: Malcolm Robinson Publishing Director: Amanda Owen http://www.healingsofatlantis.com/

Magazine Review By: David P Kuhlman, FFSc uforna.net

There are simply a very small number of UFO related publications out there to the general public, sadly most of which are riddled from cover to cover with misinformation, new age ads and utter trash talk, leaving the subject at hand, the UFO, something to be looked on as a subject only for an ignorant laugh. They make light the seriousness and importance, which the UFO phenomenon holds to many believers and researchers all over the world. I want to be one of the ones to tell you, times have changed! Enter, UFO Matrix Magazine...

Now, I am really not sure how long Matrix was an unexpressed idea, or am I aware of the trial and error periods after the idea went to ink and paper, but no matter what obstacles faced its pioneers during its infancy, it all was obviously well and meticulously planned, rehearsed, thought-out, corrected, and sent into motion. The proof of such hard and intellectual work can be seen for yourself in each and every copy of Matrix that hits the newsstand. You see, not only does a publication of such a caliber have to have the right people in place, with each of them experts in their individual fields to relay this knowledge into printed form, but the document as a whole must play a vital part as well. It must 'flow' with information, legit information so that it becomes a respected tool for all who choose to thumb its pages. The completed work is far from done even after all the field work has been gathered, it has to be read, edited, and structured in the order it will fit to each topic covered. Having spent ten years in the commercial printing business in the past, I am well aware of the huge tasks that are involved in a superior publication such as we have with UFO Matrix Magazine. The organization of each and every part of this undertaking is of the highest standard and it shows.

The magazine itself is a site to behold. Colorful and eye catching art with well-margined and bold text on a heavy matte stock paper cover accompany each issue. The magazine is trimmed out in a very readable and large format, making it a pleasure to explore. Not a flimsy or dainty piece, I assure you. It is evident that the magazines appearance itself was crafted with much thought and quality as the information within its pages, a prelude to the reader without a single doubt.

The organization of such a quality and informative publication regarding the UFO phenomenon has been long overdue. The team behind UFO Matrix simply couldn't be improved upon. A strong foundation with years of fieldwork has been constructed, the kind of foundation that is not simply chosen by drawing random straws. It has been meticulously built from the ground up, with no stone unturned. Why? To securely keep this publication strong and trusted in content through the years to come. Yes, UFO Matrix Magazine will stand the test of time and will also gain a reputation of something other publications have a hard time in providing, unbiased research and news regarding the UFO.

I commend the work done by 11th Dimension Publishing from the United Kingdom. You have brought together not one of, but the best printed publications available regarding a phenomenon that has dumbfounded humans for centuries. We the people needed this for years. Leaving out all the trash that continues to liter other publications is a breath of fresh air in itself. I can't imagine anyone trusting any other publication regarding informative and up to date information on the UFO genre except UFO Matrix Magazine. This is surely a monumental advancement in itself, where precise and informative journalism is concerned. I look forward to future research accompanied by this superior publication. No other can hold a candle to its creation. A job well done!

UFO MATRIX is also available on the newsstand in the UK via WH Smith and the USA via Barnes & Noble and Borders.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Sean Jones <Sean.Jones.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 14:19:40 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:35:12 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2011 16:49:49 +0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>Interesting element of cultural orientation at work here. It is >well-known that the British will instinctively convert most >conversations into discussions of the weather.

That stereo-type is like saying all Americans are obese and brash.

Speaking of explanations for UFOs a number of years ago I wrote an article which was published by the then UK UFO magazine titled 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs

With Errol's permission I will report it here in a separate thread as too many people get caught up with a handful of possible explanations for UFOs without actually considering the multitude of possibilities.

This doesn't for one minute suggest that I think all UFOs can be explained. I am a firm believer in the ETH but am realistic enough to accept that with a modicum of decent research most sightings can be explained by normal means.

Its the few that can't be explained that get my real interest <g>

Regards

Sean

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

Molding The Public's Image Of ET

From: Diana Cammack <<u>cammack</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 16:04:10 +0200 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:37:14 -0500 Subject: Molding The Public's Image Of ET

Some have argued that the Establishment (whoever that is) has been molding public views of ETs through the media, especially film, for some years.

Watching yet another Sci-Fi movie the other day I notice the evil ET was in fact reptilian (under its human flesh).

Are we the public now able to differentiate the 'good' and the 'bad' ET by their looks? Greys (e.g., Stargate TV) are good guys while reptilians are bad (as in 'V')...but not just on these programmes.

Is there consistency in the variety of ETs' appearance in the media and is it in line with 'good' and 'bad' behaviours?

If yes, is this accidental, deliberate or just the normal evolution of cultural understandings?

Diana

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

PRG Update - February 17 2011

From: **Stephen Bassett** <<u>PRG</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 03:39:26 -0800 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:40:27 -0500 Subject: PRG Update - February 17 2011

PRG Paradigm Research Group

PRG Update - February 17, 2011

Commentary - Michael Horn's Demands Upon PRG

Over the past few weeks activist Michael Horn has been sending out press releases and posting on the Internet regarding Paradigm Research Group and his desire that PRG extensively engage the Billy Meier story for which he is the authorized American media representative. Mr. Horn has been pressuring PRG on this matter for the past five years. I am electing to respond at length at this time because it may be helpful in clarifying PRG's mission statement for those who might be confused or not informed.

To begin it is worth noting the Paradigm Research Group is ironically named. PRG is not a research organization. Rather it is a political (exopolitical) advocacy organization with a fundamental mission to end the government imposed truth embargo regarding an extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race. This advocacy will be conducted by all means which are legal, non-violent and which PRG considers effective and appropriate.

Right after PRG was founded I registered as a lobbyist with the office of the clerks for the U.S. House and Senate on behalf of several UFO/ET research organizations. Thus the name Paradigm Research Group. This name choice was in part to show respect for the important work done by many research entities for decades to confirm the extraterrestrial presence without which an advocacy campaign would not be possible.

In 1999 PRG founded its political action committee, X-PPAC. In 2002 I participated in the Maryland 8th District congressional race as an independent candidate on the November ballot. The X-Conference was launched in 2004 and there have been six events held in the Washington, DC area through 2010. The Exopolitics World Network and United States Network were initiated in early 2008. The Million Fax on Washington was launched on November 5, 2008. Behind all of this are the PRG websites ported at www.paradigmresearchgroup.org. Of course, PRG has been involved in other projects and has assisted the project of colleagues.

Every choice made by PRG has one primary driving rationale will it advance the advocacy process? If I do not think a particular course of action will serve the Disclosure process, PRG's very limited resources in time and money will be directed elsewhere.

With that in mind permit me to make what I believe is a very important point. The collective evidentiary basis for the extraterrestrial presence does not rest on any single case. If nothing was known about Roswell, the ETH would still be valid. If Colonel Corso had never come forward, the ETH would still be valid. Same for Bentwaters, Kenneth Arnold, Malmstrom Air Force Base, etc. The ETH was confirmed by the collective effort of thousands of people who compiled a massive amount of evidence over many decades. Photographs, video, radar records, documents, PRG Update - February 17 2011

first and second hand testimony from hundreds of witnesses - this is the foundation for the ETH. There is much more to know and much of that knowing awaits the Disclosure event.

PRG's mission is not to prove or focus on a particular case. Rather it's mission is to stand on the platform of the collective evidence and demand accountability from the government and the political media. That said, when appropriate PRG will utilize elements within the collective evidence to advocate for Disclosure. Others may disagree with some of these choices, but they cannot dictate to PRG how it will proceed.

In line with the above, PRG must respectfully decline Mr. Horn's invitation to extensively engage the history of Billy Meier as part of PRG's advocacy work. This decision should not be taken as commentary on the validity of the story of Billy Meier. Such determinations are left to others.

Stephen Bassett Executive Director Paradigm Research Group

IUFOC Conference - Scottsdale, AZ - Feb. 23-27 http://ufocongress.com

This newly located from Laughlin, NV conference has an exceptional lineup of speakers and should be a very strong event. The location at the Ft. McDowell Resort in Scottsdale is beautiful. PRG encourages all to support the new IUFOC Conference.

ReyKawvik Summit - Lawrence, KS - March 18-20 www.ozufo.net

PRG will be presenting at this important new conference set in the heartland of America.

Kia Optima Ad www.youtube.com/watch?v=BLGj6iSZvak

Check out this very cool ad for the Kia Optima which includes an alien, flying saucer, worm hole and ancient Mayans. Apparently Grahm Hancock is now writing ads for car companies :-)

CNN - Scientists, telescope hunt massive hidden object in space

http://preview.tinyurl.com/6fpb7yn

A lot of researchers, alive and passed, will be (would have been) most interested in this article.

Paradigm Research Group 4938 Hampden Lane, #161, Bethesda, MD 20814 <u>PRG</u>.nul 202-215-8344 <u>www.paradigmresearchgroup.org</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: **Steven Kaeser** <<u>steve</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:32:44 -0500 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 10:02:04 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:45:57 +0100
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST
>>Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

<snip>

>>Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after his
>>public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he told me,
>>within days of his appearance in Roswell had been overblown and taken
>>out of context... I see that Sims is now saying that the material he
>>analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

>Kevin,

>I talked at length about that, in 2003, with Dr Roger Leir, who >was involved in >that study, and knew Dr VernonClark.

>Leir told me that he was forced to quit his post at the UCLA at >San Diego, and >had a hard time finding another job.

>If I remember well, his marriage was broken too, after that. >Skeptics will as usual put in doubt this information, but I >don't care.

>Now, that does not prove anything about the real nature of that >fragment, of >course

His presentation at Roswell was one of the few that I attended in 1997, and there was a lot of discussion going on behind the scenes regarding how this entire thing was being presented as absolute proof of alien manufactured material.

Dr. Clark was rushed onto the stage to tell his tale and then whisked out a back door to a car that was waiting for him to take him to the airport. Reporters and others were told to wait at the front of the building while he was being spirited away, which told me how much the information was worth.

If they'd found something substantial that they could support with evidence, why run and hide after you provide an outline. The group in the audience was a veritable who's who of ufology, and they were left shaking their heads for the most part.

That wasn't ufology's finest hour, and IMO you probably don't want to start a[nother] long conversation on Dr. Leir and his implants.

Steve

[See the List Archive --ebk]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:39:45 -0600
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 10:04:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:18:44 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:56:23 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was "Budd Hopkins"]

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 11:29:55 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>Hello, Listers,

>>I'd like to raise the question of what alien abduction
>>researchers can and should do to substantiate their claims.

><snip>

>>Simply stated, these abduction researchers claim they have >>evidence that aliens from elsewhere are physically invading >>Earth with an ongoing, vigorously implemented plan to alter the >>genome of the entire human species. This will bring about an all >>but invisible take-over of the human race and the planet. We are >>powerless to stop them.

>Carol,

>You imply here that Budd Hopkins shares completely the views of >David Jacobs about a dramatic take-over of the human species. They >are good friends, but your statement is plainly false. Budd has >departed many times from that publicly.

<snip>

Even true and presuming that it _is_ true, Sir, it just doesn't matter.

Budd Hopkins stands foursquare behind David Jacobs' _entirely_ suspect, _baldly_ suspicious and _serially_ salacious ...where the forthcoming are not mean, misogynistic, and utterly mendacious, mind you... methods, practices, and activities. Foursquare!

Moreover, Budd Hopkins facilitates the seeming slander of a person with grievances so richly documented, realized, and reviewed that his fervid and ironically _energetic_ and ongoing support begins to appear suspicious in its own right... almost as if in defending David Jacobs he is only defending _himself_ in the end. This defense, given the evidence and a legitimate review of same, is as incorrigible as it is inexplicable. Jacobs might have stepped off at the start.

Ms Woods, and Ms Rainey for that matter, can hardly be faulted for wanting redress for wrongs and grievances perceived. Lisbeth Salander, by way of illustration, is hardly faulted for her Re: Budd Hopkins

campaign against Nils Bjurman or Dr. Teleborian (Google it). One recalls all the fallout, needlessly endured by even innocent persons, as a result of the betrayal of Salander _as_ an innocent. A similar betrayal of Rainey and Woods - by the select self-interested in the case regarded - is just not that much of a stretch.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 17

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 14:54:58 +0000 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 10:05:20 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 12:01:42 +0100
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>Would you say that for the big, glowing UFO which hovered at >night above missile silos of Minot AFB, on October 1968 and for >which researchers found some twenty very credible witnesses?

Gildas,

I don't have the time to run through a series of reports and offering an opinion on them, especially when I will have little knowledge and even less interest in many of them.

I did mean Meteorological by 'Met', and I stand by what I said the majority of unexplained cases can most probably be attributed to Met Phenomena of one kind or another. Your opinion may be different, but I doubt that it would hold any more or less value than mine.

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

101 Possible Explanations for UFOs

From: Sean Jones <Sean.Jones.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 15:03:36 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 10:19:59 -0500
Subject: 101 Possible Explanations for UFOs

One Hundred And One Possible Explanations For UFOs

Many people have spoken of UFOs, and many people have said that there must be hundreds of possible explanations for them, but when you sit down and think about it how many explanations can you think of? I sat down one Saturday a little while back and I struggled to think of just twenty-eight, me who has been an active researcher for over ten years now. Ok so this was of the cuff and a bit of serious thought would have turned up many more but when someone, anyone asks you where do UFOs come from the most common answer is "outer space" or some such similar explanation.

What my intention is now is to give you this list but rather than say, look here is a list of one hundred and one possible explanations, and I will give a simple scenario with each one. This is not meant to be a definitive list or a complete compilation, merely I would hope a starting point and something to start the old grey matter ticking away because as a certain Paul Devereaux said far too many people think that the extraterrestrial hypothesis is the only possibility.

Before I go any further I would like to point out that I am not a debunker and I firmly believe that this planet is being visited by beings from another planet or even planets. All I am trying to do is to point out that there are many other possible explanations to identifying a unidentified flying object and that when you have eliminated all of the possible now matter how improbable the impossible must be possible.

Celestial Objects

Mars

Many people have reported a orangish glow usually seen just off the horizon that seemed to have wavered in and out of view; this is most probably Mars. The reason it drifts in and out of view is probably because of stratospheric clouds obscuring the view of the planet of war. Mars can also been seen quite high up as well.

Venus

This is the brilliant white glow that can be seen well above the horizon but not too well up in the sky. Venus is usually seen to be larger than Mars but is more likely to be seen than Mars is. Venus can also be sometimes seen as a yellowish glow.

Jupiter

Many people have reported a bright light that is too bright to be a star, and too big to be a star seen high above the horizon, this is quite possibly the planet Jupiter. Jupiter is not visible all year round, neither are Mars or Venus but when it is visible it is a very bright star like light.

The Moon

Yes believe it or not, a few people mistake our moon for a UFO; these people are merely mistaken not delusional. It has been reported that when rising, an almost blood red moon has freaked one certain person out.

Any of the above can account for a small number of sightings each year.

Meteors/meteor showers

Often seen as a bright light moving far to fast to be a conventional aircraft, meteors and they are not uncommon. Meteor showers whilst rarer still account for some sightings. The usual account for meteor showers is that there many lights moving far to fast to be a plane. Meteors can have an orange glow, red, green or even blue, the colour depends on the composition of the debris burning up in our atmosphere.

Saturn

Saturn like Jupiter is seen and reported as a bright light to bright and too big to be a star, which it is. And like Jupiter it can fade in and out of view as it disappears behind high altitude clouds. Saturn however is a very rare sight. One particular case quoted in "Mysterious Manitoba" a family staying an a mobile home reported a bright white light that had "spikes" and little lights to the side of it.

Comets

Comets are regular visitors to system, the last most famous one being the comet Hale-Bopp. Unrecognised comets are reported as lights that are far too bright and way to big to be stars, as they are. Comets can also cause mass hysteria as omen's, portents or signs from the Gods. A very distant comet can be seen as a very bright light that is too big to be a star, much like some of the planets previously mentioned.

Vega (star)

Vega has only become "famous" since Carl Sagan's Contact. Sirius is a much brighter star. Both are plainly visible to the naked eye. Both being bright stars might be misrecognised by a person who has no astronomical knowledge.

The Perseids

The Perseids another name for one particular meteor shower so the explanation above applies.

Animal, Insects, Birds

Glowing Bugs

Glowing bugs, insects or other such creatures is an option used to identify unidentified's by people referred to as debunkers but they offer a very possible reason for an unaccounted sighting. Some insect creatures do indeed give a glow and if a swarm of them flew in close proximity of witnesses that did not know that there was a migrating bunch of bugs then indeed it is possible that a report of a UFO could emerge. One could also mention the piezoelectric theory of glowing bugs proposed for the Uintah Basin cases.

Migratory birds

This explanation has been offered by a some people to account for the sightings in Lubbock. Is it possible that sunlight could be reflected off the oil excreted by a bird onto its feathers and dazzle a witness?, who then might think that he or she saw something unusual.

Fireflies

Like the glowing bugs scenario fireflies could be in extreme circumstances misidentified as a UFO. It might be suggested that the people that see fireflies and report them as a UFO or indeed UFOs have poor eyesight but poor eyesight has been suggested as a reason for seeing a UFO in the first place.

Insect swarms

Insect swarms could possibly account for sightings where people have reported a dark flowing object. Something without particular definition and almost certainly an object that changes shape. These would be seen in either twilight or at night times.

Natural or Atmospheric Phenomena

Earth Lights

Paul Devereaux has offered this explanation on many occasions. It is with out doubt that his work holds some value and that this is a creditable possible explanation for some UFOs. Earth lights are basically lights produced by some form of tectonic stress or similar and can vary in shape and size. I would however recommend to anyone to read Chris Rutkowski's thesis "The Tectonic Strain Theory and Geophysical Luminosity's"

Swamp Gas

Swamp gas luminous lights have been suggested by some as an explanation for glowing objects seen by some witnesses. As some of you may know rotting vegetation produces Methane and this could ignite giving a bright or even a dull light for witnesses to catch. This explanation has its shortcoming when any burning methane would not last more than a few seconds and some sightings last for minutes and even hours.

Ball lightning

Ball lightning is a very rare atmospherical phenomenon, which has recorded and documented cases. Basically not all lightning is the broken, jagged bright light seen in the sky. Ball lightening has been recorded as moving around as low as a few feet off the ground and lasting for some several minutes.

Cumulous/Lenticular Clouds

Some clouds have some very amazing shapes. Indeed I have pictures looking like huge "flying saucers". It is not impossible for a first time viewer of these clouds to mistake them for a genuine UFO.

Abnormal weather conditions

The weather can produce some amazing sights. Tornado's, horizontal rain and such like. It is not beyond the boundaries of reasoning to believe that like cumulous clouds the weather could produce something to be seen and witnessed. Although I don't actually know of any particular case or cases to cite as a reference.

Ice crystals

This is the explanation that NASA expects you to believe with reference to the STS48 and STS80 space shuttle missions where objects where seen to be doing radical manoeuvres. Ice crystals can also be responsible for perihelical arcs.

Phosphorescence in the atmosphere

This is a possible explanation for a "glow" that has no defined shape or a shifting shape that has been reported by some witnesses. This explanation is not beyond the realm of possibility but in my opinion would not reflect more than a handful of the total number of UFO reports ever made.

Luminescence - plate tectonics

I am sure that Paul Devereaux could wax lyrical on this particular field of science but as a whole to explain some unexplainable lights it seems to fall a bit short as there appears to be no direct evidence to back this up. I eagerly await to be proved wrong Paul.

High flying seed pods

This explanation is probably more to do with photographed UFOs than ones seen I think. I can't actually find any reference to

who suggested this possible explanation or when and to what sighting but for a small object that has been caught on film? Who knows?

Ionised air

In certain circumstances, as previously mentioned atmospheric conditions can fool the untrained observer. Ionisation of the atmosphere will produce a brownish discolouration that could possibly be seen as a solid object. It is probable that if seen it would be a static UFO, not a moving one.

Atmospheric plasma effects

Now plasma comes in several different "types", from the ectoplasm associated with ghost's etc to the semi solid goo produced in several scientific labs worldwide. There is now reason why this stuff could not occur naturally and thus you would have airborne plasma possibly what Carlos Diaz saw?

Atmospheric inversion

What atmospheric inversion is when a high-density pocket resides in a low-density area of air, or visa versa. This is what is blamed for many radar contacts of UFOs.

Air bubbles

Air bubbles are similar to the above but different in that moisture is involved. Basically a "dry" bubble of air in a moist atmospheric condition will produce another kind of distortion which could produce an optical illusion. As above the condition can also be reversed i.e. a "damp or wet" air bubble in a dry atmosphere will produce the same effect.

Atmospheric "blue jets"

These are like squirts of intense blue flame. They are seen very occasionally and are very similar to the Aurora Borealis in composition but it not really known just what they are.

Atmospheric "red sprites"

Intrinsically these are exactly the same as above but red.

Aurora Borealis (Northern Lights)

This is a fairly well known phenomenon but it is possible that if a person was totally unaware of this amazing display of lights then it might be possible for the unsuspecting witness to report it as a UFO, albeit an identifiable one after a little research.

Lightning

Lighting doesn't just come in the broken, jagged lines that can be seen whenever there is a violent storm. Ball lightning is a recorded phenomenon, which defies belief. Lightening also has been known to come in other shapes and colours.

Volcano

When volcanoes erupt an amazing multitude of things can happen. Burning embers, or phosphor has been ejected which due to the very nature of it can do some very amazing ariel acrobatics. Also other researchers believe that volcano's, in part, are responsible for some "earth lights".

Radioactive glow

How many of you have seen the cartoons where a man is seen to be "glowing" when he is radioactive. As far as I am aware only something that has a huge amount of radioactivity will produce a "glow", i.e. the radioactive isotope itself. But clouds of radioactive airborne isotope can be seen "glowing" away in the night sky it would be game over for us.

Perihelia (sun dogs)

These are your bright spots seen either side of the sun. These

can be very deceiving and not to be mixed with sunspots which is an entirely different entity.

Mock Sun

This is caused by light refraction off the moisture crystals in the base atmosphere. Light is refracted of these crystals to give the impression of a second sun, usually with a reddish ring around it.

Gliding hailstones

This was suggested by a certain Aime Michel as a possible explanation for a case that he dealt with. But surely they would have to be large hailstones?

Cobwebs flying high

Cobwebs flying high - this has a very interesting source -Desmond Leslie and George Adamski's 1953 book, "Flying Saucers Have Landed" (London: Werner Laurie, page 18). The authors say: "They say that flying disks are:", and they list a number of explanations. One of the explanations listed is "Cobwebs flying high". Unfortunately, other than being listed, there is no further explanation.

Distant fire

Seen in twilight from a great distance under less than perfect conditions a distant fire can look completely different from what it actually is. I.e. a disembodied glowing airborne colour changing light.

Lubbock lights

The Lubbock light are one particular set of cases, based in a Texan town called, funnily enough Lubbock. It started in August 1951 when three learned people saw a pretty amazing sight. read about it in Jerome Clark's "The UFO Book".

Mind, State or Perception

Hallucinations

It has been suggested on a number of occasions that witnesses hallucinated the object(s) that they have seen. The people that have suggested this have often quoted alcohol and or drugs as the reason for the hallucinations.

Day dreams/ Night dreams

Similar to hallucinations the same people that suggest hallucinations have also suggested that the sighting report could have merely been a daydream sighting or even dreamt of at night and seen during the next day(s).

Reflections of light

I personally know of a case whereby a witness reported seeing lights in the clouds just over from where he lived on a regular basis. When it was investigated it turned out that under certain circumstances cars driving round a mountain road had their headlights reflected off a cloud and gave the impression of lights in the nights sky.

Mirages

Like mirages seen in the desert it has been suggested that some sightings of anomalous object could be explained as a heat mirage or similar.

Optical illusions

On certain circumstances the eyes can be fooled. We have all seen magicians "magic tricks", and we have all seen drawings that twist the eyes perspective. In much the same way the eyes can be fooled under "abnormal" conditions and thus a unidentified flying object can be seen, it is most likely though if its an optical illusion then the object will be static and not moving around radically.

Hysteria/Dementia

It is fairly well documented that under certain emotional conditions the mind is more susceptible to experiencing abnormal sounds, sights and feelings.

Mind control

Another possible reason people "seeing things" put forward by a certain skeptic is that "someone" could be exerting some kind of psychic mind control and "making" the witness see something that is quite simply not there. The psychic would have to have very strong powers and the victim very week willed for this to be possible in my opinion, but there you go.

Film defect

This explanation only really covers photographic or cinematic pictures. Quite simply a defect on the film could when developed produce and image of some object or objects in either the background vicinity of the pictures intended "target". I'm sure Bob Shell could elaborate on this a lot more than I can.

Reflections in glass

I actually know of a case, which took some time to be explained. There was a case where a young lad photographed a "UFO" out of his bedroom window. It was an elongated bright yellowish light. When it was investigated it turned out that the young chap had set out to create a hoax by putting his bedroom lampshade at such an angle that it reflected this elongated light on his bedroom window, he then merely photographed it. This is an illustration of another possibility that has been suggested to explain some UFOs.

Specks of dust before eyes

This is another photographic explanation. Just how possible this particular explanation is depends on how much you believe that it is possible for people to mistake dust for UFOs.

Red blood corpuscles inside eyes

The eyes can be fooled. In some cases it might be suggested that witnesses are merely seeing something that is quite literally in their own eyes, i.e. corpuscles. I've not heard of this for myself but flecks before someone's eyes could simply be a blood vessel in their own eyes.

Refracted starlight

If there is was particularly clear night sky and the conditions are "just so" a bright star, say Sirius could be reflected/refracted of a shiny surface and "projected" something like a laser light. Possible but unlikely, in my humble opinion.

Technology, Military or Research

Secret military/governmental/research aircraft

This is a well-known fact. The various governmental departments of whatever are forever developing new technology in the air, on the land and in the sea. Some of the ultra experimental cutting edge aircraft look nothing like conventional technology so if they was seen it would undoubtedly be reported as a UFO and remain so until something like it is seen in one of the airplane magazines.

Laser Lights

Fairly recent thing but laser light shows can show an extraordinary complex pattern of lights and 3D holographic type images. This is a very real contender for possibly identifying a witnesses UFO.

Model aircraft/helicopters

Model aircraft have come a long way in the past few years it is now even possible to get actual flying model rockets. Obviously these models can do manoeuvres that no manned aircraft can do and these models come in a variety of shapes and sizes not all look like the conventional models that we are used too.

Falling satellite/space debris

There is so much "junk" in space in orbit around our planet now its amazing there isn't any orbital crashes. Some of this stuff is in a LEO, or Low Earth Orbit and gravity pulls on this stuff. Occasionally some of it is pulled out of orbit and falls to earth, pretty much the same as a meteor.

Holograms

Like laser lights these things can be projected over many miles and be seen even further away than you would credit it. A bright illumination in a clear night sky can be seen many miles away and at great distance it might not be possible to identify it more than as a bright light in the distance.

Weather balloons

I have seen one of these for myself. An orange "glow" of the coast of Cornwall last year. It was very high and moved very slowly. This is the archetypal weather balloon spotting. The reason it looked like a glow is because it was so high atmospheric pollution "blurred" the edges.

Orbiting Satellite

This is a fairly easy one to identify. A fairly small bright light, seen very high up which moves far to fast to be plane moving in a straight line across the night sky. Satellite's can be seen with optical equipment during the day but the people who see them then probably know what they are.

Dummy parachute

This explanation has been offered very recently by the American air force to explain a certain 1947 event. How much water this explanation holds is down to individual perception.

Research balloons

The most famous, of infamous depending on your point of view is the Mogul balloon, which allegedly came down in the desert near Corona, New Mexico in July of 1947. Now believe it or not these balloons did exist, and they are difficult to identify as a Mogul, unless you knew what one was called.

Blimps

Another good misidentification culprit. Yes blimps have been misidentified. There have been actual reports of UFOs that have been identified as an advertising blimp.

Zeppelins

Now this is for the older readers because these are a very rare sight these days, but back in the thirties and forties there was quite a few of these things flying in the sky. I am sure that the uneducated savages in the backwaters of the world would not be able to recognise technological advancement when they saw it.

Jettisoned spacecraft junk

Pretty much the same as falling space debris. There is quite a lot of equipment that has been "lost in space" quite literally. Some of this probably fell to earth and burnt up in the atmosphere giving off multicoloured lights, which could dazzle the untrained observer.

Helicopter

Without mentioning black unmarked helicopters. Some helicopters are not what the average person expects a helicopter looks like and seen at a distance they could very easily be misidentified as a UFO.

Ultra Light, Hand Gliders

These man made flying machines are built for pleasure. They can do some extraordinary manoeuvres and seen from a distance they

101 Possible Explanations for UFOs

could fool the untrained observer with their arial antics.

Rocket

Seen from a great distance, without prior knowledge of the launch an observer could mistake the intense bright light that ascends into the sky as a UFO. But most people that live near Cape Canaveral for example know what these lights for what they are.

Glider

Gliders have immense wingspans and can move very slowly, almost too slow to fly. And seen from almost directly behind or ahead it looks like they stay still in the air, far too long to be an ordinary plane. Add to that they are usually silver or white they reflect sunlight very well so they could easily be mistaken for a bright silvery elongated saucer shaped object in the sky.

Man-made or Human Activity

Kites

Down in Kent we at UFOMEK had a report of a black triangle doing impossible manoeuvres off the coast of Dover one night. Needless to say we took the witnesses details but there wasn't much else we could do, as the report was a few days old. A few weeks later, by accident, it was discovered that a stunt kite flyer was testing out his new black kite late in the evening on the day that the witness reported the black triangle, need I say more...

Advertising airplanes

Especially popular in America airplanes "towing" great long banners advertising beers or what ever is a common sight. Some of these banners need not be long streaming things but can vary in shape and size. It only takes a witness who doesn't recognise the banner for what it is to report that he saw an object "chasing" or following a very normal plane.

Search lights

This is possibly an explanation from one of the two world wars. A searchlight beam might not show in the night sky but what it illuminates does. If the object were seen through a haze or some such then it would be difficult to recognise it for what it is.

Lighthouse

Orfordness springs to mind. This explanation has been proffered by the odd individual or two to explain away the events that happened at Rendlesham Forest. This explanation in this case is extremely unlikely. Jenny Randles has done extensive work on this case and I would recommend for anyone wanting more information on this case to read her new book.

Street lights

Down in Wales there are the odd village or two that only has one or two streets with streetlights in. When a fog or mist descends then it looks like you have some very disembodied lights floating in the bottom of a valley.

Car headlights

I would like to refer you to my previous explanation of "reflection of light" for this one.

House lights

In remote places there can be lights seen on the top of a hill with no discernible source. Houses are often built on the top of hills for a "better view" and when you get an especially dark night you would see the bedroom lights long before you would see the building.

Advertising balloon

Much the same as blimps really except these tend to get left for ages in one place and are sometimes illuminated.

Flares

This explanation has been suggested for the event in Phoenix last year. In this case I very much doubt it BUT some flares have been designed to descend very slowly illuminating the countryside for either military activities or for search and rescue missions. This could realistically, explain the odd sighting or two.

Distress signal

Burning very brightly and staying in the sky for prolonged amounts of time these intense lights seen from afar could be mistaken just like flares.

Jet trail

Or con trail, jet airplanes leave behind them a white plume of smoke in long trails. The wind breaks them up quite a bit and usually disperses them reasonably quickly but what if an air pocket caught a piece and held it in place for a while?

Fireworks

I don't know about you but I have seen some pretty amazing fireworks, some which do some pretty amazing arial acrobatics and give out some astounding colours as they burn up. If seen from afar and not on a "special" occasion they might give rise to the odd report or two.

Belief systems, Religious, Supernatural, Legend, Myth, Folklore

Biblical prophecy

Many people have spoken about foretellings in the bible and quoted various texts from Ezekial and Revelations. It is also said that somewhere in the bible it describes the mushroom cloud from an atomic explosion, but there you go. However all of this doesn't explain what the UFO is exactly.

Ezekial's wheel

In the book of Ezekial the prophet Ezekial describes a wheel within a wheel etc and angelic beings with wings. This has often been cited as a biblical sighting of a UFO. But this is only one explanation and it does not describe some of the UFOs that witnesses have reported.

Psi Phenomenon

Another paranormal explanation for UFOs is that they are "generated" by latent psychic ability. Or that certain people deliberately generate them to fool the general populace.

Apparitions

This explanation is almost another name for UFOs. An arial luminosity in the form of a ghost is also in a manner of speaking a UFO, as it can't be identified other than as ghost. However many people that believe in ghosts don't believe in UFOs and visa versa.

Plasma craft

This is an actual recorded and photographed UFO phenomenon by Carlos Diaz. I have a picture of one near me now in the form of UFO Magazines 1998 calendar. just what these craft are is another matter, intelligent beings? Proto-plasmic matter? I don't know but it is definitely a UFO.

Spirits

Basically the same as apparitions spirits are the ethereal bodies of the dead, or so it is believed. These too can be mistaken for or included as, depending on your point of view, UFOs.

Angel's hair

Angel's hair is not an explanation for UFOs I'm afraid, it is a

substance that has been witnessed as falling from some. The last known case was in Australia where witnesses saw some fibreglass like substance fall from a UFO as it sped away. This fine material "dissolved" very quickly and left no trace.

Ghost rockets

Something the Swedes got into in a big way, read about it in Jerome Clark's "The UFO Book", page 243 onwards.

Demons/Angels

Some people of a religious nature might want to give this one a miss. It has been suggested that UFOs are apparitions caused by the Devil or his subordinates to fool the unbeliever so that they will believe in aliens but not in God. It has also been said by the other camp that it could be Angels displaying Gods powers to his believers.

Mothman

In his book "Visitors from Space", John Keel recounts the many stories of mothmen. These beings were black and had eyes similar to those of moths. They also had wings, hence the name. This is an explanation for extraterrestrial beings not for UFOs themselves.

Fairies/elves

Again this is not an explanation for UFOs merely their occupants. In Jacques Vallee's book "Passport to Magonia" he suggested a startling theory pointing out similarities between UFO sightings and the sightings of fairies.

Other

Fraud/Fake/Dupes

Like I mentioned earlier with the boy and the lampshade several people have set out to fool UFO researchers and believers alike. Certain people cite Billy Mieier and Ed Walters as fraudsters. Ones that have been proved fake was the castle video of the crop circle being made.

Misinformation

This is not really to do with the actual sighting itself but the reports of sightings. Like the above people have set out to fool the honest researcher with bogus sighting reports. Also, it is more that remotely possible that deliberate mis-information is being put out to cover secret test flights of "black project" planes and arial vehicles. It has also been suggested that some secret technology planes have been built to look like UFOs to deliberately fool people, after all how many people would report the sighting of a UFO compared to those who report the sighting of a secret airplane?

Foo fighter

Foo Fighters were a regular sighting for combat pilots in the two world wars. With both sides thinking that they belonged to the other. Recent findings have not come up with any new evidence but one researcher is convinced that they belonged to German secret intelligence.

Origin Theories

Spacecraft from another Planets/Galaxy's

This is the favourite by far. Ninety nine percent of people who believe in UFOs believe that they come from other planets and or galaxies. This theory called the Extra Terrestrial Hypothesis is one of the easiest to cite and hardest to back up.

Time travellers

Due to the vast distances between planets and the immense amount of time that it is estimated that it would take to traverse it another favourite explanation to explain the origin or the pilots of the unidentified flying objects is that they come from another time, not another place. that way there are no great distances to travel, and a time machine would seem more possible.

Hollow moon

There is some evidence that would suggest that the core of the moon is hollow rather than rock and etc. Then as an extenuation of this theory it has been suggested that our visitors are not from another planets but from our moon, having already travelled the long distance between the stars in a modified planetoid to carry the travellers to here over the generations.

Hollow Earth

This theory assumes that these visitors of ours are another race of earthlings that come from inside our planet. I remember a book from my youth that suggested that there was two races living on our planet millennia ago and that they diverged so much that they could not live together and that one of these races went and lived in caves until they could no longer emerge into the light. And now they want to check up on us.

Atlantis

There are many theories on Atlantis, the place of myth and legend. some say that Atlanteans destroyed themselves whilst others say that they went and hid from their barbaric warlike neighbours. This theory is often quoted when the witness reports that the UFO dived into the sea or a lake. In the case of Linda Cortile she describes the UFO diving into the sea.

Multi-dimensional craft

As you know we abide in three dimensions. Mathematicians have "proved" that there must be more dimensions than we currently know about. This provides the base for another theory that our visitors are from another dimension rather than another time or planet.

Earth's etheric analog

On the exact opposite side of the sun is another planet just like earth, or so it has been suggested. This planet is more advanced than ours because it is sending space vehicles to visit or check up on us. Why haven't they made contact with us just yet? Who says that they haven't?

Interdimensional beings/craft from alternate futures

All of the above and none of it. With beings from other dimensions and craft from alternate futures checking up on the possible past, this theory has it all. This theory to explain where "they" come from has more possibilities than any of the above and more limitations than eternity. Like any explanation for UFOs it has to remain possible until we can eliminate it from our studies, or indeed have it proved.

Well there you have it folks, something to make you think, something to make you laugh and undoubtedly something to make you cry. As I said at the start I don't mean this list to be exhaustive but merely a starting point to get the old grey matter ticking over and point out that there are far more possibilities than a casual thought would think up. I am always open to other possibilities and one day I hope to have an answer to some of the above but with modern Ufology fifty years old now I doubt that I will be there with some answers first as other people have been researchers far longer than I have and only come up with more questions.

In an infinite universe infinitely anything is possible. <u>http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/</u> Sean Jones

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

Re: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs

From: Sean Jones <<u>Sean.Jones</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 15:39:19 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:08:30 -0500
Subject: Re: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs

>From: Sean Jones <<u>Sean.Jones</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 15:03:36 +0000
>Subject: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs

>One Hundred And One Possible Explanations For UFOs

Incidentally, I forgot to mention that this article was written in 1998!

All the best

Sean

In an infinite universe infinitely anything is possible. <u>http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/</u> Sean Jones

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

Re: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:43:27 -0600
Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:12:23 -0500
Subject: Re: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs

>From: Sean Jones <<u>Sean.Jones</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 15:03:36 +0000
>Subject: 101 Possible Explanations For UFOs

>One Hundred And One Possible Explanations For UFOs

Here's one: That there are UFOs, no... let's not dance... ETIs, ETIs in ironic _concurrence_ with Fermi, ETIs abundantly extant across at _least_ seven categories of rational consideration and so more than merely _suggesting_ a true existential, an existential without regard to its provenance, a provenance which does not matter before the first-wash admission of same.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 17</u>

News Links - 16-02-11

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>** Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 18:39:02 -0500 Archived: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 18:39:02 -0500 Subject: News Links - 16-02-11

News Links - 16-02-11

Witness Thought UFOs wEre An 'Invasion' Examnier.Com http://tinyurl.com/4ovyacm

Video: New UFO Sighting In Goteborg, Sweden February 12, 2011 Boston Top News Examiner <u>http://tinyurl.com/6bl7omt</u>

Examiner Reader Reports Seeing UFO In The Sky Over Johannesburg Boston Top News Examiner <u>http://tinyurl.com/4pt753f</u>

Filer's Files #8 - 2011
http://tinyurl.com/4892onz

Pilkington On WikiLeaks & AllNewsWeb Mirage Men <u>http://tinyurl.com/5vtojeu</u>

Raspberries For The Conspiracy Crowd Billy Cox http://tinyurl.com/48bwhsk

Filling The Unforgiving UFO Moment Montgomery UFO Examiner http://tinyurl.com/4kdlwr3

UFO Sightings In 2011 Break Records Worldwide For Flying Saucer Craze HULIQ http://tinyurl.com/5wpx8tl

A Third Battle Of Los Angeles Movie Emerges Dread Central http://tinyurl.com/6epg37h

Pink Floyd Guitarist Pays McKinnon's Health Bills
Register
http://tinyurl.com/69x26mb

Orbs Of An Island Healer Elemental To UFO Encounters Vancouver UFO Examiner <u>http://tinyurl.com/62xvfx2</u>

From Larry W Bryant:

Request For An Inspector General Investigation Into Reported UFO-E.T.-Related Censorship At Warren AFB <u>http://tinyurl.com/49tdzrk</u>

From Frank Warren's The UFO Chronicals:

News Links - 16-02-11

Sex, Lies And Audiotape James Carrion http://tinyurl.com/5rr232m

It's Amazing MUFONs Still Around Billy Cox http://tinyurl.com/5wp5ymu

From Bobby Thevenot:

The Mystery Of The Giant Planet Hidden In Our Solar System TPM LiveWire <u>http://tinyurl.com/48nfpve</u>

From 'The Norm':

Up Telescope! Search Begins For Giant New Planet The Independant <u>http://tinyurl.com/4nwtd3g</u>

The Hidden Reality: Parallel Universes And The Deep Laws Of The Cosmos By Brian Greene Publisher Knopf January 25, 2011 http://tinyurl.com/6enluw2

Reviews of Brian Greene?s new book The Hidden Reality

A Physicist Explains Why Parallel Universes May Exist http://tinyurl.com/666y93p

Multiple-Universe Theory Made, Well, Easier http://www.nytimes.com/2011/01/27/books/27book.html

A tour of multiverses http://tinyurl.com/4lf5ytd

Excerpt from Brian Greene?s new book The Hidden Reality

Physicist Brian Greene introduces The Hidden Reality http://tinyurl.com/6bwq2ut

Falling Brains Dept:

Is Historic Meeting Of 260 US Ambassadors Related To UFOs And ET Life? http://tinyurl.com/4uvg9ux

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 19:24:06 +0000 Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 07:12:11 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:45:57 +0100
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST
>>Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>>Another example: Dr VernonClark has his career ruined after >>>making public his isotopic analysis of an alleged UFO debris. >>>Pathetic, too? Rather, a dangerous game, it seems to me.

>>>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in >>>ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John >>>Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? >>>Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

>>Gildas, List, All -

>>Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after
>>his public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he
>>told me, within days of his appearance in Roswell had been
>>overblown and taken out of context... I see that Sims is now
>>saying that the material he analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

>Kevin,

>I talked at length about that, in 2003, with Dr Roger Leir, who >was involved in that study, and knew Dr VernonClark.

>Leir told me that he was forced to quit his post at the UCLA at >San Diego, and had a hard time finding another job.

>If I remember well, his marriage was broken too, after that. >Skeptics will as usual put in doubt this information, but I >don't care.

>Now, that does not prove anything about the real nature of that >fragment, of course $% \left({{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{c}} \right)}} \right)}} \right)}} \right)$

Dear List:

I must correct a couple of things before they become part of the myth:

UCLA means the University of California - Los Angeles. The San Diego campus of UC is referred to as UCSD. Thanks for your patience.

I worked within the UC system during the time of the Sims

Re: VernonClark & Sims

debate, and was able to contact VernonClark via the UC e-mail system.

Because I worked across the hall from the electron microscope and knew the UCLA lab head tech, I could ask Clark questions about his testing methods.

If I am remembering the facts correctly, it turned out that Clark was not a doctor at all, but the newest hired tech in the San Diego lab. I believe his institution (UCSD) thought he had misused his position and their equipment.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993.nul></u> Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 17:11:37 EST Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 07:14:34 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:45:57 +0100
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST
>>Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>>Another example: Dr VernonClark has his career ruined after >>>making public his isotopic analysis of an alleged UFO debris. >>>Pathetic, too? Rather, a dangerous game, it seems to me.

>>>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in >>>ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John >>>Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? >>>Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

>>Gildas, List, All -

>>Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after
>>his public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he
>>told me, within days of his appearance in Roswell had been
>>overblown and taken out of context... I see that Sims is now
>>saying that the material he analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

>Kevin,

>I talked at length about that, in 2003, with Dr Roger Leir, who >was involved in that study, and knew Dr VernonClark.

>Leir told me that he was forced to quit his post at the UCLA at >San Diego, and had a hard time finding another job.

>If I remember well, his marriage was broken too, after that. >Skeptics will as usual put in doubt this information, but I >don't care.

>Now, that does not prove anything about the real nature of that >fragment, of course

Gildas, List, All -

Sorry, but I don't see a causal relation here. Was he forced out because of his rather premature remarks about the fragment, or were there other reasons? I do know that he didn't hold a teaching post and when I last talked to him he had no concerns about his position at the university.

And is the break-up of his marriage relevant here? Did that have

something to do with his statements in Roswell or were there other, more terrestrially grounded reasons?

The only facts I see here are that he made an announcement in Roswell that was premature and not supported by others in the scientific community. I have no doubt that he was used because of his university connection. But I don't know why he left the university and if it really had anything to do with his announcements.

I guess you can throw me into the skeptics camp on this because I need something more than what Leir provided about him.

Thanks.

Kevin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:00:07 +0100
Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 07:17:12 -0500
Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>,
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 09:32:44 -0500
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:45:57 +0100
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST
>>>Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

><snip>

>>>Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after his >>>public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he told me, >>>within days of his appearance in Roswell had been overblown and >>>taken out of context... I see that Sims is now saying that the >>>material he analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

>>Kevin,

>>I talked at length about that, in 2003, with Dr Roger Leir, who >>was involved in >that study, and knew Dr VernonClark.

>>Leir told me that he was forced to quit his post at the UCLA at >>San Diego, and >had a hard time finding another job.

>>If I remember well, his marriage was broken too, after that.
>>Skeptics will as usual put in doubt this information, but I
>>don't care.

>>Now, that does not prove anything about the real nature of that >>fragment, of >course

>His presentation at Roswell was one of the few that I attended >in 1997, and there was a lot of discussion going on behind the >scenes regarding how this entire thing was being presented as >absolute proof of alien manufactured material.

>Dr. Clark was rushed onto the stage to tell his tale and then >whisked out a back door to a car that was waiting for him to >take him to the airport. Reporters and others were told to wait >at the front of the building while he was being spirited away, >which told me how much the information was worth.

>If they'd found something substantial that they could support >with evidence, why run and hide after you provide an outline. >The group in the audience was a veritable who's who of ufology, >and they were left shaking their heads for the most part.

>That wasn't ufology's finest hour, and IMO you probably don't >want to start a[nother] long conversation on Dr. Leir and his >implants.

Steve,

You are just repeating here critiques expressed so many times, and you don't seem interested at all by the findings of Dr VernonClark.

You are even repeating he mistake on his name which led to that stupid accusation in the press that he was not at the UCLA in San Diego, and therefore was a liar. The jounalist who launched that accusation had asked for a Dr Clark, but he was listed as Dr Russell VernonClark! This error of yours tells me a lot about your low level of information on that case. It also tells me that I don't wish to discuss Dr Leir's operations with you. I am getting sick with that sort of debate.

Gidas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:01:45 +0100
Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 07:19:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:39:45 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:18:44 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:56:23 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was "Budd Hopkins"]

<snip>

>>>I'd like to raise the question of what alien abduction >>>researchers can and should do to substantiate their claims.

>><snip>

>>Simply stated, these abduction researchers claim they have >>>evidence that aliens from elsewhere are physically invading >>>Earth with an ongoing, vigorously implemented plan to alter the >>>genome of the entire human species. This will bring about an all >>>but invisible take-over of the human race and the planet. We are >>>powerless to stop them.

>>Carol,

>>You imply here that Budd Hopkins shares completely the views of >>David Jacobs about a dramatic take-over of the human species. They >>are good friends, but your statement is plainly false. Budd has >>departed many times from that publicly.

><snip>

>Even true and presuming that it _is_ true, Sir, it just doesn't >matter.

>Budd Hopkins stands foursquare behind David Jacobs' _entirely_ >suspect, _baldly_ suspicious and _serially_ salacious ...where >the forthcoming are not mean, misogynistic, and utterly >mendacious, mind you... methods, practices, and activities. >Foursquare!

Mr Lehmberg:

It matters a lot to me. It is plainly wrong to say that Budd Hopkins entirely agrees with David Jacobs. I know that.

And I just want to tell you that I am shocked by your tone and voccabulary in this difficult discussion.

Now, I don't have a real opinion about the problem of Dr Jacobs with Emma Woods, but I do have a few things to say. First, that I met David Jacobs several times and remember a very courteous, friendly, calm and decent man. The present accusations against Re: Budd Hopkins

him don't fit with that. Secondly, regarding legal aspect of the case, I ask again: is it legal to tape private conversations during one or two years and publish a montage selected to discredit the man? Could not she be sued for that?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gildas Bourdais

bourdais.gildas.nul>

Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:02:27 +0100

Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 07:20:40 -0500

Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 14:54:58 +0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 12:01:42 +0100
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>Would you say that for the big, glowing UFO which hovered at >>night above missile silos of Minot AFB, on October 1968 and for >>which researchers found some twenty very credible witnesses?

>Gildas,

>I don't have the time to run through a series of reports and >offering an opinion on them, especially when I will have little >knowledge and even less interest in many of them.

>I did mean Meteorological by 'Met', and I stand by what I said >the majority of unexplained cases can most probably be
>attributed to Met Phenomena of one kind or another. Your opinion
>may be different, but I doubt that it would hold any more or
>less value than mine.

Joe,

What you show here is sheer contempt for a major case.

It tells me enough to qualify you as an almost perfect skeptic (or sceptic if you prefer).

Cheers

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Open Letter To Senator Scott Brown

From: Paradigm Research Group <<u>PRG</u>.nul> Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 02:06:33 -0800 Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 07:21:45 -0500 Subject: Open Letter To Senator Scott Brown

PRG Paradigm Research Group

November 18, 2011

Open Letter to Senator Scott Brown

www.paradigmresearchgroup.org/Open_Letter_Scott_Brown.htm

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 13:09:46 +0000
Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 09:19:39 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:02:27 +0100
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>It tells me enough to qualify you as an almost perfect skeptic >(or sceptic if you prefer).

Thank you for the compliment.

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

William Moore?

From: Robert Frola <auforn.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 22:36:42 +1000
Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 09:20:55 -0500
Subject: William Moore?

Ηi,

Does anyone have the contact e-mail for William Moore?

Cheers

Robert Frola Editor UFOlogist Magazine

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul> Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 14:09:35 -0000 Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 09:22:38 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 14:54:58 +0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>I did mean Meteorological by 'Met', and I stand by what I said >the majority of unexplained cases can most probably be
>attributed to Met Phenomena of one kind or another. Your opinion
>may be different, but I doubt that it would hold any more or
>less value than mine.

Ladies & Gentleman,

Too many witnesses worldwide over many decades makes the UFO phenomena a reality that the skeptics have not been able to quash despite much progress and help via many media outlets in modern society and officialdom.

Human opinion is always a circus worth viewing in such a mysterious world we inhabit!

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 08:45:00 -0600
Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 10:10:46 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:01:45 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:39:45 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:18:44 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 13:56:23 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction Research [Was Budd Hopkins]

><snip>

>>>>I'd like to raise the question of what alien abduction >>>researchers can and should do to substantiate their claims.

>>><snip>

>>>Simply stated, these abduction researchers claim they have >>>evidence that aliens from elsewhere are physically invading >>>Earth with an ongoing, vigorously implemented plan to alter the >>>genome of the entire human species. This will bring about an all >>>but invisible take-over of the human race and the planet. We are >>>powerless to stop them.

>>>Carol,

>>>You imply here that Budd Hopkins shares completely the views of >>>David Jacobs about a dramatic take-over of the human species. They >>>are good friends, but your statement is plainly false. Budd has >>>departed many times from that publicly.

>><snip>

>>Even true and presuming that it _is_ true, Sir, it just doesn't >>matter.

>>Budd Hopkins stands foursquare behind David Jacobs' _entirely_ >>suspect, _baldly_ suspicious and _serially_ salacious ...where >>the forthcoming are not mean, misogynistic, and utterly >>mendacious, mind you... methods, practices, and activities. >>Foursquare!

>Mr Lehmberg:

>It matters a lot to me. It is plainly wrong to say that Budd >Hopkins entirely agrees with David Jacobs. I know that.

Sir! All respect! That is _immaterial_! Budd Hopkins stands foursquare behind the conduct and activity of David Jacobs as

regards the Emma Woods affair! Ulterior, exterior, or peripheral disagreements between the two are not, and have never been, the issue!

>And I just want to tell you that I am shocked by your tone and >vocabulary in this difficult discussion.

You want to know what shocks _me_ Mr. Bourdais? That there is not _more_ "tone and vocabulary" with regard to one person throwing another under a pathological bus, seemingly for selfinterested pecuniary concerns, and then hiding behind another's _illness_ while prosecuting, facilitating and otherwise nurturing _foundationless_ slanders against the person run over! That's 220 over your 110.

>Now, I don't have a real opinion about the problem of Dr Jacobs >with Emma Woods, but I do have a few things to say.

If you have no opinion re: Dr Jacobs or Emma Woods, Sir, then we would have nothing to talk _about_! See, that's the issue at hand.

>First, that
>I met David Jacobs several times and remember a very courteous,
>friendly, calm and decent man.

Me too! I can bear out everything you say here! Moreover, I bought his books, attended his lectures and workshops, talked to him (and Hopkins too) for hours on the phone for podcasts last century. Hugely courteous! Certainly friendly! Warmly calm! Unquestionably decent! So? No really, so!?

>The present accusations against >him don't fit with that.

No! No they don't! Begs the question! Where the _hell_ can such accusation raise its ugly head ,at all... but that the _evidence_ for such must be wide and deep.

>Secondly, regarding legal aspect of the >case, I ask again: is it legal to tape private conversations

In as much as the _provenance_ of significantly damning taped conversations, extant, are not germane to the issue of _evidenced_ extreme psychological abuse, I don't _know_ or _care_ what the legal aspects are Mr. Bourdais. That's for the guild of white powdered wigs to fret and otherwise drool over... and a _dodge_ from the issue at hand: Mind Rape/assault, I submit, okeydoked, it would seem, by the more landed members of our community, a community turning a convenient blind eye to impropriety, unprofessionalism, and even sociopathy in the case of Emma Woods.

>during one or two years and publish a montage selected to >discredit the man?

Have you reviewed those montages, yourself, Sir? They are not montages you'd come to find; they are, I feel, an audit path for evidentiary maliciousness and unequivocal in that.

I went in loaded for bear on Jacobs behalf, but came out with my flesh crawling, entirely askance and akimbo with Dr. Jacobs. Revolted. Outraged, Mr. Bourdais. He tried to provoke her mental illness, it would strongly seem, and continues to slander her with the altogether foundationless! Does the man discredit himself, apart from Emma Woods? I submit that he does, to the nines in spades, or I would _not_ be jumping up and down like this!

>Could not she be sued for that?
>

Sued for _recording her abuse_? Maybe! Again, _so_?

By way of illustration: The secret tape that Lisbeth Salander made of Nils Bjurman raping her was probably illegal, too. Only, she had nothing else with which to defend herself, one, and two, that conjectured illegal recording did not facilitate a lie, after all; to the contrary, it told too big a truth! Re: Budd Hopkins

I'm unrepentant, Sir, as it pertains to vocabulary and tone.

<u>alienview.nul</u> <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 18</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2.nul></u> Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 10:23:09 -0500 Archived: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 10:35:52 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:02:27 +0100
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 14:54:58 +0000
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 12:01:42 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>>Would you say that for the big, glowing UFO which hovered at >>>night above missile silos of Minot AFB, on October 1968 and for >>>which researchers found some twenty very credible witnesses?

>>Gildas,

>>I don't have the time to run through a series of reports and >>offering an opinion on them, especially when I will have little >>knowledge and even less interest in many of them.

>>I did mean Meteorological by 'Met', and I stand by what I said >>the majority of unexplained cases can most probably be
>>attributed to Met Phenomena of one kind or another. Your opinion
>>may be different, but I doubt that it would hold any more or
>.less value than mine.

>Joe,

>What you show here is sheer contempt for a major case.

>It tells me enough to qualify you as an almost perfect skeptic >(or sceptic if you prefer).

>Cheers

>Gildas Bourdais

Actually, Mr. Bourdais, I didn't get a whiff of "contempt" from the level, factual tone of Mr. McGonagle's re- sponse to you.

It is you who show contempt for anyone else's right to have an opinion that differs from yours. Yet your opinion is no more provable than his. This is a faith-based belief you adhere to, one that seems to demand disrespect for other people's choices--their often thoughtful, sometimes extremely painful and difficult choices they may have made about which events meet their own personal standards for believability.

On this List, you seem to prefer to set up straw men and bait people into a response just so that you can say: Aha! You _are_

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m18-011.shtml[10/12/2011 12:42:37]

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

an infidel! I was right!

There is a whiff of something here, though - a whiff of the school bully. Come on. That's hardly interesting in an adult setting.

Carol Rainey

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993.nul></u> Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 14:18:08 EST Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 06:28:52 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

List, All

I was disturbed by the tone of Budd Hopkins' response to his former wife. It struck me as more of a personal attack rather than a reasoned, scientific discussion. I have posted, to my blog, <u>www.KevinRandle.blogspot.com</u>, a long rebuttal of Budd's response.

I will also note I was surprised by Budd's characterization of Walter Webb. I have only communicated with him through email, but found him to be a delightful individual. We share a common interest in the China-Burma-India Theater of WWII.

These are just a couple of things that I thought should be mentioned.

Kevin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <bert.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 02:48:26 +0100
Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 06:36:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2011 22:35:33 +0000
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>- I also feel that HR has become a form of entertainment. At one
>time, I recall that someone was actually advertising along the
>lines of 'let us create a full-blown abduction experience for
>you for \$100. Mastercard and Visa accepted', much in the same
>vein as past-life regressions (just how many Henry VIIIs and
>Joan of Arcs were there?).

>That isn't to say that I consider all dabblers to be bad people, >just as people who conduct car repairs or home repairs badly >aren't intrinsically bad. However, I struggle to understand why >they persist in dabbling when others have pointed out to them >the dangers of their actions and to that degree, they are at >least negligent. I was especially disturbed by Budd's focus on >children who are in the main far more vulnerable and >impressionable than adults.

I don't like this way posters try to write cases of abduction into the dustbin.

In the movie 'Fire in the Sky'

http://www.travis-walton.com

native Americans said to people who tried to find Travis Walton that objects in the sky often came and took children and those children never came back. So why should unknown entities steal children but not abduct children.

Someone should ask Travis Walton if that statement that "objects in the sky often came and took children and those children never came back" is true.

There are many adults who remember being abducted as a child and sometimes they know who, earth people, were with them in those crafts of those unknwon entities!

Bert (A W RvB) bert.nul http://www.rjrsnvbrn.nl *** US spaceships maximum velocity: One light-year a minute. *** AWN http://www.awn-archeologie.nl GEA http://www.gea-geologie.nl NVR http://www.ruimtevaart-nvr.nl Sterrenkunde http://www.dekoepel.nl Re: Budd Hopkins

UFOwijzer http://ufowijzer.nl

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:12:48 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 06:37:31 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 14:09:35 -0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>Ladies & Gentleman,

>Too many witnesses worldwide over many decades makes the UFO >phenomena a reality that the skeptics have not been able to >quash despite much progress and help via many media outlets in >modern society and officialdom.

Too many doubtful reports worldwide over many decades makes the UFO phenomena someting that the believers have not been able to prove despite much progress and help via many media outlets in modern society.

>Human opinion is always a circus worth viewing in such a >mysterious world we inhabit!

Indeed. Why do you think I visit this List so often?

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:13:33 +0100
Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:31:13 -0500
Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 19:24:06 +0000
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:45:57 +0100
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST
>>>Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 11:11:31 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>>>Another example: Dr VernonClark has his career ruined after >>>making public his isotopic analysis of an alleged UFO debris. >>>Pathetic, too? Rather, a dangerous game, it seems to me.

>>>Now, I would like to see a little better where you stand in >>>ufology. May I ask a not so simple question, to you and John >>>Rimmer: are you total skeptics, or not, on the reality UFOs? >>>Would you give your global viewpoints about them?

>>>Gildas, List, All -

>>>Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after >>>his public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he >>>told me, within days of his appearance in Roswell had been >>>overblown and taken out of context... I see that Sims is now >>>saying that the material he analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

>>Kevin,

>>I talked at length about that, in 2003, with Dr Roger Leir, who >>was involved in that study, and knew Dr VernonClark.

>>Leir told me that he was forced to quit his post at the UCLA at >>San Diego, and had a hard time finding another job.

>>If I remember well, his marriage was broken too, after that. >>Skeptics will as usual put in doubt this information, but I >>don't care.

>>Now, that does not prove anything about the real nature of that >>fragment, of course

>I must correct a couple of things before they become part of the >myth:

>UCLA means the University of California - Los Angeles. The San

Re: VernonClark & Sims

>Diego campus of UC is referred to as UCSD. Thanks for your >patience.

>I worked within the UC system during the time of the Sims >debate, and was able to contact VernonClark via the UC e-mail >system.

>Because I worked across the hall from the electron microscope >and knew the UCLA lab head tech, I could ask Clark questions >about his testing methods.

>If I am remembering the facts correctly, it turned out that >Clark was not a doctor at all, but the newest hired tech in the >San Diego lab. I believe his institution (UCSD) thought he had >misused his position and their equipment.

Kathy,

Thank you for correcting me on UCLA and UCSD.

Similarly, please use his complete name: VernonClark, not Clark.

Your remarks don't tell anything about his findings, the really interesting, and 'hot' question.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100 Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:35:05 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 08:45:00 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:01:45 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:39:45 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>Budd Hopkins stands foursquare behind David Jacobs' _entirely_
>>suspect, _baldly_ suspicious and _serially_ salacious ...where
>>>the forthcoming are not mean, misogynistic, and utterly
>>>mendacious, mind you... methods, practices, and activities.
>>>Foursquare!

>>Mr Lehmberg:

>>It matters a lot to me. It is plainly wrong to say that Budd >>Hopkins entirely agrees with David Jacobs. I know that.

>Sir! All respect! That is _immaterial_! Budd Hopkins stands
>foursquare behind the conduct and activity of David Jacobs as
>regards the Emma Woods affair! Ulterior, exterior, or peripheral
>disagreements between the two are not, and have never been, the
>issue!

>>And I just want to tell you that I am shocked by your tone and >>vocabulary in this difficult discussion.

>You want to know what shocks _me_ Mr. Bourdais? That there is >not _more_ "tone and vocabulary" with regard to one person >throwing another under a pathological bus, seemingly for self->interested pecuniary concerns, and then hiding behind another's >_illness_ while prosecuting, facilitating and otherwise >nurturing _foundationless_ slanders against the person run over! >That's 220 over your 110.

>>Now, I don't have a real opinion about the problem of Dr Jacobs >>with Emma Woods, but I do have a few things to say.

>If you have no opinion re: Dr Jacobs or Emma Woods, Sir, then we >would have nothing to talk _about_! See, that's the issue at >hand.

>>First, that
>>I met David Jacobs several times and remember a very courteous,
>>friendly, calm and decent man.

>Me too! I can bear out everything you say here! Moreover, I >bought his books, attended his lectures and workshops, talked to >him (and Hopkins too) for hours on the phone for podcasts last >century. Hugely courteous! Certainly friendly! Warmly calm!
>Unquestionably decent! So? No really, so!?

>>The present accusations against >>him don't fit with that.

>No! No they don't! Begs the question! Where the _hell_ can such >accusation raise its ugly head ,at all... but that the >_evidence_ for such must be wide and deep.

>>Secondly, regarding legal aspect of the
>>case, I ask again: is it legal to tape private conversations

>In as much as the _provenance_ of significantly damning taped >conversations, extant, are not germane to the issue of >_evidenced_ extreme psychological abuse, I don't _know_ or >_care_ what the legal aspects are Mr. Bourdais. That's for the >guild of white powdered wigs to fret and otherwise drool over... >and a _dodge_ from the issue at hand: Mind Rape/assault, I >submit, okeydoked, it would seem, by the more landed members of >our community, a community turning a convenient blind eye to >impropriety, unprofessionalism, and even sociopathy in the case >of Emma Woods.

>>during one or two years and publish a montage selected to
>>discredit the man?

>Have you reviewed those montages, yourself, Sir? They are not >montages you'd come to find; they are, I feel, an audit path >for evidentiary maliciousness and unequivocal in that.

Mr Lehmberg:

I have listened de to about 45 minutes of that montage, and I what struck most was loud, agressive sneers by two people whose names I did catch. Could you tell who they are?

The question here is not just that Emma Woods recorded during two years her private conversations with Jacobs - a fact alone which raises questions, like possible premeditation for future action - but that she published a montage of the most damaging parts of them. Would you accept to be treated that way, Mr Lehmberg?

A question: why did she ask for his help and send him hundreds of pages about her case, in the first place? That behavior looks rather peculiar to me.

Another question: do you know who sent Dr Jacobs a message to make him believe that he was threatened by a "hybrid"?

What was going on, there? Looks like she was not alone in that story.

Simply stated, that case is not at all clear to me.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:17:07 +0100 Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:37:29 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 10:23:09 -0500
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:02:27 +0100
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 14:54:58 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>>>Gildas,

>>>I don't have the time to run through a series of reports and >>>offering an opinion on them, especially when I will have little >>>knowledge and even less interest in many of them.

>>>I did mean Meteorological by 'Met', and I stand by what I said >>>the majority of unexplained cases can most probably be
>>>attributed to Met Phenomena of one kind or another. Your opinion
>>>may be different, but I doubt that it would hold any more or
>>.less value than mine.

>>Joe,

>>What you show here is sheer contempt for a major case.

>>It tells me enough to qualify you as an almost perfect skeptic >>(or sceptic if you prefer).

>Actually, Mr. Bourdais, I didn't get a whiff of "contempt" from >the level, factual tone of Mr. McGonagle's re- sponse to you.

Carol,

If his answer is not contemptous, at least it shows a complete lack of respect to decades of good research on UFOs.

For instance, for the very dedicated team which inquired during many years about the Minot case. And the witnesses.

That closes the discussion for me.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:26:02 -0000
Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:40:43 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:12:48 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>Too many doubtful reports worldwide over many decades makes the >UFO phenomena someting that the believers have not been able to >prove despite much progress and help via many media outlets in >modern society.

List,

Do please watch this clip and think how far UK skeptics have come to arrive at such answers on UFOs when you arrive at 4.22 in the clip onwards:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bLRS1e0wxF4

Oh and this great piece from 29.18 onwards I think Jenny tells the camera that 95% of the UFO cases she has investigated are all explainable, but the other 5% is somehow not mentioned in the film? What a great opportunity s he had to tell the camera what the other 5% may have been! http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNR2M0eYsE0

"We must educate the British about UFOs in anyway possible!"

http://tinyurl.com/5sbrfx2

http://tinyurl.com/5sfudaj

http://tinyurl.com/6ev2ynb

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:48:09 +0100
Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:43:58 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:12:48 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 14:09:35 -0000
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>Ladies & Gentleman,

>>Too many witnesses worldwide over many decades makes the UFO >>phenomena a reality that the skeptics have not been able to >>quash despite much progress and help via many media outlets in >>modern society and officialdom.

>Too many doubtful reports worldwide over many decades makes the >UFO phenomena someting that the believers have not been able to >prove despite much progress and help via many media outlets in >modern society.

>>Human opinion is always a circus worth viewing in such a
>>mysterious world we inhabit!

>Indeed. Why do you think I visit this List so often?

To do your "run of the mill" debunking job, I presume.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular.nul></u> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:57:39 -0000 Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:38:09 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:17:07 +0100
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 10:23:09 -0500
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:02:27 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 14:54:58 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>>Would you say that for the big, glowing UFO which hovered at >>>night above missile silos of Minot AFB, on October 1968 and >>>for which researchers found some twenty very credible >>>witnesses?

>>Gildas,

>>I don't have the time to run through a series of reports and >>offering an opinion on them, especially when I will have little >>knowledge and even less interest in many of them.

>If his answer is not contemptous, at least it shows a complete >lack of respect to decades of good research on UFOs.

>For instance, for the very dedicated team which inquired during >many years about the Minot case. And the witnesses.

Hi Gildas

I believe I've expressed the opinion before on this List that Minot AFB 1968 is a fascinating and presently unexplained case.

I have had some small involvement with aspects of the (ongoing) research project you refer to in recent years. But I would describe the witness evidence as sometimes ambiguous, the documentation as incomplete and flawed, crucial aspects of its reconstruction as uncertain, and the anwer to the very complex question "What happened?" as an ultimately inconclusive one.

For clarity can you point to one aspect of this group of incidents and explain why it is exceptionally strong evidence for something that could not be explained by unrecognised natural phenomena of some type? So that we can have a focused discussion about it?

Regards,

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:22:08 -0600 Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 11:36:07 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 08:45:00 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 11:01:45 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 08:39:45 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>>>Budd Hopkins stands foursquare behind David Jacobs' _entirely_
>>>suspect, _baldly_ suspicious and _serially_ salacious ...where
>>>the forthcoming are not mean, misogynistic, and utterly
>>>mendacious, mind you... methods, practices, and activities.
>>>Foursquare!

>>>Mr Lehmberg:

>>>It matters a lot to me. It is plainly wrong to say that Budd >>>Hopkins entirely agrees with David Jacobs. I know that.

>>Sir! All respect! That is _immaterial_! Budd Hopkins stands
>>foursquare behind the conduct and activity of David Jacobs as
>>regards the Emma Woods affair! Ulterior, exterior, or peripheral
>>disagreements between the two are not, and have never been, the
>>issue!

<snip>

>I have listened de to about 45 minutes of that montage, and I >what struck most was loud, agressive sneers by two people whose >names I did catch. Could you tell who they are?

Again Sir, and not meaning to be flippant, I was most struck by the "caught masturbating" giggles, the child-like whining, the smooth-voiced encouragements to manifest psychological illness in another, the explicated anxiousness over pecuniary concerns, and the exasperated expressions similarly provoked when a pet won't do as it is commanded... of one person in particular, could you tell me who _that_ was?

The two voices I suspect you refer to, Mr. Bourdais, were two pretty sincere persons on a podcast of increasing popularity - a result of that sincerity I suspect - but guys covering a story they felt should be told and playing clips we can't _actually_ denigrate to 'montage,' in order to illustrate that story. I add that you don't have to listen to the "loud and aggressive sneers," at all... I put links to the provenance material up a few days ago, right here.

>The question here is not just that Emma Woods recorded during >two years her private conversations with Jacobs - a fact alone >which raises questions, like possible premeditation for future >action -

Ouch - I really have to stop here for hearty laughter! Why can't Emma Woods just be an intelligent person who, deeply interested in what was happening to her psychology and physicality, provide for a rational and complete audit trail outlining same? My review shows, not "premeditation," "BPD," or "narcissism"... on the contrary. I see only the attention to task organization, prioritization, time management, and focus on detail one would expect, for example, in a competent military officer. A soldier you'd want on you team, actually, given you fought fair, eh?

>but that she published a montage of the most damaging >parts of them. Would you accept to be treated that way, Mr. >Lehmberg?

Again, I'm having difficulty processing your use of the word 'montage', Mr. Bourdais, seemingly as a cherry-picked contruction contrived to provide disinformation, so I really can't accept the premise of your question enough to provide you with the answer you would appear to want to have, eh? I offer that if there are any "damaging parts," at all, to which you would refer, this can only speak more loudly to Jacobs' detriment, than Woods.

>A question: why did she ask for his help and send him hundreds >of pages about her case, in the first place? That behavior looks >rather peculiar to me.

Why is that! She felt she had a case on something and had a lot of data in its regard. There were experts suggested to her by a licenced theripist is my understanding. Maybe she was trying to front load, prime the pump, I don't know... be efficient? Moreover, when it's in service to the researcher, isn't that data more appreciated than when things go south with a research _subject_ and the otherwise appreciated mass and detail of personal information can then be used as evidence of psychosis and pathology of the person? Now there's _real_ peculiarity.

>Another question: do you know who sent Dr Jacobs a message to >make him believe that he was threatened by a "hybrid"?

How can I know, Sir? Had you considered Dr Jacobs himself?

>What was going on, there? Looks like she was not alone in that >story.

She started alone, I understand, just her and her torment much like anyone else in the nexus of the phenomenon... she reached out to 'professionals' and is seemingly betrayed when she won't play the obligatory ball.

>Simply stated, that case is not at all clear to me.

Nor me, Sir. Though it seems to be getting clearer all the time.

<u>alienview.nul</u> <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

'Fatima Re-Visited'

From: **Ray Dickenson** <<u>r.dickenson</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 16:30:56 -0000 Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 11:52:37 -0500 Subject: 'Fatima Re-Visited'

Hello List,

As you might know I've been keeping an ongoing file on the happenings at Fatima, Portugal on 13 October 1917, and later research.

It's just been updated, as you can see at:

www.perceptions.couk.com/fatima.html#upd3

Thanks to the info kindly sent by Dr. Joaquim Fernandes, joint investigator and author/editor of the book-trilogy om Fatima, latest of which is titled 'Fatima Revisited:The Apparition Phenomenon in Ufology, Psychology, and Science'.

www.anomalistbooks.com/fatima-trilogy.html

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:46:11 -0400
Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:34:44 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:26:02 -0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:12:48 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>Too many doubtful reports worldwide over many decades makes the >>UFO phenomena someting that the believers have not been able to >>prove despite much progress and help via many media outlets in >>modern society.

>Do please watch this clip and think how far UK skeptics have >come to arrive at such answers on UFOs when you arrive at 4.22 >in the clip onwards:

>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bLRS1e0wxF4

>Oh and this great piece from 29.18 onwards I think Jenny tells >the camera that 95% of the UFO cases she has investigated are >all explainable, but the other 5% is somehow not mentioned in >the film? What a great opportunity she had to tell the camera >what the other 5% may have been!

>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNR2M0eYsEQ

>"We must educate the British about UFOs in anyway possible!"

>http://tinyurl.com/5sbrfx2

><u>http://tinyurl.com/5sfudaj</u>

>http://tinyurl.com/6ev2ynb

>Roy

So the Bobby went into an altered state of consciousness?

What did he walk around in a trance later?

Andy Roberts sets the tone.

When in doubt come up with the bogus psychological angle. Enter the realm of the witchdoctors. Make the case a metal case.

Attack/trash the witness. Mind you this doesn't stop the likes of Andy Roberts and David Clarke from pumping out UFO books. But the documentary people fall happily into this tripe every time as they attempt to 'balance' out the statements by the witnesses - who apparently are mental cases including the police - with a 'sober' explanation which is usually unresearched (enter Roberts) and in this case ridiculous and probably should have been grounds for libel. But this is the kind of drivel that Rimmer and McGonagle buy into. Safe ground. McGonagle apparently has done a 180 having been a 'true believer' (whatever that is) himself (according to one of the aviary over there who related this info to me after the Condign Report was released) before McGonagle fell in with 'bad':) company.

But back to the Bobby in the 'altered state'...

One must presume then that every time this Bobby or any other cop comes into contact with something disturbing during the course of their duties they go into an altered state.

When does this occur? Where is the cut-off point? Is it after the ticketing of a speeder but before the lifting the body of a 6 year old's body from the ocean shore after immersion of 4 days; or the brutal slaying or some prostitute who has been hacked to pieces. That's just a couple of samples out of hundreds of terrible scenes that the police live with day in and day out but then 'expurt' Roberts comes along and tells the police they went into an altered state. What rubbish and what cheek. What piety. You have to have a pretty big ego to pump that bullshit out; and belive it.

Frankly, the 95% explainable number is a cop-out but it's not only Jenny Randle that has bought into that myth.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 19</u>

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 09:59:49 -0800 (PST) Archived: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:08:13 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 14:18:08 EST
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>List, All

>I was disturbed by the tone of Budd Hopkins' response to his
>former wife. It struck me as more of a personal attack rather
>than a reasoned, scientific discussion. I have posted, to my
>blog, www.KevinRandle.blogspot.com, a long rebuttal of Budd's
>response.

>I will also note I was surprised by Budd's characterization of >Walter Webb. I have only communicated with him through email, >but found him to be a delightful individual. We share a common >interest in the China-Burma-India Theater of WWII.

>These are just a couple of things that I thought should be >mentioned.

>Kevin

I wanted to say thank you Kevin. I read your blog article, and I agree with you:

"What all this tells me is that after a decade and a half, others are beginning to raise the issues that Estes, Cone and I did in The Abduction Enigma. I think it=E2=80=99s about time, and I sincerely hope that the discussion can stay on a level of reviewing the evidence, or lack thereof, rather than descending into the cult of personality as it too often does. We have a chance here. Let=E2=80=99s not let it get away. Again."

You may remember that my first question to this List a few years ago was 'where is all the evidence of alien abduction?'

You may also remember the negative responses I received then.

It's my hope too that the present discussion can remain a review of real results, rather than a return to personality attacks, adding to that more than a mere thought about rescuing the "abductees".

Kevin, again thanks!

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 20

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:18:47 +0000
Archived: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 17:00:31 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:46:11 -0400
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>But this is the kind of drivel that Rimmer and McGonagle buy >into. Safe ground. McGonagle apparently has done a 180 having >been a 'true believer' (whatever that is) himself (according to >one of the aviary over there who related this info to me after >the Condign Report was released) before McGonagle fell in with >'bad':) company.

Perhaps you would like to expand on this part, Don? What do you mean by 'aviary'?

Was my transition from believer to sceptic meant to have been some kind of secret according to your 'source' who you don't seem to wish to name?

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 20

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:27:32 +0000
Archived: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 17:28:10 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:46:11 -0400
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>So the Bobby went into an altered state of consciousness?

>What did he walk around in a trance later?

>Andy Roberts sets the tone.

>When in doubt come up with the bogus psychological angle. Enter >the realm of the witchdoctors. Make the case a metal case.

>Attack/trash the witness. Mind you this doesn't stop the likes >of Andy Roberts and David Clarke from pumping out UFO books. But >the documentary people fall happily into this tripe every time >as they attempt to 'balance' out the statements by the witnesses >- who apparently are mental cases including the police - with a >'sober' explanation which is usually unresearched (enter >Roberts) and in this case ridiculous and probably should have >been grounds for libel.

>But this is the kind of drivel that Rimmer and McGonagle buy >into.

What qualifies you to determine whether or not 'altered states' is drivel or that the psychological angle is 'bogus'? I suspect that is just your opinion rather than a fact, or are we supposed to think that your opinion and fact are in all things identical?

Not having the time to watch UFO entertainment (other than a few Mail Lists and web sites), I haven't watched the programme under discussion (I don't see how it relates to my own views, I don't recall having taken part in any such programme so I would also query why it is posted the original thread?).

How much do you know about the case you are discussing? Have you interacted with the witness? Read the transcript of his HR session? Do you know how much interaction Clarke and Roberts have had with the witness and others involved in the case? How much have you had? What qualifies you to level such criticism, or is that another example of your faultless opinion based on, er, a movie clip?

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 20

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:34:05 +0000 Archived: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 17:37:15 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:31:13 -0500
>To: <u>ufo-updates-list</u>.nul
>From: <u>post</u>.nul
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:13:33 +0100
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 19:24:06 +0000
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 11:45:57 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 17:03:48 EST
>>>Subject: VernonClark & Sims [was: Budd Hopkins]

<snip>

>>>>Gildas, List, All -

>>>Just curious... How was Dr. VernonClark's career ruined after >>>his public announcement of the isotopic analysis... which he >>>told me, within days of his appearance in Roswell had been >>>overblown and taken out of context... I see that Sims is now >>>saying that the material he analyzed was of terrestrial origin.

>>>Kevin,

>>>I talked at length about that, in 2003, with Dr Roger Leir, who >>>was involved in that study, and knew Dr VernonClark.

>>>Leir told me that he was forced to quit his post at the UCLA at >>>San Diego, and had a hard time finding another job.

>>>If I remember well, his marriage was broken too, after that. >>>Skeptics will as usual put in doubt this information, but I >>>don't care.

>>>Now, that does not prove anything about the real nature of that >>>fragment, of course

>>I must correct a couple of things before they become part of the
>>myth:

>>UCLA means the University of California - Los Angeles. The San >>Diego campus of UC is referred to as UCSD. Thanks for your >>patience.

>>I worked within the UC system during the time of the Sims
>>debate, and was able to contact VernonClark via the UC e-mail

>>system.

>>Because I worked across the hall from the electron microscope >>and knew the UCLA lab head tech, I could ask Clark questions >>about his testing methods.

>>If I am remembering the facts correctly, it turned out that >>Clark was not a doctor at all, but the newest hired tech in the >>San Diego lab. I believe his institution (UCSD) thought he had >>misused his position and their equipment.

>Kathy,

>Thank you for correcting me on UCLA and UCSD.

>Similarly, please use his complete name: VernonClark, not Clark.

>Your remarks don't tell anything about his findings, the really >interesting, and 'hot' question.

Well, Gildas, I have no idea who you are quoting when you want to correct the guy's name. I was in direct contact with him and knew him as Russell Vernon Clark. I think you got your information from Dr. Roger Leir who didn't get the guy's name straight.

As far as the test results? There were none. Russell couldn't conclude anything for certain.

I had, at my elbow our electronmicroscopic tech prompting questions. My friend and hall mate just laughed upon hearing Russell's responses.

Gosh, I hope this ends the myth of conclusive results from any testing done by Russell. Time to separate the myth from the facts. Especially, the part about Russell being a doctor.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Stanton T. Friedman <<u>fsphys</u>.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 18:00:16 -0400
Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 07:19:09 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:46:11 -0400
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:26:02 -0000
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>>Do please watch this clip and think how far UK skeptics have >>come to arrive at such answers on UFOs when you arrive at 4.22 >>in the clip onwards:

>><u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bLRS1e0wxF4</u>

>>Oh and this great piece from 29.18 onwards I think Jenny tells >>the camera that 95% of the UFO cases she has investigated are >>all explainable, but the other 5% is somehow not mentioned in >>the film? What a great opportunity she had to tell the camera >>what the other 5% may have been!

>><u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNR2M0eYsE0</u>

>>"We must educate the British about UFOs in anyway possible!"

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/5sbrfx2</u>

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/5sfudaj</u>

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/6ev2ynb</u>

>>Roy

>So the Bobby went into an altered state of consciousness?

>What did he walk around in a trance later?

>Andy Roberts sets the tone.

>When in doubt come up with the bogus psychological angle. Enter >the realm of the witchdoctors. Make the case a metal case.

>Attack/trash the witness. Mind you this doesn't stop the likes >of Andy Roberts and David Clarke from pumping out UFO books. But >the documentary people fall happily into this tripe every time >as they attempt to 'balance' out the statements by the witnesses >- who apparently are mental cases including the police - with a >'sober' explanation which is usually unresearched (enter >Roberts) and in this case ridiculous and probably should have >been grounds for libel.

>But this is the kind of drivel that Rimmer and McGonagle buy >into. Safe ground. McGonagle apparently has done a 180 having >been a 'true believer' (whatever that is) himself (according to >one of the aviary over there who related this info to me after >the Condign Report was released) before McGonagle fell in with >'bad':) company.

>But back to the Bobby in the 'altered state'...

>One must presume then that every time this Bobby or any other >cop comes into contact with something disturbing during the >course of their duties they go into an altered state.

>When does this occur? Where is the cut-off point? Is it after >the ticketing of a speeder but before the lifting the body of a >6 year old's body from the ocean shore after immersion of 4 >days; or the brutal slaying or some prostitute who has been >hacked to pieces. That's just a couple of samples out of >hundreds of terrible scenes that the police live with day in and >day out but then 'expurt' Roberts comes along and tells the >police they went into an altered state. What rubbish and what >cheek. What piety. You have to have a pretty big ego to pump >that bullshit out; and belive it.

>Frankly, the 95% explainable number is a cop-out but it's not >only Jenny Randle that has bought into that myth.

Right on Don. It is truly amazing that the proponents of the false doctrine that "Since most sightings can be explained, all can" aren't aware of the fact that gold ore is worth mining if it has 10 grams of gold per million grams of ore. They choose the dross. Most of us with any sense would choose the gold.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 01:03:15 -0800 (PST) Archived: Mon, 21 Mar 2011 07:33:09 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>The question here is not just that Emma Woods recorded
>during two years her private conversations with Jacobs =E2=80=93
>a fact alone which raises questions, like possible
>premeditation for future action - but that she published
>a montage of the most damaging parts of them. Would
>you accept to be treated that way, Mr Lehmberg?

I did not record any of my conversations with Dr. Jacobs while I was his research subject.

 $\mbox{Dr.}$ Jacobs recorded all my hypnosis sessions himself, and He sent me the recordings.

I recorded a series of conversations that I had with Dr. Jacobs after I had ended my association with him. I told him that I would be recording the conversations, and you can hear us discussing this in Audio Clip 8 on my website:

http://tinyurl.com/4sqx8wm

>A question: why did she ask for his help and send him >hundreds of pages about her case, in the first place? That >behavior looks rather peculiar to me.

I assume that you have based this statement on the outright lie that Dr. Jacobs told in his defamatory statement about me.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Dr}}$. Jacobs has told two different, and mutually exclusive versions of this lie.

In this first version he said:

"Alice [Dr. Jacobs' derogatory name for me which is intended to make people associate me with Alice in Wonderland] first contacted me and my colleague Budd Hopkins in November 2004 by sending us, unsolicited, large boxes of identical material on her case."

What Dr. Jacobs said in this first version of his story was completely untrue.

In fact, I first contacted Budd Hopkins by letter in March 2001. I spoke to Budd Hopkins on the telephone the following year, and he told me that he liked my letter and asked if he could publish it in his newsletter without my name. I said that he could do that, although I do not believe that he did

Budd Hopkins gave me his email address and the mailing address of his vacation home. In August 2002, I received two questionnaires from him in the mail that I filled out and returned to him. He told me that based on the results of the questionnaires, that it was likely that I was being "abducted" by "aliens". He said that the only way that I could investigate this was by having hypnosis. In July 2002, my former therapist contacted Dr. Jacobs by email. Dr. Jacobs had a page on his website in which he said that he provided information to therapists, and my therapist asked for information and advice in dealing with my case. Dr. Jacobs sent him some information by email, and I made contact with Dr. Jacobs myself shortly afterwards. He gave me his home telephone number, and we communicated by telephone and email over the next couple of years.

My therapist had previously suggested to me that I keep a record of my experiences, which I had been doing. I asked both Dr. Jacobs and Budd Hopkins if I could send them sections of it for their feedback, and they both said that they would like me to. Dr. Jacobs told me that he was interested in it, and he gave me advice on events to record and ways to do so.

I did not send Dr. Jacobs or Budd Hopkins any material in November 2004 as Dr. Jacobs claims. Instead, I sent both of them small sections of my record in April and December 2003. Dr. Jacobs told me that he was very interested in it, and he asked me to keep sending it to him, saying, "I will take as much of it as I can get."

In December 2004, I became Dr. Jacobs' research subject.

A few months _after_ Dr. Jacobs had already taken me on as a research subject, in March 2005, I sent him and Budd Hopkins a large section of my research. It was not "unsolicited", as Dr. Jacobs claimed. In fact, he told me that he wanted me to send it to him, and that he was looking forward to receiving it. In 2006, he published some of it on his own website.

After I had publicly responded to Dr. Jacobs' outright lie about how I contacted him and Budd Hopkins, he unilaterally changed his story.

In the second version of his story he said:

"Alice's therapist first wrote to me about his client in 2002. He was convinced that quite unusual things had happened to her and he was writing on her behalf because she wished to remain anonymous. She had wanted to get in touch with me or with Budd Hopkins to try to help her explain some of these events. A few months later she contacted both Budd Hopkins and me directly. After series of phone conversations with her in late 2004, she sent us large boxes of identical material on her case."

Dr. Jacobs failed to give any explanation for his previous demonstrably untrue statements about how I made contact with him and Budd Hopkins. In addition, he provided a new false account of the events.

In the second version of his story, Dr. Jacobs attempted to give the false impression that after I made contact with him and Mr. Hopkins in 2002, that there was no further contact between us until "a series of phone conversations" in "late 2004", after which I sent them both "large boxes of identical material".

Although Dr. Jacobs had removed his original lie that I sent them the material in November 2004, a month before I became his research subject, he nevertheless still attempted to convey the false impression that I sent it to him BEFORE I became his research subject, in late 2004, rather than in March 2005, three months AFTER I had become his research subject.

In addition, despite the fact that Dr. Jacobs then retracted his original false statement that the material that I sent him was "unsolicited", he continued to try to give this misleading impression by failing to disclose that he told me that he wanted me to send the material to him, and that he was looking forward to receiving it.

Dr. Jacobs' outright lie about how I contacted him was, in my opinion, clearly intended to convey the impression that I behaved inappropriately, as part of his overall defamation of me, in an attempt to stop people from listening to what I have made public about his abuse of me as his research subject.

>Another question: do you know who sent Dr Jacobs a >message to make him believe that he was threatened by

>a "hybrid"?

A research subject of Dr. Jacobs who is known in his work as "Elizabeth Smith" sent him threatening instant messaging communications which she told him were written by "hybrids".

This is an audio clip from the discussion at the beginning of my twenty-ninth hypnosis session, before Dr. Jacobs put me in the hypnotic trance, in which he told me that Elizabeth's "hybrid" had been threatening to him on instant messenger:

http://tinyurl.com/494d8zx

This is an audio clip of Dr. Jacobs reading me the transcript of a "warning" that he told me he received from Elizabeth's "hybrid" on instant messenger, saying that it was dangerous for him to work with me as there were "hybrids" around me who were very concerned about "security":

http://tinyurl.com/4plntjv

This is an audio clip of Dr. Jacobs threatening to reveal my identity if I made public what he told me about the content of the warning that he told me received from the "hybrid" on instant messenger:

http://tinyurl.com/489alb9

If you would like further information about this, see Jeremy Vaeni's article in UFO Magazine, where he provides a full account of the events:

http://tinyurl.com/4e7zj7j

>Simply stated, that case is not at all clear to me.

If you take the time to go through the information that Alfred Lehmberg provided in a recent post, you should gain all the information that you need to have a clear idea of what occurred.

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com./2011/feb/m16-003.shtml

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Dave Morton <<u>Marspyrs.nul></u> Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 08:41:14 EST Archived: Mon, 21 Mar 2011 07:36:35 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 09:59:49 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Kevin Randle <<u>KRandle993</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 14:18:08 EST
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>List, All

>>I was disturbed by the tone of Budd Hopkins' response to his
>>former wife. It struck me as more of a personal attack rather
>>than a reasoned, scientific discussion. I have posted, to my
>>blog, www.KevinRandle.blogspot.com, a long rebuttal of Budd's
>>response.

>>I will also note I was surprised by Budd's characterization of >>Walter Webb. I have only communicated with him through email, >>but found him to be a delightful individual. We share a common >>interest in the China-Burma-India Theater of WWII.

>>These are just a couple of things that I thought should be >>mentioned.

>>Kevin

>I wanted to say thank you Kevin. I read your blog article, and I >agree with you:

>"What all this tells me is that after a decade and a half, >others are beginning to raise the issues that Estes, Cone and I >did in The Abduction Enigma. I think it=E2=80=99s about time, and I >sincerely hope that the discussion can stay on a level of >reviewing the evidence, or lack thereof, rather than descending >into the cult of personality as it too often does. We have a >chance here. Let's not let it get away. Again."

>You may remember that my first question to this List a few years >ago was 'where is all the evidence of alien abduction?'

>You may also remember the negative responses I received then.

>It's my hope too that the present discussion can remain a review >of real results, rather than a return to personality attacks, >adding to that more than a mere thought about rescuing the >"abductees".

>Kevin, again thanks!

I just want to say one thing in defense of Budd Hopkins:

All I know is that Budd is a kind and caring man. I once asked him to call a friend of mine who was traumatized by alien nightmares, lights shining into her bedroom, etc, and he called her. I was most grateful, and so was she. She had company at the time and couldn't talk, but she was thrilled and felt validated.

A cynic could always say, "Oh, Budd was looking for material for a book to make more money." It could be true. But if you find a doctor you like who really seems to care about you and his other patients, do you discount his attitude as being merely financially motivated? Is he really like some of the others with a cold bedside manner? Certainly not. A "caring" doctor is better than an uncaring one even if he charges you money to see him (gasp).

Budd has to make a living like the rest of us, but my sense is that he's a kind and caring man with a good heart.

I wish him well in his hour of need.

Dave Morton Minneapolis, MN (Not Sean David Morton)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 18:46:34 -0400
Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 07:59:46 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:46:11 -0400
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>So the Bobby went into an altered state of consciousness?

>>What did he walk around in a trance later?

>>Andy Roberts sets the tone.

>>When in doubt come up with the bogus psychological angle. Enter >>the realm of the witchdoctors. Make the case a metal case.

>>Attack/trash the witness. Mind you this doesn't stop the likes >>of Andy Roberts and David Clarke from pumping out UFO books. But >>the documentary people fall happily into this tripe every time >>as they attempt to 'balance' out the statements by the witnesses >>- who apparently are mental cases including the police - with a >>'sober' explanation which is usually unresearched (enter >>Roberts) and in this case ridiculous and probably should have >>been grounds for libel.

>>But this is the kind of drivel that Rimmer and McGonagle buy >> into.

>What qualifies you to determine whether or not 'altered states' >is drivel or that the psychological angle is 'bogus'? I suspect >that is just your opinion rather than a fact, or are we supposed >to think that your opinion and fact are in all things identical?

>Not having the time to watch UFO entertainment (other than a few >Mail Lists and web sites), I haven't watched the programme under >discussion (I don't see how it relates to my own views, I don't >recall having taken part in any such programme so I would also >query why it is posted the original thread?).

>How much do you know about the case you are discussing? Have you >interacted with the witness? Read the transcript of his HR >session? Do you know how much interaction Clarke and Roberts have >had with the witness and others involved in the case? How much >have you had? What qualifies you to level such criticism, or is >that another example of your faultless opinion based on, er, a >movie clip?

Begin with the title and work your way forward from that. Altered States. The Bobby entered an Altered State. Jesus, what twaddle. That's the best he could come up with?

Maybe you should watch the clip?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 23:17:13 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:00:32 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:34:05 +0000
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

Can anyone tell me why VernonClark doesn't have a space between 'Vernon' and 'Clark'?

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at <u>AliensOnEarth.com</u>

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m21-006.shtml[10/12/2011 12:42:48]

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 02:23:26 +0000
Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:01:48 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Joe McGonagle <<u>joe.mcgonagle</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:27:32 +0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 13:46:11 -0400
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>So the Bobby went into an altered state of consciousness?

>>What did he walk around in a trance later?

>>Andy Roberts sets the tone.

>>When in doubt come up with the bogus psychological angle. Enter >>the realm of the witchdoctors. Make the case a metal case.

>>Attack/trash the witness. Mind you this doesn't stop the likes >>of Andy Roberts and David Clarke from pumping out UFO books. But >>the documentary people fall happily into this tripe every time >>as they attempt to 'balance' out the statements by the witnesses >>- who apparently are mental cases including the police - with a >>'sober' explanation which is usually unresearched (enter >>Roberts) and in this case ridiculous and probably should have >>been grounds for libel.

>>But this is the kind of drivel that Rimmer and McGonagle buy >>into.

>What qualifies you to determine whether or not 'altered states' >is drivel or that the psychological angle is 'bogus'? I suspect >that is just your opinion rather than a fact, or are we supposed >to think that your opinion and fact are in all things identical?

Everybody has opinions, if they didn't then lists like this wouldn't see many posts. I suspect that Don is every bit as formally unqualified to pronounce on altered psychological states as is Andy Roberts. Does that matter? Of course not, Joe. This is a discussion, not a court of law.

What is sloppy and unjustified is to attribute an anomalous event to an altered psychological state without considering whether the event itself might have been the cause of the altered psychological state.

Because the witness said 'at first I thought it was a bus' Roberts seizes on this as the 'real' event and posits an altered psychological state as the explanation for the misidentification. But this sequence of events (apparently the witness's one and only total loss of all grip on reality) has no more prima facie probability than his having seen something shockingly anomalous, initially struggled to classify it in terms of everyday reality and then being forced to try to come to terms with its anomalous nature. If he did experience an altered psychological state then we have no evidence as to its cause, and no reason to rule out its having been caused by an objective, real, anomalous event.

When discussing the probity and significance of witness accounts it is all too easy to discount the impact of shock on the human mind. An event that suddenly, unexpectedly and radically undermines the witness's ordered world-view and sense of reality can have an enormous and instant psychological impact. It should not be surprising, therefore, if some witness accounts, in certain circumstances, are inconsistent or garbled. This doesn't justify an assumption that the witness's confusion is somehow the cause of the reported anomaly.

In addition to writing about UFOs Andy Roberts has also published a book about LSD. Perhaps his detailed researches into the latter have coloured his views on the applicability of altered psychological states to the former. 'Transference' is, I believe, the technical term for the process...

- -

Gerald O'Connell http://www.onlyport.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 10:47:51 +0100
Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:15:17 -0500
Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:34:05 +0000
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 08:31:13 -0500
>>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>>From: post.nul
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:13:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 19:24:06 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

<snip>

>>>I worked within the UC system during the time of the Sims >>>debate, and was able to contact VernonClark via the UC e-mail >>>system.

>>>Because I worked across the hall from the electron microscope >>>and knew the UCLA lab head tech, I could ask Clark questions >>>about his testing methods.

>>>If I am remembering the facts correctly, it turned out that >>>Clark was not a doctor at all, but the newest hired tech in the >>>San Diego lab. I believe his institution (UCSD) thought he had >>>misused his position and their equipment.

>>Thank you for correcting me on UCLA and UCSD.

>>Similarly, please use his complete name: VernonClark, not
>>Clark.

>>Your remarks don't tell anything about his findings, the really >>interesting, and 'hot' question.

>Well, Gildas, I have no idea who you are quoting when you >want to correct the guy's name. I was in direct contact with >him and knew him as Russell Vernon Clark. I think you got >your information from Dr. Roger Leir who didn't get the guy's >name straight.

Kathy,

You "think", but you are wrong. Again. It is Dr Leir who told, in his 1998 book The Aliens and the Scalpel, the stupid mistake in the press about his name - see pages 147 and 148. And it's you who just named him Clark in your message. But I see you also used his full name!

>As far as the test results? There were none. Russell couldn't >conclude anything for certain.

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m21-008.shtml[10/12/2011 12:42:49]

>I had, at my elbow our electronmicroscopic tech prompting >questions. My friend and hall mate just laughed upon hearing >Russell's responses.

There is a long tradition of scientists laughing at UFOs and ufologists.

Recently again, in a well known, trendy French magazine, Le Nouvel Observateur, our very mediatic astronomer Andre Brahic said that no scientist, today, pays any attention to UFOs.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 16:11:17 +0100
Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:18:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:22:08 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>>Budd Hopkins stands foursquare behind David Jacobs' _entirely_
>>>suspect, _baldly_ suspicious and _serially_ salacious ...where
>>>>the forthcoming are not mean, misogynistic, and utterly
>>>>mendacious, mind you... methods, practices, and activities.
>>>>Foursquare!

>>>>Mr Lehmberg:

>>>>It matters a lot to me. It is plainly wrong to say that Budd >>>>Hopkins entirely agrees with David Jacobs. I know that.

>>Sir! All respect! That is _immaterial_! Budd Hopkins stands
>>>foursquare behind the conduct and activity of David Jacobs as
>>>regards the Emma Woods affair! Ulterior, exterior, or peripheral
>>>disagreements between the two are not, and have never been, the
>>>issue!

Mr Lehmberg,

I was saying, as everybody could read, that Budd Hophins does not follow his friend David Jacobs's scenario of dramatic takeover of mankind by aliens. That is not "immaterial"! It is plainly true, contrary to what Carol Rainey has written on this List. People may be good friends and have differing viewpoints, even on important questions. But I see that you don't want to discuss that, and prefer to focus on the Wood case. Let's do that, and go directly to what looks more and more to me as a crucial question in that case.

To make it short, David Jacobs was threatened in a message allegedly sent to him by a "hybrid". He believed it, and he was scared. He told that to Emma on the phone, she recorded it, and now it is one of the most dramatic pieces in her montage (yes, montage, like in a film, after the shooting), where he expresses real, deep anxiety (with indecent sneers of the two commentators on the sound track). Then he made a big mistake, as he has admitted himself, of inventing a false story to try to defuse that menace. All recorded by Emma, of course.

I asked the question of who sent that damning message to him, and you suggest that he did it himself. In other words, he would have shot himself in the back! This is just absurd. So, the question remains: who sent that incredible message to him? This not a peripheral question.

Mr. Lehmberg, you are leading me to suspect more and more that

Re: Budd Hopkins

David Jacobs was, indeed, the victim of a well designed, tricky and wicked plot, to discredit him. I am not completely convinced yet, I would like to know more, but if you send another couple of messages with such poor arguments, perhaps I will be.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 11:04:52 +0100
Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:21:01 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:57:39 -0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:17:07 +0100
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 10:23:09 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>>>I don't have the time to run through a series of reports and >>>offering an opinion on them, especially when I will have little >>>knowledge and even less interest in many of them.

>>If his answer is not contemptous, at least it shows a complete >>lack of respect to decades of good research on UFOs.

>>For instance, for the very dedicated team which inquired during >>many years about the Minot case. And the witnesses.

>Hi Gildas

>I believe I've expressed the opinion before on this List that >Minot AFB 1968 is a fascinating and presently unexplained case.

>I have had some small involvement with aspects of the (ongoing)
>research project you refer to in recent years. But I would
>describe the witness evidence as sometimes ambiguous, the
>documentation as incomplete and flawed, crucial aspects of its
>reconstruction as uncertain, and the anwer to the very complex
>question "What happened?" as an ultimately inconclusive one.

>For clarity can you point to one aspect of this group of >incidents and explain why it is exceptionally strong evidence >for something that could not be explained by unrecognised >natural phenomena of some type? So that we can have a focused >discussion about it?

There is plenty of information available on that extraordinary case.

For instance in these books:

- Scientific Ufology by Kevin Randle (1999), pages 60 to 70;

- UFOs and Nukes by Robert Hastings (2010) chapter 15.

Hastings refers to the report of the main researchers, Thomas Tullien and James Klotz, "The Minot UFO Incident Report" I am not sure if it is published already, but they have an articleon their web site: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

http://www.minotb52ufo.com

See also:

http://www.project1947.com.shg.minot

One of the main witnesses, the copilot of the B-52, Bradford Runyon, was interviewed in the 2005 ABC program UFOs. Seing is Believing.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:28:07 -0600 Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 09:55:30 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 23:17:13 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:34:05 +0000
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>Can anyone tell me why VernonClark doesn't have a space between
>'Vernon' and 'Clark'?

I've wondered the same.

Jerry "just Clark"

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

First Use Of Dr. & VernonClark?

From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul> Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 10:33:37 -0500 Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 10:33:37 -0500 Subject: First Use Of Dr. & VernonClark?

Alhtough not posted, the following excerpts are in the UFO UpDates HQ archive...

From: <u>CNINews1</u>.nul
To: <u>updates</u>.nul
Date: Thu, 10 Jul 1997 17:22:11 -0400 (EDT)
Subject: CNI News -- Vol. 3, No. 9, Part 1 -- July 10, 1997

=+++==+++===+++===+++===+++===+++===+++== CNI News -- Vol. 3, No. 9, Part 1 -- July 10, 1997 Global News on Contact with Non-human Intelligence =+++==+++==+++==+++==+++==+++==+++==

CNI News is a twice-monthly electronic newsletter addressing UFO phenomena, claims of human-alien contact, space exploration and related issues, including the cultural and political impacts of contact with other intelligent life. CNI News is edited by Michael Lindemann and distributed by the 2020 Group.

CNI News is a subscription newsletter. First-time recipients may receive two free issues before subscribing. UFO/CNI researchers, educators and organizations may qualify for a complimentary subscription. For more information on how to subscribe, please see the notice at the end of this issue. Questions and comments may be addressed to: Editor, <u>CNINews1</u>.nul

The subject matter of CNI News is inherently controversial, and the views and opinions reported herein are not necessarily those of the editorial staff.

Welcome to CNI News. This "Roswell Special" issue is in three parts. If you don't receive all parts, email <u>CNINews1</u>.nul and request the missing part. Stories in this edition are:

PART ONE

- ROSWELL UFO ENCOUNTER DRAWS CROWDS, MAKES NEWS
 "ET Artifact" Is Announced; Roswell Business Booms
- O CHEMIST SAYS STRANGE ARTIFACT IS EXTRATERRESTRIAL

"Case Closed" Says Paul Davids; But Roswell Link Unclear

<snip>

Chemist Says Strange Artifact Is Extraterrestrial "Case Closed" Says Paul Davids; But Roswell Link Unclear

By Michael Lindemann

For the most part, there were few real surprises at Roswell UFO Encounter 97. But film producer Paul Davids, famous for his lead role in creating the TV film "Roswell," turned his 90-minute lecture on Friday morning into a press conference where Dr. Russell VernonClark, a chemist from the University of California at San Diego, presented evidence that an unusual shard of First Use Of Dr. & VernonClark?

material acquired from a so-far unnamed source may be, as the source allegedly claims, a manufactured extraterrestrial artifact. <snip> ----ebk Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At: http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 21

Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul> Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:50:24 -0600 Archived: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 10:36:15 -0500 Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 16:11:17 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:22:08 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>I am not completely convinced >yet, I would like to know more, but if you send another couple >of messages with such poor arguments, perhaps I will be.

ROFL! I fear, Sir, that your mind _is_ made up, that you don't really want to "know more," and that any attempt on my part to disabuse you of the erroneous is a mutual waste of valuable time, eh?

Though, do say hello to Dr. Teleborian, won't you, and listen, perhaps, with me tonight when Ms. Woods tells her extremely cogent, very detailed, and astoundingly well documented story to a national audience on the Jeff Rense Program ... then worldwide and "forever" on the internet.

Adieu.

alienview.nul

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb 22</u>

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 19:30:24 +0000 Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 04:47:10 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims >Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:00:32 -0500
>To: ufo-updates-list.nul >From: post.nul >Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: VernonClark & Sims >From: John Rimmer < johnrimmer.nul> >To: post.nul >Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 23:17:13 +0000 (GMT) >Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims >>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> >>To: <<u>post</u>.nul> >>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 20:34:05 +0000 >>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims >Can anyone tell me why VernonClark doesn't have a space between >'Vernon' and 'Clark'? **__** >John Rimmer John: Because there are people on this List insisting that it be so. KK Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At: http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/ These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only. [<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: K Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 13:56:17 -0600
Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 04:50:31 -0500
Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

What's New

http://www.alienjigsaw.com/Whats_New/Whats_new.html

Updated Feb. 21, 2011

Colleagues and Abductees Give Thanks and Pay Tribute to Budd Hopkins

Words of Support

Thanks and Tributes have been pouring in since my request to add people's comments to the article A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The Pioneer of Abduction Research. Please take the time to read each of these enlightening, from-the-heart statements by Ufologists, Historians, Abductees, Journalists, Radio Hosts and Researchers who study the subject of UFOs and alien Abductions.

To Read Budd's Comments, click here:

http://tinyurl.com/4ufq87a

Please scroll down the page for the latest additions, and to include your own comment of thanks and support for Budd Hopkins... see article above on Web site...

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 16:18:29 -0000
Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 04:52:58 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 11:04:52 +0100
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:57:39 -0000
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>>Hi Gildas

>>I believe I've expressed the opinion before on this List that >>Minot AFB 1968 is a fascinating and presently unexplained case.

>>I have had some small involvement with aspects of the (ongoing)
>>research project you refer to in recent years. But I would
>>describe the witness evidence as sometimes ambiguous, the
>>documentation as incomplete and flawed, crucial aspects of its
>>reconstruction as uncertain, and the anwer to the very complex
>>question "What happened?" as an ultimately inconclusive one.

>>For clarity can you point to one aspect of this group of
>>incidents and explain why it is exceptionally strong evidence
>>for something that could not be explained by unrecognised
>>natural phenomena of some type? So that we can have a focused
>>discussion about it?

>There is plenty of information available on that extraordinary >case.

>For instance in these books:

>- Scientific Ufology by Kevin Randle (1999), pages 60 to 70;

>- UFOs and Nukes by Robert Hastings (2010) chapter 15.

>Hastings refers to the report of the main researchers, Thomas >Tullien and James Klotz, "The Minot UFO Incident Report"

This is very disappointing. I didn't ask you for book titles. I explained that I've had some personal involvement with aspects of this project and a lot of contact with Tom, as well as with Jim when he was still on board, over a number of years. You should assume from this that I know a bit about it. So when I asked you to nominate a specific feature or aspect of the case, that for you makes it such a stand-out argument for ET, so that we could have a focused discussion, I didn't mean "can you recommend some introductory reading". Why would you think I meant that?

I'm sure you won't excuse yourself on the grounds that you haven't the time or the interest or the knowledge to address specifics in detail, since a response along those lines from Joe drew a stinging criticism from you.

>I am

>not sure if it is published already, but they have an article >on their web site:

>http://www.minotb52ufo.com

You will not be able to access anything at this old address without a user name and password. Anyway I understand from Tom that the final product (nearing completeion) will be hosted at

www.sohp.us

>See also:

>http://www.project1947.com.shg.minot

That is a dud link. I think you mean

http://project1947.com/shg/minot/

But this is just another place-holder, and very out of date.

>One of the main witnesses, the copilot of the B-52, Bradford >Runyon, was interviewed in the 2005 ABC program UFOs. Seing is >Believing.

Tom interviewed Runyon in Feb 2005. I have the full transcript. I have been able to read all of the interview materials from all of the witnesses, and all of the voluminous original BB file as well as a lot of background documentation and technical data, in the light of which I have analysed (as far as possible) high quality copies of the complete series of radarscope photos. As I said, I think it is fascinating and far from easy to explain. ET or an ET-analogue is a possibility. But I would not say, personally, that it is unequivocal proof of ET. So I repeat that I am interested in your very specific reasons for believing that it is, then we can discuss it. Thanks.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Rense

From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes.nul></u> Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 15:07:05 -0500 Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 04:56:58 -0500 Subject: Rense

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:50:24 -0600
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 16:11:17 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:22:08 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>><snip>

>>I am not completely convinced
>>yet, I would like to know more, but if you send another couple
>>of messages with such poor arguments, perhaps I will be.

>ROFL! I fear, Sir, that your mind _is_ made up, that you don't
>really want to "know more," and that any attempt on my part to
>disabuse you of the erroneous is a mutual waste of valuable
>time, eh?

>Though, do say hello to Dr. Teleborian, won't you, and listen, >perhaps, with me tonight when Ms. Woods tells her extremely >cogent, very detailed, and astoundingly well documented story to >a national audience on the Jeff Rense Program ... then world->wide and "forever" on the internet.

>Adieu.

As much as I would like to listen to Ms. Woods' side of the story, I refuse to patronize a man who runs a website that publishes and promotes neo-Nazi material and suggests Jews are cancerous vermin that should be ripped from the body of humanity. I wish more Ufologists would avoid the man and his program - it's really a blight on Ufology that Rense is considered a worthy stop on the book promotion/speaking tour.

If anyone thinks I'm exaggerating or misinterpreting, see this expose which quotes directly from blatant anti-Semitic material on rense.com:

http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag.html

http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-2.html

http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-3.html

http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-4.html

http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-5.html

Here's an excerpt from an article Rense found worthy of publishing, "The Strange, Mysterious Allure of Adolph Hitler." It's not an atypical article, either, if you take the time to look:

--

"At the Holocaust Museum in Washington, where concentration camps facilities are on display alongside a film-hall which shows the famous NSDAP Party Convention in Nuremberg (Leni Riefenstahl, Triumph of The Will). This hall is continuously packed with spellbound visitors whilst the sections, showing "Jewish sufferings", are almost always empty.

Other Jews are so impressed with his single mindedness, his abilities and strength, that they have even suggested, that he was in fact Jewish by birth, (subtly implying that no matter how evil he has been portrayed, by their own media propaganda agencies), only a person of Jewish blood could ever be such a genius.

Hitler's hostile attitude to Jews was neither irrational nor aberrant. He simply saw the Jews as Jesus Christ did before him. Jesus said to the Jews: "You belong to your father, the devil and you want to carry out your father's desire. He was a murderer from the beginning, not holding to the truth, for there is no truth in him." (John 8:44)

His philosophy is like a siren song, calling out to his admirers and to the millions of confused people who sense that his views of "natural laws" are correct, and are the only laws that can be understood and trusted in our multi-cultural, in harmonious and devious New World Order. The allure of Hitlerism is contagious for young and old alike."

_ _

Anyone agreeing to appear on his program should take the time to look at his not-so-veiled beliefs, which are reflected in the wealth of anti-Semitic material and authors he chooses to publish, including notorious Holocaust deniers like Ernst Zundel, who get entire sections devoted to them. Those beliefs are clearly reprehensible to any human with the slightest knowledge of history.

It's time the UFO community denounced Rense for what he is - a proponent of racism and hate - and started refusing to associate with him or to have their material posted alongside such warmed over Nazism as "How Jewish Supremacism is Destroying America". Ufology has enough problems as it is without being lumped with anti-Semitic screeds and calls for a new racial purification.

Michael M. Hughes

http://michaelmhughes.com http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Jacobs & Alien Hybrids IMs [was: Budd Hopkins]

From: Steve Sawyer <stevesaw.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 12:11:40 -0800
Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 05:05:33 -0500
Subject: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids IMs [was: Budd Hopkins]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 16:11:17 +0100
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:22:08 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>But I see that you don't want to >discuss that, and prefer to focus on the Wood case. Let's do >that, and go directly to what looks more and more to me as a >crucial question in that case.

>To make it short, David Jacobs was threatened in a message >allegedly sent to him by a 'hybrid'. He believed it, and he was >scared. He told that to Emma on the phone, she recorded it, and >now it is one of the most dramatic pieces in her montage (yes, >montage, like in a film, after the shooting), where he expresses >real, deep anxiety (with indecent sneers of the two commentators >on the sound track). Then he made a big mistake, as he has >admitted himself, of inventing a false story to try to defuse >that menace. All recorded by Emma, of course.

>I asked the question of who sent that damning message to him, >and you suggest that he did it himself. In other words, he would >have shot himself in the back! This is just absurd. So, the >question remains: who sent that incredible message to him? This >not a peripheral question.

>Mr. Lehmberg, you are leading me to suspect more and more that >David Jacobs was, indeed, the victim of a well designed, tricky >and wicked plot, to discredit him. I am not completely convinced >yet, I would like to know more, but if you send another couple >of messages with such poor arguments, perhaps I will be.

Hi, List, Gildas, and Alfred,

The question of who sent Dr. Jacobs the series of instant messages (IM's) allegedly from "alien hybrids" is explored quite thoroughly by 'Emma Woods' on her website:

http://tinyurl.com/4ruc5rr

'Emma Woods' concluded that it was 'Elizabeth Smith' (also aka 'Becky' and 'Karen', according to Woods) that sent Jacobs the IM's, possibly in some strange effort to 'alienate' Jacobs from dealing further with Woods. 'Smith' was Jacobs assistant, audio tape transcriber, and webmaster.

'Elizabeth Smith' took down her blog when this controversy first

Jacobs & Alien Hybrids IMs [was: Budd Hopkins]

began to emerge, and has remained very quiet about her role in this burgeoning scandal. Smith's involvement, particularly if she did send Jacobs those controversial IM's, really needs to be explored and addressed.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: **Steven Kaeser** <<u>steve</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 06:11:30 -0500 Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 06:32:09 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 19:30:24 +0000
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 23:17:13 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>Can anyone tell me why VernonClark doesn't have a space between
>>'Vernon' and 'Clark'?

>Because there are people on this List insisting that it be so.

It appears that some of the press managed to run the two names together very early on and it was picked up as the normal spelling. I suppose if Russell would come forward in support of his findings, we could clear up the issue of what his real name is.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 13:35:21 -0000 Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 08:59:37 -0500 Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 16:18:29 -0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>As I said, I think it is fascinating and far from easy to explain. >ET or an ET-analogue is a possibility. But I would not say, >personally, that it is unequivocal proof of ET.

Martin,

What is proof of ET ?

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: Rense

From: **Carol Maltby** <<u>carolmaltby</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 08:50:24 -0500 Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 09:02:49 -0500 Subject: Re: Rense

>From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 15:07:05 -0500
>Subject: Rense

>As much as I would like to listen to Ms. Woods' side of the >story, I refuse to patronize a man who runs a website that >publishes and promotes neo-Nazi material and suggests Jews are >cancerous vermin that should be ripped from the body of >humanity. I wish more Ufologists would avoid the man and his >program - it's really a blight on Ufology that Rense is >considered a worthy stop on the book promotion/speaking tour.

>If anyone thinks I'm exaggerating or misinterpreting, see this >expose which quotes directly from blatant anti-Semitic material >on rense.com:

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-2.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-3.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-4.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-5.html

>Here's an excerpt from an article Rense found worthy of >publishing, "The Strange, Mysterious Allure of Adolph Hitler." >It's not an atypical article, either, if you take the time to >look:

I happened to revisit the "Mysterious Allure" article a couple of weeks ago. The original posting on the site is dated 4/5/04, and shows up on the Rense front page's selection of featured articles as of the April 14, 2004 page, as cached in the Internet Archives. Originally it was only found in one other place on the web, the swastika-festooned website of the National Socialist Movement, which calls itself "America's Nazi Party."

The front page Rense link to it remained there as of the last time the Wayback Machine surveyed the site, October 27, 2009. Jeff Rense appears to have blocked rense.com to the WaybackMachine since then. While it may have moved off the front page recently, the article's link is still featured under the front page permanent links section of his site, under WWII Data, "A Collection Of Fascinating Articles & Features About World War II."

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: Rense

From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 07:56:57 -0600 Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 09:06:40 -0500 Subject: Re: Rense

>From: Michael M. Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 15:07:05 -0500
>Subject: Rense

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 08:50:24 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 16:11:17 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:22:08 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>ROFL! I fear, Sir, that your mind _is_ made up, that you don't
>>really want to "know more," and that any attempt on my part to
>>disabuse you of the erroneous is a mutual waste of valuable
>>time, eh?

>>Though, do say hello to Dr. Teleborian, won't you, and listen, >>perhaps, with me tonight when Ms. Woods tells her extremely >>cogent, very detailed, and astoundingly well documented story to >>a national audience on the Jeff Rense Program ... then world->>wide and "forever" on the internet.

>As much as I would like to listen to Ms. Woods' side of the >story, I refuse to patronize a man who runs a website that >publishes and promotes neo-Nazi material and suggests Jews are >cancerous vermin that should be ripped from the body of >humanity. I wish more Ufologists would avoid the man and his >program - it's really a blight on Ufology that Rense is >considered a worthy stop on the book promotion/speaking tour.

>If anyone thinks I'm exaggerating or misinterpreting, see this >expose which quotes directly from blatant anti-Semitic material >on rense.com:

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-2.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-3.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-4.html

>http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2008/05/jeff-rense-is-nazi-scumbag-pt-5.html

>Here's an excerpt from an article Rense found worthy of >publishing, "The Strange, Mysterious Allure of Adolph Hitler." >It's not an atypical article, either, if you take the time to >look:

<snip>

An excellent and necessary post. Thank you.

It boggles the mind, frankly, that any self-respecting individual would urge others to listen to Rense's show for any purpose (however close to his or her heart), or that any invited guest would accept this forum as an acceptable one from which to bloviate to a nation of mouth-breathing mystery-mongers. (Full disclosure: Not knowing much about Rense, I appeared once on his show some years ago; so - naivete notwithstanding - yes, I screwed up, and I accept responsibility.)

It's been my experience, unfortunately, that too many ufologists and Forteans, not necessarily themselves bigots (or at least any more so than their fellow citizens; that's called faint defense), can be staggeringly oblivious to the most unsavory beliefs even when those beliefs are expressed within easy hearing or reading range. I recall, for instance, a small conference to which I was invited years ago in which, I learned too late, Ernst Zundel was a fellow speaker. Zundel, who if nothing else was no hypocrite, did not expend a great deal of energy into any effort to conceal his notorious anti-Semitism and Holocaust revisionism.

When I expressed outrage (my then-wife, who had accompanied me, was apoplectic), many to whom I spoke seemed puzzled by my response. Some, who probably did not think of themselves as Nazi sympathizers or even casual anti-Semites, even said they thought he had made some good points.

Now, watch Rense's defenders assemble. Watch them make my point.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: VernonClark & Sims

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 14:44:11 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 09:57:28 -0500 Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 06:11:30 -0500
>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 23:17:13 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: VernonClark & Sims

>>Can anyone tell me why VernonClark doesn't have a space between
>>'Vernon' and 'Clark'?

>It appears that some of the press managed to run the two names >together very early on and it was picked up as the normal >spelling. I suppose if Russell would come forward in support of >his findings, we could clear up the issue of what his real name >is.

Ah, right. I thought he might be emulating the accountancy firm PriceWaterhouseCooper.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: John Rimmer < johnrimmer.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 14:47:06 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 10:11:52 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: K Wilson <<u>kaywilson</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul> >Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 13:56:17 -0600 >Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>Colleagues and Abductees Give Thanks and Pay Tribute to Budd >Hopkins

>Words of Support

>Thanks and Tributes have been pouring in since my request to add >people's comments to the article A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The >Pioneer of Abduction Research. Please take the time to read each >of these enlightening, from-the-heart statements by Ufologists, >Historians, Abductees, Journalists, Radio Hosts and Researchers >who study the subject of UFOs and alien Abductions.

Pass the sick-bag, Alice.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

> [Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 09:19:16 -0600 Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:30:48 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 14:47:06 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: K Wilson <<u>kaywilson</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 13:56:17 -0600
>>Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>Colleagues and Abductees Give Thanks and Pay Tribute to Budd >>Hopkins

>>Words of Support

>>Thanks and Tributes have been pouring in since my request to add
>>people's comments to the article A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The
>>Pioneer of Abduction Research. Please take the time to read each
>>of these enlightening, from-the-heart statements by Ufologists,
>>Historians, Abductees, Journalists, Radio Hosts and Researchers
>>who study the subject of UFOs and alien Abductions.

>Pass the sick-bag, Alice.

And keep on enforcing intellectual conformity, John. Continue to enforce the Great Taboo (as Billy Cox wittily calls it), and keep on calling yourself a "psychosocial ufologist" _and_ a "skeptic" _without giggling_.

Meantime, the rest of us will stay entertained.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 22

Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: David Cherniack <davidc.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
Archived: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 12:12:06 -0500
Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

I normally do not post to this List as I don't care for contact sports and even if I could avoid them I really don't have much time to piss away responding to most of what passes for debate on all InterNet Lists, everywhere. Nevertheless in what appears to me as a rush by some to judge David Jacobs I've noticed there is a salient point that's being missed. So in the interests moderating what I feel is an injudicious piling on by many, especially those in the UFO/Paranormal 'journalism' field I've decided do a one-time breaking of my no-post policy.

I will not get into the ontology of the abduction experience or the overall ethics issues in the methods and practices of contemporary abduction research. Both are subjects for books, or in my case, films... long films. I'll confine myself to the specific ethics issue that seems to be at the crux of 'Emma Woods' allegations.

She alleges that without her prior knowledge David Jacobs gave her post-hypnotic suggestions that she suffered from MPD in an attempt to throw hostile alien hybrids off his track.

To support her claims, she has released highly abbreviated clip(s) from the hypnosis session. What seems to be forgotten amid the cries of foul that have ensued, is that, in the one statement he has released, he says that they talked about doing this in advance and she was a complicit party to the ruse.

If true, she really has only the slimmest ethical grounds for complaint, and it's dubious, to say the least: that he used his position of authority to convince her to do something that was against her best interests.

However that would be offset by her false allegation that she was an unwitting victim. And the all-out campaign she has waged would support his thoughts that she probably suffers from Borderline Personality Disorder.

On the other hand he has released nothing yet to support his counter claim. His lack of action may be for a number of reasons. from ethical to technical to legal but it shouldn't be assumed that it's because he's guilty of manipulating 'Emma Woods' without her knowledge.

So until 'Emma Woods' releases the full unedited recording of the session from hello to goodbye (third party names redacted), or David Jacobs does the same, reasonable people should hold off from fitting him for a rope neck tie.

And everyone here is reasonable, right?

All in One Films

Visit the new site:

http://www.allinonefilms.com/

Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 13:12:47 -0400
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 05:21:53 -0500
Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 14:47:06 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: K Wilson <<u>kaywilson</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 13:56:17 -0600
>>Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>Colleagues and Abductees Give Thanks and Pay Tribute to Budd >>Hopkins

>>Words of Support

>>Thanks and Tributes have been pouring in since my request to add
>>people's comments to the article A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The
>>Pioneer of Abduction Research. Please take the time to read each
>>of these enlightening, from-the-heart statements by Ufologists,
>>Historians, Abductees, Journalists, Radio Hosts and Researchers
>>who study the subject of UFOs and alien Abductions.

>Pass the sick-bag, Alice.

Yeah that's less productive and most self-serving don't you think, John?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 17:17:40 -0000
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 05:22:45 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 13:35:21 -0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 16:18:29 -0000
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>As I said, I think it is fascinating and far from easy to
>>explain.
>>ET or an ET-analogue is a possibility. But I would not say,
>>personally, that it is unequivocal proof of ET.

>What is proof of ET ?

In practice, Roy, it is evidence that one cannot conceive as having any other explanation. In terms of the history and sociology of science, "one" in that sentence stands for a certain momentum of professional consensus. If you are speaking in terms of ourselves as individuals, then you make up your own mind. As I thought I said plainly,

"I would not say, personally, that [Minot] is unequivocal proof of ET."

If, like Gildas, you think it is, then by all means explain to us why.

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 10:18:43 -0700
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 06:51:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

<snip>

>On the other hand he has released nothing yet to support his >counter claim. His lack of action may be for a number of >reasons. from ethical to technical to legal but it shouldn't be >assumed that it's because he's guilty of manipulating 'Emma >Woods' without her knowledge.

Actually, he has, although it's quite brief:

http://www.ufoabduction.com/defamationcampaign.htm

He says he's working on a far more extensive response, where he will actually comment on the recordings and other issues. Also, since the recordings were evidently made by "Emma" and not Jacobs, only she has access to the full unedited versions.

And, despite a few unfounded rumors, I am not necessarily taking sides in this issue. I'm only suggesting folks look at both sides before reaching conclusions.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul> Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 21:35:20 +0000 Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 06:58:35 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 14:47:06 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: K Wilson <<u>kaywilson</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 13:56:17 -0600
>>Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>Colleagues and Abductees Give Thanks and Pay Tribute to Budd >>Hopkins

>>Words of Support

>>Thanks and Tributes have been pouring in since my request to add
>>people's comments to the article A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The
>>Pioneer of Abduction Research. Please take the time to read each
>>of these enlightening, from-the-heart statements by Ufologists,
>>Historians, Abductees, Journalists, Radio Hosts and Researchers
>>who study the subject of UFOs and alien Abductions.

>Pass the sick-bag, Alice.

Oh, my. I'm with John.

Did Kate really think she was doing a service to Hopkins by soliciting comments from all sorts of people?

Me? I think she should have restricted the comments from people who been abducted and sought out Hopkins' help. Anyone else just knew him superficially. Those who worked with him knew him up close and personal. The opinion would have had the most weight.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 00:51:24 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:01:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 09:19:16 -0600
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>And keep on enforcing intellectual conformity, John. Continue >to enforce the Great Taboo (as Billy Cox wittily calls it),

So witty Jerry, I fell over laughing. What the heck are you talking about?

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 12:10:14 +0100
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:04:23 -0500
Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
>To: >post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 16:18:29 -0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 11:04:52 +0100
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:57:39 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

><snip>

>>>Hi Gildas

>>>I believe I've expressed the opinion before on this List that >>>Minot AFB 1968 is a fascinating and presently unexplained case.

>>>I have had some small involvement with aspects of the (ongoing)
>>>research project you refer to in recent years. But I would
>>>describe the witness evidence as sometimes ambiguous, the
>>>documentation as incomplete and flawed, crucial aspects of its
>>>reconstruction as uncertain, and the anwer to the very complex
>>>question "What happened?" as an ultimately inconclusive one.

>>>For clarity can you point to one aspect of this group of
>>>incidents and explain why it is exceptionally strong evidence
>>>for something that could not be explained by unrecognised
>>>natural phenomena of some type? So that we can have a focused
>>>discussion about it?

>>There is plenty of information available on that extraordinary >>case.

>>For instance in these books:

>>- Scientific Ufology by Kevin Randle (1999), pages 60 to 70;

>>- UFOs and Nukes by Robert Hastings (2010) chapter 15.

>>Hastings refers to the report of the main researchers, Thomas >>Tullien and James Klotz, "The Minot UFO Incident Report"

>This is very disappointing. I didn't ask you for book titles. I >explained that I've had some personal involvement with aspects >of this project and a lot of contact with Tom, as well as with >Jim when he was still on board, over a number of years. You >should assume from this that I know a bit about it. So when I >asked you to nominate a specific feature or aspect of the case, >that for you makes it such a stand-out argument for ET, so that >we could have a focused discussion, I didn't mean "can you >recommend some introductory reading". Why would you think I >meant that?

>I'm sure you won't excuse yourself on the grounds that you

>haven't the time or the interest or the knowledge to address
>specifics in detail, since a response along those lines from Joe
>drew a stinging criticism from you.

Martin

I find your answer amazing. Why should I apologise to you? I just tried to answer politely, with some info on that case, and you slap me in the face!

Another point: you repeat that I said it is a proof of ET origin of Ufos. Where did I say that? Quote me, please.

I don't remember having said that for the Minot case but, since you insist, well, I do think it's a pretty good show of a Ufo with capacities far beyond any human craft. In other words, of ET origin. You can quote that now.

Another point: the testimony of the copilot Bradford Runyon, prime time on ABC coast to coast (also passed on French TV now) is a convincing proof of the reality of the case, coming together with a series of other testimonies.

What more do yo want? A confirmation by the French President Sarkozy?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:02:08 -0600 Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:25:42 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 21:35:20 +0000
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 14:47:06 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>>From: K Wilson <<u>kaywilson</u>.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 13:56:17 -0600
>>>Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>>Colleagues and Abductees Give Thanks and Pay Tribute to Budd >>>Hopkins

>>>Words of Support

>>>Thanks and Tributes have been pouring in since my request to add >>>people's comments to the article A Tribute to Budd Hopkins: The >>>Pioneer of Abduction Research. Please take the time to read each >>>of these enlightening, from-the-heart statements by Ufologists, >>>Historians, Abductees, Journalists, Radio Hosts and Researchers >>>who study the subject of UFOs and alien Abductions.

>>Pass the sick-bag, Alice.

>Oh, my. I'm with John.

>Did Kate really think she was doing a service to Hopkins by >soliciting comments from all sorts of people?

>Me? I think she should have restricted the comments from people >who been abducted and sought out Hopkins' help. Anyone else just >knew him superficially. Those who worked with him knew him up >close and personal. The opinion would have had the most weight.

Kay Wilson has the right to run her website exactly as she chooses and to honor a friend and colleague in any manner she cares to. What business is it of yours?

And how do you know that those who wrote tributes to him knew him only "superficially"? That certainly doesn't characterize my long association and my many conversations with Budd on many topics of mutual interest. Maybe you should stay out of the mind-reading business.

So you could say I am proud not to be with John ("Sick-bag") Rimmer. Lots of people would feel the same, I'm sure. Those who have known Budd Hopkins, a gravely ill man, need a forum in which to express their deep fondness. Why one should have to be an abductee to feel that is beyond me.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand]

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:21:54 -0000
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 09:10:32 -0500
Subject: Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand]

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 12:10:14 +0100
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 16:18:29 -0000
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 11:04:52 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand
>
>>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:57:39 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>><snip>

>>>>Hi Gildas

>>>>I believe I've expressed the opinion before on this List that >>>>Minot AFB 1968 is a fascinating and presently unexplained >>>case.

>>>I have had some small involvement with aspects of the (ongoing)
>>>research project you refer to in recent years. But I would
>>>describe the witness evidence as sometimes ambiguous, the
>>>documentation as incomplete and flawed, crucial aspects of
>>>its reconstruction as uncertain, and the anwer to the very
>>>complex question "What happened?" as an ultimately
>>>inconclusive one.

>>>For clarity can you point to one aspect of this group of
>>>incidents and explain why it is exceptionally strong evidence
>>>for something that could not be explained by unrecognised
>>>natural phenomena of some type? So that we can have a focused
>>>>discussion about it?

>>>There is plenty of information available on that extraordinary >>>case.

>>>For instance in these books:

>>>- Scientific Ufology by Kevin Randle (1999), pages 60 to 70;

>>>- UFOs and Nukes by Robert Hastings (2010) chapter 15.

>>>Hastings refers to the report of the main researchers, Thomas >>>Tullien and James Klotz, "The Minot UFO Incident Report"

>>This is very disappointing. I didn't ask you for book titles. I
>>explained that I've had some personal involvement with aspects
>>of this project and a lot of contact with Tom, as well as with
>>Jim when he was still on board, over a number of years. You
>>should assume from this that I know a bit about it. So when I

>>asked you to nominate a specific feature or aspect of the case, >>that for you makes it such a stand-out argument for ET, so that >>we could have a focused discussion, I didn't mean "can you >>recommend some introductory reading". Why would you think I >>meant that?

>>I'm sure you won't excuse yourself on the grounds that you
>>haven't the time or the interest or the knowledge to address
>>specifics in detail, since a response along those lines from
>>Joe drew a stinging criticism from you.

>Martin

>I find your answer amazing.

There is no need for protestations of amazement or puzzlement, Gildas. My question to you was perfectly clearly expressed.

My interest in opening a detailed discussion, about specifics of what I agreed was a major case, was made explicit. My personal familiarity with the case was indicated to you. The language, context and intention of my question to you were thus all perfectly clear. You chose instead to answer, irrelevantly and uselessly, a question I did not ask. I could put it to you again: Why would would you do that? (But I no longer require an answer)

>Why should I apologise to you?

I don't want apologies and didn't ask for one. I was asking you to come out from behind the cover of your straw men and answer my direct question so that an important issue of theory could be probed in detail using the tool of the case you yourself recommended as ideal for the purpose.

>I just tried to answer politely, with some info on that case,
>and
>usu alon mo in the force!

>you slap me in the face!

No, I directly confronted your blatantly irrelevant and evasive non-answer to my direct question, which I consider to have been expressed plainly and courteously.

>Another point: you repeat that I said it is a proof of ET >origin >of Ufos. Where did I say that? Quote me, please.

Joe, responding directly to a question from Mike as to where he would rank ETH, said that it would be low on his list, at the top of which would be unknown natural "meteorological" phenomena (which Mike characterised more generally as "atmospheric" natural phenomena without objection from Joe).

Your response to this on 17/02 was to sneer that it was "a really funny answer", and you threw down a challenge: "Let's test that with just one example". Your example was the Minot case. I'm sure everyone understood you. I understood you. The Minot case was your direct challenge to Joe's assertion that natural phenomena could explain such a "major case" (18/02). Very plainly, Gildas, you were saying that it was ridiculous - "funny" - to hold that a natural phenomenon could be more probable than ET in the case of the Minot affair. This is constructively a claim that Minot constitutes (for you) a proof of ET (or analogue thereof).

>I don't remember having said that for the Minot case

OK... Well, if you want to explain to us all that, really, you hadn't meant to say this, and that you _do_ think unknown natural phenomena might possibly explain Minot, then by all means do so. I will accept that I misunderstood owing to some strange failure of communication, and will withdraw my request to you to justify with specifics the claim I thought you were making.. We can still have a productive discussion about why you think this, insread

>but, since
>you insist, well, I do think it's a pretty good show of a Ufo
>with capacities far beyond any human craft. In other words, of
>ET origin. You can quote that now.

>Another point: the testimony of the copilot Bradford Runyon,

Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand]

>prime time on ABC coast to coast (also passed on French TV now)
>is a convincing proof of the reality of the case, coming
>together with a series of other testimonies.

>What more do yo want? A confirmation by the French President >Sarkozy?

Ah, so there was no mistake. You _do_ regard the case as a proof of ET. At this point I would like to have been able to say, "OK, then, let's get one with it - now you can answer my question and we can have that discussion." But frankly your response, even now, is so empty and utterly pathetic that I see no hope of any kind of fruitful examination of the question with you. It's my fault. I should have known better.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:24:32 -0600
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 09:13:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 00:51:24 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 09:19:16 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>And keep on enforcing intellectual conformity, John. Continue >>to enforce the Great Taboo (as Billy Cox wittily calls it),

>So witty[,] Jerry, I fell over laughing. What the heck are you
>talking about?

Yeah, I guess I lack the wit to come up with a knee-slapper like yours about needing a sick-bag because a friend and colleague (Kay Wilson) has chosen to honor a gravely ill man. I can't help noticing, incidentally, that you've deleted the "sick-bag" crack from the above. Maybe even you have figured out why your "joke" was so offensive. I would like to think that next, you'll be man enough to withdraw it.

In case you try to revive it or defend it, however, let me make this so clear that even you can understand it:

Your remark was contemptible. It was contemptuous of a good man whose daily existence is now a constant struggle. It was contemptuous of the feelings of those who are concerned about him and who in one way or another have been touched by him, his friendship, and his contribution. It was contemptuous, basically, of everybody who doesn't think exactly like you and all who think exactly like you. No wonder you think Phil Klass is a moral arbiter.

This has nothing to do with whether one agrees or disagrees with Budd's abduction theories. It has to do with basic respect, decency, and compassion, all notably absent from your insensitive, repellent attempt at humor (if it was even an attempt at humor).

I here make this pledge:

If I should happen to be around when you enter life's twilight, I will not ask for somebody to hand me a sick-bag when your colleagues and friends praise you and express affection for you in a forum designed for that purpose. Not that it would ever occur to me to do otherwise. In fact, until this latest revelation, I almost certainly would have contributed to that forum.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:18:43 -0600
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 10:07:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 10:18:43 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
>>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

><snip>

We'll forget for a moment that it may be logical: one person subordinated under the hypnotic suggestibility of another may not be capable of an informed consent regarding the deliberate insertion, from the latter into the former, of a wild-card pathology for _any_ reason, eh?

Too, not a medical doctor, Doctor Jacobs may be free of any responsibility to do no harm, in pursuit of a legacy treating persons as a means to an end and not wounded and suffering human beings in his charge.

>>On the other hand he has released nothing yet to support his
>>counter claim. His lack of action may be for a number of
>>reasons. from ethical to technical to legal but it shouldn't be
>>assumed that it's because he's guilty of manipulating 'Emma
>>Woods' without her knowledge.

>Actually, he has, although it's quite brief:

>http://www.ufoabduction.com/defamationcampaign.htm

Quite brief, and to put it _kindly_, morphing slightly into other, more evolved, incarnations of same when confronted with the pesky facts. Have no fear, Mr. Steinberg, I'm sure he'll get it right eventually.

>He says he's working on a far more extensive response, where he >will actually comment on the recordings and other issues.

With others, I $_eagerly_$ anticipate same, you know, on the recordings and the other issues.

>Also, >since the recordings were evidently made by Emma and not >Jacobs, only she has access to the full unedited versions.

Which seems to be a very slick and plausibly deniable way to imply that the unedited versions are suspect, eh, Mr. Steinberg? Seems pretty duplicitous if that is the mechanism employed. Was that the mechanism employed? I offer that it was.

>And, despite a few unfounded rumors, I am not necessarily taking >sides in this issue.

Sir! I'm provoked to squirty giggles when I'm not looking down at my plate in astonished embarrassment and stunned incredulity! What a laughable _presumption_ you so pompously prosecute: that a person with no dog in the hunt can't _readily_ observe that you, at your moderately popular _Paracast_ message board, will quickly shut down the pro-Emma discussion to celebrate the anti-Emma rant! You've very _clearly_ taken sides, Sir! Early, undeniably, without doubt, and to this day!

>I'm only suggesting folks look at both
>sides before reaching conclusions.

Gonna have to throw down the yellow flag on that, too, Mr. Steinberg, as something less than an accurate portrayal of your attitude, action, and activity. See, to date imo, you have done naught but marginalize Emma Woods. You have provided a ready platform for the unceasing insult and humiliation of Emma Woods. Moreover, you have clearly allied yourself with the substantial forces opposed to Emma Woods and been the stealthy mouthpiece for their discursive spin on the history of same, all along.

Too, you seem to stand foursquare behind this new idea or toxic meme, IMO, that its OK to mind-rape, even psycho-sexually abuse or intellectually assault another if, otherwise, you're a 'great guy', 'huge in the community', and/or have a world-class reputation. Hmmm... on second thought, I guess that's _not_ such a new idea.

Mr. Steinberg, you're a black cat licking blood from jet paws and coughing up canary feathers. Decidedly, the presumption that you fairly center this issue is laughable where it is not just outrageous. With Dr. Jacobs then, you can stand-down, step-off, and walk away as an embarrassing 'in-the-tank' irrelevancy, too.

The field would be well served, nes't ce pas?

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Minot AFB Case

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul> Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 14:22:57 -0000 Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 10:09:09 -0500 Subject: Re: Minot AFB Case

>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:21:54 -0000
>Subject: Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand]

<snip>

>Ah, so there was no mistake. You _do_ regard the case as a proof >of ET. At this point I would like to have been able to say, "OK, >then, let's get one with it - now you can answer my question and >we can have that discussion." But frankly your response, even >now, is so empty and utterly pathetic that I see no hope of any >kind of fruitful examination of the question with you. It's my >fault. I should have known better.

Martin,

Would you say that after all your years of dedicated writing on this subject and investigative research that there has been one case in the world whereupon you could apply ET coming to this planet as an answer?

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Spectrum Radio Network On YouTube

From: Tom Theofanous pra4536.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 06:27:04 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 10:17:05 -0500
Subject: Spectrum Radio Network On YouTube

A heads-up to UFO UpDates. The interview with Carol Rainey & Dr Tyler Kokjohn on The Co-Creation Of The Abduction Phenomenon is now available for viewing at Spectrum's YouTube page:

http://tinyurl.com/4kxlkr8

Enjoy,

Tom Theofanous Co-Host Spectrum Radio Network

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Minot AFB Case

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:23:01 -0000
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 10:47:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Minot AFB Case

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: >post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 14:22:57 -0000
>Subject: Re: Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand]

>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:21:54 -0000
>>Subject: Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand]

><snip>

>>Ah, so there was no mistake. You _do_ regard the case as a proof >>of ET. At this point I would like to have been able to say, "OK, >>then, let's get one with it - now you can answer my question and >>we can have that discussion." But frankly your response, even >>now, is so empty and utterly pathetic that I see no hope of any >>kind of fruitful examination of the question with you. It's my >>fault. I should have known better.

>Martin,

>Would you say that after all your years of dedicated writing on >this subject and investigative research that there has been one >case in the world whereupon you could apply ET coming to this >planet as an answer?

Hi Roy

FWIW, there are quite a few cases where I could say that an ETanalogue is a possible answer. There are some where I would say it is even a tempting possibility. But I don't personally know of one where I feel forced to say that the probability of any other explanation is negligible in comparison. I certainly don't know of any case where I would say that to continue looking for any possible explanation other than ET is risible or contemptible. What about you?

Regards,

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:57:10 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 11:25:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:24:32 -0600
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>I here make this pledge:

>If I should happen to be around when you enter life's twilight, >I will not ask for somebody to hand me a sick-bag when your >colleagues and friends praise you and express affection for you >in a forum designed for that purpose. Not that it would ever >occur to me to do otherwise. In fact, until this latest >revelation, I almost certainly would have contributed to that >forum.

>Jerry Clark

If anyone should be so misguided as to organise a forum soliciting sympathy for me in my 'twighlight' please be assured that I will happily myself provide sick-bags for anyone who finds such a display of sentiment too much to bear.

To be serious. I agree my remark was flippant and in poor taste. It was meant to be, but it was aimed at the people now organising a rear-guard campaign to salvage Budd Hopkin's reputation, not at the man himself.

Budd Hopkins and David Jacobs, after being feted by the UFO 'community' for many years are now finding themselves under attack for the methods they have used in their research, and the effect their investigations have had on a number of individuals.

However, some people seem to be trying to deflect this criticism from Budd Hopkins in particular by implying that his poor health should in some way shield him from critical and sceptical comments, which is, in my view, what this solicitation of sympathy is intended to do.

I am sorry that Budd Hopkins is ill, as I am for anyone in similar circumstances, but there does seem to be an undercurrent suggesting that because of this others should hold back on their criticism of him and his methods. This is totally unacceptable.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Betty & Barney Hill Incident 50th

From: **Stew Weldon** <<u>webguy</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 11:33:12 -0500 Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 11:41:45 -0500 Subject: Betty & Barney Hill Incident 50th

The 50th Anniversary of the most well know incident in UFO history, the alleged abduction of Betty and Barney Hill, will be observed at Indian Head Resort in Lincoln, NH on September 23, 24, 25, 2011. Indian Head Resort is located ON the Hill's UFO Abduction Route and is the last property they remember seeing before their unique UFO experience, considered by many ufologists to the quintentessial "close encounter of the fouth kind" - actual contact with aliens.

The event will be hosted by Kathleen Marden, Betty Hill's niece and overseer of the Hill's estate. Kathleen is the Co-author, with esteemed sceintist and lecturer Stanton Friedman, of Captured! The Betty and Barney Hill UFO Experience. Kathleen has a website - www.Kathleen-marden.com

This unique weekend event will include

- Kathleen Marden's Guided Tour of Abduction site and the Hill's Journey through the White Mountains!

- Exclusive slide show & lecture with Kathleen

- Meet and greet with Kathleen - cocktails and photos with the author. Autographed Books Available.

- Dedication of memorial plaque commemorating the Hill's place in UFO history.

- Screening of seldom seen TV Movie "The UFO Incident" (1975) based on the Hill's UFO experience.

- Limited Edition Souvenir T-shirt.

- Deluxe lodging at Indian Head Resort

- Choice of menu Breakfast
- Choice of menu Dinner
- Use of all resort facilities

- Entertainment in Indian Head Resort's Thundrebird Lounge

The 3 days event includes, 2 nights lodging,4 Meals, Full access to all special events and use of all of Indian Head Resort's facilities. The admission is priced From \$289. per person Double Occupancy.

For More information on this event, please call

1-800-343-8000.

1-800-343-8000 www.indianheadresort.com

664 US Rt 3 Lincoln NH 03251 Thanks,

Stew Weldon Indian Head Resort 603-745-8000 ext. 250 <u>stew</u>.nul www.indianheadresort.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 10:39:04 -0600 Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 12:38:02 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:57:10 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:24:32 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>I here make this pledge:

>>If I should happen to be around when you enter life's twilight, >>I will not ask for somebody to hand me a sick-bag when your >>colleagues and friends praise you and express affection for you >>in a forum designed for that purpose. Not that it would ever >>occur to me to do otherwise. In fact, until this latest >>revelation, I almost certainly would have contributed to that >>forum.

<snip>

>To be serious. I agree my remark was flippant and in poor taste.

Okay, good for you.

>It was meant to be, but it was aimed at the people now >organising a rear-guard campaign to salvage Budd Hopkin's >reputation, not at the man himself.

I don't know what "rear-guard" means in this context, because I see no evidence that the problem is Budd Hopkins's methodology. The issue is what the abduction phenomenon, which exists robustly in pretty much the same shape apart from Hopkins, is actually about. That's a legitimate debate which will continue, as it should.

If I'm around when you're fading into life's twilight, I will contribute to the hypothetical forum I mentioned. I do promise, though, not to be icky about it.

Cheers,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:43:07 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 12:41:55 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>I'll confine myself to the specific ethics issue that seems >to be at the crux of 'Emma Woods' allegations.

>She alleges that without her prior knowledge David >Jacobs gave her post-hypnotic suggestions that she >suffered from MPD in an attempt to throw hostile >alien hybrids off his track.

>To support her claims, she has released highly
>abbreviated clip(s) from the hypnosis session. What
>seems to be forgotten amid the cries of foul that have
>ensued, is that, in the one statement he has released,
>he says that they talked about doing this in advance
>and she was a complicit party to the ruse.

>If true, she really has only the slimmest ethical >grounds for complaint, and it's dubious, to say the >least: that he used his position of authority to convince >her to do something that was against her best interests.

>However that would be offset by her false allegation >that she was an unwitting victim.

<snip>

>On the other hand he has released nothing yet to >support his counter claim. His lack of action may be for >a number of reasons. from ethical to technical to legal >but it shouldn't be assumed that it's because he's guilty >of manipulating 'Emma Woods' without her knowledge.

>So until 'Emma Woods' releases the full unedited
>recording of the session from hello to goodbye (third
>party names redacted), or David Jacobs does the same,
>reasonable people should hold off from fitting him for a
>rope neck tie.

I am currently investigating the possibility of taking legal action in regard to this issue. Once that action is concluded, I hope to be able to release the full recordings of all my hypnosis sessions (names and potentially identifying details redacted.)

In the meantime, I have released the full recording of the hypnosis session in which Dr. Jacobs implanted the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder (=E2=80=98Elizabeth Smith's' name redacted), just to Gary Haden of the =E2=80=98Speculative Realms' blog.

Gary Haden has listened to the recording of the hypnosis session in its entirety. He has published a post on this in which he discusses the issue of consent, and the fact that at no stage in the recording did Dr. Jacobs ask for, or obtain, my permission Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

to implant hypnotic suggestions in my mind that ${\rm I}$ had Multiple Personality Disorder.

http://tinyurl.com/45nc4pn

Furthermore, it is instructive to examine the wording that Dr. Jacobs used in his statement on his website in regard to this issue.

Dr. Jacobs wrote:

"I decided that one approach would be, during hypnosis, to give Alice ideas to think about that would throw "mind-readers" off my trail. I explained my thinking to her before I acted. Then at one point during a hypnosis session I told her that as a researcher I was interested not in abductions but in multiple personality disorder."

For the benefit of people who know that he implanted hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD, Dr. Jacobs tries to cover himself by misleading people into believing that he obtained my permission to do so. However, he does not specifically say this. Instead, he uses ambiguous language to falsely imply it, saying:

"I explained my thinking to her before I acted."

It is also instructive to examine Dr. Jacobs' misleading statement about what he actually did.

Dr. Jacobs implanted hypnotic suggestions in my unconscious mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder.

However, in his statement he says:

"Then at one point during a hypnosis session I told her that as a researcher I was interested not in abductions but in multiple personality disorder."

If Dr. Jacobs had, in fact, asked my permission to implant hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD (which he did not), it would still have been reprehensible conduct by him as a researcher. Even if I had given him permission to do such thing to me (which I did not), it would not in any way alter the fact that it was a gross violation of researcher ethics.

Additionally it is important to understand the enormous power differential between a researcher and their research subject who is being intensively hypnotized.

There is no way that a person under hypnosis can give genuine informed consent. You are in a highly suggestible state, and do not have the normal critical abilities. A research subject who has been intensively hypnotized by a researcher over a long period of time may neither be in a position to give genuine informed consent in discussion with that researcher prior to being put in the hypnotic state, due to the long established power differential between them that has been reinforced by intensive hypnosis.

For further information on the ethical issues involved in human subject research, see =E2=80=98The Belmont Report: Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research.' The ideals of beneficence and respect for subject autonomy referred to in the report, that are fundamental to human subject research, are of particular relevance:

http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/belmont.html

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Emma Woods <e.woods33.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 09:15:19 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 12:44:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 10:18:43 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>He says he's working on a far more extensive response, where >he will actually comment on the recordings and other issues. >Also, since the recordings were evidently made by "Emma" >and not Jacobs, only she has access to the full unedited >versions.

>>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
>>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>On the other hand he has released nothing yet to support
>>his counter claim [that he obtained permission to implant
>>the hypnotic suggestions of Multiple Personality Disorder.]

Dr. Jacobs implanted the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder during one of my hypnosis sessions.

I did not record any of my hypnosis sessions myself. Dr. Jacobs recorded all my hypnosis sessions, and he sent me the recordings. He therefore has all the unedited recordings himself.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: John Ratcliff <jratcliffscarab.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 11:51:33 -0600
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:04:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

I'm not sure that there are 'two sides' here.

Dr. David Jacob's is a Professor of History. He has no business conducting hypnosis sessions, therapy sessions, or making psychological evaluations or diagnoses. These are not 'research subjects' or 'patients', these are people he uses as fodder to write books.

That's it. It's truly that simple. Under no circumstances should artists or history professors be practicing 'amateur psychiatry' on vulnerable people.

Calling it 'research' does not make it so.

John

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:42:55 -0700
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:49:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:18:43 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

<here a snip, there a snip, everywhere a snip snip>

>The field would be well served, nes't ce pas?

It would help if you actually had a grasp on reality; there's little to respond to in your emotional tirade of verbal vomit.

But I will answer just one point: The skills involved in editing digital audio are trivial and can be learned by most anyone with decent PC skills in a very short time.

Have a nice day.

Gene Steinberg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 14:30:26 -0400
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:50:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: John Ratcliff <<u>jratcliffscarab</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 11:51:33 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>I'm not sure that there are 'two sides' here.

>Dr. David Jacob's is a Professor of History. He has no business >conducting hypnosis sessions, therapy sessions, or making >psychological evaluations or diagnoses. These are not 'research >subjects' or 'patients', these are people he uses as fodder to >write books.

>That's it. It's truly that simple. Under no circumstances should >artists or history professors be practicing 'amateur psychiatry' >on vulnerable people.

>Calling it 'research' does not make it so.

Well psychiatry did it for years before it actually became a medical tool. They have been blundering around in people's heads experimentally for over one hundred years.

The history of psychiatry is truely frightening.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 14:55:23 -0400
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:58:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:43:07 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
>>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>I'll confine myself to the specific ethics issue that seems >>to be at the crux of 'Emma Woods' allegations.

>>She alleges that without her prior knowledge David >>Jacobs gave her post-hypnotic suggestions that she >>suffered from MPD in an attempt to throw hostile >>alien hybrids off his track.

>>To support her claims, she has released highly
>>abbreviated clip(s) from the hypnosis session. What
>>seems to be forgotten amid the cries of foul that have
>>ensued, is that, in the one statement he has released,
>>he says that they talked about doing this in advance
>>and she was a complicit party to the ruse.

>>If true, she really has only the slimmest ethical
>>grounds for complaint, and it's dubious, to say the
>>least: that he used his position of authority to convince
>>her to do something that was against her best interests.

>>However that would be offset by her false allegation >>that she was an unwitting victim.

<snip>

>>On the other hand he has released nothing yet to >>support his counter claim. His lack of action may be for >>a number of reasons. from ethical to technical to legal >>but it shouldn't be assumed that it's because he's guilty >>of manipulating 'Emma Woods' without her knowledge.

>>So until 'Emma Woods' releases the full unedited
>>recording of the session from hello to goodbye (third
>>party names redacted), or David Jacobs does the same,
>>reasonable people should hold off from fitting him for a
>>rope neck tie.

>I am currently investigating the possibility of taking legal >action in regard to this issue. Once that action is concluded, I >hope to be able to release the full recordings of all my >hypnosis sessions (names and potentially identifying details >redacted.)

>In the meantime, I have released the full recording of the >hypnosis session in which Dr. Jacobs implanted the hypnotic >suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder >(=E2?~Elizabeth Smith's' name redacted), just to Gary Haden of the >=E2?~Speculative Realms' blog. First of all I don't know who you are; you are attacking Jacobs on this List and in other venues from a position of anonymity. Presumably Jacobs knows who you are but as yet hasn't revealed your name.

If you do proceed with legal action you will no doubt be brought to task by the defence lawyer for your many postgs re your relationship with Jacobs plus the release of what would be considered evidence - not yet validated - long before proceeding with legal action.

Should your recordings go 'viral' as they say on the internet where hundreds of thousands of people download the tapes then there would then be questions as to whether Jacobs could receive a fair hearing as the accused.

I'm uncertain how this wide spread publicity surrounding your complaints about Dave Jacobs would play out in the States but I'm fairly certain it would considered tainted here in Canada and in the UK. Since both the Canadian and America's legal systems are based on British Common Law, the same might hold true in the US.

It will be interesting to see how this plays out.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 23

Inconvenient Facts And The Will To Believe

From: Paul Kimball <<u>robieshark.nul></u>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:25:17 -0400
Archived: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 16:02:21 -0500
Subject: Inconvenient Facts And The Will To Believe

A 'programming note' for Listers that I have delved deep into the Redstar archives, and pulled out the interview I conducted with the late Karl Pflock in 2001 for the film Stanton T. Friedman is Real. I'm posting it in two parts on my podcast, The Other Side of Truth. Part I is available now at:

http://tinyurl.com/6btwrxr

In this first part, Karl talks about his background, the sociology of ufology, and the Roswell case and the will to believe (and not believe).

Best regards,

Paul Kimball, LL.B. President - Redstar Films Limited 2541 Robie Street Halifax, Nova Scotia B3K 4N3 www.redstarfilmtv.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:56:57 -0700
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:42:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 14:30:26 -0400
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>Well psychiatry did it for years before it actually became a >medical tool. They have been blundering around in people's heads >experimentally for over one hundred years.

>The history of psychiatry is truely frightening.

I like to simply think that we're all crazy, and be done with it.

More to the point: Medication for minor emotional issues is a scandal of the first order. Surveys show that the most common antidepressants only work in extreme cases. For most people, counseling and maybe meditation would do as well. At least that's what the surveys show, although the drug companies probably hope people won't notice when they buy their \$300-a-month snake oil.

I'm especially concerned when I hear the side effects in TV ads. May result in suicidal tendencies, for instance.

Gene Steinberg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 20:24:56 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:43:15 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 10:39:04 -0600
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>I don't know what "rear-guard" means in this context, because I
>see no evidence that the problem is Budd Hopkins's methodology.
>The issue is what the abduction phenomenon, which exists
>robustly in pretty much the same shape apart from Hopkins, is
>actually about. That's a legitimate debate which will continue,
>as it should.

By 'rear-guard' I mean a desperate attempt to salvage something of a reputation from the moral morass that is closing round the heads of Hopkins and Jacobs.

If you cannot see the problems with Hopkins' methodology, and how it has defined the development of the abduction phenomenon into the dangerous monster it has become today, then you have clearly not read Hopkins's book as carefully as you would have us think.

>If I'm around when you're fading into life's twilight, I will >contribute to the hypothetical forum I mentioned. I do promise, >though, not to be icky about it.

Thanks, but no thanks.

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: oods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 20:27:37 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:44:14 -0500
Subject: Re: oods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 09:15:19 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>Dr. Jacobs implanted the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I >had Multiple Personality Disorder during one of my hypnosis >sessions.

How can anybody be so morally blind as not to see that this is a criminal act of abuse?

--John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Kathy Kasten <catra ja.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 20:31:20 +0000
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:01:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:02:08 -0600
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 21:35:20 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>Did Kate really think she was doing a service to Hopkins by >>soliciting comments from all sorts of people?

>>Me? I think she should have restricted the comments from people >>who been abducted and sought out Hopkins' help. Anyone else just >>knew him superficially. Those who worked with him knew him up >>close and personal. The opinion would have had the most weight.

>Kay Wilson has the right to run her website exactly as she >chooses and to honor a friend and colleague in any manner she >cares to. What business is it of yours?

>And how do you know that those who wrote tributes to him knew >him only "superficially"? That certainly doesn't characterize my >long association and my many conversations with Budd on many >topics of mutual interest. Maybe you should stay out of the >mind-reading business.

>So you could say I am proud not to be with John ("Sick-bag")
>Rimmer. Lots of people would feel the same, I'm sure. Those who
>have known Budd Hopkins, a gravely ill man, need a forum in
>which to express their deep fondness. Why one should have to be
>an abductee to feel that is beyond me.

You are right, Jerry, I don't know who provided the tributes. Only that Kay describes them as from other personalities in the business of UFO research and commentary.

If Kay wants to make these tributes public in a website, I get to comment on that fact and nature of those tributes.

You get to comment on my comments. As my logic professor once opined, different opinions make for interesting horse races. Let the race continue, ad nauseam.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 21:25:13 +0000
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:05:27 -0500
Subject: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

Let's assume that the "nuts&bolts" craft flying our skies are from elsewhere in the Universe. Just for the sake of argument. What effect would traveling long distances have on the pilots of these craft? The pilots, of course, would simply be the pilots; not the inventors of the "advanced technology" craft.

Dr. Donald Hebb's studies of the effects of monotony is discussed in his paper The Role Of Experience contained in Control Of The Mind.

The studies were based on the outcomes of his isolation tank research. The lost of sensory perspective is the first sense to be reported after individuals emerged from the isolation tank. Dr. Hebb found that a solitary high-altitude pilot (or long distance truck driver or sailor at sea) all report one or more forms of mental aberrations as described by the his undergraduate subjects exposed to extreme monotony of the isolation tank. I.e., the perception of isolation.

Dr. Hebb conjectures what could happen in space travel. Such as the "break-off" phenomena. There is a "disturbance of self in which the pilot suffers from an acute sense of being cut off from existence, of losing personal identity and becoming one with the aircraft or empty space itself. Or, separated from his body and felt himself to be a detached mind surveying his aircraft from a point in space, the aircraft now toy-sized and his body a puppet sitting at the controls." I realize much is made about pilot reports of UFOs, but most UFO researchers are unaware of the effects of long distance flights have on the human pilots.

Dr. Hebb has a couple of observations regarding "space travelers;" an individual brought up to tolerate the monotony of long distance space flight. I quote: There is the risk "of producing unanticipated distortions of the personality - the risk that such a pilot would have behavior so aberrant that he could not be permitted the freedom of society."

What has this got to do with so-called ET high tech flying craft? The craft are claimed to be "intelligently controlled." Ergo, implying a pilot.

Depending on where the craft is coming from, we humans could be dealing with pilots exhibiting aberrant behavior. Entities unable to interact with humans in any acceptable social way. It is claimed these entities have been interacting with humans for many years. Dr. Hebb's research goes a long way as a possible explanation of the aberrant behavior of so-called "aliens" interaction with humans. They continue to do the same experiments over and over. This implies that these entities are not very intelligent and are of a low order of mental ability.

As suggested by Dr. Hebb's studies of individuals kept in isolation without a change in sensory input. According to Dr. Hebb, the outcome of minimal sensory input creates an individual of low intelligence. Able to react only minimally to a change in environmental conditions. In other words, robotic responses. As opposed to the "normal" reactions and interactions of the irrational human. KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:44:34 -0600
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:08:49 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:42:55 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:18:43 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

<snip>

>>The field would be well served, nes't ce pas?

>It would help if you actually had a grasp on reality;

Another clever quip quarried in the ufological Pleistocene, I presume! Full marks again! Who says fossiles can't be fun!

>there's
>little to respond to in your emotional tirade of verbal vomit.

Oh, I suspect that there was _much_ to respond to in there, Mr. Steinberg, though I can well understand your obvious reluctance to do so and must console myself therefore with the deliberate speed in which you provided your _small_ response. That in itself will fill a tedious volume, eh?

>But I will answer just one point: The skills involved in editing >digital audio are trivial and can be learned by most anyone with >decent PC skills in a very short time.

Which is apropos only of the projectile expulsion to which you have already alluded, Mr. Steinberg, and not the three disparate digital sound experts I've consulted about the genuineness of the Emma Woods recordings. All of _them_, sans a dog in the hunt I might add, give the audio reviewed a clean Bill of health. Checkmate.

>Have a nice day.

Yeah... you _can_ be more genuine than that, Mr. Steinberg, and I trust the preceding was clear enough this time to be a tad more understandable for you.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: David Cherniack <davidc.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 16:56:11 -0500
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:13:07 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:43:07 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
>>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>I'll confine myself to the specific ethics issue that seems >>to be at the crux of 'Emma Woods' allegations.

>>She alleges that without her prior knowledge David >>Jacobs gave her post-hypnotic suggestions that she >>suffered from MPD in an attempt to throw hostile >>alien hybrids off his track.

>If Dr. Jacobs had, in fact, asked my permission to implant >hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD (which he did >not), it would still have been reprehensible conduct by him as a >researcher. Even if I had given him permission to do such thing >to me (which I did not), it would not in any way alter the fact >that it was a gross violation of researcher ethics.

It seems to me that if Jacobs did this under hypnosis without any prior discussion or knowledge on your part, as you clearly stated to me and I assume others, in a mass e-mail, then it would clearly be egregious ethical behaviour. If, on the other hand, it was discussed ahead of time, as he submits, then I'd say that your claim of "gross violation of researcher ethics" would be rather weak, especially so by your false claim that that you did not know in advance. If the tape does indeed show a conversation with intent prior to the beginning of hypnosis then that may well be why you don't wish to release the full tape, not any contemplated legal action.

By publicly releasing brief clips that support your version, as well as sending your allegations to all and sundry, including his employer, you've most certainly damaged the man's reputation and career. This may be justifiable, again, if you did not discuss the intent the implanting of the suggestion in advance. If you did, Jacobs may well have a legal case against you.

It is true that this is instance specific and leaves aside the wider, but greyer, issue of the conscious or unconscious misuse of authority in the researcher subject relationship... as well as many other burning issues in abduction research... but that's almost a given in any hierarchical relationship and no set of rules or protocols, not even the most altruistic intentions, will prevent it from happening now and then. But unless someone is mentally incapacitated, or irresistible coercion on the part of the researcher can be demonstrated, he or she has to bear some responsibility for going along with any suggested process. One just can't say, I was vulnerable and his authority made me do it. In fact the campaign you've mounted certainly would argue against your being mentally incapacitated or easily persuaded simply by authority. Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

Of your anguish there can be no doubt and I would hope that David Jacobs will soon release his full version along with the full recording of the session - for you to do that, would probably require his permission, now that you've explained that he gave you the copies - so the court of public opinion can weigh in on the full story, and you can move on, either with your legal action, or with your life, which, by every indication, appears to be on long-term hold.

All in One Films http://www.allinonefilms.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Minot AFB Case

From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell</u>.nul> Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 22:43:07 -0700 Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:18:44 -0500 Subject: Re: Minot AFB Case

>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:57:39 -0000
>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>I believe I've expressed the opinion before on this List that >Minot AFB 1968 is a fascinating and presently unexplained >case.

Martin, All,

I'm dodging the more weighty issues that this thread later ventures into, e.g., what constitutes proof of the ETH, etc., to submit the observation that while the Minot AFB Case often refers by default to the 1968 incident, there is a previous (25 Aug 1966) incident that is no slouch either, involving multiple military visual witnesses, correlated radar returns, EM effects (radio interference proportional to object proximity), and an apparently _landed_ object that prompted dispatch of a groundbased strike team (the object departed while the team was still some miles distant). And, lest I forget, a military witness sketch depicting a classic saucer.

I have little familiarity with this case aside from these readily available highlights, so please correct me if this bubble has already been burst. Hynek was apparently impressed with it, at least in the short term, per his article on UFOs in the 17 Dec 1966 issue of the Saturday Evening Post, characterizing it as "a truly puzzling UFO report... one that is not explainable as it now stands by such familiar causes as a balloon, aircraft, satellite or meteor".

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Wendelle Stevens' Archive

From: Philip Mantle cphilip.mantle.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:26:59 +0000
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:20:30 -0500
Subject: Wendelle Stevens' Archive

I just wondered if anyone knows what happened to Wendelle Stevens archive of material after he passed away? Does anyone have any info on this please?

Thanks,

Philip.

--Philip Mantle Editor UFO MATRIX www.healingsofatlantis.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:39:16 -0600 Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 07:00:50 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 20:24:56 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 10:39:04 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

Sigh, John,

>>I don't know what "rear-guard" means in this context, because I
>>see no evidence that the problem is Budd Hopkins's methodology.
>>The issue is what the abduction phenomenon, which exists
>>robustly in pretty much the same shape apart from Hopkins, is
>>actually about. That's a legitimate debate which will continue,
>>as it should.

>By 'rear-guard' I mean a desperate attempt to salvage something >of a reputation from the moral morass that is closing round the >heads of Hopkins and Jacobs.

Speaking of desperate attempts, I note here the ham-handed rhetorical strategy that seeks, yet again, to conflate Hopkins and Jacobs, who though friends are not one individual and whose methods and conclusions are not the same. Nice try, though, John.

And speaking of moral morass, I note here again that your idea of a moral arbiter in these matters is character assassin Phil Klass. I doubt that even his friends judged him that. I did hear a prominent skeptic once characterize him as "despicable," though.

I guess the larger conclusion we are to draw from the collected wisdom of Rimmer and Klass is that anomalous experiences exist in the world because evil people force innocent people to imagine them.

>If you cannot see the problems with Hopkins' methodology, and >how it has defined the development of the abduction phenomenon >into the dangerous monster it has become today, then you have >clearly not read Hopkins's book as carefully as you would have >us think.

And what "dangerous monster" would that be? That some people have experiences you don't approve of? That some other people actually give them sympathetic attention and try to understand what these experiences may be about? That healing may come out of that simply because investigators actually _listen_, as opposed to, say, dismiss, ridicule, and demean?

Pretty scary, I'll admit. But I'll concede that the list of alleged abductees who complain that Budd Hopkins has ruined their lives is too long to count. For example, there's... well... um... and ... uh... well, I'm sure they're out there somewhere, the legions of the suffering who haven't figured out yet how Hopkins ruined their lives because, after all, they're Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

too stupid and insensitive to read Magonia.

Likewise, those dim-witted - or maybe they're lying - mentalhealth professionals whom Budd brought into the research and who have worked with him all these years. Obviously, they can't be trusted, since they were, uh, _actually there_ and not in London, where critics are free from the baleful influence of the rude colonials.

>>If I'm around when you're fading into life's twilight, I will
>>contribute to the hypothetical forum I mentioned. I do promise,
>>though, not to be icky about it.

>Thanks, but no thanks.

In that case, I'll just put it here while you're still alive and ... well, doing whatever a guy does who keeps as great a distance as he possibly can from actual living, breathing witnesses and their icky testimony about things that Budd Hopkins invented because they couldn't possibly have any other provenance:

The late John Rimmer managed to define himself as both "psychosocial ufologist" _and_ "skeptic" and _never once giggled_.

Congratulations.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:57:51 -0600 Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 07:02:09 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 20:31:20 +0000
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 07:02:08 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 21:35:20 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>>Did Kate really think she was doing a service to Hopkins by >>>soliciting comments from all sorts of people?

>>>Me? I think she should have restricted the comments from people >>>who been abducted and sought out Hopkins' help. Anyone else just >>>knew him superficially. Those who worked with him knew him up >>>close and personal. The opinion would have had the most weight.

>>Kay Wilson has the right to run her website exactly as she >>chooses and to honor a friend and colleague in any manner she >>cares to. What business is it of yours?

>>And how do you know that those who wrote tributes to him knew
>>him only "superficially"? That certainly doesn't characterize my
>>long association and my many conversations with Budd on many
>>topics of mutual interest. Maybe you should stay out of the
>>mind-reading business.

<snip>

>You are right, Jerry, I don't know who provided the tributes. >Only that Kay describes them as from other personalities in the >business of UFO research and commentary.

Ah, I wish it were a business. If it were, after all my efforts, I'd be rich, as opposed to, sigh, very non-rich.

>If Kay wants to make these tributes public in a website, I get >to comment on that fact and nature of those tributes.

Yup. My problem, Kathy, was that in this instance your comments struck me as ill informed and un-insightful. But nobody is trying to abrogate your or anybody's right to an opinion, sound or unsound.

Cordially,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Minot AFB Case

From: Martin Shough parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 12:49:36 -0000
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 08:12:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Minot AFB Case

>From: Michael Tarbell <<u>mtarbell</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 22:43:07 -0700
>Subject: Re: Minot AFB Case

>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 14:57:39 -0000
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>I believe I've expressed the opinion before on this List that
>>Minot AFB 1968 is a fascinating and presently unexplained
>>case.

>Martin, All,

>I'm dodging the more weighty issues that this thread later >ventures into, e.g., what constitutes proof of the ETH, etc., >to submit the observation that while the Minot AFB Case often >refers by default to the 1968 incident, there is a previous (25 >Aug 1966) incident that is no slouch either, involving multiple >military visual witnesses, correlated radar returns, EM effects >(radio interference proportional to object proximity), and an >apparently _landed_ object that prompted dispatch of a ground->based strike team (the object departed while the team was still >some miles distant). And, lest I forget, a military witness >sketch depicting a classic saucer.

>I have little familiarity with this case aside from these >readily available highlights, so please correct me if this >bubble has already been burst. Hynek was apparently impressed >with it, at least in the short term, per his article on UFOs in >the 17 Dec 1966 issue of the Saturday Evening Post, >characterizing it as "a truly puzzling UFO report... one that >is not explainable as it now stands by such familiar causes as a >balloon, aircraft, satellite or meteor".

Hi Mike

Sadly I'm not very familiar with this case either (certainly not nearly as much as with the 1968 one, although I do have the BB file on my PC and have read through it) The one thing I do know off-hand is that Hynek seems to have got the date wrong in that article - only by one day (a bullseye compared to his famous 12year date error re the 1968 case in The Hynek UFO Report) but it might still confuse people searching. The correct date is Aug 24 1966.

You've inspired me to take a fresh look at this one, thanks.

BTW this incident was only a few days away from the Donnybrook CE in the same area, which has always intrigued me.

Regards

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

News Links - 22-02-11

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>** Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 08:59:29 -0500 Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 08:59:29 -0500 Subject: News Links - 22-02-11

News Links - 22-02-11

Video UFO Sighting Over Toronto, Canada 02-15-11 Examiner.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/4puatoa</u>

Residents See Saucer Shape In Sky Over Vancouver, Wash. Seattle Times <u>http://tinyurl.com/4f96zlr</u>

UFOs In East Texas Houston Press http://tinyurl.com/4aqv7yl

UFO Mystery Solved? ABC 4 http://tinyurl.com/4whd5aj

Alien Life And UFOs: 10 Top 'Believers' Telegraph.co.uk <u>http://tinyurl.com/6k2srqn</u>

UFO Conference Heads To Valley This Week ABC15.com (KNXV-TV) http://tinyurl.com/4vgblju

At UFO Congress, Alien Tech, Government Cover-Ups And Credibility On The Agenda AOL News http://tinyurl.com/5r4ymtc

UFO Researcher Seeking Eyewitness Accounts Sidney Sun Telegraph http://tinyurl.com/4tjyktu

Black Vault Newsletter February 19, 2011 http://tinyurl.com/4sjowpx

UFOs: Government Disclosure Vs. Government Confirmation Examiner.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/4cdzjek</u>

UFO Disclosure In Limbo The News http://tinyurl.com/4c53ztt

How Quickly We Forget - The UFO Crash South Haven Park New York 1992 UFODigest http://tinyurl.com/4ncgg7m

Are We Really Alone? Kentucky Called "Hotbed" For UFO Sightings LEX18 Lexington KY News http://tinyurl.com/4t7m9p4

UFO Appeal Is Universal

News Links - 22-02-11

NTNews.com.au http://tinyurl.com/4pn7k4h

UFO Meeting Grounded NTNews.com.au http://tinyurl.com/5v6knmp

Friday Video: The New Roswell: Brazil UFO Crash
Ghost Theory
http://tinyurl.com/4pzcdn5

UFO Conference To Be Held In Jackson WAPT Jackson <u>http://tinyurl.com/4mgdkst</u>

UFO Digest Spot Light On UFO Matrix Magazine - Review UFODigest http://tinyurl.com/4um58dz

Merlin's Prophecies Reveal Guidance From UFOs And Aliens, State Experts HULIQ http://tinyurl.com/4dgaa87

UFOs, Myths, Conspiracies And Realities: A Book Review UFODigest http://tinyurl.com/4gxgc55

Alien Abduction Is The Theme Of Art Exhibit The Star-Ledger - NJ.com <u>http://tinyurl.com/6ykxdmg</u>

From Scott Corrales' Inexplicata:

Argentine Air Force Makes Contact with the UFO Phenomenon http://tinyurl.com/46bmnw2

Sheep Mutilations In Ceara Brazil http://tinyurl.com/4g496k9

From Frank Warren's The UFO Chronicals:

Nebraska Light Near Ground Shoots Into Sky http://tinyurl.com/46r4pzg

Concentrations Of UFO Sightings Near Vital Defense Installations Alarmed The Pentagon http://tinyurl.com/4zghtas

Deconstructing The Debunkers: A Response - Hopkins http://tinyurl.com/6ksdwgr

Former Senator Barry Goldwater Said Answer To What Goverment Knows About UFOs Is At Wright-Patterson AFB - Video http://tinyurl.com/4ockdrw

From Larry W Bryant:

FOIA Request to U. S. Secret Service Re. Ike's UFO-E.T. http://tinyurl.com/4ngtn23

From Diana Cammack:

Milky Way Stuffed With 50 Billion Alien Worlds http://tinyurl.com/4bjc7uh

From 'The Norm':

The Latest On Trindade Island Photographs Kevin Randle <u>http://tinyurl.com/65wklfy</u>

Another Debate We Won't Hear On NPR Billy Cox http://tinyurl.com/4f4ugh4

Vatican's Space Mission

News Links - 22-02-11

http://tinyurl.com/5wul3hc

About That Giant Planet Possibly Hiding In The Outer Solar System... http://tinyurl.com/49k97kv

Mammatus Clouds Over Olympic Valley - Photo http://tinyurl.com/41211vw

The Historic Flight Of Mercury 6 [Wonderful photos] http://tinyurl.com/4ke8x7f

Star Size Comparisons - Video
<u>http://tinyurl.com/6zf2qdk</u>

Biological Anthropologists Question Claims For Human Ancestry http://tinyurl.com/6j5pyfh

Falling Brains Dept:

'Gawd Bless America': UFOs? Psychics? Documentary Debunks Paranormal ABC News http://tinyurl.com/4nzxmje

That Wacky World Of The Paranormal Examiner.com http://tinyurl.com/62uhxpk

The Paranormal - Our Biggest Failure UFODigest http://tinyurl.com/4dblg7g

260 US Ambassadors Meeting Related To UFOs And ET life? UFODigest http://tinyurl.com/4p2bmkg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:32:23 -0700
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:17:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:44:34 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

<snip>

>Which is apropos only of the projectile expulsion to which you >have already alluded, Mr. Steinberg, and not the three disparate >digital sound experts I've consulted about the genuineness of >the Emma Woods recordings. All of _them_, sans a dog in the hunt >I might add, give the audio reviewed a clean Bill of health. >Checkmate.

What you say there means nothing. Yes, I'm sure the recordings are real in the sense that you are hearing the actual voices of 'Woods' and Jacobs. But you do not know how they were manipulated, what was left on the virtual cutting room floor, and that's something your alleged 'disparate digital sound experts' could not possibly determine. You are hearing excerpts; all of the recordings haven't been posted. What's more, even those excerpts are edited by 'Woods' (as she herself admits) to remove personal information. You have to take her word that she didn't eliminate other elements that would reverse the meaning of what you do hear.

Clean bill of health?

There's also the question of context. 'Woods' may say an excerpt relates to A, whereas Jacobs may say they actually relate to B. How do you know? You weren't there? Your 'disparate digital sound experts' weren't there either.

What is clear is that you are in way over your head here. You have no clue what you're talking about.

Gene Steinberg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:25:23 -0600
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 11:01:44 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:32:23 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:44:34 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

<snip>

>What is clear is that you are in way over your head here. You >have no clue what you're talking about.

Stuff and _flaccid_ nonsense, Sir. Your pompous proclamation only makes a _lesser_ 'such and so'.

Moreover, all of your above is stupefyingly immaterial given that the core issue is _plain_ and admitted.

The core issue, Mr. Steinberg, is that a woman with every expectation of professional behavior and in a state of extremely high suggestibility... was knowingly, gleefully, and _admittedly_ thrown under a bus of wild-card psychological pathology at the convenience of he whom you so stridently defend.

All of _that_ is concurrent, subsequent and antecedent to, IMO and in the opinion of significant others, other rapes, assaults. and malfeasant infidelities.

Clearer, Sir, is that your credulity stunning and inexplicable denial is indicative of the place where you have _your_ head, eh?

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages]

This Month's Index

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:57:32 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 11:13:03 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:39:16 -0600
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>Speaking of desperate attempts, I note here the ham-handed
>rhetorical strategy that seeks, yet again, to conflate Hopkins
>and Jacobs, who though friends are not one individual and whose
>methods and conclusions are not the same. Nice try, though,
>John.

>And speaking of moral morass, I note here again that your idea >of a moral arbiter in these matters is character assassin Phil >Klass. I doubt that even his friends judged him that. I did hear >a prominent skeptic once characterize him as "despicable," >though.

Erm, exactly where did I say that Phil Klass was a "moral arbiter", Jerry or did you just make that up? I think if you read through the collected wisdom of Magonia you'll find that we criticise Klass as much as we agree with him.

>I guess the larger conclusion we are to draw from the collected >wisdom of Rimmer and Klass is that anomalous experiences exist >in the world because evil people force innocent people to >imagine them.

Speaking of desperate attempts, I note here the ham-handed rhetorical strategy that seeks, yet again, to conflate Magonia and Phil Klass, who were not friends were not one individual and whose methods and conclusions are not the same. Nice try, though, Jerry.

>>If you cannot see the problems with Hopkins' methodology, and >>how it has defined the development of the abduction phenomenon >>into the dangerous monster it has become today, then you have >>clearly not read Hopkins's book as carefully as you would have >>us think.

>And what "dangerous monster" would that be? That some people >have experiences you don't approve of? That some other people >actually give them sympathetic attention and try to understand >what these experiences may be about? That healing may come out >of that simply because investigators actually _listen_, as >opposed to, say, dismiss, ridicule, and demean?

And that some people are bolstering other peoples' beliefs that they are being abducted/attacked/impregnated/controlled by alien beings. I don't believe that Jerry, nor do you.

>Pretty scary, I'll admit. But I'll concede that the list of >alleged abductees who complain that Budd Hopkins has ruined >their lives is too long to count. For example, there's... >well... um... and ... uh... well, I'm sure they're out there >somewhere, the legions of the suffering who haven't figured out >yet how Hopkins ruined their lives because, after all, they're >too stupid and insensitive to read Magonia.

If people are convinced that alien beings (or maybe some other

sort of non-human intelligence) are abducting/raping them, why should they blame the person who supports them in their belief?

>Likewise, those dim-witted - or maybe they're lying - mental->health professionals whom Budd brought into the research and who >have worked with him all these years. Obviously, they can't be >trusted, since they were, uh, _actually there_ and not in >London, where critics are free from the baleful influence of the >rude colonials.

I haven't read very much by these people, perhaps you could provide a few links to papers they have published on the work they have done with Budd Hopkins? And I don't know why you have such an obsession with "rude colonials", we have plenty of nutcase in the Old Country.

>>>If I'm around when you're fading into life's twilight, I will >>>contribute to the hypothetical forum I mentioned. I do promise, >>>though, not to be icky about it.

>>Thanks, but no thanks.

>In that case, I'll just put it here while you're still alive:

>The late John Rimmer managed to define himself as both >"psychosocial ufologist" and "skeptic" and never once >giggled.

Maybe before we both shuffle of this mortal coil, you could explain exactly what you mean by this frequently repeated trope.

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:44:40 -0700
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 12:19:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:25:23 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>Stuff and _flaccid_ nonsense, Sir. Your pompous proclamation
>only makes a _lesser_ 'such and so'.

Your comment doesn't alter the accuracy of my statements about audio editing. And, yes, I can claim to be knowledgeable on the subject, since I have done both analog and digital audio editing for several decades, and I did learn a thing or two along the way.

>Moreover, all of your above is stupefyingly immaterial given >that the core issue is _plain_ and admitted.

>The core issue, Mr. Steinberg, is that a woman with every
>expectation of professional behavior and in a state of extremely
>high suggestibility... was knowingly, gleefully, and
>_admittedly_ thrown under a bus of wild-card psychological
>pathology at the convenience of he whom you so stridently
>defend.

The real core issue is that there are two sides to every story, often more, and most of the information out there covers one side. Jacobs says he is working on a greatly expanded defense of his position, and I await its release before coming to any final decision about this.

More to the point, stop making up stories about me. There is no "student" defense of Jacobs on my part, or any other defense. I have made it clear that I do not agree with his conclusions about hybrid aliens, the value of hypnotic regression (by phone or otherwise) to recover lost memories, and I also believe Jacobs should have brought a mental health therapist on board when he first started working with 'Woods', a woman who is clearly very, very troubled.

>All of _that_ is concurrent, subsequent and antecedent to, IMO
>and in the opinion of significant others, other rapes, assaults.
>and malfeasant infidelities.

>Clearer, Sir, is that your credulity stunning and inexplicable
>denial is indicative of the place where you have _your_ head,
>eh?

And so another rant from Lehmberg without substance and hardly a shred of accuracy.

Gene Steinberg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 16:48:10 +0000
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 12:21:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 21:25:13 +0000
>Subject: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

>Depending on where the craft is coming from, we humans could be >dealing with pilots exhibiting aberrant behavior. Entities >unable to interact with humans in any acceptable social way. It >is claimed these entities have been interacting with humans for >many years. Dr. Hebb's research goes a long way as a possible >explanation of the aberrant behavior of so-called "aliens" >interaction with humans. They continue to do the same >experiments over and over. This implies that these entities are >not very intelligent and are of a low order of mental ability.

Here we have the anthropocentric conceit in spades.

So, to deal with the logic of the issue in your own terms (terms that are laughable at best, but we'll leave that aside for the purposes of elucidation) 'They continue to do the same experiments over and over' do they? Now, ask yourself, where else does that kind of thing happen?

If they are bored, it's because they can't wait for the bell to ring and school to end!

As for the 'low order of mental ability' stuff, I'd suggest that you need to get a grip and come to terms with your human status angst: doesn't it occur to you that WE are the lab rats here?

While I'm on my high horse, I'd suggest you read some Vonnegut to get some perspective. Better than that, read everything he wrote and come back to the question afresh.

Why do I recommend a crash course in King Kurt? Because if all this prattle were taking place in a Kilgore Trout tale, your 'experiments' would be the work of fourth grade social anthropologists from Zeta Reticuli undertaken with the sole intention of winning a prize for the most hilarious 'explanation' elicited by a set of random interventions in human affairs. And you might just be the winner...

_ _

Gerald O'Connell http://www.onlyport.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m24-018.shtml[10/12/2011 12:43:17]

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Gerald O'Connell <<u>goc</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 17:03:20 +0000 Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 12:22:47 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:39:16 -0600
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>Likewise, those dim-witted - or maybe they're lying - mental->health professionals whom Budd brought into the research and who >have worked with him all these years. Obviously, they can't be >trusted, since they were, uh, _actually there_ and not in >London, where critics are free from the baleful influence of the >rude colonials.

I'm not sure you are being fair here. The Magonian tendency owes its very name to the work of an American who is really French. Have you no idea of the hurdles of psychosocial prejudice that a Londoner needs to overcome in order to nail his flag to such a mast?

--

Gerald O'Connell http://www.onlyport.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:13:11 -0400
Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:10:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:56:57 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 14:30:26 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>Well psychiatry did it for years before it actually became a >>medical tool. They have been blundering around in people's heads >>experimentally for over one hundred years.

>>The history of psychiatry is truely frightening.

>I like to simply think that we're all crazy, and be done with it.

>More to the point: Medication for minor emotional issues is a >scandal of the first order. Surveys show that the most common >antidepressants only work in extreme cases. For most people, >counseling and maybe meditation would do as well. At least >that's what the surveys show, although the drug companies >probably hope people won't notice when they buy their \$300-a->month snake oil.

>I'm especially concerned when I hear the side effects in TV ads. >May result in suicidal tendencies, for instance.

Hi Gene,

You are probably aware of how many kids in school these days are on Ritalin. Now kids have ADD, Attention Deficit Disorder rather than being boisterous when I was a kid in school. Kids are always in therapy these days for problems we just considered normal back in the day.

I'm sure the psychiatric profession has improved somewhat since the 1980s; their publicity profile has gotten better on TV after all. Notice how there's always a quick solution to crime problems on Law and Order by the shrink on that program. Easy as pie. Hopefully it has improved since the Stanford Experiment back in the late 1960s.

One of my jobs years ago was to record the meetings of the Law Amendments Committee, the final arbiter for laws with public input before a Bill went back to the house for 2nd and 3rd reading. Once case was of interest to the psychiatric physicians at the provincially run Mental Hospital. The physicians wanted to continue doing lobotomies (see One Flew Over The Coo-Coo's Nest) claiming that their intentions were good. The Chairman of Law Amendments told the doctor that the road to hell was paved with good intentions. "This is my bill and if I ever hear of another case of one of you guys sticking an icepick into someone's brain one of my Crown Prosecutors will have you up on attempted murder charges."

The seven or eight sources (including a GP - and two nurses at

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

said Provincial Hospital) I rely on never seem to have anything good to say about psychiatry.

But this is my opinion. I'm not saying that just anyone should be poking around in someone's head. But since the professionals have been avoiding the abduction issue like the plague, someone had to fill the vacuum.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 24

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 12:20:35 -0600 Archived: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:15:44 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:57:32 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:39:16 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

Sigh, John,

>>Speaking of desperate attempts, I note here the ham-handed >>rhetorical strategy that seeks, yet again, to conflate Hopkins >>and Jacobs, who though friends are not one individual and whose >>methods and conclusions are not the same. Nice try, though, >>John.

>>And speaking of moral morass, I note here again that your idea
>>of a moral arbiter in these matters is character assassin Phil
>>Klass. I doubt that even his friends judged him that. I did hear
>>a prominent skeptic once characterized him as "despicable,"
>>though.

>Erm, exactly where did I say that Phil Klass was a "moral >arbiter", Jerry or did you just make that up? I think if you >read through the collected wisdom of Magonia you'll find that we >criticise Klass as much as we agree with him.

Uh, you piped in with a quote from Klass early in this discussion, which focuses in good part on the abominable character of abduction investigators and of the need - rhetorically, at least - to teach them a lesson at the end of a rope, surrounded by howling townsfolk, presumably led by Alfred Lehmberg.

You have since paraphrased Klass's charge that abductions and abduction investigators are playing a "dangerous game" which, one infers, gives people indigestion, causes throat irritation, runny noses, and toothaches, generates baldness, hastens global warming, emboldens terrorists, leads citizens to watch dumb sitcoms and to vote in especially idiotic politicians, and on down the list of all bad things that afflict individual humans and the human race in general.

I confess I don't understand why you so merrily cite somebody in the context of moral arbitration _if you don't agree that he is a moral arbiter_ - and then dare to complain when your citation is brought to your attention. Then again, I'm no Magonian, which means I can handle only so much cognitive dissonance.

(Incidentally, I'm willing to wager that more grave complaints of misconduct are filed every year - I'm speaking percentagewise, obviously - against physicians, psychiatrists, and psychologists than against abduction researchers. [By the way, I have a wonderful story from my personal experience about what happened when a disbelieving psychiatrist met two abductees a decade before anybody outside immediate family and the art world Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

knew what a "Budd Hopkins" is, but that's for another time. I also have a story about medical incompetence from sad Clark family history, but that's too personal to relate.] In Magonia logic, one can only conclude that going to see a medical professional must indeed be a dangerous game. It's striking even to me how relatively little of this spills over into abduction matters. That's why, I suppose, hands wave and loose talk gets expelled, sans compelling evidence to match, about massive psychic damage to people whose experiences and ideas the Magonians of the world otherwise would be treating with open derision and condescension. Of course, as we all know, by "damage" is meant this: some people report experiences Magonians disapprove of; thus, only damaged people could possibly believe they've had abduction experiences, and only villainous, manipulative ufologists who interact with them could encourage them by taking them seriously.)

As for the remarkable claim that "we criticize Klass as much as we agree with him": nope, sorry; beyond the rare, brief dissent, I don't see it. Remember, I have been reading Magonia since it was MUFOB. Once upon a time, it is true, Magonia sought - at least rhetorically - to position itself between proponents and debunkers, which if true would have made for an interesting, arguably needed perspective. That, sad to say, was long ago. I well recall my disappointed realization that the pretense had been dropped when Magonia fell all over itself burbling praise for Klass's anti-abduction screed - whose title, as noted, you recently quoted as if the defining word on the ethics of abductionology.

>>I guess the larger conclusion we are to draw from the collected >>wisdom of Rimmer and Klass is that anomalous experiences exist >>in the world because evil people force innocent people to >>imagine them.

>Speaking of desperate attempts, I note here the ham-handed >rhetorical strategy that seeks, yet again, to conflate Magonia >and Phil Klass, who were not friends were not one individual and >whose methods and conclusions are not the same. Nice try, though, Jerry.

Cool gambit, John, though I must say it's amusing to find you suddenly struggling to put a whole lot of distance between yourself and Klass. I imagine, alas, that this positioning will last approximately as long as this thread survives, at which point it will disappear into the memory hole.

>>>If you cannot see the problems with Hopkins' methodology, and >>>how it has defined the development of the abduction phenomenon >>into the dangerous monster it has become today, then you have >>clearly not read Hopkins's book as carefully as you would have >>us think.

>>And what "dangerous monster" would that be? That some people
>>have experiences you don't approve of? That some other people
>>actually give them sympathetic attention and try to understand
>>what these experiences may be about? That healing may come out
>>of that simply because investigators actually _listen_, as
>>opposed to, say, dismiss, ridicule, and demean?

>And that some people are bolstering other peoples' beliefs that >they are being abducted/attacked/impregnated/controlled by alien >beings. I don't believe that[,] Jerry, nor do you.

Ah yes, it's so simple, just one thing or the other, in Magoniaworld, isn't it?

Meantime, other people are bolstering beliefs that people who report these and other kinds of anomalous experiences are lying, or if not that demented, ridiculous, too bubble-headed to comprehend or relate an experience with anything approaching accuracy, and thus are to be pitied/ridiculed/turned over to psychiatrists and/or the tender mercies of the disbelief traditionalists who gather at the Pelican Pub to congratulate each other on how rational they are. Those persons who feel that abduction researchers like Hopkins have done well by them, and who dare to state as much on Kay Wilson's website, this List, and elsewhere, render you - as you've revealingly acknowledged on this List - nauseous. No, folks, it's not brain science, it's stomach science. It's depressing how large a role contempt for people's experiences, coupled with demonization of those who listen sympathetically to them, figures in the theology of "psychosocial ufologists," a.k.a. amateur psychologists and sociologist who pretend not to be garden-variety debunkers. It's topped with the kind of simplistic black-and-white either/or analysis you (and not only you) bring to the discussion. _Somebody must be at fault. Let's get him!_ Sorry, John; I don't do lynch mobs.

>>Pretty scary, I'll admit. But I'll concede that the list of >>alleged abductees who complain that Budd Hopkins has ruined >>their lives is too long to count. For example, there's... >>well... um... and ... uh... well, I'm sure they're out there >>somewhere, the legions of the suffering who haven't figured out >>yet how Hopkins ruined their lives because, after all, they're >>too stupid and insensitive to read Magonia.

>If people are convinced that alien beings (or maybe some other >sort of non-human intelligence) are abducting/raping them, why >should they blame the person who supports them in their belief?

Jeezus, could you be any more condescending? No wonder you tell us that those people make you want to grab what you quaintly call a "sick-bag." I would imagine you have the same effect on them. Neither of you is better off for that.

Your argument lacks basic coherence, I'm afraid. First, we are to believe that unprincipled abduction researchers are forcing people to have imaginary experiences they wouldn't have had otherwise. Then, according to you, we are to believe that these people already _had_ these experiences, which they interpreted literally (as most people would, quite understandably [to all non-Magonians anyway] under the bizarre circumstances), and the abduction researchers _merely concurred with their interpretations_.

The latter failed to understand, I take it, that it was their responsibility to ignore them, demean them, feel sorry for them, straighten them out, or dispatch them to the nearest psychiatrist or psychosocial ufologist, where the poor sap would get to recite his or her (of course delusional) experience for five minutes, then be privileged to listen for the next 55 as the authority figure tells him or her what he or she _really_ experienced.

That's why it took centuries, to cite a famous instance, for the Old Hag phenomenon to be explained, or mostly explained. The skeptics reinvented the experience, then judged delusional, and proceed to "explain" what they'd just made up. Knowledge did not advance thereby.

<snip>

>>The late John Rimmer managed to define himself as both
>>"psychosocial ufologist" and "skeptic" and never once
>>giggled.

>Maybe before we both shuffle of this mortal coil, you could >explain exactly what you mean by this frequently repeated trope.

I've been waiting for you to respond in precisely this fashion. Thank you, John. I think I'll let you stew about it. Or you can forget about it instantly. It makes no difference to me. All I will say is that any reader whose concern for the psychosociology of the centuries-old debate about the reception of anomalous/unconventional experiences rises above mere polemical convenience will know _exactly_ what I mean.

And one last note: credit where due, I give you points for not denying you're a skeptic. Extra points when you drop the phony "psychosocial" label.

Now, can't we go do something else?

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 25

Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:37:22 -0400
Archived: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 08:45:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 16:48:10 +0000
>Subject: Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 21:25:13 +0000
>>Subject: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

>>Depending on where the craft is coming from, we humans could be
>>dealing with pilots exhibiting aberrant behavior. Entities
>>unable to interact with humans in any acceptable social way. It
>>is claimed these entities have been interacting with humans for
>>many years. Dr. Hebb's research goes a long way as a possible
>>explanation of the aberrant behavior of so-called "aliens"
>>interaction with humans. They continue to do the same
>>experiments over and over. This implies that these entities are
>>not very intelligent and are of a low order of mental ability.

>Here we have the anthropocentric conceit in spades.

>So, to deal with the logic of the issue in your own terms (terms
>that are laughable at best, but we'll leave that aside for the
>purposes of elucidation) 'They continue to do the same
>experiments over and over' do they? Now, ask yourself, where
>else does that kind of thing happen?

>If they are bored, it's because they can't wait for the bell to >ring and school to end!

>As for the 'low order of mental ability' stuff, I'd suggest that >you need to get a grip and come to terms with your human status >angst: doesn't it occur to you that WE are the lab rats here?

>While I'm on my high horse, I'd suggest you read some Vonnegut >to get some perspective. Better than that, read everything he >wrote and come back to the question afresh.

>Why do I recommend a crash course in King Kurt? Because if all >this prattle were taking place in a Kilgore Trout tale, your >'experiments' would be the work of fourth grade social >anthropologists from Zeta Reticuli undertaken with the sole >intention of winning a prize for the most hilarious >'explanation' elicited by a set of random interventions in human >affairs. And you might just be the winner...

>--

>Gerald O'Connell
>http://www.onlyport.com

All of the above aside, Kathy's absurd notion that the same "craft" would fly all the way from some planet light years away and then enter our airspace, beggers belief.

This same thinking would have seen Grumman Hellcats or Avengers flying all the way from California to attack Japanese targets on Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

Iwo Jima 7,000 miles away. The Hellcat for example had a ferry range (no bombs or guns-just fuel) of about 1,300 miles. Add the ordinance and you drop to about 450 miles.

So, aircraft carriers were invented.

Think this through and how it might apply to the UFO phenomenon and how things might work.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 25

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Jerome Clark <<u>ikclark</u>.nul> Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 13:48:26 -0600 Archived: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 08:47:21 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 17:03:20 +0000
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 05:39:16 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>>Likewise, those dim-witted - or maybe they're lying - mental->>health professionals whom Budd brought into the research and who >>have worked with him all these years. Obviously, they can't be >>trusted, since they were, uh, _actually there_ and not in >>London, where critics are free from the baleful influence of the >>rude colonials.

>I'm not sure you are being fair here. The Magonian tendency owes its >very name to the work of an American who is really French. Have you no >idea of the hurdles of psychosocial prejudice that a Londoner needs to >overcome in order to nail his flag to such a mast?

Hi, Gerald,

Many thanks for this. It's had me chuckling for the last couple of hours.

You made my day.

Cordially,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 25

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 16:40:09 -0500
Archived: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 09:05:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:13:11 -0400
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:56:57 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 14:30:26 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>>Well psychiatry did it for years before it actually became a >>>medical tool. They have been blundering around in people's heads >>>experimentally for over one hundred years.

>>>The history of psychiatry is truely frightening.

>>I like to simply think that we're all crazy, and be done with it.

>>More to the point: Medication for minor emotional issues is a
>>scandal of the first order. Surveys show that the most common
>>antidepressants only work in extreme cases. For most people,
>>counseling and maybe meditation would do as well. At least
>>that's what the surveys show, although the drug companies
>>probably hope people won't notice when they buy their \$300-a>>month snake oil.

>>I'm especially concerned when I hear the side effects in TV ads. >>May result in suicidal tendencies, for instance.

>Hi Gene,

>You are probably aware of how many kids in school these days are >on Ritalin. Now kids have ADD, Attention Deficit Disorder rather >than being boisterous when I was a kid in school. Kids are >always in therapy these days for problems we just considered >normal back in the day.

>I'm sure the psychiatric profession has improved somewhat since >the 1980s; their publicity profile has gotten better on TV after >all. Notice how there's always a quick solution to crime >problems on Law and Order by the shrink on that program. Easy as >pie. Hopefully it has improved since the Stanford Experiment >back in the late 1960s.

>One of my jobs years ago was to record the meetings of the Law >Amendments Committee, the final arbiter for laws with public >input before a Bill went back to the house for 2nd and 3rd >reading. Once case was of interest to the psychiatric physicians >at the provincially run Mental Hospital. The physicians wanted >to continue doing lobotomies (see One Flew Over The Coo-Coo's >Nest) claiming that their intentions were good. The Chairman of >Law Amendments told the doctor that the road to hell was paved >with good intentions. "This is my bill and if I ever hear of >another case of one of you guys sticking an icepick into >someone's brain one of my Crown Prosecutors will have you up on >attempted murder charges."

>The seven or eight sources (including a GP - and two nurses at >said Provincial Hospital) I rely on never seem to have anything >good to say about psychiatry.

>But this is my opinion. I'm not saying that just anyone should >be poking around in someone's head. But since the professionals >have been avoiding the abduction issue like the plague, someone >had to fill the vacuum.

Hi Don,

There have been numerous professionals involved in the Abduction arena. Let's not forget the 1992 MIT Abduction Conference and the papers that were generated:

MIT Abduction Conference Publication 'Marks New Era of Thinking' About UFO-Related Issues (Book Review of Alien Discussions)

John Archer

Summary: On June 13 - 17, 1992, a conference was held at MIT in Cambridge, Massachusetts, focusing on the Alien Abduction Experience [AAE]. "Alien Discussions" contains the papers delivered at the conference and transcripts of author/audience discussion about the papers. This book is huge: 683 large size (8.5 X 11) pages counting Index and glossary. But, of course, it is the quality of the papers that give the book its value.

Alien Discussions: Proceedings of the Abduction Study Conference"

Edited by Andrea Pritchard, David E. Pritchard, John E. Mack, Pam Kasey, and Claudia Yapp.

Published by North Cambridge Press, Box 241, Cambridge, MA 02140 (617) 354-6007. Limited Edition Hardcover \$69.95.

Available direct from the publisher or from Arcturus Book Service, 1443 S.E. Port St. Lucie Blvd., Port St. Lucie, FL 34952 (407) 398-0796.

Review by John Archer

Every once in a while a book comes along that defines an era. Freud's "Interpretation of Dreams" was one such book. It closed the books on prior strands of dream theorizing and started a new era in which thinkers, whether for or against, defined themselves in relation to Freud's ideas.

"Alien Discussions" is another such book. Its publication marks the transition to a new era in thinking about UFO related issues.

On June 13 - 17, 1992, a conference was held at MIT in Cambridge, Massachusetts, focusing on the Alien Abduction Experience [AAE]. "Alien Discussions" contains the papers delivered at the conference and transcripts of author/audience discussion about the papers.

This book is huge: 683 large size (8.5 X 11) pages counting Index and glossary. But, of course, it is the quality of the papers that give the book its value.

John G. Miller, MD, leads off with a discussion of 'The Realization Event' that makes a person come to suspect or decide that he or she has been abducted. Many of the factors that bring about the realization event are problematic for researchers. These include exposure to books and movies with abduction related themes, contact with other abductees and hypnotic retrieval of memories.

The book includes a lengthy section on the medical examinations described by abductees. Four papers detail the variety of aliens depicted in AAE reports and several experiencers give poignant

accounts of the impact of the experience on self, family, friends and lifestyles.

Thomas E. Bullard, the noted folklorist, has a piece on anomalous cases, such as 'psychic abductions' -- out-of-body experiences where the experiencer's report contains themes familiar from abduction reports, but where it is known with certainty that the experiencer's body was not removed from its surrounding. It may have been under observation of a witness while incapacitated due to medical emergency, for example.

Budd Hopkins has a short piece on the Return of the Abductee. It focuses mostly on cases where the abductee was not 'returned' properly to the setting from which s/he was taken; i.e., people being left stranded in the woods in a nightgown a mile from their home; women waking up and finding other women's nightwear in the room; people waking up with their nightwear missing. There is also a class of cases where the abductee's car seems to have been deposited in a place difficult to drive to without leaving tire tracks.

Eventually, the conference focuses attention on methodological issues and, to no one's surprise, most of the attention focused on the reliability of hypnosis as an investigative tool.

Thomas E. Bullard leads off this sections with a paper demonstrating that the dangers of hypnosis are overstated. His case rests on the idea that reports from hypnotized subjects do not differ from those reported by non-hypnotized subjects. There were significant differences between the reports of abductees and the so-called hypnotized non-abductee from whom an experimenter tries to elicit a false abduction report.

Bullard even managed to test arch-skeptic Phillip Klass's prediction that there would be correlations between the hypnotist's personality traits and the themes in the abductee's report. No such correlations were found.

Papers by David Webb and Stuart Appelle support this theme. Dr. Appelle's paper challenges the skeptics' claim that experimental evidence now on hand already establishes the unreliability of hypnosis as a tool for enhancing memory. Dr. Appelle shows that existing evidence points to no definitive conclusion and some may even support this use of hypnosis.

But some evidence clearly goes the other way.

Jenny Randles offered a first person account of her experiences going to a hypnotist for assistance in recalling an incident that she witnessed 10 years earlier. She then compared the transcript of her hypnotic session with the notes she made 48 hours after the sighting but which she hadn't reviewed since. She found that many details were recalled inaccurately.

Finally, Ann Druffel points out some of the dangers of using hypnosis, such as psychological side effects for the subjects.

Clearly more research is called for.

A selection of papers on Psychological Issues shows that little evidence has been found to specify a personality profile for the abductee, but there is good evidence that some abductees were suffering from serious psychiatric illnesses. However, more research would be needed to indicate whether psychiatric illness is more or less prevalent in the abductee population compared to the non-abductee population.

A paper by Gwen L. Dean, a clinical psychologist, comparing the phenomenology of AAEs with accounts of Ritual Abuse by surviors really opened my eyes to the many points they have in common -and the many points of difference. Both types of experience are associated with reports of Out-of-Body Experiencing, but no one knows whether two different types of trauma each produce OBEs in some experiencers or whether the OBE is the core event that produces an experience that is recalled as an abduction or as ritual abuse depending on the experiencer.

The possible connection between OBEs and AAEs certainly needs further exploration.

A second very interesting paper by Ann Druffel describes cases

in which experiencers used various techniques to fight off entities who were about to abduct them. Interestingly enough, these cases all involved attempted abductions from the experiencer's bedroom. And some of the techniques used are identical to those that are used to resist 'Old Hag Attacks', a subjective experience whose physiological correlate is REM sleep paralysis. This state of Awareness during Sleep Paralysis [ASP] is itself intimately related to both the OBE and the more common Lucid Dream Experience.

David Hufford has a very interesting paper exploring the 'Bedroom Visitor' phenomenon from precisely this angle. Up to now, skeptics have dismissed abduction reports as due to sleep paralysis, but Hufford shows that sleep paralysis is simply the physiological correlate of a real experience.

Although the conference occurred three years ago, it could become a factor in the controversy surrounding Dr. John Mack, who is being investigated by Harvard University for possible failure to approach abduction related phenomenon in as scientific a manner as is expected of a Harvard professor.

Dr. Mack has three pieces in the book. It was here at this conference that he gave his famous "Why the Abduction Phenomenon Cannot Be Explained Psychiatrically" address.

He rejects Jung's idea that we may be seeing the emergence of a myth for our times on the grounds that Jung's idea destroys the distinction between internal (psyche) and external (material) reality. Yet, in his closing address he challenges us to adopt a new world view going beyond dualism because the abduction phenomenon shows that we are connected with a spiritual dimension as well as the material dimension we all share.

Well, recognizing the spiritual dimension is certainly a constructive step, but that *is* dualism, not an argument against dualism.

His third paper is potentially more troublesome. Having decided that the abduction phenomenon can't be explained away as a purely psychiatric phenomenon (probably true, though the question the Harvard committee is ostensibly asking is 'Would you share your evidence with us, John?'), Dr. Mack then presents a relatively long paper describing his approach to counseling patients to help them over the various anxiety and stress disorders they may have as a result of their undeniable trauma.

What is conspicuously lacking in his proposal is any provision for outcome or effectiveness research. Is his approach any better for the client than the approach of a psychiatrist who does think the abduction phenomenon is explainable in psychiatric terms? Is his approach better than no counseling other than the support of a self help type group of fellow abductees? There are many unanswered questions, and skeptics may want this volume simply to read Dr. Mack standing behind a podium failing to address those questions.

I haven't had space to mention many other interesting papers in this volume. I strongly recommend that anyone seriously interested in the abduction issue get this book.

John Archer Trionic Research Institute

There have also been a handful of published papers on the subject, but none would likely please most researchers in this genre. The MIT publication Alien Discussions is not easily found, but worth the search.

Painting psychiatry with a single color is probably unwise, but I would tend to agree that it seems to founded more in beliefs than measurements (if that s the right word).

Steve

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 25

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 17:22:25 -0600
Archived: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 09:17:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:44:40 -0700
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:44:40 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 09:25:23 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>Stuff and _flaccid_ nonsense, Sir. Your pompous proclamation
>>only makes a _lesser_ 'such and so'.

>Your comment doesn't alter the accuracy of my statements about >audio editing.

Nor does it detract from the _irrelevancy_ of same, Mr. Steinberg! Jacobs admits to _everything_. Where the admission is not forthcoming, he's on tape self-admitted.

>And, yes, I can claim to be knowledgeable on the >subject, since I have done both analog and digital audio editing >for several decades, and I did learn a thing or two along the >way.

More preening irrelevancy Sir, and embarrassing for that. Your expertise, only ever brought up by you, is not _remotely_ germane.

>>Moreover, all of your above is stupefyingly immaterial given >>that the core issue is _plain_ and admitted.

>>The core issue, Mr. Steinberg, is that a woman with every
>>expectation of professional behavior and in a state of extremely
>>high suggestibility... was knowingly, gleefully, and
>>_admittedly_ thrown under a bus of wild-card psychological
>>pathology at the convenience of he whom you so stridently
>>defend.

>The real core issue is that there are two sides to every story, >often more, and most of the information out there covers one >side.

Facile. Simplistic. Superficial, Mr. Steinberg. Both sides have explicated for years: Woods in factual audits and largely uncontested recorded data sets, and Jacobs, less effectively, in angry proclamations regarding his non-expert speculations of Woods "mental illness", supported, it is said, by a host of mental health professionals... all entirely on board, mind you, with hypotic suggestions to Woods that Woods had a _severe_ psychological pathology and that she required professional care. Excuse me?! C'mon!

How can you let this slide as "He said, she said"?

Additionally, I submit that Jacobs has had ample opportunity to cover his 'side', such that it is, in the last year _anyway_, and, moreover, has a host of celebrants, such as yourself, to cover a... let's call it a "weak procedural backfield" littered with inappropriate, unprofessional, and unsettling proclivities... to be kind.

>Jacobs says he is working on a greatly expanded defense of >his position, and I await its release before coming to any final >decision about this.

I eagerly anticipate one that is 'backward compatible' with the proclivities alluded to above.

>More to the point, stop making up stories about me.

First? You must stop making up stories about me making up stories about you! You are defined by your entirely one-sided activities and expressions, Mr. Steinberg. Don't count on me to cover or make excuses for _your_ action.

>There
>is no "student" defense of Jacobs on my part, or any other
>defense.

Strident, Mr. Steinberg, strident.

Too, we must agree to disagree, it seems, as the more _plausible_ perception is the you have provided a defacto defense historically, ongoingly, and currently. You provide defense as it pertains to soft-ball questioning on your pod cast. You provide defense in the canted operation of your message board. You are all defense brushing off valid concerns regarding psychological abuse as, say, 'two sides to every story'... I _sincerely_ hope there are no women living in your stair-well regularly beat up by their husbands.

>I have made it clear that I do not agree with his >conclusions about hybrid aliens, the value of hypnotic >regression (by phone or otherwise) to recover lost >memories, and I also believe Jacobs should have brought

None of this is germane, remotely relevant, or in any way pertinent, Mr. Steinberg. Your observations are noted as tepid 20/20 hindsight, at best. At worst, what? We can go there if you want.

>a mental health therapist on board when he first started >working with 'Woods', a woman who is clearly very, very troubled.

You are basing your estimation of "troubled", on _what_ exactly, Mr. Steinberg? Jacobs' diagnosis as supported by a team of unnamed mental health experts entirely... OK... with in-expert hypnotists hypnotically suggesting dangerous pathologies to persons in their charge? Or maybe you have some clinical experience of your own. Stay in a Holiday Inn recently?

>>All of _that_ is concurrent, subsequent and antecedent to, IMO
>>and in the opinion of significant others, other rapes, assaults.
>>and malfeasant infidelities.

>>Clearer, Sir, is that your credulity stunning and inexplicable
>>denial is indicative of the place where you have _your_ head,
>>eh?

>And so another rant from Lehmberg without substance and hardly a >shred of accuracy.

Yeah - uh-huh... and so another dodge from Steinberg ironically sans a similar substance but _fraught_ with all manner of wholly irrelevant accuracies... this is going on a little longer than you'd gotten used to at the Paracast board, eh?

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast At:

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 25

UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

From: Robert Hastings <ufohastings.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 22:05:15 -0500 (EST)
Archived: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 09:23:17 -0500
Subject: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

All,

In my book I mention the memo that a Lt. Anspaugh, assigned to Project Bluebook, wrote regarding UFO sightings at FE Warren on August 1, 1965. The project's civilian consultant, Dr. J. Allen Hynek, published the contents in 1972 but I had never seen the original notes.

Frank Warren of The UFO Chronicles located those and just posted them:

http://tinyurl.com/63yzryc

Robert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:04:51 +0100 Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 06:51:46 -0500 Subject: Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

>From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 12:11:40 -0800
>Subject: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids IMs [was: Budd Hopkins]

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2011 16:11:17 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 10:22:08 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 12:16:14 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

><snip>

>The question of who sent Dr. Jacobs the series of instant >messages (IM's) allegedly from "alien hybrids" is explored >quite thoroughly by 'Emma Woods' on her website:

>http://tinyurl.com/4ruc5rr

>'Emma Woods' concluded that it was 'Elizabeth Smith' (also aka >'Becky' and 'Karen', according to Woods) that sent Jacobs the >IM's, possibly in some strange effort to 'alienate' Jacobs from >dealing further with Woods. 'Smith' was Jacobs assistant, audio >tape transcriber, and webmaster.

>'Elizabeth Smith' took down her blog when this controversy first >began to emerge, and has remained very quiet about her role in >this burgeoning scandal. Smith's involvement, particularly if >she did send Jacobs those controversial IM's, really needs to be >explored and addressed.

Steve

Thank you for this link. I have tried to compare the versions of David Jacobs and Emma Woods.

On reading David Jacobs again, I realize that, contrary to my first impression, he does not state explicitly that Emma sent them to his webmaster Elizabeth who, in turn, sent them to him.

For her part, Emma Woods admits that she has been threatened by Hybrids, warning her not to cooperate, first with Elizabeth, and later with David, but she thinks that Elizabeth received threatening mails sent directly by Hybrids, and even suspects her to have written them herself to David. That's already a bizarre story, but that is not all.

On the question of threatening emails received directly by David Jacobs on his computer, David says that he received a series of

Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

such emails wich came from Emma's computer, but that she does not remember having sent them. Emma admits that she is prone to sleep disorders, and that she may have sent emails while she was asleep!

Well, this is really too much. I just cannot believe Emma's story. On the other hand, perhaps David Jacobs does not tell, or does not have the whole story, but his version, as he tells it, makes sense to me.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Minot AFB Case

From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas.nul></u> Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:27:09 +0100 Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 06:55:35 -0500 Subject: Re: Minot AFB Case

>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 13:21:54 -0000
>Subject: Minot AFB Case [was: McGonagle's Ufological Stand]

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 12:10:14 +0100
>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Martin Shough <<u>parcellular</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 16:18:29 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 11:04:52 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: McGonagle's Ufological Stand

<snip>

>>>>There is plenty of information available on that extraordinary >>>>case.

>>>>For instance in these books:

>>>- Scientific Ufology by Kevin Randle (1999), pages 60 to 70;

>>>- UFOs and Nukes by Robert Hastings (2010) chapter 15.

>>>>Hastings refers to the report of the main researchers, Thomas >>>>Tullien and James Klotz, "The Minot UFO Incident Report"

>>>This is very disappointing. I didn't ask you for book titles. I >>>explained that I've had some personal involvement with aspects >>>of this project and a lot of contact with Tom, as well as with >>>Jim when he was still on board, over a number of years. You >>should assume from this that I know a bit about it. So when I >>>sked you to nominate a specific feature or aspect of the case, >>>that for you makes it such a stand-out argument for ET, so that >>>we could have a focused discussion, I didn't mean "can you >>>recommend some introductory reading". Why would you think I >>>meant that?

<snip>

>>but, since
>>you insist, well, I do think it's a pretty good show of a UFO
>>with capacities far beyond any human craft. In other words, of
>>ET origin. You can quote that now.

>>Another point: the testimony of the copilot Bradford Runyon, >>prime time on ABC coast to coast (also passed on French TV now) >>is a convincing proof of the reality of the case, coming >>together with a series of other testimonies.

>>What more do yo want? A confirmation by the French President >>Sarkozy?

Re: Minot AFB Case

<snip>

>Ah, so there was no mistake. You _do_ regard the case as a proof >of ET. At this point I would like to have been able to say, "OK, >then, let's get one with it - now you can answer my question and >we can have that discussion." But frankly your response, even >now, is so empty and utterly pathetic that I see no hope of any >kind of fruitful examination of the question with you. It's my >fault. I should have known better.

>

Well, I will stick to my "utterly pathetic" response.

Yes, the Minot AFB cases of 1968, and of 1966, and many other similar cases (see also the book of Robert Salas on Malmstrom AFB), all point to a surveillance of nuclear weapons, very plausibly by ETs. Not by "little people" from Magonia.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Are These UFOs?

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul> Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:39:21 -0000 Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 06:56:36 -0500 Subject: Are These UFOs?

Dear List,

The photographer who took this picture has contacted one of my colleagues in the hope that some light can be shed on this mystery photograph that has been taken by himself.

You can find the photograph here:

http://tinyurl.com/6jb7pq6

The photograph displays some unexplained lights on the picture both from left to right, there are around two or three objects in this picture that look odd.

Bill is a professional photographer so please bare that in mind when arriving at your decision! Please check out his work via the same site link.

Possible answers are welcome and all will be sent direct to bill as he will be highly interested in your answers.

Thanks in advance for your great professional help.

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

PRG Update - February 25, 2011

From: **Stephen G Bassett** <<u>PRG</u>.nul> Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 06:00:16 -0800 Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:01:40 -0500 Subject: PRG Update - February 25, 2011

PRG Paradigm Research Group

PRG Update - February 25, 2011

Reporting from the International UFO Congress - February 23-27 www.ufocongress.com

PRG Executive Director Stephen Bassett will debate Col. John Alexander at 1 pm MDT during the IUFOC cCongress near Phoenix, AZ. Five camera teams will be filming the debate. So far it is quite clear the newly relocated IUFOC is an exceptional conference, well managed, and which will have an attendance approaching one thousand.

Open Letter to Senator Scott Brown Examiner: <u>http://preview.tinyurl.com/69x9txf</u>

In order to raise public awareness PRG has published across the Internet an open letter to Senator Scott Brown. The basis for this letter is self-explanatory.

Dave Masko of HULIQ

http://www.paradigmresearchgroup.org/newsitems2011.html

There is a new writer in the mainstream Internet media mix that is covering the UFO/ET issues. He joins Billy Cox of the Sarasota Herald Tribune, Lee Spiegel of AOL News, Cherlyn Gardner Strong of the Tucson Citizen and others writing regularly in this genre. Check out Dave Masko at HULIQ.

Are You Feeling Less Lonely These Days?

http://tinyurl.com/6cat65w

A cosmic census underway estimates the number of planets in the Milky Way at 50 billion with 500 million of those planets in life conducive orbits. Let's see, what was the name of that scientist who wrote the book Rare Earth a while back, the one the debunkers liked so much?

PRG's Facebook Page

http://tinyurl.com/6hf5egr

All on the PRG Update list are encouraged to sign on to (like) the PRG Facebook page at:

MUSE Wins the Grammy

http://www.theepochtimes.com/n2/content/view/51208/

PRG Update - February 25, 2011

(Exo-Politics) www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Dqi0XGqFt3Es

The UK group Muse has won the 2011 Grammy for Best Rock Album - The Resistance. This is notable because Muse created the song Exo-Politics. Also notable are the album's songs:

Uprising, 2. Resistance, 3. Undisclosed Desires
 United States of Eurasia (+Collateral Damage)
 Guiding Light, 6. Unnatural Selection, 7. MK Ultra
 I Belong to You (+Mon c=9Cur s'ouvre =E0 ta voix)
 Exogenesis: Symphony Part 1 (Overture)
 Exogenesis: Symphony Part 2 (Cross-Pollination)
 Exogenesis: Symphony Part 3 (Redemption)

PRG is seeing a developing engagement of a range of relevant issues by the 'millennials' (those born during the closing years of the 20th Century and now coming of age) who are fans of groups such as Muse. Their ability with computers, the Internet and the Social Networks will be a powerful force for truth.

Exopolitics United States Network www.exopoliticsunitedstates.net www.exopoliticsoklahoma.us

The EUSN welcomes Oklahoma and site webmaster Zach Jacobsen to the Network.

Paradigm Research Group 4938 Hampden Lane, #161, Bethesda, MD 20814 <u>PRG</u>.nul 202-215-8344 www.paradigmresearchgroup.org

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 07:33:46 -0700
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:04:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 17:22:25 =E2=88=920600
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>Nor does it detract from the _irrelevancy_ of same, Mr. >Steinberg! Jacobs admits to _everything_. Where the admission is >not forthcoming, he's on tape self-admitted.

Actually he says that the recordings are taken out of context.

>More preening irrelevancy Sir, and embarrassing for that. Your >expertise, only ever brought up by you, is not _remotely_ >germane.

You are the one who referred to digital experts. You made it germane, or don't you read what you write before it spews forth?

>Facile. Simplistic. Superficial, Mr. Steinberg. Both sides have >explicated for years: Woods in factual audits and largely >uncontested recorded data sets, and Jacobs, less effectively, in >angry proclamations regarding his non-expert speculations of >Woods "mental illness", supported, it is said, by a host of >mental health professionals... all entirely on board, mind you, >with hypnotic suggestions to Woods that Woods had a _severe_ >psychological pathology and that she required professional care. >Excuse me?! C'mon!

You still don't get it. When someone is faced with the possibility that they might be named in a legal action, the advice of a lawyer is usually to just shut up! That puts Jacobs in a very dangerous position here, and one that anyone who faced the possibility of a lawsuit might understand. He's ignoring the advice writing what he has so far.

>How can you let this slide as "He said, she said"?

Because he has a version and she has a version. Simple!

>Additionally, I submit that Jacobs has had ample opportunity to >cover his 'side', such that it is, in the last year _anyway_, >and, moreover, has a host of celebrants, such as yourself, to >cover a... let's call it a "weak procedural backfield" littered >with inappropriate, unprofessional, and unsettling >proclivities... to be kind.

Read my paragraph above, and Jacobs' own statement on the issue.

>I eagerly anticipate one that is 'backward compatible' with the >proclivities alluded to above.

More vomit spewing on your part.

>First? You must stop making up stories about me making up >stories about you! You are defined by your entirely one-sided >activities and expressions, Mr. Steinberg. Don't count on me to >cover or make excuses for _your_ action.

My opinion about Jacobs and his conclusions has been expressed

over and over again. You have this attitude that if someone isn't for you, they must be against you.

>>There
>>is no "student" defense of Jacobs on my part, or any other
>>defense.

>_Strident_, Mr. Steinberg, strident.

It's a typo, bud!

>Too, we must agree to disagree, it seems, as the more >_plausible_ perception is the you have provided a defacto >defense historically, ongoingly, and currently. You provide >defense as it pertains to soft-ball questioning on your pod >cast. You provide defense in the canted operation of your >message board. You are all defense brushing off valid concerns >regarding psychological abuse as, say, 'two sides to every >story'... I _sincerely_ hope there are no women living in your >stair-well regularly beat up by their husbands.

More irrelevant content without substance.

>None of this is germane, remotely relevant, or in any way >pertinent, Mr. Steinberg. Your observations are noted as >tepid 20/20 hindsight, at best. At worst, what? We can go there >if you want.

Further irrelevant babbling.

>>a mental health therapist on board when he first started >>working with 'Woods', a woman who is clearly very, very troubled.

>You are basing your estimation of "troubled", on _what_ exactly, >Mr. Steinberg? Jacobs' diagnosis as supported by a team of un->named mental health experts entirely... OK... with in-expert >hypnotists hypnotically suggesting dangerous pathologies to >persons in their charge? Or maybe you have some clinical >experience of your own. Stay in a Holiday Inn recently?

I think anyone who examines 'Woods' obsessive behavior fairly would conclude that she has issues. She has created a fake persona for herself, and used it to make herself into a celebrity. She has a Web site devoted solely to trashing Jacobs, she has written to everyone in creation on the matter and then some, posted on message boards under this fake persona and others (including ours, where she support herself under a different name), written magazine articles on gone on radio shows. All because she couldn't bring herself to just hang up the phone and stop working with an investigator whom she felt didn't treat her properly.

In the end, she may have a case, but making it the sole focal point of her life isn't going to help her if she ever hopes to take it to court.

>Yeah - uh-huh... and so another dodge from Steinberg ironically >sans a similar substance but _fraught_ with all manner of wholly >irrelevant accuracies... this is going on a little longer than >you'd gotten used to at the Paracast board, eh?

I realize having an adult conversation with you isn't possible.

Have a nice day.

Gene Steinberg

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:40:03 -0000
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:05:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:37:22 -0400
>Subject: Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

<snip>

>This same thinking would have seen Grumman Hellcats or Avengers >flying all the way from California to attack Japanese targets on >Iwo Jima 7,000 miles away.

>So, aircraft carriers were invented.
>Think this through and how it might apply to the UFO phenomenon
>and how things might work.

Right Don,

Add 'drones/automata' to that carrier concept and maybe we're getting close.

Why waste your time, sanity and physical safety on interminable journeys and unknown dangers when you can send robot ships and take command of their automata whenever needed.

BTW - some of those 'automata' might even be bio-forms - seems to fit some evidence.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Effects Of Monotony - Hebb's Research

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 18:44:09 +0000
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:08:38 -0500
Subject: Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>From: Robert Hastings <<u>ufohastings</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 22:05:15 -0500 (EST)
>Subject: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>All,

>In my book I mention the memo that a Lt. Anspaugh, assigned to >Project Bluebook, wrote regarding UFO sightings at FE Warren on >August 1, 1965. The project's civilian consultant, Dr. J. Allen >Hynek, published the contents in 1972 but I had never seen the >original notes.

>Frank Warren of The UFO Chronicles located those and just posted >them:

>http://tinyurl.com/63yzryc

Robert:

One of the documents is a single page stating that an object descended. The page ends with that tease. What happened to the object? Was it retrieved? Where is the report on what the object turned out to be?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 11:45:29 -0300
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:12:49 -0500
Subject: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

Dear Listers

I would like to inform you that there will be a great happening about Ufology in Chicago this weekend. I just arrived here with Dr. Roger Leir and Jaime Maussan, and we are expecting a few other colleagues from Italy, Mexico and California to arrive later today. Antonio Urzi, Dr. Steve Colburn, Don Schmitt are among them, plus a few top ranking military from a few countries whose identities are not known yet.

The gathering will take place tomorrow, Saturday, February 26, at the 2011 Saviors' Day Annual Convention at the Rosemont Convention Center near O'Hare Airport.

As strange it may seem, the event has been called by the honorable minister Louis Farrakhan, from the Islamic Nation, who intends to address the audience, expected in about 10,000 people, about the reality of the UFOs and the need for a total and global disclosure. It seems that Mr. Farrakhan has experienced a sighting and becam very sensible to the subject. Or there are more reasons than that.

See more:

http://www.noi.org/sd2011/

http://www.noi.org/sd2011/ufo symposium.shtml

Roger and I have been given only few details of what will happen here. We expect more info today. So far, what we know is that Mr. Farrakhan will appeal to President Barack Obama, whom he is a close friend of, to open the Nations' UFO files.

Other UFO researchers from several countries and I were brought to Chicago to witness that moment and to present, in workshops for an estimate from 4 to 5 thousand people, our best evidence.

If Mr. Farrakhan will be able to touch Obama's heart and this Adminstration's system is another thing, but I was told that all efforts will be made in that sense.

I came this morning from Phoenix, where I presented two days ago at the opening of the 20th International UFO Congress and Film Festival, organized by John Rao's Open Minds magazine, a summary of the Brazilian UFO Disclosure process initiated in 2004 and increased from 2007 to now. I have shown there what I will show here: the best evidences made available to the public by Brazilian government, including Operation Saucer in the Amazon and over a dozen well documented cases (and some not released at all during the Disclosure process, such as Varginha).

This gathering may offer us all a great surprise.

Cheers,

A. J.

UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

A. J. Gevaerd Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine E-mail 1: aj.nul E-mail 2: ajgevaerd.nul E-mail 3: ajgevaerd.nul Site: www.ufo.com.br Our communities: Yahoo: http://br.groups.yahoo.com/group/Revista_UFO Orkut: http://www.orkut.com.br/Main#Community?cmm=67462 Twitter: http://twitter.com/revistaufo Facebook: http://www.facebook.com/revistaufo

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

How The Mind Works From McMoneagle & McKenna

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 15:06:33 -0700
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:21:16 -0500
Subject: How The Mind Works From McMoneagle & McKenna

For your weekend reading pleasure, a section quoted from my manuscript:

How The Mind Works

I would like to finish off this section with some insights into how the human brain functions (provided by Joseph McMoneagle in Mind Trek, page 187-188). The human brain figures into everything. Doesn't it? It is the mechanism through which humans access their reality. Ufology and extraterresterials are not exempt from this access. Let's start with the bare essentials:

"Processing Sequence as a Function of Time

ZERO TIME 0:We recognize incoming information about our current reality.

PLUS .00000:We begin to make sense of the input from our five senses.

PLUS .00001:We fish out additional information from our hardwired brain memory modules.

PLUS .0001:We reason a little about the information and decide we lack certain essentials to make any decisions.

PLUS .001:We insert additional overlay, inaccurate assumptions, and prejudice in order to make it more palatable.

PLUS .01:We reach a conclusion regarding our surrounding physical reality.

PLUS .1:Assured of the accuracy of our reality understanding, we make corrections to our hard-wired memory, file the conclusions for quick reference in the next check to make sure nothing changes too drastically, and begin the process all over again."

Quoting further from McMoneagle:

"(O)ur reality is one we in fact invent or make up as we go." How the mind copes with something it thinks it is seeing for the first time should be kept in mind when investigating and reading information from ufo observers and individuals claiming to have been abducted. In some respects, we are all making up reality as we go along. Sometimes, one person's nonphysical reality is not experienced by anyone else. Usually, the individual experiencing contact with the extraterrestrial phenomena have referred to humanity's lower nature. Feeling humbled that their worldview was invaded without their consent. This implies that the human thinks they are in contact with a higher intelligence only because they do not have a prior experience with the craft or entity. Further, the contactee did frame the entire experience as that it maybe happening in an altered state. The contactee immediately jumps to the conclusion that they are dealing with an entity of higher intelligence. Assuming that the entity is of a higher intelligence could very well be erroneous. Based on Arthur Koestler's "Some Aspects of the Creative Process," and

How The Mind Works From McMoneagle & McKenna

reports from abductees/contactees, there is no color in the entities environment implying low intelligence. No music. No art. The entities have trouble understanding a joke. Koestler suggests that higher brain activity involves the appreciation of comedy, puns, satire, and witticisms. The fact that the entities interacting with humans show none of the above indicates they are of a lower intelligence. Of course, they may or may not operate the high tech aircraft we call ufos. The craft could have been programed long ago and far away. Allowing the pilots only to make minor adjustments when called upon. It doesn't mean the pilot created, invented or had anything to do with high tech/advanced aircraft.

After researching "alien entity contact" for the last section, I reached a few conclusions:

1. The phenomena operates behind the scenes;

2.It emerges and "communicates" then retreats leaving no physical evidence;

3. The "communication" is unintelligible to the human mind;

4. The human mind immediately begins a dialog within its own subconscious trying to fit a pattern to the event of "communication;"

5. The subconscious/internal communication becomes the reality. It is the reason the communication is referred to as "telepathic."

Initially, the fact is that there has been no actual "communication" only unintelligible "messages." This is the reason there can be no solution found for the source of the phenomena. The communications lack coherence, i.e., don't make sense.

The Russians approached the enigma referred to as "ufos" somewhat differently than the western approach. Their approach takes into consideration the human thought process as a factor in "witness testimonies."

The bottomline is that humans have no idea what they are dealing with. Various researchers have wondered whether the shamanic tradition can provide guidance for venturing into this "other universe." Particularly, Terence McKenna's suggestion of "crossing into a space filled with non-human entities" by taking the path to the "other worlds" under the guidance of a welltraveled shaman.

Additionally, McKenna suggest that these non-human entities have been around as long there has been humans. And, maybe, "they" were around long before there were humans. (Author's note: concept suggested in Terence McKenna's "The Archaic Revival," especially chapters five and six - "A Conversation Over Saucers" and "Alien Love," pages 58-88.)

Best we be fully centered in our own mind/soul before listening or venturing into the nonphysical reality that may exist. To paraphrase a line from the Christian bible: "we are looking through a glass darkly." One could loose touch with physical reality the longer one stares through the glass.

Remember what our grandmas told us? "Be careful what you wish for as it just may come true." The reader is advised to keep the above in mind as we search for just what it is people mean when they say "ufos are real."

_ _ _ _ _

Some over the weekend reading for interested Listers.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

How The Mind Works From McMoneagle & McKenna

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Alien Abduction - What's Left?

From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 19:23:34 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:34:01 -0500
Subject: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

The following is not rigorous science. It's not even science; just some topics for possible further discussion.

After Jacobs and Hopkins, what do we have left?

We have everything else that wasn't obtained with lacking ethics, or hypnotic regression, including:

- Anecdotal testimony

- Sleep paralysis

- Implants

- Scoop-marks and scars

What else is there?

And what are the causes and who are the "abductors"?

Again, excluding data obtained unethically and hypnotic regression, we're left with:

- No one except the "abductee"/"experiencer"

- Military disinformation groups

- Non-military disinformation groups

- Criminal perpetrators

- Extra-terrestrials, fairies, leprechauns, jinn, etc.

What else?

Is the evidence sound? Is Occam's Razor appropriate here?

Per Wikipedia, Occam's Razor "is a principle that generally recommends selecting the competing hypothesis that makes the fewest new assumptions, when the hypotheses are equal in other respects. For instance, they must both sufficiently explain available data in the first place."

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Occam%27s_razor

Are the conclusions repeatable? Can the human sources be treated appropriately?

Again per Wikipedia:

"A good experiment usually tests a hypothesis. However, an experiment may also test a question or test previous results. It is important that one knows all factors in an experiment. It is also important that the results are as accurate as possible. If an experiment is carefully conducted, the results usually either support or disprove the hypothesis. An experiment can never "prove" a hypothesis, it can only add support. However, one repeatable experiment that provides a counterexample can disprove a theory or hypothesis. An experiment must also control the possible confounding factors -- any factors that would mar the accuracy or repeatability of the experiment or the ability to interpret the results.

"Francis Bacon was an English philosopher and scientist in the 17th century and an early and influential supporter of experimental science. He disagreed with the method of answering scientific questions by deduction and described it as follows: =E2=80=9CHaving first determined the question according to his will, man then resorts to experience, and bending her to conformity with his placets, leads her about like a captive in a procession.=E2=80=9D Bacon wanted a method that relied on repeatable observations, or experiments. He was notably the first to order the scientific method as we understand it today."

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Experiment

Or are we at the mercy of "catching in the act" the act of abduction?

Are any of the previous questions "right questions"?

Until we know better, we're only a majority of silly old gray- haired men having some fun at guessing in the dark.

Aren't we now?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Clegg 'Washes His Hands' Of Gary McKinnon

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 04:55:02 -0000
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:35:35 -0500
Subject: Clegg 'Washes His Hands' Of Gary McKinnon

List,

As I predicted about a month ago of Mr. Clegg who now has considerable power in the UK as deputy PM.

http://tinyurl.com/67c7xdn

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Emma Woods <e.woods33.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 20:55:47 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:37:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 06:32:23 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:44:34 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>Which is apropos only of the projectile expulsion to which >>you have already alluded, Mr. Steinberg, and not the three >>disparate digital sound experts I've consulted about the >>genuineness of the Emma Woods recordings. All of _them_, >>sans a dog in the hunt I might add, give the audio reviewed >>a clean Bill of health.

>>Checkmate.

>What you say there means nothing. Yes, I'm sure the >recordings are real in the sense that you are hearing the >actual voices of 'Woods' and Jacobs. But you do not >know how they were manipulated, what was left on the >virtual cutting room floor, and that's something your >alleged 'disparate digital sound experts' could not >possibly determine. You are hearing excerpts; all of the >recordings haven't been posted. What's more, even those >excerpts are edited by 'Woods' (as she herself admits) to >remove personal information. You have to take her word >that she didn't eliminate other elements that would reverse >the meaning of what you do hear.

>Clean bill of health?

>There's also the question of context. 'Woods' may say an >excerpt relates to A, whereas Jacobs may say they actually >relate to B. How do you know? You weren't there? Your >'disparate digital sound experts' weren't there either.

I am the person who has tried to have Dr. Jacobs work with me as a research subject investigated, which would obviously necessitate having the full recordings of the hypnosis sessions analyzed. In addition, Dr. Jacobs recorded all the hypnosis sessions himself, and he therefore has the full recordings.

I would never be so stupid as to '=9Cmanipulate', or misrepresent in any way, the contents of my hypnosis sessions, knowing that Dr. Jacobs has the full recordings himself, and that any investigation of my complaints, which I myself have been pushing for, would immediately expose that.

In 2009 I filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services=E2=80=99 Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) about Temple University=E2=80=99s failure, in my opinion, to adequately investigate Dr. Jacobs' misconduct.

In my written complaint, I transcribed extracts from my first twenty hypnosis sessions. Together with my written complaint, I provided the OHRP with the full recordings of those hypnosis Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

sessions, with names and potentially identifying material redacted, in order that the OHRP could confirm that the transcriptions were accurate, and that the extracts were in context.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Emma Woods <e.woods33.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 23:27:12 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 07:42:49 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 16:56:11 -0500
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 08:43:07 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 22 Feb 2011 11:46:57 -0500
>>>Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>>I'll confine myself to the specific ethics issue that >>>seems to be at the crux of 'Emma Woods' allegations.

>>>She alleges that without her prior knowledge David >>>Jacobs gave her post-hypnotic suggestions that she >>>suffered from MPD in an attempt to throw hostile >>>alien hybrids off his track.

>>If Dr. Jacobs had, in fact, asked my permission to
>>implant hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had
>>MPD (which he did not), it would still have been
>>reprehensible conduct by him as a researcher. Even
>>if I had given him permission to do such thing to me
>>(which I did not), it would not in any way alter the fact
>>that it was a gross violation of researcher ethics.

>It seems to me that if Jacobs did this under hypnosis >without any prior discussion or knowledge on your part, >as you clearly stated to me and I assume others, in a >mass e-mail, then it would clearly be egregious ethical >behaviour. If, on the other hand, it was discussed ahead >of time, as he submits, then I'd say that your claim of >"gross violation of researcher ethics" would be rather >weak, especially so by your false claim that that you did >not know in advance. If the tape does indeed show a >conversation with intent prior to the beginning of hypnosis >then that may well be why you don't wish to release the >full tape, not any contemplated legal action.

Dr. Jacobs did not at any stage ask my permission to implant hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had Multiple Personality Disorder.

In my previous response to you I told you that I had released the full recording, with just Elizabeth's name redacted, to Gary Haden, and I provided you with a link to a post that he wrote in which he confirmed this.

http://tinyurl.com/45nc4pn

Gary Haden has now published a second post about this in which he again confirms that he has heard the full tape and he addresses the issue of consent.

http://tinyurl.com/4v6qe3g

As in my previous response, I can only reiterate that even if I had given Dr. Jacobs permission to implant hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD (which I did not), that it would still have constituted it was a gross violation of researcher ethics.

Dr. Jacobs uses ambiguous language in his defamatory statement about me on his website to falsely imply that he obtained my permission to do that to me, by saying, "I explained my thinking to her before I acted." However, apart from the fact that he is deliberately misleading people, it is a red herring. Nothing can excuse a researcher doing such a psychologically abusive thing to a research subject, no matter what the circumstances.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 05:30:31 -0800 (PST) Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 10:08:13 -0500 Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 12:20:35 -0600
>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:57:32 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>You have since paraphrased Klass's charge that >abductions and abduction investigators are playing >a "dangerous game" which, one infers, gives people >indigestion, causes throat irritation, runny noses, >and toothaches, generates baldness, hastens global >warming, emboldens terrorists, leads citizens to >watch dumb sitcoms and to vote in especially idiotic >politicians, and on down the list of all bad things that >afflict individual humans and the human race in >general.

I think that this minimizes the damaging effects of traumatic false hypnotic memories on people who experience those memories as real.

>That's why, I suppose, hands wave and loose talk gets >expelled, sans compelling evidence to match, about >massive psychic damage to people

I have provided substantial evidence of Dr. Jacobs' psychologically abusive research practices with me, that includes the systematic implanting of traumatic false memories, and the suggestion that I had a serious mental disorder, into my mind under hypnosis.

As a consequence of Dr. Jacobs' actions, I now have numerous false memories of physical assault and rape that feel like my real memories, and I will probably have them for the rest of my life.

I now have an underlying sense that I have Multiple Personality Disorder, even though I know consciously that I do not. I often experience the sense that I have MPD as floating around the outside of my body, concentrated near the right side of my head. I was holding the phone up to my right ear when Dr. Jacobs put the hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had MPD, and the sense that I have it is concentrated near where his hypnotic suggestions went into my body.

I am obviously not the only research subject of Dr. Jacobs who has been harmed.

The article, Brother Jacobus; Jacobs, Dr. David M. And The Horny Hybrid Hoodlums, quotes from Dr. Jacobs' book The Threat on his research subject's hypnotic memories of violence and sexual assault.

http://tinyurl.com/47dgjx9

I am sure that most people would agree that these women's hypnotic memories were almost certainly confabulated under hypnosis. However, they would have experienced them as real memories. They are human beings, and this would obviously have hurt them.

>Your argument lacks basic coherence, I'm afraid. First, we >are to believe that unprincipled abduction researchers are >forcing people to have imaginary experiences they >wouldn't have had otherwise.

I can vouch from my own direct experience as Dr. Jacobs' research subject that in his case that is exactly what is going on.

While conducting hypnosis with me Dr. Jacobs used extensive leading and suggestion that resulted in my confabulating hypnotic memories that fit his theories.

Dr. Jacobs has studied the literature on hypnosis and he knows that leading and suggestion leads to the confabulation of false memories under hypnosis. His use of leading and suggestion was so overt that, in my opinion he had to have been aware of what he was doing.

For example, in the following audio clip from my eighth hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs told me while I was hypnotized to expect to be dominated by a "hybrid", saying that that if I were to remember the "hybrid" having a conversation with me, that he would be the one who was in control and that I would be in a "second class situation".

http://tinyurl.com/2v92tae

In the following audio clip from my eleventh hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs told me while I was hypnotized that "hybrids" had sexually assaulted another research subject of his and had threatened to kill him.

http://tinyurl.com/4edugnw

In the following audio clip from the discussion at the beginning of my fourteenth hypnosis session, just before Dr. Jacobs put me in the hypnotic state, he told me that "hybrids" often sexually assaulted "abductees" and were in a "total power situation" over them.

http://tinyurl.com/48xqa3h

Gary Haden has published a two part analysis of my hypnosis, that includes audio from my hypnosis sessions, which shows how Dr. Jacobs' use of leading and suggestion led to my confabulating false memories of assault and rape.

http://tinyurl.com/4bmhvc3

http://tinyurl.com/4nsknu4

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 26

Re: Clegg 'Washes His Hands' Of Gary McKinnon

From: Ross Evans <roos.evans11.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 14:32:32 +0000
Archived: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 10:10:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Clegg 'Washes His Hands' Of Gary McKinnon

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 04:55:02 -0000
>Subject: Clegg 'Washes His Hands' Of Gary McKinnon

>List,

>As I predicted about a month ago of Mr. Clegg who now >has considerable power in the UK as deputy PM.

>http://tinyurl.com/67c7xdn

Well, he betrayed the students, it's no surprise he has also betrayed McKinnon. Like all politicians his word is meaningless when confronted with political convenience. The article itself is symptomatic of how this story is now covered.

"He is accused of hacking into U.S. military computers =96 both from the Pentagon and NASA =96 while searching for evidence of the existence of =911ittle green men=92 in 2002 and faces 60 years in prison."

It is clearly beyond the wit of the 'journalists' responsible for this article to ask why the US authorities have pursued McKinnon so vociferously if this subject is as they clearly believe all so much nonsense. The financial losses claimed by the US are trivial, and are dwarfed by the monies they have spent in pursing this case against McKinnon for so long. You can be sure there will never be a case brought against any of the crooks on Wall Street pursued with such vigor, despite the gargantuan nature of the fraud that takes place there.

I think that McKinnon's defence team is creating a dangerous situation for him when talking of the likelihood of him committing suicide.

I have no doubt that once the US authorities have finished a few lengthy interrogations sessions, McKinnon will either be murdered and it will be reported as a suicide, or he will be pushed to the brink of committing suicide and be left with the means to do so. Either way, the outcome will mark Clegg's ascent as a real politician, a status denoted by the presence of blood on the hands.

Ross

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: Are These UFOs?

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 12:10:32 -0500 (EST)
Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:19:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:39:21 -0000
>Subject: Are These UFOs?

Looks like lens flares that become apparent only because long time exposure (builds up contrast of all images) and , of great importance, the background sky is dark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: Are These UFOs?

From: Nick Balaskas <<u>nikolaos</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 13:52:13 -0500 Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:21:48 -0500 Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:39:21 -0000
>Subject: Are These UFOs?

>The photographer who took this picture has contacted one of my >colleagues in the hope that some light can be shed on this >mystery photograph that has been taken by himself.

>You can find the photograph here:

>http://tinyurl.com/6jb7pq6

>The photograph displays some unexplained lights on the picture >both from left to right, there are around two or three objects >in this picture that look odd.

>Bill is a professional photographer so please bare that in mind >when arriving at your decision! Please check out his work via >the same site link.

<snip>

Greetings Roy, Everyone,

As an experienced "UFO specialist" and amateur photographer, I would like to share my thoughts on the suspected UFOs in Bill's picture.

Lens flare artifacts in photographic still images and even motion pictures that were taken at night or under very low light conditions are not uncommon and unavoidable with the particular camera used, even in photos taken by professional photographers.

The bright elongated round spot or UFO on the left side of the picture has two curved trails forming a closed ellipse while while the two other bright but much more elongated spots or UFOs on the right side of the picture have similar but much more open trails. From their appearance and symmetrical considerations alone and the fact that these three spots or UFOs line up in a straight line with two faint star-like spots or UFOs near the center of the picture, we can reasonable conclude that this is where the optical axis would be in the cropped picture.

The other faint short parallel streaks of light in the night sky are stars as they would appear in long exposed photo.

Lens flares artifacts in pictures are produced by bright light sources within or just outside the frame of the actual photo. Although there were no lights from cars or any other moving light sources when the long exposure photo was taken, there were nearby bright lights present near the photographer when the photo was taken. From the brightness of the walls of the two buildings and the sharp and distinct shadows on them, we can see that there was a very bright light source to the left and slightly above of the photographer (possibly a street light) and another less bright light source (another more distant street light?) behind and to the right of the photographer. The actual locations of the fixed bright light sources relative to the direction the camera was pointing when the photo was taken is critical. These suspected lens flares would look different in appearance in other pictures taken at this place but more likely they would be absent altogether. This would explain why Bill did not see diffused spots or UFOs on his other pictures.

Nick Balaskas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 15:30:41 -0400
Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:24:45 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <<u>aj</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 11:45:29 -0300
>Subject: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>I would like to inform you that there will be a great happening >about Ufology in Chicago this weekend. I just arrived here with >Dr. Roger Leir and Jaime Maussan, and we are expecting a few >other colleagues from Italy, Mexico and California to arrive >later today. Antonio Urzi, Dr. Steve Colburn, Don Schmitt are >among them, plus a few top ranking military from a few countries >whose identities are not known yet.

>The gathering will take place tomorrow, Saturday, February 26, >at the 2011 Saviors' Day Annual Convention at the Rosemont >Convention Center near O'Hare Airport.

>As strange it may seem, the event has been called by the >honorable minister Louis Farrakhan, from the Islamic Nation, who >intends to address the audience, expected in about 10,000 >people, about the reality of the UFOs and the need for a total >and global disclosure. It seems that Mr. Farrakhan has >experienced a sighting and becam very sensible to the subject. >Or there are more reasons than that.

>See more:

>http://www.noi.org/sd2011/

>http://www.noi.org/sd2011/ufo symposium.shtml

<snip>

>This gathering may offer us all a great surprise.

The day this phenomenon needs verification by Louis Farrakhan is the day I get out for good. This is the same man who when interviewed on television re the Appollo landings on the Moon he referred to the 'white man infesting the universe'.

What does that make him?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 14:15:42 -0600
Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:34:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 07:33:46 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 17:22:25 =E2^'0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>Nor does it detract from the _irrelevancy_ of same, Mr.
>>Steinberg! Jacobs admits to _everything_. Where the admission is
>>not forthcoming, he's on tape self-admitted.

>Actually he says that the recordings are taken out of context.

Really, Mr. Steinberg? Really? No, Sir. He only says that, _too_.

See, he's admitted the suggestion that Woods had a _dangerous_ and largely _incurable_ pathology. He's admitted that he requested her soiled undergarments. He's admitted that there existed a medieval 15th century technology that might defeat the ongoing brutal sexual assault Jacobs had hypnotically suggested to Woods, in the first place, that she had been experiencing... like a star-faring ETI would be stymied by a leather and pigmetal girdle. There are no Dremel Tools in space apparently. I digress.

After these admissions he, then, allows that they were "taken out of context."

No Mr. Steinberg, "taken out of context...," in this case only seems the refuge of a scoundrel.

>>More preening irrelevancy Sir, and embarrassing for that. Your
>>expertise, only ever brought up by you, is not _remotely_
>>germane.

>You are the one who referred to digital experts. You made it >germane, or don't you read what you write before it spews forth?

Quite so, Mr. Steinberg. I read, consider the relevance of a reply, write such, let simmer at low heat for an hour or so, proof read, make additions or subtractions, proof read again as it's made necessary by a small dyslexia often found in the left handed, then, at last, I send. I presume that you have a similar mechanism you used to write your response, eh?

To your point: at the start, and _first_, you made the veiled insinuation the Woods recordings were bupkis, contrived, hacked... whatever faux-discursive word you want to use here, by a "disturbed woman", implying that her testimony is valueless. That's the long and tall.

I only informed you that that was not the majority opinion of experts; three of them I wrote. Your admonition to me that you were an expert yourself is just not germane in the context provided.

See how that works? You imply 'bupkis' I reply that, no, expert survey says the antithesis, you then respond that you're an expert too... the equivalent of "Oh yeah?" ... followed by _nothing_. Question is: don't _you_ read what you write before its own issuance? Not well, I advise.

>>Facile. Simplistic. Superficial, Mr. Steinberg. Both sides have >>explicated for years: Woods in factual audits and largely >>uncontested recorded data sets, and Jacobs, less effectively, in >>angry proclamations regarding his non-expert speculations of >>Woods "mental illness", supported, it is said, by a host of >>mental health professionals... all entirely on board, mind you, >>with hypnotic suggestions to Woods that Woods had a _severe_ >>psychological pathology and that she required professional care. >>Excuse me?! C'mon!

>You still don't get it.

I'm too old, too well educated, and have too much world experience to be remotely moved by your unwelcome patronization, Mr. Steinberg. Best refrain from it in the future.

>When someone is faced with the >possibility that they might be named in a legal action, the >advice of a lawyer is usually to just shut up!

That begs the question, Mr. Steinberg, why he has not done so vis a vis his ever-changing explanation of the affair on his web site, radio appearances nationally, showing up on podcasts (yours notably) and the platoon of ready cyber-thugs on your Paracast board doing business in the service, knowingly or unknowingly, of David Jacobs. I add that, once an admirer, I would have been in the service of David Jacobs myself, but then I heard the tapes.

>That puts Jacobs
>in a very dangerous position here, and one that anyone who faced
>the possibility of a lawsuit might understand. He's ignoring the
>advice writing what he has so far.

Ha! You noticed! Yet... that raises no questions with you? I submit that should raise a flag with the disinterested fence sitter, eh?

>>How can you let this slide as "He said, she said"?

>Because he has a version and she has a version. Simple!

That's patently ridiculous, Mr. Steinberg, where it is not wholly ludicrous. Jacobs _says_, Good Sir, where Woods _proves_!

Remember in the courtroom of public opinion I conjectured where Emma Woods finishes up a compelling two year summation with dozens of numbered evidentiary items, vetted documents, expert witnesses, pictures & charts, some pertinent prosthesis, and several PowerPoint presentations? She rested in stunned silence.

Further recall that Doctor Jacobs stood up, fidgeted with blank pages, cleared a sonorous throat, and said, "She's _crazy_ your honor... and, uh... the Defense rests."

Beaming a George Bush "I-just-said-a-big-word" smirk, he sat back down to hearty cheers from the opposition, vested persons reflexively if inexplicably supporting Jacobs.

Like I said... these positions are not remotely equivalent, Mr. Steinberg. Though, they are _forced_ equivalent by the statuses of the principals. You champion the manor lord... I champion the, IMO, raped virtuous maiden. Likely too much metaphor for you so don't pay too much attention, even as it is not _inaccurate_.

>>Additionally, I submit that Jacobs has had ample opportunity to
>>cover his 'side', such that it is, in the last year _anyway_,
>>and, moreover, has a host of celebrants, such as yourself, to
>>cover a... let's call it a "weak procedural backfield" littered
>>with inappropriate, unprofessional, and unsettling
>>proclivities... to be kind.

>Read my paragraph above, and Jacobs' own statement on the issue.

Right... well, let me just redirect you in a similar fashion to what I've written above, myself.

>>I eagerly anticipate one that is 'backward compatible' with the
>>proclivities alluded to above.

>More vomit spewing on your part.

Really! Really, Mr. Steinberg?

So, requests for soiled panties, directions to don 15th century chastity belts, and hypnotic suggestions to otherwise healthy persons that they have life threatening cognitive disorders are in no way required to be explained? I submit, Sir, that were it a wife or daughter trifled in such a ham-handed manner of glib sociopathy, you'd feel differently.

Too, I suspect my "vomit spewing" is your inability to make, or making, qualify, your points.

>>First? You must stop making up stories about me making up
>>stories about you! You are defined by your entirely one-sided
>>activities and expressions, Mr. Steinberg. Don't count on me to
>>cover or make excuses for _your_ behavior.

>My opinion about Jacobs and his conclusions has been expressed >over and over again. You have this attitude that if someone >isn't for you, they must be against you.

Nonsense, Mr. Steinberg. I have an attitude that what waddles and quacks like a duck may indeed be a duck. Your quacking waddle indicates that you, undeniably, are a first water supporter of David Jacobs only trying to appear apart from personal attachment in the matter for pragmatic reasons and failing hugely at same.

>>>There
>>>is no "student" defense of Jacobs on my part, or any other
>>>defense.

>>_Strident_, Mr. Steinberg, strident.

>It's a typo, bud!

Whatever.

>>Too, we must agree to disagree, it seems, as the more
>>_plausible_ perception is the you have provided a defacto
>>defense historically, ongoingly, and currently. You provide
>>defense as it pertains to soft-ball questioning on your pod
>>cast. You provide defense in the canted operation of your
>>message board. You are all defense brushing off valid concerns
>>regarding psychological abuse as, say, 'two sides to every
>>story'... I _sincerely_ hope there are no women living in your
>>stair-well regularly beat up by their husbands.

>More irrelevant content without substance.

Uh-huh, followed by another of your errant proclamations on the validity of content you are loath to address, Sir.

>>None of this is germane, remotely relevant, or in any way
>>pertinent, Mr. Steinberg. Your observations are noted as
>>tepid 20/20 hindsight, at best. At worst, what? We can go there
>>if you want.

>Further irrelevant babbling.

Followed again by errant proclamation as inaccurate as it is biased. Face it, you have a dog in the hunt and should recuse.

>>>a mental health therapist on board when he first started >>>working with 'Woods', a woman who is clearly very, very troubled.

>>You are basing your estimation of "troubled", on _what_ exactly, >>Mr. Steinberg? Jacobs' diagnosis as supported by a team of un->>named mental health experts entirely... OK... with in-expert >>hypnotists hypnotically suggesting dangerous pathologies to >>persons in their charge? Or maybe you have some clinical >>experience of your own. Stay in a Holiday Inn recently? >I think anyone who examines 'Woods' obsessive behavior fairly >would conclude that she has issues.

One man's obsessive behavior is another's professional thoroughness, unwavering focus, practiced diligence, and an otherwise lauded stick-to-it-iveness, Mr. Steinberg. I suspect that all of that would be fine were it in your service, it is unfortunate that it is not.

>She has created a fake
>persona for herself, and used it to make herself into a
>celebrity.

That's an _opinion_, Mr. Steinberg. My opinion, on the other hand, is that Jacobs raped and abused the wrong gal and is in the furious process, as we speak, of trying unsuccessfully to kick a bucket of flaming lighter fluid off his foot.

I'm certain he wishes that she had just walked off the set into oblivion weeping into an embroidered hanky, but surprise surprise surprise... here's a woman to stand her ground and fight. Good for her! It'll put the "next guy" on notice, eh?

>She has a Web site devoted solely to trashing Jacobs, >she has written to everyone in creation on the matter and then >some, posted on message boards under this fake persona and >others (including ours, where she support herself under a >different name), written magazine articles on gone on radio >shows. All because she couldn't bring herself to just hang up >the phone and stop working with an investigator whom she felt >didn't treat her properly.

Rofl! "Didn't treat her properly..." IMO, raped, abused, then, in farewell when pecuniary concerns went south, _infected_ with psychological disease...

>In the end, she may have a case, but making it the sole focal >point of her life isn't going to help her if she ever hopes to >take it to court.

Well, well, well... you're already a psychologist and moral arbiter and so now you're a trial lawyer?

>>Yeah - uh-huh... and so another dodge from Steinberg ironically
>>sans a similar substance but _fraught_ with all manner of wholly
>>irrelevant accuracies... this is going on a little longer than
>>you'd gotten used to at the Paracast board, eh?

>I realize having an adult conversation with you isn't possible.

I sympathize with your complete inability to qualify, substantiate, or even _make_ your points, and so must now disparage _my_ maturity in closing so you can declare victory and step off nose in the air. Not at this station, eh? Too, not that mature in its own right.

<u>alienview</u>.nul <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 15:28:06 -0500
Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:38:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>To: UFOUpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 19:23:34 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>The following is not rigorous science. It's not even science; just some topics for possible further discussion.

>After Jacobs and Hopkins, what do we have left?

>We have everything else that wasn't obtained with lacking ethics, or hypnotic regression, including:

<snip>

I'd suggest reading "Alien Discussions", which is a collection of the papers submitted to the 1992 Abduction Conference held at M.I.T.

I think it's a mistake to believe that the scientific foundation of this area of study was solely based on the research of Jacobs and Hopkins.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

From: Robert Hastings Ufohastings.nul>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 14:44:19 -0700 (MST)Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:48:40 -0500Subject: Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 18:44:09 +0000
>Subject: Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>>From: Robert Hastings <<u>ufohastings</u>.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 22:05:15 -0500 (EST)
>>Subject: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>>All,

>>In my book I mention the memo that a Lt. Anspaugh, assigned to >>Project Bluebook, wrote regarding UFO sightings at FE Warren on >>August 1, 1965. The project's civilian consultant, Dr. J. Allen >>Hynek, published the contents in 1972 but I had never seen the >>original notes.

>>Frank Warren of The UFO Chronicles located those and just posted
>>them:

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/63yzryc</u>

>One of the documents is a single page stating that an object >descended. The page ends with that tease. What happened to the >object? Was it retrieved? Where is the report on what the object >turned out to be?

Hi Kathy,

One entry in the telephone call log created by Lt. Anspaugh, as published by Hynek in his book, The UFO Experience: A Scientific Inquiry, reads:

4:40 a.m. - Captain Howell, Air Force Command Post, called Dayton and Defense Intelligence Agency to report that a Strategic Air Command Team at Site H-2 at 3:00 a.m. reported a white oval UFO directly overhead. Later Strategic Air Command Post passed the following: Francis E. Warren Air Force Base reports (Site B-4 3:17 a.m.) -- A UFO 90 miles east of Cheyenne at a high rate of speed and descending - oval and white with white lines on its sides and a flashing red light in its center moving east; reported to have landed 10 miles east of the site.

So, if the object landed - instead of crashing - it presumably took off at some point and so there was nothing to retrieve. Regarding the identity of that object, and the others reported elsewhere that night, they were clearly bona fide UFOs, although Blue Book's chief later dismissed them as "stars". Hynek summarized that ridiculous explanation this way:

"When I asked Major Quintinilla what was being done about investigating these reports, he said that the sightings were nothing but stars! This is certainly tantamount to saying that our Strategic Air Command, responsible for the defense of our country against major attacks from the air, was staffed by a notable set of incompetents who mistook twinkling stars for strange craft."

Given the wealth of detail about the rapidly-maneuvering and sometimes hovering aerial objects mentioned in Lt. Anspaugh's notes, Hector Quintinilla's explanation was patently absurd, and Hynek's annoyance at the remark is obvious. Regrettably, the "stars" answer was a typical example of the innumerable dubious rationalizations and wholly inadequate solutions publicly offered by Project Blue Book over the years, for what were arguably legitimate UFO sightings.

Several years ago, I located and interviewed two former Minuteman launch officers, Jay Earnshaw and Richard Tashner, who were *probably* on alert duty, in different launch capsules, on the night in question. Their tape-recorded testimony appears in my book UFOs and Nukes. As I write:

Tashner told me, "I was stationed at F.E. Warren AFB, Cheyenne, Wyoming from December 1964 through June 1969...I do remember one time when we had to send in reports to SAC Headquarters about UFO sightings in the area. At the time, I was in a [launch] capsule near Sidney, Nebraska. I think I was in the Golf [Flight] capsule..."

Tashner continued, "My reports were all made to the Wing Command Post at F.E. Warren, and they would relay them to SAC HQ. Most communications back and forth from Wing to SAC could be heard in each [launch capsule] so the crew would be aware of developing situations. Every time one of my guards called down to report that the UFOs had moved closer or further away, or whatever, I updated SAC. I made four or five calls to the command post that night. I remember there were so many reports coming in to them not only from me but lots of [launch officers] - that SAC decided to cut-off all report calls. They were required [to be submitted as] written reports the next day. There were also sightings around the Cheyenne area the same night. There were no interceptors around to chase the UFOs because F.E. Warren had no runway or planes. I wish I could remember the actual date for you, but I've forgotten long ago."

I asked Tashner if he had been on alert duty in August 1965. He thought a few moments and said, "Yes, I was. I was a deputy [missile] commander back then." I then described the contents of the Blue Book memo and offered to email a copy to him.

He responded, "Well, that sounds like the incident I witnessed. I know that the commander of the Sidney [U.S. Army ammunition] depot made several reports that night. Now looking back, I think I remember talking to him myself and took his report. I think I also gave him the Wing Command Post number for him to call for verification. That's probably how he got the Project Blue Book number. My own guards kept calling down to report strange lights moving around the sky, sort of like aircraft lights but not quite. One of them told me he saw one light do a 90-degree turn. I was very skeptical, but I didn't see it myself, of course, so I don't know. Actually, at first, the calls were kind of fun, you know, out of the ordinary. But as more of them came in, it got very spooky."

The other former officer, Jay Earnshaw, told me, "I was a Captain, a Missile Combat Crew Commander or, early on, a Deputy Commander, primarily at Echo Flight...We did have [UFO] sightings at Echo Flight. There were times that our security forces up above would report strange things. Lights in the sky...The information we got about the UFOs was that none of them came inside the fenced area [around the Echo Launch Control Facility], and none of them touched-down in the area outside the fence. As reported by the on-duty security controller, [they were] just strange aerial lights, making no noise, that would stack on top of one another and then just disappear."

I asked Earnshaw if he could recall any specific description of the aerial lights. He said, "The security people described them as oblong or, from the correct perspective, disc-like. No reported markings or navigation lights. If a color was reported, it was usually reddish or orange-ish shades. They were reported as 'aloft' or 'up in the air' but I don't recall any mention of altitude - no reliable estimated distance other than 'close.'"

Earnshaw then said, "There was a continuing ruckus about those kinds of sightings and, ultimately, we were told by the

Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

Operations Branch officers to ignore them... They told us that UFOs had been officially disavowed by Project Blue Book, that they had turned out to be swamp gas and weather balloons and all that jazz. After awhile, [the launch commanders] started saying, 'Well, it's going to affect my OER (Officer Efficiency Report) if I keep insisting on this.' We were led to believe that if we continued to report those sightings, it would lead to a loss of our credibility. So, instead of notifying the Wing Command Post, we just started logging those reports down and then never heard another thing about it."

Earnshaw added, "I heard that [the Office of Special Investigations] was debriefing people. OSI was charged with doing whatever the commanders above them wanted done. That was one of the reasons why we didn't want to report the sightings we didn't want to get involved with OSI. You never knew what could happen to you should they start looking into your professional and personal lives. Even an innocent can spend a great deal of his precious off-duty time giving statements, and so on. Also, even though they were not officially allowed into your OER's, the crews knew that OSI questions, and the answers you might give, could seriously sway the commanders' rating your performance reports."

Earnshaw then said firmly, "But we got reports from our security people that there were objects in the sky stacked up, one on top of the other, just hovering there. The Russians sure didn't have the capability to do that! So that leaves only one other possibility. I am one who believes that we are not the only ones in the Universe and, well, I think someone might have been interested in what we were doing at our [nuclear missile] sites. I wasn't one of the witnesses to these events, because I was underground in the capsule, but my second-hand information from the security people up above was that the objects were really there."

I note here Earnshaw's reference to OSI agents, not Blue Book personnel, interviewing the missile crews and guards. I have heard the same thing from other former/retired USAF personnel regarding UFO incursions at nuclear weapons sites.

--RH www.ufohastings.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

From: Ross Evans <ross.evans11.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 22:25:59 +0000
Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:51:28 -0500
Subject: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

It is extremely unfortunate that the casual observer looking for further information about the UFO issue will likely happen upon blatantly false testimony promulgated by frauds, messianic crackpots, and habitual attention seekers. The actions of these individuals are bad enough, but in many cases they are facilitated in spreading their nonsense by bodies ostensibly setup to assist serious investigation and discourse of the UFO issue.

The efforts of the Mainstream Media to mock and ridicule the UFO subject are greatly aided by these useful idiots, who in search of 5 minutes of fame, serve to perpetuate existing power structures and their attendant secrecy.

Before the UFO issue can hit the mainstream of public consciousness, the crackpots must be moved to the fringe of the fringe. Only then are we likely to have an environment no longer conducive to official denial of the reality of the UFO phenomenon

Ross

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

'Emma Woods' [was: Special Note From Budd Hopkins]

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 09:29:33 -0600
Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 08:56:32 -0500
Subject: 'Emma Woods' [was: Special Note From Budd Hopkins]

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 05:30:31 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 12:20:35 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>>From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 14:57:32 +0000 (GMT)
>>>Subject: Re: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

>>You have since paraphrased Klass's charge that
>>abductions and abduction investigators are playing
>>a "dangerous game" which, one infers, gives people
>>indigestion, causes throat irritation, runny noses,
>>and toothaches, generates baldness, hastens global
>>warming, emboldens terrorists, leads citizens to
>>watch dumb sitcoms and to vote in especially idiotic
>>politicians, and on down the list of all bad things that
>>afflict individual humans and the human race in
>>general.

>I think that this minimizes the damaging effects of traumatic >false hypnotic memories on people who experience those memories >as real.

Since your case is so extraordinarily unusual - as, one infers, is your personality - I seriously doubt that anybody else can draw broad conclusions from it.

Instead of employing this as an excuse to garner world-wide attention and pity, while (one infers) depicting yourself as the most suffering person on earth, I strongly urge you to seek the psychiatric help that, from your own words, it is manifestly apparent you need.

As for your feelings about Dave Jacobs, whom of course you had every right to criticize if you felt wronged:

Over the past several years you have made your grievances abundantly clear, with no end in sight, to uncounted multitudes, including (so it appears) anyone whom Jacobs's path ever crossed. (One has no trouble suspecting that you're now seeking information on his childhood playmates.) Unless you're prepared to charge that Jacobs is equivalent to Hitler, it's time now to get a life.

If you're sincere in your feelings - in other words, are genuinely suffering, as opposed to, say, devoting the remainder of your days to seeking vengeance - I urgently recommend that you seek psychiatric help. Endless e-mails and posts to mentalhealth non-professionals are no substitute, I'm afraid.

To the rest of you:

'Emma Woods' [was: Special Note From Budd Hopkins]

Does anybody besides me have the feeling that UFO UpDates has been transformed into Emma Woods UpDates? Remember the good old days when virtually every issue discussed and debated didn't revolve in some way around somebody who calls herself Emma Woods?

I don't either.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: Are These UFOs?

From: William Treurniet <<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul> Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 20:21:17 -0500 Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 09:05:57 -0500 Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>From: Roy Hale<roy.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:39:21 -0000
>Subject: Are These UFOs?

>The photographer who took this picture has contacted one of my >colleagues in the hope that some light can be shed on this >mystery photograph that has been taken by himself.

>You can find the photograph here:

><u>http://tinyurl.com/6jb7pq6</u>

>The photograph displays some unexplained lights on the picture >both from left to right, there are around two or three objects >in this picture that look odd.

>Bill is a professional photographer so please bare that in mind >when arriving at your decision! Please check out his work via >the same site link.

>Possible answers are welcome and all will be sent direct to bill >as he will be highly interested in your answers.

Hi Roy,

I don't know what the objects are, but I recently saw something similar in photos supposedly taken in Russia on January 20, 2011. The photos were posted to an ATS thread.

http://tinyurl.com/4ngwwvg

Someone commented in that thread that the object was similar to something seen in China in July, 2010.

http://tinyurl.com/6eg2c5d

There is a video below the photo in the article. In my opinion, it's not as good a match as the Russian object, but the video shows that it is capable of changing it's shape. The article discusses more than one sighting, and it's not clear how the image fits in with the text.

A reader notes in a footnote that the video was actually from a June 30 sighting in Central Asia, and references a Chinese article.

http://tinyurl.com/6bet6mc

In this article, the secretary general of Xinjiang Astronomy Society claims it was an American missile launched from California 7000 km away. That's interesting. Are the US and China dueling with ICBM's? Recall the mystery missile near LA that was conjectured to be Chinese?

I would agree that the Chinese display could be a missile, but the Russian and English displays show something else. In both cases, there is light emitted along the length of the object at Re: Are These UFOs?

a considerable angle.

Are China, Russia, and England all busy testing similar new military technology? Or is someone else putting on a show for their benefit?

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 27

Re: Are These UFOs? [URL Correction]

From: William Treurniet <<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 20:50:07 -0500
Archived: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 09:13:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Are These UFOs? [URL Correction]

Sorry, there was an error creating a tinyurl in my previous post.

>From: Roy Hale<roy.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:39:21 -0000
>Subject: Are These UFOs?

>The photographer who took this picture has contacted one of my >colleagues in the hope that some light can be shed on this >mystery photograph that has been taken by himself.

>You can find the photograph here:

>http://tinyurl.com/6jb7pq6

>The photograph displays some unexplained lights on the picture >both from left to right, there are around two or three objects >in this picture that look odd.

>Bill is a professional photographer so please bare that in mind >when arriving at your decision! Please check out his work via >the same site link.

>Possible answers are welcome and all will be sent direct to bill >as he will be highly interested in your answers.

Hi Roy,

I don't know what the objects are, but I recently saw something similar in photos supposedly taken in Russia on January 20, 2011. The photos were posted to an ATS thread.

http://tinyurl.com/4ngwwvg

Someone commented in that thread that the object was similar to something seen in China in July, 2010.

http://tinyurl.com/2eaxucu

There is a video below the photo in the article. In my opinion, it's not as good a match as the Russian object, but the video shows that it is capable of changing it's shape. The article discusses more than one sighting, and it's not clear how the image fits in with the text.

A reader notes in a footnote that the video was actually from a June 30 sighting in Central Asia, and references a Chinese article.

http://tinyurl.com/6bet6mc

In this article, the secretary general of Xinjiang Astronomy Society claims it was an American missile launched from California 7000 km away. That's interesting. Are the US and China dueling with ICBM's? Recall the mystery missile near LA that was conjectured to be Chinese?

I would agree that the Chinese display could be a missile, but

Re: Are These UFOs? [URL Correction]

the Russian and English displays show something else. In both cases, there is light emitted along the length of the object at a considerable angle.

Are China, Russia, and England all busy testing similar new military technology? Or is someone else putting on a show for their benefit?

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 13:39:06 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:21:33 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 15:30:41 -0400
>Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 11:45:29 -0300
>>Subject: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>>I would like to inform you that there will be a great happening >>about Ufology in Chicago this weekend.

>>As strange it may seem, the event has been called by the
>>honorable minister Louis Farrakhan, from the Islamic Nation, who
>>intends to address the audience, expected in about 10,000
>>people, about the reality of the UFOs and the need for a total
>>and global disclosure. It seems that Mr. Farrakhan has
>>experienced a sighting and becam very sensible to the subject.
>>Or there are more reasons than that.

Indeed there are:

"The Honorable Elijah Muhammad told us of a giant Motherplane that is made like the universe, spheres within spheres. White people call them unidentified flying objects (UFOs). Ezekiel, in the Old Testament, saw a wheel that looked like a cloud by day but a pillar of fire by night. The Hon. Elijah Muhammad said that that wheel was built on the island of Nippon, which is now called Japan, by some of the original scientists. It took 15 billion dollars in gold at that time to build it. It is made of the toughest steel.

"America does not yet know the composition of the steel used to make an instrument like it. It is a circular plane, and the Bible says that it never makes turns. Because of its circular nature it can stop and travel in all directions at speeds of thousands of miles per hour. He said there are 1,500 small wheels in this mother wheel which is a half mile by a half mile (800 by 800 m). This Mother Wheel is like a small human built planet. Each one of these small planes carry three bombs."

>The day this phenomenon needs verification by Louis Farrakhan is >the day I get out for good. This is the same man who when >interviewed on television re the Appollo landings on the Moon he >referred to the 'white man infesting the universe'.

>What does that make him?

I could not possibly comment!

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 09:31:52 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:23:36 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

>From: Ross Evans <<u>ross.evans11</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 22:25:59 +0000
>Subject: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

>It is extremely unfortunate that the casual observer looking for >further information about the UFO issue will likely happen upon >blatantly false testimony promulgated by frauds, messianic >crackpots, and habitual attention seekers. The actions of these >individuals are bad enough, but in many cases they are >facilitated in spreading their nonsense by bodies ostensibly >setup to assist serious investigation and discourse of the UFO >issue.

>The efforts of the Mainstream Media to mock and ridicule the UFO >subject are greatly aided by these useful idiots, who in search >of 5 minutes of fame, serve to perpetuate existing power >structures and their attendant secrecy.

>Before the UFO issue can hit the mainstream of public >consciousness, the crackpots must be moved to the fringe of the >fringe. Only then are we likely to have an environment no longer >conducive to official denial of the reality of the UFO >phenomenon

Ross,

I think you give too much credit to concept of the media leading public opinion, but the impact of following the story has the same impact as you've described. But I have to ask what mechanism you would envision to restrict the impact of "crackpots" and "frauds"? The establishment of a group to measure the veracity of evidence and/or claims would merely add the noise level, as more self-appointed experts publish their thoughts on the Internet.

For the general public, I think the genre is defined by the media and Internet; but, there remains a core group of researchers trying to bring some scientific balance to a fractured field. The problem for those new to the field is deciding what path to follow through the forest of information to be found.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 07:49:03 -0700
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:26:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Alfred Lehmberg <<u>alienview</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 14:15:42 -0600
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

<snip>

This is the last time I will respond. You aren't worth the time, and you have nothing valid to contribute to this discussion nor, it seems, to any discussion that involves adults talking to each other using logic and reason.

For those of you who are exhausted from coping with Lehmberg's verbal morass to find a semblance of fact within the mudslinging, this is where I stand on this situation:

1. 'Emma Woods' is a deceiver. She posted messages supporting herself in our forums (and elsewhere I understand) under a fake name, other than the fake name she is already using. Wearing the mask of anonymity, she has turned herself into a celebrity of sorts as the alleged abused victim, with a Web site, thousands of e-mails, message board posts, magazine articles, and radio appearances.

Before that happened the woman who calls herself 'Emma Woods' didn't exist in the world at large. None of this means that she wasn't somehow mistreated by someone who lives thousands of miles away, someone she's never met, and only communicated with by phone, email, or Internet chats. As I said, she appears smart enough to know that you can end a conversation by hanging up the phone, and you can stop communicating in writing by not putting her fingers on the keyboard, or holding a pen or pencil in your hand.

Was she somehow mentally abused by Jacobs? That's for mental health professionals or the courts to determine, and so far, the authorities she's contacted have given her complaints a huge thumbs down.

2. I do not support the conclusions expressed by Jacobs about alien hybrids. As I wrote elsewhere, I am not persuaded by the value of hypnotic regression to recover lost memories of possible missing time events (though I suppose it's useful as an instigative tool with proper controls), and I certainly worry about attempting to hypnotize someone by phone, since you cannot observe physical reactions. I also feel that Jacobs should have avoided the 'Woods' issue altogether, and I have concerns about the therapist in New Zealand who suggested in the first place that she seek help from laymen abduction researchers in the U.S.

Now can we actually get back to UFO research for a change rather than this maddening soap opera?

Peace,

Gene Steinberg Host/Executive Producer, The Paracast www.theparacast.com Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: 'Emma Woods'

From: Gene Steinberg <<u>gene</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 07:51:46 -0700 Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:28:47 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Emma Woods'

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 09:29:33 -0600
>Subject: 'Emma Woods' [was: Special Note From Budd Hopkins]

Thanks, Jerry, for stating the obvious. 'Emma Woods' is clearly basking in the attention she has sought for herself. I've said on several occasions that she needs to get a life and, one hopes, some help. That can't happen, however, so long as she tells us that she's normal.

Meantime, the only way for this to end is for people to begin to talk about other subjects again.

Peace,

Gene Steinberg Host/Executive Producer, The Paracast www.theparacast.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 09:44:35 -0300
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:33:19 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>From: Don Ledger <<u>dledger</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 15:30:41 -0400
>Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <<u>aj</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 11:45:29 -0300
>>Subject: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

<snip>

>>See more:

>>http://www.noi.org/sd2011/

>><u>http://www.noi.org/sd2011/ufo_symposium.shtml</u>

><snip>

>>This gathering may offer us all a great surprise.

>The day this phenomenon needs verification by Louis Farrakhan is >the day I get out for good. This is the same man who when >interviewed on television re the Appollo landings on the Moon he >referred to the 'white man infesting the universe'.

>What does that make him?

>Don Ledger

Dear Don and Listers

I perfectly understand your surprise. I believe that it is the same that many people in the UFO community had.

What the hell does Louis Farrakhan and the Nation of Islam want with UFOs and us, UFO researchers and speakers, at their convention? Well, that question also came to my mind immediately when I got the invitation, via Jaime Maussan. I even considered not coming, fearing that the nature of this gathering would be religious and/or political. That issue bothered me quite a lot for some time, when I though, well, why not give it a try? I then decided to get deep into this and see what can come up from this surprise.

I read everythuing I could on Mr. Farrakhan and the NOI, which are barely known in Brazil, and realized two things. First, that there is an enormous amount of polemics involving them, and this is perfectly natural. Second, that the man is largely recognized for achieving what he seeks. After a few consultations with friends in Brazil and abroad, specially those in USA, who know Mr. Farrakhan and the NOI better then I did (some actually didn't like any of those), I decided to come. And I can say at this point that I do not regret.

Friday afternoon, yet with very few info on how the 'mechanics' of the gathering would be and what was expected from my

colleagues and I, I arrived with Dr. Roger Leir in Chicago coming from Phoenix, where I presented at the 20th IUFOC. Getting here I came to know more about the size of the gathering and I was told that I would have some 15-20 minutes to present my topic here, and that something between 4 to 5 thousand people would be present. Well, on yesterday I was told, after the conferece, that we had 14 thousand of people in 3 gigantic auditorium. And that is right.

Yesterday morning, my colleagues and I had a private meeting with Mr. Farrakhan at his Intercontinental Hotel suite, near O'Hare, and then we could finally get into his mind and know what his ideas about UFOs and the global disclosure movement are. I have to be frank and to say to you that I was very surprised to see how straight this man is about UFOs and how serious he is about getting the subject widely open to the entire world thru a planetary disclosure of information. He promissed to commit himself entirely and immediately to this cause and get the subect accross.

And finally, yesterday afternoon, at the actual gathering, for long 3 hours - that were transmited live to the entire world and had millions of viewers - we all spoke about the UFO subject, each one of us in our particular field of expertise, and then came Mr. Farrakahn, reafirming his promisse made to us that morning, UFO researchers and speakers, and even incrementing it just before 14,000 of his NOI's people and millions more everywhere else in the world. He again confirmed that he will relentlessly seek that the UFO subject is widely and properly disclosed to the entire world.

Quite frankly, I believe that Mr. Farrakhan is a man of his word, and being as powerfull as he is, including being friend with Obama, I sincerelly believe that his support to the UFO subject and our activist intentions towards a total openning of the subject, even considering the polemics around him and NOI, is substantial and can make a real difference. There is more to come today when the NOI annual convention is closed. Louis Farrakhan is expected to do new strong declarations.

See his yesterday closing speech here:

www.ufo.com.br/public/farrakhan/

Have you all a great weekend.

A. J. Still in Chicago

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul> Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 16:10:54 -0000 Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:34:21 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

>From: Ross Evans <<u>ross.evans11</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 22:25:59 +0000
>Subject: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

>It is extremely unfortunate that the casual observer looking for >further information about the UFO issue will likely happen upon >blatantly false testimony promulgated by frauds, messianic >crackpots, and habitual attention seekers. The actions of these >individuals are bad enough, but in many cases they are >facilitated in spreading their nonsense by bodies ostensibly >setup to assist serious investigation and discourse of the UFO >issue.

>The efforts of the Mainstream Media to mock and ridicule the UFO >subject are greatly aided by these useful idiots, who in search >of 5 minutes of fame, serve to perpetuate eisting power >structures and their attendant secrecy.

>Before the UFO issue can hit the mainstream of public >consciousness, the crackpots must be moved to the fringe of the >fringe. Only then are we likely to have an environment no longer >conducive to official denial of the reality of the UFO >phenomenon

List,

I have not got a clue what is being said here as there are no pointers to anything in particular? If it means Ross wants the UK media crammed full of more abysmal UFO docs like Britain's closest encounters then I am aghast !

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Are These UFOs?

From: Ole Henningsen <<u>oleh</u>.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 17:22:47 +0100
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:36:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 12:10:32 -0500 (EST)
>Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:39:21 -0000
>>Subject: Are These UFOs?

>Looks like lens flares that become apparent only because long >time exposure (builds up contrast of all images) and , of great >importance, the background sky is dark

Any chance of having a look at the original version of the picture, uncropped?

Ole Henningsen Denmark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Are These UFOs?

From: Kari Valimaa <<u>feeniks</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 18:42:52 +0200 Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:39:10 -0500 Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>From: William Treurniet <<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 20:21:17 -0500
>Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>I don't know what the objects are, but I recently saw something >similar in photos supposedly taken in Russia on January 20, >2011. The photos were posted to an ATS thread.

>http://tinyurl.com/4ngwwvq

Hi William,

These photos clearly show phenomenon caused by a rocket launch. The exact location was not mentioned, but at the same day January 20th a rocket was launched from the Baikonur Space Center in Kazakhstan carrying a meteorological satellite.

http://tinyurl.com/6z6bx83

Kari Valimaa

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m28-008.shtml[10/12/2011 12:43:42]

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: 'Emma Woods'

From: John Rimmer <<u>johnrimmer</u>.nul> Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 17:11:55 +0000 (GMT) Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:40:18 -0500 Subject: Re: 'Emma Woods'

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 09:29:33 -0600
>Subject: 'Emma Woods' [was: Special Note From Budd Hopkins]

<rant snipped>

>Does anybody besides me have the feeling that UFO UpDates has >been transformed into Emma Woods UpDates? Remember the good old >days when virtually every issue discussed and debated didn't >revolve in some way around somebody who calls herself Emma >Woods?

>Jerry Clark

Jerry, the agressions and bitterness you're showing to Emma Woods, anyone might think she was a ex-wife or something!

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 17:37:15 +0000
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:49:57 -0500
Subject: Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>From: Robert Hastings <<u>ufohastings</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 14:44:19 -0700 (MST)
>Subject: Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>>From: Kathy Kasten <<u>catraja</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 18:44:09 +0000
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

>>>From: Robert Hastings <<u>ufohastings</u>.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 22:05:15 -0500 (EST)
>>>Subject: UFOs Over Warren AFB ICBMs - Hynek's Notes

<snip>

>Hi Kathy,

>One entry in the telephone call log created by Lt. Anspaugh, as >published by Hynek in his book, The UFO Experience: A Scientific >Inquiry, reads:

>4:40 a.m. - Captain Howell, Air Force Command Post, called >Dayton and Defense Intelligence Agency to report that a >Strategic Air Command Team at Site H-2 at 3:00 a.m. reported a >white oval UFO directly overhead. Later Strategic Air Command >Post passed the following: Francis E. Warren Air Force Base >reports (Site B-4 3:17 a.m.) -- A UFO 90 miles east of Cheyenne >at a high rate of speed and descending - oval and white with >white lines on its sides and a flashing red light in its center >moving east; reported to have landed 10 miles east of the site.

>So, if the object landed - instead of crashing - it presumably
>took off at some point and so there was nothing to retrieve.
>Regarding the identity of that object, and the others reported
>elsewhere that night, they were clearly bona fide UFOs, although

<snip>

Thanks, Robert, for the very detailed report extending an explanation for the Francis E. Warren Air Force Base bombardment of UFO sightings.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2011/feb/m28-010.shtml[10/12/2011 12:43:42]

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 17:43:27 +0000
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 06:52:21 -0500
Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 15:28:06 -0500
>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>>To: UFOUpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 19:23:34 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>>The following is not rigorous science. It's not even science; >>just some topics for possible further discussion.

>>After Jacobs and Hopkins, what do we have left?

>>We have everything else that wasn't obtained with lacking >>ethics, or hypnotic regression, including:

><snip>

>I'd suggest reading "Alien Discussions", which is a collection >of the papers submitted to the 1992 Abduction Conference held at >M.I.T.

>I think it's a mistake to believe that the scientific foundation >of this area of study was solely based on the research of Jacobs >and Hopkins.

Rick:

As Steven points out Jacobs and Hopkins are not the only two people interacting with individuals claiming ET abduction. They are the only people who promoted themselves at ufo conferences and with books aimed at sales within the ufo community.

The list of people whom I have read is too long to post here. Although a sampling is presented just recently in a few posts to the List.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 13:03:42 -0800 (PST)
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 07:06:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 15:28:06 -0500
>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>>To: UFOUpDates <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 19:23:34 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>>The following is not rigorous science. It's not even science; just some >>topics for possible further discussion.

>>After Jacobs and Hopkins, what do we have left?

>>We have everything else that wasn't obtained with lacking ethics, or >>hypnotic regression, including:

<snip>

>I'd suggest reading "Alien Discussions", which is a collection >of the papers submitted to the 1992 Abduction Conference held at >M.I.T.

>I think it's a mistake to believe that the scientific foundation >of this area of study was solely based on the research of Jacobs >and Hopkins.

I do appreciate the response Steve.

First let me apologize for not reading the MIT results you reference. I do not have quick access to a copy.

I also need to apologize for not making my main point clearer. I wanted to stress that until we have more, in the way of good evidence from repeatable experiments, rendered good by real peer review, we're just groping in the dark. Until then we're just a majority of muttering men, mainly mulling meaningless and mundane minor minutiae of maybe meddled memories.

Not to degrade the true victims of real-life abductions - call it my own denial if you must - but I continue to ask these questions about alien abductions:

Is the source of scars, scoop marks, implants and missing time, really ET? Or could these be cases of incidental and forgotten daily traumas?

For example, I have scars and scoop marks and implants - dirt and metal - in my own body. But these I attribute to my own boisterous upbringing with brothers and friends - incidental and forgotten daily traumas.

I also have memories of dreams of "grey"-like intruders in the night. But how can anyone know these are more than dreams?

How can anyone know these are more than confused recollections

Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

and everyday mishaps, without repeatable experiments yielding good evidence which has been peer-reviewed?

Is that what your referenced book MIT conference results contain - good, peer-reviewed evidence? Or is it only the summaries of presentations made along the lines of what I've already written above?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

From: Eleanor White <<u>ewraven1</u>.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 22:07:32 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 07:09:07 -0500
Subject: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

Unbelievable. To me, anyway.

I've just heard the February 27, 2011 Paracast, with Gene Steinberg, Chris O'Brien, Greg Bishop and Paul Kimball.

Towards the end, the conversation got around to abductions. I could scarcely believe my ears.

The consensus seemed to be the 'Clancy Solution' meaning abductions are overwhelmingly likely to be strictly mental aberrations, and that abductees really should see a psychiatrist.

I have yet to hear any persuasive reason why abductions can _not_ be performed by ETs.

And how convenient that these folks touting their 'solution,' ignore witnessed abductions, strange burns, scoop marks, the fellow found dressed backwards and dead on top of a coal pile. Or the recording GPS unit in which an abductees' boat travelled over dry land during their experience.

Unbelievable. It almost seems as if there is a deliberate movement to purge the ETH from all speaking, writing and thinking.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:15:35 -0000
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 07:34:28 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

>From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 16:10:54 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

>>From: Ross Evans <<u>ross.evans11</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 22:25:59 +0000
>>Subject: 'Useful Idiots' Of ET Secrecy

<snip>
>>Before the UFO issue can hit the mainstream of public
>>consciousness, the crackpots must be moved to the fringe of the
>>fringe. Only then are we likely to have an environment no
>>longer conducive to official denial of the reality of the UFO
>>phenomenon

<snip>

>I have not got a clue what is being said here as there are no >pointers to anything in particular? If it means Ross wants the >UK media crammed full of more abysmal UFO docs like Britain's >closest encounters then I am aghast !

Relax Roy,

From the verbose [virtually meaningless] and doctrinaire phraseology of that "dictat" from Ross, I think he's flying a kite.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:48:32 +0000
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 07:54:50 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

>From: Eleanor White <<u>ewraven1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 22:07:32 -0500
>Subject: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

Hi Eleanor,

<snip>

>And how convenient that these folks touting their 'solution,'
>ignore witnessed abductions, strange burns, scoop marks, the
>fellow found dressed backwards and dead on top of a coal pile.
>Or the recording GPS unit in which an abductees' boat travelled
>over dry land during their experience.

>Unbelievable. It almost seems as if there is a deliberate >movement to purge the ETH from all speaking, writing and >thinking.

In the Zigmund Adamski case, there was no evidence of any ET involvement. I have been in touch with a relative of his who does not want his name circulated and is convinced that his death is of a very human cause. He (and other members of his family) find the ET speculation disturbing and baseless.

The boat example you cite sounds interesting, do you have any more details, or can you post a link to them?

Regards,

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul> Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 04:53:34 -0800 (PST) Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 11:54:43 -0500 Subject: Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

>From: Gildas Bourdais <<u>bourdais.gildas</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 12:04:51 +0100
>Subject: Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

>>From: Steve Sawyer <<u>stevesaw</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2011 12:11:40 -0800
>>:Subject: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids IMs [was: Budd Hopkins]

>>The question of who sent Dr. Jacobs the series of instant >>messages (IM's) allegedly from "alien hybrids" is explored >>quite thoroughly by 'Emma Woods' on her website:

>>http://tinyurl.com/4ruc5rr

>>'Emma Woods' concluded that it was 'Elizabeth Smith' (also
>>aka 'Becky' and 'Karen', according to Woods) that sent Jacobs
>>the IM's, possibly in some strange effort to 'alienate' Jacobs
>>from dealing further with Woods. 'Smith' was Jacobs assistant,
>>audio tape transcriber, and webmaster.

>>'Elizabeth Smith' took down her blog when this controversy
>>first began to emerge, and has remained very quiet about her
>>role in this burgeoning scandal. Smith's involvement,
>>particularly if she did send Jacobs those controversial IM's,
>>really needs to be explored and addressed.

>Thank you for this link. I have tried to compare the versions of >David Jacobs and Emma Woods.

>On reading David Jacobs again, I realize that, contrary to my >first impression, he does not state explicitly that Emma sent >them to his webmaster Elizabeth who, in turn, sent them to him.

I did not send any instant messages to Dr. Jacobs or Elizabeth.

The threatening instant messages that Dr. Jacobs received came directly from Elizabeth's computer, and she claims that "hybrids" sent them.

The research subject who Dr. Jacobs took on a few weeks after I chose to end my association with him, who is known in Dr. Jacobs' work as Brian Reed, was drawn into the same situation with Dr. Jacobs and Elizabeth that I had just got out of.

A complex series of events took place, during which Brian also began receiving threatening instant messages from Elizabeth's computer which she claimed "hybrids" had sent.

Without Elizabeth realizing it, Brian set a trap for her on instant messenger, and caught her out writing the "hybrid" IM.

Brian tried to tell Dr. Jacobs, and sent him the evidence that he had collected which showed that Elizabeth was writing it. She, however, continued to deny that it was her. Dr. Jacobs did not appear to be interested in Brian's evidence, and continued to support her case. At that stage, Dr. Jacobs was in a difficult position as Brian was the second research subject (after me) who had come to the conclusion that Elizabeth was a hoaxer. I know that another former research subject also gave her opinion at that time that Elizabeth was writing the "hybrid" IM.

In October 2007, Dr. Jacobs arranged for Elizabeth to take a polygraph test, and she passed the test. However, neither Brian nor I accept the result of the polygraph.

Dr. Jacobs and Elizabeth both participated in a deception of the polygraph examiner about what she was actually accused of.

Brain and I had both accused Elizabeth of making up a fictional "hybrid" character who she named =E2=80=98Jay, and then writing instant messages pretending to be =E2=80=98Jay'.

However, Dr. Jacobs and Elizabeth both led the polygrapher to believe that Elizabeth had a human male friend named =E2=80=98Jay', who's existence was not in questions, who had access to her computer and could have written the instant messages, and that she was accused of impersonating that human person in the instant messages to Dr. Jacobs and Brian.

I subsequently wrote to a well known polygraph examiner about this situation and he said that if a polygraph examinee participated with the researcher in a deception of the polygraph examiner, that this would lessen the anxiety of the polygraph examinee, and help them to pass the polygraph.

Polygraph test are also notoriously unreliable, and the fact that Elizabeth passed the polygraph, even if she and Dr. Jacobs had not deceived the polygraph examiner, would still not override the evidence that she wrote the hybrid instant messaging.

Brian Reed has talked about this on the Paratopia show:

http://paratopia.org/?p=3D317

>For her part, Emma Woods admits that she has been threatened by >Hybrids, warning her not to cooperate, first with Elizabeth, and >later with David,

I have said no such thing.

Under hypnosis I remembered being threatened by "hybrids", but those memories were almost certainly confabulated following extensive leading and suggestion from Dr. Jacobs.

>but she thinks that Elizabeth received threatening mails sent >directly by Hybrids,

I have never said this.

I do not think that Elizabeth received emails from "hybrids". I think that Elizabeth wrote threatening emails and instant messages herself, which she claimed were written by "hybrids".

>and even suspects her to have written them herself to David. >That's already a bizarre story, but that is not all.

>On the question of threatening emails received directly by >David Jacobs on his computer, David says that he received a >series of such emails which came from Emma's computer, but >that she does not remember having sent them. Emma admits >that she is prone to sleep disorders, and that she may have >sent emails while she was asleep!

>Well, this is really too much. I just cannot believe Emma's >story.

I have a sleep disorder in which I carry out complex actions while I am asleep. I told Dr, Jacobs about my sleep behavior long _before_ I became his research subject, and before I became his research subject I sent him video recordings of myself writing notes in my sleep.

I have two of these video recordings on my website.

http://tinyurl.com/6gboot6

http://tinyurl.com/6ak3y66

In late 2006 and 2007 I was extremely stressed by what Dr. Jacobs was doing to me in the hypnosis and by his "tactics" to evade "hybrids". My sleep behavior is triggered by stress, and I sent my former therapist, Dr. Jacobs and Elizabeth short emails in my sleep in which I repeated things that Dr. Jacobs had said to me under hypnosis, and which I remembered "hybrids" saying to me under hypnosis. The emails were not threatening.

What Dr. Jacobs fails to disclose in his defamatory statement about me on his website is that I realized that I was writing the emails myself, and that I tried to tell him this. He, on the other hand, refused to accept this, and continued to insist that "hybrids" had written them.

You can hear Dr. Jacobs and myself discussing this in the recordings on my website of the conversations between us.

>On the other hand, perhaps David Jacobs does not tell, or >does not have the whole story, but his version, as he tells it, >makes sense to me.

Which version of Dr. Jacobs' story about this are you referring to?

The reason that I ask this is because Dr. Jacobs has omitted all references to the "hybrid" instant messaging in his defamatory statement about me on his website. (You can, however, hear him talking about it in the recordings on my website of our conversations about the events.)

In his statement on his website, Dr. Jacobs has provided a fabricated account of the events in which the "hybrid" instant messaging appears never to have existed. Considering the central role that Elizabeth's "hybrid" instant messaging played in the events, it is a further example of Dr. Jacobs' dishonesty in action.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

From: John Rimmer <johnrimmer.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 13:48:43 +0000 (GMT)
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:18:32 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

>From: Eleanor White <<u>ewraven1</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 22:07:32 -0500
>Subject: 'Abductees Need To See A Psychiatrist'

>I have yet to hear any persuasive reason why abductions can >_not_ be performed by ETs.

Nor have I, but then neither have I heard any convincing reason to think that there are any ETs (on Earth, at least!)

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[Next Message | Previous Message | This Day's Messages] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

From: Michael Hughes <<u>michaelmhughes.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 08:50:14 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:21:09 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: >post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 09:44:35 -0300
>Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>Quite frankly, I believe that Mr. Farrakhan is a man of his >word, and being as powerfull as he is, including being friend >with Obama, I sincerelly believe that his support to the UFO >subject and our activist intentions towards a total openning of >the subject, even considering the polemics around him and NOI, >is substantial and can make a real difference. There is more to >come today when the NOI annual convention is closed. Louis >Farrakhan is expected to do new strong declarations.

I've never seen any indication that Farrakhan and Obama are "friends." In fact, Obama tends to avoid controversial and inflammatory speakers like his former pastor and Mr. Farrakhan, so I doubt the Minister's exhortations about the need for disclosure would carry any weight with the president.

Michael M. Hughes

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Are These UFOs?

From: William Treurniet <<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 08:56:33 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:22:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>From: Kari Valimaa<<u>feeniks</u>.nul>
>To:<<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 18:42:52 +0200
>Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>>From: William Treurniet<<u>wtreurniet</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 20:21:17 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Are These UFOs?

>>I don't know what the objects are, but I recently saw something >>similar in photos supposedly taken in Russia on January 20, >>2011. The photos were posted to an ATS thread.

>><u>http://tinyurl.com/4ngwwvg</u>

>Hi William,

>These photos clearly show phenomenon caused by a rocket launch. >The exact location was not mentioned, but at the same day >January 20th a rocket was launched from the Baikonur Space >Center in Kazakhstan carrying a meteorological satellite.

>http://tinyurl.com/6z6bx83

Is there now rocket technology that emits luminous material along the full length of the rocket body? I naively expect fire to come only from the bottom end of the cylinder. Perhaps someone can enlighten me?

Also, the object's trajectory in the photos appears rather close to horizontal. Again, not what I would expect from a rocket heading into orbit.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 09:37:17 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:29:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>From: Rick Nielsen <<u>nilthchi</u>.nul>
>To: <u>post</u>.nul
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 13:03:42 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

>>From: Steven Kaeser <<u>steve</u>.nul>
>>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 15:28:06 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

<snip>

>>I think it's a mistake to believe that the scientific foundation of >>this area of study was solely based on the research of Jacobs and >>Hopkins.

>I do appreciate the response Steve.

>First let me apologize for not reading the MIT results you >reference. I do not have quick access to a copy.

>I also need to apologize for not making my main point clearer. >I wanted to stress that until we have more, in the way of good >evidence from repeatable >experiments, rendered good by real >peer review, we're just groping in the dark. Until then we're >just a majority of muttering men, mainly mulling meaningless >and mundane minor minutiae of maybe meddled memories.

There are many scientific 'facts' that are accepted only because of theory, and not direct experimentation. Peer review is something that this genre lacks, and it would interesting to see some organizational structure evolve to focus the quest, but finding qualified volunteers to initiate that effort is difficult.

But there are many who have been involved in the study of Alien Abduction and those that have published their findings is likely just a slice of those who have sought to help others with similar experiences.

For me, it's an inability to look someone in the eye and say their accepted reality is in error. Like you, I've not found proof in the sense of evidence that cannot be refuted, but as Friedman points out, "the absence of evidence is not the evidence of absence". I have several close friends that view their experiences from different perspectives, and in many ways it helps to form who they are.

But, as I had mentioned, Alien Discussions is probably one of the better collections of papers on the subject. Used copies appear to be selling for a high price on Amazon, so it would be good to check the local library system. Jonathan Archer wrote a good review that can be found at:

http://www.ufoevidence.org/documents/doc1158.htm

but this site is filled with Popups and I suggest it reluctantly.

Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

C. D. B. Bryan also wrote a book on the Conference entitled, Close Encounters Of The Fourth Kind, which is available for a very reasonable price.

Source:

http://www.cufos.org/abduct_P1.html

The Abduction Phenomenon At MIT* by Stuart Appelle

C.D.B. Bryan, Close Encounters of the Fourth Kind: Alien Abduction, UFOs, and the Conference at M.I.T. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1995. 476p. \$25.

During June 13-17, 1992, a conference on the alien abduction experience was held at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Co-chaired by David Pritchard, physics professor at MIT, and John E. Mack, professor of psychiatry at the Harvard Medical School, this invitation-only conference was designed to bring serious investigators and clinicians together to assess commonalties and differences in their findings, interpretations, and approaches to the abduction experience.

A number of writer-journalists were also invited, including C.D.B. Bryan. His own perceptions of the conference, as well as an extensive presentation of abduction accounts, and his assessment of abduction and UFO phenomena in general, represent the content of Close Encounters of the Fourth Kind.

Bryan's book follows close on the heels of Alien Discussions: Proceedings of the Abduction Study Conference, edited by Andrea Pritchard, David E. Pritchard, John E. Mack, Pam Kasei, and Claudia Yap (Cambridge, Mass.: North Cambridge Press, 1994). Discussions is 684 pages' worth of the complete Abduction Study Conference proceedings. Readers interested in a blow-by-blow of the conference will need to read that volume. Those willing to settle for a somewhat selective summary will find Bryan's coverage of the conference quite satisfactory.

Bryan begins his review of the conference with Mark Rodeghier's definition of an abduction experience. Thomas E. Ballard, Bud Hopkins, Keith Basterfield, David M. Jacobs, John Carpenter, Jenny Randles, Joe Nyman, and others each elaborate on this definition by describing the contents and structure of the abduction experience according to their own individual perspectives.

The review continues with John Miller's discussion of conventional explanations for "missing" pregnancies. He examines what conventional medical procedures may tell us about reputed alien abductions. Richard F. Haines discusses multiple abduction evidence. The infamous Roper poll on the prevalence of the abduction experience is hotly debated. And Bud Hopkins unveils details of the Linda Cortile case.

The conference then turns to the psychological dimensions of the abduction experience. This is discussed by a number of mentalhealth practitioners who have worked with experiencers and by investigators who have assessed the characteristics of experiencers using standardized personality inventories.

Bryan concludes his review of the conference with talks on the ethics of abduction investigation and treatment (David Gotlib, Stuart Appelle). With this he also concludes the first half of the book.

The remainder of Close Encounters focuses on post-conference interviews with a number of personalities, both from ufology (Mack, Richard Boylan, Pritchard, Miller) and from the group of experiencers who were in attendance especially two women whose shared experiences are fleshed out during hypnotic sessions with Hopkins.

For the uninitiated, Bryan's analysis will provide a good perspective both on ufology in general (Roswell, cattle mutilations crop circles, black helicopters, MJ-12, and the sighting classics are all covered along the way) and on some of the personalities most closely associated with abduction research. It also allows the reader an excellent glimpse into the phenomenology of the abduction experience. Indeed, nearly half the book is devoted to narratives of abduction experiences as told by its percipients both through conscious recall and during hypnotic regressions.

Yet, even for those who have closely followed the field, this book offers items for reflection. For example, the reader is allowed to listen in on the dialogue between Hopkins and an experiencer during an actual hypnotic regression. This dialogue will impress some, in terms of its effectiveness in eliciting apparently hidden memories. At the same time it may well be scrutinized by opponents of hypnosis looking for ammunition For example, Hopkins responds to a traumatized experiencer who is recalling an alien rape: "Nobody has the right to do this to you...You didn't give him permission.... You have every reason in the world to be angry. Every reason to say 'Leave me alone.... Don't ever do this to me again' " (pp. 373-74).

However skillful, well intended, and perhaps inevitable such exchanges may be, they will give pause to the researcher concerned about the interaction between "counseling" and "investigation." And critics of hypnosis will no doubt see in these exchanges evidence of practicing therapy without a license, or of reinforcing in the experiencer a literal interpretation of the reported events.

The interview with Mack will also be of interest. Compared to his book Abduction (1994), what emerges here is the more coherent and accessible (albeit no less assailable) statement of his reasoning. Mack identifies seven factors which he feels must be addressed in any explanation of the abduction experience. For both his detractors and his defenders, this list presents a sharply focused target at which to aim.

Elsewhere in this interview Mack states that while he might not be qualified to evaluate certain aspects of the abduction experience (such as physical evidence), he can certainly determine if his patients are telling the truth: "Maybe [my client is] lying. But that's my business... That's where I do have some expertise" (p. 258). His comment is particularly poignant given the accusation by Donna Basset that he accepted the completely concocted story she feigned during the course of her "therapy" with Mack.

The reader is also treated to a view of ufology as seen through the eyes of various conspiracy theorists. There is James A. Harder, sizing up Bryan father's (Joseph Bryan III) as the mole in NICAP who was responsible for the organization's demise. Boylan finds evidence of covert research into alien technology at every military base and government installation he visits in the Southwest. Linda Moulton Howe shares a private moment with an Air Force Office of Special Investigations agent who shows her a secret document describing the government's involvement in retrieving crashed saucers and dead aliens And then there are the abduction experiencers themselves. One of them sees an alien entity (invisible to Bryan) spying on them in the midst of a daytime conversation on the MIT campus.

For the already indoctrinated, however, the big news from the Abduction Study Conference will not really be news at all: Investigators and mental-health professionals working with the abduction experience disagree in almost every possible way.

This includes the origins of the experience (whether abductions are real, not real, or something in between), its content (to what extent the events so carefully delineated by Jacobs do or do not accurately portray a typical abduction experience), the apparent motives of the reputed abductors (whether they are here to serve their own nefarious objectives or to save the earth from catastrophe), and how investigators and mental-health professionals should deal with experiencers seeking their services (for example: what the ethics of abduction-experience research and treatment should be). These differences of opinion do not go unnoticed. To Bryan's credit he captures much of the flavor of abduction research as well as the nature of the abduction experience itself.

Bryan begins his book by framing the Abduction Study Conference at MIT in terms of Pritchard's call for "a critical analysis and an exploration of all the possibilities." Ultimately, both the conference and Bryan's book can be judged by how well this call Re: Alien Abduction - What's Left?

has been met. By the strictest of standards, both may have fallen short of the mark. But both are among the best representatives of their kind for objectivity and open-mindedness.

Ironically, for this very reason both have been and will continue to be criticized.

*Stuart Appelle, Ph.D., editor of Journal of UFO Studies is professor of psychology and associate dean, School of Letters Sciences, State University of New York, College at Brockport. Article from the International UFO Reporter. July/August, 1995. Vol. 20, Number 4. pp. 20-21, 24.

================

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 08:53:40 -0600
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:36:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 07:49:03 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 14:15:42 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

><snip>

>This is the last time I will respond.

Oft' times a scrofulous refuge similar to the one aforementioned, eh?

>You aren't worth the time, >and you have nothing valid to contribute to this discussion nor, >it seems, to any discussion that involves adults talking to each >other using logic and reason.

I'm sure you _must_ mean valid points you were loath to qualify, substantiate, or justify, outlined in all logic and reason, plus something else you seem to lack: empathy, conscience and compassion. All these aforementioned points contribute balance to a discussion, Mr. Steinberg, for which you would appear to provide all _im_balance.

>For those of you who are exhausted from coping with Lehmberg's >verbal morass to find a semblance of fact within the mud->slinging, this is where I stand on this situation:

Right... before we continue this excruciating dissection of bald inconstancy, incredible malfeasance, gross infidelity, and rank sociopathy, I'd like to point out that, verbal morass or not, I aspire, muse imbued, to write as completely, as clearly, and as imaginatively as I can. I suspect I'm only too clear in the long run when the reading's _really_ done, eh? To business then:

>1. 'Emma Woods' is a deceiver.

Way to cut right to the chase, Mr. Steinberg! Though, not a _word_ or a _speck_ of opprobrium... shred of criticism or censure... that "balance" you'd pretend to have for the _provenance_ of the alleged deception, the deception catalogued, tabbed & indexed, hot-linked, and that which you _studiously_ ignore? Who deceived whom, _first_, Sir, and who has provided for no deception, actually, at all? I submit that _you_ are more deceiver, Mr Steinfeld.

>She posted messages supporting >herself in our forums (and elsewhere I understand) under a fake >name, other than the fake name she is already using.

Self-soiling laughter, Mr. Steinberg. Let me get this straight. Was this woman remarked upon not the very same woman _prohibited_ from providing for her own defense on your mysterymetal standard board... against inaccurate, humiliating, and Jacobs boosting slanders? Yeah, even _if_ sock-puppeting, I suppose that one might feel compelled to look for another way 'in' to defend a character, honor, and personal reputation against libelous in-the-tank nose-bubblers and Jacobs fan-boys.

A reasonable person would forgive this lead-off concern with which you open, Mr. Steinberg. I'm less than surprised that _you_ are unforgiving. Your cant precludes compassion, I expect, even sense.

>Wearing the >mask of anonymity, she has turned herself into a celebrity of >sorts as the alleged abused victim, with a Web site, thousands >of e-mails, message board posts, magazine articles, and radio >appearances.

Oh, you _must_ mean "blew the whistle," _finally_ heard, on unprofessionalism so bald and suppurating that the flesh crawls, Mr. Steinberg. A "whistle" well couched, supported and documented, about which scholarly papers have been written, other papers inspired, and has impressed the publishers and editors of magazines, Print, Pod, and Pad in her plight and valiant struggle with same. 'Wearing the mask of anonymity', Sir, are Steinberg nurtured Woods hating trolls and pundits participating in the _truly_ pathological, eh?

>Before that happened the woman who calls herself 'Emma Woods' >didn't exist in the world at large.

Then? Then she was outraged that an un-principled man had his salacious way with her and seriously compromised her mental health. She spoke out in her own defense, to the horror, chagrin, and outrage of her busy detractors, producing a bona fide "rape kit" she'd compiled as good as DNA evidence. Oooooo... now all of a sudden we have a _real_ issue, eh?

>None of this means that she >wasn't somehow mistreated by someone who lives thousands of >miles away, someone she's never met, and only communicated with >by phone, email, or Internet chats.

That's just loss-leader stuff provided to appear reasonable when you are not, Mr. Steinberg. See, your own behavior on your own board, coupled with the behavior you nurture and facilitate in others, speaks volumes where your every _utterance_ addresses the mental health and general infidelity of Emma Woods while there is not a corresponding commentary on Good Dr. Jacobs and his, IMO, utter malfeasance, at all. You thought all that would go un-noticed?

>As I said, she appears smart >enough to know that you can end a conversation by hanging up the >phone, and you can stop communicating in writing by not putting >her fingers on the keyboard,or holding a pen or pencil in your >hand.

Which is exactly what you would expect your wife or daughter to do after they'd been psychologically rogered, intellectually tortured, roundly humiliated, and then infected with a life threatening mental disease. No, Mr. Steinberg, I submit your vulpine litigious fangs would appear for Dr. Jacobs, then.

>Was she somehow mentally abused by Jacobs?

Somehow? When it's all there for the world to hear? How _could_ there be any question. How telling that you could even _ask_ that question.

>That's for mental >health professionals or the courts to determine, and so far, the >authorities she's contacted have given her complaints a huge >thumbs down.

Not accurate at all in the first place and remaining to be seen, in the second Mr. Steinberg. All the 'authorities' have been reluctant to kick the lid off this can of worms. A bit of the "too big to fail" as it pertains to Temple. See, they have yet to be _properly_ 'encouraged' to do the right thing, eh? Discussion such as you and I have been having here go a long way to encouraging that dialogue. And how's that for irony? Your sullen intransigence, unbalanced commentary, and canted reportage provide for just the attention to Woods that you have, yourself, prohibited, and _would_ prohibit everywhere else.

>2. I do not support the conclusions expressed by Jacobs about >alien hybrids. As I wrote elsewhere, I am not persuaded by the >value of hypnotic regression to recover lost memories of >possible missing time events (though I suppose it's useful as an >instigative tool with proper controls), and I certainly worry >about attempting to hypnotize someone by phone, since you cannot >observe physical reactions.

This is just more oratorical loss-leader, Mr. Steinberg, an empty appeal to the cowl of logic and reason signifying nothing. Immaterial, too little too late, and irrelevant.

Otherwise plain, Sir, is your unqualified support, and by that I mean your support lacks qualification, of a person caught so far in the wrong that belief is buffeted and beggared. Also plain is your hostility and ill will to Emma Woods, a person whose only crime was to expect professional behavior from a lettered professional under the protecting overhang of a major University's letterhead.

>I also feel that Jacobs should have >avoided the 'Woods' issue altogether, and I have concerns about >the therapist in New Zealand who suggested in the first place >that she seek help from laymen abduction researchers in the U.S.

All completely immaterial to a woman under crippling pressure who reaches out to professionals for help. And. Is. Betrayed.

Eh, Mr. Steinberg?

>Now can we actually get back to UFO research for a change >rather than this maddening soap opera?

That would certainly accommodate only the guilty while it punished the innocent, eh, Mr. Steinberg? Why are you OK with that?

>Peace,

Really?! Really, Mr. Steinberg? No peace without honor, Sir. I know what that is even where others do not.

<u>alienview.nul</u> <u>www.AlienView.net</u> AVG Blog -- <u>http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/</u> U F O M a g a z i n e -- <u>www.ufomag.com</u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: David Cherniack <davidc.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 11:48:15 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:42:49 -0500
Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 07:49:03 -0700
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>1. 'Emma Woods' is a deceiver. She posted messages supporting
>herself in our forums (and elsewhere I understand) under a fake
>name, other than the fake name she is already using. Wearing the
>mask of anonymity, she has turned herself into a celebrity of
>sorts as the alleged abused victim, with a Web site, thousands
>of e-mails, message board posts, magazine articles, and radio
>appearances.

I'm sorry to say that this conclusion is also supported by the transcripts of the phone call session she has now allowed to be published on another site, (even though the recordings do not belong to her) whose author liberally quotes from posts here without permission, thus showing his diminished sense of ethical standards.

Nevertheless we can thank the scurvy bloghard because the transcripts clearly show the she knew that the MPD suggestion would be implanted before the hypnosis begins.

However in her unsolicited mass six-part e-mail of February 12, 2010 she states:

"Dr. Jacobs did not ask me beforehand whether he could do this to me and I did not know that he was going to do it. I realized at the time that it was intended for the 'hybrids' benefit, but because I was hypnotized..."

Clearly not true. And I'm sure if she ever did manage to launch a law suit David Jacob's lawyer would have a field day questioning her honesty.

'Emma' whoever she is. would seem to be a profoundly disturbed individual and David Jacobs is a profoundly easy target. His conclusions about the abduction phenomenon are at a minimum controversial, and at a maximum, quite possibly delusional. Nevertheless, when I interviewed him for UFOS: The Secret History I came to the opinion that he sincerely believed he was warning the world about a real threat, and it was clear he had been terrified by the the messages posing a danger to him and his family.

Should he have been more questioning of the veracity of his conclusions? I think so. Very little about the ontological nature of the abduction phenomenon is certain from my point of view, other than the reality of the experience to the experiencer. Indeed there may be many different kinds of experiences that are being wrapped together under one heading. But I would also grant that researching such profoundly anomalous experiences can be precarious to one's mental equilibrium.

Should he have been more careful of his choice of subjects?

Little doubt about that one.

Nevertheless, I've found him to be honest, which I can't say for 'Emma' and friends, many of whom are just hard core skeptics looking for any excuse to bring Jacobs, and by association, Hopkins, down. That some ufologists feel the need to defend the honour of a wronged damsel in distress is gallant indeed, but perhaps they should pick a more deserving subject for their chivalrous tendencies.

And as for 'Emma' I hope she'll realize that revenge is poor medicine and get the help she needs, rather than relying on the support of those who only want to use her.

And finally, as others have observed, it's a shame her annonymous ramblings are allowed to seed this list.

------ AllinOneFilms.com ------Visit the new site

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 10:01:57 -0700
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 22:53:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 04:53:34 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

'Emma' or whoever you are, this is becoming an unfortunate broken record, and it's time you stop repeating this over and over and over again to the point of exhaustion.

I am sorry if you were hurt by David Jacobs or anyone else. But your place for redress is not posting massive quantities of information on a Web site, sending out thousands of emails and message board posts, writing magazine articles, and appearing on radio shows.

You have, by dint of your claim to fame, pretty much worn out your right to hide behind the mask of anonymity. It's time you reveal who you really area and, if you still feel you have a valid complaint against Jacobs or anyone else, please take that to the proper venue. If you have a case that will deliver a cash reward for damages, there are probably attorneys in the U.S. who'd take that case on a contingency basis, meaning they only get paid if you get paid.

Really, you need to get a life. You've said your piece, and it's time to move on.

Peace, Gene Steinberg Host/Executive Producer, The Paracast www.theparacast.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

_ _ _ _ _ _

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Jacobs & Alien Hybrids

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

From: Michael M. Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 12:20:18 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 22:57:45 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: >post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2011 09:44:35 -0300
>Subject: Re: UFO Discussion In Chicago This Weekend

>Dear Don and Listers

>I perfectly understand your surprise. I believe that it is the >same that many people in the UFO community had.

>What the hell does Louis Farrakhan and the Nation of Islam want >with UFOs and us, UFO researchers and speakers, at their >convention? Well, that question also came to my mind immediately >when I got the invitation, via Jaime Maussan. I even considered >not coming, fearing that the nature of this gathering would be >religious and/or political. That issue bothered me quite a lot >for some time, when I though, well, why not give it a try? I >then decided to get deep into this and see what can come up from >this surprise.

>I read everythuing I could on Mr. Farrakhan and the NOI, which >are barely known in Brazil, and realized two things. First, that >there is an enormous amount of polemics involving them, and this >is perfectly natural. Second, that the man is largely recognized >for achieving what he seeks. After a few consultations with >friends in Brazil and abroad, specially those in USA, who know >Mr. Farrakhan and the NOI better then I did (some actually >didn't like any of those), I decided to come. And I can say at >this point that I do not regret.

<snip>

>Quite frankly, I believe that Mr. Farrakhan is a man of his >word, and being as powerfull as he is, including being friend >with Obama, I sincerelly believe that his support to the UFO >subject and our activist intentions towards a total openning of >the subject, even considering the polemics around him and NOI, >is substantial and can make a real difference. There is more to >come today when the NOI annual convention is closed. Louis >Farrakhan is expected to do new strong declarations.

While I applaud Mr. Farrakhan's efforts to bring publicity to the subject of UFOs, I am surprised your investigation of his polemics didn't alert you to his deeply racist, anti-Semtic, and homophobic public rhetoric. In fact, the Nation of Islam is categorized as a hate group by the respected Southern Poverty Law Center:

http://tinyurl.com/5s4x573

Farrakhan has been implicated, but never charged, in the assassination of Malcolm X, who had turned against what he called the NOI's "sickness and madness" and sought a more peaceful and racially inclusive form of Islam. Martin Luther King, Jr. warned about the doctrine of black supremacy taught by Elijah Muhammad, Farrakhan's predecessor.

Some choice quotes from the Minister, who regularly disparages Judaism as a "dirty religion":

"[T]he Jews don't like Farrakhan, so they call me Hitler. Well, that's a good name. Hitler was a very great man. He wasn't a great man for me as a black person, but he was a great German. Now, I'm not proud of Hitler's evils against Jewish people, but that's a matter of record. He raised Germany up from nothing. Well, in a sense you could say there's a similarity in that we are raising our people up from nothing." - Louis Farrakhan, radio interview, March 11, 1984

"These false Jews promote the filth of Hollywood that is seeding the American people and the people of the world and bringing you down in moral strength. =85 It's the wicked Jews, the false Jews, that are promoting lesbianism, homosexuality. It's the wicked Jews, false Jews, that make it a crime for you to preach the word of God, then they call you homophobic!" - Louis Farrakhan, Saviours' Day speech, Feb. 26, 2006

This further illuminates the rather bizarre linking of Ufology and hate groups, as I pointed out in my comments about Jeff Rense (which, thankfully, a few people apparently read and found worthy of a reply, despite its lack of mention of women's undergarments, MPD, or abduction research). If Ufologists continue to join flagrant racists like Rense and Farrakhan – essentially legitimizing their platforms – it will forever be relegated to the historic and scientific rubbish heap.

I have to ask of the Ufologists who spoke at the NOI gathering or who appear on Rense - is it really worth the audience of thousands or millions to share a venue with men who openly admire Hitler and demonize Jews, gays, and people with an unapproved skin color? Are you all really that desperate? If so, shame on you.

Michael M. Hughes http://michaelmhughes.com http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] This Month's Index |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

From: Michael M. Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 13:48:16 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 23:02:03 -0500
Subject: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

>From: David Cherniack <<u>davidc</u>.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <<u>post</u>.nul>,
>Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 11:48:15 -0500
>Subject: Re: Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

<snip>

>'Emma' whoever she is. would seem to be a profoundly disturbed >individual and David Jacobs is a profoundly easy target. His >conclusions about the abduction phenomenon are at a minimum >controversial, and at a maximum, quite possibly delusional. >Nevertheless, when I interviewed him for UFOs: The Secret >History I came to the opinion that he sincerely believed he was >warning the world about a real threat, and it was clear he had >been terrified by the the messages posing a danger to him and >his family.

<snip>

I only recently rejoined this List, but I'm unpleasantly *not* surprised by the rancor and lack of discrimination, grandstanding, and general noise that almost obliterates the blissful bits of signal that leak through. Some things never change!

Despite plenty of unanswered questions, many have adopted the Emma Woods as saint vs. David Jacobs as devil (or vice versa) dialectic, and descended into shrill condemnations and ad hominem attacks. Why should I expect anything else?

To those foaming-at-the-mouth, I ask, with all due respect:

Might it be possible, as David alluded above, that:

1. "Emma Woods" was wronged by Jacobs's behavior (which it seems quite likely, even if just reading his own rather bizarre defense).

2. "Emma Woods" may have some psychiatric problems unrelated to David Jacobs's behavior.

3. David Jacobs may have some psychiatric problems of his own (believing, for instance, that hybrids are sending him threats via chat programs using "Emma Woods" computer).

4. David Jacobs may have been manipulated by "Emma Woods" for her own purposes.

5. There's more going on than we know, and not enough to shout at each other or create villains or saints.

In other words, yet another (pardon my French) Ufological clusterf*ck, a dovetailing of two possibly delusional, all-toohuman individuals caught in a mutually-reinforcing cycle of paranoia, confabulation, and egotistic gratification? Must it always be reduced to black and white and must we choose a "side"? Might both players in this little drama be right and wrong, honest and fibbing, self-aggrandizing and responding to real injustices, and possibly a little bit unhinged from being Woods/Jacobs - A Salient But Missing Point

immersed in the morass of abduction research? I know it takes a bit of effort to rise above the good vs. bad dichotomy, but why not give it a try and give the insults a rest and see how this all settles out? ______Michael M. Hughes http://michaelmhughes.com

http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Next Message</u> | <u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: <u>UFOUpDatesList.Com</u> > <u>2011</u> > <u>Feb</u> > <u>Feb</u> 28

The Nature of Abduction Researcher Relationships

From: Carol Rainey <<u>csrainey2.nul></u>
Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 14:27:33 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 Feb 2011 23:14:56 -0500
Subject: The Nature of Abduction Researcher Relationships

>From: Jerome Clark <<u>jkclark</u>.nul>
>To: <<u>post</u>.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 09:29:33 -0600
>Subject: 'Emma Woods' [was: Special Note From Budd Hopkins]

>>From: Emma Woods <<u>e.woods33</u>.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 26 Feb 2011 05:30:31 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Special Note From Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>I think that this minimizes the damaging effects of traumatic >>false hypnotic memories on people who experience those memories >>as real.

<snip>

>Since your case is so extraordinarily unusual - as, one infers, >is your personality - I seriously doubt that anybody else can >draw broad conclusions from it.

>Instead of employing this as an excuse to garner world-wide >attention and pity, while (one infers) depicting yourself as the >most suffering person on earth, I strongly urge you to seek the >psychiatric help that, from your own words, it is manifestly >apparent you need.

Hello, Jerry and Other Listers,

Let me suggest that we might learn something about the very nature of relationships between some abduction researchers and their subjects by looking at two such pairs - Hopkins/Cortile and Jacobs/Woods.

Although I agree with you that a strictly public appeal for understanding is no longer serving Ms. Woods well at all, perhaps we might consider as a possibility that she's enacting a very old, tried and true biological strategy. It's engaging in a relationship that I believe, after years of observation of people having genuine anomalous experiences, sometimes occurs between the abduction researcher and the subject.

In the natural world, we call it 'parasitism', where a symbiotic relationship grows up between two different species. So we might hypothesize that the host, the abduction hypnotist, has naively induced in his research subject a type of parasitic dependency on his kindly, caring, ever-present, father-knowsbest persona. He, the host, gets a great deal from this relationship and continues it as long as it's clear that he's the main beneficiary of the mutually supportive relationship that parasitism tends to be. So far, so good.

Now, let's talk about one other such relationship you know much more about directly and may not find so tedious: that of Linda Cortile and Budd Hopkins and their joint output of the book Witnessed: the True Story of the Brooklyn Bridge UFO Abductions. (Yes, it was a joint output. I have xeroxes of the reams of purple prose generated by Cortile, her experience, scene by scene, with pages and pages of dialogue, and presented to Hopkins for his usage.) That abduction researcher and his subject also developed an extremely close bond, with Hopkins, the host in our ongoing biological analogy, as the main beneficiary.

In this case, the attached subject, Linda Cortile, also gained enormously from the relationship - socially, egoistically, artistically, emotionally, and financially. She was literally enfolded into Hopkins' world of NYC Ufology.

I am not downplaying or denying the anguish Cortile went through in the first couple of years, but ultimately, I suggest, she benefited and her life changed for the better.

The differences between the postures exhibited by abductees Linda Cortile and Emma Woods are quite striking - possibly the reason for the displays of animus. Cortile has been the dutiful, good parasite, grooming her host, Hopkins, now for over _twenty_ years. She has supported his every word, his every claim. They've appeared together in media interviews, at UFO conferences and on social occasions for the past two decades.

And Hopkins continues to support her even when he knows she has lied to him about the case. If Witnessed ever does make it to Hollywood, Cortile still stands to profit financially. This is working well for everybody, including the devoted followers of their leader. She confirms him: he confirms her: the followers get to keep on believing.

Ms. Woods, though, has _not_ played the 'good parasite' who continually affirms the host. But then, Jacobs has not played the 'good host', either. Everything got topsy-turvy when the abductee stood up for herself and pointed out that, actually, it was Jacobs who had turned into the parasite.

The upshot of comparing these two cases seems to suggest that even when these relationships appear to be working, as with Cortile and Hopkins, they may not be working to help us know anything that is verifiable or accurate about a very real phenomenon.

It appears to many people interested in this field now that the mutually supportive 'parasitism' model that is UFO abduction research has imploded. People having these very real phenomenological experiences - which I've consistently respected - will need to find another model of inquiry into their experiences. A model that puts their own safety first, one that puts a premium on how and by what valid methods knowledge is gained.

Hopefully,

Carol Rainey www.carolrainey.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[<u>Previous Message</u> | <u>This Day's Messages</u>] <u>This Month's Index</u> |

UFO UpDates Main Index

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

The Nature of Abduction Researcher Relationships