

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > May

UFO UpDates Mailing List May 2012

May 1:

[Re: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available](#) - Kathy Kasten [34]
[UFO Photographed Over Bridgetown](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]
[Ongoing Multiple UFOs In New Hampshire](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]
[Re: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available](#) - Kay Wilson [51]
[Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [38]
[Kelly Creatures And Ivan T. Sanderson](#) - Terry W. Colvin [20]

May 2:

[Re: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox](#) - Roy Hale [12]
[UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [32]
[Triangle Over Independence Missouri](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]
[Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World](#) - Diana Cammack [10]
[Reported In Propwash](#) - Don Ledger [54]

May 3:

[Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World](#) - Don Ledger [14]
[Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World](#) - Jerome Clark [17]
[Keshe Antigravity Demo In September 2012](#) - William Treurniet [19]
[Re: Kelly Creatures And Ivan T. Sanderson](#) - Kathy Kasten [21]
[Re: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox](#) - Eleanor White [32]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [139]
[Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World](#) - Ray Dickenson [33]

May 4:

[Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World](#) - Terry W. Colvin [62]
[Portraits Of Abductees](#) - Terry W. Colvin [27]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Cathy Reason [59]
[Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [14]
[Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [34]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [21]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Cathy Reason [25]
[Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World](#) - David Rudiak [77]
[David Jacobs Interview And Review - Pt. II & III](#) - Jack Brewer [14]

May 5:

[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Cathy Reason [12]
[Re: Portraits Of Abductees](#) - Kathy Kasten [15]
[Review - The Conspiracy Reader](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]
[Re: David Jacobs Interview & Review - Pt. II & III](#) - Kathy Kasten [74]
[Re: Portraits Of Abductees](#) - Michael Hughes [12]
[Missouri MUFON Conference 05-20-12](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [45]
[Keshe Antigravity Demo In September 2012](#) - William Treurniet [19]
[Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [41]
[Re: Portraits Of Abductees](#) - Carol Maltby [23]

May 6:

[Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader](#) - Jacquie Cosford [35]

May 7:

[Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies](#) - Kentaro Mori [30]
[Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies](#) - Yvonne Smith [12]
[Did UFO Land On Cannock Chase England?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [24]
[Encounters Of Strange Kind In Peninsula Skies](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [23]
[Pyramid-Like Object Over Cambridge, Ontario](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [30]
[Fidel Castro And UFOs](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [17]
[Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs](#) - Jerome Clark [21]
[Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies](#) - Martin Shough [33]

May 8:

[Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [30]
[Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs](#) - Steven Kaeser [11]
[Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader](#) - William Treurniet [55]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [105]
[Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs](#) - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [32]
[Online UFO Magazines/Journals - Expanded List](#) - Isaac Koi [144]
[Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma](#) - Isaac Koi [103]
[Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader](#) - Ray Dickenson [22]
[The Gathering Numbers - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [22]
[The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [42]
[Mass Sightings Mainstream Media Coverage](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]
[The Floridad Uruguay UFO Photo Sequence](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [78]
[Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [17]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Cathy Reason [51]
[Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs](#) - Jerome Clark [23]

May 9:

[Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [42]
[Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies](#) - Glennys Mackay [13]
["Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"](#) - Dave Haith [42]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - William Treurniet [32]

[Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - Kathy Kasten [21]
[Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - Vincent Boudreau [40]
[Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader](#) - Kathy Kasten [18]
[Re: Mass Sightings Mainstream Media Coverage](#) - Denis R. Denocla [31]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [135]

May 10:

[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Cathy Reason [21]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Gerald O'Connell [13]
[Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]
[Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - Kathy Kasten [36]
[Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"](#) - Kathy Kasten [17]
[Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader](#) - Ray Dickenson [16]
[Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our](#) - Ray Dickenson [52]
[Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - Don Ledger [21]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Don Ledger [13]
[Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"](#) - William Treurniet [35]
[Re: SunLite on Exeter \(NH, Sept 1965\)](#) - Martin Shough [20]
[To All The Girls I've Loved Before](#) - Kay Wilson [16]

May 11:

[Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [51]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [23]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Ray Dickenson [20]
[Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - Vincent Boudreau [34]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Kathy Kasten [28]
[Space Debris Falls Out Of The Sky?](#) - Kathy Kasten [20]
[Re: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma](#) - Isaac Koi [37]

May 12:

[Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - Kevin Randle [31]
[Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics](#) - Kevin Randle [78]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [11]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down](#) - Ray Dickenson [34]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [30]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Don Ledger [43]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Don Ledger [24]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Eugene Frison [52]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - David Rudiak [33]
[Re: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma](#) - Carol Maltby [25]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Eleanor White [28]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Dave Morton [92]
[Myths & Realities](#) - Denis R. Denocla [33]
[Sorry I Looked... - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [48]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [17]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [27]
[Something Small & Confusing This Way Comes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]

May 13:

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - David Rudiak [54]
[Did UFO Land In Staffordshire, UK?](#) - Dave Haith [20]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Dave Morton [23]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Ray Dickenson [41]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [39]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Don Ledger [14]

May 14:

[Re: Myths & Realities](#) - Dave Morton [59]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [10]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Ray Dickenson [25]
[MOD Files And Rendlesham](#) - Isaac Koi [152]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [47]

May 15:

[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Don Ledger [30]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [222]
[Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [38]
[Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without](#) - Ray Dickenson [26]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Cathy Reason [11]
[Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [55]
[The Idiocy, Fabrications And Lies Of Ancient Aliens](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]
[ET: The Chances Of Finding Alien Life](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [43]

May 16:

[Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - Dave Haith [32]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Dave Morton [20]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [17]
[7F Basket Of Ufology](#) - Dave Haith [19]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [17]
[Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology](#) - Roy Hale [17]
[Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology](#) - Diana Cammack [10]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - William Treurniet [58]

May 17:

[Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology](#) - Steven Kaeser [20]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - "Michael M. Hughes" [15]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - Dave Haith [39]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - Kathy Kasten [23]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [13]
[Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox](#) - Kathy Kasten [44]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [16]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - Eleanor White [56]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - Edward Gehrman [29]
[Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?](#) - Ray Dickenson [26]
[6 Most Likely Places To Find Alien Life](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [32]
[Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox](#) - Jerome Clark [21]
[UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [34]

[Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO Witnesses](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]

May 18:

[This Week At Inexplicata - May 16, 2012](#) - Scott Corrales [823]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - William Treurniet [37]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [21]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - William Treurniet [13]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - William Treurniet [16]
[Re: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [21]
[Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox](#) - Kathy Kasten [31]
[What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET](#) - Victor Viggiani [23]
[Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement](#) - Eleanor White [38]
[Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Ray Dickenson [27]
[Denver UFO Nearly Takes Out Private Jet](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [22]

May 19:

[Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET](#) - Jerome Clark [17]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [41]
[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Kentaro Mori [28]
[Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox](#) - Don Ledger [12]
[Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?](#) - Kathy Kasten [10]
[Re: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO](#) - Kathy Kasten [16]
[Re: UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!](#) - Kathy Kasten [15]
[Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - William Treurniet [24]
[Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - Martin Shough [27]

May 20:

[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Ray Dickenson [40]
[Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?](#) - Ray Dickenson [19]
[Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology](#) - Dave Haith [102]
[Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - William Treurniet [25]
[Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions](#) - Jack Brewer [16]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jack Brewer [77]
[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Michael Tarbell [35]
[UFO Alarm Sounds Around NZ's North Island](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [25]

May 21:

[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Robert Powell [9]
[Did The CIC 'Distress' The Rhodes Photos?](#) - Don Ecsedy [28]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [29]
[Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?](#) - Gildas Bourdais [9]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Dave Morton [83]
[Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - Martin Shough [30]

May 22:

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [32]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [42]

[The Mystery Of The Multiversity](#) - Diana Cammack [15]
[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Kentaro Mori [31]
[Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - William Treurniet [23]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion <jcarrion@mntview.com [76]
[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Kentaro Mori [50]
[Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - Gerald O'Connell [15]
[Re: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions](#) - Kathy Kasten [29]
[Orbs And An Airplane Over Reading 05-13-12](#) - William Treurniet [26]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [28]
[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Ray Dickenson [37]
[Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary](#) - Eugene Frison [48]
[Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts](#) - Don Ledger [30]
[Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - Martin Shough [88]
[Re: Orbs And An Airplane Over Reading 05-13-12](#) - Michael M. Hughes [15]
[Is The Presence Of Exocivilizations Risky?](#) - Denis R. Denocla [18]

May 23:

[Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England](#) - William Treurniet [16]
[Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts](#) - Robert Powell [18]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Dave Morton [66]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [27]

May 24:

[Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators](#) - Martin Shough [42]
[Collection For Sale](#) - Ferhat KleinerGrauer [20]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ecsedy [68]
[Re: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions](#) - Jack Brewer [55]
[Re: Flying Saucers: The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jack Brewer [45]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [17]
[Alien \[MILAB?\] Implants Closer To Detection?](#) - Kay Wilson [12]
[A Snowball's Chance? - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [40]
['Men In Black' Inspired By Scary UFO Stories](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]

May 25:

['Top Alien Hunter' Hangs Up Her Telescope](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [23]
[DNA Testing?](#) - Diana Cammack [23]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [15]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [11]
[Re: 'Top Alien Hunter' Hangs Up Her Telescope](#) - Jerome Clark [10]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Martin Shough [12]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [15]
[Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts](#) - Michael Tarbell [18]
[Re: DNA Testing?](#) - Jack Brewer [32]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [31]

May 26:

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [19]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [18]
[Re: Alien \[MILAB?\] Implants Closer To Detection?](#) - Kathy Kasten [19]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [12]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [33]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Dave Morton [9]
[Re: A Snowball's Chance? - Cox](#) - Eleanor White [14]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [27]

May 27:

[Re: Alien \[MILAB?\] Implants Closer To Detection?](#) - Elsie Conner [11]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [21]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [20]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ecsedy [13]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - David Rudiak [63]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kathy Kasten [26]
[Mount Rushmore - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Dave Morton [25]
[BUFORA 50th Anniversary Conference](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [16]
[Articles Written By Ian Stephens](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [21]

May 28:

[The Sighting That Convinced A Government Minister](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [10]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [19]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [14]
[Re: Mount Rushmore - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [16]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Kevin Randle [27]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [22]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [16]
[The Moon: Look But Don't Touch, Says NASA](#) - Terry W. Colvin [36]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [19]

May 29:

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [14]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [25]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ecsedy [37]
[Re: Lighter-Than-Air UAV The Size Of A Football](#) - Don Ledger [40]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [11]
[Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations](#) - Kay Wilson [22]
[Men In Black 3's Suits: The Latest Spin...](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]
[UFONauts Many Shapes Sizes And Colors](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]
[Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [42]

May 30:

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [24]
[Close Encounters Of A Lenticular Kind](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [16]
[Truth Is Out There About UFO In Baltic](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Cathy Reason [37]
[UFO FOTOCAT Blog Update June 2012](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [32]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [10]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Martin Shough [56]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [22]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [28]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [24]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [29]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [10]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [11]

May 31:

[Roswell & Flying Saucers \[was: The Greatest Lie...\]](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [60]
['Repas Ufologiques'](#) - Ray Dickenson [26]
[Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle](#) - Edward Gehrman [31]
[New Book 'Russia's Roswell Incident'](#) - Philip Mantle [155]
[Re: Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations](#) - Kathy Kasten [11]
[Many Coloured 'Fireballs' Reported To NUFORC](#) - Peter Davenport [27]
[Re: Alien \[MILAB?\] Implants Closer To Detection?](#) - Kathy Kasten [20]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ecsedy [15]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [10]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [12]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Stanton Friedman [11]
[Noory Friedman & Cremo In Toronto June 23rd](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [22]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Steven Kaeser [24]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

Re: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2012 18:43:10 +0000
Archived: Tue, 01 May 2012 12:17:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available

>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2012 12:55:08 -0500
>Subject: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available

>In Celebration of our 20,000th download, the .mobi ebook edition
>of I Forgot What I Wasn't Supposed To Remember: An Expanded View
>of the Alien Abduction Phenomenon is Now Available.

>http://alienjigsaw.com/The_Books/mobi_ebook_Page.html

>Two readers' impressions can be read at the links below:

>http://alienjigsaw.com/Reviews/Carpenter_I_Forgot.html

>http://alienjigsaw.com/Reviews/VinceWhites_Thoughts.html

>Please note that the free PDF version is still available to the
>public.

Dear List:

In re-reading parts of The Secret History Of The World as background information for the novel I am in the middle of writing, I found this interesting sidebar for an etching. (Next to the etching of a drawing by Henry Fuseli showing a Hanon-Tramp; one of the moon demons that inhabit the dark side of the moon) "the demons play a legitimate role in the spiritual economy of the cosmos, helping to tear corruption from human spirits after death. However, if they break through into the earthly realm, they appear as malevolent dwarves. The height of a six- or seven-year-old child with large, hypnotic eyes, they sometimes emit an ear-splitting yell that can freeze a human with fear. More powerful when the moon is waning, these demons may account for some modern encounters with 'aliens', which in a physical form at any rate play no part in esoteric cosmology."

Based on this 18th century etching it would seem humans have been dealing with some type of energy they consider off-planet and malevolent when the energy intrudes into physical reality. However, the energy has a valuable task to perform when restricted to the 'dark side of the moon'. Mark Booth provides this etching in the chapter called Demi-Gods and Heros. It part of his discussion of the evolution of the human psyche through time and using esoteric beliefs of the secret societies.

To me, it is an interesting note on belief systems throughout time. The fact that energies from "out there" intrude into our reality still persists, even in a similar form.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

UFO Photographed Over Bridgetown

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2012 12:22:20 -0400
Archived: Tue, 01 May 2012 12:22:20 -0400
Subject: UFO Photographed Over Bridgetown

Source: IndyMedia.Org.Au

<http://tinyurl.com/7upc6j3>

Mon 30 Apr 2012

UFO Photographed Over Bridgetown
By Gerry Georgatos

In recent times the Blackwood region has become a hotspot for UFO sightings and recently a Bridgetown resident photographed a UFO in Bridgetown's sky.

77 year old Robert Meldrum lives on one of the highest vantages of Bridgetown, near the well-known Bridgetown Lookout, and his front verandah is a pilgrimage to one of the great views of the valley town. He and his wife retired to Bridgetown ten years ago. Mr Meldrum is an avid photographer who on the afternoon of Sunday April 22 was shooting photographs of cloud formations from his front verandah.

"It was about 5pm on the Sunday, and light and dark were in play. I was trying to photograph a rainbow after having been intrigued by the cloud formations," said Mr Meldrum.

"I didn't see the UFO while I was on the verandah however later when looking at the photos I noticed something in the top right hand corner of one of the photos and then did a close-up."

"It looked rocket-like, however it was not a plane, not any design I've seen, it was nothing that made sense, so it qualifies for an unidentified flying object, a UFO," he said.

"I was chasing rainbows and instead I found a UFO."

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

Ongoing Multiple UFOs In New Hampshire

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2012 15:49:26 -0400
Archived: Tue, 01 May 2012 15:49:26 -0400
Subject: Ongoing Multiple UFOs In New Hampshire

Source: National UFO Examiner

<http://tinyurl.com/d8xede8>

April 30, 2012

Multiple UFOs Reported As Ongoing Activity By New Hampshire
Witness
Roger Marsh

A New Hampshire witness is reporting ongoing UFO activity including objects in "groups of three or more" that appear and disappear without sound, according to April 30, 2012, witness testimony from the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) witness reporting database.

"These events usually occur between the hours of 12 and 3a.m., but my wife and I have seen these objects during the day too," the witness stated. "I'm disabled and have difficulty sleeping because of pain. I go out on our balcony to smoke."

The witness was able to shoot video of objects seen on April 27, 2012. The video can be downloaded directly from MUFON here for viewing in two files: File 1 and File 2.

The witness offers a background on what has been seen in the past.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

Re: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available

From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2012 12:55:06 -0500
Archived: Tue, 01 May 2012 15:54:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2012 18:43:10 +0000
>Archived: Tue, 01 May 2012 12:17:33 -0400
>Subject: Re: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available

>>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2012 12:55:08 -0500
>>Subject: Alien Jigsaw New Ebook Edition Available

>>In Celebration of our 20,000th download, the .mobi ebook edition
>>of I Forgot What I Wasn't Supposed To Remember: An Expanded View
>>of the Alien Abduction Phenomenon is Now Available.

>>http://alienjigsaw.com/The_Books/mobi_ebook_Page.html

>>Two readers' impressions can be read at the links below:

>>http://alienjigsaw.com/Reviews/Carpenter_I_Forgot.html

>>http://alienjigsaw.com/Reviews/VinceWhites_Thoughts.html

>>Please note that the free PDF version is still available to
>>the public.

>Dear List:

>In re-reading parts of The Secret History Of The World as
>background information for the novel I am in the middle of
>writing, I found this interesting sidebar for an etching. (Next
>to the etching of a drawing by Henry Fuseli showing a Hanon-
>Tramp; one of the moon demons that inhabit the dark side of the
>moon) "the demons play a legitimate role in the spiritual
>economy of the cosmos, helping to tear corruption from human
>spirits after death. However, if they break through into the
>earthly realm, they appear as malevolent dwarves. The height of
>a six- or seven-year-old child with large, hypnotic eyes, they
>sometimes emit an ear-splitting yell that can freeze a human
>with fear. More powerful when the moon is waning, these demons
>may account for some modern encounters with 'aliens', which in a
>physical form at any rate play no part in esoteric cosmology."

>Based on this 18th century etching it would seem humans have
>been dealing with some type of energy they consider off-planet
>and malevolent when the energy intrudes into physical reality.
>However, the energy has a valuable task to perform when
>restricted to the 'dark side of the moon'. Mark Booth provides
>this etching in the chapter called Demi-Gods and Heros. It part
>of his discussion of the evolution of the human psyche through
>time and using esoteric beliefs of the secret societies.

>To me, it is an interesting note on belief systems throughout
>time. The fact that energies from "out there" intrude into our
>reality still persists, even in a similar form.

>KK

Similar form? Similar Beliefs? During an image search I found the following; however, I'm not sure how they went from this image:

<http://thelastage.blogspot.com/2009/01/moon-demons.html>

which can also be viewed here:

<http://tinyurl.com/7mvo5wd>

To the image on the artifact:

<http://thelastage.blogspot.com/2009/01/moon-demons.html>

To an image of... a Grey? Really?

I don't see a similarity between them. I also have not seen the first two images during my encounters.

It does seem to suggest that people feel more comfortable paring the so-called "paranormal" with abductions by extraterrestrials and/or interdimensional beings. A psychological "comfort zone" type of thing? And, it obviously garners more attention to one's blog or book to include the image of a grey since they are more "popular" today. In either case, they are a misrepresentation of what is happening on our planet. People are not being abducted by "dreams," "incubi" "horses," "the moon" or "moon demons."

It's also curious that nowhere in an image search of Henry Fuseli's works, is there anything resembling a grey, so there is obviously not a relationship between Henry Fuseli's works, my book, extraterrestrials, greys, etc.

And, getting to the "dark side of the moon," where finally you do mention something that I wrote about (as a caption to an illustration) in my ebook:

The beings I saw there _if I really was in fact there_ were humanoid and were wearing what appeared to be body suits.

The full account is published in my first book, The Alien Jigsaw. The illustration with the caption is published in my ebook, I Forgot What I Wasn't Supposed to Remember. These books are about the alien abduction phenomenon, not The Secret History of the World or secret societies. BTW: take a look at the books with that title:

<http://tinyurl.com/c6f8qpa>

<http://www.grahamhancock.com/forum/BlackJ1.php>

<http://www.secrethistoryoftheworld.com/>

Kathy, your logic is like ping pong balls bouncing off the walls. This is why you are one my favorite people to blog about.

http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats_New/BetweenTwoLiesBlog.htm

Corrections to one of your earlier ping pong UpDates episodes can be read here:

<http://tinyurl.com/7cvuczo>

Boing!

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2012 16:11:01 -0400
Archived: Tue, 01 May 2012 16:11:01 -0400
Subject: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/83yznyg>

Tuesday, May 1, 2012 at 10:55

Buy Two Gallons Of Regular Unleaded Instead
by Billy Cox

One of the most facile, meaningless, and inhibiting phrases in the lexicon of The Great Taboo - and we see it repeated time and again - is "UFO believers." The term, with its implication of religious fervor, is often applied by debunkers as a pejorative swipe at researchers and practically anyone else who takes this stuff seriously. But in the hands of the mainstream media, which expeditiously employs the label in what passes for coverage, the damage is difficult to calculate. No doubt, it leaves an enduring cultural imprint.

We see it once more in the latest special edition of U.S. News & World Report, devoted to "Mysteries of Space." Although the topics are fairly traditional - astronomy, astronomy, commercialization, militarization, etc. - the zinger is a cover tease promising to reveal "Why UFOs Are Dangerous." The 'zine invests four of its 88 pages to The Great Taboo, and judging from his sourcing, reporter Michael Morella has put a lot of work into the piece. But he doesn't know what to do with it. Rather than tell readers exactly Why UFOs Are Dangerous, Morella, like so many other MSM efforts to sample the entire buffet, has trouble with priorities and wastes valuable time and space indulging the rehash.

Morella initially attempts to build his case on Leslie Kean's UFOs: Generals, Pilots and Government Officials Go On the Record, then proceeds to get sidetracked on tangential cultural contexts and ancient history (whee - we learn about...

[More at site... thanks to Leslie Kean for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

Kelly Creatures And Ivan T. Sanderson

From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
Date: Tue, 1 May 2012 15:47:44 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
Archived: Tue, 01 May 2012 16:39:34 -0400
Subject: Kelly Creatures And Ivan T. Sanderson

Source: CryptoMundo.Com

Date: Apr 30, 2012

<http://www.cryptomundo.com/cryptozoo-news/kelly-its/>

Kelly Creatures and Ivan T. Sanderson
By Loren Coleman

Revisiting - through previously unpublished photographs
unearthed by Doug Skinner - a strange and weird 1955 encounter,
which occurred near Hopkinsville, Kentucky.

Terry W. Colvin
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 2](#)

Re: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 03:48:58 +0100
Archived: Wed, 02 May 2012 05:56:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 9:11 PM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox

>Source: Billy Cox's De Void

><http://tinyurl.com/83yznyg>

>Tuesday, May 1, 2012 at 10:55

>Buy Two Gallons Of Regular Unleaded Instead
>by Billy Cox

>One of the most facile, meaningless, and inhibiting phrases in
>the lexicon of The Great Taboo - and we see it repeated time and
>again - is "UFO believers." The term, with its implication of
>religious fervor, is often applied by debunkers as a pejorative
>swipe at researchers and practically anyone else who takes this
>stuff seriously. But in the hands of the mainstream media, which
>expeditiously employs the label in what passes for coverage, the
>damage is difficult to calculate. No doubt, it leaves an
>enduring cultural imprint.

IMO The Media have always used an army of debunkers & skeptics
to put the topic in their hands, makes them feel comfortable
and appear to be the rational thinkers on the topic, although
history tells us otherwise.

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 2](#)

UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2012 06:06:57 -0400
Archived: Wed, 02 May 2012 06:06:57 -0400
Subject: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

Source: HuffPo

<http://tinyurl.com/8xut8o3>

05/ 1/2012

UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report
Lee Spiegel

Why are UFOs dangerous? U.S. News & World Report is tackling that question in a special Mysteries of Space magazine that recently hit newsstands.

Every year, thousands of UFO sightings from around the world are reported. And, as the magazine points out, about 95 percent of those reports are explained as ordinary things like military aircraft, balloons, misidentified astronomical objects - such as planets or meteors - and meteorological phenomena.

That leaves 5 percent of unexplained UFOs - but with so many reported, even 5 percent is pretty large.

While the debate rages over whether some of the residual UFOs are interplanetary or interdimensional spacecraft, the magazine is exploring the potential danger of UFO encounters with commercial airline pilots.

"Our objectives are to make flying safer for the public, and we're convinced there's a potential threat posed by nearby unexplained aerial phenomena to commercial and private airplanes," former UFO skeptic and NASA research scientist Richard Haines told The Huffington Post.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 2](#)

Triangle Over Independence Missouri

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2012 06:10:47 -0400
Archived: Wed, 02 May 2012 06:10:47 -0400
Subject: Triangle Over Independence Missouri

Source: National UFO Examiner

<http://tinyurl.com/d3w4396>

April 30, 2012

Triangle UFO Reported Under 1,000 Feet Over Independence,
Missouri
Roger Marsh

A Missouri witness reports watching a slow moving "triangle craft with two slow blinking lights in the back" moving over Independence under 1,000 feet about 9:10 p.m. on April 28, 2012, according to testimony from the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) witness reporting database.

"It was very odd - a triangular-shaped flying object that had two blinking lights in the rear only," the witness stated. These very, very bright white lights were circular and blinked on and off every two seconds (I timed it). There were no other lights on the craft like you would see on a plane."

The witness called a co-worker outside to watch the event, which lasted about 5 minutes.

"We were both perplexed because it was moving so very slowly and we decided that it could not have been a conventional aircraft. It did not look like a helicopter either. It was triangular with one end (the front) being longer than the other two sides and the edges were quite thick. The object was moving in a Northeast to Southwest direction in a straight line and did not waver from its path."

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 2](#)

Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

From: **Diana Cammack** <cammack.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 15:30:48 +0200
Archived: Wed, 02 May 2012 10:30:52 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 12:06 PM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>Source: HuffPo

><http://tinyurl.com/8xut8o3>

>05/ 1/2012

>UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report
>Lee Spiegel

><snip>

>Every year, thousands of UFO sightings from around the world are
>reported. And, as the magazine points out, about 95 percent of
>those reports are explained as ordinary things like military
>aircraft, balloons, misidentified astronomical objects - such
>as planets or meteors - and meteorological phenomena.

Question: where did this 95% come from, and is it a current
figure? Has anyone tested it lately?

Diana

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 2](#)

Reported In Propwash

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 11:31:56 -0300
Archived: Wed, 02 May 2012 11:48:23 -0400
Subject: Reported In Propwash

The reason I submitted this is that it showed up in Propwash, a staid Aviation Newsletter read by tens of thousands of pilots of all persuasions.

I found it odd that it even made it in there. the incident itself is tragic.

It was copied from: www.caapakistan.com.pk the Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority

For what it's worth.

Don Ledger

<http://tinyurl.com/dx7bzlx>

Tue, May 01, 2012

Pakistani Officials Say Fuel Tank Explosion Caused Bhoja Airlines Accident

Some News Report Indicated That Unidentified Lights May Have Been Present

Officials in Pakistan are saying that the April 20th accident involving a Bhoja Airlines Boeing 737 was caused by the airliner's fuel tanks catching fire and exploding while on approach to Islamabad Airport, but some news reports have added a layer of mystery to the accident which fatally injured all 127 people on board the airplane.

The pilot made a mayday call moments before the disaster, and reported that a fuel tank had caught fire and the plane was out of control. Authorities said the jet had been properly positioned at the beginning of the approach but then descended suddenly to 200 feet while flying at 300mph. It then descended another 50 feet before its tanks exploded. Given the violent thunderstorm raging in the vicinity, some experts have speculated that wind shear may have been a factor in the jet's inability to hold altitude.

But the Daily Mail of India cites a Russian news report which shows footage of three mysterious lights moving in formation. That has led to speculation in the UFO community that an extraterrestrial may have been involved. The news report suggests the pilot could have been distracted by the object, and even complained to the control tower at Islamabad Airport as he made his approach.

A judicial investigation into the accident has been ordered, and the head of Bhoja Air has been restricted from leaving the country. Interior Minister Rehman Malik said, "It is being said that the aircraft was quite old, so it has been ordered to investigate thoroughly the air worthiness of the Bhoja Air aircraft. 'The causes will be investigated, whether it was any fault in the aircraft, it was lightning, the bad weather or any other factor that caused the loss of precious lives," he added.

The plane's flight data recording systems, key to any investigation, have been recovered.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 11:38:06 -0300
Archived: Thu, 03 May 2012 07:23:21 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 15:30:48 +0200
>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>>Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 12:06 PM
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>Source: HuffPo

>><http://tinyurl.com/8xut8o3>

>>05/ 1/2012

>>UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report
>>Lee Spiegel

>><snip>

>>Every year, thousands of UFO sightings from around the world are
>>reported. And, as the magazine points out, about 95 percent of
>>those reports are explained as ordinary things like military
>>aircraft, balloons, misidentified astronomical objects - such
>>as planets or meteors - and meteorological phenomena.

>Question: where did this 95% come from, and is it a current
>figure? Has anyone tested it lately?

Diana

A totally made up percentage that has been around for some 70
years without any support. UFO researchers bought into it
initially because it at least gave them a foot in the door; a
measely 5% when in fact the numbers are probably closer to 30%,
maybe more.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 10:20:03 -0500
Archived: Thu, 03 May 2012 07:25:31 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 15:30:48 +0200
>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>>Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 12:06 PM
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>Source: HuffPo

>><http://tinyurl.com/8xut8o3>

>>05/ 1/2012

>>UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report
>>Lee Spiegel

>><snip>

>>Every year, thousands of UFO sightings from around the world are
>>reported. And, as the magazine points out, about 95 percent of
>>those reports are explained as ordinary things like military
>>aircraft, balloons, misidentified astronomical objects - such
>>as planets or meteors - and meteorological phenomena.

>>Question: where did this 95% come from, and is it a current
>>figure? Has anyone tested it lately?

As Brad Sparks has pointed out here and elsewhere, it's basically an urban legend, based on a very loose definition of what observers mean by "UFO" sightings.

In the days when Blue Book was conducting actual investigations of UFO sightings, it concluded that unknowns were between 25 and 30 percent of the total. And it got to that figure by automatically relegating high-strangeness cases - those involving landings, close encounters, occupants - to the "psychological" category.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Keshe Antigravity Demo In September 2012

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2012 13:10:55 -0400
Archived: Thu, 03 May 2012 07:27:16 -0400
Subject: Keshe Antigravity Demo In September 2012

Keshe Space (Belgium) has recently announced that it will give a public demonstration of antigrav technology in September, 2012. The know-how and full technology will be made available to all governments at that time.

<http://tinyurl.com/7tgehjm>

<http://tinyurl.com/8xlg2cb>

See discussion of the technology on Pesiwiki:

<http://tinyurl.com/62hlsvt>

Keshe is originally from Iran. We may have heard of him in that context. I remember reading something about an Iranian flying saucer some time ago.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Re: Kelly Creatures And Ivan T. Sanderson

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 18:18:36 +0000
Archived: Thu, 03 May 2012 07:30:45 -0400
Subject: Re: Kelly Creatures And Ivan T. Sanderson

>From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 1 May 2012 15:47:44 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
>Subject: Kelly Creatures And Ivan T. Sanderson

>Source: CryptoMundo.Com

>Date: Apr 30, 2012

><http://www.cryptomundo.com/cryptozoo-news/kelly-its/>

>Kelly Creatures and Ivan T. Sanderson
>By Loren Coleman

>Revisiting - through previously unpublished photographs
>unearthed by Doug Skinner - a strange and weird 1955 encounter,
>which occurred near Hopkinsville, Kentucky.

Terry:

I have always thought that Sanderson tried to take UFO research in a valid direction. His opinion got lost in the 'nuts and bolts' approach to the research and I think that was a mistake.

Also, Kay Wilson, in her e-mail of yesterday, challenged the depiction of 19th century encounters with "alien creatures" and wondered whether that depiction had anything to do with modern encounters.

My opinion is that, based on Sanderson's approach, entities that interact with humans seems to depend on the encounter. Individuals provide different descriptions of the encountered entities. There doesn't seem to be one 'standard' model. Witness the case report from 1955.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Re: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 17:03:51 -0400
Archived: Thu, 03 May 2012 07:32:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead - Cox

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 9:11 PM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Buy Two Of Regular Unleaded Instead -

>Source: Billy Cox's De Void

><http://tinyurl.com/83yznyg>

>Tuesday, May 1, 2012 at 10:55

>Buy Two Gallons Of Regular Unleaded Instead
>by Billy Cox

>One of the most facile, meaningless, and inhibiting phrases in
>the lexicon of The Great Taboo - and we see it repeated time
>and again - is "UFO believers."

I believe UFOs, either mostly or entirely, are visitors from
other planets. I believe they can zap in and out of parallel
dimensions, and execute time travel.

I believe that because when I apply Occam's Razor, that is the
simplest explanation for UFO observations as I see the evidence.

There is nothing wrong with having opinions. Having opinions,
even an opinion that UFOs are of ET origin, is not a character
flaw and does not mean that individual isn't just as rational as
those who don't have an opinion as to the origin of UFOs.

Every personality characteristic can be pushed to excess,
including being "neutral." Being "neutral" can be pushed so
hard that no hypotheses can be discussed. Extreme censorship
results from pushing being neutral to excess.

Science does not require that scientists don't have opinions,
all it requires is that those opinions be put into the form of
hypotheses which are tested with properly designed experiments.

Every single UFO researcher has lots of opinions, and having
opinions does not stop them from doing objective research. As
long as one doesn't exclude evidence, one is being objective.

Every single skeptic has lots of opinions too, and that should
be pointed out when they start talking as if people who believe
things are somehow not credible. In fact, when they start
talking about UFOs, what they say is almost entirely their...
<GASP>, dare I say it... opinion!

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Eugene Frison** <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 21:26:48 -0500
Archived: Thu, 03 May 2012 07:42:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2012 18:11:15 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2012 21:47:40 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>For merely giving a list of methods used by science, I was
>>accused of believing that the scientific method was a
>>complicated and complex thing, of being obsessed with "elaborate
>>methodological ritual" and of possessing a "reverence for
>>logic." Simply for making a list.

>Ok, let's leave aside your claims about the reliability of human
>perception, since I think we've established that these hinge
>entirely on your rather idiosyncratic notion of reliability.
>Never mind. Let's turn to your other claims.

No... let's not "leave aside" my claims about the reliability of
the human perception system. Let's not just "never mind". We are
going to continue this aspect of the discussion.

First of all, these are not just my claims. This is a claim
made by many scientists and they have produced a hefty amount of
evidence to back it up.

Secondly, we have not done any such thing as "establish that
these hinge entirely on my rather idiosyncratic notion of
reliability." These claims were made by others long before I
even got interested in them, so it simply can't be that they
rest on my "idiosyncratic notion of reliability," let alone
"entirely".

What they do hinge on is hard fact from scientific research and
everyday observation.

>First, you claim that much or most of psychology does not depend
>on "operationalisms". Let's clear up one potential source of
>confusion at the outset - what actually do you mean by
>"operationalisms", a term you appear to have invented? If you
>mean operationalized measures, then your claim is simply untrue,
>since pretty much the whole of modern psychology relies on
>operationalized definitions of one sort or another. This
>includes the qualitative methods such as Participant Observation
>which I believe you referred to in an earlier post. If you know
>of any significant examples to the contrary, then I think it's
>time you produced them.

The word "operationalisms" should not have been written with an
's.' "Operationalism" is the doctrine. I intended to write
"operationalizations". Sorry for that confusion. These posts
have gotten unduly long at times, and, to be quite frank, I have
not had the interest to go back and edit them before sending
them out.

That should clear up the confusion.

Now, on to your false accusation above. You say, "you claim that much or most of psychology does not depend on "operationalisms." Well, that is not what I claim at all. Go back and reread my post. My exact words were, "psychological research was much more than the use of operationalisms." Of course modern psychology depends on operationalized definitions of one sort or another, as you say. But: the social sciences (including psychology) use an eclectic mixture of qualitative and quantitative methods to gather data and this approach is known as "mixed-methods research." Qualitative methods can and do include quantitative dimensions. Quantitative methods can give exact and testable expression to qualitative ideas. Measurement can provide the connection between empirical observation and the mathematical expressions of quantitative relationships.

If you're going to accuse me of something, at least accuse me of the right thing. Make the correct accusation, please, based on what I actually say and not on what you think I am saying.

>On the other hand if you mean something else by
>"operationalisms", then perhaps you can explain what that is.

I mean "operationalizations". At times I meant to write "operationalism" to refer to the doctrine itself, but at other times I meant to refer to "operationalizations", i.e. operationalized measures. You are correct to point out my lazy and improper use of these terms by my interchanging them.

>Second, you claim that even if it is true that all modern
>psychology depends on operational measures, and even if these
>measures are systematically flawed, this would not alter the
>big picture for psychology. How you think the big picture for
>psychology would remain unchanged even if the whole basis of its
>observations were shown to be systematically corrupted is a
>mystery to me. Perhaps you can explain.

Nope, sorry. Never claimed this. I claimed that even if every time psychological research uses an operationalization, it confused operational notions of things with proxy notions of things, it would not destroy psychology. For this to happen, every one of psychology's premises, models, observations, perceived relationships, etc. would have to be dead wrong. This is not the case.

As you say, most of modern psychology is based on Cognitivism. But there was psychology long before Cognitivism. There will be psychology long after Cognitivism. Cognitivism began to take root in the 1950's. Meyers was published in 1903. Even if Cognitivism was shown to be complete crap and totally erased from the picture, there would still be psychology. Cognitivism has numbered days, as the post-cognitivists are proposing better ideas. The big picture won't change because the big picture is that Cognitivism is doomed; nothing is going to change this. You clearly had your own ideas as to what I meant by the big picture of psychology and, as usual, they had nothing in common with what I was referring to.

It's very, very simple. It is my contention that every time psychology uses an operationalization, it is not guilty of confusing operational notions of things with proxy notions of things, and that it is not always starting out with bad premises. Some of its premises - such as the one that human perception is flawed - are indeed factual and are a good basis from which to start. If you're starting out with a healthy premise - such as one based on everyday observation and backed up with repeatable and reproducible empirical experimentation - then you're not always going to end up with bad results if you apply a methodology that conforms to the standards of the scientific method.

Many of psychology's experimentation is both repeatable and reproducible. (Repeatable refers to getting the same results by the same researcher, using the same equipment, lab conditions, etc over a short period of time. Reproducible means getting the same results by various researchers, in different locations, over a long period of time. I mention this just to avoid confusion.)

>Third, you appear to be suggesting that since psychology uses a
>lot of complicated statistical procedures, and since a lot of

>these procedures are used in other sciences, then psychology
>must be a science. This is faulty logic. It isn't the technique
>that makes a science, but how it is used. The best method in the
>world will only yield nonsense results if it is used on bad
>observational data.

Is this how it appears to you?

Where did I suggest "psychology uses a lot of complicated
statistical procedures"? It was you who brought up the word
"complicated" - during your accusation of my being obsessed with
"elaborate methodological ritual". Remember?

All I ever said or implied was that one of the methods used in
psychological research was statistical analysis. An that this is
an accepted method of obtaining data, used in many other fields
of science.

I am not saying that "since a lot of these procedures are used
in other sciences, then psychology must be a science." Go back
and re-read my posts. You are falsely accusing me of this.

I simply gave a short list of methods that conform to the
standards of the scientific method and stated that psychological
research uses these same methods. (These methods went way beyond
statistical analysis.) Psychological research employs the
accepted methodologies of the scientific method. You simply
can't claim that every time psychological research attempts to
use one of the methods that conform to the standards of the
scientific method that it is starting off with nonsense in its
attempt to apply that method. This is sheer folly.

>Lastly you claim that most psychology does not depend on
>Cognitivism. In an earlier post I listed the Gibsonians, the
>psychophysicists and a handful of applied (that is,
>atheoretical) experimenters as examples that don't. To these I
>should have added some counseling psychologists and a handful of
>Behaviorists (there are still some of these knocking around
>somewhere). But that's about it. If you know of any other
>examples, then once again, I think it's time you produced them.

No. Another false accusation from you. Go back and re-read my
posts. I agreed with you that Cognitivism was the dominant
factor in psychology. I even stated that it has been this way
since Noam Chomsky did his number on Behaviouralism.

You are clearly misunderstanding what I mean when I say that
psychology is more than Cognitivism. But that has been a
regular and consistent feature of this discussion. There are
many systems within psychology. There is good within each of
these systems. There is bad within each of these systems. The
point is that something good can be salvaged from each system
and what is not good should be discarded. Every system - from
the Humanism of William James, to Behaviouralism (Cognitivism is
not a complete rejection of Behaviouralism), to the various
'depth psychologies' (such as the "psychoanalysis" of Freud, and
the "analytical psychology" of Jung), to the Gestalt's, right up
to the newer ideas of the post-cognitivists and the
transpersonal psychology of Groff and Tart, all have something
to contribute. This is what I meant when I said in an earlier
post that psychology will not disappear but will continue to
improve itself and grow. Since the 1990's, post-cognitivist
ideas such as 'embodied cognition', 'embodied embedded
cognition', 'situated cognition', 'ecological psychology', etc.
are starting to take psychology past Cognitivism. Sure, Jame's
J. Gibson's 'direct realism' is a post-cognitive proposition but
it went too far and is shown to be wrong by modern physics.

I never claimed psychology is perfect. In fact, I stated in my
very first posts that it had a lot wrong with it. But it is
science. Not all of its premises are wrong. It will sort out its
mistakes and advance, just like every other field of science has
had to do.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 08:30:09 +0100
Archived: Thu, 03 May 2012 07:45:59 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 15:30:48 +0200
>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>Source: HuffPo

>><http://tinyurl.com/8xut8o3>

>>UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report
>>Lee Spiegel

>><snip>

>>Every year, thousands of UFO sightings from around the world
>>are reported. And, as the magazine points out, about 95 percent
>>of those reports are explained as ordinary things like military
>>aircraft, balloons, misidentified astronomical objects - such
>>as planets or meteors - and meteorological phenomena.

>Question: where did this 95% come from, and is it a current
>figure? Has anyone tested it lately?

Hi Diana,

Personally suspect that's an myth generated by the MSM.

I've got an entry here:

[Quotation Begins]

1969 - the Condon Committee recommended that USAF's 'Project Blue Book' be closed down as "UFOs do not warrant further study" - paraphrased.

Even though their accounts showed that "25% of sightings were unexplained" (but see below).

Only later (below) was it said that "up tp 50%" of UFO sightings were probably due to secret military - 'spy' and 'stealth' see U2 & SR71 - aircraft, covertly flown to test public reactions.

The Condon Committee 'findings' were anyhow less than accurate; i.e due to purposeful mis-classification around 30% - 35% of all UFO sightings were probably 'unexplained'.

Quote: 'UFOs: The Government Files' - Peter Brookesmith, Brown Books, London, 1996 (pp 91-94)

[Quotation Ends]

Warning - can't remember how much of that was direct quotation and how much was my own paraphrasing.

However we should be aware that it's easy to rig the ratios: just include a lot of rubbish reports (drunks, hoaxes, jokes and student rags) in the initial trawl, then the final 'unexplained' percentage will automatically go down.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

From: **Terry W. Colvin** <fortean1.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 20:03:33 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 05:55:39 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 08:30:09 +0100
>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>>To: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 15:30:48 +0200
>>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>>Source: HuffPo

>>><http://tinyurl.com/8xut8o3>

>>>UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report
>>>Lee Spiegel

<snip>

>[Quotation Begins]

>1969 - the Condon Committee recommended that USAF's 'Project
>Blue Book' be closed down as "UFOs do not warrant further study"
>- paraphrased.

>Even though their accounts showed that "25% of sightings were
>unexplained" (but see below).

>Only later (below) was it said that "up to 50%" of UFO sightings
>were probably due to secret military - 'spy' and 'stealth' see
>U2 & SR71 - aircraft, covertly flown to test public reactions.

>The Condon Committee 'findings' were anyhow less than accurate;
>i.e due to purposeful mis-classification around 30% - 35% of all
>UFO sightings were probably 'unexplained'.

>Quote: 'UFOs: The Government Files' - Peter Brookesmith, Brown
>Books, London, 1996 (pp 91-94)

>[Quotation Ends]

>Warning - can't remember how much of that was direct quotation
>and how much was my own paraphrasing.

>However we should be aware that it's easy to rig the ratios:
>just include a lot of rubbish reports (drunks, hoaxes, jokes and
>student rags) in the initial trawl, then the final 'unexplained'
>percentage will automatically go down.

Ray,

Overall I agree with your statistics. When Capt. George T. Gregory took over Project Blue Book in 1956, and as Jerome Clark and others relate the investigation went downhill. I am 'working in' the 1957 and 1958 window, looking over the UFO cases, and see that the trend was to belittle Ground Observer Corps reports as well as those in the housewife and blue-collar classes. Below is the Wikipedia excerpt:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_Blue_Book

[Begin Quote]

The Captain Gregory era

Captain George T. Gregory took over as Blue Book's director in 1956. Clark writes that Gregory led Blue Book "in an even firmer anti-UFO direction than the apathetic Hardin." [10] The 4602nd was dissolved, and the 1066th Air Intelligence Service Squadron was charged with UFO investigations.

In fact, there was actually little or no investigation of UFO reports; a revised AFR 200-2 issued during Gregory's tenure emphasized that unexplained UFO reports must be reduced to a minimum.

One way that Gregory reduced the number of unexplained UFOs was by simple reclassification. "Possible cases" became "probable", and "probable" cases were upgraded to certainties. By this logic, a possible comet became a probable comet, while a probable comet was flatly declared to have been a misidentified comet. Similarly, if a witness reported an observation of an unusual balloon-like object, Blue Book usually classified it as a balloon, with no research and qualification. These procedures became standard for most of Blue Book's later investigations; see Hynek's comments below.

[End Quote]

One of my experiments is to send UFO cases from the PBB files to two military mailing lists. There are quite a few pilots, co-pilots, navigators, and other aircrew on these lists. There is interest, usually of the back channel type, where comments are made about the air/ground radar, air visual (CIRVIS), and EMI (electromagnetic interference). My comments are very basic, not even in the category of preliminary analysis.

The UFO cases that go into intelligence back channel would be fascinating, that is, if we access to those files. It is my opinion that these do exist as there are hints of compartmenting based on the inclusion of air intelligence service squadron addressees, reference to air intelligence information reports that are not in the files, and just a feeling that the more exotic disappear into this realm.

Of course I have to balance this with the fact that administrative errors do occur, that is, loaning out files that are never returned, misplacing in the files under a different geographic location, and most of all the gargantuan task of photocopying.

As well as the air defense mission of identifying enemy and unknown aircraft was very much a part of the 4602nd and later the 1006th Air Intelligence Service Squadron's work.

Terry

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Portraits Of Abductees

From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 20:11:29 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 05:58:49 -0400
Subject: Portraits Of Abductees

Date: May 3, 2012 8:00 PM

<http://tinyurl.com/cu54pbf>

Portraits of Abductees

New York photographer Steven Hirsch has put together a number of portraits of Alien Abductees placed next to their hand-drawn images which are supposed to depict the details of the abduction. He visited this year's International UFO Conference to meet, photograph and interview people who avow close contact with extraterrestrials. He said:

"I don't want my audience to have any preconceptions about these people before they see my images and read their words.

My interviews barely break the surface of what is going on in their lives... or in their minds. I'm not an analyst, my questions are not intended to find answers but to allow people to tell us their stories."

Terry W. Colvin
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Cathy Reason** <Cathym.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 14:54:05 +0100
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 06:03:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 21:26:48 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary>

>No... let's not "leave aside" my claims about the reliability of
>the human perception system. Let's not just "never mind". We are
>going to continue this aspect of the discussion.

I refer you to David Rudiak's excellent posts of a few days ago,
which deal comprehensively with all these issues.

<snip>

>The word "operationalisms" should not have been written with an
>'s.' "Operationalism" is the doctrine. I intended to write
>"operationalizations". Sorry for that confusion. These posts
>have gotten unduly long at times, and, to be quite frank, I have
>not had the interest to go back and edit them before sending
>them out.

In that case, can you explain this curious statement from a post
of yours dated 21 April:

"I should rewrite the first sentence in this paragraph so as
to avoid confusion. There should have been the word 'an' in
front of the word 'operationalism' to read 'An operationalism is
not the demon you make it out to be.' Without this addition, the
sentence implies an 'ism' such as Functionalism, Cognitivism,
Behaviouralism, etc. which is not what an operationalism is."

- which appears to state quite clearly that, in your view, there
is no such doctrine as "Operationalism".

>Now, on to your false accusation above. You say, "you claim that
>much or most of psychology does not depend on "operationalisms."
>Well, that is not what I claim at all. Go back and reread my
>post. My exact words were, "psychological research was much more
>than the use of operationalisms." Of course modern psychology
>depends on operationalized definitions of one sort or another,
>as you say. But: the social sciences (including psychology) use
>an eclectic mixture of qualitative and quantitative methods to
>gather data and this approach is known as "mixed-methods
>research." Qualitative methods can and do include quantitative
>dimensions. Quantitative methods can give exact and testable
>expression to qualitative ideas. Measurement can provide the
>connection between empirical observation and the mathematical
>expressions of quantitative relationships.

I've left this paragraph intact to minimize any possibility of
confusion here, because what you appear to be saying is really
quite extraordinary. You seem to be saying that, because
psychology uses "an eclectic mixture of qualitative and
quantitative methods to gather data" it actually does not matter
if the operationalized measures, on which all these methods
rest, are hopelessly corrupt?

>>Second, you claim that even if it is true that all modern
>>psychology depends on operational measures, and even if these

>>measures are systematically flawed, this would not alter the
>>big picture for psychology. How you think the big picture for
>>psychology would remain unchanged even if the whole basis of
>>its observations were shown to be systematically corrupted is a
>>mystery to me. Perhaps you can explain.

>Nope, sorry. Never claimed this. I claimed that even if every
>time psychological research uses an operationalization, it
>confused operational notions of things with proxy notions of
>things, it would not destroy psychology. For this to happen,
>every one of psychology's premises, models, observations,
>perceived relationships, etc. would have to be dead wrong. This
>is not the case.

Once again this is a most bizarre claim. Here's what I said
about this in a post dated 22 April:

"Here's why this is such a big deal: Operationalism is supposed
to be a way of putting all your measurements and observations on
a sound empirical basis without recourse to spooky metaphysical
entities that can't be observed. So anything required by theory
but which can't be observed - such as quarks - has to be linked
to what can be observed by a rigorous process of logic.

But if your supposedly "operational" measure is simply a proxy
for something completely different which not only can't be
measured or observed, but whose relationship to the proxy is
impossible even to define, then the whole basis of your claim to
empirical rigor is a mirage. Your supposedly objective
measurements and observations are all hopelessly contaminated by
arbitrary subjective considerations. You might as well be gazing
into a crystal ball."

I don't see a need to add anything here.

>>Lastly you claim that most psychology does not depend on
>>Cognitivism. In an earlier post I listed the Gibsonians, the
>>psychophysicists and a handful of applied (that is,
>>atheoretical) experimenters as examples that don't. To these I
>>should have added some counseling psychologists and a handful
>>of Behaviorists (there are still some of these knocking around
>>somewhere). But that's about it. If you know of any other
>>examples, then once again, I think it's time you produced them.

>No. Another false accusation from you. Go back and re-read my
>posts. I agreed with you that Cognitivism was the dominant
>factor in psychology. I even stated that it has been this way
>since Noam Chomsky did his number on Behaviouralism.

>You are clearly misunderstanding what I mean when I say that
>psychology is more than Cognitivism. But that has been a
>regular and consistent feature of this discussion. There are
>many systems within psychology. There is good within each of
>these systems. There is bad within each of these systems. The
>point is that something good can be salvaged from each system
>and what is not good should be discarded. Every system - from
>the Humanism of William James, to Behaviouralism (Cognitivism is
>not a complete rejection of Behaviouralism), to the various
>'depth psychologies' (such as the "psychoanalysis" of Freud, and
>the "analytical psychology" of Jung), to the Gestalt's, right up
>to the newer ideas of the post-cognitivists and the
>transpersonal psychology of Groff and Tart, all have something
>to contribute. This is what I meant when I said in an earlier
>post that psychology will not disappear but will continue to
>improve itself and grow.

This is all ancient history. You wouldn't even find many
psychologists who would have much time for these examples.
Contemporary psychology is overwhelmingly dominated by
Cognitivism.

>Since the 1990's, post-cognitivist
>ideas such as 'embodied cognition', 'embodied embedded
>cognition', 'situated cognition', 'ecological psychology', etc.
>are starting to take psychology past Cognitivism.

No, they don't. They are all entirely dependent on Cognitivist
assumptions.

>Sure, Jame's
>J. Gibson's 'direct realism' is a post-cognitive proposition but
>it went too far and is shown to be wrong by modern physics.

Gibson's theory doesn't work as a theory of perception because it's much too vague (a point noted by vision researchers like David Marr). But the Gibsonian approach generally has introduced into psychology ideas which, although quite revolutionary to psychologists, are not at all controversial to researchers in other disciplines such as neuroscience - such as the idea that our perceptions are driven and determined by the external world - the view you describe here as "direct realism".

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Eugene Frison** <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 10:18:52 -0500
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 06:04:18 -0400
Subject: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 21:26:48 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2012 18:11:15 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>Lastly you claim that most psychology does not depend on
>>Cognitivism. In an earlier post I listed the Gibsonians, the
>>psychophysicists and a handful of applied (that is,
>>atheoretical) experimenters as examples that don't. To these I
>>should have added some counseling psychologists and a handful of
>>Behaviorists (there are still some of these knocking around
>>somewhere). But that's about it. If you know of any other
>>examples, then once again, I think it's time you produced them.

>No. Another false accusation from you. Go back and re-read my
>posts. I agreed with you that Cognitivism was the dominant
>factor in psychology. I even stated that it has been this way
>since Noam Chomsky did his number on Behaviouralism.
>You are clearly misunderstanding what I mean when I say that
>psychology is more than Cognitivism. But that has been a
>regular and consistent feature of this discussion. There are
>many systems within psychology. There is good within each of
>these systems. There is bad within each of these systems. The
>point is that something good can be salvaged from each system
>and what is not good should be discarded. Every system - from
>the Humanism of William James, to Behaviouralism (Cognitivism is
>not a complete rejection of Behaviouralism), to the various
>'depth psychologies' (such as the "psychoanalysis" of Freud, and
>the "analytical psychology" of Jung), to the Gestalt's, right up
>to the newer ideas of the post-cognitivists and the
>transpersonal psychology of Groff and Tart, all have something
>to contribute. This is what I meant when I said in an earlier
>post that psychology will not disappear but will continue to
>improve itself and grow. Since the 1990's, post-cognitivist
>ideas such as 'embodied cognition', 'embodied embedded
>cognition', 'situated cognition', 'ecological psychology', etc.
>are starting to take psychology past Cognitivism. Sure, Jame's
>J. Gibson's 'direct realism' is a post-cognitive proposition but
>it went too far and is shown to be wrong by modern physics.

I should point out that the psychology of William James is
"Functionalism" and not "Humanism" as I wrote above.

"Functionalism" is a system that opposed "Structuralism" (the
main psychology of the late 19th century). James is usually
considered to be the founder of Functionalism, which places
emphasis on 'conscious experience.' William James is well known
for his book 'The Varieties of Religious Experience.'

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 12:37:54 -0300
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 06:07:33 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 10:20:03 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 15:30:48 +0200
>>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>>>Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 12:06 PM
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>>Source: HuffPo

>>><http://tinyurl.com/8xut8o3>

>>>05/ 1/2012

>>>UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report
>>>Lee Spiegel

>>><snip>

>>>Every year, thousands of UFO sightings from around the world are
>>>reported. And, as the magazine points out, about 95 percent of
>>>those reports are explained as ordinary things like military
>>>aircraft, balloons, misidentified astronomical objects - such
>>>as planets or meteors - and meteorological phenomena.

>>Question: where did this 95% come from, and is it a current
>>figure? Has anyone tested it lately?

>As Brad Sparks has pointed out here and elsewhere, it's
>basically an urban legend, based on a very loose definition of
>what observers mean by "UFO" sightings.

>In the days when Blue Book was conducting actual investigations
>of UFO sightings, it concluded that unknowns were between 25 and
>30 percent of the total. And it got to that figure by
>automatically relegating high-strangeness cases - those
>involving landings, close encounters, occupants - to the
>"psychological" category.

Jerry and Don are both right. An interesting example occurred a
few years back during a debate between myself and sceptic
Michael Shermer on Coast to Coast radio. He started by saying
that with UFOs, as with all paranormal stuff, there is always a
residue of about 5% of the cases for which there isn't enough
information to provide a definitive answer.

I drove my truck through the huge hole he had just created. I
pointed out that what he said wasn't true.

In the biggest study ever done for the US Air Force, Project
Blue Book Special Report 14, it was found that 21.5% of the 3201
cases could NOT be explained completely separate from the 9.3%

for which there was insufficient information.

I noted that the better the quality of the report the more likely it was an UNKNOWN, that the probability that the UNKNOWNS were just missed KNOWNS was less than 1% even though no sighting could be listed as an unknown unless all 4 of the final report evaluators agreed it was an unknown though any 2 could list a case as a KNOWN.

I then noted the 30% of Condon's 117 cases that coul'n't be explained, the 18% of 4500 of Dick Hall's UFO Evidence cases, etc.

It should be no surprise that the audience vote at the end of the program was 80% thought I won.

Matter of fact one of my very few complaints about Leslie Kean's important book is her use of the 95% explainable notion. Let us not let the nasty noisy negatavists get by with their propoganda

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Eugene Frison** <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 10:43:02 -0500
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 06:09:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2012 14:23:15 -0400
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison<cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2012 21:47:40 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>The reason I was making such a fuss about the definition of
>>'accurate' did not have anything to do with human beings in
>>their everyday situations. It had to do with the concern of
>>encountering an alien intelligence and this human system evolved
>>by natural selection perhaps not being able to properly deal
>>with it - if that intelligence was of a nature that took it
>>outside the narrow spectrum that our perception system was
>>designed to deal with. But that got preempted.

>Eugene, I don't think you need to worry about being seen as a
>clown. It was a fairly high level discussion that happens only
>occasionally on this List, and I quite enjoyed it.

>The concern about whether the human perceptual system may not be
>equipped to deal with alien intelligence and associated
>gimmickry is a valid one. There is already some indication at
>the perceptual level that that may be the case.

>For example, I saw a video a whole ago where someone ganged
>together an infrared video camera with a normal video camera. It
>showed an aerial anomaly (ball of light) on the infrared camera
>but not on the camera operating in the visible spectrum. I can't
>locate the video at the moment, but I think it was put together
>by Jaime Moussan.

>There have also been claims of objects appearing on IR night
>vision video cameras that can't be seen with the unaided eye.

>See the following for example:

><http://tinyurl.com/7kzsz38>

I wasn't worried about being seen as a clown, as it should be
obvious to anyone with the ability to think clearly, and the
ability to catch sophism, what was going on. I was merely
pointing out that the attempt was being made to paint me as a
clown.

As to it being a fairly high level discussion, well, I think it
has more of the element of a bruhaha than anything else, as
ninety percent of the content was argument against arguments
that weren't even being made. But I thank you for your kind
remarks and support.

What should have gotten discussed is the need for calibration of
the human witness in ufo and anomalous events, but, as I said,
this got pre-empted. This pre-emption is still happening, as is
the sophism (whether it be intentional or not).

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Cathy Reason** <Cathym.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 18:59:26 +0100
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 06:10:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 21:26:48 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

<snip>

>Every system - from
>the Humanism of William James, to Behaviouralism (Cognitivism is
>not a complete rejection of Behaviouralism), to the various
>'depth psychologies' (such as the "psychoanalysis" of Freud, and
>the "analytical psychology" of Jung), to the Gestalt's, right up
>to the newer ideas of the post-cognitivists and the
>transpersonal psychology of Groff and Tart, all have something
>to contribute.

I should have said a bit more about this.

The upshot is that neither Psychoanalysis nor Analytical
Psychology has any empirical basis worthy of the name.

The transpersonal psychology of Grof, Tart, Maslow, Leary and
others, owes more to the New Age therapy movement than to
anything that could be called "scientific" psychology. This
isn't in itself necessarily a bad thing - but these are very bad
examples to choose if you are trying to make a case that
psychology is a scientific discipline.

In any case I'm not at all sure that transpersonal psychology
can be said to be free of Cognitivist assumptions; certainly it
is strongly constructivist and incorporates a great deal of
metaphysical baggage. For a New Age or spiritual philosophy
this might not be regarded as a problem - but for a scientific
subject, it once again entails a dependency on a whole raft of
untested (and probably untestable) assumptions.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2012 15:01:01 -0700
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 06:13:03 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 11:38:06 -0300
>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>>To: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 15:30:48 +0200
>>Subject: Re: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>>>Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 12:06 PM
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO Dangers Examined By U.S. News & World Report

>>>Every year, thousands of UFO sightings from around the world are
>>>reported. And, as the magazine points out, about 95 percent of those
>>>reports are explained as ordinary things like military aircraft, balloons,
>>>misidentified astronomical objects - such as planets or meteors - and
>>>meteorological phenomena.

>>Question: where did this 95% come from, and is it a current
>>figure? Has anyone tested it lately?

>A totally made up percentage that has been around for some 70
>years without any support. UFO researchers bought into it
>initially because it at least gave them a foot in the door; a
>measely 5% when in fact the numbers are probably closer to 30%,
>maybe more.

Exactly! Project Blue Book and its predecessors Project Sign and
Project Grudge had percentages well over 20%, closer to 30%.
Even under the rather stringent criteria of Blue Book Special
Report #14 (the Battelle Institute study of 3200 USAF UFO cases)
from 1952-1954, where all four science analysts had to agree
that a case was a true unidentified whereas only two had to
agree that it be listed as unidentified, the overall percentage of
unknowns was still around 22%.

However, when the Air Force made the report public in 1955, the
press release lied and said the percentage of remaining unknowns
was only 3%, which no doubt would disappear with further
information. In reality, BBSR #14 placed cases considered to
have insufficient information to make a determination in its own
separate category, which made up 9% of the sample. Lack of
information had nothing to do with it. In fact, BBSR analysts
found that the better the case, the higher the percentage of
unknowns, not the other way around. Poor data was NOT the cause
of the unknowns.

The large percentage of unknowns all changed with the CIA
Robertson Panel of 1953 which urged an official policy of
ridicule, followed by Air Force Regulation 200-2 which ordered
the percentage of unidentifieds to be held to an absolute
minimum. Simultaneously, the best cases were generally being
withheld from Blue Book and instead siphoned away to the very
unpublic UFO investigation in newly formed units.

Under this new official policy of ridicule and obfuscation,

unidentifieds in the public Blue Book statistics typically dropped down into the ridiculous 1% per year range, instead of 20%-30%. Hynek complained about the total abuse of the scientific process and statistics even in the cases that Blue Book examined. Any old explanation was considered sufficient, whether it fit the facts or not. Remotely possible "explanations" became definitive solved as "identifieds".

In the long run, when Blue Book finally shut down in 1969, the overall percentage of unidentifieds had been watered down to only 6%. The vast majority of "unknowns" in PBB date to pre-1954, with only a relative handful added thereafter.

And that is probably where "only 5%" comes from. It is an artificial, phony number, the result of a policy of official debunkery.

Unfortunately, that is also the number Leslie Kean conservatively adopted in her book, from which the U.S. News article on airline safety was partly based.

That said, other studies come up with different numbers. Allen Hendry's private study for CUFOS came up with only 9% unknown, which Hendry reduced to only 1% for what he considered to be truly inexplicable. But Hendry's database, unlike Blue Book's, was almost entirely civilian cases, with few military, which generate higher percentages of unknowns. Also I have my reservations about statistics based only on one person's analysis. Nobody is an expert in everything. (The recent UK Condign Report has the same problem--only one analyst.)

Perhaps the most comparable study to Special Report #14 has been the ongoing French UFO study, GEPAN/SEPRA, which has come up with a hardcore 14% unknowns of ALL reports (which number in the thousands), which rises to around 25% if only those cases with sufficient information to make a determination are included.

The numbers game all comes down to who is doing the study and the criteria they apply. The Condon Committee had around 30% unknowns of a rather small sample. (As we all know, Condon lied in his summary about the unknowns.) The French COMETA Committee came in at only 5% of the cases they studied. The Peruvian government UFO study places unknowns at only 2% hardcore cases.

But generally, the really big studies of large numbers of cases where politics and a broad sample of cases, including military cases, are considered, such as BBSR #14 GEPAN/SEPRA, have percentages of unknowns in the roughly 20% range.

As Mark Twain famously observed, there are lies, damned lies, and then there are statistics.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

David Jacobs Interview And Review - Pt. II & III

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 18:46:45 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Fri, 04 May 2012 06:15:15 -0400
Subject: David Jacobs Interview And Review - Pt. II & III

Part two:

<http://tinyurl.com/6wgkrkd>

The third and final part:

<http://tinyurl.com/73zmw8c>

Regards,

Jack Brewer
www.ufotrail.blogspot.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Cathy Reason** <Cathym.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 May 2012 12:49:11 +0100
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 07:09:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 14:54:05 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

A quick correction here:

>>Since the 1990's, post-cognitivist
>>ideas such as 'embodied cognition', 'embodied embedded
>>cognition', 'situated cognition', 'ecological psychology', etc.
>>are starting to take psychology past Cognitivism.

>No, they don't. They are all entirely dependent on Cognitivist
>assumptions.

My mistake - I misread "ecological psychology" as "evolutionary
psychology". Ecological psychology is in fact Gibsonian's
theory.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Re: Portraits Of Abductees

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 May 2012 18:29:57 +0000
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 07:14:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Portraits Of Abductees

>From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 20:11:29 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
>Subject: Portraits Of Abductees

>-----

>Date: May 3, 2012 8:00 PM

><http://tinyurl.com/cu54pbf>

>Portraits of Abductees

>New York photographer Steven Hirsch has put together a number of
>portraits of Alien Abductees placed next to their hand-drawn
>images which are supposed to depict the details of the
>abduction. He visited this year's International UFO Conference
>to meet, photograph and interview people who avow close contact
>with extraterrestrials. He said:

>"I don't want my audience to have any preconceptions about these
>people before they see my images and read their words.

>My interviews barely break the surface of what is going on in
>their lives... or in their minds. I'm not an analyst, my
>questions are not intended to find answers but to allow people
>to tell us their stories."

>-----

Dear List:

I kept wondering whether the startled look in the individuals'
photos was because of the deliberate lighting used by the
photographer. Or, a characteristic of the individual themselves.

KK

[And with the exception of the 'demented-looking' man, all the
eyes looked the same... as if they were a common-marker for
abduction --ebk]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Review - The Conspiracy Reader

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2012 07:25:59 -0400
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 07:25:59 -0400
Subject: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

Source: UFODigest.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/89sx9yf>

04/30/2012

Before Her Untimely Death, A Controversial Abductee Declared
That The Evidence Was In...

More foe than friend - is it time we form an alien resistance?
by Sean Casteel

For many in the UFO community who deeply desire a more
reassuring explanation for the UFO phenomenon than the evidence
may present, Karla Turner continues to be a problematic, even
tragic figure in the many years since her death in 1996 from a
dangerous form of breast cancer she contracted right after an
abduction experience.

Turner was an outspoken voice for abductees' rights and never
wavered in her belief that the aliens were an evil, invasive
force that intended no good with their medical experiments and
frightening mind control capabilities. To this highly-trained
well-educated, gifted woman, the UFO-nauts were wickedness
personified, simply evil in the 'flesh'.

The publisher, The Conspiracy Reader, is adamantly determined
that Karla Turner's voice continues to be heard from beyond this
time and place and that her message is still proclaimed in an
era when alien abduction is no less rampant and no less
traumatic for the experiencers than when Turner first began
writing about what was happening to her and her family.

[More at site... thanks to Jacquie Cosford for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Re: David Jacobs Interview & Review - Pt. II & III

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 4 May 2012 19:20:07 +0000
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 07:55:01 -0400
Subject: Re: David Jacobs Interview & Review - Pt. II & III

>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 18:46:45 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: David Jacobs Interview And Review - Pt. II & III

>Part two:

><http://tinyurl.com/6wgkrkd>

>The third and final part:

><http://tinyurl.com/73zmw8c>

Jack:

You make many fine points in your analysis. I cite part of the final sections of your lengthy article:

Multiple approaches are now available for investigators seeking direct, objective genetic corroboration of the alien abduction accounts recovered primarily through hypnosis. These tools are powerful and precise. Despite the low cost requirements, genetic tools remain underutilized by abduction investigators. Perhaps a new generation of abduction researchers will attempt to challenge an explanatory narrative that has remained weakly scrutinized and little changed for decades. Hypotheses that are not confronted with evidence and evaluated in light of the results for their adequacy are little more than stories. When scientists fail to discover supporting evidence for a hypothesis, it is modified or abandoned outright. Allowing unsubstantiated ideas to persist untested or ignoring their inconsistencies against objective facts will ultimately impede all progress toward greater understanding. Creative destruction is the essential aspect of a healthy scientific process.

Comprehending the alien abduction mystery will demand investigators willing to utilize new tools and judge hypotheses objectively against the resulting data. Such efforts are long overdue.

Additional References:

Dark White. Jim Schnabel (1994), Hamish Hamilton

The Abduction Enigma. Kevin Randle, Russ Estes and William P. Cone (1999), Forge

Disclosure =96 I declare that I have no competing financial interests or connections with 23andMe

###

Final Thoughts

As we have now seen, academia does not turn its back on ufology

or even the controversial abduction phenomenon to nearly the extent we are commonly led to believe; ufology simply tends to reject the reviews it receives. As many suggest, a more constructive and productive approach might be to integrate such critical review into shaping the future methodologies and directions of abduction-research, more effectively serving the UFO community, its witnesses and strengthening its commitments to accuracy and accountability.

It is unreasonable to expect academics or even casually interested parties to accept extraordinary claims without direct evidence. It is simply irrational to make a leap, for example, from the fact people report encounters with beings to the suppositions of detailed physiological and neurological make-ups of such beings.

One could reasonably correlate tactics demonstrated by such researchers as Dr. David Jacobs with what is known in the profession of sales as 'negotiating the trade.' A skilled salesperson will employ the technique to lead a sales prospect into negotiating trade-in value, indirectly yet effectively establishing the sale itself is imminent without having ever actually clarified the product will be purchased.

Similarly, when we are drawn into considerations of elaborate and increasingly convoluted tales involving multiple stages of hybrid development, their reproductive procedures, their complexly deceptive intentions and so on, we are vulnerable to overlooking it has never been factually established the hybrids or their alleged alien creators even so much as exist. As negotiating the trade assumes the sale, negotiating supposed conditions of alien behavior assumes the alien.

There may very well be some type of currently unexplained phenomena at the heart of ufology and its accompanying claims of alien abduction. I do not know and I do not claim to be able to conclusively say.

<snip>

The last quoted paragraph says it all for me.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Re: Portraits Of Abductees

From: Michael Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 07:25:38 -0400
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 07:58:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Portraits Of Abductees

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 4 May 2012 18:29:57 +0000
>Subject: Re: Portraits Of Abductees

>>From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 20:11:29 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
>>Subject: Portraits Of Abductees

>>-----

>>Date: May 3, 2012 8:00 PM

>><http://tinyurl.com/cu54pbf>

>>Portraits of Abductees

>>New York photographer Steven Hirsch has put together a number of
>>portraits of Alien Abductees placed next to their hand-drawn
>>images which are supposed to depict the details of the
>>abduction. He visited this year's International UFO Conference
>>to meet, photograph and interview people who avow close contact
>>with extraterrestrials. He said:

>>"I don't want my audience to have any preconceptions about these
>>people before they see my images and read their words.

>>My interviews barely break the surface of what is going on in
>>their lives... or in their minds. I'm not an analyst, my
>>questions are not intended to find answers but to allow people
>>to tell us their stories."

>>-----

>I kept wondering whether the startled look in the individuals'
>photos was because of the deliberate lighting used by the
>photographer. Or, a characteristic of the individual themselves.

>[And with the exception of the 'demented-looking' man, all the
>eyes looked the same... as if they were a common-marker for
>abduction --ebk]

I'm pretty sure the photographer brightened their eyes in
Photoshop. Much more dramatically than is the norm in portrait
photography it's common to whiten the whites of the eyes, but
this seems deliberately exaggerated for effect.

MMH

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Missouri MUFON Conference 05-20-12

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2012 11:12:51 -0400
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 11:12:51 -0400
Subject: Missouri MUFON Conference 05-20-12

Source: Pr.Com

<http://www.pr.com/press-release/410567>

May 04, 201

Missouri MUFON Hosts Conference To Cover The Kansas City UFO Flap

The Missouri chapter of the Mutual UFO Network will hold the High Strangeness in Missouri conference on May 20, 2012 to address the UFO Flap in Kansas City, Missouri in 2011 and other strange events in the state.

Missouri MUFON Hosts Conference to Cover the Kansas City UFO flap
Kansas City, MO, May 04, 2012 --(PR.com)-- In response for information about the highly publicized 2011 Kansas City UFO flap where over 87 UFO reports were filed during the month of October, Missouri MUFON is hosting the High Strangeness in Missouri conference in Kansas City on May 20. The event will feature a 30-minute question and answer session with popular Coast-to-Coast AM talk radio host George Noory live via Skype; Missouri MUFON Assistant State Director Margie Kay will present the 2011 UFO Flap in Kansas City which includes close encounters, missing time and possible abductions.

Nightwatch radio show host Todd Sheets will discuss paranormal events in the area; Physical trace evidence UFO researcher Ted Phillips will present Into the Marley Woods, an ongoing investigation into unexplained events in Southeast Missouri; Nuclear Physicist Stanton Freidman will present Science was Wrong via Skype; and Missouri MUFON State Director Debbie Ziegelmeyer will discuss the Strangest UFO Encounters in Missouri.

The event will be held at the Westport Flea Market Restaurant, 817 Westport Road, Kansas City, MO 64111 from 1:00 pm -96 10:00 pm. In the Comedy Club. Chicken Spedini dinner is included with registrations received by May 14. The registration table opens at noon and on-site registrations will be accepted on a space-available basis and must be paid for with cash only.

For more information and a registration form:

www.missourimufon.org

or contact Margie Kay at margiekay06.nul

or

816-833-1602

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Keshe Antigravity Demo In September 2012

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2012 13:12:52 -0400
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 11:16:04 -0400
Subject: Keshe Antigravity Demo In September 2012

Keshe Space (Belgium) has recently announced that it will give a public demonstration of antigrav technology in September, 2012. The know-how and full technology will be made available to all governments at that time.

<http://tinyurl.com/7tgehjm>

<http://tinyurl.com/8xlg2cb>

See discussion of the technology on Pesiwiki.

<http://tinyurl.com/62hlsvt>

Keshe is originally from Iran. We may have heard of him in that context. I remember reading something about an Iranian flying saucer some time ago.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 12:28:04 -0300
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 12:34:15 -0400
Subject: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

Dear Listers,

I am very sorry to inform that one of the major Brazilian UFO researchers, an experienced investigator that uncovered many great cases, co-editor of the Brazilian UFO Magazine and a good, good friend died this morning at 4 am of cardiac arrest in a Sao Paulo hospital where he'd been since yesterday.

Claudeir Covo, a skilled engineer with three specialties, started in this field over four decades ago and was known to be one of the most serious and dedicated Brazilian ufologists. He took part in investigation of some of our major cases, such as Varginha, and helped Ufology to achieve recognition and credibility in Brazil.

Claudeir also was the head of the National Investigation Institute of Aerospatiale Phenomena (INFA), that he created over 20 years ago, and member of the Brazilian Committee of UFO Researchers (CBU), which launched the successful campaign UFOs: Freedom of Informational Now - of which I was the co-ordinator - forcing the government to open over 5,000 pages of previously classified documents.

He was co-editor of the Brazilian UFO Magazine since its beginning, back in middle 80s, and helped the publication in many ways.

This is a very sad day.

Thanks for reading.

A. J.

A. J. Gevaerd
Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine
E-mail 1: aj.nul-mail 2: ajgevaerd.nul
E-mail 3: ajgevaerd.nul
Site: www.ufo.com.br

Our communities:
Yahoo: http://br.groups.yahoo.com/group/Revista_UFO
Twitter: <http://twitter.com/revistaufu>
Facebook: <http://www.facebook.com/revistaufu>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Re: Portraits Of Abductees

From: Carol Maltby <carolmaltby.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 14:51:07 -0400
Archived: Sat, 05 May 2012 19:37:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Portraits Of Abductees

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 4 May 2012 18:29:57 +0000
>Subject: Re: Portraits Of Abductees

>>From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 20:11:29 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
>>Subject: Portraits Of Abductees

>>-----

>>Date: May 3, 2012 8:00 PM

>><http://tinyurl.com/cu54pbf>

>>Portraits of Abductees

>>New York photographer Steven Hirsch has put together a number of
>>portraits of Alien Abductees placed next to their hand-drawn
>>images which are supposed to depict the details of the
>>abduction. He visited this year's International UFO Conference
>>to meet, photograph and interview people who avow close contact
>>with extraterrestrials. He said:

>I kept wondering whether the startled look in the individuals'
>photos was because of the deliberate lighting used by the
>photographer. Or, a characteristic of the individual themselves.

>KK

>[And with the exception of the 'demented-looking' man, all the
> eyes looked the same... as if they were a common-marker for
> abduction --ebk]

All of the faces whose eyes I could see (I could not see the
pupils of the man in the "We are among you" t-shirt and the last
man, wearing the maroon t-shirt) had very constricted pupils. We
generally find people with dilated pupils to be attractive and
friendly. Whether the pupil constriction is a deliberate or
unintentional result of the lighting used, the group comes off
as less attractive and open.

FWIW, in trying to find Hirsch's email to ask him about this, I
did run across one comment on David Icke's forum by poster
isabeau that said, "I just don't know why he felt it was
necessary to change their eye colours, lighten them and turn
them into reptilians. I guess it sells his story better. I've
sourced the original people from this article and found that
they look...well....normal."

<http://forum.davidicke.com/showthread.php?t8839>

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 6](#)

Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

From: **Jacque Cosford** <jacquecos.nul>
Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 23:09:42 -0400
Archived: Sun, 06 May 2012 09:24:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>Date: Saturday, May 05, 2012 7:25 AM
>Subject: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>Source: UFODigest.Com

><http://tinyurl.com/89sx9yf>

>04/30/2012

>Before Her Untimely Death, A Controversial Abductee Declared
>That The Evidence Was In...

>More foe than friend - is it time we form an alien resistance?
>by Sean Casteel

>For many in the UFO community who deeply desire a more
>reassuring explanation for the UFO phenomenon than the evidence
>may present, Karla Turner continues to be a problematic, even
>tragic figure in the many years since her death in 1996 from a
>dangerous form of breast cancer she contracted right after an
>abduction experience.

>Turner was an outspoken voice for abductees' rights and never
>wavered in her belief that the aliens were an evil, invasive
>force that intended no good with their medical experiments and
>frightening mind control capabilities. To this highly-trained
>well-educated, gifted woman, the UFOnuts were wickedness
>personified, simply evil in the 'flesh'.

>The publisher, The Conspiracy Reader, is adamantly determined
>that Karla Turner's voice continues to be heard from beyond this
>time and place and that her message is still proclaimed in an
>era when alien abduction is no less rampant and no less
>traumatic for the experiencers than when Turner first began
>writing about what was happening to her and her family.

>[More at site... thanks to Jacque Cosford for the lead]

I don't know about the rest of you, but this article was very disturbing. Not only do we not know exactly, who or what we're dealing with, but it seems that our sensory perceptions can be manipulated. On top of that the article states that buried memories retrieved through regressive hypnosis can't be relied upon, because they too are clouded with implanted images and perhaps, a false sequence of events.

How can this possibly be investigated when the information is unreliable?

As a side note, I did see a triangular-shaped UFO in 1987, which flew over my house. It barely cleared the roof of my home, which gives a rough idea on the altitude. There was no sound, and no lights, but it did have round 'portals' on the underside. I had the distinct impression that it was observing, i.e. taking photos and for some strange reason, that it was testing me and

for whatever the reason, I had failed the test.

At that particular time I was heavily into amateur astronomy, and thus the reason for being outside in the dead of winter. The odd part is that the very next night, a woman in England who was also an amateur astronomer had a triangular-shaped UFO fly over her home. As far as I know, it was rare to see a triangular-shaped object at that time as most UFO sightings were the traditional 'disc' shaped craft.

Several years later, I was told in a dream that they get here through mind travel. Perhaps, there's some truth to that, because according to this article, they do indeed manipulate our thoughts.

Jacquie

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
Date: Sun, 6 May 2012 20:22:43 -0300
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:08:53 -0400
Subject: Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 12:28:04 -0300
>Subject: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

<snip>

>Claudeir Covo, a skilled engineer with three specialties,
>started in this field over four decades ago and was known to be
>one of the most serious and dedicated Brazilian ufologists. He
>took part in investigation of some of our major cases, such as
>Varginha, and helped Ufology to achieve recognition and
>credibility in Brazil.

>Claudeir also was the head of the National Investigation
>Institute of Aerospaciale Phenomena (INFA), that he created over
>20 years ago

A shocking loss to all, as Covo was still very active and had many plans ahead. I deeply regret not answering sooner the last message I received from him a couple of weeks ago. Now it's too late. But his memories and work will remain with us.

Claudeir Covo was a nuts-and-bolts researcher, who uncovered, researched and actually solved several cases, one of the most famous of which -- along with his wife, Paola, and Tania Cunha -- was the "Guarapiranga" alleged "human mutilation" case.

Covo conclusively showed there was nothing mysterious involved:

http://infa.com.br/o_caso_guarapiranga.html

He recognized the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis as a Hypothesis, that is, something that still lacked conclusive proof, though he listed the Varginha Case as well as the May 1986 "Official UFO Night" as best cases that definitely pointed to unexplained phenomena.

Of particular interest, in my opinion, was his research of the Capao Redondo UFO video, to this day an unsolved case with clear physical evidence and multiple witnesses.

Some of these cases and work can be seen on the website of his investigation institute: <http://infa.com.br/casos.html>

RIP, Claudeir Covo.

Kentaro

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

From: Yvonne Smith <yvonne.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 01:19:04 -0700
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:12:54 -0400
Subject: Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 12:28:04 -0300
>Subject: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>I am very sorry to inform that one of the major Brazilian UFO
>researchers, an experienced investigator that uncovered many
>great cases, co-editor of the Brazilian UFO Magazine and a good,
>good friend died this morning at 4 am of cardiac arrest in a Sao
>Paulo hospital where he'd been since yesterday.

Dear A.J.,

I am very sorry about your good friend and colleague. I am
sending my prayers to you and Claudeir Covo's family.

Take care, my friend,

Yvonne

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Did UFO Land On Cannock Chase England?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:21:08 -0400
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:21:08 -0400
Subject: Did UFO Land On Cannock Chase England?

Source: Sunday Mercury.om

<http://tinyurl.com/6nw8n55>

May 6 2012

Did UFO Land On Cannock Chase In Roswell Style Incident?
by Mike Lockley

It's being dubbed the Midland's own Roswell.

The controversial American flying saucer reports were brought to public attention thanks to a whistleblower in the intelligence arm of the US Air Force.

And it was US Navy third class petty officer S M Brannigan who raised alarm bells about a mysterious crash in Penkridge, a town on the edge of the River Penk, near Cannock Chase, Staffordshire, between February and March, 1964.

He said three bodies were recovered from the operation to deal with the incident at Cocksparrow Lane which involved Air Force Intelligence and NATO.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Encounters Of Strange Kind In Peninsula Skies

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:27:09 -0400
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:27:09 -0400
Subject: Encounters Of Strange Kind In Peninsula Skies

Source: Mordialloc-Chelsea-Leader

<http://tinyurl.com/7fx6bg5>

7 May 12

Encounters Of Strange Kind In Our Peninsula Skies
Lisa Edgerton

From Carrum to the peninsula, the skies are buzzing with unexplained objects - and the Frankston-based Victorian UFO Action group is keeping watch.

From strange orbiting lights seen hovering above Langwarrin, to red triangular aircraft flying over Port Phillip Bay and a fuzzy-shaped contraption spotted over Carrum, the group says reports of UFO sightings have sky-rocketed in the past year.

Alan Fleming took a picture of an object hovering above his Carrum house about 10.30am on April 30.

"It must have been some kind of remote device", Mr Fleming said.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Pyramid-Like Object Over Cambridge, Ontario

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:32:59 -0400
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 07:32:59 -0400
Subject: Pyramid-Like Object Over Cambridge, Ontario

Source: DigitalJournal.Com

<http://www.digitaljournal.com/article/324227>

May 4, 2012

Couple Reports Pyramid-Like Object Over Cambridge, Ontario
By Raymond Samuels

What was photographed by a southern Ontario couple recently?
What do you think?

A Cambridge, Ontario couple reports photographing an apparent Pyramid-like UFO moving rapidly over the city around a cloud cover on 3 May 2012 according to testimony from the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) witness reporting database. Cambridge is a Canadian city that is west of Toronto.

The witness reports being called by her husband to look at apparent storm clouds.

"My husband called me outside to look at the pending storm clouds coming in. (I'm a weather enthusiast - I love storms) I decided to shoot some photos with an Olympus C-60."

The witness only noticed the apparent Pyramid UFO after looking at their photos.

"We didn't see or hear anything strange until I uploaded the photos to my PC. Neither my husband nor I saw or heard anything unusual at the time of the photo."

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Fidel Castro And UFOs

From: **A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO** <aj.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:51:23 -0300
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 08:42:07 -0400
Subject: Fidel Castro And UFOs

Dear Listers:

This is a new and very interesting article from one of Puerto Rico's leading UFO researchers and journalists, Jorge Martin:

Fidel Castro Was Shown A Captured Alien Spacecraft And The Corpse Of Its Pilot

<http://jorgemartin-enigmasdelmilenio.blogspot.com/>

Jorge's e-mail address:

jorgemartin87.nul

Enjoy,

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:29:22 0500
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 11:32:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>From: A. J. Gevaerd Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:51:23 0300
>Subject: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>Dear Listers:

>This is a new and very interesting article from one of Puerto Rico's leading UFO researchers and journalists, Jorge Martin:

>Fidel Castro Was Shown A Captured Alien Spacecraft And The Corpse Of Its Pilot

><http://jorgemartin.enigmasdelmilenio.blogspot.com/>

>Jorge's e mail address:

>jorgemartin87.nul

>Enjoy,

>A. J.

Hi, A. J.,

"Enjoy" indeed. That's as much as one can do with something like this.

The story is - obviously - interesting, but given how far removed it is from the alleged source (Castro), not much more than a rumor. I have met Jorge Martin (in England in 1996) and found him congenial company, but I fear he's hung way too much on this very slender thread.

Generally comparable stories have been attached to significant political figures going back to Presidents Truman, Eisenhower, and Nixon. They comprise an enduring branch of saucer folklore, but no more, alas.

Cordially,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 14:31:54 +0100
Archived: Mon, 07 May 2012 11:41:08 -0400
Subject: Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Sun, 6 May 2012 20:22:43 -0300
>To: post.nul
>Subject: Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 12:28:04 -0300
>>Subject: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

<snip>

>Of particular interest, in my opinion, was his research of the
>Capao Redondo UFO video, to this day an unsolved case with clear
>physical evidence and multiple witnesses.

>Some of these cases and work can be seen on the website of his
>investigation institute: <http://infa.com.br/casos.html>

Hi Kentaro

Thanks, I looked at the web page but couldn't get the video to play. The text report is certainly interesting, but raises questions too. Have you looked into this one yourself? What would you list as the strong reasons why this meandering light could not be, say, a fire balloon (Thai lantern)?

I note that several witnesses were reminded of a balloon. The small size, erratic slow motion and colour (red/orange/red'white/white-orange) seem to fit in a general way.

I notice that the text points out that a balloon would follow the wind, but neither the wind speed(s)/direction(s) nor the object direction(s) appear to be given (unless I am missing something in poor translation). Certainly the inferred course is erratic - but the altitude is said to be low over the roofs of houses in a built-up hilly area where I can imagine that a variable light breeze combined with thermal currents might waft something around erratically. Was this thoroughly looked into?

A long duration is asserted - but is this the proven duration of one continuous video? Or is it a reported sighting duration? - or even a figure compounded from times given by several different observers (whose watches might not have been synchronous etc). This is not made clear.

Thanks for any clarification you can offer.

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 12:02:25 -0300
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 01:16:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Sun, 6 May 2012 20:22:43 -0300
>To: post.nul
>Subject: Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 12:28:04 -0300
>>Subject: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

><snip>

>>Claudeir Covo, a skilled engineer with three specialties, started
>>in this field over four decades ago and was known to be one of the
>>most serious and dedicated Brazilian ufologists. He took part in
>>investigation of some of our major cases, such as Varginha, and
>>helped Ufology to achieve recognition and credibility in Brazil.

>>Claudeir also was the head of the National Investigation Institute
>>of Aerospaciale Phenomena (INFA), that he created over 20 years ago

>A shocking loss to all, as Covo was still very active and had
>many plans ahead. I deeply regret not answering sooner the last
>message I received from him a couple of weeks ago. Now it's too
>late. But his memories and work will remain with us.

>Claudeir Covo was a nuts-and-bolts researcher, who uncovered,
>researched and actually solved several cases, one of the most
>famous of which -- along with his wife, Paola, and Tania Cunha -
>- was the "Guarapiranga" alleged "human mutilation" case.

>Covo conclusively showed there was nothing mysterious involved:

>http://infa.com.br/o_caso_guarapiranga.html

>He recognized the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis as a Hypothesis,
>that is, something that still lacked conclusive proof, though he
>listed the Varginha Case as well as the May 1986 "Official UFO
>Night" as best cases that definitely pointed to unexplained
>phenomena.

>Of particular interest, in my opinion, was his research of the
>Capao Redondo UFO video, to this day an unsolved case with clear
>physical evidence and multiple witnesses.

>Some of these cases and work can be seen on the website of his
>investigation institute:

><http://infa.com.br/casos.html>

>RIP, Claudeir Covo.

After this post to the List, people may have a wrong impression
about Claudeir Covo. He was my very close and good friend for
more than 30 years, and not only the co-editor of my magazine
since its beginning, in 1985. So I know very well what he
thought of the UFO Phenomena.

Actually, Claudeir was a strong defender of the extraterrestrial

hypothesis, of which he had absolutely no doubt. He was, however, a very cautious man with his words when speaking in public or to the media, and especially cautious when exchanging with skeptics, because he was aware of what some of them could do by twisting his words or using them in an improper way.

Those who were close friends of Claudeir know pretty well that he defended the extraterrestrial origin of UFOs. And it is so that he was the only researcher present in the investigations of the Varginha Case defending that more than 2 aliens were captured. Among friends, and always away from skeptics, he openly admitted that he concluded, through his investigations, that as many as 7 aliens could have been captured. And he used very openly the word "alien" among us.

As for the mentioned Capao Redondo case, he also firmly believed that it was one of the strongest cases of alien probes in the history of Brazilian Ufology.

A. J. Gevaerd

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2012 12:37:42 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 01:19:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:29:22 0500
>Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:51:23 0300
>>Subject: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>>This is a new and very interesting article from one of Puerto Rico's leading UFO researchers and journalists, Jorge Martin:

>>Fidel Castro Was Shown A Captured Alien Spacecraft And The Corpse Of Its Pilot

>><http://jorgemartin.enigmasdelmilenio.blogspot.com/>

>>Jorge's e mail address:

>>jorgemartin87.nul

>>Enjoy,

>Hi, A. J.,

>"Enjoy" indeed. That's as much as one can do with something like this.

>The story is - obviously - interesting, but given how far removed it is from the alleged source (Castro), not much more than a rumor. I have met Jorge Martin (in England in 1996) and found him congenial company, but I fear he's hung way too much on this very slender thread.

>Generally comparable stories have been attached to significant political figures going back to Presidents Truman, Eisenhower, and Nixon. They comprise an enduring branch of saucer folklore, but no more, alas.

So very true, and unfortunately it's this type of anecdotal historical reference that can take on a life of its own on the Internet, and gain stature as the story progresses.

Steve Kaeser

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2012 14:09:05 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 01:20:50 -0400
Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>From: Jacquie Cosford<jacquiecos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 23:09:42 -0400
>Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -<ufo-updates-list.nul>
>>Date: Saturday, May 05, 2012 7:25 AM
>>Subject: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>>Source: UFODigest.Com

>><http://tinyurl.com/89sx9yf>

>>04/30/2012

>>Before Her Untimely Death, A Controversial Abductee Declared
>>That The Evidence Was In...

>>More foe than friend - is it time we form an alien resistance?
>>by Sean Casteel

><snip>

>>Turner was an outspoken voice for abductees' rights and never
>>wavered in her belief that the aliens were an evil, invasive
>>force that intended no good with their medical experiments and
>>frightening mind control capabilities. To this highly-trained
>>well-educated, gifted woman, the UFO-nauts were wickedness
>>personified, simply evil in the 'flesh'.

><snip>

>I don't know about the rest of you, but this article was very
>disturbing. Not only do we not know exactly, who or what we're
>dealing with, but it seems that our sensory perceptions can be
>manipulated. On top of that the article states that buried
>memories retrieved through regressive hypnosis can't be relied
>upon, because they too are clouded with implanted images and
>perhaps, a false sequence of events.

>How can this possibly be investigated when the information is
>unreliable?

According to Casteel's article, Turner talks of screen memories
covering screen memories. If there are two levels, then why not
more? Would a shift of viewpoint help to understand the problem?

Maybe part of the problem is that we often take the
materialist's view that ultimately everything comes down to
physical reality. Casteel concludes that "the fault, dear Brutus
... originates somewhere out there in the stars after all".

Most of us would agree that what a person experiences takes
place in the mind. If it doesn't register there, then it hasn't
happened as far as that person is concerned. So we should start
with an understanding of what the mind is.

The materialist's treatment explains the mind as an

epiphenomenon of biological interactions. This means the mind does not have an existence independent of biology, and so events occurring in it also cannot have an independent existence. Aliens may be able to control our minds via hypnosis, etc., but the aliens themselves must have a presence in the realm of physical space/time. Otherwise, they are not real.

On the other hand, suppose we take the view that the mind has an existence that does not depend on biology. Then the events experienced in the mind can also have an independent existence. We accept that the mind takes information from the physical realm, but that may not be the only source. If our criteria for deciding what is real do not include the source of the information, then we gain additional degrees of freedom for understanding reports of treatment by aliens. For starters, we would not look for them just in our physical realm.

Some may object that a real event from another, non-physical, realm should not spill over into the physical. How could we explain the appearance of physical effects on the body that have no known organic cause? Actually, such a phenomenon has long been known in psychiatry. It goes by the unfortunate name of 'hysteria'. Imagine telling people who believe they are being abducted by aliens that they suffer from a hysterical disorder! It seems hysteria is now included in 'somatization disorder'. A sensational example is the appearance on a person's body of stigmata, the wounds suffered by Jesus during his crucifixion.

Another objection might be that some interactions with aliens take place when the person is awake, maybe even during daytime. I don't know, but maybe simultaneous representations of different realms may merge in the mind as it becomes more adept at handling this. In the mind, it becomes one experience.

The hypothesis that the mind is the locus of human experience with interfaces to both physical and non-physical domains might help to discover strategies that counter the onset of the abduction experience. We would need to understand the layout of the playing field and the rules of the game.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Eugene Frison** <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 16:33:15 -0500
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 01:24:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 4 May 2012 12:49:11 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 18:59:26 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 3 May 2012 14:54:05 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 2 May 2012 21:26:48 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary>

>>No... let's not "leave aside" my claims about the reliability of
>>the human perception system. Let's not just "never mind". We are
>>going to continue this aspect of the discussion.

>I refer you to David Rudiak's excellent posts of a few days ago,
>which deal comprehensively with all these issues.

Back to square one. I take it that the post you are referring to
is his last one - that I said I agree one-hundred percent with.
That I was not arguing against. (Actually, there are several
posts by David regarding this aspect of the discussion that I
have stated repeatedly contain detailed descriptions of
processes and mechanisms with which I am in complete agreement,
and have never contested.)

>>The word "operationalisms" should not have been written with an
>>'s.' "Operationalism" is the doctrine. I intended to write
>>"operationalizations". Sorry for that confusion. These posts
>>have gotten unduly long at times, and, to be quite frank, I have
>>not had the interest to go back and edit them before sending
>>them out.

>In that case, can you explain this curious statement from a post
>of yours dated 21 April:

>>"I should rewrite the first sentence in this paragraph so as
>>to avoid confusion. There should have been the word 'an' in
>>front of the word 'operationalism' to read 'An operationalism is
>>not the demon you make it out to be.' Without this addition, the
>>sentence implies an 'ism' such as Functionalism, Cognitivism,
>>Behaviouralism, etc. which is not what an operationalism is."

>- which appears to state quite clearly that, in your view, there
>is no such doctrine as "Operationalism".

I already explained it, Cathy. If you had read and understood
the explanation in my previous post concerning this, you would
not be asking these questions.

I explained that I was interchanging the word "operationalism" (the name of the doctrine) with the word "operationalization" (operationalized measure). Explained that it was an improper use of these terms.

The "curious statement" from one of my posts that you refer to above is simply one of those times when I used the wrong word. If you replace "operationalism" with "operationalization" then it should be immediately obvious to you that I am merely trying to clarify the distinction between the doctrine of "Operationalism" and an "operationalized measure." I simply was referring to an "operationalized measure" in those sentences and couldn't remember the correct word "operationalization" and, as I stated, was too lazy and uninterested enough to go back and check.

It's no more curious than that.

Do you know how long it's been since I have had to use the word "operationalization?" Several decades. Just like when I couldn't remember Jane Goodall's hominid of interest and guessed gorilla instead of chimpanzee. I picked the wrong one. Just like when I guessed the psychology of William James as Humanism instead of Functionalism. I picked the wrong word. I relied on memory and was in error until I went and checked it further.

You're making mountains out of molehills.

>>Now, on to your false accusation above. You say, "you claim that >>much or most of psychology does not depend on "operationalisms." >>Well, that is not what I claim at all. Go back and reread my >>post. My exact words were, "psychological research was much more >>than the use of operationalisms." Of course modern psychology >>depends on operationalized definitions of one sort or another, >>as you say. But: the social sciences (including psychology) use >>an eclectic mixture of qualitative and quantitative methods to >>gather data and this approach is known as "mixed-methods >>research." Qualitative methods can and do include quantitative >>dimensions. Quantitative methods can give exact and testable >>expression to qualitative ideas. Measurement can provide the >>connection between empirical observation and the mathematical >>expressions of quantitative relationships.

>I've left this paragraph intact to minimize any possibility of >confusion here, because what you appear to be saying is really >quite extraordinary. You seem to be saying that, because >psychology uses "an eclectic mixture of qualitative and >quantitative methods to gather data" it actually does not matter >if the operationalized measures, on which all these methods >rest, are hopelessly corrupt?

No. That is not what I am saying. I am saying that psychology uses empirical experimentation and has obtained a fair amount of empirical data. This is a solid base. Even if everytime psychological research used an operationalized measure it confused operational notions of things with proxy notions of things when extrapolating from this solid base - and these extrapolations were flawed and needed to be discarded (as they should be) - the solid base still exists. I am saying that psychological research has come up with some good data since its inception, and it will always have this - even when the flawed extrapolations have been recognized and discarded.

>>>Second, you claim that even if it is true that all modern >>>psychology depends on operational measures, and even if these >>>measures are systematically flawed, this would not alter the >>>big picture for psychology. How you think the big picture for >>>psychology would remain unchanged even if the whole basis of >>>its observations were shown to be systematically corrupted is a >>>mystery to me. Perhaps you can explain.

>>Nope, sorry. Never claimed this. I claimed that even if every >>time psychological research uses an operationalization, it >>confused operational notions of things with proxy notions of >>things, it would not destroy psychology. For this to happen, >>every one of psychology's premises, models, observations, >>perceived relationships, etc. would have to be dead wrong. This >>is not the case.

>Once again this is a most bizarre claim. Here's what I said >about this in a post dated 22 April:

>"Here's why this is such a big deal: Operationalism is supposed
>to be a way of putting all your measurements and observations on
>a sound empirical basis without recourse to spooky metaphysical
>entities that can't be observed. So anything required by theory
>but which can't be observed - such as quarks - has to be linked
>to what can be observed by a rigorous process of logic.

>But if your supposedly "operational" measure is simply a proxy
>for something completely different which not only can't be
>measured or observed, but whose relationship to the proxy is
>impossible even to define, then the whole basis of your claim to
>empirical rigor is a mirage. Your supposedly objective
>measurements and observations are all hopelessly contaminated by
>arbitrary subjective considerations. You might as well be gazing
>into a crystal ball."

>I don't see a need to add anything here.

Except that I'm not disagreeing with what you have written. My words above were written in regard to the use of the words "modern psychology" which, in my understanding, are referring to the doctrine of Cognitivism. Even if we recognized that Cognitivism has been using Operationalism improperly and we scrap Cognitivism (and I agree with you here that this should be the case), there is still enough left of psychology that is good - because psychology is more than Cognitivism. Psychology is more than Cognitivism. Psychology is more than the use of "operationalized measure." There was psychology before Cognitivism. There is also psychology after Cognitivism. The path away from Cognitivism - the path that I see psychology as being on - will not be altered. This won't change.

>>>Lastly you claim that most psychology does not depend on
>>>Cognitivism. In an earlier post I listed the Gibsonians, the
>>>psychophysicists and a handful of applied (that is,
>>>atheoretical) experimenters as examples that don't. To these I
>>>should have added some counseling psychologists and a handful
>>>of Behaviorists (there are still some of these knocking around
>>>somewhere). But that's about it. If you know of any other
>>>examples, then once again, I think it's time you produced them.

>>No. Another false accusation from you. Go back and re-read my
>>posts. I agreed with you that Cognitivism was the dominant
>>factor in psychology. I even stated that it has been this way
>>since Noam Chomsky did his number on Behaviouralism.

>>You are clearly misunderstanding what I mean when I say that
>>psychology is more than Cognitivism. But that has been a
>>regular and consistent feature of this discussion. There are
>>many systems within psychology. There is good within each of
>>these systems. There is bad within each of these systems. The
>>point is that something good can be salvaged from each system
>>and what is not good should be discarded. Every system - from
>>the Humanism of William James, to Behaviouralism (Cognitivism is
>>not a complete rejection of Behaviouralism), to the various
>>'depth psychologies' (such as the "psychoanalysis" of Freud, and
>>the "analytical psychology" of Jung), to the Gestalt's, right up
>>to the newer ideas of the post-cognitivists and the
>>transpersonal psychology of Groff and Tart, all have something
>>to contribute. This is what I meant when I said in an earlier
>>post that psychology will not disappear but will continue to
>>improve itself and grow.

>This is all ancient history. You wouldn't even find many
>psychologists who would have much time for these examples.
>Contemporary psychology is overwhelmingly dominated by
>Cognitivism.

Just because it's ancient history doesn't mean that there is nothing of value in it. Just because most psychologists today (the overwhelmingly dominating Cognitivists) wouldn't have much time for it doesn't mean it has no value. You have made it pretty clear just how flawed Cognitivism is, so why should it matter what the opinions of these psychologists are? In fact, I would be disinclined to listen to them, if anything.

And some of these old ideas are being resurrected. See William's post about concepts espoused by F. W. H. Myers being presented again in the recent book "Irreducible Mind."

>I should have said a bit more about this.

>The upshot is that neither Psychoanalysis nor Analytical
>Psychology has any empirical basis worthy of the name.

You won't get any argument from me on this.

But it still doesn't mean that there is nothing of value in these systems, especially Jung's system of Analytical Psychology. It is extremely interesting that several occult systems, such as certain witchcraft and Qabalah, can be said to mirror Jung's system. True, Jung was deeply interested in occultism but it goes beyond this.

>The transpersonal psychology of Grof, Tart, Maslow, Leary and >others, owes more to the New Age therapy movement than to >anything that could be called "scientific" psychology. This >isn't in itself necessarily a bad thing - but these are very bad >examples to choose if you are trying to make a case that >psychology is a scientific discipline.

Well, you're kind of detecting the flavour but not getting the whole taste. A lot of it comes out of experimentation with psychedelic substances, which are physical materials acting on a physical brain. So, it's not entirely without a place to begin applying the scientific method.

>In any case I'm not at all sure that transpersonal psychology >can be said to be free of Cognitivist assumptions; certainly it >is strongly constructivist and incorporates a great deal of >metaphysical baggage. For a New Age or spiritual philosophy >this might not be regarded as a problem - but for a scientific >subject, it once again entails a dependency on a whole raft of >untested (and probably untestable) assumptions.

It does present science with problems. But because science has problems testing it doesn't mean that it's not valid. Science may have to devise some way to test in these realms. Deep-space objects existed before we invented telescopes that could see them. Microbes flourished before we constructed microscopes that could bring them into our view.

>>Since the 1990's, post-cognitivist >>ideas such as 'embodied cognition', 'embodied embedded >>cognition', 'situated cognition', 'ecological psychology', etc. >>are starting to take psychology past Cognitivism.

>No, they don't. They are all entirely dependent on Cognitivist >assumptions.

>My mistake - I misread "ecological psychology" as "evolutionary >psychology". Ecological psychology is in fact Gibsonian's >theory.

The above listed are post-cognitivist propositions. Post-cognitivist psychology is that which goes beyond or opposes the ideas of Cognitivism.

But you have already pointed out your mistake here.

>>Sure, Jame's >>J. Gibson's 'direct realism' is a post-cognitive proposition but >>it went too far and is shown to be wrong by modern physics.

>Gibson's theory doesn't work as a theory of perception because >it's much too vague (a point noted by vision researchers like >David Marr). But the Gibsonian approach generally has introduced >into psychology ideas which, although quite revolutionary to >psychologists, are not at all controversial to researchers in >other disciplines such as neuroscience - such as the idea that >our perceptions are driven and determined by the external world >- the view you describe here as "direct realism".

Gibson's theory doesn't work for more reasons than just being vague. He espoused the idea of "direct perception" too. He maintained that we perceive objects in the material world exactly as they are. Research in modern physics has pretty much nailed the lid down on the coffin of that idea.

I have not argued against the idea that our perceptions are driven and determined by the external world. Just not in the way

that James J. Gibson held. And I think that most post-cognitivist propositions don't go far enough.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

From: **Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo** <virgilio.sanchezocejo.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 18:10:00 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 01:29:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:51:23 -0300
>Subject: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>This is a new and very interesting article from one of Puerto Rico's leading UFO researchers and journalists, Jorge Martin:

>Fidel Castro Was Shown A Captured Alien Spacecraft And The Corpse Of Its Pilot

><http://jorgemartin-enigmasdelmilenio.blogspot.com/>

Dear List,

Jorge Martin wrote:

"We agreed not to publish anything about it until the right time to do it arrived, and now, with what was said by Castro in his reflection, we feel free to do so."

Whether there was such an agreement between Cortazar and Jorge Martin, not to publish anything until the right time to do it arrived. Why Martin did not do it, when Cortazar died on February 27, 2008 in Madrid, Spain? I understand the agreement was between Cortazar and Martin, and not between Martin and Castro.

Also Castro, in his reflection, does not mention anything about ETs or spacecraft. It only refers to the possibility of extraterrestrial life in the universe.

Moreover, in recent years, many Cubans ufologists came to Miami, such as Hugo Parrado Frances, which was co-producer with Cortazar, of the documentary OVNIs en Cuba?(1996), he knew very well Cortazar's interest in UFOs. To obtain reports for this documentary, Cortazar formed a secret UFO network of collaborators.

With Hugo Parrado Frances we have shared radio and local TV on the subject and he never mentions this case.

Best,

Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo

Miami UFO Center

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Online UFO Magazines/Journals - Expanded List

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 23:32:28 +0100
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 01:34:07 -0400
Subject: Online UFO Magazines/Journals - Expanded List

In the past few years, there has been an explosion in the amount of scanned material made available free online by various UFO groups (although I wish some of those groups would make considerably more material available online...). Many people posting on Internet discussion forums do not appear to be aware of these resources or, at least as importantly, the ability to search them quickly. I've previously posted about the latter point on this List at:

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/2012/mar/m09-003.shtml>

Using a free download manager, such as "Flashget" (available at the link below, which I use on the Firefox browser), it is possible to download long lists of individual issues within each collection with a couple of clicks of the mouse:

http://www.flashget.com/index_en.html

Following the falls in the cost of external hard-drives in the past decade (until recent price increases due to the flooding in Thailand), external hard drives with a capacity of 1 or 2 Terabyte (TB) drives are now fairly inexpensive and can hold vast amounts of written material (such as books, scanned journals, official documents, archived posts to discussion Lists, dissertations etc) and/or fairly sizeable collections of UFO documentaries/podcasts.

I've previously posted on the AboveTopSecret.com website at the link below a list of some links to UFO journals/magazines (with a few sample images, covers etc):

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread762746/pg1>

The list below is considerably expanded (thanks largely to information provided by Russian ufologist Mikhail Gershtein, supplemented by several links provided by Italian ufologist Roberto Labanti):

I am not including a link to a collection of FSR [Flying Saucer Review] journals, since that collection does not appear to have been put online with the permission of FSR.

Anyway, here is the current version of the list of links in alphabetical order. I'd highlight the collections of APRO Bulletins, MUFON Journals, and "UFO Investigator" published by NICAP).

AFU Newsletter:

<http://www.afu.info/newslett.htm>

Anomaly - John Keel:

<http://www.scribd.com/collections/2326181/John-Keel-s-Anomaly-Newsletter>

APRO Bulletin 1955-73

<http://www.openminds.tv/apro-bulletins/>

Archaeus:

<http://www.tricksterbook.com/Archaeus/Archaeus-JournalIssues.html>

Arizona Skeptic Collection:

http://www.discord.org/~lippard/Arizona_Skeptic/

Index:

<http://files.ncas.org/eye.html>

Civilian Saucer Investigations-LA Bulletins 1953-54

<http://www.project1947.com/shg/csi/index.html>

(html)

Continuum Magazine (1988-1999), by Paranet Information Services/Micap:

<http://www.paranetinfo.com/published.html>

Cowflop Quaterley (Robert Todd)

<http://www.roswellfiles.com/storytellers/Todd.htm>

Creature Chronicles - Hominoid Research Group (formerly Hominoid Research), a division of the Ohio UFO Investigators League 1980-1984 (independent 1987-1991):

<http://blakemathys.com/creaturechronicles.html>

EdgeScience [SSE]:

<http://www.scientificexploration.org/edgescience/>

Fountain Journal (Warminster UFO):

http://www.ufo-warminster.co.uk/fountain_journal/fj_top.htm

Journal of Alternative Realities (Australasian Society for Psychical Research and UFORUM):

<http://members.ozemail.com.au/~amilani/jour.html> (html)

Journal of Scientific Exploration 1987-2008

<http://www.scientificexploration.org/journal/articles.html>

Journal of UFO History

http://www.nicap.org/jufoh/JUFOH_Index.htm

Just Cause

<http://www.greenwoodufoarchive.com/>

Magonia/MUFORG articles:

<http://pelicanist.blogspot.com/p/magonia-archive-contents.html>

<http://magonia.haaan.com/>

MUFON Journal

http://www.theblackvault.com/wiki/index.php/Category:MUFON_Journals

NICAP newsletters etc

See "UFO Investigator" below

Northern UFO News

<http://www.nufonews.co.uk/>

Currently unavailable, hopefully only temporarily. However, Jenny Randles and Robert Moore have kindly agreed to my uploading searchable copies of the scanned issues of Northern UFO News to a free file storage website at:

<http://minus.com/mL1bI7wso/>

North Texas Skeptics newsletters

<http://www.ntskeptics.org/past.htm>

Paranormal Underground

<http://issuu.com/paranormalunderground/docs>

Tables of contents at:

<http://www.paranormalunderground.net/site/category/magazine-archive/>

Paranthropology 2010-2012

<http://paranthropologyjournal.weebly.com/free-pdf.html>

Shadow of a Doubt (NCAS)

<http://files.ncas.org/newsletters/shadow-mz.html>

Skeptic, The (AU) full set 1991-2010

<http://www.skeptics.com.au/publications/magazine/>

Skeptical Eye More recent: <http://files.ncas.org/eye.html> Older issues:

<http://files.ncas.org/newsletters/shadow-mz.html>

Skeptics UFO Newsletter (Klass) articles between 1994 and 1999:

http://www.csicop.org/specialarticles/archive/category/the_skeptics_ufo_newsletter (html)

SSE Explorer:

<http://www.scientificexploration.org/explorer/>

Sub Rosa:

<http://subrosa.dailygrail.com/download.html>

SUNLite (Tim Printy)

<http://home.comcast.net/~tprinty/UFO/SUNlite.htm>

UFO Afrinews 1988-2000

<http://www.ufoafrinews.com/resources.html>

UFO Data

<http://www.ufo-data.co.uk/ufodatamagazinedownloads.htm>

A searchable version of that collection is at the link below, with the kind permission of UFO Data's Steve Johnson ("MercuryRapids"):

<http://minus.com/m6YZwNANz>

UFO Historical Review

<http://www.greenwoodufoarchive.com/>

UFO Info (Warminster)

http://www.ufo-warminster.co.uk/ufo-info/ufo-info_top.htm

UFO Investigator (NICAP):

<http://www.cufos.org/NICAP.html>

UFO Review (edited by Stuart Miller)

<http://web.archive.org/web/20070701121059/http://www.uforeview.net/>

Understanding (Contactee Daniel Fry newsletter), 1956-1989

<http://danielfry.com/daniels-writings/>

Voice, The

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/67623642/The-Voice-Newsletter-UK-ET-MC-Underground-Bases#>

Warminster UFO Newsletter

http://www.ufo-warminster.co.uk/w_ufo_n/w_ufo_n_top.htm

Zetetic Scholar

<http://www.tricksterbook.com/truzzi/ZeteticScholars.html>

All the best,

Isaac

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 23:53:53 +0100
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 01:41:48 -0400
Subject: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

Some of the members of this List will have been familiar with the public Rendlesham discussion forum that was online at Rendlesham-Incident.co.uk until last year. Members included Ian Ridpath, John Burroughs and many others (including several witnesses, or purported witnesses, that posted detailed accounts and answered various questions).

I've obtained a copy of the relatively large and interesting archive of posts to that discussion forum (with thanks to "Daniel" of that forum) and spent quite a bit of time during the last week converting those posts to PDF format to make them easier to search and reducing the file size of the archive.

Before making that archive available online, I've been trying, without any success, to get in touch with the person that set up that forum when he was in school (before he out-grew all this UFO business...). He posted as "Admin" on that forum and as "JH" on at least one other forum. I've posted messages for him on various groups and Facebook pages devoted to discussion of the Rendlesham Forest Incident, including the Justice Facebook page, the Lone Ranger Facebook page, and the ASSAP Rendlesham Facebook page.

I've been supplied with two relevant email addresses, but the email addresses "JH" used to use no longer seem to be active. "JH" doesn't seem to have remained in touch with any of the Rendlesham researchers or witnesses. His posts on the old forum indicated that he had lost interest in the Rendlesham incident some time ago.

"JH" clearly favoured the archive being preserved. I think it is fairly clear from his posts (as "Admin") when deactivating that forum (due to the costs involved) he would be in favour of the archive being shared freely online. In the thread entitled "'Goodbye' - the forum's fate - Important", he indicated that he was keen for members to download a copy of the posts on the forum. He also posted in a separate discussion in January 2009 indicating his doubts about his website's future, but commented that "There's no way I will let a wealth of information go to waste". I have uploaded the relevant two discussions to the link below so that other members of this List can form their own view on this issue:

<http://minus.com/mjkOARXjk/>

If anyone thinks I should nonetheless refrain from posting the archive unless and until I have explicit permission from "JH" (which, it seems, it may be impossible to obtain), please let me know. I find this situation a rather difficult dilemma. On the one hand, I fear that an inability to search that archive of material will result in even more reinvention of the wheel within ufology, particularly in relation to the Rendlesham Forest Incident(s). On the other hand, I am somewhat uncomfortable making the archive available online without hearing from "JH".

Obviously, I'd much prefer to contact "JH" before putting the archive online. Does anyone on this List know "JH"? If so, could you let me have an email address or simply forward the email

below and ask him to send any response to me at:

isaackoi.nul

[Email for "Admin"/"JH" begins]

Hi "Admin"/"JH",

I am a barrister in England with an interest in various issues relating to reports of "UFOs" and have written various items online about such issues, including the following:

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread730972/pg1>

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread736264/pg1>

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread689049/pg1>

I think it is a shame that posts to your forum (which used to be at Rendlesham-incident.co.uk) are largely no longer available online. I fear that an inability to search that archive of material will result in even more reinvention of the wheel within ufology, particularly in relation to the Rendlesham Forest Incident(s).

One of the old members of your forum, "Daniel", has recently kindly taken the time to provide me with a copy of the archives of the posts to that forum. During the last week, I have already found the archive very useful and have converted most of its contents to PDF format to make it easier to access and search (as I have done with the archives of various other UFO email discussion Lists, including UFO Updates, Project 1947, Current Encounters and others).

I have uploaded the archive supplied by Daniel to a private folder on a free file storage website, at the link below:

[LINK NOT INCLUDED IN PUBLIC POSTING]

That archive of posts to your forum can only be accessed using this link.

Do you mind if I share this link on public UFO discussion forums? From your final posts on your forum in the thread entitled "'Goodbye' - the forum's fate - Important", I understand that you were keen for members to download a copy of the posts on the forum and I presume that sharing the above link to the archive will be fine with you.

I think many other people interested in the Rendlesham Forest Incident may find it useful to have a copy of the archive. It is one of a limited number of forums on which skeptics and pro-ETH ufologists had, generally, civil exchanges.

I will, of course, immediately delete the PDF file from the file storage website if you would like me to do so.

All the best,

Isaac Koi

[Email for "Admin"/"JH" ends]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 06:50:11 +0100
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:21:00 -0400
Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 07 May 2012 14:09:05 -0400
>Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

<snip>

>The materialist's treatment explains the mind as an
>epiphenomenon of biological interactions. This means the mind
>does not have an existence independent of biology, and so
>events occurring in it also cannot have an independent
>existence.

<snip>

Hello List,

Here's an experiment we can all do, to see how inventive your own mind can be: simply try reading a book (non-fiction - to keep things simple) when you're feeling sleepy.

What can happen is that intermittently falling asleep while reading can produce a short 'hypnagogic' dream (which is more of an hallucination), of a radically different text - sometimes with strange meanings.

Thing is, you might be 'certain' that the dreamed text was what you actually read - but it wasn't.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypnagogia>

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

The Gathering Numbers - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:30:42 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:30:42 -0400
Subject: The Gathering Numbers - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's De Void

<http://devoid.blogs.heraldtribune.com/13018/the-gathering-numbers/>

Friday, May 4, 2012

The Gathering Numbers
by Billy Cox

Hard to believe, but De Void never knew "dwarfs" - as in, "Snow White & The Seven Etc". - was the original preferred plural of "dwarf". I'd always assumed Disney was guilty of grammar crimes. But a little research indicates things took a twist in the middle of last century when J.R.R. Tolkien introduced hobbits and a new plural, "dwarves", to literature. That was pretty much the end of "dwarfs", except for a few scattered pockets of resistance here and there, such as red dwarfs.

Which sets the stage for a really tortured transition...

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
Subject: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/cbholsl>

Sunday, May 06, 2012

The Roswell Investigation And The Skeptics

I have seen, over the last several months, nothing but criticism from the world of the skeptic about our efforts to assemble a team to investigate the Roswell claims. We have published little about our investigation, other than to announce the members of the team, and the skeptics and debunkers have found nothing to like about it. They are convinced that all we will do is reinforce the ideas that have already been published. That is, we'll endorse the extraterrestrial and that is it.

In fact, I believe that the only answer they will accept is that Roswell was something mundane, most probably a weather balloon array launched from Alamogordo on June 4, 1947. If we determine anything else, regardless of what evidence we might uncover, it will be rejected as more of the same. They all know that there has been no alien visitation and therefore anything that suggests otherwise is the result of poor technique and investigation on our part.

Any eyewitness testimony that suggests otherwise is the result of poor memory, confabulation or outright lying. Nothing these people say will be believed, unless they provide testimony that what fell was something mundane, most probably a weather balloon array launched from Alamogordo on June 4, 1947.

If, however, the eyewitness testimony reinforces the balloon explanation, then those memories are accurate and reflect reality. It doesn't matter if those memories are proven false with documentation, they must be believed because they lead directly to the accepted explanation.

As I say, all this is ridiculous because we haven't completed our work. We have developed some interesting leads, but the skeptics are already rejecting our research without seeing any of it.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Mass Sightings Mainstream Media Coverage

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:41:09 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:41:09 -0400
Subject: Mass Sightings Mainstream Media Coverage

Source: AllVoices.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/7cd7x4r>

May 07, 2012

UFO Mainstream Media Coverage Mass Sightings Taking Place
By Michelle Devlin

Mass sightings of the increasingly familiar triangle shaped lights and orbs continue to amaze onlookers worldwide, as new videos surface on You Tube daily. In Canada and the USA mainstream news have begun to report on this phenomena, as people ask the question:

What... or who... is here?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=87PRVP4EOAo>

Huffpost's Lee Spiegel writes: "Those triangle-shaped UFOs just don't seem to go away. Whether they're conventional planes, experimental stealth technology or truly unexplained vehicles, reports continue to surface about these aircraft."

<http://tinyurl.com/8aameas>

Do some of these sightings involve top secret aircraft flown by governments worldwide under top secrecy?

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

The Floridad Uruguay UFO Photo Sequence

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:48:30 -0400
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 03:48:30 -0400
Subject: The Floridad Uruguay UFO Photo Sequence

Source: OpenMinds.Tv

<http://www.openminds.tv/the-floridad-uruguay-ufo-photo-sequence/>

May 01, 2012

The Floridad Uruguay UFO Photo Sequence
Antonio Huneeus

The city in Uruguay is actually named Florida, not Floridad. The use of "Floridad" in this article is taken directly from the case files, and is reproduced here for continuity.

In the "Photo Gallery" of Open Minds magazine issue 7 (April/May 2011) we published a color photo of a classic flying saucer - the third out of a total sequence of seven shots - taken on July 11, 1977 in a farm in Floridad, Uruguay.

The case was investigated by the late Guillermo Roncoroni, an Argentinean ufologist, photo expert, and IBM engineer, who located and interviewed the witness, 29-year-old horticulturist Hector Rufino Delgado. On the afternoon of July 11, 1977, Delgado was working in his greenhouse in Floridad, when he heard a high-pitched humming sound around 3:30 PM.

Delgado told Rocoroni it was "something like that produced by an electric motors or a ventilator" and that he didn't pay much attention initially, as "it was not constant, but its intensity and tone increased and decreased perceptibly". Delgado eventually decided to investigate the cause of the sound and, as he looked around, he noticed a silver-shaped object moving smoothly in the air some 200 meters from his position and 50 m above the ground. "I was slow to react, never had the thought occurred to me that I might encounter a thing like this", said Delgado.

The witness remembered he had a camera loaded with 100 ASA Perutz color slide film in his house and so he rushed in to grab it. Selecting a shutter speed of 1/125th second and an aperture of f:11, Delgado managed to take a total of seven shots of the object.

"When I took the first photograph the UFO was still moving very slowly toward the south", he said, but he had barely snapped it when "the object drastically altered its velocity and trajectory and began climbing maneuvers as it increased elevation from the ground... At times it was very difficult to follow it with the camera, at times it appeared totally immobile and then jumped to extreme velocity... at the same time performing the most incredible maneuvers, withdrawing and then re-approaching my position again. It's really difficult to describe the movements of that thing."

The sighting lasted some 3 minutes but he heard the sound for another 3 minutes or so before first spotting the object. In the magazine's "Photo Gallery", we reproduced only the third in the

sequence, which was the clearest and closest to the witness - around 50 meters away.

According to Roncoroni, it shows "the object is rotating on its vertical axis at a considerable speed". Another interesting detail is that the humming sound seemed to change with the type of maneuver performed by the UFO - intensity of tone increased when the object increased its velocity and vice versa. Roncoroni found "no evidence of manipulation or faking" in the film, concluding that "the object that is shown is probably a solid object, apparently metallic of several meters of diameter".

We reproduce now for the first time the entire contents of the Floridad case contained in Lt. Col. Wendelle Stevens' UFO Photo Archives, now in the possession of Open Minds. This includes the complete photo sequence in order, a diagram of the craft made by Stevens, and the two-page original report written in English by Guillermo Roncoroni, whom we met during a trip to Buenos Aires in December 1983. Roncoroni was the author, with Gustavo Alvarez, of the book *Los OVNI y la Evidencia Fotografica* (UFOs and Photographic Evidence), published by Cielosur in Argentina in 1978. He was also one of the representatives of Dr. J. Allen Hynek's Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS) in Argentina for a number of years.

Below the sequence (1 to 7) of the Floridad UFO taken by horticulturist Hector Rufino Delgado:

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 09:49:03 -0300
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 10:05:55 -0400
Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:29:22 0500
>Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:51:23 0300
>>Subject: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>>Dear Listers:

>>This is a new and very interesting article from one of Puerto Rico's
>>leading UFO researchers and journalists, Jorge Martin:

>>Fidel Castro Was Shown A Captured Alien Spacecraft And The Corpse Of
>>Its Pilot

>><http://jorgemartin.enigmasdelmilenio.blogspot.com/>

>>Jorge's e mail address:

>>jorgemartin87.nul

>>Enjoy,

>>A. J.

>Hi, A. J.,

>"Enjoy" indeed. That's as much as one can do with something like
>this.

>The story is - obviously - interesting, but given how far
>removed it is from the alleged source (Castro), not much more
>than a rumor. I have met Jorge Martin (in England in 1996) and
>found him congenial company, but I fear he's hung way too much
>on this very slender thread.

>

>Generally comparable stories have been attached to significant
>political figures going back to Presidents Truman, Eisenhower,
>and Nixon. They comprise an enduring branch of saucer folklore,
>but no more, alas.

That may be so, Jerry, and thanks for the comments.

I thought, however, that the article posted here could bring up
a few questions among Listers about how much Castro knew of the
UFO Phenomena, if anything.

Being close to the Russians in the Cold War, especially, he must
have received some info about it. I have always been curious
about it.

Does anybody here have any?

Thanks,

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 13:00:49 +0100
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 10:09:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 16:33:15 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

<snip>

>I already explained it, Cathy. If you had read and understood
>the explanation in my previous post concerning this, you would
>not be asking these questions.

Or it could be, of course, that I'm asking these questions
because I find your previous answers to be incoherent,
disingenuous and self-contradictory.

>I explained that I was interchanging the word "operationalism"
>(the name of the doctrine) with the word "operationalization"
>(operationalized measure). Explained that it was an improper use
>of these terms.

It seems crystal clear to me that you were also claiming there
is no such doctrine as operationalism:

"Without this addition, the sentence implies an 'ism' such as
Functionalism, Cognitivism, Behaviouralism, etc. which is not
what an operationalism is."

- But I'm not surprised you are now trying to spin it otherwise.

>No. That is not what I am saying.

Ok, let's see if we can work out what you actually are saying.

>I am saying that psychology
>uses empirical experimentation and has obtained a fair amount of
>empirical data. This is a solid base. Even if everytime
>psychological research used an operationalized measure it
>confused operational notions of things with proxy notions of
>things when extrapolating from this solid base - and these
>extrapolations were flawed and needed to be discarded (as they
>should be) - the solid base still exists. I am saying that
>psychological research has come up with some good data since its
>inception, and it will always have this - even when the flawed
>extrapolations have been recognized and discarded.

Well, you have previously acknowledged that pretty much the
whole of modern psychology relies on operationalized measures.
You are also claiming that, even so, psychology has a solid
empirical basis.

Now see below.

>>Once again this is a most bizarre claim. Here's what I said
>>about this in a post dated 22 April:

>>"Here's why this is such a big deal: Operationalism is supposed
>>to be a way of putting all your measurements and observations on
>>a sound empirical basis without recourse to spooky metaphysical
>>entities that can't be observed. So anything required by theory

>>but which can't be observed - such as quarks - has to be linked
>>to what can be observed by a rigorous process of logic.

>>But if your supposedly "operational" measure is simply a proxy
>>for something completely different which not only can't be
>>measured or observed, but whose relationship to the proxy is
>>impossible even to define, then the whole basis of your claim
>>to empirical rigor is a mirage. Your supposedly objective
>>measurements and observations are all hopelessly contaminated
>>by arbitrary subjective considerations. You might as well be
>>gazing into a crystal ball."

> Except that I'm not disagreeing with what you have written.

That's interesting. So you are claiming:

1) That pretty much all modern psychology depends on operationalized measures;

2) That if these supposedly operational measures are really just proxy measures, then this fatally undermines the empirical basis for psychology. (This is my proposition above, which you now say you are "not disagreeing with".)

3) That even if every measure in psychology is really just a proxy measure, it is still the case that psychology has a solid empirical basis.

Eugene, just re-read those three statements and just see if you can understand why they are completely inconsistent. Do you now understand why I find your reasoning to be incoherent, disingenuous and self-contradictory?

>My
>words above were written in regard to the use of the words
>"modern psychology" which, it is my understanding, are referring
>to the doctrine of Cognitivism.

Ah, I take it you are now going to claim that only Cognitivism depends on operationalized measures. Well that is simply false.

>>>Since the 1990's, post-cognitivist
>>>ideas such as 'embodied cognition', 'embodied embedded
>>>cognition', 'situated cognition', 'ecological psychology',
>>>are starting to take psychology past Cognitivism.

>>No, they don't. They are all entirely dependent on Cognitivist
>>etc. assumptions.

>The above listed are post-cognitivist propositions. Post-
>cognitivist psychology is that which goes beyond or opposes the
>ideas of Cognitivism.

"Ecological Psychology" is Gibson's theory from which his ideas on perception were derived, so that example doesn't count. (I'd asked you for examples other than Gibson, if you remember).

The others are all Gibsonian-inspired; but Cognitivist assumptions are so deeply embedded in modern psychology that even these have evolved to become merely extensions of cognitive psychology. The Cognitivist practice of trying to explain any experimental result by invoking a computational module to produce it, is endemic. Sometimes it acquires a character of the manifestly absurd - one computational model had additional modules bolted on purely to increase the error rate.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 10:33:15 -0500
Archived: Tue, 08 May 2012 17:21:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 09:49:03 -0300
>Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:29:22 0500
>>Subject: Re: Fidel Castro And UFOs

>>>From: A. J. Gevaerd Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 08:51:23 0300
>>>Subject: Fidel Castro And UFOs

<snip>

>>The story is - obviously - interesting, but given how far
>>removed it is from the alleged source (Castro), not much more
>>than a rumor. I have met Jorge Martin (in England in 1996) and
>>found him congenial company, but I fear he's hung way too much
>>on this very slender thread.

>>Generally comparable stories have been attached to significant
>>political figures going back to Presidents Truman, Eisenhower,
>>and Nixon. They comprise an enduring branch of saucer folklore,
>>but no more, alas.

>That may be so, Jerry, and thanks for the comments.

>I thought, however, that the article posted here could bring up
>a few questions among Listers about how much Castro knew of the
>UFO Phenomena, if anything.

>Being close to the Russians in the Cold War, especially, he must
>have received some info about it. I have always been curious
>about it.

Yarns like this - ones linking national leaders to direct
observation of aliens or their remains - have circulated since
the early days of the UFO controversy. In 1955 Tom Comella,
publisher of an Ohio-based saucer newsletter, wrote that
President Truman, "his top aides and generals were supposed to
have attended" a meeting with ETs in Alaska in the middle of
1948. "The meeting was supposedly the first with the saucer
race... The earth members... could not understand some of the
space people's humble beliefs and actions."

While this story is long forgotten, its descendants have helped
fuel the UFO-centric view of postWorld War II history behind the
Disclosure movement and MJ-12. I guess it's Fidel Castro's
moment to shine in the spotlight of this evolving modern
folklore.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2012 06:41:34 -0400
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 06:41:34 -0400
Subject: Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials

Source: HuffPo

<http://tinyurl.com/837n315>

05/07/2012

Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials
Alejandro Rojas

In April, SRI International announced it will be taking over the management of the Allen Telescope Array, whose primary purpose is listening for signals from extraterrestrial civilizations. The array was built by the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence Institute (SETI) and the University of California, with the majority of funding coming from its namesake, computer magnate, Paul Allen. SRI is no stranger to controversial projects. In the late '70s SRI developed a method of psychic spying called "Remote Viewing", which quickly caught the attention of the CIA, and eventually culminated into a formal project ran by U.S. Army intelligence. Founding members of this clandestine organization claim they even used their skills to remote view UFOs and extraterrestrials.

SRI was originally the Stanford Research Institute, however, in 1970 it severed its ties with Stanford to become an independent non-profit organization and settled on the name SRI International in 1977. Founded in 1946, SRI is a research institute responsible for many important inventions including the computer mouse you are using to scroll through this story right now.

In the early '70s, SRI physicist, Dr. Harold Puthoff, began looking into psychic phenomena. According to the book, Psi Spies, by Jim Marrs, Puthoff's interests were piqued after reading a book on Russian psychic experiments. His colleague, Clive Backster, had been working on psychic experiments and one of the men he worked with, Ingo Swann, seemed to exhibit real psychic abilities. One of these skills being what Swann called "Remote Viewing", which was visualizing objects or places at a distance.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

From: **Glennys Mackay** <gem60.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 01:09:07 +1000
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:28:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 5 May 2012 12:28:04 -0300
>Subject: Brazilian UFO Researcher Claudeir Covo Dies

>I am very sorry to inform that one of the major Brazilian UFO
>researchers, an experienced investigator that uncovered many
>great cases, co-editor of the Brazilian UFO Magazine and a good,
>good friend died this morning at 4 am of cardiac arrest in a Sao
>Paulo hospital where he'd been since yesterday.

So sorry to hear about your friend Ademar.

My thoughts and prayers are with his family and also with you.

Blessings
Rev. Glennys Mackay J.P.
Qld. UFO Network.(MUFON Rep.)
Brisbane Australia

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

"Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:58:12 +0100
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:34:22 -0400
Subject: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

Finally, the alien abduction mystery is solved!

Source: HuffPo

<http://tinyurl.com/88hxfwm>

05/08/2012

'Alien Abduction' Research Suggests Episodes Are Actually Lucid Dreams

By: Natalie Wolchover

Hundreds of thousands or millions of Americans believe they have been abducted by aliens. In a typical case, an abductee recounts lying in bed one night when an eerie feeling overcomes him, and alien beings appear out of nowhere. The extraterrestrials transport him to a spacecraft and subject him to a battery of physical and psychological tests. After what seems like hours, he is returned to his bedroom unharmed, and finds that the whole ordeal transpired in minutes.

Abductees think their traumatic experiences were real. However, most psychologists think abductions are lucid dreams or hallucinations, triggered by an awareness of other people's similar experiences. One recent experiment, in which participants trained in lucid dreaming techniques were able to dream up vivid alien encounters, supports this hypothesis. But if each perceived abduction is just the latest in a series of hallucinations, what was it that triggered that first dream or delusion? How was the alien abduction story born?

Paranormal investigators say it all started in the 1940s and '50s. The Space Age was upon us, and sci-fi literature was soaring in popularity with human-alien encounters a recurring theme. In July 1946, "Planet Comics" ran a strip in which aliens used a luminous tractor beam to kidnap a voluptuous female earthling, whom they called Specimen 9. They tell her the abduction is part of "Project Survival," and as they steer their spaceship toward what looks like Saturn, the leader remarks, "Now home. And if you find our methods ruthless, Specimen 9, it is because our needs are desperate."

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2012 14:54:02 -0400
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:35:54 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason<Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 13:00:49 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison<cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 16:33:15 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

><snip>

>>I already explained it, Cathy. If you had read and understood
>>the explanation in my previous post concerning this, you would
>>not be asking these questions.

>Or it could be, of course, that I'm asking these questions
>because I find your previous answers to be incoherent,
>disingenuous and self-contradictory.

>The others are all Gibsonian-inspired; but Cognitivist
>assumptions are so deeply embedded in modern psychology that
>even these have evolved to become merely extensions of cognitive
>psychology. The Cognitivist practice of trying to explain any
>experimental result by invoking a computational module to
>produce it, is endemic. Sometimes it acquires a character of the
>manifestly absurd - one computational model had additional
>modules bolted on purely to increase the error rate.

I've been trying to following the discussion between Cathy and Eugene, but I'm becoming a little lost, perhaps because it's often about refuting each other's sometimes self-professed inadequately expressed points of view.

I understand Cognitivism to be the treatment of thinking as a sequence of information transformations. It uses the computer as a metaphor for the mind. This was an improvement over Behaviorism which refused to acknowledge thinking.

Cathy objects that it is too easy for Cognitivists to create just another computational module to account for an observed effect. I would agree, since it smacks of just adding another epicycle to account for the movement of a new object in the heavens.

It's easy to do this because such computational models are not constrained much by the physical system that is the brain. But to require that an information processing model be more tightly coupled to neurophysiology would assume that thinking arises solely from the biology of the brain. Some people are happy with that and some are not. I have a problem with it because, for example, it leaves the near-death experience impossible to explain.

Can Cognitivism be saved, or do you or anyone else have a better approach for modeling thinking than by concatenating sequences of information processing modules?

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 19:18:47 +0000
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:38:02 -0400
Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>From: post.nul
>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/cbholsl>

>Sunday, May 06, 2012

>The Roswell Investigation And The Skeptics

>I have seen, over the last several months, nothing but criticism
>from the world of the skeptic about our efforts to assemble a
>team to investigate the Roswell claims. We have published little
>about our investigation, other than to announce the members of
>the team, and the skeptics and debunkers have found nothing to
>like about it. They are convinced that all we will do is
>reinforce the ideas that have already been published. That is,
>we'll endorse the extraterrestrial and that is it.

>In fact, I believe that the only answer they will accept is that
>Roswell was something mundane, most probably a weather balloon
>array launched from Alamogordo on June 4, 1947. If we determine
>anything else, regardless of what evidence we might uncover, it
>will be rejected as more of the same. They all know that there
>has been no alien visitation and therefore anything that
>suggests otherwise is the result of poor technique and
>investigation on our part.

>Any eyewitness testimony that suggests otherwise is the result
>of poor memory, confabulation or outright lying. Nothing these
>people say will be believed, unless they provide testimony that
>what fell was something mundane, most probably a weather balloon
>array launched from Alamogordo on June 4, 1947.

>If, however, the eyewitness testimony reinforces the balloon
>explanation, then those memories are accurate and reflect
>reality. It doesn't matter if those memories are can be proven
>>false with documentation, they must be believed because they
>lead directly to the accepted explanation.

>As I say, all this is ridiculous because we haven't completed
>our work. We have developed some interesting leads, but the
>skeptics are already rejecting our research without seeing any
>of it.

Kevin:

I find it just as disingenuous to defend a research solution you
have not formulated. How would you know the outcome of research
you have not started, discussed with your team or planned the
new approach to solving a problem.

Usually, a researcher in the academic world would make the
proposal to the juried panel before starting the research. Then,
wait for the approval before going ahead with the research.

If you are looking for approval from your peers - for all practical purposes, members of this list, please present your proposed outline of the research you intend to pursue.

The information in your blog seems to be a defense without outlining an approach. I think you know better.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 15:33:54 -0400
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:41:16 -0400
Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>From: post.nul
>To: ufo-updates-list
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/cbholsl>

>Sunday, May 06, 2012

>The Roswell Investigation And The Skeptics

<snip>

>I have seen, over the last several months, nothing but criticism
>from the world of the skeptic about our efforts to assemble a
>team to investigate the Roswell claims. We have published little
>about our investigation, other than to announce the members of
>the team, and the skeptics and debunkers have found nothing to
>like about it. They are convinced that all we will do is
>reinforce the ideas that have already been published. That is,
>we'll endorse the extraterrestrial and that is it.

<snip>

Hello Kevin and all,

I would like to raise two points in this business of a Dream Team putting a final note of the Roswell case.

I wonder if I am the only one feeling that the "Dream Team" concept has a bad ring to it.

First: if you're not part of it, and you have studied Roswell, it says so much about you and your research.

Secondly, if you're part of it, it says so much about your chest-beating habits.

I mean, this is not Basketball, and I see no Michael Jordan in the room.

So, to place someone on this "Dream Team" sounds more like anointment than appointment, and I think we have had quite enough of that in ufology.

Third, placing yourself on what you call yourself a "Dream Team", well, not only sounds bad but tastes bad.

All said with due respect.

Now, let's address the Roswell thing.

I have just finished "Witness to Roswell" by Carey and Schmitt.

The work may lack a bit in structure and is not always coherent, but it gets this across: something happened in Roswell.

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

65 years and 600+ witnesses later, it has all been shrugged off by the powers that be: do you think another Marcel, another Haut or another Exon will change anything?

The Air Force official explanations (Mogul and crashed dummies) prove they don't care, and never will care, about the truth, no matter what.

Any further work on Roswell can only serve to enlighten interested citizens.

Nothing more, I am afraid.

Vincent Boudreau

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 20:20:11 +0000
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:44:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 06:50:11 +0100
>Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

<snip>

>Hello List,

>Here's an experiment we can all do, to see how inventive your
>own mind can be: simply try reading a book (non-fiction - to
>keep things simple) when you're feeling sleepy.

>What can happen is that intermittently falling asleep while
>reading can produce a short 'hypnagogic' dream (which is more of
>an hallucination), of a radically different text - sometimes
>with strange meanings.

>Thing is, you might be 'certain' that the dreamed text was what
>you actually read - but it wasn't.

><http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypnagogia>

Ray:

As usual, I think you are right on the money. It is because I
have been reading and fell asleep. In the dream state, I sat at
a computer reading and typing messages. It took an objective
stepping back from the incident to separate the dream "reality"
from the conscious reality.

And, after listening to a discussion of the "new consciousness",
I have to wonder just how much of what we claim to be reality is
really real. If you know what I mean.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: Mass Sightings Mainstream Media Coverage

From: Denis R. Denocla <denocla.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 23:47:24 +0200
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:46:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Mass Sightings Mainstream Media Coverage

Dear Colleagues,

Sumerian mythology has given rise to numerous writings referring to the Anunnaki, the Reptilians, Planet Nibiru, and the Pleiadians. Some writings call forth these themes seriously carefully respecting the original Sumerian writings some whereas some other writings display a few fantasies...

The popularization of these themes is also a subject of inspiration for literature Fantasy or Science Fiction...

This creates considerable confusion among the general public who does not really know what is serious, what remains argumentative scientific writings and verifiable historical data, or what is tricky and fake... and all this for the greater happiness of Intelligence Services responsible for misinformation... or disinformation. So the goal of this article is to clarify this issue that has become quite nebulous...

What is actually demonstrated today in the Sumerians writings?
What assumptions can be seriously developed?

Whole article:

<http://www.denocla.com/?p=2959> <=en-us

<http://www.denocla.com/ANUNAKI-DENOCLA-ANG.pdf>

Best Regards,
D. R. DENOCLA

<http://www.denocla.com>
<http://www.facebook.com/denocla>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Eugene Frison** <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:33:57 -0500
Archived: Wed, 09 May 2012 07:50:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 13:00:49 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 16:33:15 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>I already explained it, Cathy. If you had read and understood
>>the explanation in my previous post concerning this, you would
>>not be asking these questions.

>Or it could be, of course, that I'm asking these questions
>because I find your previous answers to be incoherent,
>disingenuous and self-contradictory.

Could be. Or it could be that you are only pretending to find
my previous answers to be incoherent, disingenuous and self-
contradictory because it is essential for you to do this if you
are going to be able to continue this line of sophism, allowing
you to save face in light of the fact that you made a number of
totally false and unfounded assumptions about what I am saying -
that has resulted in you totally derailing this discussion from
the get-go.

>>I explained that I was interchanging the word "operationalism"
>>(the name of the doctrine) with the word "operationalization"
>>(operationalized measure). Explained that it was an improper use
>>of these terms.

>It seems crystal clear to me that your were also claiming there
>is no such doctrine as operationalism:

Then you need to polish your crystal because it definitely isn't
transmitting the clear light of day to you at all. See below.

>"Without this addition, the sentence implies an 'ism' such as
>Functionalism, Cognitivism, Behaviouralism, etc. which is not
>what an operationalism is."

Let's back up here a bit. It was you who brought up the fact
that I was using a confusing term and said it was a word which I
appeared to have invented. I conceded that this was true. The
word being referred to here is "operationalisms". As you pointed
out, there is no such term.

The "addition" you are talking about above is the word "an." I
made it a point to post and explain that there should be the
word "an" in front of "operationalisms". The first part of the
sentence you quote above is explaining that, without the
addition of "an" the word "operationalisms" implies a doctrine
(like Functionalism, Cognitivism, or Behaviouralism). The second
part of the sentence you quote above is explaining that this is
not what I mean by "an operationalism". It is not what "an
operationalism" is (that is, not what my intended meaning of the
word is).

When I wrote that post which you are quoting, I was fishing in my head for the proper word "operationalization" but couldn't remember it. I came up with "operationalisms" instead - an invented term, as you yourself pointed out - to convey "an operationalized measure."

The above sentence quoted by you is simply me explaining to the list members not to confuse "operationalism" (the doctrine) with "an operationalism" (read: operationalization, operationalized measure). In that post (quoted above by you) I am saying that "an operationalism" is not the same thing as "operationalism."

If you apply my explanation of my interchanging an invented term, - and use the proper term "operationalization" instead of the wrong word - the sentence will read: "Without this addition, the sentence implies an 'ism' such as Functionalism, Cognitivism, Behaviouralism, etc. which is not what an operationalization (read: operationalized measure) is." (It's not a doctrine; it's an operationalized measure - an example of the application of the doctrine.)

That's a far stretch from "claiming there is no such doctrine as operationalism". Of course there is. But I haven't had to use such terms since I studied sociology in college in the late 1970's - so make a big deal out of my forgetting the word "operationalization" if you need to. The arguments and allegations you are making here are only serving to point out the desperation of your position, if you need to resort to this type of thing.

>- But I'm not surprised you are now trying to spin it otherwise.

What's not surprising is that you are trying to make it look like I am now trying to spin it otherwise. If you don't try to make it look this way then it becomes blatantly obvious that you made a confused assumption about what I meant and have, with your arguments in this regard, been doing nothing but further derailing this discussion.

>>No. That is not what I am saying.

>Ok, let's see if we can work out what you actually are saying.

Yes, Cathy... let's see if we can work out what I am saying, instead of what you think I am saying, or what you say I am saying. We would be able to do this quite easily and efficiently if you would just ask for clarification before you assume, jump to conclusions, and accuse.

>>I am saying that psychology
>>uses empirical experimentation and has obtained a fair amount of
>>empirical data. This is a solid base. Even if everytime
>>psychological research used an operationalized measure it
>>confused operational notions of things with proxy notions of
>>things when extrapolating from this solid base - and these
>>extrapolations were flawed and needed to be discarded (as they
>>should be) - the solid base still exists. I am saying that
>>psychological research has come up with some good data since its
>>inception, and it will always have this - even when the flawed
>>extrapolations have been recognized and discarded.

>Well, you have previously acknowledged that pretty much the
>whole of modern psychology relies on operationalized measures.
>You are also claiming that, even so, psychology has a solid
>empirical basis.

Somehow I don't see my saying "psychology uses empirical experimentation and has a fair amount of empirical data" (my exact words above) as being the same thing as "claiming psychology has a solid empirical basis" (your accusation above), at least not in the way that you are obviously taking my meaning.

But I do see where you are starting to lose my meaning. I see now where we are becoming divided on this. You are not understanding what I mean by "a solid empirical base".

I am saying that psychology's use of controlled empirical experimentation and the results obtained from that experimentation are something to start with. This is the solid base. But it needs a proper methodology when it comes to

analysing and measuring the results of its experiments. It does not have this.

>Now see below.

>>>Once again this is a most bizarre claim. Here's what I said
>>>about this in a post dated 22 April:

>>>"Here's why this is such a big deal: Operationalism is supposed
>>>to be a way of putting all your measurements and observations on
>>>a sound empirical basis without recourse to spooky metaphysical
>>>entities that can't be observed. So anything required by theory
>>>but which can't be observed - such as quarks - has to be linked
>>>to what can be observed by a rigorous process of logic.

>>>But if your supposedly "operational" measure is simply a proxy
>>>for something completely different which not only can't be
>>>measured or observed, but whose relationship to the proxy is
>>>impossible even to define, then the whole basis of your claim
>>>to empirical rigor is a mirage. Your supposedly objective
>>>measurements and observations are all hopelessly contaminated
>>>by arbitrary subjective considerations. You might as well be
>>>gazing into a crystal ball."

>>Except that I'm not disagreeing with what you have written.

>That's interesting. So you are claiming:

>1) That pretty much all modern psychology depends on
>operationalized measures;

Yes.

>2) That if these supposedly operational measures are really just
>proxy measures, then this fatally undermines the empirical basis
>for psychology. (This is my proposition above, which you now say
>you are "not disagreeing with".)

Yes.

>3) That even if every measure in psychology is really just a
>proxy measure, it is still the case that psychology has a solid
>empirical basis.

No. Whatever came out of these proxy measurements is suspect and
dubious. Garbage. This leads to "garbage in, garbage out," as
you initially pointed out, if further extrapolation is done.

Psychology is then back to square one. The solid base that it
has is its use of controlled empirical experimentation and the
results that were obtained during this type of experimentation.
This is somewhere to start from. But it needs a proper way of
analysing and measuring these results - using proxy notions of
things doesn't cut it.

>Eugene, just re-read those three statements and just see if you
>can understand why they are completely inconsistent. Do you now
>understand why I find your reasoning to be incoherent,
>disingenuous and self-contradictory?

I can see where you think my reasoning is incoherent,
disingenuous, and self-contradictory (at least in regards to
this argument over the solid empirical base, but not in regard
to my meaning of "operationalisms," dealt with earlier). If you
think that the solid empirical base I am talking about is the
proxy notions of things used by psychology, and the assumptions
that are based on these, then you certainly will have to come to
these conclusions. But if you recognize that I am referring to
one of psychology's methodologies - controlled empirical
experimentation - and the results obtained from such
experimentation (the raw data), before analysis and proxy
notions of measurement are applied, then you won't come to these
conclusions.

That's been the course of this discussion since you got
involved. You make assumptions about what you think I am saying
and what you think I am arguing against. Then you make
accusations based on these assumptions and we waste ninety
percent of our time and discourse trying to get back to what was
really being said. When I explain, rather than just say I
misunderstood you, you make further accusations that I am

changing what I initially said - when the only thing that is changing is your understanding of my intent and of my meaning.

Of course, it is an effective strategy to derail the discussion away from the important points under consideration if you don't have any legs to stand on, by making it look like the person you are discussing these things with is arguing something he isn't and is changing his position all the time. But we all know what sophism smells like.

That's why I said to William that this thread is a huge bruhaha instead of a fairly high level discussion.

>>My
>>words above were written in regard to the use of the words
>>"modern psychology" which, it is my understanding, are referring
>>to the doctrine of Cognitivism.

>Ah, I take it you are now going to claim that only Cognitivism
>depends on operationalized measures. Well that is simply false.

No, I am simply making a distinction between Cognitivism, and what comes from Cognitivism, from what is not Cognitivism, so that what is good in psychology is not tarred with the same brush. But I am not surprised that you would see my motives as you have interpreted them above - or, at least, represent this as your interpretation.

>>>>Since the 1990's, post-cognitivist
>>>>ideas such as 'embodied cognition', 'embodied embedded
>>>>cognition', 'situated cognition', 'ecological psychology',
>>>>are starting to take psychology past Cognitivism.

>>>No, they don't. They are all entirely dependent on Cognitivist
>>>etc. assumptions.

>>The above listed are post-cognitivist propositions. Post-
>>cognitivist psychology is that which goes beyond or opposes the
>>ideas of Cognitivism.

>"Ecological Psychology" is Gibson's theory from which his ideas
>on perception were derived, so that example doesn't count. (I'd
>asked you for examples other than Gibson, if you remember).

>The others are all Gibsonian-inspired; but Cognitivist
>assumptions are so deeply embedded in modern psychology that
>even these have evolved to become merely extensions of cognitive
>psychology. The Cognitivist practice of trying to explain any
>experimental result by invoking a computational module to
>produce it, is endemic. Sometimes it acquires a character of the
>manifestly absurd - one computational model had additional
>modules bolted on purely to increase the error rate.

Exactly. That is why I initially said post-cognitivism is
starting to take psychology past Cognitivism. And why I said
it has not gone far enough. Go back and re-read my posts and you
will see that I said this. Let's nip it in the bud.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Cathy Reason** <Cathym.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 13:37:16 +0100
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 06:33:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:33:57 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

<snip>

>>That's interesting. So you are claiming:

>>1) That pretty much all modern psychology depends on
>>operationalized measures;

>Yes.

>>2) That if these supposedly operational measures are really just
>>proxy measures, then this fatally undermines the empirical basis
>>for psychology. (This is my proposition above, which you now say
>>you are "not disagreeing with".)

>Yes.

>>3) That even if every measure in psychology is really just a
>>proxy measure, it is still the case that psychology has a solid
>>empirical basis.

>No. Whatever came out of these proxy measurements is suspect and
>dubious. Garbage. This leads to "garbage in, garbage out," as
>you initially pointed out, if further extrapolation is done.

>Psychology is then back to square one. The solid base that it
>has is its use of controlled empirical experimentation and the
>results that were obtained during this type of experimentation.
>This is somewhere to start from.

Except you have just admitted above that the empirical basis for
psychology is fatally undermined.

So now you are claiming that psychology "has a solid base of
controlled empirical experimentation" despite its empirical
basis being fatally undermined. That's a pretty impressive
piece of doublethink.

>But it needs a proper way of
>analysing and measuring these results - using proxy notions of
>things doesn't cut it.

Eugene, you are a testament to the power of a social sciences
education to befuddle the mind. This statement above is
completely meaningless - results are the product of measurement,
not the other way round. It's quite obvious you have no idea
what operationalism really means - which is something you have
in common with about 95% of social science graduates.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 14:36:30 +0100
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 06:36:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:33:57 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

<snip>

>Let's back up here a bit. It was you who brought up the fact
>that I was using a confusing term and said it was a word which I
>appeared to have invented. I conceded that this was true. The
>word being referred to here is "operationalisms". As you pointed
>out, there is no such term.

<snip>

>When I wrote that post which you are quoting, I was fishing in
>my head for the proper word "operationalization" but couldn't
>remember it. I came up with "operationalisms" instead - an
>invented term, as you yourself pointed out - to convey "an
>operationalized measure."

Sorry, Eugene, but your career as a neologist lies in tatters:

<http://tinyurl.com/btdr6lr>

Gerald O'Connell
<http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 17:18:05 +0100
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 07:12:54 -0400
Subject: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

Hello List,

Was just watching a recap of the Belgian UFO Wave:

UFO - Secret Access on the Record

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xTPFF-ghqf8>

(from about 59 mins)

Towards the end of the coverage General Wilfried De Brouwer said that of several thousand reports a total of 650 were investigated and of those, 500 remained unexplained.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 20:25:06 +0000
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 07:17:24 -0400
Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>From: post.nul
>To: UFO-updates-list
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/cbholsl>

>Sunday, May 06, 2012

>The Roswell Investigation And The Skeptics

><snip>

>I have seen, over the last several months, nothing but criticism
>from the world of the skeptic about our efforts to assemble a
>team to investigate the Roswell claims. We have published little
>about our investigation, other than to announce the members of
>the team, and the skeptics and debunkers have found nothing to
>like about it. They are convinced that all we will do is
>reinforce the ideas that have already been published. That is,
>we'll endorse the extraterrestrial and that is it.

><snip>

I just tried communicating with Don Schmitt and making an appeal to rethink out of the box that members of the team created for themselves. All I got in response was a very defensive comment that I was slinging arrows and discounting all the witness testimony. No, what I was calling for was a coming together of interested members of the UFO community. In essence creating a "juried panel of peers" to make suggestions to help the team refine their approach. Just as would be done in an academic setting. A setting Kevin has suggested should be done in a chapter in one of his single author books.

After all, isn't everyone wanting a true to the core solution to the problem and would be willing to support a group or team willing to revisit and re-examine the data, reread the any or find more supporting documents. The approach of laying all the different scenarios out on the table, as a group together not playing we're the team and you're not. Discounting those scenarios that are not supported. Then, anything left, in the words of Sherlock Holmes, author Conan Doyle's character who was based on Dr. Bell - probably the first forensic pathologist in history: when all probable solutions are discounted and only the most improbable supported by the facts is the solution.

(Oh, go ahead correct the quote. I am paraphrasing.)

It looks like the UFO problem will not be solved because the people claiming to be interested in solving it are too invested in their ego stake in the game. One can't behave that way when a new field of research wants to be accepted by the academics. Later on, once the field is accepted into the academic world, then participants can play the ego game.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 20:41:18 +0000
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 07:20:03 -0400
Subject: Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

>From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:58:12 +0100
>Subject: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

>Finally, the alien abduction mystery is solved!

>-----

>Source: HuffPo

><http://tinyurl.com/88hxfwm>

>05/08/2012

>'Alien Abduction' Research Suggests Episodes Are Actually Lucid
>Dreams
>By: Natalie Wolchover

Nowhere in the article are the actual studies or data cited. As with most reporters, they are lazy and just looking to write a story as fast as possible. Please, the entire abduction scenario started with comics of the 40s and 50s? I cite just one of the assumptions of the author of the article. "Recent experiment"? What recent experiment? Done where? By whom? Geez, somebody actually hired this person as a reporter? Why? As a mouth piece for the establishment? A phrase we used to use in the advocacy movement.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 08:37:03 +0100
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 07:21:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 20:20:11 +0000
>Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 06:50:11 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Review - The Conspiracy Reader

><snip>
>>What can happen is that intermittently falling asleep while
>>reading can produce a short 'hypnagogic' dream (which is more
>>of an hallucination), of a radically different text - sometimes
>>with strange meanings.
>>Thing is, you might be 'certain' that the dreamed text was what
>>you actually read - but it wasn't.
>><http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypnagogia>

>Ray:

>As usual, I think you are right on the money. It is because I
>have been reading and fell asleep. In the dream state, I sat at
>a computer reading and typing messages. It took an objective
>stepping back from the incident to separate the dream "reality"
>from the conscious reality.

>And, after listening to a discussion of the "new consciousness",
>I have to wonder just how much of what we claim to be reality is
>really real. If you know what I mean.

Hi Kathy, glad you confirmed that. Have read myself to sleep for
years and noticed the effect a long time before hearing of
'hypnagogia'; so it seems the act of reading pre-disposes the
mind to create a text-based scenario within a lucid dream.

Following some of those Wiki links it seems some folk go a stage
further; investing some significance in lucid dream content and
even asking for 'messages'. From where or what I'm not sure.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 07:24:34 -0400
Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our

www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

[Quotation Begins]

Emotion Can Shut Down High-Level Mental Processes Without Our Knowledge, in Our Native Language

ScienceDaily (May 8, 2012) - Psychologists at Bangor University believe that they have glimpsed for the first time, a process that takes place deep within our unconscious brain, where primal reactions interact with higher mental processes. Writing in the Journal of Neuroscience, they identify a reaction to negative language inputs which shuts down unconscious processing.

[more at page]

So why would the brain block access to the native language at an unconscious level?

Professor Guillaume Thierry explains: "We think this is a protective mechanism. We know that in trauma for example, people behave very differently. Surface conscious processes are modulated by a deeper emotional system in the brain. Perhaps this brain mechanism spontaneously minimises negative impact of disturbing emotional content on our thinking, to prevent causing anxiety or mental discomfort."

He continues: "We were extremely surprised by our finding. We were expecting to find modulation between the different words - and perhaps a heightened reaction to the emotional word - but what we found was the exact opposite to what we expected - a cancellation of the response to the negative words."

The psychologists made this discovery by asking English-speaking Chinese people whether word pairs were related in meaning. Some of the word pairs were related in their Chinese translations. Although not consciously acknowledging a relation, measurements of electrical activity in the brain revealed that the bilingual participants were unconsciously translating the words. However, uncannily, this activity was not observed when the English words had a negative meaning.

[Quotation Ends]

Hello List,

I think the opposite happens with visual 'object recognition' - for obvious survival reasons.

Maybe already mentioned that, back in northern Europe after some years in the tropics, was still 'seeing' mosquitos - perfect in every detail - out of the corner of my eye when in fact the offenders were probably gnats or small flies. In addition have also occasionally 'seen' a resting snake (for a half-coiled rope or cable), which I understand is fairly common among ex-pats.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:18:56 -0300
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 14:04:53 -0400
Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 20:25:06 +0000
>Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>>From: post.nul
>>To: UFO-updates-list
>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

>><http://tinyurl.com/cbholsl>

>>Sunday, May 06, 2012

>>The Roswell Investigation And The Skeptics

>><snip>

>>I have seen, over the last several months, nothing but criticism
>>from the world of the skeptic about our efforts to assemble a
>>team to investigate the Roswell claims. We have published little
>>about our investigation, other than to announce the members of
>>the team, and the skeptics and debunkers have found nothing to
>>like about it. They are convinced that all we will do is
>>reinforce the ideas that have already been published. That is,
>>we'll endorse the extraterrestrial and that is it.

>><snip>

>I just tried communicating with Don Schmitt and making an appeal
>to rethink out of the box that members of the team created for
>themselves. All I got in response was a very defensive comment
>that I was slinging arrows and discounting all the witness
>testimony. No, what I was calling for was a coming together of
>interested members of the UFO community. In essence creating a
>"juried panel of peers" to make suggestions to help the team
>refine their approach. Just as would be done in an academic
>setting. A setting Kevin has suggested should be done in a
>chapter in one of his single author books.

>After all, isn't everyone wanting a true to the core solution to
>the problem and would be willing to support a group or team
>willing to revisit and re-examine the data, reread the any or
>find more supporting documents. The approach of laying all the
>different scenarios out on the table, as a group together not
>playing we're the team and you're not. Discounting those
>scenarios that are not supported. Then, anything left, in the
>words of Sherlock Holmes, author Conan Doyle's character who was
>based on Dr. Bell - probably the first forensic pathologist in
>history: when all probable solutions are discounted and only the
>most improbable supported by the facts is the solution.

>(Oh, go ahead correct the quote. I am paraphrasing.)

>It looks like the UFO problem will not be solved because the
>people claiming to be interested in solving it are too invested
>in their ego stake in the game. One can't behave that way when a

>new field of research wants to be accepted by the academics.
>Later on, once the field is accepted into the academic world,
>then participants can play the ego game.

Seems to me, as an interested by-stander, that the biggest ego in the room is your own, KK. You bring nothing to the table as far as investigative skills go; your knowledge of the field is extremely limited and at best askew; yet you constantly criticize those that do have skills and have spent years looking into a case.

Until you actually have something intelligent to add why not sit back - as a first class amateur - and enjoy the fruits of other's labours rather than expecting others to do your work for you?

If not then please retreat to whatever place you live in to deal with the demons that you have alluded to in the past and that are haunting you.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 14:07:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

>[Quotation Begins]

>Emotion Can Shut Down High-Level Mental Processes Without Our
>Knowledge, in Our Native Language

>ScienceDaily (May 8, 2012) - Psychologists at Bangor University
>believe that they have glimpsed for the first time, a process
>that takes place deep within our unconscious brain, where primal
>reactions interact with higher mental processes. Writing in the
>Journal of Neuroscience, they identify a reaction to negative
>language inputs which shuts down unconscious processing.

>[more at page]

>So why would the brain block access to the native language at an
>unconscious level?

>Professor Guillaume Thierry explains: "We think this is a
>protective mechanism. We know that in trauma for example, people
>behave very differently. Surface conscious processes are
>modulated by a deeper emotional system in the brain. Perhaps
>this brain mechanism spontaneously minimises negative impact of
>disturbing emotional content on our thinking, to prevent causing
>anxiety or mental discomfort."

>He continues: "We were extremely surprised by our finding. We
>were expecting to find modulation between the different words-
>and perhaps a heightened reaction to the emotional word - but
>what we found was the exact opposite to what we expected - a
>cancellation of the response to the negative words."

>The psychologists made this discovery by asking English-speaking
>Chinese people whether word pairs were related in meaning. Some
>of the word pairs were related in their Chinese translations.
>Although not consciously acknowledging a relation, measurements
>of electrical activity in the brain revealed that the bilingual
>participants were unconsciously translating the words. However,
>uncannily, this activity was not observed when the English words
>had a negative meaning.

>[Quotation Ends]

>Hello List,

>I think the opposite happens with visual `object recognition' -
>for obvious survival reasons.

>Maybe already mentioned that, back in northern Europe after some
>years in the tropics, was still 'seeing' mosquitos - perfect in
>every detail - out of the corner of my eye when in fact the
>offenders were probably gnats or small flies. In addition have
>also occasionally `seen' a resting snake (for a half-coiled rope

>or cable), which I understand is fairly common among ex-pats.

Fascinating stuff, Ray. You on the right List? Ropes for snakes, gnats for mosquitos perfect in detail as seen from the corner of the eye. Are the rest of us - except KK - supposed to read something into these revelations? If so you've never been in the field have you?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 12:28:10 -0400
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 14:09:18 -0400
Subject: Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

>From: Kathy Kasten<catraja.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 20:41:18 +0000
>Subject: Re: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

>>From: Dave Haith<visions1.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:58:12 +0100
>>Subject: "Alien Abductions Are Actually Lucid Dreams"

>>Finally, the alien abduction mystery is solved!

>>-----

>>Source: HuffPo

>><http://tinyurl.com/88hxfwm>

>>05/08/2012

>>'Alien Abduction' Research Suggests Episodes Are Actually Lucid
>>Dreams
>>By: Natalie Wolchover

>Nowhere in the article are the actual studies or data cited. As
>with most reporters, they are lazy and just looking to write a
>story as fast as possible. Please, the entire abduction scenario
>started with comics of the 40s and 50s? I cite just one of the
>assumptions of the author of the article. "Recent experiment"?
>What recent experiment? Done where? By whom? Geez, somebody
>actually hired this person as a reporter? Why? As a mouth piece
>for the establishment? A phrase we used to use in the advocacy
>movement.

From what people say about abduction and lucid dream experiences, there appears to be at least one major inconsistency between them. The lucid dream, by definition, is one in which the dreamer is in control of the dream. This is definitely not the impression given in abductee reports. The experiencers are very much not in control, and that is what scares them most.

Graham Hancock, in his book *Supernatural*, describes seeing an alien being somewhat like the stereotypical gray while in a drug-induced shamanic trance. There was also a metallic saucer-shaped craft in the area. He says he felt somewhat fearful and was able to leave the scene. This experience might also correspond to the abduction experience if it were not for his ability to change it. However, his apprehension suggests that he feared losing volition, so it may have developed into the abduction scenario if he had let it.

Wolchover's article seems to trivialize the abduction experience by suggesting it is equivalent to the harmless and often enjoyable lucid dream. The problem is that lucid dreaming is not well understood either, so she explains one mysterious phenomenon with another.

In both cases, though, one could argue that universal archetypes

are encountered. An archetype is thought to be a transpersonal framework which is colored by personal history, and that explains why some details could be influenced by social stereotypes and past experiences. But the origin of the archetype is still a mystery.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: SunLite on Exeter (NH, Sept 1965)

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 17:34:37 +0100
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 14:10:42 -0400
Subject: Re: SunLite on Exeter (NH, Sept 1965)

Tim Printy has kindly updated the current edition of SunLite

http://home.comcast.net/~tprinty/UFO/SUNlite4_3.pdf

with following note:

"In SUNlite 4-1, I mentioned an article by James McGaha and Joe Nickell, which indicated they had the solution to the Exeter UFO case. The article is now on line for reading. Martin Shough provided a rebuttal of this explanation on UFO UpDates. Shough makes a reasonable argument why the McGaha/Nickell explanation is inadequate."

containing a link to my PDF rebuttal of the claim at:

<http://www.martinshough.com/aerialphenomena/index.htm>

(also on the NICAP directory)

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

To All The Girls I've Loved Before

From: **Kay Wilson** <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:42:25 -0500
Archived: Thu, 10 May 2012 14:11:48 -0400
Subject: To All The Girls I've Loved Before

My new blog for May has been published:

"To All The Girls I've Loved Before"

<http://tinyurl.com/83n2ovb>

For those of you who are interested in more of What's New at The Alien Jigsaw:

http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats New/Whats_new.html

Thanks for taking a look -

Kay Wilson
Puzzle Publishing

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
Archived: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
Subject: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

<http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

Tuesday, May 8, 2012

Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told
Update from the Center for UFO Truth (CUT)

Winston Churchill=92s famous quote that =93in wartime, truth is so precious that she should always be attended by a bodyguard of lies=94 rings as true today in modern warfare as it did back during those precarious WW2 years. But it was the turbulent times of peace that came right after the Great War, which found the West scrambling to avoid yet another world wide armed confrontation, this time because of the rising menace of the Soviet Union. With millions of war dead fresh in the collective consciousness of the conflict weary United States and its allies, these nations contemplated their new found peace and wondered how long it could be maintained.

It was during these nail biting times of extreme anxiety over the Soviet Union=92s next move, that intrepid American men took into their own hands the fate of the nation. Drawing upon their considerable success, in partnership with the British, in deceiving the Axis powers during the war as well as their amazing feats of code breaking that turned the tide of battle both in Europe and in the Pacific, a secret cabal of concerned patriots came together with the singular purpose of using their well-honed skills against this new enemy of freedom.

With the Venona Project decodes drying up after Soviet changes, predicting the enemy=92s actions without reading their message traffic only exacerbated the growing feelings of the dread that a new war was right around the corner. But to break the Soviet code required either access to the cryptographic material or the computational power of modern day code breaking computers =96 the latter did not yet exist and the former was carefully guarded.

So an amazing plan was hatched =96 to employ a successful technique used during WW2, called =93gardening=94 =96 which during the War involved the placement of false news stories that the Allies knew the Axis would be interested in, wait for the news stories to be encoded and transmitted by Axis agents, and after intercepting the coded transmissions, the Allies could then work out the code system; already having the known plain text in hand.

But what could possibly arouse the interest of the Soviet Union in American Press articles during a time of peace? Enter the Big Lie =96 the creation of the modern day UFO myth.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:59:55 -0500
Archived: Fri, 11 May 2012 06:04:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 14:36:30 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:33:57 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>Let's back up here a bit. It was you who brought up the fact
>>that I was using a confusing term and said it was a word which I
>>appeared to have invented. I conceded that this was true. The
>>word being referred to here is "operationalisms". As you pointed
>>out, there is no such term.

>>When I wrote that post which you are quoting, I was fishing in
>>my head for the proper word "operationalization" but couldn't
>>remember it. I came up with "operationalisms" instead - an
>>invented term, as you yourself pointed out - to convey "an
>>operationalized measure."

>Sorry, Eugene, but your career as a neologist lies in tatters:

Sorry, Gerald, but it was no more than a case of me trying to remember what the word for 'an operationalized measure' (operationalization) was, and coming up with (unintentionally inventing) the word 'operationalisms' to label it. And then making an attempt to explain to the list that I was using the word in this context, not in the context of its referring to the actual doctrine of Operationalism.

I accepted Cathy's pronouncement that it was a word I seem to have invented. Never bothered to check and see if it actually is a word, simply accepted her pronouncement. That it is used somewhere else in the same context I used it (as per your url) is testimony to nothing else other than I probably heard or read it used this way before, which is maybe why I came up with this word in the first place when trying to remember.

Doesn't take much logic to understand that scenario - "neo" or otherwise.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 19:46:58 +0100
Archived: Fri, 11 May 2012 06:05:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without
>OurKnowledge"

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our
>>Knowledge"

>>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

<snip>
>Fascinating stuff, Ray. You on the right List? Ropes for snakes,
>gnats for mosquitos perfect in detail as seen from the corner of
>the eye. Are the rest of us - except KK - supposed to read
>something into these revelations? If so you've never been in the
>field have you?

Hello Don,

The accumulating evidence says that we cannot trust our brains:
they lie to us by ignoring 'painful' words (when that can't
endanger us) and will lie again by creating non-existent shapes
(i.e snakes - when it might be advantageous).

Seems to me that should be considered as important by anyone
investigating witness perceptions of phenomena, especially high
strangeness phenomena.

Even if you happen to possess the one brain on the planet which
is unaffected by such influences. [Or thinks it is.]

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 15:40:19 -0400
Archived: Fri, 11 May 2012 06:09:15 -0400
Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 20:25:06 +0000
>Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>>From: post.nul
>>To: UFO-updates-list
>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

<snip>

>It looks like the UFO problem will not be solved because the
>people claiming to be interested in solving it are too invested
>in their ego stake in the game. One can't behave that way when a
>new field of research wants to be accepted by the academics.
>Later on, once the field is accepted into the academic world,
>then participants can play the ego game.

<snip>

Hello KK and List,

I always find funny that the burden of one of the greatest
mysteries of humanity has to rest on the fragile shoulders of
ufologists.

The Roswell case is a perfect example: the reason why it has not
been solved should be the fault of Randle, Schmitt, Carey,
Friedman?

I think not.

Great investigators have spent a tremendous amount of energy to
bring forward questions about Roswell only to be ignored by a
bunch of hoodlums who think people a imbeciles.

And, to a certain point, people are imbeciles, at least the
ones who believe in the unfitting - if impossible - Mogul theory
and the time-traveling shrinking 6 foot tall dummies.

As I have said in another post, I do not think any further truth
about Roswell will change the official stand.

Ignorance has always had more followers than truth-seekers.

Check your History.

Randle raises a interesting point about the knee-jerk reactions
of the skeptics concerning new evidence. The skeptics themselves
know very well that nothing mundane happened in Roswell.

But who's going to put up the hard work and the efforts?

The skeptics?

Of course not: they never bring sweat to the debate, only spit.

Vincent Boudreau

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 20:17:51 +0000
Archived: Fri, 11 May 2012 06:13:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

>>[Quotation Begins]

>>Emotion Can Shut Down High-Level Mental Processes Without Our
>>Knowledge, in Our Native Language

>>ScienceDaily (May 8, 2012) - Psychologists at Bangor University
>>believe that they have glimpsed for the first time, a process
>>that takes place deep within our unconscious brain, where primal
>>reactions interact with higher mental processes. Writing in the
>>Journal of Neuroscience, they identify a reaction to negative
>>language inputs which shuts down unconscious processing.

>>[more at page]

<snip>

>Fascinating stuff, Ray. You on the right List? Ropes for snakes,
>gnats for mosquitos perfect in detail as seen from the corner of
>the eye. Are the rest of us - except KK - supposed to read
>something into these revelations? If so you've never been in the
>field have you?

Yes, Don, every one should become aware of the development in
cognitive processes. Especially, ufo researchers who rely only
on "witness" reports. The human is - so far - the only recording
device involved in witness reports; that includes the
interpretation of photos of distant objects claimed by the
witness to be of ET origin. It could be argued that the
subconscious mind is just filling in the gaps in witness'
observation. How the hell would somebody be able to identify an
object in the distant and know what it was. I just watched some
space debris fall out of the sky. It happened in microseconds.
Not much of observation time, but because on my background in
astronomy, my mind filled in the details. Was that an accurate
determination? Don't know. I went to my astronomy group looking
for verification.

Yeah, sure, I can understand the resistance to the results of
new research into cognitive processes. We older adults became
used to thinking that what we saw was in fact the reality of the
situation. Now, we find out there are other factors involved in
coloring our 'reality'.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Space Debris Falls Out Of The Sky?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 20:27:03 +0000
Archived: Fri, 11 May 2012 06:15:20 -0400
Subject: Space Debris Falls Out Of The Sky?

Date: May 8, 2012
Time: 11:28 PM

Chart Degrees: Point of origin the ecliptic equator and 18h,
falling in a straight line through the constellation Scorpius.

Event: Possible space debris falling out of the sky. It did not
have the characteristics of a comet or asteroid. It looked like
a chemical/metal burn with a smoke trail down to my horizon. It
was difficult to tell the distance from my vantage point. I was
hoping that the debris fell into the Caribbean or Gulf of
Cortez.

There must be a website sponsored by NASA the provides
information on this type of event.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Re: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 09:50:26 +0100
Archived: Fri, 11 May 2012 06:26:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 7 May 2012 23:53:53 +0100
>Subject: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

<snip>

>I've obtained a copy of the relatively large and interesting
>archive of posts to that discussion forum (with thanks to
>"Daniel" of that forum) and spent quite a bit of time during the
>last week converting those posts to PDF format to make them
>easier to search and reducing the file size of the archive.

<snip>

I've now uploaded the archive of posts to the Rendlesham-
Incident forum at the links below.

For ease of searching, I have spent quite a bit of time in the
last couple of weeks converting that html archive into PDF
documents. That PDF archive is at:

<http://minus.com/m8589XMg0/>

Each page of posts is a separate PDF file, so the PDF archive is
largely unstructured (unless you instruct windows to add a
column containing the "title", which then lets you sort by the
title of each thread in Windows) - but I have still been finding
the PDF archive very useful to be able to quickly search the
collection of PDF pages using the free software outlined at the
link below:

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread762746/pg1>

Incidentally, the initial conversion of the archive to PDF
documents was over 2GB, but I found that it contained a heck of
a lot of duplication. Eliminating that duplication (which has
involved a lot more time and effort than I had hoped...) has
reduced the size of the zipped collection of PDFs to under 50Mb!

I have also uploaded the original html archive supplied to me by
"Daniel" to the link below (with a file size of about 0.4Gb):

<http://minus.com/msISVG6R9/>

I hope that sharing this archive helps reduce the amount of
reinvention of the wheel that tends to occur within ufology
generally and discussions of the Rendlesham Forest incident in
particular.

All the best,

Isaac

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 08:49:26 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 08:39:50 -0400
Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 19:18:47 +0000
>Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
>>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>>From: post.nul
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

>><http://tinyurl.com/cbholsl>

>>Sunday, May 06, 2012

>>The Roswell Investigation And The Skeptics

>>I have seen, over the last several months, nothing but criticism
>>from the world of the skeptic about our efforts to assemble a
>>team to investigate the Roswell claims. We have published little
>>about our investigation, other than to announce the members of
>>the team, and the skeptics and debunkers have found nothing to
>>like about it. They are convinced that all we will do is
>>reinforce the ideas that have already been published. That is,
>>we'll endorse the extraterrestrial and that is it.

>>In fact, I believe that the only answer they will accept is that
>>Roswell was something mundane, most probably a weather balloon
>>array launched from Alamogordo on June 4, 1947. If we determine
>>anything else, regardless of what evidence we might uncover, it
>>will be rejected as more of the same. They all know that there
>>has been no alien visitation and therefore anything that
>>suggests otherwise is the result of poor technique and
>>investigation on our part.

>>Any eyewitness testimony that suggests otherwise is the result
>>of poor memory, confabulation or outright lying. Nothing these
>>people say will be believed, unless they provide testimony that
>>what fell was something mundane, most probably a weather balloon
>>array launched from Alamogordo on June 4, 1947.

>>If, however, the eyewitness testimony reinforces the balloon
>>explanation, then those memories are accurate and reflect
>>reality. It doesn't matter if those memories are can be proven
>>>false with documentation, they must be believed because they
>>lead directly to the accepted explanation.

>>As I say, all this is ridiculous because we haven't completed
>>our work. We have developed some interesting leads, but the
>>skeptics are already rejecting our research without seeing any
>>of it.

>Kevin:

>I find it just as disingenuous to defend a research solution you
>have not formulated. How would you know the outcome of research
>you have not started, discussed with your team or planned the

>new approach to solving a problem.

>Usually, a researcher in the academic world would make the
>proposal to the juried panel before starting the research. Then,
>wait for the approval before going ahead with the research.

>If you are looking for approval from your peers - for all
>practical purposes, members of this list, please present your
>proposed outline of the research you intend to pursue.

>The information in your blog seems to be a defense without
>outlining an approach. I think you know better.

Kathy -

Once again I have to question your reading comprehension. All I was saying was that some skeptics have attacked our research without having seen anything about it. We have published (and by we, I mean me) on my blog a couple of minor points, just to make a point. It is premature to reject our work because you don't agree with us... but how do you know you don't agree? Sure, you can say you don't agree with what we have said in the past, but it would be more enlightened to wait to see what we say after we complete our work.

I'm not looking for approval here. I just asking to wait to see what we say. You can't review a book without reading it... you can't review a movie without seeing it. There are those who will review anything based on their personal bias or what they have heard, but my point is that such criticism is unfair.

Wait until we have finished before you criticize our conclusions (which is not to say you personally, but those out there who are posting and blogging about all the things we are doing wrong).

And just what academic world do you suggest we work in?... Seems to me that academia has passed judgement on the topic and, for the most part, is unwilling to look at any evidence. We talked to a number of our peers inside the UFO community about what we wanted to do and have proceeded from there...

Kevin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 08:47:22 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 08:44:02 -0400
Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 15:33:54 -0400
>Subject: Re: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>>From: post.nul
>>To: ufo-updates-list
>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 03:34:26 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Roswell Investigation & The Skeptics

>>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

>><http://tinyurl.com/cbholsl>

>>Sunday, May 06, 2012

>>The Roswell Investigation And The Skeptics

<snip>

>>I have seen, over the last several months, nothing but criticism
>>from the world of the skeptic about our efforts to assemble a
>>team to investigate the Roswell claims. We have published little
>>about our investigation, other than to announce the members of
>>the team, and the skeptics and debunkers have found nothing to
>>like about it. They are convinced that all we will do is
>>reinforce the ideas that have already been published. That is,
>>we'll endorse the extraterrestrial and that is it.

<snip>

>Hello Kevin and all,

Vincent, List and all -

>I would like to raise two points in this business of a Dream
>Team putting a final note of the Roswell case.

Please note that in my blog posting, I said team rather than
"dream team". I will also note that our hope is to answer
some of the questions that have gone unanswered and provide
new evidence that might lead us to the proper arena. We hope
that the quality of the evidence that we develop will make it
possible for those who truly wish an answer, but not a specific
to their belief structure answer, to come to a solid conclusion.

>I wonder if I am the only one feeling that the "Dream Team"
>concept has a bad ring to it.

>First: if you're not part of it, and you have studied Roswell,
>it says so much about you and your research.

I think you might be reading too much into this. The team was
assembled based on our contact with one another over the years.
You might note that David Rudiak and I have disagreed on some
aspects of the Ramey memo, but we hope that by studying it
together, along with some other contributors, we might resolve
some of those lingering questions.

>Secondly, if you're part of it, it says so much about your
>chest-beating habits.

You know, this is the one aspect that bothered me as well... I embraced the term but wondered how others might feel about it. Vincent, you have answered that question... which is why I have tried to refer to it as a team rather than a dream team.

>I mean, this is not Basketball, and I see no Michael Jordan in
>the room.

But the concept of a dream team can be expanded beyond just basketball. No really a fair criticism, but I do get it.

>So, to place someone on this "Dream Team" sounds more like
>anointment than appointment, and I think we have had quite
>enough of that in ufology.

It's not really an anointment, but a question of selecting some of those whose work as been valuable to us in the past, which is not to say that other's work isn't equally valuable. It is really about who we had been in contact with and who had made some valid points about the investigation... and who could get together to meet in a face to face way.

>Third, placing yourself on what you call yourself a "Dream
>Team", well, not only sounds bad but tastes bad.

Yeah, I get that... but then, it was put together on the basis of who we thought would be valuable to us in a limited working environment. It was not meant to suggest that other work wasn't equally valuable. Take Vic Golubic who worked the missing nurse angle. That work was and is quite valuable and it was an avenue that he wished to follow to its conclusion. He might be a valuable member of the team with respect to the nurse but not so much on the Ramey memo. It really just boiled down to who was doing what at this time. But I do get your criticism.

>All said with due respect.

>Now, let's address the Roswell thing.

>I have just finished "Witness to Roswell" by Carey and Schmitt.

>The work may lack a bit in structure and is not always coherent,
>but it gets this across: something happened in Roswell.

I believe that we all agree, here on this List, the skeptics, the debunkers, all, that something fell at Roswell (or to be more precise, near Roswell) but the question remains, what was it? We hope to be able to clarify that.

>65 years and 600+ witnesses later, it has all been shrugged off
>by the powers that be: do you think another Marcel, another Haut
>or another Exxon will change anything?

Nope... the eyewitness testimony can only take us so far... In fact, we have found some additional witnesses... mainly those who weren't directly involved but who can provide us with a clear vision of the activities on the base. We hope to be able to track down some of the documentation and we hope to eliminate some of the suggested answers to the case (and I know what the skeptics are thinking here... We wish to eliminate the alternative to aliens, but I hope to eliminate the wrong answers and provide a well thought out, well investigated answer that will satisfy the majority, realizing that no matter what we learn and what we publish is going to offend, annoy, irritate or disappoint someone.

>The Air Force official explanations (Mogul and crashed dummies)
>prove they don't care, and never will care, about the truth, no
>matter what.

Actually, I would disagree here because if the Air Force didn't care, they would have ignored us. That they attempted to produce a document to answer the questions that we all have raised, shows that we had gotten under their skin. We had a nice opportunity, but we let it slip away. I'm hoping that be reviewing some of that, we'll be able to learn a little more about them.

>Any further work on Roswell can only serve to enlighten
>interested citizens.

>Nothing more, I am afraid.

But this is a criticism that can be leveled at nearly all such endeavors. A new book on Jack the Ripper was just announced today... such a work can only serve to enlighten interested citizens... There are many books on the sinking of Titanic... and such a work can only serve... well you see what I'm saying.

Once again, we are following some interesting avenues... I don't believe anyone tried to find the NOTAMs... we failed, but only because they no longer exist, so we answered that one, and now really unimportant, question. But then, more information can only expand our knowledge and lead us to a consensus.

Kevin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 07:56:36 -0500
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 08:51:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told
>Update from the Center for UFO Truth (CUT)

<snip>

>But what could possibly arouse the interest of the Soviet Union
>in American Press articles during a time of peace? Enter the Big
>Lie -- the creation of the modern day UFO myth.

>[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

So it's all a giant conspiracy.

But then, isn't it always?

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 14:42:23 +0100
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 08:53:50 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 20:17:51 +0000
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>>>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

<snip>

>Yeah, sure, I can understand the resistance to the results of
>new research into cognitive processes. We older adults became
>used to thinking that what we saw was in fact the reality of the
>situation. Now, we find out there are other factors involved in
>coloring our 'reality'.

Right - here's a well known example:

A witness says "I was looking at the (thing in the center of the
room) when, out of the corner of my eye I saw something small
and dark move very fast across that wall. When I turned my head
to look there was nothing there - it had gone".

The unaware investigator has to accept that because the witness
swears to the truth of it.

A more aware investigator asks "What lights were on, where were
you standing, and what else in the room might have moved at that
time?"

Why?

If a faint c. horizontal shadow is crossed by a faint c.
vertical shadow the peripheral vision `sees' a dark spot at the
crossing point. So if one of the two shadows is moved (say when
a door opens) the peripheral vision `sees' a fast-moving dark
"thing" (as the crossing point moves along the edge of the fixed
shadow). But a person looking directly at the wall probably
wouldn't even be consciously aware of the shadows.

All sorts of illusions including apparent `movements' can be
created by light and shadows - on larger scale those mountain
mirages or `ghosts of the Alps' for instance. The clue there is
that a specific time of day (angle of sunlight) and a specific
amount of light and mist are needed to create the phantom
figures.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Gildas Bourdais** <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 16:14:06 +0200
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 08:56:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told
>Update from the Center for UFO Truth (CUT)

<snip>

To the List

James Carrion has now joined openly the debunker's club of the Mirage Men.

You know, all these brilliant authors, going back to Donald Menzel and Philip Klass, joined more recently by people like Nick Redfern, of Body Snatchers fame, and of course Mark Pilkington and John Lundberg, with their splendid panorama of the Mirage Men. Now, with such luminous authors, perhaps the time has come to close the Ufo shop, and go fishing.

However, James Carrion does not seem to know that Roswell was not a trick of the Pentagon, but a joke of Stalin, helped by Dr Mengele!

BTW, I was sad to learn the end of IUR, which maintained during so many years a high standard of serious ufology. But I have been also a bit disappointed with the last article of Eddie Bullard, who did such an important work on abductions but has praised James Carrion at the wrong time, it seems to me, in his article Defending UFOs. And who is wrong as well, supposing that people just saw airplanes in Phoenix.

As Kent Jeffrey once said, before retiring, Ufology is still an uphill fight!

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 12:06:48 -0300
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 08:59:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 19:46:58 +0100
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without OurKnowledge"

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>>>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our
>>>Knowledge"

>>>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

><snip>

>>Fascinating stuff, Ray. You on the right List? Ropes for snakes,
>>gnats for mosquitos perfect in detail as seen from the corner of
>>the eye. Are the rest of us - except KK - supposed to read
>>something into these revelations? If so you've never been in the
>>field have you?

>Hello Don,

>The accumulating evidence says that we cannot trust our brains:
>they lie to us by ignoring 'painful' words (when that can't
>endanger us) and will lie again by creating non-existent shapes
>(i.e snakes - when it might be advantageous).

>Seems to me that should be considered as important by anyone
>investigating witness perceptions of phenomena, especially high
>strangeness phenomena.

>Even if you happen to possess the one brain on the planet which
>is unaffected by such influences. [Or thinks it is.]

I think you draw too long a bow, paint with too broad a brush.
That's my complaint with the psychological approach to the
phenomenon. Were we to believe your take on this as an
explanation for many reports then we'd have to treat any input
into the human brain as unreliable.

No more brain surgery, no more airliners flying, no more highway
systems flooded with tens of thousands of travellers in close
proximity. Houston would always have a problem, the police would
be shooting to kill because of misperception or the possibility
of same.

This psychological bent is a cop-out as I suggested to KK who
seems to have these issues with some kind of intervention in
her life that she wants explained but she doesn't want it to be
"alien" - better just plain psychological.

She did not reply to that BTW.

As for me, I have to rely on visual as well as sensory cues when I'm flying VFR. Any threats to that come during IFR flight due to the deprivation of visual clues, not because of them. Then the rule is to go visual of instruments which are unaffected by inner ear stimuli-though mechanical failure is not out of the question.

To throw thousands of years of experience out the window on some vague idea that you have of how we walk through everyday life without encountering these psychological roadblocks at every turn to satisfy some need to explain away personal problems with a non-science such as psychology is not only my take on it, but billions of others as well. I'm not the exception but the rule.

Those that buy into this theory have a personal axe to grind. To try and convince the rest of us that what they believe is indeed fact so as to deal with their personal demons (don't know about you, Ray) is a way for them to get some comfort when they get affirmation from others. But then what happens is that the baby gets thrown out with the bathwater over a few cases when there are in fact millions now.

That doesn't compute in my universe.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 12:31:40 -0300
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:02:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 20:17:51 +0000
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>>>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

>>>[Quotation Begins]

>>>Emotion Can Shut Down High-Level Mental Processes Without Our
>>>Knowledge, in Our Native Language

>>>ScienceDaily (May 8, 2012) - Psychologists at Bangor University
>>>believe that they have glimpsed for the first time, a process
>>>that takes place deep within our unconscious brain, where primal
>>>reactions interact with higher mental processes. Writing in the
>>>Journal of Neuroscience, they identify a reaction to negative
>>>language inputs which shuts down unconscious processing.

>>>[more at page]

><snip>

>>Fascinating stuff, Ray. You on the right List? Ropes for snakes,
>>gnats for mosquitos perfect in detail as seen from the corner of
>>the eye. Are the rest of us - except KK - supposed to read
>>something into these revelations? If so you've never been in the
>>field have you?

>Yes, Don, every one should become aware of the development in
>cognitive processes. Especially, ufo researchers who rely only
>on "witness" reports. The human is - so far - the only recording
>device involved in witness reports; that includes the
>interpretation of photos of distant objects claimed by the
>witness to be of ET origin. It could be argued that the
>subconscious mind is just filling in the gaps in witness'
>observation. How the hell would somebody be able to identify an
>object in the distant and know what it was. I just watched some
>space debris fall out of the sky. It happened in microseconds.
>Not much of observation time, but because on my background in
>astronomy, my mind filled in the details. Was that an accurate
>determination? Don't know. I went to my astronomy group looking
>for verification.

Yeah, sure, I can understand the resistance to the results of
new research into cognitive processes. We older adults became
used to thinking that what we saw was in fact the reality of the
situation. Now, we find out there are other factors involved in

coloring our 'reality'.

It's not resistance KK, the psychological interpretation is bilge but a safety net for those who have some issues with some other interference in their lives.

Not just witness but witnesses, sometimes many at a time. And you forgot radar trackings, transponder interference an TCAS triggerings; simultaneous air/ground confirmations of UFO reports. Try to get the idea out of your head that these are hit and and always at night.

You didn't respond to my theory that your willingness to accept soft non-science as a cope-out for your apparent personal interventions.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 10:59:40 -0500
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:05:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 19:46:58 +0100
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

<snip>

Ray, your experiences of still seeing the mosquitos and mistaking a coiled rope for a snake are not only common ones, but are also both supported and explained by extensive evidence.

It has often been said that we humans use only a fraction (something like one-tenth) of our brains. This has been used as a basis for asking the question, "What would our potential be if we used the other nine-tenths of our brains that we don't normally use?"

Well, the notion that we use only a small fraction of our brains is wrong. It is just that most of what our brains are doing is unconscious and we are not aware of it. This so-called larger fraction of the brain that isn't being used is in use, and guiding our behaviour, but we are not aware of this unconscious processing.

There are hundreds of experiments that testify to the importance of the unconscious in affecting our perceptions and behaviour, as well as ample convincing evidence that we perceive things unconsciously. That evidence goes back as far as the experiments of Boris Sidis in 1898, through the 1980s and 1990s and beyond, through work that has been conducted by psychologists such as Julian Janes, David Milner, Mel Goodale (at the University of Western Ontario), Phil Merikle (at the University of Waterloo), Robert Zazonc and William Kunst-Wilson, Anthony Marcel (at Cambridge University), Janet Davidson and Robert Sternberg, John Bargh (of New York University) - to name but a very, very few.

The evidence shows that prior learning, memories, experiences are used in this unconscious processing.

The bottom line is very clear: detailed analysis of information coming in from the senses is carried out unconsciously - even analysis that would seem that only consciousness would be capable of - and the result of this analysis, affected by our past experience, is always there and affecting how we think, feel, and behave. But we are aware of none of it.

Every ufologist going out into the field should have a good knowledge and understanding of this.

I should mention that my use of the word "unconscious" is not the unconscious of Freud (where negative repressed ideas are supposedly stored) or even Jung's deeper collective unconscious that we supposedly all share and which contain the archetypes). I am using the word in the sense of the mechanisms and processings of the brain that we are not aware of. And, also - as I mentioned earlier in this thread - I dislike the word "unconscious" because I think the words "subconscious" or "pre-conscious" are better.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 10:33:59 -0700
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:10:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson<r.dickenson.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 19:46:58 +0100
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Don Ledger<dledger.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>From: Ray Dickenson<r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>>>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

><snip>

>>Fascinating stuff, Ray. You on the right List? Ropes for snakes,
>>gnats for mosquitos perfect in detail as seen from the corner of
>>the eye. Are the rest of us - except KK - supposed to read
>>something into these revelations? If so you've never been in the
>>field have you?

>Hello Don,

>The accumulating evidence says that we cannot trust our brains:
>they lie to us by ignoring `painful' words (when that can't
>endanger us) and will lie again by creating non-existent shapes
>(i.e snakes - when it might be advantageous).

>Seems to me that should be considered as important by anyone
>investigating witness perceptions of phenomena, especially high
>strangeness phenomena.

>Even if you happen to possess the one brain on the planet which
>is unaffected by such influences. [Or thinks it is.]

Here we go again.

The problem isn't whether humans and their brains are fallible.
The problem is exaggeration of human fallibility to the point
where we literally "cannot trust our brains", apparently ever.

I'm sure Ray can trust his brain 99% of the time as he no doubt
gets through the day usually just fine, just like 99.9% of the
human race probably gets through their day just fine without
getting run over by buses or poisoned by food they shouldn't
eat.

99% right will get you an A+ grade in school. It's pretty damn
good, not perfect, but very much good enough.

Again, if our brains were really as unreliable in all things as
Ray continues to argue, the human race would not be here and we
wouldn't be having the argument to begin with.

Ray also continues to confuse raw perception with

interpretation, and also makes no allowances for when we quickly correct our misinterpretations. That coiled rope seen out of the corner of his eye that Ray might momentarily interpret as a dangerous snake is actually a perfectly good and adaptive interpretation with the limited amount of observation time and data, and if it really were a snake might save his life. But getting a better look at it over a longer period of time, Ray quickly realizes it isn't a snake, and I'm sure he trusts that more detailed observation and resulting interpretation as being highly reliable - or does he?

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

From: Carol Maltby <carolmaltby.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 14:40:10 -0400
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:12:13 -0400
Subject: Re: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 09:50:26 +0100
>Subject: Re: Rendlesham Forum Archive Dilemma

>>I've obtained a copy of the relatively large and interesting
>>archive of posts to that discussion forum (with thanks to
>>"Daniel" of that forum) and spent quite a bit of time during the
>>last week converting those posts to PDF format to make them
>>easier to search and reducing the file size of the archive.>
><snip>

>I've now uploaded the archive of posts to the Rendlesham-
>Incident forum at the links below.

><snip>

>I hope that sharing this archive helps reduce the amount of
>reinvention of the wheel that tends to occur within ufology
>generally and discussions of the Rendlesham Forest incident in
>particular.

Isaac,

I'd say it sounded like the owner of the forum definitely wanted
it saved and was giving his assent to having it duplicated.
Thanks for putting the time and effort into it.

A lot of forum owners don't seem to be aware (or care) that a
lot of the posts in their forums contain useful material that
hasn't always been archived anywhere else. I've had a couple of
forums where I'd posted extensively just disappear with no
notice or opportunity to save our own posts that we'd put a lot
of thought and research into.

If you own a forum and want to shut it down, please give others
the chance to save their old posts, or even host the forum
themselves. I now have a file for pasting copies of significant
posts I write, just so they won't disappear. I wished I'd
started it a long time ago. Google's cache isn't anything like
what it used to be, and contrary to popular belief, not
everything lives on the Internet forever.

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 21:16:29 -0400
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:14:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

>[Quotation Begins]

>Emotion Can Shut Down High-Level Mental Processes Without Our
>Knowledge, in Our Native Language

>ScienceDaily (May 8, 2012) - Psychologists at Bangor University
>believe that they have glimpsed for the first time, a process
>that takes place deep within our unconscious brain, where
>primal reactions interact with higher mental processes. Writing
>in the Journal of Neuroscience, they identify a reaction to
>negative language inputs which shuts down unconscious
>processing.

Mmmm... I'm not sure that is an absolute that applies in every
case.

People can barely notice things, but people, even filled with
emotion, can take time to look carefully at something and do
some analysis. I've had emotion but at the same time, became
very observant and analytical too.

In the first case, perhaps it is likely for perception to go
wrong, but in the second case, no. So before a statement can be
made that perception is unreliable, one has to take into account
whether the observation was a fleeting glance, or a situation
where the observer deliberately took the time to look carefully
and attempt to analyze what was being seen.

I recall a demo where a small dot of light appeared on a wall
maybe 15 feet from a group of observers, in a totally darkened
room. The instructor asked us to speak out when we saw the light
move, and indicate the direction of motion.

A few "saw" some motion, but most of us did not, and as it
turned out, the light had never moved.

I really think the idea that perception can't be depended on is
not grounded in reality for many real-life situations.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 07:10:01 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:19:10 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told
>Update from the Center for UFO Truth (CUT)

>Winston Churchill's famous quote that 'in wartime, truth is so
>precious that she should always be attended by a bodyguard of
>lies' rings as true today in modern warfare as it did back
>during those precarious WW2 years. But it was the turbulent
>times of peace that came right after the Great War, which found
>the West scrambling to avoid yet another world wide armed
>confrontation, this time because of the rising menace of the
>Soviet Union. With millions of war dead fresh in the collective
>consciousness of the conflict weary United States and its
>allies, these nations contemplated their new found peace and
>wondered how long it could be maintained.

>It was during these nail biting times of extreme anxiety over
>the Soviet Union's next move, that intrepid American men took
>into their own hands the fate of the nation. Drawing upon their
>considerable success, in partnership with the British, in
>deceiving the Axis powers during the war as well as their
>amazing feats of code breaking that turned the tide of battle
>both in Europe and in the Pacific, a secret cabal of concerned
>patriots came together with the singular purpose of using their
>well-honed skills against this new enemy of freedom.

>With the Venona Project decodes drying up after Soviet changes,
>predicting the enemy's actions without reading their message
>traffic only exacerbated the growing feelings of the dread that
>a new war was right around the corner. But to break the Soviet
>code required either access to the cryptographic material or the
>computational power of modern day code breaking computers? the
>latter did not yet exist and the former was carefully guarded.

>So an amazing plan was hatched to employ a successful
>technique used during WW2, called 'gardening' which during the
>War involved the placement of false news stories that the Allies
>knew the Axis would be interested in, wait for the news stories
>to be encoded and transmitted by Axis agents, and after
>intercepting the coded transmissions, the Allies could then work
>out the code system; already having the known plain text in
>hand.

>But what could possibly arouse the interest of the Soviet Union
>in American Press articles during a time of peace? Enter the Big
>Lie: the creation of the modern day UFO myth.

>[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Perhaps this post could fall into the category of "Psychology"
or "Brain Injury".

What we have here, is a failure to communicate - and much more. It's the amazing story of how a "True Believer" was changed into a "True Dummy".

Maybe the title should be 'Cult Shifting'. "I Was a Teenage True Believer, But Now I'm a Just a Dummy". The author of this weirdness is James Carrion.

He seems to be claiming that General Vandenberg managed to convince all the reporters of the world to run phony stories about flying saucers in 1947, in order to flush out Soviet spies and decipher their code.

Kenneth Arnold was apparently the victim of a hoax, sighting phony flying saucers. A good trick if you can do it.

And Roswell? Who knows? Either some kind of hoax on the ground, or a completely fabricated story fed to the press. Prove it, James.

Then, when the Soviet spies transmitted their flying saucer stories to HQ, the Americans would find it easier to crack their code since they already knew the content of the story. Decrypting code into a decoded message is easier if you have the plain text message to begin with. (That much is true).

This sounds like a government dis-information attempt similar to the Mogul Balloons, where the Moguls supposedly explained the exotic debris in the desert found by Mac Brazel, Major Marcel, and others. You know: The Top Secret rubber, radio, and microphone for the Top Secret plot to find out if the Soviets had exploded an A-bomb yet - an objective the Soviets were totally clueless about given their lack of understanding of global politics, secrecy, spying, military power, atomic bombs, and so forth. I mean, who would have thought we would spy on them?

Weren't the Soviets just primitive peasants who happened to produce the world's best tank - the T-34 - entirely by accident? Weren't they accidental psychologists with their broadcasts to German troops in Stalingrad, telling them that every 7 seconds another German soldier dies, then ticking off 7 seconds?

Of course not. The Soviets were masters of psychology and military defense, so Mogul 'spy balloons', or something similar, would have been fully expected by the Soviets. It's like not telling the Soviets that the grass is green because it's "Top Secret". I'm pretty sure they already knew the grass is green, and they knew that the Americans would try to figure out how far they'd come in their weaponization of fissionable materials.

Did the Soviets need to rely on spies to send encrypted info about Roswell, when it was in all the papers AND on the radio? They would already have known about it without the need for encrypted messages sent by spies. Send it in plain text, if you must send it, or just tell your boss in Moscow to pick up the latest issue of the Chicago newspapers for an interesting story.

So let's call this 'Imaginary Land 3' - another clumsy attempt to fool the public. Forget the RAWIN balloons (1), forget the Mogul balloons (2). No. The entire Roswell event was a hoax perpetrated by the government in order to flush out Soviet spies and help us read their code (3). I'm looking forward to explanations 4, 5, and 6. They should be whoppers! Perhaps we'll read the 'real' Ramey memo and it will say "The Violins Of The Wreck", much to our surprise, and the Roswell story will take on an entirely new and unexpected direction.

What about various witnesses in the Roswell area to strange lights in the sky? CIA agents? What about the strange debris gathered up by Marcel, and spread out on his kitchen floor? Planted by the CIA? What about the alien bodies? A load of super-sized dolls? What about the telegram in General Ramey's hand? A real telegram with a fake message?

He offers no evidence of a hoaxed Roswell event. None. Zero. His real identity appears to be a "Useful Idiot" being 'used' by somebody.

Maybe that's his CIA code name.

"This is Condor. No, wait. That was my old code name. This is

'Useful Idiot'. How do you spell FOIA?"

"Just walk away from the phone, Condor. Don't hang it up.

And don't go home. We'll bring you in."

Yet he calls himself a "Truth Seeker" at The Magic Thread. An odd moniker in his case - the "Truth Seeker" - who apparently believes in magic. A True Believer in magic - the magic of Official But Utterly Ridiculous Explanations (OBURE's). Maybe if he follows the Yellow Brick Road far enough in his quest for the truth, he'll reach Emerald City, and the Wizard will take him back to Kansas. I hope so.

Carry on, oh Truth Seeker. We'll get you some help as soon as we can.

(And by the way, Mr. Truth Seeker, it was mainly the Poles and the Brits who cracked the German Enigma code during WW2. Tell the truth.)

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Myths & Realities

From: Denis R. Denocla <denocla.nul>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 20:52:24 +0200
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:23:16 -0400
Subject: Myths & Realities

Dear Colleagues,

Sumerian mythology has given rise to numerous writings referring to the Anunnaki, the Reptilians, Planet Nibiru and the Pleiadians.

Some writings call forth these themes seriously carefully respecting the original Sumerian writings some whereas some other writings display a few fantasies... The popularization of these themes is also a subject of inspiration for literature Fantasy or Science Fiction...

This creates considerable confusion among the general public who does not really know what is serious, what remains argumentative scientific writings and verifiable historical data, or what is tricky and fake... and all this for the greater happiness of Intelligence Services responsible for misinformation... or disinformation.

So the goal of this article is to clarify this issue that has become quite nebulous... What is actually demonstrated today in the Sumerians writings?

What assumptions can be seriously developed?

Whole article:

<http://www.denocla.com/?p=2959> <=en-us

<http://www.denocla.com/ANUNAKI-DENOCLA-ANG.pdf>

Best Regards,
D. R. DENOCLA

<http://www.denocla.com>

<http://www.facebook.com/denocla>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Sorry I Looked... - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:34:46 -0400
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:34:46 -0400
Subject: Sorry I Looked... - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/bre4nov>

Thursday, May 10, 2012

Sorry I looked...
by Billy Cox

After taking a look at the unedited version (thanks, Giuliano Marinkovic) of Anderson Cooper's half-hour Do You Believe In Space Aliens? bilge from two weeks ago, it's even worse than I feared.

Again, given the number of guests and the segment's lack of focus, it was clear from the get-go that not a photon of light could've escaped from this sucking black hole. But it could have, because the producers actually had a hook to work with. And that's what makes this strikeout especially regrettable.

Pennsylvanians were reporting a spike in UFO sightings in 2008, many emanating from the skies over Bucks County outside Philadelphia. It drew a little local media attention, the usual stuff, you know, with talking heads using the usual lame-o 'out of this world' segues. And as these things usually go, nobody ever got to the bottom of it. So the flap stayed confined to local quarters.

Suddenly, from out of the blue, on April 24, Cooper resuscitated the mystery by inviting an eyewitness named Denise to tell national audiences about how she was awakened by her growling dog in the middle of the night to discover glowing lights above her house. The UFO(s) proceeded to sprinkle metallic-looking glitter on her tree, making her think "it was snowing in July". Cooper then introduced Pennsylvania state Mutual UFO Network director John Ventre to talk about what happened to the tree.

Ventre said MUFON sent leaves from the affected tree to two different labs, both of which reported "high levels of magnesium and boron", two to three times higher than controlled samples detected on neighboring trees. Furthermore, the leaves had produced anthocyanin, which Ventre accurately described as a plant's natural defense from heat and radiation. But were those levels of anthocyanin excessive or unnatural? And what gives with the elevated magnesium and boron? This is the part where you bring in one of the lab analysts, right? Or a botanist, maybe?

Nope. Not on Anderson Cooper.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 10:26:04 -0300
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 10:16:46 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 16:14:06 +0200
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

><http://tinyurl.com/bqg5x66>

>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told
>Update from the Center for UFO Truth (CUT)

><snip>

>To the List

>James Carrion has now joined openly the debunker's club of the
>Mirage Men.

>You know, all these brilliant authors, going back to Donald
>Menzel and Philip Klass, joined more recently by people like
>Nick Redfern, of Body Snatchers fame, and of course Mark
>Pilkington and John Lundberg, with their splendid panorama of
>the Mirage Men. Now, with such luminous authors, perhaps the
>time has come to close the Ufo shop, and go fishing.

>However, James Carrion does not seem to know that Roswell was
>not a trick of the Pentagon, but a joke of Stalin, helped by Dr
>Mengele!

>BTW, I was sad to learn the end of IUR, which maintained during
>so many years a high standard of serious ufology. But I have
>been also a bit disappointed with the last article of Eddie
>Bullard, who did such an important work on abductions but has
>praised James Carrion at the wrong time, it seems to me, in his
>article Defending UFOs. And who is wrong as well, supposing that
>people just saw airplanes in Phoenix.

>As Kent Jeffrey once said, before retiring, Ufology is still an
>uphill fight!

I prefer the title of "Sceptic" as both believers and debunkers
already have their minds made up. I would also point out that by
summarily dismissing my research by labeling me a debunker is
itself a debunking tactic.

Perhaps if this List spent more time examining evidence instead
of mud slinging, it would accomplish something. I won't be
posting my findings here, you will be able to examine it on my
website www.centerforufotruth.org once I put it back online
(down for maintenance).

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:56:38 -0500
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 11:45:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 10:26:04 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 16:14:06 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

>><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told
>>Update from the Center for UFO Truth (CUT)

>><snip>

>>To the List

>>James Carrion has now joined openly the debunker's club of the
>>Mirage Men.

>>You know, all these brilliant authors, going back to Donald
>>Menzel and Philip Klass, joined more recently by people like
>>Nick Redfern, of Body Snatchers fame, and of course Mark
>> Pilkington and John Lundberg, with their splendid panorama of
>>the Mirage Men. Now, with such luminous authors, perhaps the
>>time has come to close the Ufo shop, and go fishing.

>>However, James Carrion does not seem to know that Roswell was
>>not a trick of the Pentagon, but a joke of Stalin, helped by Dr
>>Mengele!

>>BTW, I was sad to learn the end of IUR, which maintained during
>>so many years a high standard of serious ufology. But I have
>>been also a bit disappointed with the last article of Eddie
>>Bullard, who did such an important work on abductions but has
>>praised James Carrion at the wrong time, it seems to me, in his
>>article Defending UFOs. And who is wrong as well, supposing that
>>people just saw airplanes in Phoenix.

>>As Kent Jeffrey once said, before retiring, Ufology is still an
>>uphill fight!

>>I prefer the title of "Sceptic" as both believers and debunkers
>>already have their minds made up. I would also point out that by
>>summarily dismissing my research by labeling me a debunker is
>>itself a debunking tactic.

No, James, you are not a "sceptic". You're a conspiracy

theorist, and that's why views like yours - which if true would be of enormous interest to (and much studied by) scholars of the Cold War, intelligence, national security, international affairs, and more - are not to be found in any mainstream history of the post-World War II era. UFOs themselves may be, as Billy Cox likes to say, the Great Taboo, but research into secret CIA projects is a perfectly respectable academic exercise, a whole branch of historical scholarship in itself.

You've basically placed yourself in the company of the pseudo-scholars who, as the Roswell controversy has ground on all these years, have fashioned an absurdly ahistorical UFO-centric view of official policy since July 1947.

Your ideas don't offend me as a ufologist - there are, after all, too many silly ideas about UFOs to count or care about - but as one who has long harbored a fascination with political history, especially after World War II, and read many hundreds of books on the subject. Your enemy is not mainstream ufology, it's modern American history as conventionally understood.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Something Small & Confusing This Way Comes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 11:52:15 -0400
Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 11:52:15 -0400
Subject: Something Small & Confusing This Way Comes

Source: Michael Swords The Big Study Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/cs3z4mf>

Thursday, May 10, 2012

Something Small (And Confusing) This Way Comes

We met Ron Quinn a little while ago on the blog due to this terrific collection of Little People encounters that he received from persons living mainly in the Catskill area of New York state. John Timmerman, however, met him in 1991 in Tucson, AZ, when John was exhibiting the CUFOS photo exhibit there. Ron Quinn was a writer and an artist/cartoonist as well as other creative sorts of interests, who was fascinated by both the UFO phenomenon and the Little People phenomenon ever since having his own experiences with each in the 1940s [in Washington state and New York state, respectively]. He had settled for some while in the Tucson area, living mainly by writing for newspapers and weeklies, and making films. He came up to John to tell him of what he felt to be the most peculiar anomalistic claim that he'd been involved with, though it was an experience which had not happened directly to him.

Here's how the story goes: Ron had an old friend of about twenty years living in Tucson. His friend had been a high school math teacher, was a solidly conservative person in his beliefs and interests, and Ron was the type of close friend between which there would be no "funny business", even if the friend's personality had been different. The friend was retired at the time [1988] and liked to drive about southern Arizona pursuing his favorite hobby, photography. One day in 1988, Ron got an excited phonecall asking him to meet him at the Denny's restaurant on Oracle road [that's it above; thought it was just fun to put the real one in there]. Ron hurried on over and got an earful of a story.

Ron's friend, who he wanted to keep anonymous, so he called him "Sam", liked photographing Arizona's old Ghost Towns, and one of them was nearly to the US-Mexico border, the old mining town of Ruby.

Rubies weren't mined there, but Lead, Zinc, and Silver were. The mine was particularly profitable for Lead and Zinc in its heyday. Sam had visited Ruby many times and doubtlessly photographed everything in the town area worth shooting, so he had taken to wandering around the rough mountainous surroundings, camera in hand.

A prominent feature which you can't miss from the town is Montana Peak. This is, in fact, a somewhat popular destination for casual hiker-climbers in southern Arizona, though hardly any tourist Mecca. Most days there are no people anywhere about the peak. It was one such day when Sam encountered the "alien".

You have to get well beyond Ruby to the back side of Montana

Peak to find yourself at "the scene of the crime". Sam had been exploring the backside canyons and what is called California Gulch, when he heard an odd whishing sound in the air. Whether this had anything at all to do with his later encounter, who knows? About twenty-to-thirty minutes later, Sam is walking down one of the small washed out "canyons", when he spots a small "something".

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:35:25 -0700
Archived: Sun, 13 May 2012 19:15:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 16:14:06 +0200
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

>><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told
>>Update from the Center for UFO Truth (CUT)

><snip>

>To the List

>James Carrion has now joined openly the debunker's club of the
>Mirage Men.

>You know, all these brilliant authors, going back to Donald
>Menzel and Philip Klass, joined more recently by people like
>Nick Redfern, of Body Snatchers fame, and of course Mark
>Pilkington and John Lundberg, with their splendid panorama of
>the Mirage Men. Now, with such luminous authors, perhaps the
>time has come to close the Ufo shop, and go fishing.

>However, James Carrion does not seem to know that Roswell was
>not a trick of the Pentagon, but a joke of Stalin, helped by Dr
>Mengele!

<snip>

What all these debunking geniuses never deal with is how such
deception on an absolutely massive scale could go on for so long
with nobody (except for them of course) figuring out that's UFOs
are all a big scam by various world counter-intelligence
agencies supposedly fooling each other. Yes, folks, UFOs are
the world's longest running con of the modern age.

So U.S. counter-intelligence for 60+ years creates tens of
thousands of fake UFO incidents to fool the American public and
the Rooskies (and now the Chinese, North Koreans, etc., etc.),
and this is supposedly to hide our own secret projects according
to the prevalent theory. Similarly the Russians, etc., have
been pulling the same scam on us to hide their secret projects.

Everybody is scamming everybody else and still nobody can figure
it out, decade after decade. You would think after all that
time and all the expensive and massive intelligence gathering
mechanisms of the major powers that somebody besides the James
Carrions and James Obergs and the one-eyed CSICOPians of the

world would have a clue.

However, back on planet Earth in the real real world, our and their intelligence agencies would figure it all out very quickly. When you've got vast radar networks, tracking cameras, jet interceptors, well-trained ground observers, etc., it's not that hard to separate true UFOs from _conventional_ objects. The only way one could possibly disguise one's secret projects as 'UFOs' and make the other side possibly believe it year after year would be if all sides already had very good reason to believe UFOs were indeed quite real and not made by your opponents, i.e., truly very advanced technology that it would be wisest not to mess with because of the possible consequences.

Still, as far as I know, we never really fooled the Russians with our spy craft overflying their airspace. Conventional aircraft have very different profiles and flight characteristics from UFOs, which has been known from the beginning, e.g. the 1947 Twining memo or Twining's 1953 definition of "UFO" in Air Force Reg 200-2. As AFR 200-2 stated, they are "unidentified flying objects" because they don't look anything like and/or operate anything like conventional flying objects and were to be studied for their "technical aspects" and potential threat to national security.

The Russians probably had some similar definition. The Russians, e.g., knew the U-2 was a spy plane because it looked like a human plane (wings, tail), flew straight trajectories at conventional aircraft speeds, didn't make right angle turns, didn't disappear straight up, accelerate from a dead stop to thousands of miles per hour in seconds, etc., etc.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Did UFO Land In Staffordshire, UK?

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 00:41:57 +0100
Archived: Sun, 13 May 2012 19:46:05 -0400
Subject: Did UFO Land In Staffordshire, UK?

<http://tinyurl.com/6nw8n55>

The link above is to an article in the Birmingham, UK based Sunday Mercury.

The feature mentions researcher and former clinical psychologist Peter McCue's new book 'Zones of Strangeness - An Examination of Paranormal and UFO Hot Spots'.

I've been in touch with Peter who informs me the report contains some inaccuracies.

One of these is a claim that Peter "became frustrated by the lack of hard evidence attached to every incident in the book"

But Peter told me: "I think I knew from the outset that definitive proof about particular incidents might be lacking. But I felt the cumulative evidence was impressive."

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 02:14:47 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sun, 13 May 2012 19:49:11 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 10:26:04 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>I prefer the title of "Sceptic" as both believers and debunkers
>already have their minds made up. I would also point out that by
>summarily dismissing my research by labeling me a debunker is
>itself a debunking tactic.

>Perhaps if this List spent more time examining evidence instead
>of mud slinging, it would accomplish something. I won't be
>posting my findings here, you will be able to examine it on my
>website www.centerforufotruth.org once I put it back online
>(down for maintenance).

>James

Wow! Talk about the pot calling the kettle black! What hypocrisy!

You smear ufologists by calling them liars (The Greatest Lie Ever Told), you use the Lying US Government as your library for doing 'research' on Roswell, you try to debunk cases behind the false mask of a 'skeptic', you refer to criticism as 'mud-slinging', and you claim that we don't accomplish anything on this List. Never before has so much mud-stained nonsense been slung in so few words. Are you all right, James?

Anyway, this is a waste of time. I've seen multiple flying saucers, including one chased by F-89 interceptors, so I know from first-hand experience that they're real. It's certainly not a lie. So take down your UFO web page, James. Your web page is a lie, and you shouldn't lie. It's inhumane and uncivilized. We're civilized and cultured people, here.

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 09:05:21 +0100
Archived: Sun, 13 May 2012 19:51:13 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

Hi Don, Eleanor, David, Eugene, Kathy and All,

You're all right enough: science says that 'common-sense' rules
- for the most part.

I.e. - although we have to agree with Planck, who said "Whatever
our senses tell us about the world, they do not describe
reality" (paraphrased), even so all experiments say that what we
see is an "average" picture of events and objects; and evolution
shapes life to see enough of that average picture to get regular
lunches (and to mate and escape predators - mostly).

But there's a codicil which can be overwhelmingly important -
enough to temporarily cancel out all the rest. That is, if an
advanced group takes one or more of the small exceptions to the
'average' and shapes those into powerful tools, weapons etc,
then that will seem like magic to the rest of us.

Even so it's hard to believe in a "free lunch" so we can also
expect that use of those amplified exceptions to create 'magic'
effects will be a drain on surrounding reality that has to be
accounted for. I.e. maybe causing local temperature changes, and
the decrease or failure of nearby electro-magnetic fields, which
will also affect humans' electro-chemical bodies and brains and
their perceptions (some of the effects may be long-lasting or
permanent). In addition our crude monitoring devices - cameras,
radar, lab equipments etc - cannot be immune.

So we can and should expect all the apparent attributes of
magic: invisibility, instantaneous travel, thought control etc,
to be temporarily allowed by science. It's just that we don't
know about that science right now.

(As Richard Haines has noted re: UFOs affecting gyro compasses -
ie. control of the inertial field - "We don't know how to do
that".)

So, like someone once said - "I'm too much of a (real) sceptic
to disbelieve in anything".

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 20:13:21 +0000
Archived: Sun, 13 May 2012 19:56:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 07:10:01 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

>><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>"This is Condor. No, wait. That was my old code name. This is
>'Useful Idiot'. How do you spell FOIA?"

>"Just walk away from the phone, Condor. Don't hang it up.

>And don't go home. We'll bring you in."

>Yet he calls himself a "Truth Seeker" at The Magic Thread. An
>odd moniker in his case - the "Truth Seeker" - who apparently
>believes in magic. A True Believer in magic - the magic of
>Official But Utterly Ridiculous Explanations (OBURE's). Maybe if
>he follows the Yellow Brick Road far enough in his quest for the
>truth, he'll reach Emerald City, and the Wizard will take him
>back to Kansas. I hope so.

>Carry on, oh Truth Seeker. We'll get you some help as soon as we
>can.

>(And by the way, Mr. Truth Seeker, it was mainly the Poles and
>the Brits who cracked the German Enigma code during WW2. Tell
>the truth.)

How about this Dave, everything you stated is not evidence of
anything except rumors, second hand death bed confessions,
imagined figures at a distance and, maybe, something seen in a
hanger somewhere. No evidence; no photos; just possibly faulty
memories - a need for a group of people to link themselves with
a group of believers.

Snarky comments are not a refutation of Carrion's article.
Repeating what you heard from other people, possibly, in the
halls at UFO conferences? Doesn't work for me.

BTW, the Poles were working with the very secret group of code
breakers at Bletchley. I know I took the tour of the facility
while in Britain. The most famous code breaker was a Brit named
Alan Turing. Also, I have read a couple of books on the Enigma -
that would be the code I believe you are trying to make
reference to.

Marcel spreading something or other on his kitchen floor? A
scenario pictured in a young child's mind as a way to link with
his father? The child remembered pictographs from the Japanese
tape used as adhesive tape. Years later he thought he had seen

"alien words."

Carrion really cannot be categorized as a "useful idiot". He was head of MUFON and, apparently, during his research found information to change his mind about just what and where the so-called "aliens/ETs" came from or what the phenomena might really be. Let's give him the benefit of the doubt and ask him what changed his mind.

So, far, not in any of your very flip comments I have seen references to documentation. Just you repeating authors who were repeating some one else's opinion. No original research work. Nor have you stated which UFO organization you were head of.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 19:00:27 -0300
Archived: Sun, 13 May 2012 20:03:02 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 19:46:58 +0100
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 13:31:47 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 11:02:50 +0100
>>>Subject: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/05/120508173511.htm

><snip>

>>Fascinating stuff, Ray. You on the right List? Ropes for snakes,
>>gnats for mosquitos perfect in detail as seen from the corner of
>>the eye. Are the rest of us - except KK - supposed to read
>>something into these revelations? If so you've never been in the
>>field have you?

>Hello Don,

>The accumulating evidence says that we cannot trust our brains:
>they lie to us by ignoring `painful' words (when that can't
>endanger us) and will lie again by creating non-existent shapes
>(i.e snakes - when it might be advantageous).

>Seems to me that should be considered as important by anyone
>investigating witness perceptions of phenomena, especially high
>strangeness phenomena.

>Even if you happen to possess the one brain on the planet which
>is unaffected by such influences. [Or thinks it is.]

Resorting to the "only brain on the planet", eh. Not the case,
maybe billions. I don't base any reasonable explanation on new-
age psych 101 type clap-trap such as gets bandied about on here
by those who don't do the grunt work.

BTW - accumulating evidence from the soft sciences - actually
barely science; really?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 14](#)

Re: Myths & Realities

From: **Dave Morton** <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 02:37:35 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Mon, 14 May 2012 11:43:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Myths & Realities

>From: Denis R. Denocla <denocla.nul>
>Date: Thu, 10 May 2012 20:52:24 +0200
>Archived: Sat, 12 May 2012 09:23:16 -0400
>Subject: Myths & Realities

>Dear Colleagues,

>Sumerian mythology has given rise to numerous writings
>referring to the Anunnaki, the Reptilians, Planet Nibiru
>and the Pleiadians.

<snip>

Caution is advised when studying Sumerian mythology and similar topics.

One of the most popular authors on the subject is Zecharia Sitchin.

I don't know how much Sumerian mythology is true, but I've read that Zecharia Sitchin is a fraud and totally out to lunch. He's no scholar and has no credentials. He can't even tell the difference between ancient Aramaic and Hebrew. He doesn't know how to correctly translate the word "Elohim" which uses the concept of "The Majestic Plural" - a grammatical concept used in ancient Hebrew but not in English or other modern languages.

For example, the Majestic Plural uses "Elohim" as a plural word, but with singular verbs and adjectives, which converts it from plural back to singular. It would be like saying "Bosses" meaning the Big Boss of the national chain of stores, as opposed to "bosses" - all the little bosses of the chain of stores. "Bosses" is the Majestic Plural form of "Boss", and means "The Big Boss". Verbs, adjectives, and pronouns would specify what the writer means.

"The Bosses he came to inspect our store" means "The Big Boss came to inspect our store" - not a group of bosses.

Sitchin seems to be completely unaware of this basic concept of The Majestic Plural. That's just one of many holes in his knowledge and theories. Thus, there are no people called the "Elohim". Pure fiction. It's the Majestic Plural form of "Eloha" meaning "important, big, majestic Eloha" etc, and it's singular because of the thousands of singular verbs, adjectives, and pronouns associated with it in the same or bordering sentences.

Sitchin also mistranslates words such as "Nephilim" because he can't distinguish between Hebrew and Aramaic, thus ruining the etymology of some of his words. "Nephilim" does not mean "those who came down from above", but rather "the fallen ones". There may very well have been ancient astronauts who came down from above, but they probably weren't Sitchin's Nephilim.

As we all know, some things are complicated. But Sitchin hasn't advanced past the 7th grade in his attempts to read, translate, and interpret ancient languages.

Ultra-short summary:

1. There are no "Elohim people".
2. "Nephilim" does not mean "those who came down from above".
3. Avoid Sitchin.
4. Perhaps some ancient texts refer to amazing things that we should learn about. I think that's probably true.

Graham Hancock's books come to mind.

But we won't find reliable information in Sitchin's books since he was incompetent.

The article cited above may be perfectly legitimate, but if it, or any other article, cites Sitchin as its expert authority, it's worthless. I hope it ignores Sitchin.

Offered for what it's worth.

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 14](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 07:00:34 -0500
Archived: Mon, 14 May 2012 11:45:41 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 20:13:21 +0000
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 07:10:01 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

>>><http://tinyurl.com/bqg5x66>

>>>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>>>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>"This is Condor. No, wait. That was my old code name. This is
>>'Useful Idiot'. How do you spell FOIA?"

>>"Just walk away from the phone, Condor. Don't hang it up.

>>And don't go home. We'll bring you in."

>>Yet he calls himself a "Truth Seeker" at The Magic Thread. An
>>odd moniker in his case - the "Truth Seeker" - who apparently
>>believes in magic. A True Believer in magic - the magic of
>>Official But Utterly Ridiculous Explanations (OBURE's). Maybe if
>>he follows the Yellow Brick Road far enough in his quest for the
>>truth, he'll reach Emerald City, and the Wizard will take him
>>back to Kansas. I hope so.

>>Carry on, oh Truth Seeker. We'll get you some help as soon as we
>>can.

>>(And by the way, Mr. Truth Seeker, it was mainly the Poles and
>>the Brits who cracked the German Enigma code during WW2. Tell
>>the truth.)

>How about this Dave, everything you stated is not evidence of
>anything except rumors, second hand death bed confessions,
>imagined figures at a distance and, maybe, something seen in a
>hanger somewhere. No evidence; no photos; just possibly faulty
>memories - a need for a group of people to link themselves with
>a group of believers.

>Snarky comments are not a refutation of Carrion's article.
>Repeating what you heard from other people, possibly, in the
>halls at UFO conferences? Doesn't work for me.

So, Kathy, if I understand you correctly, you're saying it is all
a giant conspiracy?

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 14](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 13:27:34 +0100
Archived: Mon, 14 May 2012 11:46:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 09:05:21 +0100
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

<snip>

>(As Richard Haines has noted re: UFOs affecting gyro compasses -
>ie. control of the inertial field - "We don't know how to do
>that".)

<snip>

Sorry, forgot to give the Youtube record of gyro compasses being affected:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GMWri3WaLk0#t=4m22s>

It's followed by Dr Haines' comment on the importance of that evidence (that the science involved is way beyond ours).

That evidence - IMHO - is the most important clue supplied by sightings.

I.e. it happens to our own equipment operated by witnesses - not merely 'appearing' to happen as could be claimed for UFOs' impossible maneuvers, and is not replicable by human technology as could be claimed for UFOs' interference with electro-magnetic fields.

(If video doesn't open at 4 mins 22 secs in maybe have to do it manually.)

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 14](#)

MOD Files And Rendlesham

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 16:16:09 +0100
Archived: Mon, 14 May 2012 11:48:15 -0400
Subject: MOD Files And Rendlesham

Most of you will be familiar with the various releases by the UK National Archives of Ministry of Defence files relating to UFOs.

While the PDF versions of those files are searchable, the results only include a fraction of the actual occurrences of the search term. I had originally thought that the poor search results were an unavoidable consequence of the quality of the scanned material, but have found that the results can be dramatically improved by running the PDF files released by the National Archives through Adobe Acrobat=92s OCR tool (which took my computer about 36 hours to complete).

As a result, I thought it worth posting a word of warning about this issue to those searching the PDF files as released by the National Archives.

Incidentally, using the improved collection of PDF files and the free software I=92ve previously mentioned (PDF-Xchange Viewer) to search that collection, I've compiled the list below of National Archive files containing Ministry of Defence documents which include the word =93Rendlesham=94. This list is intended to be a first step in any systematic review of such documents - in the same way that I=92ve been compiling and cross-referencing details of books, articles and documentaries on this incident. The search results are actually more useful, since they include snippets surrounding each occurrence of the word =93Rendlesham=94 and can be clicked upon to show the relevant page -- but I think you=92d need to quickly duplicate the search on your own computer to be able to see this information.

NB The list below is almost certainly not exhaustive. However, it is something to build upon (both in terms of completeness and also by annotating it with a summary of the relevant contents, which in most cases is simply a page or two of correspondence). I=92d be interesting in seeing how complete the search results are now. The main purpose of this email is simply to give a word of warning in relation to the PDF files as released.

The main file at the National Archives relating to Rendlesham, obviously, is DEFE 24-1948 (sometimes -- such as on the Wikipedia page on Rendlesham -- erroneously said to include =93all documents relating to the incident=94).

A letter from the MOD dated 2 April 1992 [in the DEFE 25-1956 file] stated that =93=85 the only information that we have regarding this UFO sighting is Lieutenant Colonel Halt=92s report. There was no Ministry of Defence investigation into this sighting, and there are no Ministry of Defence documents on this subject=94. The statement that "there are no Ministry of Defence documents on this subject" was, um, potentially misleading.

So far, I've noted the following National Archive files as containing MOD documents referring to Rendlesham.

Has anyone else noted any further relevant files?

DEFE 24-1925
DEFE 24-1929
DEFE 24-1930

DEFE 24-1931
DEFE 24-1941
DEFE 24-1942
DEFE 24-1948
DEFE 24-1949
DEFE 24-1955
DEFE 24-1956
DEFE 24-1957
DEFE 24-1958
DEFE 24-1963
DEFE 24-1964
DEFE 24-1966
DEFE 24-1967
DEFE 24-1968
DEFE 24-1969
DEFE 24-1970
DEFE 24-1971
DEFE 24-1973
DEFE 24-1978
DEFE 24-1979
DEFE 24-1980
DEFE 24-1981
DEFE 24-1982
DEFE 24-1983
DEFE 24-1986
DEFE 24-1991
DEFE 24-1992
DEFE 24-1993
DEFE 24-1994
DEFE 24-1995
DEFE 24-1996
DEFE 24-1997
DEFE 24-1998
DEFE 24-1999
DEFE 24-2001
DEFE 24-2002
DEFE 24-2004
DEFE 24-2006
DEFE 24-2009
DEFE 24-2011
DEFE 24-2012
DEFE 24-2013
DEFE 24-2014
DEFE 24-2015
DEFE 24-2016
DEFE 24-2018
DEFE 24-2019
DEFE 24-2021
DEFE 24-2023
DEFE 24-2024
DEFE 24-2025
DEFE 24-2026
DEFE 24-2027
DEFE 24-2029
DEFE 24-2030
DEFE 24-2032
DEFE 24-2033
DEFE 24-2034
DEFE 24-2037
DEFE 24-2038
DEFE 24-2040
DEFE 24-2041
DEFE 24-2042
DEFE 24-2044
DEFE 24-2047
DEFE 24-2048
DEFE 24-2049
DEFE 24-2050
DEFE 24-2052
DEFE 24-2053
DEFE 24-2054
DEFE 24-2055
DEFE 24-2056
DEFE 24-2057
DEFE 24-2060
DEFE 24-2064
DEFE 24-2066
DEFE 24-2067
DEFE 24-2069
DEFE 24-2070
DEFE 24-2071

DEFE 24-2072
DEFE 24-2075
DEFE 24-2076
DEFE 24-2085
DEFE 24-2086
DEFE 24-2091
DEFE 24-2092
DEFE 24-2094
DEFE 31-182
DEFE 31-184
DEFE 31-186
DEFE 31-188

All the best,

Isaac

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 14](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 12:35:53 -0300
Archived: Mon, 14 May 2012 11:52:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 20:13:21 +0000
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 07:10:01 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

>>><http://tinyurl.com/bqg5x66>

>>>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>>>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>"This is Condor. No, wait. That was my old code name. This is
>>'Useful Idiot'. How do you spell FOIA?"

>>"Just walk away from the phone, Condor. Don't hang it up.

>>And don't go home. We'll bring you in."

>>Yet he calls himself a "Truth Seeker" at The Magic Thread. An
>>odd moniker in his case - the "Truth Seeker" - who apparently
>>believes in magic. A True Believer in magic - the magic of
>>Official But Utterly Ridiculous Explanations (OBURE's). Maybe if
>>he follows the Yellow Brick Road far enough in his quest for the
>>truth, he'll reach Emerald City, and the Wizard will take him
>>back to Kansas. I hope so.

>>Carry on, oh Truth Seeker. We'll get you some help as soon as we
>>can.

>>(And by the way, Mr. Truth Seeker, it was mainly the Poles and
>>the Brits who cracked the German Enigma code during WW2. Tell
>>the truth.)

>How about this Dave, everything you stated is not evidence of
>anything except rumors, second hand death bed confessions,
>imagined figures at a distance and, maybe, something seen in a
>hanger somewhere. No evidence; no photos; just possibly faulty
>memories - a need for a group of people to link themselves with
>a group of believers.

>Snarky comments are not a refutation of Carrion's article.
>Repeating what you heard from other people, possibly, in the
>halls at UFO conferences? Doesn't work for me.

>BTW, the Poles were working with the very secret group of code
>breakers at Bencchley. I know I took the tour of the facility
>while in Britain.

>The most famous code breaker was a Brit named
>Alan Turing. Also, I have read a couple of books on the Enigma -

>that would be the code I believe you are trying to make
>reference to.

KK,

A Polish resistance group being directed by the British SOE (Special Operations Executive) was responsible for capturing an Enigma machine-in Poland.

The British name for the code was not Enigma but Ultra.

Enigma was the code-breaking machine they used.

The Park was Bletchley Park, not Benchley Park.

Again Ultra was their name for the code breaking operation. The actual code was then changed by the Germans leaving British code-breakers high and dry.

British Captain John Walker boarded German U-boat U-570 in 1941 the war-retrieved a new set of logs and code books which were then used to re-break the German codes - the operation and new code-breaking initiative was then named Ultra-II. Capt. Walker re-boarded the sub which was sinking (the German submariners had opened her sea-cocks to scuttle her before surrendering) to grab more material but he was lost when the sub sank.

Walker's reward for this selfless act was to have a silly movie made in the early 2000s called U-571 claiming that a group of American sailors accomplished this feat from another US sub when in fact the US was neutral in 1941 and had no connection whatsoever to the operation. To cover this up the producers renamed the German sub U-571 which was nowhere near the Atlantic at the time and was sunk by the RN at the end of the war off France somewhere.

So I can see why you would be confused getting this type of information from silly movies such as U-571 and The Good Shepherd.

As for James Carrion. He disappointed me. I had told him at San Jose in 2008 that I was glad to see MUFON was cleaning house and might get back to some serious work.

When he resigned a few years back he cited some ten cases that he thought were important cases that could probably easily be solved. The cases were what most good researchers would have discarded out of hand as garbage and of minor concern.

I found this really puzzling since most of the cases were crap and not really worthy of scrutiny yet Carrion was making out as if researchers were clinging desperately to them.

Did he have a motive? Who knows?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 13:52:17 -0300
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 09:02:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 13:27:34 +0100
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 09:05:21 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

><snip>

>>(As Richard Haines has noted re: UFOs affecting gyro compasses -
>>ie. control of the inertial field - "We don't know how to do
>>that".)

><snip>

>Sorry, forgot to give the Youtube record of gyro compasses being
>affected:

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GMWri3WaLk0#t=4m22s>

>It's followed by Dr Haines' comment on the importance of that
>evidence (that the science involved is way beyond ours).

>That evidence - IMHO - is the most important clue supplied by
>sightings.

>I.e. it happens to our own equipment operated by witnesses - not
>merely 'appearing' to happen as could be claimed for UFOs'
>impossible maneuvers, and is not replicable by human technology
>as could be claimed for UFOs' interference with electro-magnetic
>fields.

>(If video doesn't open at 4 mins 22 secs in maybe have to do it
>manually.)

Dick and I have discussed these interferences over the years.
This was a noteworthy event - as Dick mentioned - because it was
the three gyro-operated (DG) compasses that were affected, all
fed from independent sources. The only common factor might have
been the voltage busses from which they derived power but that
is doubtful.

These Directional Gyros spin at about 22,000 rpm and it takes
them ten to twenty minutes to spool down after power shutdown.

If the voltage busses (should be 3 on the DC-10) were affected
then other instruments should have been affected too and for all
to begin turning to (indicating) different headings is a very
anomalous event.

Also it would have taken a couple of minutes for the gyros to
spin down to the point where the gyros would lose effectiveness.

Other instruments and navigation equipment have been affected by
Unknown Phenomenon Activity in proximity such as magnetic
compasses, Transponder codes, false TCAS alerts, etc. The latter

suggests strong microwave emanation from these phenomena.

Too bad the maker of this YouTube clip couldn't have left out the music which was too loud and took away from the narrative. The event was disturbing enough and didn't need music to dramatize the clip.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Eugene Frison** <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 17:27:35 -0500
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 09:07:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 9 May 2012 13:37:16 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 8 May 2012 18:33:57 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

This whole discussion is utterly hopeless. You are never going to get past your tendency to rigidly define words and terms (usually in the context of Cognitivism's meaning of them) and to not grasp the concept that someone else might be using a word or term in a context totally different than you - derailing the discussion as a consequence.

Not only this, but it is an undeniable truth that you are the sole person in this thread that has been guilty of using faulty logic. You have been tripped up by the same fallacies from the get-go, yet you continue to allege my logic is poor and the product of a befuddled mind. Since you don't strike me as a stupid person - in fact, you come across as being very sharp, clever, and shrewd - I can only conclude that what you are doing is deliberate. That you are, in fact, intentionally confusing the issues as part of your tactic of using sophism to distract from important issues where you are clearly wrong, and to save face in those instances where it is blatantly obvious you made bad assumptions as to what I was saying or meant.

To give some examples, your logic was: all of modern psychology is Cognitivism, Eugene is defending psychology, therefore Eugene is defending Cognitivism. One of the key assumptions of Cognitivism is "representationalism" (indirect or representational realism, a virtual-reality copy of reality created in our brains), Eugene is using the word "representation," therefore Eugene must subscribe to Cognitivism's key assumption of representationalism with its humunculus fallacy and its problems of infinite regress. This, despite my saying numerous times that you need to get past seeing other people's viewpoints in terms other than that of Cognitivism. My use of the word "representation" had nothing to do with Cognitivism's representationalism. You have continuously been tripped up by this type of faulty logic, derailing this discussion in the process.

Your entire approach to this discussion since you became involved is to make it look like I am saying something I am not, that I am blinded by fallacy in my reasoning where there is no fallacy, and that I am changing my position when, indeed, I have been saying the same things over and over since the very beginning - to a point that is ridiculously redundant.

I have made only two very basic claims since this discussion started: 1. that psychology is a science, and 2. that its premise that the human perception system is unreliable (though functional) is valid. I maintained these claims all through the discussion and am still maintaining them now. My position has not changed - ever. I maintained (and still do) that there is

more to psychology than Cognitivism - from the psychologies of Gurney, Myers, Janet, etc from the 1800s long before Bridgman formulated his ideas of Operationalism in 1914 and Cognitivism started to become dominant in the 1950s, through the systems of Freud and Jung, to the newer psychologies of Groff, Tart, etc. today - and that psychology uses more than confused proxy notions of things when taking measurements.

The premise that human perception is flawed is based on evidence from physics, hundreds of empirical experiments and thousands of observations from psychology, and common everyday observation and experience - your accusations of my possessing a "physicists view of reality," "rag bag of perceptual anomalies," and "logic that is based on something found at the bottom of mineshafts and belonging in a hole in the ground" notwithstanding.

>>>That's interesting. So you are claiming:

I will state, quite matter-of-factly, what I am claiming.

To avoid further confusion, and to make sure you can't say that I am changing my views on this, I am going to clarify and qualify my answers as I gave them below.

>>>1) That pretty much all modern psychology depends on >>>operationalized measures;

>>Yes.

This is to say that pretty much all of accepted modern psychology is Cognitivism and that other fields of psychology, such as evolutionary psychology, are based on cognitivist ideas, and that these all heavily rely on operationalized measures.

It is not to say that Cognitivism is all there is to psychology, nor is it to say that every measurement taken during psychological research is an operationalized measurement, nor is it even to say that every operationalized measurement taken during psychological research is based on proxy notions of things.

>>>2) That if these supposedly operational measures are really just >>>proxy measures, then this fatally undermines the empirical basis >>>for psychology. (This is my proposition above, which you now say >>>you are "not disagreeing with".)

>>Yes.

By "psychology" I mean "psychological research" - not the entire discipline known as psychology. And I mean only those instances when and where operationalized measures are used and during this use there is a confusion based on proxy notions of things.

This is not the same thing as saying the entire discipline of psychology is fatally undermined. Not even close.

>>>3) That even if every measure in psychology is really just a >>>proxy measure, it is still the case that psychology has a solid >>>empirical basis.

>>No. Whatever came out of these proxy measurements is suspect and >>dubious. Garbage. This leads to "garbage in, garbage out," as >>you initially pointed out, if further extrapolation is done.

>>Psychology is then back to square one. The solid base that it >>has is its use of controlled empirical experimentation and the >>results that were obtained during this type of experimentation. >>This is somewhere to start from.

Did you miss the words "is its use of" in my comment directly above? Your response below clearly shows that you did. And it also shows that you did not understand what I meant by a "result."

Psychological research uses controlled empirical experimentation. During this controlled empirical experimentation, it makes discoveries or observations (these are probably better words than "results"). This use of controlled empirical experimentation, and these thousands of observations that have been made, constitute a solid base from which to proceed. Analysis and measurement of these observations - if

they are operationalized measures and these operationalizations are based on proxy notions - are faulty, flawed, and undermine the measurements. If they are not based on operationalized measures that are confusing proxy notions of things then they may be good measurements that produce good results.

Every measurement that has taken place during psychological research has not been an operationalized one. And every operationalized measurement that has been taken during psychological research has not been based on proxy notions.

>Except you have just admitted above that the empirical basis for >psychology is fatally undermined.

What I have admitted - and have been stating since the very, very beginning - is that every time psychology uses a proxy measure of its raw data, it is producing results that are dubious and suspect, and that these results that are based on these proxy measurements are fatally undermined.

I have not admitted that the only type of measurements ever taken during psychological research are operationalized measures, and based on proxy notions to boot.

Again, not the same thing as saying the basis for the entire discipline of psychology is fatally undermined. Again, not even close.

>So now you are claiming that psychology "has a solid base of >controlled empirical experimentation" despite its empirical >basis being fatally undermined. That's a pretty impressive >piece of doublethink.

It's only an impressive piece of doublethink if you fall for your subtle transformation of 'the instances where, during psychological research, measurements taken were in the form of operationalized measures and based on proxy notions' into 'the whole discipline of psychology.' And then completely miss the boat when it comes to understanding what I meant by a solid empirical base and what I meant by a result.

Again, did you miss the words "is its use of" in my comment? Did you miss the words "raw data" behind the word "results" at one point in my comments?

The argument you think I am making, the one you say I am making, is not the one I am making. Not at all. But that has been the problem with your responses throughout this entire discussion.

>>But it needs a proper way of >>analysing and measuring these results - using proxy notions of >>things doesn't cut it.

>Eugene, you are a testament to the power of a social sciences >education to befuddle the mind. This statement above is >completely meaningless - results are the product of measurement, >not the other way round.

I have had more than a "social sciences education", Cathy. My thinking relies on more than this. And, in fact, I never did complete that "social sciences" education because of becoming aware of the many problems with the approach - even, early on, of the very problem that we have been discussing here.

Of course results are the product of measurement, in the way you mean here.

You need to go back and discover what I meant by "results".

To help you do this, it behooves me to quote Websters New World College Dictionary, Third Edition, thus: a dictionary definition of "result" - 1. anything that comes about as a consequence or outcome of some action, process, etc. This leaves a lot of room for confusion. My intended meaning of "result" was as a piece of raw data, an effect, a discovery, or an observation - while your meaning of it clearly implies that of the product of some measurement. If you doubt I meant what I am claiming to have meant by it, go back and reread my post - you will notice the words "raw data" behind the word "result" in brackets at one point.

When Mark Jung-Beeman, Associate Professor of Psychology at Northwestern University, posed problems to a person whose brain was being monitored by EEG (electroencephalography) or MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) and noticed that in the right hemisphere, specifically in the anterior superior temporal gyrus (a small ridge at the front of the temporal lobe just by the right ear) the brain came online in this area when that person claimed to have solved a language-related task using insight, this was an observation (in the way that I meant result).

When Simons and Levin conducted change blindness experiments and noticed that a particular subject failed to notice the switch that had occurred, this was an observation (what I meant by result).

It is important to note that there is no use of operationalized measures, based on proxy notions or otherwise, at this stage of the game and that there are hundreds of these types of experiments and thousands of such observations. I could go on for days listing examples. This is what I meant by psychology's use of controlled empirical experimentation and the results from these experiments (in the context of observations) being a good place to start from. A good base.

When Simons/Levin repeated the experiment again and again with different subjects and measurement was applied to determine that at least fifty percent of the subjects failed to notice the change, this is a measurement in the way you meant result.

Simons and Levin's use of measurement to get the result that more than fifty percent of subjects failed to notice the switch that had taken place during the experiments had nothing to do with operationalized measures, based on confused proxy notions or otherwise, and this effectively demolishes your contention that every measurement in psychology is an operationalized measure based on proxy notions of things. It is easily and incontrovertibly verifiable by anyone who is willing to do a modicum of easy checking that psychological research takes measurements all the time that are not operationalized measures based on proxy notions of things. You have been blowing smoke in this regard, obscuring the truth for those ignorant and gullible enough not to know the difference.

>It's quite obvious you have no idea
>what operationalism really means - which is something you have
>in common with about 95% of social science graduates.

That remark is completely false. If I was, as you say, in the same boat with that 95% of social science graduates, I would not be agreeing with you that these social scientists regularly use proxy notions of measurement instead of in the way in which Operationalism was and is intended, and that this is a bad thing.

And anyway, your remark that I have no idea what Operationalism really means is based completely on what you mistakenly thought I meant by "results" so these accusations get thrown right out the window on that basis alone.

It is clear that this discussion is going to continue along these lines and that it is going to remain a case of 'he said, she said' rather than a true discussion of whether or not psychology merits being called a science. All of your arguments and remarks have been dealt with and you have been left only with this criticism of psychology: that it regularly confuses operational notions of things with proxy notions of things. I am not, and never have been, guilty of denying this, and you are left with having to make it look like I don't understand Operationalism, and that I am making arguments based on a poor understanding of same, to distract from the fact that, while your criticism of psychology's improper use of Operationalism is a valid one, it doesn't destroy psychology's claim to being a science.

My remarks stand as part of the archives, as do yours. Any further discussion of this would be a repeat of what has already been said over and over again, and thus a complete waste of time and effort. It is up to people reading this thread to make up their own minds on this matter. Consequently, I am going to make this my last post in this thread and going to allow you to have

the last word on this. I've wasted enough time and energy already playing your 'see if he can detect and counter the sophism' game. I'm sure your last words will do a good job of misrepresenting what I am saying.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 10:51:46 -0400
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 10:51:46 -0400
Subject: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/ce9od2c>

Monday, May 14, 2012

Daddy Needs His Medicine
by Billy Cox

De Void liked it better when the 1947 Roswell UFO crash was attributed to space aliens. It was a more palatable notion, or at least more benign. Here they were, off-world de Gamas and Magellans, trying hard to tip-toe above a planet newly gutted by primitives, ego and apocalyptic insecurities. The explorers are easing around the edges of the heart of darkness =97 in this case, New Mexico, blistered by Earth=92s first atomic explosion, experimenting with new missile and bomber delivery systems =97 when suddenly, their lonely vessel strays too close, takes a lightning strike and boom, bodies and techno-treasure litter foreign sands.

Au [rel]voir, sweet fiction.

Now we know: It was us all along. The worst, skankiest, most paranoid facets of human impulse, all crammed into a narrative of deceit and treachery aimed at bamboozling our own species. So it=92s time we just owned up to it and admitted we=92re lowdown web-spinning scumbags, maybe in need of an asteroid fix.

We knew the alien thing was bogus back in 2005 when Nick Redfern published *Body Snatchers in the Desert*. This is when we learned, according to a description, =93the darkest secret of the US Government =97 that a post-WW2 program used the deformed and crippled bodies of adults and children in biological and nuclear experiments.=94 Yeah =97 the Roswell corpses weren=92t ETs, but the handiwork of Imperial Japan=92s twisted scientists.

Then, last year...

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 14:32:50 +0100
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 11:45:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 13:52:17 -0300
>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 13:27:34 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 09:05:21 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Reality? - "Mental Processes Shut Down Without Our Knowledge"

<snip>

>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GMWri3WaLk0#t=4m22s>

<snip>

>>That evidence - IMHO - is the most important clue supplied by
>>sightings.

>>I.e. it happens to our own equipment operated by witnesses -
>>not merely 'appearing' to happen as could be claimed for UFOs'
>>impossible maneuvers, and is not replicable by human technology
>>as could be claimed for UFOs' interference with
>>electro-magnetic fields.

>Dick and I have discussed these interferences over the years.
>This was a noteworthy event - as Dick mentioned - because it was
>the three gyro-operated (DG) compasses that were affected, all
>fed from independent sources. The only common factor might have
>been the voltage busses from which they derived power but that
>is doubtful.

>These Directional Gyros spin at about 22,000 rpm and it takes
>them ten to twenty minutes to spool down after power shutdown.

>If the voltage busses (should be 3 on the DC-10) were affected
>then other instruments should have been affected too and for all
>to begin turning to (indicating) different headings is a very
>anomalous event.

<snip>

Hi Don

Right, the fact that the auto-pilot did not disengage, as the
Captain said he would've expected in a fault-condition, also
seems interesting.

So, all other things being equal, the plane's automatic system
'thought' that a 20 degree bank to the left was necessary to
keep on course.

To put that in figurative terms - the gyro-controlled auto-
system 'saw' that the shape of its (inertial) surroundings had

changed, when all that had really happened was a UFO appearing off the port side. Which, coincidentally was the direction in which the auto-pilot then turned the aircraft.

I.e. - the UFO had changed the 'slope' of the universe, at least in its vicinity.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Cathy Reason** <Cathym.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 15:34:08 +0100
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 11:46:41 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 17:27:35 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

<snip>

>I have not admitted that the only type of measurements ever taken
>during psychological research are operationalized measures, and
>based on proxy notions to boot.

Then you are simply wrong. See:

www.yorku.ca/christo/papers/operat.htm

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 11:50:28 -0400
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 11:50:28 -0400
Subject: Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials

Source: HuffPo

<http://tinyurl.com/837n315>

05/07/2012

Government Psychic Spies And Extraterrestrials
Alejandro Rojas

In April, SRI International announced it will be taking over the management of the Allen Telescope Array, whose primary purpose is listening for signals from extraterrestrial civilizations. The array was built by the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence Institute (SETI) and the University of California, with the majority of funding coming from its namesake, computer magnate, Paul Allen. SRI is no stranger to controversial projects. In the '70s SRI developed a method of psychic spying called "Remote Viewing," which quickly caught the attention of the CIA, and eventually culminated into a formal project ran by U.S. Army intelligence. Founding members of this clandestine organization claim they even used their skills to remote view UFOs and extraterrestrials.

SRI was originally the Stanford Research Institute, however, in 1970 it severed its ties with Stanford to become an independent non-profit organization and settled on the name SRI International in 1977. Founded in 1946, SRI is a research institute responsible for many important inventions including the computer mouse you are using to scroll through this story right now.

In the early '70s, SRI physicist, Dr. Harold Puthoff, began looking into psychic phenomena. According to the book, Psi Spies, by Jim Marrs, Puthoff's interests were piqued after reading a book on Russian psychic experiments. His colleague, Clive Backster, had been working on psychic experiments and one of the men he worked with, Ingo Swann, seemed to exhibit real psychic abilities. One of these skills being what Swann called "Remote Viewing," which was visualizing objects or places at a distance.

The same book that had piqued Puthoff's interest in psychic phenomena, Psychic Discoveries Behind the Iron Curtain, had prompted the CIA to begin monitoring the Russian's psychic research and inspired them to begin their own. They contacted SRI in 1972, and convinced by Swann's ability, the CIA funded Project Scanate. This eventually turned into the Army intelligence project Stargate, in which the remote viewing protocols SRI had developed were taught to a group of soldiers who would use the skill to remote view for various intelligence agencies. Stargate was headquartered at Fort Meade in Maryland.

Army intelligence officer Joe McMoneagle was the first man taught by SRI to remote view for project Stargate. In an interview, McMoneagle told me

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

The Idiocy, Fabrications And Lies Of Ancient Aliens

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 11:55:44 -0400
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 11:55:44 -0400
Subject: The Idiocy, Fabrications And Lies Of Ancient Aliens

Source: SmithsonianMag.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/7gkuyza>

May 11, 2012

The Idiocy, Fabrications And Lies Of Ancient Aliens
By Brian Switek

Until now, I have assiduously avoided Ancient Aliens. I had a feeling that if I watched the show - which popularizes far-fetched, evidence-free idiocy about how human history has been molded by extra-terrestrial visitors - my brain would jostle its way out of my skull and stalk the earth in search of a kinder host. Or, at the very least, watching the show would kill about as many brain cells as a weekend bender in Las Vegas. But then I heard the History Channel's slurry of pseudoscience had taken on dinosaurs. I steeled myself for the pain and watched the mind-melting madness unfold.

I'm actually glad that my editors don't allow me to cuss a blue streak on this blog. If they did, my entire review would be little more than a string of expletives. Given my restrictions, I have little choice but to try to encapsulate the shiny, documentary-format rubbish in a more coherent and reader-sensitive way.

The episode is what you would get if you dropped some creationist propaganda, Erich von D=E4niken's Chariots of the Gods and stock footage from Jurassic Fight Club into a blender. What results is a slimy and incomprehensible mixture of idle speculation and outright fabrications which pit the enthusiastic "ancient alien theorists", as the narrator generously calls them, against "mainstream science." I would say "You can't make this stuff up", but I have a feeling that that is exactly what most of the show's personalities were doing.

There was so much wrong with the Ancient Aliens episode that I could spend all week trying to counteract every incorrect assertion.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

ET: The Chances Of Finding Alien Life

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 12:06:32 -0400
Archived: Tue, 15 May 2012 12:06:32 -0400
Subject: ET: The Chances Of Finding Alien Life

Source: BBC.Com

<http://www.bbc.com/future/story/20120509-the-chances-of-finding-et>

14 May 2012

ET: The Chances Of Finding Alien Life

With new "Earth-like" planets being discovered every week, our space columnist Richard Hollingham asks whether it has increased our chances of finding intelligent alien life.

I've always imagined that on the wall at the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (Seti) Institute in California there's a sealed cabinet. Beside it, a hammer and big red letters reading: "In case of aliens, break glass". Inside will be instructions about what to do next.

In fact Seti does have a special protocol listing the procedures to follow if ET calls. It essentially boils down to this: tell everyone, have meetings, and get nations to agree whether to send a message back. Seti has recently updated this protocol, which may be timely given the number of planetary discoveries being notched up by Nasa's Kepler mission.

Launched in 2009, the Kepler space telescope is designed to detect planets orbiting stars, other than the Sun, that might support life. So far, it's found 2,321 possible planets and confirmed 61. Of these, the one everyone has been getting excited about is Kepler 22-b =96 a rocky planet in the "habitable zone" of its solar system.

But this is likely to be only the first of many.

"We're going to be flooded with habitable worlds over the next year or two," says Seth Shostak. As Seti's senior astronomer, Shostak will be the guy behind the Casio keyboard tapping out the D, E, C, C, G welcoming refrain when the big spaceship lands.

"Kepler has three years worth of data which means it can start discovering cousins of the Earth," Shostak explains. "So in some sense, this is a predictable tsunami of habitable worlds and =96 from a big picture point of view =96 I try not to let my blood pressure rise just because there's one more."

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: Dave Haith <visionsl.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 19:50:37 +0100
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 07:58:00 -0400
Subject: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: cprandrews.nul
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 3:49 PM
Subject: Family Issues Statement

The family of deceased crop circle researcher Pat Delgado issues a statement following claims by a medium and paranormal researcher nancy talbott to have made contact with him - its trickery.

Nancy Talbott (BLT) and Robbert van den Broeke have made many claims, which have included capturing on digital photographs UFOs, animals of all kinds and even Elvis Presley. Robbert claims special knowledge of when and where crop circles will appear near his home and they have. I must though say that these have all been very un-impressive and highly reminiscent of many hoaxes Ive seen over the years.

Recently they claimed to have mysteriously captured clear images of my deceased friend and research colleague, Pat Delgado and crop circle maker David Chorley, of Doug and Dave fame. Both men are said to have given personal messages to Robbert and Nancy Talbott.

In light of the latest claims the Delgado family have issued a statement - go to my website to read:

<http://tinyurl.com/76d2k8u>

Colin
www.ColinAndrews.net
Blog: <http://colinandrews.blogspot.com/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 16:52:49 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 07:59:31 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 12:35:53 -0300
>Archived: Mon, 14 May 2012 11:52:25 -0400
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

Don,

I'm aware of Alan Turing, Ultra, Enigma, etc.

>The British name for the code was not Enigma but Ultra.
>Enigma was the code-breaking machine they used.

Not true, Don.

Ultra was the decoded and interpreted intelligence. Enigma was one of the encrypting machines.

The actual code had various names - "Fish" being one of them. "Enigma code" meant "code produced by an Enigma machine".

Joe Desch of NCR was also heavily involved in decrypting messages using early computers.

I've never seen any movies about Ultra, Enigma, etc. Would Hollywood exaggerate and twist the facts? Yes.

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 21:19:07 +0000
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 08:17:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 07:00:34 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 20:13:21 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 12 May 2012 07:10:01 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>>>>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

>>>>Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>><snip>

>>>"This is Condor. No, wait. That was my old code name. This is
>>>'Useful Idiot'. How do you spell FOIA?"

>>>"Just walk away from the phone, Condor. Don't hang it up.

>>>And don't go home. We'll bring you in."

>>>Yet he calls himself a "Truth Seeker" at The Magic Thread. An
>>>odd moniker in his case - the "Truth Seeker" - who apparently
>>>believes in magic. A True Believer in magic - the magic of
>>>Official But Utterly Ridiculous Explanations (OBURE's). Maybe if
>>>he follows the Yellow Brick Road far enough in his quest for the
>>>truth, he'll reach Emerald City, and the Wizard will take him
>>>back to Kansas. I hope so.

>>>Carry on, oh Truth Seeker. We'll get you some help as soon as we
>>>can.

>>>(And by the way, Mr. Truth Seeker, it was mainly the Poles and
>>>the Brits who cracked the German Enigma code during WW2. Tell
>>>the truth.)

>>How about this Dave, everything you stated is not evidence of
>>anything except rumors, second hand death bed confessions,
>>imagined figures at a distance and, maybe, something seen in a
>>hanger somewhere. No evidence; no photos; just possibly faulty
>>memories - a need for a group of people to link themselves with
>>a group of believers.

>>Snarky comments are not a refutation of Carrion's article.
>>Repeating what you heard from other people, possibly, in the
>>halls at UFO conferences? Doesn't work for me.

>So, Kathy, if I understand you correctly, you're saying it is

>all a giant conspiracy?

Well, Jerry, nice to hear from you. You need to define what you mean by a "giant conspiracy". There are all kinds of lies that have been perpetrated throughout human history. Usually, in the name of protecting political power. All of history is a giant conspiracy? I don't think I implied that, except political power systems need to lie during war, during peace, to protect a sub rosa agenda, corrupt politicians lie - sometimes honest politicians lie. Which one of the lies would like me to address? There have been so many lies let's try to narrow it down.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

7F Basket Of Ufology

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 22:37:39 +0100
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 08:22:46 -0400
Subject: 7F Basket Of Ufology

Long time UFO researcher and pal of mine George Wingfield has been sounding off about the 'snake oil salesmen' of Ufology.

<http://www.bikebob.org/TrueBelievers.html>

Here's a snippet from his piece at the link above:

"At this stage I believe that it is useful to list some cases of alleged ET contact that serious UFO researchers have firmly consigned to what I call the "7F Basket".

The '7F' signifies falsehood, fiction, fantasy, fraud, fakery, folklore and flapdoodle.

Here are ten examples of well known cases that can be firmly consigned to the 7F Basket of ufology:-"

[More at link]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 08:18:31 -0500
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 09:46:00 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 21:19:07 +0000
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 07:00:34 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 13 May 2012 20:13:21 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>>Snarky comments are not a refutation of Carrion's article.
>>>Repeating what you heard from other people, possibly, in the
>>>halls at UFO conferences? Doesn't work for me.

>>So, Kathy, if I understand you correctly, you're saying it is
>>all a giant conspiracy?

>Well, Jerry, nice to hear from you. You need to define what you
>mean by a "giant conspiracy". There are all kinds of lies that
>have been perpetrated throughout human history. Usually, in the
>name of protecting political power. All of history is a giant
>conspiracy? I don't think I implied that, except political power
>systems need to lie during war, during peace, to protect a sub
>rosa agenda, corrupt politicians lie - sometimes honest
>politicians lie. Which one of the lies would like me to address?
>There have been so many lies let's try to narrow it down.

Kathy,

Let me put it this way:

If you're defending Carrion's belief that the CIA is responsible
for the UFO phenomenon, rather than uncountable sightings and
experiences going back a very long time, at least two (and
probably more) centuries, you're a conspiracy theorist.

Simple as that.

Cheers,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 15:05:00 +0100
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:56:53 -0400
Subject: Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 22:37:39 +0100
>Subject: 7F Basket Of Ufology

<snip>

>The '7F' signifies falsehood, fiction, fantasy, fraud, fakery,
>folklore and flapdoodle.

>Here are ten examples of well known cases that can be firmly
>consigned to the 7F Basket of ufology:-"

Dave,

Interesting read.

I do understand that Mr. Wingfield has been researching UFOs for some considerable years. Since Mr. Wingfield has listed the 10 cases he considers to be baloney, can we now have an article in which he lists the 10 cases in his professional research opinion that proves ET has walked on or visited this earth?

Regards,

Roy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 17:11:54 +0200
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:58:21 -0400
Subject: Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 22:37:39 +0100
>Subject: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>Long time UFO researcher and pal of mine George Wingfield has
>been sounding off about the 'snake oil salesmen' of Ufology.

><http://www.bikebob.org/TrueBelievers.html>

>Here's a snippet from his piece at the link above:

>"At this stage I believe that it is useful to list some cases of
>alleged ET contact that serious UFO researchers have firmly
>consigned to what I call the "7F Basket".

>The '7F' signifies falsehood, fiction, fantasy, fraud, fakery,
>folklore and flapdoodle.

>Here are ten examples of well known cases that can be firmly
>consigned to the 7F Basket of ufology:-"

Ok, maybe he'd like to make a list of ten cases that are widely
held by experts (including himself) to be true?

Diana

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:47:25 -0400
Archived: Wed, 16 May 2012 13:00:11 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: Dave Haith<visions1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 19:50:37 +0100
>Subject: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>-----

>From: cprandrews.nul
>Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 3:49 PM
>Subject: Family Issues Statement

>The family of deceased crop circle researcher Pat Delgado issues
>a statement following claims by a medium and paranormal
>researcher nancy talbott to have made contact with him - its
>trickery.

>Nancy Talbott (BLT) and Robbert van den Broeke have made many
>claims, which have included capturing on digital photographs
>UFOs, animals of all kinds and even Elvis Presley. Robbert
>claims special knowledge of when and where crop circles will
>appear near his home and they have. I must though say that these
>have all been very un-impressive and highly reminiscent of many
>hoaxes Ive seen over the years.

>Recently they claimed to have mysteriously captured clear images
>of my deceased friend and research colleague, Pat Delgado and
>crop circle maker David Chorley, of Doug and Dave fame. Both men
>are said to have given personal messages to Robbert and Nancy
>Talbot.

>In light of the latest claims the Delgado family have issued a
>statement - go to my website to read:

><http://tinyurl.com/76d2k8u>

>Colin
>www.ColinAndrews.net
>Blog:<http://colinandrews.blogspot.com/>

The accusation of trickery on the part of medium Robbert van den Broeke is unsupported in Colin Andrews' article. The motivation for that accusation seems to be disbelief in an anomalous event and a perceived attack on the good name of the deceased Pat Delgado.

This would not be the first time that Pat Delgado was involved in high strangeness, though. In fact, Colin Andrews reports on this himself on his own website in a discussion of the Cheesefoot Head crop formation. There it is said that Pat "Levitated and was pulled backwards by an invisible Force", and Colin "had a real job to break Pat free from the force".

<http://tinyurl.com/br66d5z>

In that case, I suppose Pat Delgado was around to give his permission to publish. So is permission required to show one's own image of a deceased person? That might be kind of hard to get. How long after the person has passed on should one wait to publish the photo?

Robbert van den Broeke's video showing the process that captured the two anomalous images is at the following url.

<http://tinyurl.com/c6uq5rc>

An attempt to debunk the images is presented at

<http://tinyurl.com/7saktss>

The author of the latter video argues that the faces in Robbert's images match warped versions of faces found in an old YouTube video. But people's faces don't change that much over time, so the source of the image is not particularly relevant, especially if warping and stretching of the image is done to optimize the match. Although some people may accept the argument as proof of trickery, merely showing that one can reproduce an effect does not prove that the original effect was a fraud. What it does do is discourage any further thinking about what may be going on.

I've been thinking about this kind of thing lately, especially in the context of physical mediumship. It seems that reproductions of objects that existed in the past is more the rule than the exception. Apports, or objects that seemingly appear in mid-air in the seance room, often seem to be from another era as if they existed in the past.

The same can be said for photos found on unopened film after a seance. These photos are often reproductions from books in libraries, old newspapers, or someone's personal photo collection. So maybe it should not be surprising that Robbert's anomalous photos are similar to photos subsequently found elsewhere. At the very least, it is nowhere near proof of fraud.

On the other hand, proof of the absence of fraud is hard to come by after the fact. The procedure shown in Robbert's video is a nod to proper control, but obviously could be much improved. At this point, the onus for proof of fraud lies with the accuser. In the absence of such proof, the most one can legitimately do is reserve judgment.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 13:27:16 -0400
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:10:05 -0400
Subject: Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 17:11:54 +0200
>Subject: Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>>From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 22:37:39 +0100
>>Subject: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>>Long time UFO researcher and pal of mine George Wingfield has
>>been sounding off about the 'snake oil salesmen' of Ufology.

>><http://www.bikebob.org/TrueBelievers.html>

>>Here's a snippet from his piece at the link above:

>>"At this stage I believe that it is useful to list some cases of
>>alleged ET contact that serious UFO researchers have firmly
>>consigned to what I call the "7F Basket".

>>The '7F' signifies falsehood, fiction, fantasy, fraud, fakery,
>>folklore and flapdoodle.

>>Here are ten examples of well known cases that can be firmly
>>consigned to the 7F Basket of ufology:-"

>Ok, maybe he'd like to make a list of ten cases that are widely
>held by experts (including himself) to be true?

I believe there can be some agreement on obvious nonsense, but getting agreement among researchers in regard to particular cases usually ends up in a long involved discussion of the Pros and Cons. Even of the most famous cases, I don't think you could get widespread agreement among most researchers.

I tend to agree with nearly all of George's "Top 10" list, but some still have their proponents and probably always will. But I've also noticed over the years that UFO Researchers that remain in the field for a while tend to become 'True Believers' or 'Rational Skeptics' over the long haul. George tended to have a good head on his shoulders, from what I read many years ago, and it doesn't surprise me that he avoided becoming a True Believer.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: "Michael M. Hughes" <michaelmhughes.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 13:27:51 -0400
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:14:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:47:25 -0400
>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>From: Dave Haith<visions1.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 19:50:37 +0100
>>Subject: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>-----

>>From: cprandrews.nul
>>Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 3:49 PM
>>Subject: Family Issues Statement

>>The family of deceased crop circle researcher Pat Delgado issues
>>a statement following claims by a medium and paranormal
>>researcher Nancy Talbott to have made contact with him - its
>>trickery.

>>Nancy Talbott (BLT) and Robbert van den Broeke have made many
>>claims, which have included capturing on digital photographs
>>UFOs, animals of all kinds and even Elvis Presley. Robbert
>>claims special knowledge of when and where crop circles will
>>appear near his home and they have. I must though say that these
>>have all been very un-impressive and highly reminiscent of many
>>hoaxes Ive seen over the years.

>>Recently they claimed to have mysteriously captured clear images
>>of my deceased friend and research colleague, Pat Delgado and
>>crop circle maker David Chorley, of Doug and Dave fame. Both men
>>are said to have given personal messages to Robbert and Nancy
>>Talbott.

>>In light of the latest claims the Delgado family have issued a
>>statement - go to my website to read:

>><http://tinyurl.com/76d2k8u>

>The accusation of trickery on the part of medium Robbert van den
>Broeke is unsupported in Colin Andrews' article. The motivation
>for that accusation seems to be disbelief in an anomalous event
>and a perceived attack on the good name of the deceased Pat
>Delgado.

>This would not be the first time that Pat Delgado was involved
>in high strangeness, though. In fact, Colin Andrews reports on
>this himself on his own website in a discussion of the
>Cheesefoot Head crop formation. There it is said that Pat
>"Levitated and was pulled backwards by an invisible Force", and
>Colin "had a real job to break Pat free from the force".

><http://tinyurl.com/br66d5z>

>In that case, I suppose Pat Delgado was around to give his
>permission to publish. So is permission required to show one's
>own image of a deceased person? That might be kind of hard to

>get. How long after the person has passed on should one wait to
>publish the photo?

>Robbert van den Broeke's video showing the process that captured
>the two anomalous images is at the following url.

><http://tinyurl.com/c6ug5rc>

>An attempt to debunk the images is presented at

><http://tinyurl.com/7saktss>

>The author of the latter video argues that the faces in
>Robbert's images match warped versions of faces found in an old
>YouTube video. But people's faces don't change that much over
>time, so the source of the image is not particularly relevant,
>especially if warping and stretching of the image is done to
>optimize the match. Although some people may accept the argument
>as proof of trickery, merely showing that one can reproduce an
>effect does not prove that the original effect was a fraud. What
>it does do is discourage any further thinking about what may be
>going on.

>I've been thinking about this kind of thing lately, especially
>in the context of physical mediumship. It seems that
>reproductions of objects that existed in the past is more the
>rule than the exception. Apports, or objects that seemingly
>appear in mid-air in the seance room, often seem to be from
>another era as if they existed in the past.

>The same can be said for photos found on unopened film after a
>seance. These photos are often reproductions from books in
>libraries, old newspapers, or someone's personal photo
>collection. So maybe it should not be surprising that Robbert's
>anomalous photos are similar to photos subsequently found
>elsewhere. At the very least, it is nowhere near proof of fraud.

>On the other hand, proof of the absence of fraud is hard to come
>by after the fact. The procedure shown in Robbert's video is a
>nod to proper control, but obviously could be much improved. At
>this point, the onus for proof of fraud lies with the accuser.
>In the absence of such proof, the most one can legitimately do
>is reserve judgment.

As a mentalist, I can think of multiple ways to duplicate the
alleged photographic manifestations in that video. I'm with
Colin and the Delgado family - this is blatant trickery and
extremely unethical.

Michael M. Hughes

<http://michaelmhughes.com>
<http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes>
<http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: Dave Haith <visionsl.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 18:59:58 +0100
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:17:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

<snip>

>>Blog:<http://colinandrews.blogspot.com/>

>The accusation of trickery on the part of medium Robbert van den
>Broeke is unsupported in Colin Andrews' article. The motivation
>for that accusation seems to be disbelief in an anomalous event
>and a perceived attack on the good name of the deceased Pat
>Delgado.

<snip>

>Robbert van den Broeke's video showing the process that captured
>the two anomalous images is at the following url.

><http://tinyurl.com/c6uq5rc>

>An attempt to debunk the images is presented at

><http://tinyurl.com/7saktss>

>The author of the latter video argues that the faces in
>Robbert's images match warped versions of faces found in an old
>YouTube video. But people's faces don't change that much over
>time, so the source of the image is not particularly relevant,
>especially if warping and stretching of the image is done to
>optimize the match. Although some people may accept the argument
>as proof of trickery, merely showing that one can reproduce an
>effect does not prove that the original effect was a fraud. What
>it does do is discourage any further thinking about what may be
>going on.

>I've been thinking about this kind of thing lately, especially
>in the context of physical mediumship. It seems that
>reproductions of objects that existed in the past is more the
>rule than the exception. Apports, or objects that seemingly
>appear in mid-air in the seance room, often seem to be from
>another era as if they existed in the past.

>The same can be said for photos found on unopened film after a
>seance. These photos are often reproductions from books in
>libraries, old newspapers, or someone's personal photo
>collection. So maybe it should not be surprising that Robbert's
>anomalous photos are similar to photos subsequently found
>elsewhere. At the very least, it is nowhere near proof of fraud.

>On the other hand, proof of the absence of fraud is hard to come
>by after the fact. The procedure shown in Robbert's video is a
>nod to proper control, but obviously could be much improved. At
>this point, the onus for proof of fraud lies with the accuser.
>In the absence of such proof, the most one can legitimately do
>is reserve judgment.

I agree William that the history of paranormal photos and
apports is full of copies of versions in the physical world.

Google the Scoble Experiment to see some good examples.

To be fair to Robbert, he or Nancy make no secret of the fact that some of his claimed paranormal photos mirror, or come close to mirroring, existing photos.

I remember he manifested the photo of a soldier later found to be in a book of a neighbour - and this was freely admitted by Nancy and Robbert.

I imagine most people in this group will assume - especially after viewing the debunking video - that this is all trickery. And there's little doubt in my mind that that particular news clip was in some way instrumental in the production of Robbert's images of Pat Delgado and Dave Chorley. Just look at that wisp of hair on the left of Pat's forehead - neatly duplicated in Robbert's fuzzy image.

But as you say this doesn't actually prove fakery.

The famous Ted Serios produced images in his 'thoughtography' similar to images he may have seen.

So the questions are:

Did Robbert or an accomplice see the video images and somehow copy them onto his camera with trickery?

Did Robbert see the video newsclip and somehow innocently transfer his memory of the images onto the camera chip?

Or did the deceased Pat or Dave or some other spirits do the business paranormally - perhaps consulting the Akashic Records (not sure if according to occult tradition they include news videos!) as some kind of Wikipedia?

It's an intriguing paranormal 'whodunnit'...

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:09:19 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:22:45 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:47:25 -0400
>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>From: Dave Haith<visions1.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 19:50:37 +0100
>>Subject: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

<snip>

>The author of the latter video argues that the faces in
>Robbert's images match warped versions of faces found in an old
>YouTube video. But people's faces don't change that much over
>time, so the source of the image is not particularly relevant,
>especially if warping and stretching of the image is done to
>optimize the match. Although some people may accept the argument
>as proof of trickery, merely showing that one can reproduce an
>effect does not prove that the original effect was a fraud. What
>it does do is discourage any further thinking about what may be
>going on.

>I've been thinking about this kind of thing lately, especially
>in the context of physical mediumship. It seems that
>reproductions of objects that existed in the past is more the
>rule than the exception. Apports, or objects that seemingly
>appear in mid-air in the seance room, often seem to be from
>another era as if they existed in the past.

>The same can be said for photos found on unopened film after a
>seance. These photos are often reproductions from books in
>libraries, old newspapers, or someone's personal photo
>collection. So maybe it should not be surprising that Robbert's
>anomalous photos are similar to photos subsequently found
>elsewhere. At the very least, it is nowhere near proof of fraud.

>On the other hand, proof of the absence of fraud is hard to come
>by after the fact. The procedure shown in Robbert's video is a
>nod to proper control, but obviously could be much improved. At
>this point, the onus for proof of fraud lies with the accuser.
>In the absence of such proof, the most one can legitimately do
>is reserve judgment.

William:

Without an explanation of Robbert's method of "capturing an
image", there is no "nod to proper control". The video can very
easily be manipulated. It was hard to imagine just what was
going on in Robbert's video. (Note: the reference is to the
YouTube images.)

Who was photographing Robbert holding the camera? Where was he
pointing the camera? Am I, as the observer, suppose to think
that Robbert was capturing images within his camera on a blank
chip when what I am observing is the image within the clip of
the camera of the unnamed person photographing Robbert capturing
an image in... uh, mid-air.

Sorry, as someone who read protocols for a living for five years, what I saw and read was not a "nod to proper control".

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:29:52 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:24:51 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 09:46:00 -0400
>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>From: post.nul
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 08:18:31 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 21:19:07 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>Kathy,

>Let me put it this way:

>If you're defending Carrion's belief that the CIA is responsible
>for the UFO phenomenon, rather than uncountable sightings and
>experiences going back a very long time, at least two (and
>probably more) centuries, you're a conspiracy theorist.

>Simple as that.

Jerry:

I would like to hear what changed Carrion's view on UFOs.

Simple as that.

Peace, out,

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:58:39 +0000
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:28:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>From: post.nul
>To: ufo-updates-list
>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 10:51:46 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>Source: Billy Cox's De Void

><http://tinyurl.com/ce9od2c>

>Monday, May 14, 2012

>Daddy Needs His Medicine
>by Billy Cox

>De Void liked it better when the 1947 Roswell UFO crash was
>attributed to space aliens. It was a more palatable notion, or
>at least more benign. Here they were, off-world de Gamas and
>Magellans, trying hard to tip-toe above a planet newly gutted by
>primitives, ego and apocalyptic insecurities. The explorers are
>easing around the edges of the heart of darkness =97 in this case,
>New Mexico, blistered by Earth=92s first atomic explosion,
>experimenting with new missile and bomber delivery systems =97
>when suddenly, their lonely vessel strays too close, takes a
>lightning strike and boom, bodies and techno-treasure litter
>foreign sands.

>Au [rel]voir, sweet fiction.

>Now we know: It was us all along. The worst, skankiest, most
>paranoid facets of human impulse, all crammed into a narrative
>of deceit and treachery aimed at bamboozling our own species. So
>it=92s time we just owned up to it and admitted we=92re lowdown web-
>spinning scumbags, maybe in need of an asteroid fix.

>We knew the alien thing was bogus back in 2005 when Nick Redfern
>published Body Snatchers in the Desert. This is when we learned,
>according to a description, =93the darkest secret of the US
>Government =97 that a post-WW2 program used the deformed and
>crippled bodies of adults and children in biological and nuclear
>experiments.=94 Yeah =97 the Roswell corpses weren=92t ETs, but the
>handiwork of Imperial Japan=92s twisted scientists.

>Then, last year...

>[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Dear List:

It is hard for Americans to swallow the fact that the U.S.
government incarcerated Japanese-Americans. Because a small
number of the detainees protested and claimed their rights as
citizens, they were considered "trouble makers," tortured and
denied their citizenship. It did take place and it did take
place in New Mexico near Fort Stanton. Down the road from the
so-called Roswell debris field.

And, it certainly was a nasty, skanky action paid for my the
American taxpayer. The American population was fed propaganda
claiming it was the greatest and most freedom loving government

in the world and yet it was rounding up people they considered undesirables who were American citizens. People who sided with the Americans in World War II.

Put the above together with the fact that Werner von Braun and a secret group of relocated German scientists were putting together the beginnings of a program later called Dynasoar - a space program.

It is possible that to cover up and make an attempt to appease the Japanese-Americans, held at Fort Stanton, they were given a way to reclaim and show that they were "good Americans". I guess what is not known by many ufo researchers was the number of prototypical exotic air craft being tested in the New Mexican outback. I cannot see a conflict between training the short statured, shaved/bald-headed, physically fit Japanese-Americans trained to fly the exotic air craft. They were considered the dross of American society and expendable.

So, I don't agree with Nick Redfern. The Americans didn't need twisted Chinese scientists. They had detainees from a secret camp in New Mexico. Detainees who wanted to back to their homes and families on the West Coast of America and possibly were promised just that if only they would help the American government win the war by participating in a covert program. What a very sad comment on agencies of the American government. What a load for the American people to carry without being aware of what was done in their name.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 18:53:13 -0300
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:48:45 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 16:52:49 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 12:35:53 -0300
>>Archived: Mon, 14 May 2012 11:52:25 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>I'm aware of Alan Turing, Ultra, Enigma, etc.

>>The British name for the code was not Enigma but Ultra.
>>Enigma was the code-breaking machine they used.

>Not true, Don.

>Ultra was the decoded and interpreted intelligence. Enigma was
>one of the encrypting machines.

>The actual code had various names - "Fish" being one of them.
>"Enigma code" meant "code produced by an Enigma machine".

>Joe Desch of NCR was also heavily involved in decrypting
>messages using early computers.

>I've never seen any movies about Ultra, Enigma, etc. Would
>Hollywood exaggerate and twist the facts? Yes.

Dave Morton

Ultra was the code given to the code books used to encrypt or
decipher the codes. Ultra II was recovered by Walker.

And I mentioned only the machine as being the Enigma.

Never mentioned it as a code.

Codes for German Naval transmissions as differed from the German
Army or the Luftwaffe had their own pet names assigned from what
I understand.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:03:13 -0400
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 07:52:31 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: cprandrews.nul
>Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 3:49 PM
>Subject: Family Issues Statement

<snip>

>Nancy Talbott (BLT) and Robbert van den Broeke have made many
>claims, which have included capturing on digital photographs
>UFOs, animals of all kinds and even Elvis Presley. Robbert
>claims special knowledge of when and where crop circles will
>appear near his home and they have. I must though say that
>these have all been very un-impressive and highly reminiscent
>of many hoaxes Ive seen over the years.

Recently, Nancy Talbott and Robbert van den Broeke appeared on two successive Paracast podcasts, hosted by Gene Steinberg and Chris O'Brien. Gene, and Chris too, were very unimpressed by Robbert's photos.

Indeed, many of Robbert's photos contain what are clearly, though slightly distorted, clipped areas of photographs in the public domain. What's more, these clipped areas sometimes appear over and over, in successive photos taken by Robbert.

But over the years, what I have heard reported by Nancy on the subject of crop circles is exhaustive research, aimed at getting at scientifically verified truth for bona fide crop circles (which exhibit characteristics absent in hoaxed circles.) Could it be that Nancy has tossed her careful evidence-based approach aside, and is now knowingly assisting a hoaxer? I find that hard to believe.

Furthermore, Nancy has made a great deal of effort to go and see Robbert's environment, friends and family herself, and she has witnessed anomalous events, first hand while there.

Then, Nancy has put considerable documentary work into a six-part article regarding Robbert's photos. In that article, she does acknowledge the hoax-like elements in some of Robbert's photos. She presents some original photos from which Robbert's anomalous being images were almost certainly taken.

After reading that detailed article, my question was, would a hoaxer, or someone promoting a hoaxer, actually publish the photos from which the hoaxed photo elements were taken? I find that inconceivable.

I don't hear Nancy or Robbert trying to promote any theory as to what is causing the photo anomalies. They simply display the results, and wonder aloud what is happening.

What it looks to me like is that some "entity" - perhaps the same "entity" responsible for the crop circles - is for reasons unknown, trying to discredit Robbert by using pieces of photos in the public domain and inserting them into Robbert's images.

That sounds absolutely bonkers, I know, but if Robbert and Nancy are not perpetrating a hoax, then who or what is cutting and pasting parts of photos into Robbert's images?

Does the "entity" not like people with psychic ability investigating crop circles? Is this an example of that sometimes discussed "trickster element" at work?

I have only questions and no answers, but I think that Nancy is quite capable of detecting a hoax, given her exhaustive investigative history and abilities. I think it is far too soon to just write Robbert's abilities off as being a hoax.

Nancy has told me she plans to post additional information regarding Robbert's abilities and photos, so I'm going to wait and see, before forming an opinion. Perhaps time will tell.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: Edward Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 16:49:51 -0700
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:09:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:47:25 -0400
>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>At this point, the onus for proof of fraud lies with the accuser.
>In the absence of such proof, the most one can legitimately do
>is reserve judgment.

William, EBK, List,

I posted information on Robbert van den Broeke when his powers were discussed on the UpDates List in 2009.

"A very strange thing happened recently on Dutch TV when they broadcast a series featuring a so-called medium, 25-year-old Robbert van den Broeke. At one point he began to reveal "supernaturally, of course!" all sorts of information about a former camera-man on the show who had committed suicide some months ago. His wife was in the studio. Unfortunately for Robbert, he was far too accurate and specific. He told the widow that she'd had another life before this one, as "Hillegien Rozeboom," who died in 1823. He also gave her the exact date of birth of Hillegien. He said that her husband in that life was named Luwert, who had the profession of "genverbrander". The "medium" said that he didn't know what a genverbrander was. Well, nobody knows, because that word does not exist in Dutch. It looks like an existing word, but is not. Robbert must have thought that it was some kind of antique profession with which he was not familiar."

Much more at site:

<http://www.randi.org/jr/2006-01/010606netherlands.html>

Ed

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 05:12:07 +0100
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:11:12 -0400
Subject: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

Hello List

To revisit this subject:

When CNES (French National Centre for Space Studies) released its UFO files in 2007 it said that 28% of those reports were unexplained.

<http://www.cnes-geipan.fr/>

And today their site says 22% of ongoing reports are 'unidentified'.

In 'UFO - Secret Access on the Record'

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xTPFF-ghqf8>

(from about 59 mins)

We hear that after the Belgian wave, General Wilfried De Brouwer said that of several thousand reports a total of 650 were investigated and of those, 500 remained unexplained. That's approximately 77%.

Yet the media in UK and USA continually claim that "only 5%" of sightings are unexplained.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

6 Most Likely Places To Find Alien Life

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:13:59 -0400
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:13:59 -0400
Subject: 6 Most Likely Places To Find Alien Life

Source: Space.Com

<http://www.space.com/15702-alien-life-solar-system-places.html>

Date: 16 May 2012

The 6 Most Likely Places To Find Alien Life
by Seth Shostak, Senior Astronomer, SETI Institute

Let's be upfront about this: We still don't have hard-nosed evidence for any life that's not of this Earth. That fact hasn't changed since the time of Aristotle.

But unlike that gifted Greek, a lot of folks today think proof of alien biology could grace the next news cycle. After all, astronomers have recently uncovered thousands of new planets; space agencies have relentlessly hurled hardware at dozens of solar system targets; and SETI (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence) scientists continue to build new equipment for tuning in ET's radio chatter.

Research budgets may be low, but expectations are high. Among the long list of locales where we might uncover life-as-we-don't-know-it, which are my favorites? In other words, where do I think we'll first find bits of self-organized chemistry that would forever =96 forever =96 be Exhibit A in our argument that life is a cosmic infection, rather than something extraordinarily rare or even unique?

Listed below are my top six picks for your consideration and possible contention

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 06:48:32 -0500
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:18:44 -0400
Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:58:39 +0000
>Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>>From: post.nul
>>To: ufo-updates-list
>>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 10:51:46 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>>Source: Billy Cox's De Void

>><http://tinyurl.com/ce9od2c>

>>Monday, May 14, 2012

>>Daddy Needs His Medicine
>>by Billy Cox

<snip>

>It is hard for Americans to swallow the fact that the U.S.
>government incarcerated Japanese-Americans. Because a small
>number of the detainees protested and claimed their rights as
>citizens, they were considered "trouble makers," tortured and
>denied their citizenship. It did take place and it did take
>place in New Mexico near Fort Stanton. Down the road from the
>so-called Roswell debris field.

>And, it certainly was a nasty, skanky action paid for my the
>American taxpayer. The American population was fed propaganda
>claiming it was the greatest and most freedom loving government
>in the world and yet it was rounding up people they considered
>undesirables who were American citizens. People who sided with
>the Americans in World War II.

>Put the above together with the fact that Werner von Braun and a
>secret group of relocated German scientists were putting
>together the beginnings of a program later called Dynasoar - a
>space program.

>It is possible that to cover up and make an attempt to appease
>the Japanese-Americans, held at Fort Stanton, they were given a
>way to reclaim and show that they were "good Americans". I guess
>what is not known by many ufo researchers was the number of
>prototypical exotic air craft being tested in the New Mexican
>outback. I cannot see a conflict between training the short
>statured, shaved/bald-headed, physically fit Japanese-Americans
>trained to fly the exotic air craft. They were considered the
>dross of American society and expendable.

>So, I don't agree with Nick Redfern. The Americans didn't need
>twisted Chinese scientists. They had detainees from a secret
>camp in New Mexico. Detainees who wanted to back to their homes
>and families on the West Coast of America and possibly were
>promised just that if only they would help the American
>government win the war by participating in a covert program.
>What a very sad comment on agencies of the American government.
>What a load for the American people to carry without being aware

>of what was done in their name.

Kathy,

Again, the conspiracy theory.

There is no doubt that the U.S. government treated Japanese-Americans shamefully during World War II.

From that undeniable historical reality, however, it is quite quite something else to extrapolate that it was using them in grotesque aviation experiments and that somehow the Roswell incident is evidence of such.

It never ceases to amaze me how grand theories are regularly concocted out of minuscule or nonexistent evidence. Here, sadly, is one more example.

Sigh,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:23:35 -0400
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:23:35 -0400
Subject: UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!

Source: MysteriousUniverse.Org

<http://mysteriousuniverse.org/2012/05/ufos-mj12-reaches-25/>

May 15th

UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!
by Nick Redfern

Well, I was kind of expecting to see a bit of a fanfare this month about the infamous MJ12 documents. Why? Simple: this month marks exactly twenty-five years since they surfaced into the public domain in the pages of Tim Good's acclaimed book of May 1987: Above Top Secret: The Worldwide UFO Cover-Up. That there hasn't seemingly been much in the way of commentary on the 25th anniversary of what are, without doubt, one of the most controversial "things" ever to have surfaced within the ufological arena, suggests a few possibilities=85

First, maybe whole swathes of Ufology have simply forgotten about MJ12. Second, perhaps the fact that - for many in Ufology - the documents continue to frustratingly languish in that murky stalemate-driven realm of "genuine, disinformation, or hoax?" has led the research community to focus its attentions on what it sees as far more profitable and likely ways to secure the truth behind the UFO phenomenon. And, third, maybe people just don't care anymore about the debate surrounding the papers, their content, the way they surfaced, and the attendant analysis of their content.

Whatever the answer(s), the papers did - and, for some, still do - play a significant role in Ufology for many a year.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO Witnesses

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:34:54 -0400
Archived: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:34:54 -0400
Subject: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO Witnesses

Source: HuffPo

<http://tinyurl.com/cukjsho>

05/16/2012

UFO Amnesty: Ex-Army Colonel John Alexander Seeks Amnesty For
Military Who Witness UFOs
Lee Spiegel

If you're in the military and have ever seen what you believe to be a UFO, but were reluctant to mention it for fear of ridicule or, worse, repercussions that might end your career, take heart. Things may change.

A former military insider with top secret clearance who created Advanced Theoretical Physics - a group of top-level government officials and scientists brought together to study UFO reports - has just called on three of the highest-ranking military and intelligence officials in the Obama administration.

Retired Army Col. John Alexander has one goal: to ask Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta, CIA Director Gen. David Petraeus and National Intelligence Director Gen. James Clapper to offer amnesty to anyone in the military who has been previously sworn to secrecy about UFOs.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

This Week At Inexplicata - May 16, 2012

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 06:38:10 -0400
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 06:35:04 -0400
Subject: This Week At Inexplicata - May 16, 2012

INEXPLICATA

The Journal Of Hispanic Ufology

May 16, 2012

Spain: A Humanoid at Fuengirola (CE-3, 1976)
By Manuel Ramirez, "Ovnis en Andalucia"

On the night of 9-10 July, 1976, a group of young photography enthusiasts agreed to travel to a small country home owned by one of them in the vicinity of Fuengirola (Malaga). They reached the house at around one o'clock in the morning on a warm summer evening. The main purpose of their journey was to test new photographic gear and spend some days at the beach. As they settled in, a member of the group (as told by Manuel Linares to researchers Miguel Peyro, Emilio Linares, In=E9s P=E9rez, Ignacio Benvenuty, Ricardo Lineros and Manuel Ontivero of the CIEPE group) informed his friends that according to word of mouth, a flying saucer had been seen to sweep across the skies "like a luminous stain". No one took him seriously.

However, shortly after taking photographs within the house, the new arrivals began hearing a deep, powerful respiration, comparable to a strange animal panting outside the dwelling. The five young men went outside with more curiosity than fear, thinking that it could be a prank, or the likelihood that a burglar had taken advantage of the cover of darkness to conduct his activities, as the summer house was normally unoccupied. Armed with axes and machetes, the young men turned on some flashlights and set out to explore the surroundings, hoping to find the source of the odd panting they had heard in the silence of the night. The heavy panting stopped the minute they turned on their flashlights. The silence became more intense. It seemed that alleged animal, or whatever it was, had vanished.

At five o'clock in the morning, Manuel Linares was roused from a deep sleep by some strange noises. He alerted his friends and all of them were able to hear the sound of panting respiration once again, punctuated by a whistling sound resembling the sound made by a car braking on pavement.

Three of the young men ran toward a hallway with a window that opened precisely toward the location the sounds were being heard. They approached it at a crouch, fearing discovery by the strange visitor. When they reached the window, they saw the source of the loud panting they'd heard...and it made their blood run cold.

Standing beside a tree was a sort of very tall man, taller than the tree itself, with two very bright sources of light where his eyes should have been. The figure's outline was lost in the darkness, although the figure appeared to be enveloped in a black robe.

Following a few minutes of genuine panic, their reaction was to find the machetes with which to fight off a possible act of aggression from the strange prowler. Upon leaving the house,

they found that the figure had either concealed itself or vanished. The only possible clue was that the surrounding temperature became considerably warmer, and a powerful smell of sulfur filled the air. Manuel Linares returned to his room, from where he saw something even stranger.

"I saw a shadow walk past the doorway, neither quickly nor slowly. I turned on the lights and tried to hide. The shadow, making noises like the sound of deep breathing, walked right by."

Linares and his buddies took shelter in the house and didn't dare leave again. Shortly after, a new sound startled them: strange footsteps could be heard on the rooftop. Momentarily, "something" started banging on the doors and windows, as though trying to enter the house. The feeling was could not be described. The banging sound faded after a while and there was no further sign of the "visitor", but they'd experienced enough, and returned to Seville immediately. The long night siege was over.

Only some facts bear out the story told by the five youths: in that very same area, more or less a year before the night siege, a series of unexplained events had taken place. Many animals of various species had been found dead within a short span of time. No natural cause was found to account for their deaths.

(Translation (c) 2012, Scott Corrales, Institute of Hispanic Ufology. Special thanks to Jos=E9 Manuel Garcia Bautista)

Posted By Inexplicata at 8:43 am

Spain: The Algeciras Humanoids (CE-3, 1980)
By Manuel Ramirez, "Ovnis en Andalucia"

On 12 February 1980, Rafael Tobajas, a resident of the city of Algeciras, accompanied his wife to visit some friends, another married couple in which the wife was bedridden with the flu. From the terrace of that apartment, Rafael Tobajas was able to see some strange lights moving in the direction of "Los Adalides". This marked the start of a strange story that was echoed by the local press and became the talk of the town in Algeciras.

A strange phenomenon repeated itself night after night in February 1980 along the local highway, known by the name "Botafuego" and linking the towns of Llano de la Vega, Garganta del Capit=Eln and Sierra de Ojen. Around 9:30 p.m. every night, lights resembling white fireballs with violet hues crossed the firmament. Many witnesses agreed that these objects, upon descending for closer inspection, resembled inverted teacups with red and green lights, shooting beams of light, with protruding antennae from their upper sections. The objects were able to change position and altitude quickly. Their heading, according to local witnesses, was always the same: from North to South, descending slowly over Sierra de Oj=E9n toward the Algeciras neighborhoods known as "Los Adalides", "La Granja" and Camino Viejo de Los Barrios. The objects made no noise whatsoever and moved speedily.

Rafael Tobajas and his wife, on their visit to the couple with the ailing wife, were the first to see something strange in the sky when they looked out on the apartment's terrace at nine thirty in the evening. Tobajas had heard, like everyone else in Algeciras, about the remarks being made in the city at the time, and paid little attention to what he saw. He thought it was a bright flash and re-entered the apartment without further concern. Subsequently, however, driven by curiosity, he decided to focus his eyes on the same spot in the distance. There was no doubt that something was moving in the air, wobbling and emitting readily discernible flashes of light. He summons his host and asks him accompany him to the site for a closer look in order to ascertain its nature and observe it in greater detail. His friend declined, and Tobajas and his wife set off on their own.

Driving their car down the old road to Los Barrios, they passed by the entrance to the Los Adalides school. Standing outside was a group of thirty or forty boys armed with sticks and

flashlights. Tobajas exchanged some words with the boys and three of them =96 as many as he could fit in his car =96 agreed to join in on the closer look, since they too had seen something odd in the night. Jos=E9 A. Sanjuan, Jos=E9 Rodriguez and Diego Gutierrez boarded the car and guided Rafael Tobajas along the narrow road leading to the area where the strange flying craft materialized.

A few kilometers away, upon reaching a garbage-burning pit in the vicinity, they saw two bright lights on the slopes of the Sierra de Ojen. Stopping the car, they used binoculars to focus on two luminous masses dancing in the air for some ten or fifteen minutes. The light show was attractive, but their curiosity grew apace as they objects descended. Looking through the binoculars and unaided, Tobajas, his wife and the three boys were able to see that the two lights were like "headlights" of a dark grey mass whose outlines could not be made out in the darkness.

Mrs. Tobajas is the first to discover something that will cause fear and uncertainty among the group: She is able to see, through binoculars, a figure emerging from the unknown craft and standing nearly three meters tall, perhaps taller. Rafael Tobajas took the lenses from his wife and confirms the sighting, only it wasn't one, but two figures standing slightly over three meters tall, clad in form-fitting khaki green outfits and walking in slow motion, as though weighed down by their feet. They saw the figures jumping over some bushes, at which point their limbs became perfectly discernible.

The distance between one figure and the other was about a hundred meters at most, and the vehicle was near a stream on the slides of a small mound. No buzzing sounds are heard. The brightness of the craft became more intense, causing the two figures to cast their shadows over the stream. Walking slowly, they appeared to be headed to where Tobajas and his companions observed the events. The children were starting to panic, but Tobajas, remaining calm, fears that something worse is about to happen, as he cannot bring himself to believe what he is seeing. He chooses to put the car in gear and abandon the area as quickly as possible.

As the car raced away down the narrow road, the boys still feel the sensation that the entities were coming after them, moving slowly. After going around a curve, they lost sight of the humanoids. The experiencers agree on what they have seen, and numerous witnesses in the area corroborate the details of the sighting.

A few hours after the sighting, the main witness [Tobajas] returned to the site, finding an array of strange footprints on the ground. On this second venture, a person having no connection to the first group, in order to insure objectivity, accompanied him. The prints discovered in the vicinity of the stream appear to belong =96 according to experts from the Red Nacional de Corresponsales (National Correspondents Network) =96 to mortar rounds fired during exercises by the military brigade at Botafuegos. They dismiss the possibility, however, that the events seen that night could have had any connection to the military. Furthermore, there is another detail that corroborates the strange possibility of a humanoid presence in the area.

It took place a few kilometers away from the scene of the events and has a single protagonist: the watchman of an automobile graveyard near Los Barrios.

On the evening of 12 February 1980, the graveyard watchman was snoozing inside one of the vehicles, which still had its doors and windows, to shelter himself from the cold temperature. Fast asleep, he suddenly felt a hand pounding on the car window. He woke up suddenly and was stunned by what he saw. On the other side of the auto glass, he saw an entity with female features, slanted eyes and greenish skin. Taking hold of a long stake he kept handy to ward off potential thieves, and gripped by a mixture of panic and bravery, the watchman opened the car door to give the strange character a beating. Emerging from the vehicle without the visitor offering any resistance, the watchman was able to see the female figure dematerialize before his very eyes.

Overwhelmed by panic, the watchman deserted his post and never

went back.

This event, however, did not seem to be the first one recorded in the area in question: in September 1959 at 2:30 a.m., a worker at a pump house in the city of Algeciras was able to see an oval object surrounded by a "visor", measuring six or seven meters in diameter and hovering 180 centimeters over the ground. Two human-looking figures stood between the object and the onlooker. He placed their height at one meter eighty, and they fled from him upon being discovered. Twenty-one years later, Tobajas, his wife and companions were able to see a similar situation to the one experienced by the cemetery watchman and the pump house worker =96 one they could not explain.

(Translation (c) 2012, Scott Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to J. Manuel Garcia Bautista)

Posted By Inexplicata At 6:04 am

Monday, May 14, 2012

Follow-Up: The A Grana UAV (Spain, 1966)
By Scott Corrales

Our friend and colleague Angel Rodriguez, director of Spain's GEIFO organization, has sent us an update on the fascinating story involving the 1966 account of the UFO that turned out to be a UAV =96 of sorts. Angel brings to our attention a three minute clip aired on Television de Galicia (TVG) on the remarkable incident, bearing the title "Ovni recuperado polo ex=E9rcito" (UFO recovered by the army) and featuring an interview with the eyewitness who actually managed to see the recovered object nestled safely inside one of the color-coded tunnels deep in the cliffside, surrounded by armed guards.

Juan Daniel Araoz, the witness, says the following: "It had the aspect of a flying saucer, as commonly described, and must have measured some twelve meters in diameter more or less, and had lights that went on and off, green, red and yellow, intermittently. It flew over the area slowly, remained in sight for some twenty, twenty-five minutes, and then slowly made its way toward [the town of] Baz=Eln, and from there to the mouth of the ria."

The object at some point plunged into the ocean and was subsequently recovered by fishermen, who hauled it back to shore, only to have it confiscated by the military.

Araoz, however, was able to see the object after it was under custody.

"A sailor, at the instructions of his commander, took me to the tunnels, an impressive series of tunnels, because that place is a naval base, full of ammunition, and then when we reached a room, he suddenly told me: "it's this." That's when I saw, in fact, the color of the object that I'd seen, a yellowish color. To my astonishment, I saw the writing "NASA" followed by some numbers underneath. I was stunned, since I was a believer in the presence of extraterrestrial flying saucers."

This discoidal craft does not match any of the known UAVs or drones in use from 1960 to 1970: Beech AQM-37 Jayhawk, target (1961), QH-50 DASH, attack (torpedo launch), Ryan Model 147/AQM-34 series Fire Fly and Lightning Bug, reconnaissance (1962), Northrop M/BQM-74A Chukar, target, decoy (1964), Lockheed D-21, reconnaissance (1964), Ryan AQM-91 Firefly, reconnaissance (1968) and the BQM-90, target (1970). All of these models had wings.

So what was the mysterious NASA-identified vehicle that crashed into Galician waters in 1966? A piece of Soviet technology captured by the military and tested overseas? A relic of Nazi aeronautics being tested for unknown properties? Until the file on A Grana is released, the field for speculation remains wide open.

Addendum: Only a few hours after posting this item, Angel Rodriguez sent us a photograph of the entrance to Tunnel No.3 (covered by a color-coded door, this one green) with some very

interesting commentary about Spain's nuclear ambitions during the Franco years. It would appear that considerable uranium enrichment took place in underground facilities such as these, and that the government had access to (U.S. supplied) Nike-Hercules missiles. Unconfirmed reports suggested that this nuclear force would have been used in the Sahara against the Green March - a chilling prospect. Here is the photo in question:

Posted By Inexplicata at 8:30 am

[Stories of saucer crashes and retrievals are not the exclusive province of the American Southwest. The Pacific coast of South America has some interesting cases to offer, as we can see from Raul Nunez's "UFO Crash/Retrivals in Chile. Mr. Nunez is the director of his country's branch of the Instituto de Investigaciones Espaciales (IEEE) and a frequent contributor to Inexplicata. This article appeared in Issue #9 of the INEXPLICATA journal -- SC]

UFO Crash/Retrievals in Chile
By Raul Nunez

On October 7, 1998 at 15:45 hours, residents of Paihuano, a small village in Valle de Elqui (Chile) underwent an extraordinary experience which keep its 2,500 residents on the edge of their seats. A flying object described as having a metallic color and measuring some 15 meters across remained motionless over the Las Mollacas hill, from which the entire town could be seen.

The object began to rise and abruptly made a sudden turn that split it in two before the awestruck witnesses. One part of the object fell on hill's peak and the other behind it. Accounts collected on the field by this correspondent among the many persons who witnessed the uncanny event lead us to consider the following events: a) the object witnessed was metallic (silvery); b) the sun's rays reflected off its structure, aiding its visibility; c) Its shape was elongated; d) it remained on the summit of the hill throughout the afternoon of Wednesday the 7th, Thursday the 8th and Friday the 9th, when it was removed by personnel in uniform; e) all of the townsfolk, including mayor Lorenzo Torres, witnessed the event; f) earthquakes were registered after the object fell to the ground; g) electric blackouts covered the entire region; h) radio and TV broadcasts throughout Paihuano, Pisco Elqui and Monte Grande (two neighboring towns) were disrupted.

In view of the alarm caused by the event, a detachment of Carabineros (Chilean militarized police) looked at the object through binoculars and made an effort to reach the area on horseback after confirming the events described by the locals. The rocky soil, composed of sedimentary stone, made getting to the top an arduous affair--it is known that several efforts were made to reach the top and that one of the animals died during the operation. Furthermore, communications between the police forces and their base were successfully intercepted: the law enforcement agents stated that they had found nothing on the summit, but that "comments would be made after the descent."

This transmission was sent by means of "Condor One", the special code employed by local police only in very special cases, and it is the first and only instance of the Carabineros taking part in this matter. 24 hours later, this unit was relieved military personnel dispatched to the area to take charge of the investigation. Independent researchers carried out a survey among the local population to confirm in detail all of the information on what transpired as of the moment that the military forces cordoned off the area.

A Military Operation

Military personnel did not restrict its investigation to the residents of Paihuano--it extended the operation to cover the neighboring towns of Pisco Elqui and Monte Grande, aside from "combing" nearby hills to expel the local muleteers. This correspondent's investigation, conducted jointly with military

analyst and local researcher Roderick Bowen, was able to ascertain that local hotels reported an increase in the demand for lodging by U.S citizens who in all instances claimed to be tourists.

On Friday, October 9, several eyewitnesses described the arrival of trucks bearing uniformed personnel. Residents of the sector nearest the hill, including the goatherds who customarily make use of secondary alternative routes, were issued orders to refrain from ascending the hill and to stay away from the location.

A goatherd who was in the rear of Las Mollacas, and who will not state his name for fear of reprisals, claims having seen the arrival of unmarked helicopters. These vehicles worked tirelessly from midneite until the early hours of Friday the 9th to hoist the object on metallic nets. It was subsequently installed in containers which were dragged to the positions occupied by large trucks belonging to the armed forces.

Researcher Patricio Diaz, who lives in the area, managed to collect several accounts from locals who described the nocturnal operations of these unmarked choppers as they lit vast part of the hill and recovered the strange object on its summit, which shined intensely throughout Thursday the 8th before the startled eyes of Paihuano's residents. Omar Prieto, manager of the "Gabriela Mistral" tourist resort in the town of Pisco Elqui, stated the following: "I had the chance to see it with my own eyes. It was something like the wing of an airplane, everyone could see it. It remained on the hill for 2 1/2 days. After that, it disappeared during the night and there was no further information. Soldiers and members of the Carabineros kept us from going up the hill. Strange things are always going on here, such as blackouts or TV interference. We don't know why."

The site used by the military as their base is known as "La Palmilla" and is located on the slopes of the hill. The tread marks left behind by heavy trucks were in evidence the day after the object was collected, and intense activity was also reported behind the hill. One witness claimed that there was a large cleft, measuring some 5 meters long and some 40 centimeters deep, surrounded by bootprints and heavy vehicle tracks. This account was confirmed hours later by researchers Patricio Diaz, Luis S=Elnchez Perry, Miguel Jord=Eln and Roderick Bowen, members of ESIO (Equipo Superior de Investigaciones Ovnilogicas), who visited the area to conduct field research.

As of Monday the 12th, persons climbing to the summit of Las Mollacas find stones painted the color of aluminum, clearly giving the appearance of being some sort of premeditated disinformation effort. Surprising hypotheses were aired to explain the strange glare--abandoned beverage bottles, or an optical effect caused by sunlight.

Significant Reactions

The El Tololo astronomical observatory stated unequivocally that the phenomenon had been caused by an out-of-control weather balloon which had fallen in Las Mollacas. However, Gustavo Rodriguez of the Direccion General de Aeron=Elutica Civil and Secretary of the Comit=E9 de Estudios de Fenomenos A=E9reos Anomalos (CEFAA) made it clear that there was no information regarding the launching of a weather balloon in the area, since all activities of this sort require 48 hours advanced notice.

Strangest of all is that El Tololo assumed powers it didn't have, even going beyond the competence of the CEFAA, while this Air Force-dependent agency recused itself and did not even initiate an investigation into the area, at least not officially. During the interview granted to this correspondent, General Ricardo Bermudez, CEFAA's director, stated that his agency simply had no faith in the events occurrd at Paihuano. However, an interesting bit of information emerged in a subsequent conversation with Mario Dusuel, a psychiatric consultant for CEFAA who had visited the area along with geophysicist Carlos Leiva. Apparently, these persons collected the testimony of a muleteer who had been visited by a captain in the Chilean Air Force who questioned him about the object's fall. Now then, if CEFAA officially recused itself in this case, why then did it covertly send some of its best-qualified members

to the area?

Incident at Quebrada de Huchumi

Valle de Elqui is a special place. Its soil is rich in minerals and even has uranium deposits which are often related to the UFO phenomenon. On June 15, 1998, only four months prior to the Paihuano incident, an object which had crashed into the snow in the region known as Quebrada de Huchumi was detected, some 20 kilometers from Cerro Tololo. The crash was accompanied by a deafening noise. Windows on many local homes shattered to pieces while an intense, lightning-like flash gave witnesses the impression that "night had turned into day."

The spectacular luminous phenomenon reached the localities of El Indio and Ovalle, located at a distance of some 200 kilometers away. Sudden storms were also detected. Eyewitnesses speak in terms of the panic created: a local taxi driver named Juan Veliz, who carried four passengers along the road from Paihuano to Vicu"n, felt powerless in the face of the fear that gripped his passengers. "I'm a highly skeptical person", Veliz told researchers. "But that night last June, my passengers were made very nervous by a great light that flooded the entire valley. It's as if it had suddenly become daylight. I couldn't stop them from getting out of the vehicle in the middle of the road to pray. The fact is that I see lights chasing me constantly when I drive at night. These lights pop out from behind the mountains and I get the impression that they respond to something that's intelligence. I've lived in this valley all my life and many people have seen the same things I have..."

On this occasion, the Quebrada de Huchumi area was also afflicted by a considerable amount of "official" activity. According to eyewitness accounts, the object was recovered and transferred to Vicu"n aboard large, containerized military trucks, in exactly the same way seen months later at Paihuano.

This region is constantly visited by "flying lights" reminiscent of the so-called "Foo Fighters". Only scant days before this researcher arrived in the city of La Serena, that city's lighthouse was "buzzed" by strange lights for some twenty minutes. Local residents are familiar with these phenomena due to their frequency.

Everything points to the fact that the authorities are trying to cover up events which have sometimes escaped from their hands. Too many people witnessed the object on the summit of Las Mollacas hill. Moreover, the fear of reprisals is still palpable when interviewing witnesses. Nevertheless, there are photos of the helicopters busy at work in the region and recordings of communications between the military personnel involved in the recovery operations. All of this material is zealously guarded to avoid complicating the lives of persons living in the area and who have kindly provided their assistance with this investigation. Many of them hold positions in public administration and even political appointments.

An Alien Spaceship?

In the light of these events, it is well worth asking if we are facing the prospect of crashed alien vessels or the collision of secret military prototypes. We must keep in mind that these events coincided with the UNITAS Exercises held jointly by the Chilean and U.S. military.

Some researchers have expressed the belief that it is precisely when said joint exercises are held that UFO activity reaches its highest point in Chile. But there are also considerable accounts which support the suspicion that -- in the cases in question -- crashed alien artifacts could be involved. Thus, for example, the research conducted in Vicu"n includes the statements made by a hospital worker who claimed having seen some strange bags during the recovery of the remains of the Las Mollecas object. According to his account, said bags are similar to the body bags employed in collecting corpses.

Are we dealing with a secret military operation or a UFO crash? The numerous accounts regarding the activities conducted to "recover the thing that fell" cannot be ignored.

(Translation (c) 2001, Scott Corrales, Institute of Hispanic Ufology. This article originally appeared in A"o Cero No.06-119)

Posted By Inexplicata At 4:01 Am

Sunday, May 13, 2012

Argentina: What Happened at La Aurora?

[This article by our friend and colleague Pablo Villarubi appeared in Inexplicata #12 (Summer 2003). It describes one of the most shocking UFO incidents of the year 1977 - the so-called "Tonna Case", named after its protagonist, rancher Angel Maria Tonna - SC]

What Happened at La Aurora?
by Pablo Villarubia Mausio

I left Montevideo behind and was heading toward the city of Salto on the Argentinean border, riding a dilapidated bus in order to rescue from oblivion the events which occurred one distant February 17, 1977. The central protagonist of the story was the owner of the La Aurora ranch, a man named Angel Maria Tonna. The victims included one dog, several sheep, a bull and one horse -- gravely affected by an object come from afar.

The first thing I did upon arriving was making a straight line toward the office of the El Pueblo newspaper to consult old newspapers which would put me on the track of the "Tonna Case". The Saturday the 19th edition was already legendary, since it presented the first news item on the macabre event.

On Sunday, February 13, 1977, at 5:30 a.m., Julio Cesar Rattin, 18, the youngest son of Angel Tonna, witnessed a UFO at a distance of some 150 meters, suspended of a copse of eucalyptus trees. It was light-emitting disk that illuminated a broad swath of countryside. On the following day, Monday at midnight, Tonna's wife, Elena Margarita Rattin, was watching TV before going to bed when a blackout suddenly occurred. Upon going outside to insure the operation of their generator, she noticed an intense glow over La Aurora. Frightened, she ran back into the house.

It was the February 20, 1977 edition that announced half a page saying "UFO in a ranch near the Daym=Eln: Seen on three occasions." The anonymous journalist said that at 04:00 on February 17, Tonna, his children and some farmhands witnessed a strong light coming from behind a shed in which they stored feed. It was then that they saw a "flying saucer" measuring some 3 meters in diameter at an altitude of 15 meters. "The cows were frightened and stampeded, trampling one of the workers; the dogs howled in terror and we couldn't contain our astonishment. I was able to notice that the lights of the farm and the vicinity were totally out and that the generator "coughed", threatening to seize up. The disk moved slowly, almost in a zig-zag motion, and after passing over the pasture pond it headed toward the eucalyptus copse--the same one as on Sunday--and after a while we didn't see it again", Tonna told the journalist.

The journalist interviewed the children and farmhands of the property. All versions agreed. Tonna spoke of the strange prints that had emerged three months ago on the pasture, shaped like a horseshoe 40 to 50 cm wide and with some parts more deeply sunk into the ground than others. It had a diameter of 3 meters and a variety of mushrooms began to grow inside it. At first the grass was burned but another type of grass soon sprang up to replace it. In spite of the assortment of data offered by the journalistic account, there were even more important elements missing -- some which Angel Maria Tonna and his people decided not to tell the reporter from El Pueblo.

The Mummified Dogs

It wasn't easy for me to find out more about La Aurora. I used a few people on the street about the subject, even though 20 years had gone by, the memory of the event was still fresh in the minds of many citizens. Some said it had all been a hoax, a lie, and others believed that it was real and were even aware of the appearance of "unidentifieds" in the region for a few years now.

Even luckier ones had also witnessed the transit of "flying saucers" over the county, such as twenty-year old Andrea Carpanesi. "What I am going to tell you took place in early 1997 at around 23:30 hours in front of the house in which I live. I was with my friend Cecilia, and looking northward an intense light appeared. The entire neighborhood was looking at it. It was almost orange in color, making a pendular movement. It lasted five minutes. Seeing such things is commonplace around here", Andrea said.

"Could you find out if it was a satellite or an aircraft?" I inquired.

"I called the weather bureau and I was told that no satellites were flying overhead, nor weather balloons or aircraft. They couldn't tell me what it was, iether. But I can tell you something else that's very interesting..."

"Go ahead", I encouraged her.

"Around 1993 I studied at the Universidad de Salto. The word spread that the School of Veterinary Medicine had two very strange dogs. With one of my friends, we sneaked into one of the labs, since it was forbidden to see these animals. I remember it all perfectly because it scared me. We saw a dead dog that had been propped up "alive". It was intact and even looked alive. It was black in color and medium-sized. Some students said that for unknown reasons, both this and another dog which I couldn't see did not decompose or didn't do so with the normal speed associated with death. Furthermore, they added that they had been found at the La Aurora ranch. Nothing further was ever said about the subejct", explained Campanesi.

That very same day I headed to the School of Veterinary Medicine. Everything I heard upon asking about dead dogs at La Aurora was a "we don't know" and an almost unbearable silence accompanied by not very friendly glances...

The next step in assembling this puzzle was to find journalist Carlos Ardaix, one of the first to appear after the incidents of February 1977. This man, with a Basque-French surname, welcomed me into his home and told me about his contact with Angel Tonna: "At that time hosted a radio show with a considerable following. It was when Angel Maria Tonna phoned me adn said: "If you want to know the truth about La Aurora and why the city's lights went out, come over." I went with two or three people from the radio sation. When we arrived Tonna was still rather upset. He told me that at 0400 hours they had seen a light behind the shed and thought that it was on fire. The horses, the dogs...all of the animals were frightened. Tonna walked to some 50 meters of where the apparatus was. It approached slowly and Angel fell to the ground, covering his face with his arm to avoid the powerful light. Later the object vanished at high speed", the newspaperman explained.

There was talk of burned or severed wires...

"When I reached the ranch the steel wires were still cut and the cables of the electrical facility. The engine's piston broke down, a very expensive breeding bull died slowly...I understand that an autopsy was performed on it at the School of Medicine and no cause of death was established. The same happened to several sheep, whose wool was singed black and left like rough wifer. A very fierce dog that Tonna kept died little by little. Tonna had a skin eruption on the arm that he used to shield his face from the light.

You did not doubt Tonna's information?

No, he seemed very sincere. In any event, as a journalist, I tried to find other witnesses. One of the farmhands had already seen something similar near the site. I visited other farms near La Aurora, sicne i thought a local may have seen the UFO. I asked a foreman and he told me hadn't seen anything at night. As we walked out, a farmhand chopping wood told me: "We all saw what happened at night, but we were forbidden to speak of it." After that we transmitted Tonna's recording over the air. There was a chain reaction. Many people started visiting the site, like those Americans who wanted to take him back to the U.S. for testing.

Do you recall who these men were?

No. It was said at the time that they were from NASA, but I'm not sure. I think there was an American journalist [among them]. At the time the Salto Grande dam was being built and there were Japanese engineers staying at the farm. One physician--Dr. Menoni--discovered through some photographs that there was considerable radiation in the trees at La Aurora. The Japanese confirmed it on their measurement devices.

What about the burns on Tonna's arm?

It was a burn that would reoccur sporadically. The electrocardiogram machine wouldn't work when the electrodes would be placed on him. That's what two doctors I know told me. After two years the burns began to fade. It was something truly strange that couldn't have had something to do with radiation.

So..what about the blackouts?

No one has been able to explain why there were so many blackouts in 1977. On the night on which the events played out in La Aurora there was a half-hour long blackout. In following days there were others, always whenever UFOs appeared.

Could there have been something more behind the events? Human manipulation perhaps?

Never! I can tell you that the breeding bull he had died, and it was worth a fortune. That wasn't a joke. The pedigree horse didn't die...he was a stud and was rendered sterile.

Is it true that the ranch became a place of pilgrimage?

Yes, but Angel Tonna, in recent years, is sick of receiving callers and has chosen not to let anyone in and to refuse interviews. I understand, because he wants to work normally, look after his animals and his property. So many people came from all over the world came, like American ufologists James Hurtak and Bob Pratt, Pedro Romaniuk from Argentina. Tonna showed me a letter in which Antonio Ribera claimed to be interested in visiting La Aurora but he couldn't come. The most controversial was a visit by Neil Armstrong, the first man on the Moon. No one knows why he visited...

And to these pilgrimages still go on?

Sometimes entire buses of people come seeking cures. Yes, they think the place was blessed by a supernatural force. It was decided to build a crypt to Padre Pio there and don't ask me why. The people who find the warning sign advising them to stay away from La Aurora cross the road where they find the chapel of the priest that makes miracles, healings...

Radioactivity on the Farm

On the following day I tried contacting Tonna and his relatives using the phone numbers featured in the local directory. After some frustrated events, I managed to speak to one of his sons, the veterinarian, and he told me that his father no longer spoke of Angel Tonna. The matter was closed for him.

My only alternative was to open other fronts. One of these was to locate doctor Juan Maria Menoni, the same one who took photographs in the area which showed traces of radiation. "I'm not a ufologist", he said, "but I became interested in the case at the time. When I visited the site, I found that part of the fence had been melted. The generator and the motor had burned out. I took a sample of tree bark that had been burned by the UFO. A photographer friend suggested that I place the piece of bark over a strip of 35mm film. When we developed the film some strange spots appeared on the film.

Without a word, Menoni went to his office and returned with some photo enlargements which showed the result of the vegetable matter's exposure. "It's curious. It seemed to be charged with radiation, but where was the radiation coming from? It must've been from the mysterious flying saucer."

A few days later, in Buenos Aires, I had an interview with famous parapsychologist and ufologist Antonio Las Heras, who had

visited La Aurora in 1978 to look into the case. "What happened there drew my attention. I saw the trees, the toppled eucalyptuses, almost uprooted, as though a giant hand had played with them. I saw the branches ripped off by a UFO's effects. In fact, they looked like someone had smashed them, making them tumble over and over. I found calcined rock with vitreous formation on the sandstone. For vitrification to occur, the temperatures must be in excess of a thousand degrees [Centigrade]. I saw giant mushrooms measuring a meter and a half in diameter, standing inside the strange marks left by the UFOs. Only radiation could have mutated those living organisms."

Las Heras told me that Tonna had been accompanied by a police dog that fateful night. "The animal died three days later. They said that it had no blood, as though it had been absorbed through its flesh, which had developed an inconsistent appearance, like flesh that has been boiled. The dog's skin was burned in several places and its tail hairs were bristled like wire. No one was able to offer a diagnosis for what occurred", he said, shaking his head.

"What happened to the bull?" I asked, trying to avoid showing surprise at his words.

"It was a show animal of great value and it died with the very same symptoms as the dog, but after a week. The horse--a stallion--was left sterile. The sheep deaths were also mysterious: they had a sort of band around their bodies which passed through their withers. IT was really a burned patch, as though a branding iron had been applied, according to Tonna."

That made me react, associating the facts with the enigmatic manifestations of the infamous Chupacabras, but much later, in the mid-Nineties. A large amount of farm animals turned up dead in several Latin American countries, generally bled dry, attacked by an unknown creature or force.

Uruguayan ufologist Jorge Monsalve, whom I couldn't locate, also interviewed Tonna around the time. In his book Encuentros Cercanos con OVNIS (Montevideo, 1995), Monsalve explains how he arrived in time to see the Normandy bull, imported from France, still alive. Tonna asked the man to touch the animal's horns: "That being said, I extended my hand and [the horn] practically crumbled in my fingers. A sad and disagreeable experience."

(Translation (c) 2003, Scott Corrales, Institute of Hispanic Ufology)

Posted By Inexplicata At 7:55 Am

Wednesday, MAY 09, 2012

Spain: The 1966 A Grana UFO was a UAV
By Scott Corrales

A number of Spanish news outlets reported on May 9, 2012 the interesting story involving a UFO supposedly recovered by the Spanish Navy at El Ferrol (on the Northwestern tip of the Iberian Peninsula) in 1966 was actually a NASA unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV).

Known as the "A Grana UFO", the object remained a mystery for decades and still does, to a large extent. But recent news items suggest that the UFO was really a NASA UAV which was seen flying over the skies of El Ferrol in November the winter of 1966. According to El Confidencial Digital, the unknown, luminous object emitted a variety of lights as it flew over the city before vanishing into the sea.

But far from returning to its base on Tau Ceti or some other galactic port of call, the UFO crashed into the sea. It was recovered by fishermen who brought it aboard their trawler, amazed by the technology and strange lights and electronics that were completely unknown to them. We will never know what conversations took place among the fishermen that night as to how to profit from this unexpected discovery, but the fact remains that Spanish naval officers were already on land, waiting to relieve them of their prize.

El Confidencial Digital was also able to locate one of the military men involved in the recovery and custody of the strange object. Perhaps more willing to speak now than in previous years, the officer reported that the high command at the A Grana submarine base at El Ferrol were ordered to remain silent.

The officer recalls that the unidentified intruder had the approximate size of a Sabre F-86 fighter, widely used at the time. Wingless and with a large rear nozzle, it was made of a yellowish material identified as similar to the "golden paper" seen on Apollo and Gemini spacecraft. El Confidencial Digital consulted experts who advised the journalists that the material is very light and heat resistant.

Strict secrecy requirement imposed on the military men was made extensive to the fishermen who had found the device. It was loaded onto an Army vehicle and escorted to A Grana, where it was stored in a series of large tunnels dug deep into a hillside. Technicians from the Spanish Ejercito del Aire (air force) reported to the Navy base to analyze the object and determine its purpose. They did not take long to find the NASA logo and acronym stamped onto the device's fuselage. Existence of these tunnels has been proven by Spanish "urban explorers", who have ventured into elaborate networks of man-made structures under the Meiros Lighthouse.

The UAV, while crude, represented a level of technical sophistication far in excess of any available at the time, and investigators determined that it was a spy device, judging by its sophisticated cameras. The U.S. naval facility at Rota in southern Spain was advised of the discovery, and as one might expect, U.S. military personnel reported to El Ferrol and took the object with them in a military truck. Nothing more was learned of its whereabouts.

<http://tinyurl.com/ct626r4>

Following up on the unusual story, Patricia Hermida, writing for El Correo Gallego, ads that the spy object measured 21 meters in diameter. It was first seen over the piers of the city, before falling into the ocean and being recovered by the trawler Reina Maria and its crew. "On that strange morning in 1966", writes Hermida in her article, "a strange object acted as a traffic light in the skies over El Ferrol", alluding to its yellow, red and green lights.

She writes about eyewitnesses who were not in the least bit shy to tell their story to TVG, the provincial television station of the Galicia region. According to Hermida, the onlookers were adamant about their statements: "The object vanished at high speed toward the fiord, measuring 21 meters in diameter. It flew over Azano and Baz=Eln before vanishing into the sea." Also interesting is the description offered by the crew of the Reina Maria fishing trawler, who found the wreckage.

Spain has endured a number of incidents involving the U.S. military, most notably the incident involving the atomic weapon lost at Palomares following the crash of a B-52 Stratofortress, but more recent ones as well.

On Thursday, 2 July 1998, the El Pais newspaper reported that American spy plane had crashed in the Andalusian town of Barbate in southern Spain. The usual formal denials from the government were put forth, and this was made plain to Willy Meyer, the deputy representing the city of Cadiz and spokesman for the Comision de Defensa del Congreso (Congressional Defense Committee). On 11 May, Willy Meyer inquired about "the collision of an unmanned vehicle in the vicinity of the town of Barbate's San Ambrosio neighborhood", an incident that would have taken place at an unspecified moment in the summer months of 1996.

The Ministry of Defense assured Congressman Meyer that "timely research has been performed by the agencies having competence in the matter" concerning air traffic over the area in question. The agencies in question included the US Navy operations center at the Rota Naval Base. No evidence concerning the crash could be found.

These political assurances did little to assuage the tempers of the residents of San Ambrosio in Barbate, where the putative spy plane crashed. The residents said they had been stunned beyond

belief to see two large CH46 helicopters disgorge their full complement of Marines who sanitized the area and later vacated it at top speed without issuing any explanations. Neither the local police nor the Guardia Civil made any efforts to investigate the matter, which would have been forgotten but for the presence of a daring member of the public who, armed with a photo camera, captured the military clean-up operation in full swing. Willie Meyer furnished the photographic evidence to the authorities, stating: "We wonder if at the time there were records of secret military flights authorized over Spanish territory."

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 09:13:49 -0400
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 07:16:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: Michael M. Hughes<michaelmhughes.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 13:27:51 -0400
>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:47:25 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

<snip>

>>On the other hand, proof of the absence of fraud is hard to come
>>by after the fact. The procedure shown in Robbert's video is a
>>nod to proper control, but obviously could be much improved. At
>>this point, the onus for proof of fraud lies with the accuser.
>>In the absence of such proof, the most one can legitimately do
>>is reserve judgment.

>As a mentalist, I can think of multiple ways to duplicate the
>alleged photographic manifestations in that video. I'm with
>Colin and the Delgado family - this is blatant trickery and
>_extremely_ unethical.

Because you can think it does not make it so.

What about the ethics of accusing someone of fraud without any
evidence?

Pat Delgado's legacy was not smeared in any way, and he was not
exactly unknown to people, so privacy is not an argument. What
exactly are people objecting to?

Pat Delgado appeared in a subsequent video, the making of which
is described by Nancy Talbot at the following url.

<http://tinyurl.com/cckyzup>

Here Robbert's telepathic communication with Pat Delgado is
described as follows.

Robbert "heard" him say that he was still "energetically" very
involved with the circle phenomenon, not only in the UK but also
elsewhere in the world. He also expressed gratitude for all of
the circle enthusiasts who continue to search for the truth and
who realize the "cosmic" nature of the consciousness which is
involved.

Pat also said Robbert should continue sharing his experiences
with this consciousness because this personal connection is the
only way people can really "know" the significance of the
phenomenon. They must experience it with their hearts.

Finally, Pat said that if people cannot put aside their
differences and learn to listen to the intuition of their hearts
there is a danger that the consciousness might withdraw.

Whether or not one accepts the photographic phenomena or the telepathic communication, none of this can be construed as a blot on Pat Delgado's memory. In my opinion, it only makes him look good.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 16:25:24 +0200
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 07:20:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:29:52 +0000
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 08:18:31 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>Kathy,

>>Let me put it this way:

>>If you're defending Carrion's belief that the CIA is responsible
>>for the UFO phenomenon, rather than uncountable sightings and
>>experiences going back a very long time, at least two (and
>>probably more) centuries, you're a conspiracy theorist.

>>Simple as that.

>Jerry:

>I would like to hear what changed Carrion's view on UFOs.

>Simple as that.

That's a good joke, Kathy.

The more you study honestly UFOs, the more you realize that they do exist. There is no escaping that.

Carrion claimed the opposite. It proves his dishonesty.

I've known Carrion's arguments since his speech at the MUFON Symposium of 2009, and they are worthless to me.

As General Bermudez, of Chile's Air Force put it: "The reality of UFOs is beyond doubt".

Kathy, I suggest that you study why he said that. I met him in Curitiba, Brazil, in 2007, and I know he is not a fool. Like so many other serious people.

Cheers,

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 09:25:52 -0400
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 07:22:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: Kathy Kasten<catraja.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:09:19 +0000
>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:47:25 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>>From: Dave Haith<visions1.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 19:50:37 +0100
>>>Subject: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

><snip>

>Without an explanation of Robbert's method of "capturing an
>image", there is no "nod to proper control". The video can very
>easily be manipulated. It was hard to imagine just what was
>going on in Robbert's video. (Note: the reference is to the
>YouTube images.)

>Who was photographing Robbert holding the camera? Where was he
>pointing the camera? Am I, as the observer, suppose to think
>that Robbert was capturing images within his camera on a blank
>chip when what I am observing is the image within the clip of
>the camera of the unnamed person photographing Robbert capturing
>an image in... uh, mid-air.

I thought the video was pretty straight-forward. However, I
would never use a video by itself as proof of anything.

>Sorry, as someone who read protocols for a living for five
>years, what I saw and read was not a "nod to proper control".

By "nod to proper control", I meant merely an acknowledgment
that proper controls to rule out subterfuge are necessary. It
does not mean that these were actually in place.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 09:39:27 -0400
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 07:45:34 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: Edward Gehrman<egehrman.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 16:49:51 -0700
>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 12:47:25 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>>At this point, the onus for proof of fraud lies with the accuser.
>>In the absence of such proof, the most one can legitimately do
>>is reserve judgment.

>William, EBK, List,

>I posted information on Robbert van den Broeke when his powers
>were discussed on the UpDates List in 2009.

>"A very strange thing happened recently on Dutch TV when they
>broadcast a series featuring a so-called medium, 25-year-old
>Robbert van den Broeke. At one point he began to reveal
>"supernaturally, of course!" all sorts of information about a
>former camera-man on the show who had committed suicide some
>months ago. His wife was in the studio. Unfortunately for
>Robbert, he was far too accurate and specific. He told the widow
>that she'd had another life before this one, as "Hillegien
>Rozeboom," who died in 1823. He also gave her the exact date of
>birth of Hillegien. He said that her husband in that life was
>named Luwert, who had the profession of "genverbrander". The
>"medium" said that he didn't know what a genverbrander was.
>Well, nobody knows, because that word does not exist in Dutch.
>It looks like an existing word, but is not. Robbert must have
>thought that it was some kind of antique profession with which
>he was not familiar."

>Much more at site:

><http://www.randi.org/jr/2006-01/010606netherlands.html>

This seems to be a favourite episode that 'proves' Robbert is a
fraud. See also the following URL:

<http://tinyurl.com/csdua3m>

So Robbert said on a TV show 'genverbrander' instead of
'geneverbrander'.

Eliding over a short syllable like that is common in speech.
That possible slip in pronunciation is pretty weak evidence of
malfeasance.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 12:38:01 -0300
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 07:50:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2012 9:34 AM
>Subject: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO Witnesses

>Source: HuffPo

><http://tinyurl.com/cukjsho>

>05/16/2012

>UFO Amnesty: Ex-Army Colonel John Alexander Seeks Amnesty For
>Military Who Witness UFOs
>Lee Speigel

>If you're in the military and have ever seen what you believe to
>be a UFO, but were reluctant to mention it for fear of ridicule
>or, worse, repercussions that might end your career, take heart.
>Things may change.

>A former military insider with top secret clearance who created
>Advanced Theoretical Physics - a group of top-level government
>officials and scientists brought together to study UFO reports -
>- has just called on three of the highest-ranking military and
>intelligence officials in the Obama administration.

>Retired Army Col. John Alexander has one goal: to ask Secretary
>of Defense Leon Panetta, CIA Director Gen. David Petraeus and
>National Intelligence Director Gen. James Clapper to offer
>amnesty to anyone in the military who has been previously sworn
>to secrecy about UFOs.

Strange. John in his book claimed, falsely, that USAF Sec. S.
Widnall had given amnesty to those Roswell witnesses who thought
they couldn't talk.

In actuality she said they could talk only to Colonel Williams,
author of the lies in The Roswell Report. He had a clearance for
everything.

John claimed that if any of the myriad of people he and his
associates had asked about UFO secrets had known any, they
would have told him... even though they had no amnesty and his
guys had no need to know.

Is he admitting that, of course nobody talked to his crew
because there was no amnesty, rather than because they knew
nothing?

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 21:37:54 +0000
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:49:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 06:48:32 -0500
>Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:58:39 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

<snip>

>Again, the conspiracy theory.

>There is no doubt that the U.S. government treated Japanese-
>Americans shamefully during World War II.

>>From that undeniable historical reality, however, it is quite
>quite something else to extrapolate that it was using them in
>grotesque aviation experiments and that somehow the Roswell
>incident is evidence of such.

>It never ceases to amaze me how grand theories are regularly
>concocted out of minuscule or nonexistent evidence. Here, sadly,
>is one more example.

Jerry, for you and anyone else who wants to broaden their
research: Judgement Without Trial, Japanese American
Imprisonment During World War, by Tetsuden Kashima published by
University of Washington in 2003; especially pages 112-113 and
184 - 185.

In part based on papers Dr. Kashima found in the records of the
War Relocation Authority, Old Military and Civil Records at the
National Archives, Justice Department records at the National
Archives and in the Department of Justice History Section; and
the Federal Bureau of Investigation Archives. He also found
documents at the UC-Berkely, UC-San Diego, and the U. of Hawaii.

Information regarding the training of astronauts before there
was a NASA (previously called NACA), The Hadrone Supposition,
by Kathy Kasten, unpublished manuscript. The chapters involving
Dr. Randolph "Randy" Lovelace begin on page 81 and his involvement
in a program with Werner von Braun is mentioned through the
rest of the chapter.

I love furthering the knowledge of people in the UFO field. It is
my hope to open up their research to new approaches.

I opened my mind and just followed the paper trail.

Thanks to Nick Redfern - who pointed me in directions where I
found credible documentation.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

From: **Victor Viggiani** <zland.nul>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 20:20:46 -0400
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:58:43 -0400
Subject: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

May 17.2012

<https://sites.google.com/site/zlandcommunications/home>

President Obama tells Will Smith's son: "I can neither confirm nor deny the existence of extraterrestrials."

Toronto Canada [ZNN] Men in Black 3 star Will Smith today told BBC Radio 1 host Chris Moyles that his 13-year-old son Jayden (himself a Hollywood star) put President Obama on the spot recently during a private tour of the White House. The subject of Jayden=92s enquiry =96 aliens!

Read more and view Will Smith's surprising revelations during the BBC interview at:

<http://tinyurl.com/6txfhc7>

Toronto Ontario Canada
zland.nul
ZlandCommunications
A news service that takes you to the edge... and beyond.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 08:26:52 -0400
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 11:03:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

>From: "Michael M. Hughes" <michaelmhughes.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 13:27:51 -0400
>Subject: Re: Pat Delgado's Family Issues Statement

<snip>

>As a mentalist, I can think of multiple ways to duplicate the
>alleged photographic manifestations in that video. I'm with
>Colin and the Delgado family - this is blatant trickery and
>_extremely_ unethical.

Can you share the methods used to insert an image fragment into
a camera using mentalist techniques?

Also - I've seen it written somewhere that one theory as to why
fragments of publicly accessible photos sometimes appear is that
the spirit world finds it easiest to use sections of photos as
opposed to creating a new unique image in the camera of someone
they want to send a message to. That's only a theory, of
course.

A similar theory has been expressed about electronic voice
phenomena - EVP - that spirits find it easiest to use the energy
provided by an audio recorder, as opposed to manifesting a
unique original audio event. A variation on that theory is that
if there is background white noise available, that can be used
by the spirit as a "carrier" which the spirit can modulate with
less effort than generating original unique audio.

All theories, of course, but at this point, I'm not ready to say
I believe Robbert's work is a hoax.

I think this idea of having cameras "watching" each other is an
excellent paranormal investigative technique, and hopefully will
be used more. It's hard to imagine this being done for UFO
footage, but it would certainly make for an interesting
portrayal, say, of a multiple witness sighting.

The two cameras watching each other at the Skinwalker ranch was
an earlier example. Does anyone have details on what was seen on
the camera that was recording its partner, which was suddenly
physically destroyed? I've heard that happened, but was not able
to find details on what actually was recorded up to the
destruction, during the destruction, and just after the
destruction.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:29:57 +0100
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 11:19:23 -0400
Subject: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

Hello List,

Was re-reading Galactic North, the collection by author Alastair Reynolds, which has quite a few variations on Kurtzweil's singularity notion and so gives food for thought about our future (and maybe a somewhat hidden present).

At first sight the 'replicators' concept seems doom-laden because the release of von Neumann probes would inevitably lead to an eventual proliferation of 'un-governed' replicators - natural enemies of organic intelligences.

So, if we're not the first intelligence to evolve, why haven't we already been wiped out by murderous advanced machines from space? That's a question I woke up with - but then re-thought some of Reynolds' more complex human-machine scenarios.

The creation and release of 'replicators' is an irresistible temptation for greedy and impatient humans - so it's probably been done. And the only realistic reason I can think of for us not being under attack from ungoverned machines is that they might see us as a resource.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Denver UFO Nearly Takes Out Private Jet

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 11:36:06 -0400
Archived: Fri, 18 May 2012 11:36:06 -0400
Subject: Denver UFO Nearly Takes Out Private Jet

Source: HuffPo

<http://tinyurl.com/caywnjl>

05/16/2012

Unidentified Flying Object In Denver Nearly Takes Out Private Jet

An unidentified flying object over Denver on Monday has aviation experts scratching their heads.

As first reported by 9News, the object did not appear on radar in the area, but nearly collided with a private jet at 8,000 feet above Cherry Creek.

In an audio recording from the jet cockpit, provided by LiveATC.net, a pilot can be heard telling air traffic officials, "[I] don't know if it was a remote controlled aircraft or what, but something just went by the other way. About 20-30 seconds ago... And it was like a large remote controlled aircraft.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:44:11 -0500
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 09:41:55 -0400
Subject: Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

>From: Victor Viggiani <zland.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 20:20:46 -0400
>Subject: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

>May 17.2012

><https://sites.google.com/site/zlandcommunications/home>

>President Obama tells Will Smith's son: "I can neither confirm
>nor deny the existence of extraterrestrials."

>Toronto Canada [ZNN] Men in Black 3 star Will Smith today told
>BBC Radio 1 host Chris Moyles that his 13-year-old son Jayden
>(himself a Hollywood star) put President Obama on the spot
>recently during a private tour of the White House. The subject
>of Jayden=92s enquiry -- aliens!

>Read more and view Will Smith's surprising revelations during
>the BBC interview at:

><http://tinyurl.com/6txfhc7>

It's probably already too late, but I would hope that people
watch the video and catch the context before running wild with
this. From Smith's amused account, one has no problem imagining
the gleam in the President's eye as he answered an
impressionable kid's question.

I don't pretend to be privy to what the gummint knows or doesn't
know about UFOs, but I'm certain this is nothing more than
evidence of something we already knew: the President has a sense
of humor.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 09:45:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 16:25:24 +0200
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:29:52 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>That's a good joke, Kathy.

>The more you study honestly UFOs, the more you realize that they do exist.
>There is no escaping that.

>Carrion claimed the opposite. It proves his dishonesty.

>I've known Carrion's arguments since his speech at the MUFON Symposium of
>2009, and they are worthless to me.

>As General Bermudez, of Chile's Air Force put it: "The reality of UFOs is
>beyond doubt".

>Kathy, I suggest that you study why he said that. I met him in Curitiba,
>Brazil, in 2007, and I know is is not a fool. Like so many other serious
>people.

Gildas,

When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

My research is focused on 1947, specifically the period that covers Maury Island, Kenneth Arnold and Roswell. What happened before and what happened after is not within my scope of research as unlike most Ufologists and believers, I don't throw all the evidence in one large multi-millennium bucket from which one tries to explain the phenomenon.

So don't call me dishonest in my approach, rather it is focused. If I can prove that Maury Island, Kenneth Arnold and Roswell were part of the same Cold War deception operation, well, you still have what came before and came after to hang on to. I am not trying to convince you or anyone else whose mind is already made up on this subject. I doubt that even if the Government came out and fessed up to their Cold War deception, that you would believe it - instead you would probably claim that surely it has to be another ploy of the "cover up".

Calling my opinions worthless sounds like something that would normally fall out of the mouth of Phil Klass or the folks over at CSI.

Jerry labeled me a Conspiracy Theorist, Dave Morton a fool, and you are calling me a liar. I venture none of you have even read my paper on the Project Seal disinformation campaign from June 1947 two weeks prior to Maury Island/Kenneth Arnold, nor could you offer any sort of explanation for it because it doesn't fit

within your UFO view.

Labels mean nothing, hard evidence means everything. COINTELPRO would still be a conspiracy theory today if the Citizens' Commission to Investigate the FBI did not break into the FBI field office in Media, Pennsylvania, and expose the program by passing the information to news agencies. Even then, many news organizations initially refused to publish the information.

I can't wait to see what you will label me next.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:56:21 -0300
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 09:53:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:29:57 +0100
>Subject: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>So, if we're not the first intelligence to evolve, why haven't
>we already been wiped out by murderous advanced machines from
>space? That's a question I woke up with - but then re-thought
>some of Reynolds' more complex human-machine scenarios.

That's Fermi's Paradox. It was formulated almost as soon as
advances in cosmology established the Universe is billions of
years old, and that there are billions and billions of galaxies
as large or even larger than ours.

<http://tinyurl.com/2hg2gn>

>The creation and release of 'replicators' is an irresistible
>temptation for greedy and impatient humans - so it's probably
>been done. And the only realistic reason I can think of for us
>not being under attack from ungoverned machines is that they
>might see us as a resource.

We don't have any material resources which cannot be found
elsewhere in higher quantities and greater convenience for any
civilization capable of crossing light-years of distance to get
here. And even if we are a resource for our intelligence or
biological uniqueness, the fact we cannot see any obvious signs
of intelligent life in the Universe elsewhere -- besides the
'controversial' UFO evidence -- must mean they are either
deliberately hiding or that they don't exist.

Both alternatives are not very reasonable, and Fermi's Paradox
remains one of the greatest questions of our time. It's
interesting that such kind of questions remain open and yet are
not that widely recognized -- for instance, cosmology gives us
yet another puzzle, the faint young Sun paradox:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Faint_young_Sun_paradox

Interestingly, both the Fermi and the Faint Young Sun paradoxes
were popularized by Carl Sagan.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 15:15:25 -0300
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 09:56:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 21:37:54 +0000
>Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 06:48:32 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:58:39 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Daddy Needs His Medicine - Cox

><snip>

>>Again, the conspiracy theory.

>>There is no doubt that the U.S. government treated Japanese-
>>Americans shamefully during World War II.

>>>From that undeniable historical reality, however, it is quite
>>>quite something else to extrapolate that it was using them in
>>>grotesque aviation experiments and that somehow the Roswell
>>>incident is evidence of such.

>>It never ceases to amaze me how grand theories are regularly
>>concocted out of minuscule or nonexistent evidence. Here, sadly,
>>is one more example.

>Jerry, for you and anyone else who wants to broaden their
>research: Judgement Without Trial, Japanese American
>Imprisonment During World War, by Tetsuden Kashima published by
>University of Washington in 2003; especially pages 112-113 and
>184 - 185.

>In part based on papers Dr. Kashima found in the records of the
>War Relocation Authority, Old Military and Civil Records at the
>National Archives, Justice Department records at the National
>Archives and in the Department of Justice History Section; and
>the Federal Bureau of Investigation Archives. He also found
>documents at the UC-Berkely, UC-San Diego, and the U. of Hawaii.

>Information regarding the training of astronauts before there
>was a NASA (previously called NACA), The Hadrone Supposition, by
>Kathy Kasten, unpublished manuscript. The chapters involving Dr.
>Randolph "Randy" Lovelace begin on page 81 and his involvement
>in a program with Werner von Braun is mentioned through the rest
>of the chapter.

>I love furthering the knowledge of people in the UFO field. It is
>my hope to open up their research to new approaches.

>I opened my mind and just followed the paper trail.

>Thanks to Nick Redfern - who pointed me in directions where I
>found credible documentation.

I think you have a lot to learn before you can claim to broaden anyone else's research in the UFO field, KK. You really do run off, hell west and crooked. Now you are attempting to weave Egyptian cotton out of bat-crap.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 19:12:02 +0000
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 09:58:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:11:12 -0400
>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>From: post.nul
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 05:12:07 +0100
>Subject: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>Hello List

>To revisit this subject:

>When CNES (French National Centre for Space Studies) released
>its UFO files in 2007 it said that 28% of those reports were
>unexplained.

><http://www.cnes-geipan.fr/>

>And today their site says 22% of ongoing reports are
>'unidentified'.

>In 'UFO - Secret Access on the Record'

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xTPFF-ghqf8>

>(from about 59 mins)

>We hear that after the Belgian wave, General Wilfried De Brouwer
>said that of several thousand reports a total of 650 were
>investigated and of those, 500 remained unexplained. That's
>approximately 77%.

>Yet the media in UK and USA continually claim that "only 5%" of
>sightings are unexplained.

Ray:

Is it possible that CNES is making reference to its data file?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 19:19:35 +0000
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 10:00:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 12:38:01 -0300
>Subject: Re: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO Witnesses

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>>Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2012 9:34 AM
>>Subject: Alexander Seeks Amnesty For Military UFO Witnesses

>>Source: HuffPo

>><http://tinyurl.com/cukjsho>

>>05/16/2012

>>UFO Amnesty: Ex-Army Colonel John Alexander Seeks Amnesty For
>>Military Who Witness UFOs
>>Lee Speigel

>>If you're in the military and have ever seen what you believe to
>>be a UFO, but were reluctant to mention it for fear of ridicule
>>or, worse, repercussions that might end your career, take heart.
>>Things may change.

>>A former military insider with top secret clearance who created
>>Advanced Theoretical Physics - a group of top-level government
>>officials and scientists brought together to study UFO reports -
>>- has just called on three of the highest-ranking military and
>>intelligence officials in the Obama administration.

>>Retired Army Col. John Alexander has one goal: to ask Secretary
>>of Defense Leon Panetta, CIA Director Gen. David Petraeus and
>>National Intelligence Director Gen. James Clapper to offer
>>amnesty to anyone in the military who has been previously sworn
>>to secrecy about UFOs.

>Strange. John in his book claimed, falsely, that USAF Sec. S.
>Widnall had given amnesty to those Roswell witnesses who thought
>they couldn't talk.

>In actuality she said they could talk only to Colonel Williams,
>author of the lies in The Roswell Report. He had a clearance for
>everything.

>John claimed that if any of the myriad of people he and his
>associates had asked about UFO secrets had known any, they
>would have told him... even though they had no amnesty and his
>guys had no need to know.

>Is he admitting that, of course nobody talked to his crew
>because there was no amnesty, rather than because they knew
>nothing?

Stan:

I was wondering the same thing. I read his book and his bottom
line conclusion was there was "no such thing." Of course, the
Col. is well practiced at disinformation. Some of the rules of

this type of engagement were written, formulated, by him. To my mind, it looks like just one more of his disinformation ploys - meant to confuse, send interested parties scurrying around and sending them down 'rabbit holes'.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 19:55:36 +0000
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 10:03:32 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!

>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:23:35 -0400
>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>From: post.nul
>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!

>Source: MysteriousUniverse.Org

><http://mysteriousuniverse.org/2012/05/ufos-mj12-reaches-25/>

>May 15th

>UFOs: MJ12 Reaches 25!
>by Nick Redfern

>Well, I was kind of expecting to see a bit of a fanfare this
>month about the infamous MJ12 documents. Why? Simple: this month
>marks exactly twenty-five years since they surfaced into the
>public domain in the pages of Tim Good's acclaimed book of May
>1987: Above Top Secret: The Worldwide UFO Cover-Up. That there
>hasn't seemingly been much in the way of commentary on the 25th
>anniversary of what are, without doubt, one of the most
>controversial "things" ever to have surfaced within the
>ufological arena, suggests a few possibilities=85

>First, maybe whole swathes of Ufology have simply forgotten
>about MJ12. Second, perhaps the fact that - for many in Ufology
>- the documents continue to frustratingly languish in that murky
>stalemate-driven realm of "genuine, disinformation, or hoax?"
>has led the research community to focus its attentions on what
>it sees as far more profitable and likely ways to secure the
>truth behind the UFO phenomenon. And, third, maybe people just
>don't care anymore about the debate surrounding the papers,
>their content, the way they surfaced, and the attendant analysis
>of their content.

>Whatever the answer(s), the papers did - and, for some, still do
>- play a significant role in Ufology for many a year.

>[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

List:

Redfern ends his blog post with the following:

"A 50th anniversary for MJ12? I don=92t know whether to laugh or cry..."

My advice that he should rejoice because it will mean subjects
for future books he will want to write.

BTW, Nick, where is the my free copy of the book you wrote about
the Other MIB.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 20:03:54 -0400
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 10:06:04 -0400
Subject: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

Dear list,

A friend who lives in Reading sent me a video clip showing an unusual craft that flew overhead on May 13, 2012. She leaves her video camera unattended on a tripod in her back yard occasionally to see what might fly by.

This object seems to be at a relatively low altitude, and is somewhat spherical in shape. There appears to be a black band separating two whitish hemispheres. Occasionally, the white changes to a cyan color.

The sound of an aircraft engine is clearly audible, but this may or may not originate from the object. Reading has lots of air traffic overhead, and there may have been a conventional aircraft nearby but out of the field of view.

I posted the clip at the following location:

<http://tinyurl.com/d6lboud>

Can anyone identify this object?

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 16:20:43 +0100
Archived: Sat, 19 May 2012 12:05:46 -0400
Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 20:03:54 -0400
>Subject: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

<snip>

>This object seems to be at a relatively low altitude, and is
>somewhat spherical in shape. There appears to be a black band
>separating two whitish hemispheres. Occasionally, the white
>changes to a cyan color.

<snip>

>I posted the clip at the following location:

><http://tinyurl.com/d61boud>

>Can anyone identify this object?

One possibility is a drifting soap bubble.

A transparent bubble typically shows an "upright" reflection of the environment in one hemisphere and an "inverted" reflection of the same scene in the other. The reflection is an extremely wide angle or fish-eye type image, like an all-sky camera. Against a bright sky you will often see two such reflections of the sky separated by a darker band which is the horizon (check Google images for "soap bubble reflection").

If you can find the pointing angle of the camera relative to the local horizon you might be able to prove this to your satisfaction by ray tracing, or you could ask your friend to try photographing a detergent bubble in the same location and conditions.

Further, with some camera data such as angular FOV and lens hyperfocal distance, and local weather records, you could put some limits on distance, angular size, angular rate, true rate and direction that would help to test the hypothesis.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 17:17:15 +0100
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 17:39:02 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:56:21 -0300
>To: post.nul
>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:29:57 +0100
>>Subject: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>So, if we're not the first intelligence to evolve, why haven't
>>we already been wiped out by murderous advanced machines from
>>space? That's a question I woke up with - but then re-thought
>>some of Reynolds' more complex human-machine scenarios.

>That's Fermi's Paradox. It was formulated almost as soon as
>advances in cosmology established the Universe is billions of
>years old, and that there are billions and billions of galaxies
>as large or even larger than ours.

><http://tinyurl.com/2hg2qn>

Hi Kentaro,

Right, but Fermi was a child of his time (as we all are) and simply thought that any ETs - who are statistically likely to've evolved millions or billions of years ahead of us - would still be organic beings and recognizable as such.

Many folk, notably the profs Stewart and Cohen, have pointed out that truly advanced beings are probably not still organic (because they don't have to be) and, due to their technology also don't even have to be visible unless they want to be. So the 'paradox' probably doesn't exist.

<snip>

>We don't have any material resources which cannot be found
>elsewhere in higher quantities and greater convenience for any
>civilization capable of crossing light-years of distance to get
>here. And even if we are a resource for our intelligence or
>biological uniqueness, the fact we cannot see any obvious signs
>of intelligente life in the Universe elsewhere -- besides the
'controversial' UFO evidence -- must mean they are either
deliberately hiding or that they don't exist.

Nope, think ypu've jumped the gun there. While I fully agree that minerals and even fuels seem to be readily (and more easily) available in molecular clouds, thereby obviating all those Sci-Fi themes of planetary invasion and conquest, the machine-based outriders of ET expansion (or research) might well be using bio-engineered 'animals' as tools in their projects. I'm not alone in remarking that there's some evidence of such 'animals' being abandoned here on Earth, either after sustaining damage or merely having outlived their 'job'.

And as to 'signs of intelligent life in the Universe' - we just don't know what to look for, or how to analyse what we already see. At the moment we simply assume that all astronomical events

are 'natural' - that assumption could be wildly wrong.

>It's interesting that such kind of questions remain open and yet
>are not that widely recognized -- for instance, cosmology gives
>us yet another puzzle, the faint young Sun paradox:
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Faint_young_Sun_paradox

<snip>

Ha! That seems to say we either don't know the full story about stellar evolution (which is being indicated even now) - or maybe that the Gaia principle was able to grab hold of Earth's feedback mechanisms even earlier than we thought.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 17:32:09 +0100
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 17:40:10 -0400
Subject: Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 19:12:02 +0000
>Subject: Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 05:12:07 +0100
>>Subject: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

<snip>

>>Yet the media in UK and USA continually claim that "only 5%" of
>>sightings are unexplained.

>Is it possible that CNES is making reference to its data file?

Not sure - however there's several factors to the equation that
most folk don't consider.

i) how many reports - of all those available - are investigated;

ii) how scientifically rigorous are the investigations;

iii) how truthful are the people who make those results available
to the public.

Without being even half-way cynical I think we can be pretty
sure that all US and UK gov't agencies have flunked on all three
(publicly anyway).

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 18:34:48 +0100
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 17:47:52 -0400
Subject: Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

From: George Wingfield <momusone.nul>
To: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012
Subject: Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 17:11:54 +0200
>Subject: Re: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>>From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 22:37:39 +0100
>>Subject: 7F Basket Of Ufology

>>Long time UFO researcher and pal of mine George Wingfield has
>>been sounding off about the 'snake oil salesmen' of Ufology.

>><http://www.bikebob.org/TrueBelievers.html>

>>Here's a snippet from his piece at the link above:

>>"At this stage I believe that it is useful to list some cases of
>>alleged ET contact that serious UFO researchers have firmly
>>consigned to what I call the "7F Basket".

>>The '7F' signifies falsehood, fiction, fantasy, fraud, fakery,
>>folklore and flapdoodle.

>>Here are ten examples of well known cases that can be firmly
>>consigned to the 7F Basket of ufology:-"

>Ok, maybe he'd like to make a list of ten cases that are widely
>held by experts (including himself) to be true?

I've been asked to produce a list of ten cases of alien contact which I believe are genuine and which are also held by other UFO experts to be true. First let me say I don't claim to be an "expert" in this subject but I have taken an active interest in it for 25 years and I've met many of the people who are making or promoting various claims of alien contact. Secondly, let's make a firm distinction between claims of actual alien contact and the many remarkable UFO encounters or sightings, which usually do not involve contact with the supposed UFO occupants. Credible witnesses to the latter often say they felt certain that the craft they saw were not of earthly origin.

There are many cases of the latter to choose from. I fully accept many of these at face value and I have no reason to believe that witnesses have been less than truthful in their reporting of what they experienced. These cases would include the Rendlesham Forest events and the various witnesses to those such as Colonel Charles Halt and others. There are the extraordinary sightings of (mainly) vast triangular UFOs which occurred in the area of the Hudson Valley during the years 1983-1986 (these are described in detail in the book "Night Siege - the Hudson Valley UFO Sightings" by Dr J Allen Hynek, Philip Imbrogno and Bob Pratt). Then, there was a wave of similar

triangular UFO sightings over Belgium a few years later and the testimony of Major General Wilfried de Brouwer of the Belgian Air Force. In all of the cases, and many others which I won't list here, multiple witnesses saw UFOs and some often said they did not believe these craft could have come from this planet.

If you want a collection of what I think are some of the best genuine UFO cases there is probably no better place to start than the book 'UFOs - Generals, Pilots and Government Officials Go on the Record' (2010) by Leslie Kean. This book has concentrated on UFO fact and has studiously avoided those controversial claims of alien contact and alien abductions despite the author's close association with the late Budd Hopkins who was the leading proponent of such beliefs.

The real experts who have probably contributed more to the understanding of the UFO subject than anyone else are Jacques Vallee and John B Alexander. I strongly recommend books by them on this subject. Both of them are also pretty good at indicating which are the myths and claims of ufology that belong firmly in what I call the 7F basket.

The many claims of alien contact by the flying saucer "contactees" of the 1950s and 1960s proved that large numbers of people were obsessed with the idea of alien contact and fantasized how that might happen and how they might be a key player in such contact. After all, if such contact did occur it would make them instant celebrities and utterly change their lives. So, many of the contactees announced their fantastic claims and often wrote books about their alleged experiences with ETs.

After the Betty and Barney Hill case went public in 1965 the myth of alien abduction gradually became accepted by mainstream US ufology despite some opposition in MUFON and other serious UFO research groups. By 1987 after publication of books by Budd Hopkins and by Whitley Strieber this subject virtually took over US ufology and literally thousands of people started to believe that they might have been abducted by aliens.

By something akin to the hundredth monkey effect anyone connected with the UFO subject was at least made to consider whether they might be an alien abductee. All sorts of abduction gurus came forward to offer hypnotic regression and, unsurprisingly, a large proportion of the hypnosis subjects produced "suppressed memories" of being taken aboard a UFO and being medically examined by small gray aliens. In a 1991 Roper Poll it was found that 119 of the 6,000 polled responded in a way that Hopkins interpreted as indicating they had been abducted by aliens. Based on this poll, he extrapolated a figure suggesting four million Americans might have been abducted by extraterrestrials. To most people that seemed utterly absurd -- quite apart from the difficulties the aforesaid aliens would have and their wherewithal to conduct such an operation.

I have met quite a few "abductees" who claim or believe they have been abducted by aliens. Some of them have said they are more or less besieged by small gray aliens, reptilian aliens, or sometimes praying mantis-style aliens. Some of these nightmare encounters appear to have a strong sexual or reproductive theme. Do I believe these people have actually experienced physical events like this, as they insist? Quite frankly, no.

There is no proof that these supposed memories ever had any basis in physical reality and many serious researchers believe that the experiences come chiefly from the imagination, from fantasies, from lucid dreams or vivid dreams, and possibly from false memories. Interactions between humans and non-humans have been recorded by people throughout history and certainly long before the modern UFO era. Whether today's "alien abductions" are different in nature, and whether or not they are connected with visitation by ETs in UFOs is highly questionable. I'm not saying that human interaction with aliens has never occurred but I've yet to hear any story of contact with ET of which we can be positively certain.

George Wingfield

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:54:52 -0400
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 17:48:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 16:20:43 +0100
>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 20:03:54 -0400
>>Subject: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

><snip>

>>This object seems to be at a relatively low altitude, and is
>>somewhat spherical in shape. There appears to be a black band
>>separating two whitish hemispheres. Occasionally, the white
>>changes to a cyan color.

><snip>

>>I posted the clip at the following location:

>><http://tinyurl.com/d6lboud>

>>Can anyone identify this object?

>One possibility is a drifting soap bubble.

>A transparent bubble typically shows an "upright" reflection of
>the environment in one hemisphere and an "inverted" reflection
>of the same scene in the other. The reflection is an extremely
>wide angle or fish-eye type image, like an all-sky camera.
>Against a bright sky you will often see two such reflections of
>the sky separated by a darker band which is the horizon (check
>Google images for "soap bubble reflection").

>If you can find the pointing angle of the camera relative to the
>local horizon you might be able to prove this to your
>satisfaction by ray tracing, or you could ask your friend to try
>photographing a detergent bubble in the same location and
>conditions.

>Further, with some camera data such as angular FOV and lens
>hyperfocal distance, and local weather records, you could put
>some limits on distance, angular size, angular rate, true rate
>and direction that would help to test the hypothesis.

Thank you Martin, for mentioning that possible explanation.
Maybe not a soap bubble, but a mylar balloon? A problem with it
though is that the black band in the object has a vertical
orientation. Presumably the horizon is horizontal in England.

Also, towards the end of the clip, the object turns so that the
band disappears. The band appears to go behind the object,
suggesting it is a semicircle rather than a full circle. A
spherical reflection would not disappear.

The object also seems to flash briefly occasionally, which would
be odd if the light source were the sun. Judging from the way
the cloud is illuminated, the sun is approximately behind the

camera location. The flashes suggest to me that the light source is the object itself.

If anyone is interested in taking a closer look, I recommend downloading the hires (720p) mp4 version, then stepping through it a frame at a time. I use the arrow keys with the Apple QuickTime player.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:26:51 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 17:51:08 -0400
Subject: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

Some may find my latest blog post of interest, John Alexander, Contradictions and Unanswered Questions:

<http://tinyurl.com/bwwynro>

The post explores such circumstances as military intelligence personnel in ufology, the often contradictory statements of the players and the Carpenter Affair, among others.

Regards,

Jack Brewer
www.ufotrail.blogspot.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:26:21 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 17:56:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>My research is focused on 1947, specifically the period that
>covers Maury Island, Kenneth Arnold and Roswell. What happened
>before and what happened after is not within my scope of
>research as unlike most ufologists and believers, I don't throw
>all the evidence in one large multi-millennium bucket from which
>one tries to explain the phenomenon.

I have read what I feel to be a significant portion of work composed by James Carrion, as well as viewed video footage of his public presentations. Some of it I have studied rather lengthily, allowing myself ample time and attention to mentally digest and process the information. I therefore feel reasonably qualified to offer some comments about the remarks and arguments that commonly ensue when the man's work is discussed.

I have come to realize a discussion participant is unfamiliar with Carrion's work when they object based primarily on the grounds that Carrion's hypothesis does not account for the many international UFO sightings reported throughout history. The fact of the matter is that in Carrion's essay titled, New Avenues For UFO Research, and his presentation, Russian Espionage And UFOs, of which I am quite familiar with each, he emphasizes that his hypothesis does not attempt to account for all UFO reports. He additionally clarifies that more research is required, as well as qualifies other areas of interest may be equally applicable and valid to other specific circumstances. Individuals who object to Carrion's work based on implications and/or outright accusations he fails in explaining all UFO sightings therefore simply demonstrate a lack of understanding the hypothesis.

I evaluate the work of James Carrion to be interesting and well conducted, particularly in the manner he establishes factual circumstances via authenticated documents and similar such practices recognized as credible by the professional research community. Carrion then responsibly differentiates between those facts and what he suspects they may indicate. I am strongly of the opinion the UFO research community could use many more such examples. I am also of the opinion that when a former intelligence analyst and former MUFON international director chooses to invest his resources in conducting and publishing UFO-related research, he should be encouraged to continue.

If there is a constructive criticism I might offer James Carrion about presenting his research, it would be that a more effective job might be done in clarifying exactly what he is suggesting for consideration as he progresses through citing factual circumstances. I empathize that over time and as an individual becomes increasingly involved in their research, they might find it difficult to view the situation with 'beginner's mind, ' so

to speak, resulting in the risk of taking it for granted that certain circumstances are self-explanatory. However, additional explanation might be helpful when dealing with such subject matter as Carrion finds of interest. I suspect that Carrion might often be talking over the levels of experience and comprehension of a majority of his audience.

Again, though, I indeed empathize with the fact the man is attempting to reasonably concisely combine matters of such complex topics as military strategy, conditioned belief systems and cultural evolution into single sittings, and not only are many in direct opposition to considering such perspectives at all in the context of ufology, but the subject matter requires sustained and deep attention. So while Carrion might arguably present the information a bit more understandably by summarizing his thoughts a little more often, he cannot be responsible for the amount of effort - or lack thereof - the rest of us invest in absorbing his work.

Lastly, if anyone cares to discuss the actual points presented in the previously mentioned, "New Avenues for UFO Research" or "Russian Espionage and UFOs," I would be willing to do so. To try to clarify, I am not interested in discussing the life of James Carrion, debating his possible beliefs or judging his alleged motives. I am, however, willing to discuss specific points contained within his work with individuals who have reviewed the work to an extent they can competently and intelligently discuss it.

Regards,

Jack Brewer
www.ufotrail.blogspot.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 16:02:47 -0700
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 17:58:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Kentaro Mori<kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:56:21 -0300
>To: post.nul
>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>From: Ray Dickenson<r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:29:57 +0100
>>Subject: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>So, if we're not the first intelligence to evolve, why haven't
>>we already been wiped out by murderous advanced machines from
>>space? That's a question I woke up with - but then re-thought
>>some of Reynolds' more complex human-machine scenarios.

>That's Fermi's Paradox. It was formulated almost as soon as
>advances in cosmology established the Universe is billions of
>years old, and that there are billions and billions of galaxies
>as large or even larger than ours.

><http://tinyurl.com/2hg2qn>

<snip>

>...the fact we cannot see any obvious signs
>of intelligente life in the Universe elsewhere -- besides the
>'controversial' UFO evidence -- must mean they are either
>deliberately hiding or that they don't exist.

>Both alternatives are not very resonable, and Fermi's Paradox
>remains one of the greatest questions of our time.

<snip>

As I've argued here previously, there are certainly other
alternatives besides that they are deliberately hiding or that
they don't exist. E.g., practical inter-stellar/galactic travel
may be such an enormous intellectual/technological hurdle that
the lifeforms that achieve it have evolved completely outside
our realm of perception, or at least we cannot recognize them as
intelligent life (any more than an ant recognizes us as such). I
don't find that to be an altogether satisfactory solution
either, primarily in that I don't think the interstellar travel
problem introduces that severe of a developmental selection
effect.

It's also conceivable that intelligence is a broader property of
the universe that is only recently emerging at technology-
producing levels. This would also address the somewhat puzzling
fact that our technological civilization arose essentially in
the blink of an eye relative to evolutionary time scales, and
yet apparently occurred at no other time in Earth's history.
However, I can't say I'm entirely at ease with this idea either.

In any case, I must agree that Fermi's paradox is very much an
elephant in the room that doesn't get nearly the attention it
would seem to merit, even in the absence of the UFO phenomenon.
Or perhaps *especially* in the absence of the UFO phenomenon,
in that many people seem to think that UFOs=ETs essentially

resolves the paradox, which it does not, certainly not without appeal to some rather contrived scenarios.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

UFO Alarm Sounds Around NZ's North Island

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 18:03:22 -0400
Archived: Sun, 20 May 2012 18:03:22 -0400
Subject: UFO Alarm Sounds Around NZ's North Island

Source: BayOfPlentyTimes.Co.nz

<http://tinyurl.com/83tmyym>

Sunday, May 20, 2012

UFO Alarm Sounds Around North Island

[APNZ] There have been an "unprecedented" number of UFO sightings reported in the North Island over the past two months, UFO watchers say.

And aliens may even have visited Northland in the past five weeks, with one man reporting seeing a UFO land in the region, Suzanne Hansen, director from Ufocus NZ research network said.

She said: "He's a very credible source. He saw an object that had landed and said it was definitely not an aircraft or like anything else he had seen.

"I've got 30 (UFO/UAP) reports on my desk at the moment from the upper North Island and Northland from the past couple of weeks that we're yet to process [on top of the many others] ... It's unprecedented."

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Robert Powell <rpowell.nul>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 16:24:43 -0500
Archived: Mon, 21 May 2012 06:20:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Kentaro Mori<kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:56:21 -0300
>To: post.nul
>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>From: Ray Dickenson<r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:29:57 +0100
>>Subject: Problems With von Neumann Replicators
>>So, if we're not the first intelligence to evolve, why haven't
>>we already been wiped out by murderous advanced machines from
>>space? That's a question I woke up with - but then re-thought
>>some of Reynolds' more complex human-machine scenarios.
>>That's Fermi's Paradox. It was formulated almost as soon as
>>advances in cosmology established the Universe is billions of
>>years old, and that there are billions and billions of galaxies
>>as large or even larger than ours.

><http://tinyurl.com/2hg2qn>

>>The creation and release of 'replicators' is an irresistible
>>temptation for greedy and impatient humans - so it's probably
>>been done. And the only realistic reason I can think of for us
>>not being under attack from ungoverned machines is that they
>>might see us as a resource.
>>We don't have any material resources which cannot be found
>>elsewhere in higher quantities and greater convenience for any
>>civilization capable of crossing light-years of distance to get
>>here. And even if we are a resource for our intelligence or
>>biological uniqueness, the fact we cannot see any obvious signs
>>of intelligent life in the Universe elsewhere -- besides the
>>'controversial' UFO evidence -- must mean they are either
>>deliberately hiding or that they don't exist.

Kentori, why is "they are deliberately hiding" not a reasonable hypothesis?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Did The CIC 'Distress' The Rhodes Photos?

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 00:21:02 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Mon, 21 May 2012 06:26:29 -0400
Subject: Did The CIC 'Distress' The Rhodes Photos?

EBK and List,

[The photos were taken by Mr. William Rhoads, 4333 N. 14th St., Phoenix, Arizona, at sunset on 7 July 1947 --ebk]

The negative of the first photograph as published on July 9, 1947 in the Arizona Republic was 'distressed' before it was analyzed by Lewis C. Gust at Air Material Command on February 19, 1948. The questions are who did it, and why.

"The "cut" negative of the first photo -- all the imaging reference points were cropped out (which I think Gust alluded to) -- is the one AMC and Sign, and in fact all PBB Incident #40 files, refer to.

The second negative was never (officially) in possession of the AF saucer Projects. They had the first negative (or a duplicate of it), which had been cropped to remove the imaging reference points, and perhaps reprocessed to appear faded and streaked.

So, it appears there was a hoax, and a rather skillful one at that."

See:

<http://www.foreshadower.net/rhodes-ufo-photos-1947/>

Regards,

Don

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Gildas Bourdais** <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 11:26:42 +0200
Archived: Mon, 21 May 2012 06:36:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 16:25:24 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>The more you study honestly UFOs, the more you realize that they
>>do exist.

>>There is no escaping that.

>>Carrion claimed the opposite. It proves his dishonesty.

>>I've known Carrion's arguments since his speech at the MUFON
>>Symposium of 2009, and they are worthless to me.

>Gildas,

>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

James,

If I misunderstood you, I apologize, but the very title of your
paper suggested that: "the creation of the modern UFO myth".

>My research is focused on 1947, specifically the period that
>covers Maury Island, Kenneth Arnold and Roswell. What happened
>before and what happened after is not within my scope of
>research as unlike most Ufologists and believers, I don't throw
>all the evidence in one large multi-millennium bucket from which
>one tries to explain the phenomenon.

Very briefly, can we believe your story of staged events in the
cases of Kenneth Arnold, Maury Island and Roswell, to try to
convince the Russians that the Americans had superior weapons?

First, the sighting of Kenneth Arnold. We know that there were
no such advanced, supersonic planes at the time. Even if they
had been available, the very timing of such a display was an
impossible task. Crazy story.

Now, the Maury Island case. Yes, it is doubtful. But do you
really think it would have been a good tale to convince the
Russians of the existence of superior airplanes? Of course no.

Then Roswell. Here we reach the top of absurdity: to announce
the crash of an advanced craft in the morning, and to explain
it away in the evening as a confusion with a weather balloon.
The worst possible scenario to convince the Russians of an
advanced weapon! And what about the more than a hundred credible
witnesses supporting the UFO crash? They are not all fools.

All this is pure nonsense to me. Sorry to have to say it again.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 11:33:10 +0200
Archived: Mon, 21 May 2012 06:38:10 -0400
Subject: Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 19:12:02 +0000
>Subject: Re: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 08:11:12 -0400
>>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>>From: post.nul
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 05:12:07 +0100
>>Subject: Realistic Ratios Of Unexplained Sightings?

>>Hello List

>>To revisit this subject:

>>When CNES (French National Centre for Space Studies) released
>>its UFO files in 2007 it said that 28% of those reports were
>>unexplained.

>><http://www.cnes-geipan.fr/>

>>And today their site says 22% of ongoing reports are
>>'unidentified'.

>>In 'UFO - Secret Access on the Record'

>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xTPFF-ghqf8>

>>(from about 59 mins)

>>We hear that after the Belgian wave, General Wilfried De Brouwer
>>said that of several thousand reports a total of 650 were
>>investigated and of those, 500 remained unexplained. That's
>>approximately 77%.

>>Yet the media in UK and USA continually claim that "only 5%" of
>>sightings are unexplained.

>Ray:

>Is it possible that CNES is making reference to its data file?

>KK

Of course, yes!

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 06:25:34 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Mon, 21 May 2012 06:46:54 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 16:25:24 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 16 May 2012 19:29:52 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>My research is focused on 1947, specifically the period that
>covers Maury Island, Kenneth Arnold and Roswell. What happened
>before and what happened after is not within my scope of
>research as unlike most Ufologists and believers, I don't throw
>all the evidence in one large multi-millennium bucket from which
>one tries to explain the phenomenon.

>So don't call me dishonest in my approach, rather it is focused.
>If I can prove that Maury Island, Kenneth Arnold and Roswell
>were part of the same Cold War deception operation, well, you
>still have what came before and came after to hang on to. I am
>not trying to convince you or anyone else whose mind is already
>made up on this subject. I doubt that even if the Government
>came out and fessed up to their Cold War deception, that you
>would believe it - instead you would probably claim that surely
>it has to be another ploy of the "cover up".

>Calling my opinions worthless sounds like something that would
>normally fall out of the mouth of Phil Klass or the folks over
>at CSI.

>Jerry labeled me a Conspiracy Theorist, Dave Morton a fool, and
>you are calling me a liar. I venture none of you have even read
>my paper on the Project Seal disinformation campaign from June
>1947 two weeks prior to Maury Island/Kenneth Arnold, nor could
>you offer any sort of explanation for it because it doesn't fit
>within your UFO view.

>Labels mean nothing, hard evidence means everything. COINTELPRO
>would still be a conspiracy theory today if the Citizens'
>Commission to Investigate the FBI did not break into the FBI
>field office in Media, Pennsylvania, and expose the program by
>passing the information to news agencies. Even then, many news
>organizations initially refused to publish the information.

>I can't wait to see what you will label me next.

>James

My attribution of you was correct because you stated a theory -

a fantastic theory - without providing any evidence.

Additionally, the title of your piece begins "Flying Saucers..." - not "The Flying Saucers of June 1947."

The implication is "(All) Flying Saucers" - not a subset of flying saucer reports.

That's how the English language works.

The word "All" is implied by you in your subject.

If we add "All" and some clarification, we have "All Flying Saucers Are A Lie - the Greatest Lie Ever Told."

You asked:

"When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?"

Well, if you meant "flying saucers" rather than "UFOs", you just stated it: "...The Greatest Lie Ever Told."

You're claiming that they're just a lie, and therefore don't exist in reality. That's when you claimed that they don't exist. "Lie" = "Imaginary". "Imaginary" = "They don't exist".

So, in effect, you were saying "All Flying Saucers are a Lie - the Greatest Lie Ever Told, Therefore They Don't Exist."

Your question has now been answered.

You stated above "...hard evidence means everything." Very true. You haven't supplied any for your theories.

You talk about the CIA, then later about Project Seal. Somehow we're supposed to figure out for ourselves how Project Seal meant that the June '47 saucers were some kind of hoax, and that there never were any real saucers.

I'm slow, James. Spell it out for me.

You've come up with a better theory involving the CIA and Project Seal - a secret project cancelled in January of 1945, and revealed in 1947.

I don't see the connection, but it's an interesting theory, and I'd like to understand it.

I need to see the evidence and methodology, because I don't understand the mechanism.

I'd also be interested in finding out how many Soviet spies were captured by this disinformation ploy. Names and dates, please.

You will also need to address David Rudiak's reading of the telegram in General Ramey's hand - work completed in 2001. Explain it, please.

How is it that we can clearly read "FORT WORTH, TEX", "VICTIMS OF THE WRECK", "DISK", and "WEATHER BALLOONS"? This was the Roswell incident - one of your specialties, and the photo was taken on July 8th, 1947 in General Ramey's office at Fort Worth, Texas (Fort Worth Army Airfield).

Was this a fake telegram planted by the CIA, intended for revelation decades after the June '47 saucer flap, but not to be believed, and seen as more evidence for a cover-up so we could flush out Soviet spies in 2001, even though news of Rudiak's telegram reading didn't make the mainstream news, and thus had no clear-text from which to start a decryption of Soviet code, as far as we know? What's the explanation?

You will also need to discredit all Roswell reports - from Mack Brazel forward. Every single statement ever made from June '47 forward to the present day, by people who were involved in the incident, including those who didn't see the debris or the bodies, but spoke with or saw the people involved, or were somehow connected to it - including General Vandenberg, General Ramey's wife, Major Marcel, Marcel's son, etc.

We end up with something like this:

"All Flying Saucers Are A Lie - The Greatest Lie Ever Told, Therefore They Don't Exist.

The CIA sent out fake stories of UFOs to capture Soviet spies. Furthermore, Project Seal in New Zealand was somehow involved, and the CIA captured more spies. I won't tell you who they caught or how they caught them. All the stories of June '47 involving flying saucers were fake stories planted by the CIA. All the interviews of people supposedly involved were fake, even up to the present day. They all lied and continue to lie. Any photographs of saucers are fakes. The telegram in General Ramey's hand was a fake, printed out and handed to him by a CIA agent. I won't tell you how they did it because it's a secret. Furthermore, flying saucers don't exist. I know they don't exist just because."

James, please answer ALL these questions, in this forum.

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: **Martin Shough**<parcellular.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 11:25:58 +0100
Archived: Mon, 21 May 2012 06:48:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:54:52 -0400
>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 16:20:43 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

<snip>

>Thank you Martin, for mentioning that possible explanation.
>Maybe not a soap bubble, but a mylar balloon?

Also possible.

>A problem with it
>though is that the black band in the object has a vertical
>orientation. Presumably the horizon is horizontal in England.

It is the camera axis that has a (near)vertical orientation,
pointed "at the sky". The up/down of this frame orientation is
arbitrary in relation to gravity. The orientation of the band on
this apparently shiny spheroid is unknown.

>Also, towards the end of the clip, the object turns so that the
>band disappears. The band appears to go behind the object,
>suggesting it is a semicircle rather than a full circle. A
>spherical reflection would not disappear.

>The object also seems to flash briefly occasionally, which would
>be odd if the light source were the sun. Judging from the way
>the cloud is illuminated, the sun is approximately behind the
>camera location. The flashes suggest to me that the light source
>is the object itself.

The changing reflection geometry of a bubble (or, differently,
an aspherical mylar balloon) moving in relation to the
landscape, the sun and a fixed camera is complex and the direct
illumination need not even be constant because the ray path
between it and a low sun "behind the camera" (whatever that
means) can easily be interrupted by trees, poles, buildings etc.

There're really no grounds for assuming this thing is self
luminous or is anything other than a mundane object (bubble,
balloon etc) until you have started to do some of the type of
investigation of angular relationships and camera
orientation/surroundings and local winds etc that I suggested
already, to try to put at least approximate bounds on possible
size and rate and altitude (coupled variables) and direction,
for testing predictions of bubble/balloon theories.

You may be able to prove yourself right. Until then this is not
very interesting for the rest of us.

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 08:55:39 -0300
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 07:53:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 11:26:42 +0200
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 16:25:24 +0200
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>The more you study honestly UFOs, the more you realize that they do
>>>exist.

>>>There is no escaping that.

>>>Carrion claimed the opposite. It proves his dishonesty.

>>>I've known Carrion's arguments since his speech at the MUFON
>>>Symposium of 2009, and they are worthless to me.

>>Gildas,

>>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>James,

>If I misunderstood you, I apologize, but the very title of your
>paper suggested that: "the creation of the modern UFO myth".

Gildas,

You call impossible staging an event for Arnold's benefit. I
guess you haven't been to any magic shows in Vegas to know that
you can convince the human mind of pretty much anything with
enough proper planning.

You focus on the existence of superior planes yet it was
missiles that the Russians had more to worry about, especially
with a nuclear weapon on board. If you bother to read my paper
on the Project Seal disinformation campaign, it appears that the
goal was to convince the Russians that there was a secret
project they knew nothing about that was an aerial platform (not
necessarily a plane).

Roswell doesn't make sense PERIOD from the standpoint that the
509th Bomber Group as the only nuclear capable unit in the World
at the time knew better than anyone to keep their mouths shut
when it came to matters of national security. Putting out a
press release was absolutely ludicrous! But then again if your
goal is to "make the news", which also appeared to be the goal
of Maury Island, than it doesn't matter if the "News" was
ludicrous or not. What is the old saying "Bad publicity is

better than no publicity". From a code breaking point of view, it simply didn't matter.

It makes no sense to you, because you are seeing it through a different set of lenses than I am.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 09:16:20 -0300
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:03:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 06:25:34 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>My attribution of you was correct because you stated a theory -
>a fantastic theory - without providing any evidence.

>Additionally, the title of your piece begins "Flying
>Saucers..." - not "The Flying Saucers of June 1947."

>The implication is "(All) Flying Saucers" - not a subset of
>>flying saucer reports.

>That's how the English language works.

>The word "All" is implied by you in your subject.

>If we add "All" and some clarification, we have "All Flying
>Saucers Are A Lie - the Greatest Lie Ever Told."

>You asked:

>"When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?"

>Well, if you meant "flying saucers" rather than "UFOs", you
>just stated it: "...The Greatest Lie Ever Told."

>You're claiming that they're just a lie, and therefore don't
>exist in reality. That's when you claimed that they don't exist.
>"Lie" = "Imaginary". "Imaginary" = "They don't exist".

>So, in effect, you were saying "All Flying Saucers are a Lie -
>the Greatest Lie Ever Told, Therefore They Don't Exist."

>Your question has now been answered.

>You stated above "...hard evidence means everything."
>Very true. You haven't supplied any for your theories.

>You talk about the CIA, then later about Project Seal. Somehow we're
>supposed to figure out for ourselves how Project Seal meant that the June
>'47 saucers were some kind of hoax, and that there never were any real
>saucers.

>I'm slow, James. Spell it out for me.

>You've come up with a better theory involving the CIA and
>Project Seal - a secret project cancelled in January of 1945,
>and revealed in 1947.

>I don't see the connection, but it's an interesting theory, and
>I'd like to understand it.

>I need to see the evidence and methodology, because I don't
understand the mechanism.

>I'd also be interested in finding out how many Soviet spies
were captured by this disinformation ploy. Names and dates,
please.

>You will also need to address David Rudiak's reading of the
>telegram in General Ramey's hand - work completed in 2001.
>Explain it, please.

>How is it that we can clearly read "FORT WORTH, TEX", "VICTIMS
>OF THE WRECK", "DISK", and "WEATHER BALLOONS"? This was the
>Roswell incident - one of your specialties, and the photo was
>taken on July 8th, 1947 in General Ramey's office at Fort Worth,
>Texas (Fort Worth Army Airfield).

>Was this a fake telegram planted by the CIA, intended for
>revelation decades after the June '47 saucer flap, but not to be
>believed, and seen as more >evidence for a cover-up so we could
>flush out Soviet spies in 2001, even though news of Rudiak's
>telegram reading didn't make the mainstream news, and >thus had
>no clear-text from which to start a decryption of Soviet code,
>as far as we know? What's the explanation?

>You will also need to discredit all Roswell reports - from Mack
>Brazel forward. Every single statement ever made from June '47
>forward to the present day, by people who were involved in the
>incident, including those who didn't see the debris or the
>bodies, but spoke with or saw the people involved, or were
>somehow connected to it - including General Vandenberg, General
>Ramey's wife, Major Marcel, Marcel's son, etc.

>We end up with something like this:

>"All Flying Saucers Are A Lie - The Greatest Lie Ever Told,
>Therefore They Don't Exist.

>The CIA sent out fake stories of UFOs to capture Soviet spies.
>Furthermore, Project Seal in New Zealand was somehow involved,
>and the CIA captured more spies. I won't tell you who they
>caught or how they caught them. All the stories of June '47
>involving flying saucers were fake stories planted by the CIA.

>All the interviews of people supposedly involved were fake,
>even up to the present day. They all lied and continue to lie.
>Any photographs of saucers are fakes. The telegram in General
>Ramey's hand was a fake, printed out and handed to him by a CIA
>agent. I won't tell you how they did it because it's a secret.
>Furthermore, flying saucers don't exist. I know they don't
>exist just because."

>James, please answer ALL these questions, in this forum.

>Dave Morton

I have already stated that I won't be presenting my findings in
this forum. You can read my research findings on my website once
I post them. Maybe you should start with reading my paper on
Project Seal which I doubt you have yet to read.

Speaking of Project Seal, you already have it wrong. The Project
was killed in 1945 but the principal scientists were promoting
it as ongoing in 1947, and informed the public that it was
developed outside of the United States and outside the reaches
of Soviet Espionage. They provided a mix of true and false
statements to the press, which I have hard evidence of in the
form of declassified documents. Disinformation in the classic
sense. So let me spell it out for you:

A Top Secret Research Project, bigger than the Manhattan
Project, possibly an airborne weapon, developed outside the
United States and outside the reaches of Soviet Spies, confirmed
by the principals who worked on the project who are purposely
disinforming- information released 2 weeks prior to Kenneth

Arnold's sighting.

In the eyes of a Ufologist or believer, all of this adds up to nothing. In the eyes of an Military Intelligence Historian it shows purposeful intent to deceive.

As for all of the things you claim as evidence to the contrary, I don't need to discredit anyone's research or findings, I only need to prove my own theory with hard evidence. Then you can stack my research next to Friedman's, Rudiak's, and every other researcher interested in this period and make your own judgment. If you prefer to believe anecdotal evidence, recovered messages from photographs, unsubstantiated control groups, etc., well that is your right to do so. When I walk into my congressman's office, I won't be bringing that kind of evidence with me.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

The Mystery Of The Multiversity

From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 14:54:19 +0200
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:29:08 -0400
Subject: The Mystery Of The Multiversity

The Mystery Of The Multiversity

The Daily Beast.Com:

<http://tinyurl.com/d3m3hk8>

The latest developments in cosmology point toward the possibility that our universe is merely one of billions. In Newsweek, best-selling physicist Brian Greene on the findings.

Read it at Newsweek:

<http://tinyurl.com/d3m3hk8>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:09:22 -0300
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:30:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Robert Powell <rpowell.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 16:24:43 -0500
>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>From: Kentaro Mori<kentaro.mori.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:56:21 -0300
>>To: post.nul
>>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>That's Fermi's Paradox. It was formulated almost as soon as
>>advances in cosmology established the Universe is billions of
>>years old, and that there are billions and billions of galaxies
>>as large or even larger than ours.

>><http://tinyurl.com/2hg2gn>

<snip>

>>the fact we cannot see any obvious signs
>>of intelligent life in the Universe elsewhere - besides the
>>'controversial' UFO evidence - must mean they are either
>>deliberately hiding or that they don't exist.

>Kentori, why is "they are deliberately hiding" not a reasonable
>hypothesis?

Simply stated, because they must all, without exception, be
deliberately hiding. A single civilization with a colonization
program for the Galaxy could be present in all solar systems and
engineer its structure and much more in a few million years. Even
if all others do not choose this path, it just takes one in the
whole history of our Galaxy to do the job. And yet, we cannot see
clear evidence of that. This question is the problem for mostly
all answers to Fermi's paradox.

Of course, it may well be that however "unreasonable", by simple
chance or by some yet unknown reason (the Great Filter) none of
the civilizations in the Universe embarked on such project, and
that they are all "hiding". One certainly can assume such a
solution and this may well be the case, but it's as "reasonable"
as any other - including the one that we are indeed alone.

All answers are problematic, and the paradox stands, I think
there's no shame in recognizing we simply don't know the answer
to Fermi's question. We are not alone, the Universe is much too
large and too old for we to be the only ones, but then where are
they?

Fermi's paradox is one of the strongest arguments for the ETH and
the PaleoSETI, though it's often misunderstood and even derided
by most proponents of such hypothesis.

Kentaro

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 09:11:19 -0400
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:32:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 11:25:58 +0100
>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:54:52 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>>To:<post.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 16:20:43 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

><snip>

>>Thank you Martin, for mentioning that possible explanation.
>>Maybe not a soap bubble, but a mylar balloon?

>Also possible.

>>A problem with it
>>though is that the black band in the object has a vertical
>>orientation. Presumably the horizon is horizontal in England.

>It is the camera axis that has a (near)vertical orientation,
>pointed "at the sky". The up/down of this frame orientation is
>arbitrary in relation to gravity. The orientation of the band on
>this apparently shiny spheroid is unknown.

A camera on a tripod tilted upward as far as it will go has an
angle to the ground of about 60 degrees. Up/down relative to the
object is not arbitrary, so the band's orientation appears to be
vertical.

>>Also, towards the end of the clip, the object turns so that the
>>band disappears. The band appears to go behind the object,
>>suggesting it is a semicircle rather than a full circle. A
>>spherical reflection would not disappear.

>>The object also seems to flash briefly occasionally, which would
>>be odd if the light source were the sun. Judging from the way
>>the cloud is illuminated, the sun is approximately behind the
>>camera location. The flashes suggest to me that the light source
>>is the object itself.

>The changing reflection geometry of a bubble (or, differently,
>an aspherical mylar balloon) moving in relation to the
>landscape, the sun and a fixed camera is complex and the direct
>illumination need not even be constant because the ray path
>between it and a low sun "behind the camera" (whatever that
>means) can easily be interrupted by trees, poles, buildings etc.

>There're really no grounds for assuming this thing is self
>luminous or is anything other than a mundane object (bubble,
>balloon etc) until you have started to do some of the type of
>investigation of angular relationships and camera
>orientation/surroundings and local winds etc that I suggested

>already, to try to put at least approximate bounds on possible
>size and rate and altitude (coupled variables) and direction,
>for testing predictions of bubble/balloon theories.

There are insufficient data for meaningful calculations of that nature. The possible distance and size of the object covers too wide a range. Besides, in another case where I showed that an object's motion was not consistent with a balloon, critics here refused to allow mere calculations to override their own preferences. I wouldn't expect this case to be any different in that regard.

>You may be able to prove yourself right. Until then this is not
>very interesting for the rest of us.

You are presuming a lot, Martin, saying you speak for everyone on the List. The appearance and behavior of the object is sufficiently unusual that it deserves a second look. It is still a UFO, in spite of your suppositions and predilections.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:34:50 -0300
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:40:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:26:21 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>>My research is focused on 1947, specifically the period that
>>covers Maury Island, Kenneth Arnold and Roswell. What happened
>>before and what happened after is not within my scope of
>>research as unlike most Ufologists and believers, I don't throw
>>all the evidence in one large multi-millennium bucket from which
>>one tries to explain the phenomenon.

>I have read what I feel to be a significant portion of work
>composed by James Carrion, as well as viewed video footage of
>his public presentations. Some of it I have studied rather
>lengthily, allowing myself ample time and attention to mentally
>digest and process the information. I therefore feel reasonably
>qualified to offer some comments about the remarks and arguments
>that commonly ensue when the man's work is discussed.

>I have come to realize a discussion participant is unfamiliar
>with Carrion's work when they object based primarily on the
>grounds that Carrion's hypothesis does not account for the many
>international UFO sightings reported throughout history. The
>fact of the matter is that in Carrion's essay titled, New
>Avenues For UFO Research, and his presentation, Russian
>Espionage And UFOs, of which I am quite familiar with each, he
>emphasizes that his hypothesis does not attempt to account for
>all UFO reports. He additionally clarifies that more research is
>required, as well as qualifies other areas of interest may be
>equally applicable and valid to other specific circumstances.
>Individuals who object to Carrion's work based on implications
>and/or outright accusations he fails in explaining all UFO
>sightings therefore simply demonstrate a lack of understanding
>the hypothesis.

>I evaluate the work of James Carrion to be interesting and well
>conducted, particularly in the manner he establishes factual
>circumstances via authenticated documents and similar such
>practices recognized as credible by the professional research
>community. Carrion then responsibly differentiates between those
>facts and what he suspects they may indicate. I am strongly of
>the opinion the UFO research community could use many more such
>examples. I am also of the opinion that when a former
>intelligence analyst and former MUFON international director
>chooses to invest his resources in conducting and publishing
>UFO-related research, he should be encouraged to continue.

>If there is a constructive criticism I might offer James Carrion
>about presenting his research, it would be that a more effective

>job might be done in clarifying exactly what he is suggesting
>for consideration as he progresses through citing factual
>circumstances. I empathize that over time and as an individual
>becomes increasingly involved in their research, they might find
>it difficult to view the situation with 'beginner's mind, ' so
>to speak, resulting in the risk of taking it for granted that
>certain circumstances are self-explanatory. However, additional
>explanation might be helpful when dealing with such subject
>matter as Carrion finds of interest. I suspect that Carrion
>might often be talking over the levels of experience and
>comprehension of a majority of his audience.

>Again, though, I indeed empathize with the fact the man is
>attempting to reasonably concisely combine matters of such
>complex topics as military strategy, conditioned belief systems
>and cultural evolution into single sittings, and not only are
>many in direct opposition to considering such perspectives at
>all in the context of ufology, but the subject matter requires
>sustained and deep attention. So while Carrion might arguably
>present the information a bit more understandably by summarizing
>his thoughts a little more often, he cannot be responsible for
>the amount of effort - or lack thereof - the rest of us invest
>in absorbing his work.

>Lastly, if anyone cares to discuss the actual points presented
>in the previously mentioned, "New Avenues for UFO Research" or
>"Russian Espionage and UFOs," I would be willing to do so. To
>try to clarify, I am not interested in discussing the life of
>James Carrion, debating his possible beliefs or judging his
>alleged motives. I am, however, willing to discuss specific
>points contained within his work with individuals who have
>reviewed the work to an extent they can competently and
>intelligently discuss it.

Hi Jack,

I appreciate your measured approach to my research and your
constructive criticism. I will try and outline my theory as
concisely as possible. Evidence to substantiate and ultimately
prove the theory will be posted on my web site.

In view of the perceived deep penetration by subversive
Communist forces of American society and Governing organizations
and because of the blustering attitude of the Soviet Union that
was anticipated to result in a third world war between the East
and West bloc of nations, concerned Americans came together to
decide how to deal with this multifaceted threat. The planning
for this operation probably began in late 1946. The principal
element involved was the X2 counterintelligence branch of the
OSS/CIG which morphed into the Office of Special Operations of
the CIA. The principal planner was Hoyt Vandenberg but was aided
by a number of colleagues both inside and outside of Government.

Using the 1946 Ghost Rockets as the precedent that caused the US
much concern, these planners decided to deceive the Soviets into
believing that their penetration of the US was not as deep as
the Soviets thought. The planners needed a real Top Secret
Research project that could be used as the basis of a non-
existent project to convince the Soviets that the US had a
"superweapon" that could deal a deadly blow to the Soviet
Mainland. They found the perfect cover story with "Project
Seal", a real classified research project designed to create
artificial Tsunamis, run by a New Zealand scientist who was
known for not only being an expert in hydrodynamics but also
aerodynamics, which led to the speculation of an airborne weapon
on the part of the Press. The Soviets would know nothing about
it because it was developed outside the US and "outside the
reaches of Soviet Espionage" (quote from newspaper article on
the top secret weapons project in June 1947).

Two weeks after this "airborne superweapon" broke, a flurry of
reports from the American Northwest seemed to confirm that the
Americans were in possession of incredible airborne technology.
Not only were many normal citizens witnessing these incredible
objects, but the American military forces were hard at work
trying to convince that they were engaging in a cover up of
physical evidence. Roswell was one of these "cover ups" but a
review of other alleged crashed saucers during this time show a
similar pattern of cover up.

"Flying Saucer" witnesses were kept under constant counterintelligence surveillance to observe which individuals and organizations expressed an interest. These "canary traps" would help identify the principle subversive forces at work. In other words, this operation was one of the first Cold War counterintelligence offensives conducted. To deceive the Soviets required deceiving the American public first, hence the birth of the modern day UFO era.

To pull off the operation, the planners needed the willing cooperation and/or manipulated cooperation of the American Press. To make the superweapon convincing, it was important that normal US citizens be the ones to initially report their sightings. Key players within the newswire organizations and newspapers were recruited or manipulated into the news frenzy. The sensational news stories could be used as a "Gardening" technique to break the Soviet code after the existing Venona Project decoding was no longer effective. Gardening originated in WW2 when the British planted false news stories in the Press that they knew the Germans would be interested in and their agents would encode and send back to HQ. Knowing what was encoded would help the British code breakers at Bletchley Park reverse engineer the encoding system.

The operation succeeded. Which spies and organizations were outed by the operation is not completely known (but the records are sitting in classified archives) and these elements were quietly eliminated either by outright deportation, movement to positions of less trust and prosecution of spy rings.

A hot war was avoided and the cold war years ensued.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:28:38 -0300
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:50:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 17:17:15 +0100
>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

Hello, Ray,

>Right, but Fermi was a child of his time (as we all are) and
>simply thought that any ETs - who are statistically likely to've
>evolved millions or billions of years ahead of us - would still
>be organic beings and recognizable as such.

>Many folk, notably the profs Stewart and Cohen, have pointed out
>that truly advanced beings are probably not still organic
>(because they don't have to be) and, due to their technology
>also don't even have to be visible unless they want to be. So
>the 'paradox' probably doesn't exist.

Do not underestimate the Italian physicist! :-)

Fermi was famous for his approximations given very limited
available information. He went to the basics and prodigiously
managed to get answers. Even quantitative ones.

Though sadly he never formalized his question - see the post I
wrote about the origins of Fermi's paradox - he probably applied
such reasoning to the subject.

No matter what form a civilization may undertake, the single
fundamental piece of Science as we know it are the laws of
thermodynamics. They would use energy. Their engineered,
intelligent use of energy would be noticeable, for instance -
such as the energy pattern of a Dyson sphere.

Of course, one could suggest a sufficiently advanced
civilization would be so efficient in its use of energy that it
would not be distinguishable from natural entropy. It may well
be, but then almost by definition such a civilization would be
equivalent to a non-existent civilization.

Perhaps some of the standing puzzles of astrophysics may be
answered in fact by teeming Galactic civilizations - dark matter
could be the result to their work, perhaps. But again, the fact
we can model and understand so much of the whole Universe
without resorting to artificial constructs is the point of
asking "where are they?".

<snip>

>And as to 'signs of intelligent life in the Universe' - we just
>don't know what to look for, or how to analyse what we already
>see. At the moment we simply assume that all astronomical events
>are 'natural' - that assumption could be wildly wrong.

Indeed, but again, the fact we have been working with that
assumption and been so successful in understanding and
predicting astronomical observations billions of light years
around us is the point of Fermi's paradox. The discovery of
pulsars is one such example: first suggested as signaling

"Little green men", natural explanations for them quickly became better working hypothesis and now no one thinks they are artificial.

If they are all around us, they should be obvious here on Earth, our solar system, Galaxy, the Universe. Our planet certainly is living in the Anthropocene, where our own presence is a major factor, influencing from geology to biology and even the climate. No intelligent ants oblivious to us on Earth would be able to understand much of the planet without finally noticing us humans. They wouldn't ask "where are they", they would see our shoes stepping on them.

Regards,

Kentaro

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 15:38:55 +0100
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:52:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:54:52 -0400
>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

<snip>

>Presumably the horizon is horizontal in England.

<snip>

I don't know how it works where you are, William, but for us it's horizontal when we are vertical, and vertical when we are horizontal. It all depends on what we've been drinking, and how much.

Gerald O'Connell
<http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 17:25:07 +0000
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:55:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:26:51 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

>Some may find my latest blog post of interest, John Alexander,
>Contradictions and Unanswered Questions:

><http://tinyurl.com/bwwynro>

>The post explores such circumstances as military intelligence
>personnel in ufology, the often contradictory statements of the
>players and the Carpenter Affair, among others.

Jack:

I come to the investigation of UFOs from years of researching mind control - years before the concept entered the public dialog. I brought to the attention - in a national magazine - techniques and policies of the practice of mind control by mostly private corporations tasked and funded by government agencies.

My path crossed Col. Alexander's a couple of times. He seemed to be everywhere: mind control, non-lethal weapons, remote viewing.

Years into my research, I found that my research path crossed over into the UFO community. While researching background material for a book I wrote, I found documents that showed people behind the scenes both mind control and shaping the direction of ufo investigations.

I don't just read UFO material, but actual documents supporting what I am averring.

I am satisfied that 80% of what I am putting forward is correct. All I have to do is look back on just how correct my research was in the mind control field. It seems I am about 15 years ahead of the curve. I will simply wait out the time it takes the UFO community to catch up with the conclusions I have come to.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Orbs And An Airplane Over Reading 05-13-12

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 14:43:33 -0400
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 09:04:16 -0400
Subject: Orbs And An Airplane Over Reading 05-13-12

Here is another interesting video of orbs moving about in the sky and appearing to pass near an aircraft.

<http://tinyurl.com/6ryety8>

When I stepped through the video frame by frame, I saw that some orbs occasionally appear for a frame then disappear. Others progress across the screen with occasional large discontinuities in their trajectories, where the orb appears to make a sudden deviation and then immediately returns back on track.

An airplane appears and an orb rushes toward it, appearing to veer off to avoid meeting it. Of course, it is not possible to tell the actual distance between the orb and the aircraft.

The erratic behaviour of these orbs is similar to that observed in other videos also taken of the sky over Reading. These led me to conclude that the orbs are likely projected somehow from the ground by covert human technology, and that Reading may be a hotspot for testing this technology. See the following for an article that summarizes the evidence.

<http://tinyurl.com/7sxsudc>

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 16:04:36 +0200
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:02:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 08:55:39 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 11:26:42 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 16:25:24 +0200
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>><snip>

>>>>The more you study honestly UFOs, the more you realize that
>>>>they do exist.

>>>>There is no escaping that.

>>>>Carrion claimed the opposite. It proves his dishonesty.

>>>>I've known Carrion's arguments since his speech at the MUFON
>>>>Symposium of 2009, and they are worthless to me.

>>>Gildas,

>>>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>>James,

>>If I misunderstood you, I apologize, but the very title of your
>>paper suggested that: "the creation of the modern UFO myth".

>Gildas,

>You call impossible staging an event for Arnold's benefit. I
>guess you haven't been to any magic shows in Vegas to know that
>you can convince the human mind of pretty much anything with
>enough proper planning.

James,

I don't know what kind of magic you are trying to apply here, but
it does not work on me.

>You focus on the existence of superior planes yet it was
>missiles that the Russians had more to worry about, especially
>with a nuclear weapon on board. If you bother to read my paper
>on the Project Seal disinformation campaign, it appears that the
>goal was to convince the Russians that there was a secret

>project they knew nothing about that was an aerial platform (not
>necessarily a plane).

>Roswell doesn't make sense PERIOD from the standpoint that the
>509th Bomber Group as the only nuclear capable unit in the World
>at the time knew better than anyone to keep their mouths shut
>when it came to matters of national security. Putting out a
>press release was absolutely ludicrous!

No, it was not, if you just consider that they had found a
crashed flying saucer. There are some differing opinions even
among specialists but, in my view, they had a direct order to
issue that press release as a precaution because, on the morning
of July 8, they were not sure they could hide it completely.
By noon, they had secured the sites and could then begin the
debunking operation with the weather balloon.

You see, it's not so hard to propose a rational explanation,
once you have studied the cases seriously. You must certainly
have done that, so I guess you are launching a new debunking
story, like some others before.

You won't succeed, because the press release and subsequent
balloon story don't make any sense in your scenario.

"What a fantastic story", I said to Walter Haut when I met him at
the Roswell Museum in 1995, and he smiled. He confirmed that
Colonel Blanchard would have never issued such a press release
without a direct order.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 15:15:07 +0100
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:04:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:28:38 -0300
>To: post.nul
>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 17:17:15 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

<snip>

>No matter what form a civilization my undertake, the single
>fundamental piece of Science as we know it are the laws of
>thermodynamics. They would use energy. Their engineered,
>intelligent use of energy would be noticeable, for instance -
>such as the energy pattern of a Dyson sphere.

>Of course, one could suggest a sufficiently advanced
>civilization would be so efficient in its use of energy that it
>would not be distinguishable from natural entropy. It may well
>be, but then almost by definition such a civilization would be
>equivalent to a non-existent civilization.

>Perhaps some of the standing puzzles of astrophysics may be
>answered in fact by teeming Galactic civilizations - dark matter
>could be the result to their work, perhaps. But again, the fact
>we can model and understand so much of the whole Universe
>without resorting to artificial constructs is the point of
>asking "where are they?".

><snip>

>>And as to 'signs of intelligent life in the Universe' - we just
>>don't know what to look for, or how to analyse what we already
>>see. At the moment we simply assume that all astronomical
>>events are 'natural' - that assumption could be wildy wrong.

>Indeed, but again, the fact we have been working with that
>assumption and been so successful in understanding and
>predicting astronomical observations billions of light years
>around us is the point of Fermi's paradox. The discovery of
>pulsars is one such example: first suggested as signaling
>"Little green men", natural explanations for them quickly became
>better working hypothesis and now no one thinks they are
>artificial.

<snip>

Hi Kentaro

You've taken aboard a whole load of mainstream science
presumptions.

Inflation, Black Holes, Dark Matter, Dark Energy - all arise
from problems inherent in the 'Big Bang' theory - and all were
invented in turn to solve a problem created by the previous
invention. Each invention, although enthusiastically 'approved'
by the mainstream, has left cosmology in a bigger mess than

before, because none of the inventions are supported by observation - quite the opposite.

Younger scientists are now openly saying that they don't believe a word of it - none at all. Tom Van Flandern summarised many of the objections in this paper: 'The Top 30 Problems With The Big Bang':

<http://www.metaresearch.org/cosmology/BB-top-30.asp>

And a more recent summary was posted here a while ago:

<http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2012/jan/m21-002.shtml>

'The New Philosophy Of Cosmology'

[BTW that has a reference to both Fermi's Paradox _and_ von Neumann replicators, which I don't remember noticing at the time,]

So, discounting all the invented stuff, it seems we know very little about the universe. For example, only the other day all theories concerning the most energetic `cosmic rays' were shot down by the latest observations. So they're another mystery. Maybe large-scale engineering projects?

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

From: **Eugene Frison** <cthulhu_calls.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 09:42:12 -0500
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:06:30 -0400
Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 15 May 2012 15:34:08 +0100
>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>From: Eugene Frison <cthulhu_calls.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 17:27:35 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

>>I have not admitted that the only type of measurements ever taken
>>during psychological research are operationalized measures, and
>>based on proxy notions to boot.

>Then you are simply wrong. See:

>www.yorku.ca/christo/papers/operat.htm

No. Not wrong at all. This is just a long, long, long piece that describes the problems with psychology's use of operationalized measures - of it's confusing proxy notions of things when using such measurements. I have never argued against the notion that this is, indeed, a very widespread problem within psychological research. You are again trying to make it look like I am arguing against well established fact. This lengthy paper which you are referencing merely describes in great detail what was never in contention during the discussion, i. e. psychological research regularly confuses proxy notions of measurement. This is a great paper describing the problem but I never argued against this - ever.

I still have seen nothing that says every time psychological research uses an operationalized measurement it confuses proxy notions of things. The problem is extensive, to be sure, but I still don't see it stated anywhere that it always confuses proxy notions of things when using operationalized measurements.

Anyway, I said you may have a valid point here. I agreed you may be correct regarding this. Just that I have not come across anything that states psychological research makes confused proxy notions of things every time it attempts to make an operationalized measurement.

But psychological research uses more than operationalized types of measurement. Operationalized measurements are only one type of measurement taken during psychological research. It is fact that psychological research uses controlled empirical experimentation and observation and makes extensive use of other types of measurement, such as statistical analysis. Anyone can prove this very important point to themselves in just a few minutes of easy research. In just half an hour, I have located more than a hundred papers covering psychological research's use of statistical analysis when taking measurements of its observations. Here are just a couple to get you started:

Cohen, B. H. (2007) Explaining Psychological Statistics, 3rd Edition (Wiley)

Howell, D. (2009) Statistical Methods for Psychology, International

Edition (Wadsworth)

Bottom line: hundreds of empirical controlled experiments. Thousands of observations. Nothing to do with operationalized measurements of any type. All of these experiments both repeatable and reproducible.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:47:08 -0300
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:08:04 -0400
Subject: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

FAA Looking Into Monday Incident Over Denver

An unidentified object over Denver has led to an FAA investigation. The object appeared Monday when the pilot of a Cessna Citation CJ1 flying at 8,000ft MSL called ATC to report "A remote-controlled aircraft, or what? Something just went by the other way, about 20 to 30 seconds ago. It was like a large remote-controlled aircraft."

USA Today reports that speculation so far has been that the object was a military or law enforcement drone, a radio-controlled aircraft, or a large bird. Drone aircraft are going through the process of being approved by the FAA to operate in airspace with manned aircraft. So far this approval has not yet been granted. The technology for maintaining separation between manned aircraft and UAVs has not been perfected yet.

A message from the FAA to the paper said "The FAA is investigating the incident and will try to positively identify the object the Citation pilot reported, where it came from and who was operating it." The agency will investigate anything operating in controlled airspace that represents a hazard to aircraft. Agency spokesman Mike Fergus said "We'll do as much as we can here to try to track down what time it was, probably talk with some remote-control clubs, that kind of thing."

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 16:10:40 +0100
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:31:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 09:11:19 -0400
>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 11:25:58 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:54:52 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>>>To:<post.nul>
>>>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 16:20:43 +0100
>>>>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>><snip>

>>>Thank you Martin, for mentioning that possible explanation.
>>>Maybe not a soap bubble, but a mylar balloon?

>>Also possible.

>>>A problem with it
>>>though is that the black band in the object has a vertical
>>>orientation. Presumably the horizon is horizontal in England.

>>It is the camera axis that has a (near)vertical orientation,
>>pointed "at the sky". The up/down of this frame orientation is
>>arbitrary in relation to gravity. The orientation of the band on
>>this apparently shiny spheroid is unknown.

>A camera on a tripod tilted upward as far as it will go has an
>angle to the ground of about 60 degrees. Up/down relative to the
>object is not arbitrary, so the band's orientation appears to be
>vertical.

There you go, some quantitative information. It's a start. If
this elevation limit is true for all possible camera/tripod
arrangements, and assuming the tripod was levelled on flat
ground, and if neither the tripod platform gimbal nor the camera
body had any freedom of rotation around the orthogonal line-of-
sight axis (not necessarily so, in my experience of tripods),
then you have an argument. In that case the band could be
roughly vertical with respect to gravity.

But even if this is true, the earth horizon (perhaps 40-50 deg
or more below the elevation of the object if the camera is
elevated at 60 degrees - "aimed at the sky" to film an object
that "flew overhead") is not the only source of possible
reflections in a nearby bubble, nor is the dark band the only
possible reflection visible in the surface.

The "vertical" band only appears in part of the video, and
actually merges into a more permanent pair of dark arcs around

the "upper" and "lower" limbs of the disc. As the object drifts to the left, the band appears to rotate across the face of the object towards the left and vanish around its curve, leaving a bright disc. The dark arcs at top and bottom remain. This suggests to me the following possible scenario that you could perhaps try to rule out:

A test with a bubble or a glass sphere would be interesting, to see how the reflected images migrate around the surface with the changing angular elevation, but I wonder if at a moderate elevation like 45 deg or so the horizon reflection may appear mirrored in the top and bottom of the bubble, instead of as an equatorial separator between sky images (and in bright daylight with the sun more or less "behind" the camera, the well-lit landscape might not offer the highest-contrast silhouette for reflection either). If this were the case the more significant high-contrast effective local "horizon" from the POV of a drifting soap bubble might be the shadowed wall of a house (or chimney, pole, hedge or whatever), in which case the reflected "horizon" could be vertical. As the bubble drifted to the left, an inverted image of this "mural horizon" might (I suspect - but it remains to be tested) drift across the sphere in the fashion shown.

[Note that if the moving dark band is a surface fixture on a rotating object then its motion is a totally free parameter, unrelated to the optical environment. On the other hand if it is a reflection then it should travel across the sphere at the same angular rate (measured on the coordinate system of the sphere) as the angular rate of motion of the bubble past the object reflected (as measured in the reference frame of the camera).

I'm not sure if the video passes this test or not. If the band migrates through about 90 deg on the sphere in something like 80% of the camera FOV, as would appear, then this would imply an FOV in the order of 110 deg, which seems a bit wide for most general purpose wide-angle camera settings. But it could fit if this is a surveillance-type camera, of the sort which is typically left to run unattended (as this was), since these often seem to have wider fields. Of course this is all just crude guesstimation on my part, based on casual inspection.]

>>There're really no grounds for assuming this thing is self
>>luminous or is anything other than a mundane object (bubble,
>>balloon etc) until you have started to do some of the type of
>>investigation of angular relationships and camera
>>orientation/surroundings and local winds etc that I suggested
>>already, to try to put at least approximate bounds on possible
>>size and rate and altitude (coupled variables) and direction,
>>for testing predictions of bubble/balloon theories.

>There are insufficient data for meaningful calculations of that
>nature.

Quite. You really need to obtain data. I believe that's what I said. Since the owner of the video is your friend you might be better placed to collect such than is often the case with videos of this sort.

>The possible distance and size of the object covers too
>wide a range

The purpose of analysis is to limit this range. I think you could do something. One thing you can note without measuring anything or seeking any records at all is that the object is certainly not drifting in a direction opposite to the wind direction revealed by the cloud motion, but drifting with a component of motion parallel to the wind direction. This is not a proof of anything, because upper winds obviously can differ very markedly from surface winds. But it is at least not inconsistent with a wind-borne object.

>>Besides, in another case where I showed that an
>>object's motion was not consistent with a balloon, critics here
>>refused to allow mere calculations to override their own
>>preferences. I wouldn't expect this case to be any different in
>>that regard.

That seems a poor reason for not wanting to refine your knowledge, if I may say so.

>>You may be able to prove yourself right. Until then this is not
>>very interesting for the rest of us.

>You are presuming a lot, Martin, saying you speak for everyone
>on the List.

True, that came out a bit wrong. What I mean is, those of the
rest of us who have an interest in detailed analysis of this
type, which I concede is only a minority.

>The appearance and behavior of the object is
>sufficiently unusual that it deserves a second look.

Well I am giving it a look. I'm sorry it's not the sort of look
you are after. But is anyone else looking at all? If so, what
are they seeing, and why?

>It is still a UFO, in spite of your suppositions and predilections.

I never said, and would not say, that it is not a UFO. It is.
It could be a soap bubble, or a metallic balloon, but at the
moment I don't know. The point is, William, neither do you. And
yet you headed your very first post "Unusual Craft Over
Reading". How suppositious is that, would you say?

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: Orbs And An Airplane Over Reading 05-13-12

From: Michael M. Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:26:00 -0400
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:46:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Orbs And An Airplane Over Reading 05-13-12

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 14:43:33 -0400
>Subject: Orbs And An Airplane Over Reading 05-13-12

>Here is another interesting video of orbs moving about in the
>sky and appearing to pass near an aircraft.

><http://tinyurl.com/6ryety8>

>When I stepped through the video frame by frame, I saw that some
>orbs occasionally appear for a frame then disappear. Others
>progress across the screen with occasional large discontinuities
>in their trajectories, where the orb appears to make a sudden
>deviation and then immediately returns back on track.

>An airplane appears and an orb rushes toward it, appearing to
>veer off to avoid meeting it. Of course, it is not possible to
>tell the actual distance between the orb and the aircraft.

>The erratic behaviour of these orbs is similar to that observed
>in other videos also taken of the sky over Reading. These led me
>to conclude that the orbs are likely projected somehow from the
>ground by covert human technology, and that Reading may be a
>hotspot for testing this technology. See the following for an
>article that summarizes the evidence.

><http://tinyurl.com/7sxsudc>

Looks like nothing more than an insect. In fact, it looks
exactly like an insect passing in front of the camera. There's
no indication it's anywhere in the vicinity of the plane. Why
"orb"?

- - - - -
Michael M. Hughes
- - - - -
<http://michaelmhughes.com>
<http://www.facebook.com/michaelmhughes>
<http://twitter.com/michaelmhughes>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Is The Presence Of Exocivilizations Risky?

From: Denis R. Denocla <denocla.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 17:51:23 +0200
Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 12:15:11 -0400
Subject: Is The Presence Of Exocivilizations Risky?

Dear Colleagues,

Many people wonder:

Is The Presence Of Exocivilizations Risky?

I wrote this article to give an overview on this question:

full article:

<http://www.denocla.com/?p=37> <=en-us

<http://www.denocla.com/War-of-the-Worlds-will-not-take-place-ANG.pdf>

Best regards,

D. R. DENOCLA

<http://www.denocla.com>

<http://www.facebook.com/denocla>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 23](#)

Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 12:15:55 -0400
Archived: Wed, 23 May 2012 18:21:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 16:10:40 +0100
>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 09:11:19 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Unusual Craft Over Reading, England

>><snip>

>>The appearance and behavior of the object is
>>sufficiently unusual that it deserves a second look.

>Well I am giving it a look. I'm sorry it's not the sort of look
>you are after. But is anyone else looking at all? If so, what
>are they seeing, and why?

Recall that I thanked you for raising the "soap bubble
"hypothesis. I admit it didn't feel right to me. It seemed to me
that a large soap bubble would not have the tensile strength to
survive for long, and that it's shape should oscillate more in
the breeze.

>>It is still a UFO, in spite of your suppositions and predilections.

>I never said, and would not say, that it is not a UFO. It is.
>It could be a soap bubble, or a metallic balloon, but at the
>moment I don't know. The point is, William, neither do you. And
>yet you headed your very first post "Unusual Craft Over
>Reading". How suppositious is that, would you say?

I'll grant you that, Martin. In retrospect, I should have ended
the subject line with a '?', which would have been closer to
what I intended.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 23](#)

Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

From: Robert Powell <rpowell.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:17:50 -0500
Archived: Wed, 23 May 2012 18:22:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

>From: Don Ledger<dledger.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:47:08 -0300
>Subject: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>FAA Looking Into Monday Incident Over Denver

>An unidentified object over Denver has led to an FAA
>investigation. The object appeared Monday when the pilot of a
>Cessna Citation CJ1 flying at 8,000ft MSL called ATC to report
>"A remote-controlled aircraft, or what? Something just went by
>the other way, about 20 to 30 seconds ago. It was like a large
>remote-controlled aircraft."

>USA Today reports that speculation so far has been that the
>object was a military or law enforcement drone, a radio-
>controlled aircraft, or a large bird. Drone aircraft are going
>through the process of being approved by the FAA to operate in
>airspace with manned aircraft. So far this approval has not yet
>been granted. The technology for maintaining separation between
>manned aircraft and UAVs has not been perfected yet.
Don, this part of the USA Today article is not quite correct.
The FAA has approved operation of drone aircraft in civilian
airspace. Based on witness reports, I have sent a FOIA to the
FAA for that information. They have replied that they cannot
tell me who they have authorized to fly drones through civilian
airspace because they would be compromising other government
agencies.

I'm glad to know that these unelected government agencies that
are supposed to be looking out for our safety are more concerned
with the impact of any information release on other government
agencies.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 23](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Wed, 23 May 2012 18:27:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 09:16:20 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 06:25:34 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>>My attribution of you was correct because you stated a theory -
>>a fantastic theory - without providing any evidence.

>>Additionally, the title of your piece begins "Flying
>>Saucers..." - not "The Flying Saucers of June 1947."

>>The implication is "(All) Flying Saucers" - not a subset of
>>flying saucer reports.

>>That's how the English language works.

>>The word "All" is implied by you in your subject.

>>If we add "All" and some clarification, we have "All Flying
>>Saucers Are A Lie - the Greatest Lie Ever Told."

>>You asked:

>>"When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?"

>>Well, if you meant "flying saucers" rather than "UFOs", you
>>just stated it: "...The Greatest Lie Ever Told."

>>You're claiming that they're just a lie, and therefore don't
>>exist in reality. That's when you claimed that they don't exist.
>>"Lie" = "Imaginary". "Imaginary" = "They don't exist".

>>So, in effect, you were saying "All Flying Saucers are a Lie -
>>the Greatest Lie Ever Told, Therefore They Don't Exist."

>>Your question has now been answered.

>>You stated above "...hard evidence means everything."
>>Very true. You haven't supplied any for your theories.

>>You talk about the CIA, then later about Project Seal. Somehow we're
>>supposed to figure out for ourselves how Project Seal meant that the June
>>'47 saucers were some kind of hoax, and that there never were any real
>>saucers.

>>I'm slow, James. Spell it out for me.

>>You've come up with a better theory involving the CIA and
>>Project Seal - a secret project cancelled in January of 1945,
>>and revealed in 1947.

>>I don't see the connection, but it's an interesting theory, and
>>I'd like to understand it.

>>I need to see the evidence and methodology, because I don't
>>understand the mechanism.

>>I'd also be interested in finding out how many Soviet spies
>>were captured by this disinformation ploy. Names and dates,
>>please.

>>You will also need to address David Rudiak's reading of the
>>telegram in General Ramey's hand - work completed in 2001.
>>Explain it, please.

>>How is it that we can clearly read "FORT WORTH, TEX", "VICTIMS
>>OF THE WRECK", "DISK", and "WEATHER BALLOONS"? This was the
>>Roswell incident - one of your specialties, and the photo was
>>taken on July 8th, 1947 in General Ramey's office at Fort Worth,
>>Texas (Fort Worth Army Airfield).

>>Was this a fake telegram planted by the CIA, intended for
>>revelation decades after the June '47 saucer flap, but not to be
>>believed, and seen as more evidence for a cover-up so we could
>>flush out Soviet spies in 2001, even though news of Rudiak's
>>telegram reading didn't make the mainstream news, and thus had
>>no clear-text from which to start a decryption of Soviet code,
>>as far as we know? What's the explanation?

>>You will also need to discredit all Roswell reports - from Mack
>>Brazel forward. Every single statement ever made from June '47
>>forward to the present day, by people who were involved in the
>>incident, including those who didn't see the debris or the
>>bodies, but spoke with or saw the people involved, or were
>>somehow connected to it - including General Vandenberg, General
>>Ramey's wife, Major Marcel, Marcel's son, etc.

>>We end up with something like this:

>>"All Flying Saucers Are A Lie - The Greatest Lie Ever Told,
>>Therefore They Don't Exist.

>>The CIA sent out fake stories of UFOs to capture Soviet spies.
>>Furthermore, Project Seal in New Zealand was somehow involved,
>>and the CIA captured more spies. I won't tell you who they
>>caught or how they caught them. All the stories of June '47
>>involving flying saucers were fake stories planted by the CIA.

>>All the interviews of people supposedly involved were fake,
>>even up to the present day. They all lied and continue to lie.
>>Any photographs of saucers are fakes. The telegram in General
>>Ramey's hand was a fake, printed out and handed to him by a CIA
>>agent. I won't tell you how they did it because it's a secret.
>>Furthermore, flying saucers don't exist. I know they don't
>>exist just because."

>>James, please answer ALL these questions, in this forum.

>>Dave Morton

>I have already stated that I won't be presenting my findings in
>this forum. You can read my research findings on my website once
>I post them. Maybe you should start with reading my paper on
>Project Seal which I doubt you have yet to read.

>Speaking of Project Seal, you already have it wrong. The Project
>was killed in 1945 but the principal scientists were promoting
>it as ongoing in 1947, and informed the public that it was
>developed outside of the United States and outside the reaches
>of Soviet Espionage. They provided a mix of true and false
>statements to the press, which I have hard evidence of in the
>form of declassified documents. Disinformation in the classic
>sense. So let me spell it out for you:

>A Top Secret Research Project, bigger than the Manhattan
>Project, possibly an airborne weapon, developed outside the

>United States and outside the reaches of Soviet Spies, confirmed
>by the principals who worked on the project who are purposely
>disinforming- information released 2 weeks prior to Kenneth
>Arnold's sighting.

>In the eyes of a Ufologist or believer, all of this adds up to
>nothing. In the eyes of an Military Intelligence Historian it
>shows purposeful intent to deceive.

>As for all of the things you claim as evidence to the contrary,
>I don't need to discredit anyone's research or findings, I only
>need to prove my own theory with hard evidence. Then you can
>stack my research next to Friedman's, Rudiak's, and every other
>researcher interested in this period and make your own judgment.
>If you prefer to believe anecdotal evidence, recovered messages
>from photographs, unsubstantiated control groups, etc., well
>that is your right to do so. When I walk into my congressman's
>office, I won't be bringing that kind of evidence with me.

I read the stuff on your website and here on the List. It was empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

I asked for your evidence here, on this List. You didn't provide any.

After all, this is the place to discuss these things. You didn't do it

You say I should read your research findings on your website once you post them. IE, you haven't posted your findings, yet. Emptiness again combined with evasiveness.

I did read your verbiage about Project Seal.
My reaction was "So what?".

The Ramey memo is a direct refutation of your theory.

You have a theory, but no evidence.

The Ramey memo IS evidence - proof, in fact, that there was a saucer crash with victims.

It proves that you're wrong.

Your Side: A theory about a hoax.

Ramey's Memo: A Top Secret memo about a saucer crash with victims. My conclusion: There was a saucer crash with victims near Roswell.

Your Side: You don't need to refute anything.

My Side: That's true. Your theory is irrelevant. I don't take it seriously, and assume you're joking or some such. In fact, I doubt that ANYONE takes it seriously.

But if you want people to take your theory seriously, you would need to refute the Ramey memo, for starters. Evidence and logic are convincing for me.

For you to change MY mind about your theory, you would need to overturn the evidence and logic I see in the Ramey memo.

Do it here. Right here, right now, James.
One case, one piece of evidence (the Ramey memo),
one explanation. Simple and easy.

Prove that the memo was not a "real" memo about a real subject from a real person to another real person, containing real information.

Prove that the memo isn't authentic.

Or prove that the memo is entirely unreadable.

Prove that Ramey was just kidding, for example.
Or that someone inserted a fake memo into the picture.

Or that a CIA man handed the memo to Ramey with instructions to pose with it during the photo-op.

And that General Ramey would take orders from a CIA man.

Or that General Vandenberg ordered Ramey to send Van such a fake memo, and then pose with it.

If you have photos, etc, that can't be posted here, then post them on your website and give us the link. These would be materials needed to disprove the authenticity of the Ramey memo, in addition to your explanation posted here.

I've done similar things. I proved that my chiropractor was a quack when I noticed that he hadn't turned on his machine, but was supposedly getting some kind of feedback. (He was an old man). Some scientist disproved the concept of "N-Rays", I think they were called, by tricking the imposter. Now it's your turn.

We have the proof, you have the burden of proof to disprove our proof - Rudiak's proof.

The Ramey memo. Let's hear it, James.

Right here, right now.

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 23](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 14:11:06 -0300
Archived: Wed, 23 May 2012 18:29:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 16:04:36 +0200
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>James,

>I don't know what kind of magic you are trying to apply here,
>but it does not work on me.

>No, it was not, if you just consider that they had found a
>crashed flying saucer. There are some differing opinions even
>among specialists but, in my view, they had a direct order to
>issue that press release as a ?precaution because, on the
>morning of July 8, they were not sure they could hide it
>completely.

>By noon, they had secured the sites and could then begin the
>debunking operation with the weather balloon.

>You see, it's not so hard to propose a rational explanation,
>once you have studied the cases seriously. You must certainly
>have done that, so I guess you are launching a new debunking
>story, like some others before.

>You won't succeed, because the press release and subsequent
balloon story don't make any sense in your scenario.

>"What a fantastic story", I said to Walter Haut when I met him
>at the Roswell Museum in 1995, and he smiled. He confirmed that
>Colonel Blanchard would have never issued such a press release
>without a direct order.

Gildas,

I love it when ufologists pull out the "rationality" card when
it is convenient for them.

Sorry, the "put out a saucer press release just to debunk as a
weather balloon" cover story still makes no sense. If the Army
Air Force wanted to keep a lid on it, they had the means to do
so without making an international news event out of it.

Your rational assertion is ridiculous from a military
intelligence point of view. Containment does not require drawing
unnecessary attention to the event. Did they put out a press
release when they exploded the first atomic bomb in New Mexico?
Did they put out a press release to let everyone know the US
broke the Japanese code? A code of silence both has precedence
and is the standard modus operandi when it comes to matters of
national security. But don't take my word for it, - pose your
"balloon cover story to contain" scenario to a Cold War
Historian or Military Intelligence Historian to gauge its
plausibility.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 20:08:11 +0100
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 18:48:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:09:22 -0300
>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>From: Robert Powell <rpowell.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 16:24:43 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>>From: Kentaro Mori<kentaro.mori.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 14:56:21 -0300
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Subject: Re: Problems With von Neumann Replicators

>>>That's Fermi's Paradox. It was formulated almost as soon as
>>>advances in cosmology established the Universe is billions of
>>>years old, and that there are billions and billions of galaxies
>>>as large or even larger than ours.

>>><http://tinyurl.com/2hg2qn>

>>><snip>

>>>the fact we cannot see any obvious signs
>>>of intelligente life in the Universe elsewhere - besides the
>>>'controversial' UFO evidence - must mean they are either
>>>deliberately hiding or that they don't exist.

>>Kentori, why is "they are deliberately hiding" not a reasonable
>>hypothesis?

>Simply stated, because they must all, without exception, be
>deliberately hiding. A single civilization with a colonization
>program for the Galaxy could be present in all solar systems and
>engineer its structure and much more in a few million years. Even
>if all others do not choose this path, it just takes one in the
>whole history of our Galaxy to do the job. And yet, we cannot see
>clear evidence of that. This question is the problem for mostly
>all answers to Fermi's paradox.

Once upon a time...

One possible answer (as I've mentioned here before) might be
that there is a very early wave of "colonial" expansion in the
first few billion years of the universe which, as it were,
rapidly sweeps up all emerging cultures. A further development
of this idea would be that the expansion propagates cosmic re-
engineering on such a scale that it becomes impossible to
distinguish a pure product of "natural" physics from one of
intelligent technology (or, the distinction becomes
epistemologically meaningless).

If this expansion wave is superluminal (wormholes, exotic
drives, whatever) then you can think of this as a sort of
"inflationary ETH", in which the early entropic "ripples" of
intelligence that would have been visible on the fabric of the
early cosmos have been nonlocally "smoothed away", leaving us
staring at a flat prospect that appears devoid of significant

non-natural features. In short, the merit of such a theory is that it re-sets the gauge to a relatively recent epoch.

This would make us "special" only in the sense that we have arisen, like perhaps many other late civilisations, after the inflationary wave has passed through, when the re-engineered cosmos has settled into a Phase 2. The emerging worlds of this Phase 2 have to start anew, at sub-light speed, from a relatively recent common zero-point, wherever in the cosmos they are, which helps to explain why few of us (or even none of us) have yet managed to encounter each other.

Meanwhile the Original Intelligence of Phase 1 has since gone on to absorb many other universes, leaving this one already transformed, metabolised, used up and forgotten as of no more consequence, perhaps, than a radioactive waste heap. But the implication is that the products of alien technology are all around, everywhere we look, and that this technology directly or indirectly generated the precursors of us.

The End.

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

Collection For Sale

From: **Ferhat KleinerGrauer** <kleinergrauer.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2012 02:23:37 +0200
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 18:50:40 -0400
Subject: Collection For Sale

Hi folks,

I have sorted out literally hundreds of items from my collection, among them books, magazines, videos and dvds, figurines and much more, in 6 languages.

I will put them on ebay week by week.

In case you are interested, have a look at the first 50-60 items and add me to your favourite sellers, at least as long as the sale is going.

I hope I didn't violate the list rules, but I know there are some collectors here and I thought this might be of interest.

<http://www.ebay.com/sch/ufotopia/m.html?ipg=3D50&sop=3D12&rdc=3D1>

Best,

Ferhat

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 20:50:23 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 18:52:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:34:50 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Two weeks after this "airborne superweapon" broke, a flurry of
>reports from the American Northwest seemed to confirm that the
>Americans were in possession of incredible airborne technology.
>Not only were many normal citizens witnessing these incredible
>objects, but the American military forces were hard at work
>trying to convince that they were engaging in a cover up of
>physical evidence. Roswell was one of these "cover ups" but a
>review of other alleged crashed saucers during this time show a
>similar pattern of cover up.

Kenneth Arnold's story and the rest of the press stories during
the wave did not confirm anything in the Leech super weapon
story. No one, as far as I know, in the press ever connected the
two and published about it during the wave, nor was it brought
forward by any 'sources' in the military or government. I don't
know if it was ever mentioned during or after the wave. If it
was, I haven't found the story, and if you have citations to
such news stories, please offer them here. So far, it looks like
as a disinformation operation it seems to have failed to
propagate.

There is nothing I can see wrong in your research, as presented
in the New Avenues For UFO Research article, however, if you are
intent on single sourcing the 47 wave, the devil is being able
to only logically connect events rather than being able to prove
the connection. This seems to be happening in your
interpretation of Roswell and Maury Island. They were both
civilian cases (as were Smith, and Rhodes) with no known
connection to military bases or "objects", yet the CIC was all
over them. Perhaps, that was what offended Hoover.

Often 'Disinformation' is merely an opinion; Colonel or General
so and so's opinion, written on military stationary, becomes an
"official statement" and a matter of "fact" in many accounts of
the wave. There were factions within the emerging USAF and
between the existing branches, as well as political factions
between and within the two political parties. This was about
money, budgets, career, rank, and command.

>"Flying Saucer" witnesses were kept under constant
>counterintelligence surveillance to observe which individuals
>and organizations expressed an interest. These "canary traps"
>would help identify the principle subversive forces at work. In
>other words, this operation was one of the first Cold War
>counterintelligence offensives conducted. To deceive the Soviets
>required deceiving the American public first, hence the birth of
>the modern day UFO era.

Besides Venture Publications, what subversive forces were found?
I do not believe even Hoover gave more than a cynical snort at
the "subversives" or the Soviet disc mother plane stories.

>To pull off the operation, the planners needed the willing
>cooperation and/or manipulated cooperation of the American

>Press. To make the superweapon convincing, it was important that
>normal US citizens be the ones to initially report their
>sightings. Key players within the newswire organizations and
>newspapers were recruited or manipulated into the news frenzy.
>The sensational news stories could be used as a "Gardening"
>technique to break the Soviet code after the existing Venona
>Project decoding was no longer effective. Gardening originated
>in WW2 when the British planted false news stories in the Press
>that they knew the Germans would be interested in and their
>agents would encode and send back to HQ. Knowing what was
>encoded would help the British code breakers at Bletchley Park
>reverse engineer the encoding system.

Since the press didn't mention the Leech superweapon story during the wave and connect it to the flying saucers, I don't see what the point is. What is there to say except as disinfo, it failed? The "news frenzy" didn't exist, except locally. The two big city dailies in my hometown barely noted the wave, for example. But cities and towns which had had sightings did. Boise probably had more stories about the saucers in a month that summer, than my hometown dailies have ever had.

And what would be the point of "gardening" in peacetime? During the war with the disruption of communications and transportation, it makes sense, but when the Post or the Times could be delivered daily to the Kremlin or the Lubyanka, why would any Soviet agent code-up a press story? In the news stories during the wave, one can summarize military 'official' opinion as: they aren't ours (which answers to a common opinion) and they aren't interplanetary (which had nobody asked about).

>The operation succeeded. Which spies and organizations were
>outed by the operation is not completely known (but the records
>are sitting in classified archives) and these elements were
>quietly eliminated either by outright deportation, movement to
>positions of less trust and prosecution of spy rings.

The only case I'm aware of during the wave wasn't espionage, but the illegal possession of top secret documents. That's the two army sergeants case. It has a local connection for me and was followed closely here (or at least the case of one sergeant who was from my hometown and tried here). As best I can tell, this might have been a show trial to warn off the army from attempting to regain control of atomic r&d from civilian authority. I'd guess the military's major concern during the wave was a congressional investigation into military secret projects budgets, and for the Army Air Forces, the fear of a last ditch attempt to delay the formation of the USAF. The flying saucer wave was just crazy enough to lead to that worst case scenario.

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

Re: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 20:52:56 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 18:53:34 -0400
Subject: Re: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 17:25:07 +0000
>Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:55:59 -0400
>Subject: Re: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

>>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:26:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Alexander Contradictions & Unanswered Questions

>>Some may find my latest blog post of interest, John Alexander,
>>Contradictions and Unanswered Questions:

>><http://tinyurl.com/bwwynro>

>>The post explores such circumstances as military intelligence
>>personnel in ufology, the often contradictory statements of the
>>players and the Carpenter Affair, among others.

>Jack:

>I come to the investigation of UFOs from years of researching
>mind control - years before the concept entered the public
>dialog. I brought to the attention - in a national magazine -
>techniques and policies of the practice of mind control by
>mostly private corporations tasked and funded by government
>agencies.

>My path crossed Col. Alexander's a couple of times. He seemed to
>be everywhere: mind control, non-lethal weapons, remote viewing.

>Years into my research, I found that my research path crossed
>over into the UFO community. While researching background
>material for a book I wrote, I found documents that showed
>people behind the scenes both mind control and shaping the
>direction of ufo investigations.

>I don't just read UFO material, but actual documents supporting
>what I am averring.

>I am satisfied that 80% of what I am putting forward is correct.
>All I have to do is look back on just how correct my research
>was in the mind control field. It seems I am about 15 years
>ahead of the curve. I will simply wait out the time it takes the
>UFO community to catch up with the conclusions I have come to.

Hello KK,

Thank you for your comments; they are appreciated. As I am
confident you understand, your remarks inspire many thoughts
of which I could discuss at length.

For starters... I have of course not reviewed all of your work -
but I am relatively familiar with some of it. I am aware, for
example, that you delved extensively into issues surrounding
covert mind control research and the use of involuntary human
research subjects. As a matter of fact, I have read some of your

writing about Alexander, including your profile of him authored around his May, 1998, lecture in Encinas, CA.

I feel as if I can very much understand your interest in such subject matter. I also admire and respect your efforts.

On a side note, I have read some of your work on the analysis of the literature and film surrounding the infamous alleged alien autopsy. I found your comments quite interesting, and as can sometimes be the case, reading your work introduced me to a chain of events that could in itself become a virtual specialized area of study: body parts that went out the back door at UCLA. I subsequently did some searches in the LA Times, and suffice it to say, 'wow.'

About your non-UFO interests, KK, I empathize. I have now come at alleged alien abduction from a variety of angles, leading me to realize the possible explanations over-arch into several areas of complex subject matter, a lot of which most people have neither the patience nor desire to understand more fully and more accurately. Not only does such subject matter require sustained and determined study, but a majority of abduction enthusiasts simply do not want to know more about it.

Whether or not most are willing to accept it, ufology and areas of interest ufology bleeds into are not for those suffering from conspiracy-phobia. The affliction just handicaps one to being doomed to an incomplete view of actuality in several key areas.

From what I have reviewed of your work, KK, related to mind control and its more socially friendly term 'behavior modification,' I would agree with your assessment that most of what you put forward is correct. I encourage you to comment on more of my work as you may feel inclined.

I would also be most interested in reading a summary of your general thoughts and conclusions at this point, after having conducted the research and career as was the case in your situation. If the mood strikes you to summarize your conclusions about covert mind control research and its implications to ufology, I would most certainly want to read it. Please feel encouraged to email me directly now or in the future if you prefer.

Thanks,

Jack

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

Re: Flying Saucers: The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 20:56:19 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 18:55:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers: The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:34:50 -0300
>Archived: Tue, 22 May 2012 08:40:24 -0400
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 19 May 2012 14:26:21 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

Hi James,

Thank you very much for providing such a thorough description of your theory. I have a personal appreciation for the time, effort and patience you invest on an ongoing basis.

If helpful to know, I found the following circumstances to be among some of the more thought provocative points contained in your previously released research:

- Conclusive documentation that Project Seal was intentionally misrepresented to be a more significant weapons project than the atomic bomb and - key point here - was misrepresented to be an airborne weapon. (For those scoring at home, this establishes the US intelligence community was actively executing a deception operation involving non-existent flying objects. While the extent and scope of the operation can be debated and questioned, its existence is indeed established.)

- Conclusive documentation that not only did the Project Seal disinformation campaign coincide with the dates of the 1947 now famous ufology events of Maury Island, Arnold and Roswell, but a newspaper literally carried Seal disinfo on the same page as news of the Arnold sighting. (This provides further cause to suspect Seal may have been related to the supposed UFO events, as well as further cause to suspect select journalists may have been effectively recruited by the intel community in efforts to lead the world to believe the States had more advanced weapons technology than was actually the case.)

- Citation of an operations manual and authenticated memos which conclusively demonstrate the Roswell elite 509th Bomber Group had in place and consistently followed tight security procedures. (...leading one to wonder if the infamous Roswell press releases were staged and well calculated deceptions, not the bumbling mistakes of panicked or poorly trained officers.)

It is my opinion that such points, among others, support the theory to an extent further research is indeed justified. I look forward to reading your findings, James.

Regards,

Jack Brewer
www.ufotrail.blogspot.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2012 17:38:20 +0000
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 18:58:13 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 08:55:39 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 20 May 2012 11:26:42 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <james_carrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:46:53 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 16:25:24 +0200
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>>Gildas,

>>>When have I ever claimed that UFOs do not exist?

>>James,

>>If I misunderstood you, I apologize, but the very title of your
>>paper suggested that: "the creation of the modern UFO myth".

>Gildas,

>You call impossible staging an event for Arnold's benefit. I
>guess you haven't been to any magic shows in Vegas to know that
>you can convince the human mind of pretty much anything with
>enough proper planning.

>You focus on the existence of superior planes yet it was
>missiles that the Russians had more to worry about, especially
>with a nuclear weapon on board. If you bother to read my paper
>on the Project Seal disinformation campaign, it appears that the
>goal was to convince the Russians that there was a secret
>project they knew nothing about that was an aerial platform (not
>necessarily a plane).

>Roswell doesn't make sense PERIOD from the standpoint that the
>509th Bomber Group as the only nuclear capable unit in the World
>at the time knew better than anyone to keep their mouths shut
>when it came to matters of national security. Putting out a
>press release was absolutely ludicrous! But then again if your
>goal is to "make the news", which also appeared to be the goal
>of Maury Island, than it doesn't matter if the "News" was
>ludicrous or not. What is the old saying "Bad publicity is
>better than no publicity". From a code breaking point of view,
>it simply didn't matter.

>It makes no sense to you, because you are seeing it through a
>different set of lenses than I am.

James :

It is great to see you came back and are presenting your views.

However, you keep mentioning a couple of papers you wrote. I want to read them, as you and I seem to be following a different path than the usual orthodoxy that passes for UFO research. Please give me the link to your papers.

If I have to send off somewhere to get copies of the papers, provide that information and how much I need to send you.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

From: **Kay Wilson** <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 12:30:12 -0500
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 19:00:37 -0400
Subject: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

Are alien abductees closer to locating (and hopefully removing)
their Alien [MILAB?] Implants?

<http://tinyurl.com/cxdafx4>

Thanks to Robert Morningstar for the lead -

Kay Wilson

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

A Snowball's Chance? - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 19:04:09 -0400
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 19:04:09 -0400
Subject: A Snowball's Chance? - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

<http://devoid.blogs.heraldtribune.com/13040/a-snowballs-chance/>

Wednesday, May 23, 2012

A Snowball's Chance?
Billy Cox

So what really happened to radiation-burn victims Betty Cash, and Vicki and Colby Landrum outside Houston on the evening of Dec. 29, 1980? They claimed their car was blocked by a glowing, diamond-shaped UFO on said date, and that the object was surrounded by 20-plus military helicopters, including twin-rotor Army Chinooks. It's a well-chronicled story, and not even the Army Inspector General's report could dismiss it as a hoax. However, it couldn't, or wouldn't, account for the military aircraft reported on the scene by multiple witnesses.

Retired Army Col. John Alexander is sticking to a contention raised in his 2011 book, *UFOs: Myths, Conspiracies, and Realities* =96 the Defense Department knows nothing about this subject, and the phenomenon is far more bizarre than anyone could ever suspect. "Whatever the widget (UFO) was, it projected holograms or put things in people's minds," Alexander says. "There was a thorough investigation to find the helicopters, and they absolutely could not find them."

He argues that any harassment of military personnel who speak up about UFOs was/is conducted by lower-ranking officials with no authority to do so. So certain is Alexander that no military policy-level censorship of UFO data exists, he issued a written appeal last week to SecDef chief Leon Panetta, CIA director David Petraeus, and National Intelligence Director Gen. James Clapper to formally release military veterans from their security oaths on UFO matters. "I suspect I'll get a form letter back, one of those =91thank you for your interest' letters," he says from his home in Las Vegas. "We'll see."

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 24](#)

'Men In Black' Inspired By Scary UFO Stories

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 19:08:35 -0400
Archived: Thu, 24 May 2012 19:08:35 -0400
Subject: 'Men In Black' Inspired By Scary UFO Stories

Source: HuffPo

<http://tinyurl.com/76j335b>

05/23/2012

'Men In Black' Inspired By Scary Stories Of People Who've Seen UFOs
Lee Speigel

When "Men in Black 3" opens everywhere over Memorial Day weekend, most moviegoers will just be hoping this sequel matches the original for popcorn-munching fun.

But there are many people who say they've been victimized by and live in fear of real MIBs. And those stories, told for decades, are just as terrifying as they are detailed.

Ever since the early 1950s, long before the first "Men in Black" movie hit the silver screen in 1997, men dressed in identical black suits, hats and sunglasses, claiming to be government agents, have reportedly shown up in black cars at the homes or offices of people who reported UFO sightings.

According to those who were paid these unwelcome visits, the MIBs threatened or harassed the eyewitnesses into staying quiet about their UFO encounters.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

'Top Alien Hunter' Hangs Up Her Telescope

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:10:37 -0400
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:10:37 -0400
Subject: 'Top Alien Hunter' Hangs Up Her Telescope

Source: BrisbaneTimes.com.au

<http://tinyurl.com/87m7f5m>

May 23, 2012

Top Alien Hunter Hangs Up Her Telescope
Andy Goldberg

[DPA] The world's top alien hunter is hanging up her telescope, ending her search for intelligent life on other planets in favour of a more down-to-Earth goal: fundraising.

Dr Jill Tarter has been the public face of alien hunting since she helped found the SETI Institute in 1984 - the initials stand for the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence.

For 28 Tarter has been the public face of the ambitious search for other forms of life.

"I want to establish an endowment to allow this project to continue in the long run," she told dpa.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

DNA Testing?

From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 16:25:28 +0200
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:53:30 -0400
Subject: DNA Testing?

I was wondering, after reading the article posted below, if there is not any DNA-type evidence from ETs (all those abductions?) which could be similarly tested?

Diana

Source: TheDailyBeast.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/7vpvtyg>

Oxford Launches Bigfoot Project

Researchers at Oxford University have launched a new project to analyze the DNA of Bigfoot, the mythical apelike creature some believe lives in North American forests. The project called for samples believed to be from the creature, and has already been offered hair, blood, and items it supposedly chewed. The results will then be published in a peer-reviewed journal. Oxford's Brian Sykes said the group would not be considering tales of Bigfoot sightings in their work. "It's not really possible to fabricate DNA evidence", he said.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:46:50 -0300
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 10:12:28 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 23 May 2012 17:38:20 +0000
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 08:55:39 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>James:

>It is great to see you came back and are presenting your views.

>However, you keep mentioning a couple of papers you wrote. I
>want to read them, as you and I seem to be following a different
>path than the usual orthodoxy that passes for UFO research.

>Please give me the link to your papers.

>If I have to send off somewhere to get copies of the papers,
>provide that information and how much I need to send you.

Hi Kathy,

There is a link to the main research paper on my blog at:

<http://followthemagicthread.blogspot.com>

about half way down in the active post.

I am currently working on a second paper that will be posted
hopefully within the next two weeks if time allows.

Best

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 10:13:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>I read the stuff on your website and here on the List. It was
>empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
"legible".

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: 'Top Alien Hunter' Hangs Up Her Telescope

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 07:54:30 -0500
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 10:15:29 -0400
Subject: Re: 'Top Alien Hunter' Hangs Up Her Telescope

>Source: BrisbaneTimes.com.au

><http://tinyurl.com/87m7f5m>

>May 23, 2012

>Top Alien Hunter Hangs Up Her Telescope
>Andy Goldberg

>[DPA] The world's top alien hunter is hanging up her telescope,
>ending her search for intelligent life on other planets in
>favour of a more down-to-Earth goal: fundraising.

>Dr Jill Tarter has been the public face of alien hunting since
>she helped found the SETI Institute in 1984 - the initials stand
>for the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence.

>For 28 Tarter has been the public face of the ambitious search
>for other forms of life.

>"I want to establish an endowment to allow this project to
>continue in the long run," she told dpa.

One looks forward to history's judgment of SETI. One has the
feeling that Jill Tarter et al. should not.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:39:36 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>I read the stuff on your website and here on the List. It was
>>empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

>Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
>research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
>"legible".

Hello James

I have only one question:

How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

Thankyou

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 12:20:16 -0300
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:41:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>I read the stuff on your website and here on the List. It was
>>empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

>Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
>research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
>"legible".

Aw come on, James; hard evidence?

Who is Jack Brewer and since when has he been the go-to-guy in
'ufology'?

Try citing some heavyweights.

Project Seal is nothing new. Vallee mentioned it in one of his
books, must be 15 years ago. Next you will be citing Menzel (dis-
information specialist for the CIA) as trustworthy.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 09:58:57 -0600
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:43:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

>From: Robert Powell <rpowell.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:17:50 -0500
>Subject: Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>>From: Don Ledger<dledger.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:47:08 -0300
>>Subject: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>>Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>>FAA Looking Into Monday Incident Over Denver

>>An unidentified object over Denver has led to an FAA
>>investigation. The object appeared Monday when the pilot of a
>>Cessna Citation CJ1 flying at 8,000ft MSL called ATC to report
>>"A remote-controlled aircraft, or what? Something just went by
>>the other way, about 20 to 30 seconds ago. It was like a large
>>remote-controlled aircraft."

>>USA Today reports that speculation so far has been that the
>>object was a military or law enforcement drone, a radio-
>>controlled aircraft, or a large bird. Drone aircraft are going
>>through the process of being approved by the FAA to operate in
>>airspace with manned aircraft. So far this approval has not yet
>>been granted. The technology for maintaining separation between
>>manned aircraft and UAVs has not been perfected yet.

>Don, this part of the USA Today article is not quite correct.
>The FAA has approved operation of drone aircraft in civilian
>airspace. Based on witness reports, I have sent a FOIA to the
>FAA for that information. They have replied that they cannot
>tell me who they have authorized to fly drones through civilian
>airspace because they would be compromising other government
>agencies.

The Electronic Frontier Foundation has already obtained this
information through their own FOIA request. See the following
list of recipients of FAA Certificates of Authorization for
operation of drones in U.S. airspace:

<https://www.eff.org/document/faa-list-certificates-authorizations-coas>

Additional manufacturers with Special Airworthiness Certificates
to test-fly experimental drones:

<http://tinyurl.com/6vds543>

Interactive map of domestic drone authorizations:

<http://tinyurl.com/6sw3yv1>

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: DNA Testing?

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 10:08:17 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:46:02 -0400
Subject: Re: DNA Testing?

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 16:25:28 +0200
>Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:53:30 -0400
>Subject: DNA Testing?

>I was wondering, after reading the article posted below, if
>there is not any DNA-type evidence from ETs (all those
>abductions?) which could be similarly tested?

<snip>

Hello Diana,

You present a very relevant line of reasoning, in my opinion.

I recently explored such considerations rather lengthily on my blog in a three-part post titled, The Bizarre World Of Doctor David Jacobs: An Interview And Review.

Part Three particularly explored such subject matter and included expert commentary from Dr. Tyler Kokjohn, a Professor of Microbiology at the Midwestern University Arizona College of Osteopathic Medicine.

Dr. Kokjohn addressed recent advances in avenues of DNA research and their significance to research of alleged alien abduction. He also addressed how it ties in with forensic research, noting that important evidence might still be collected from the scene of an alleged encounter with aliens or hybrids even after the fact. What's more, affordable and relatively simple procedures are becoming increasingly available.

Source:

<http://tinyurl.com/73zmw8c>

Perhaps the currently most relevant question of all to alien abduction research, Diana, is why more interested parties are not demanding answers to exactly such questions as you posed.

Regards,

Jack Brewer
www.ufotrail.blogspot.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 25](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:41:33 +0000
Archived: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:49:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:46:50 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 23 May 2012 17:38:20 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 08:55:39 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>It is great to see you came back and are presenting your views.
>>However, you keep mentioning a couple of papers you wrote. I
>>>want to read them, as you and I seem to be following a different
>>path than the usual orthodoxy that passes for UFO research.

>>Please give me the link to your papers.

>>If I have to send off somewhere to get copies of the papers,
>>provide that information and how much I need to send you.

>There is a link to the main research paper on my blog at:

><http://followthemagicthread.blogspot.com>

>about half way down in the active post.

>I am currently working on a second paper that will be posted
>hopefully within the next two weeks if time allows.

James:

First of all, I want you to consider me one of your groupies. I am always turned on by a good looking guy who is intelligent and can hold his own in verbal combat. Jerry Clark is still number one with me, but you now rank a very close second.

Second, I have downloaded the section of your blog pertaining to The Greatest Lie Ever Told.

Third, where have you been all my life. I have this book I wrote that has been turned down by agents and book publishers that proposed solutions not sanctioned by the ufo researchers married to the orthodoxy. But, are supported by documentation not discussed by any ufo researcher. Yes, there are such documents. Yes, beyond the so-called hundreds of witness testimonies and cases that are reported without background investigation. I am not referring to validation by the collector of the report that the witness is of sound mind and body.

BTW, Nick Redfern wrote the Forward for my book. I have collected him as another intelligent guy who can hold his own.

Okay, I admit to being a sucker for intelligent erudite guys with something to say.

As the author of the new Sherlock Holmes PBS series says: "Smart is the new sexy."

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:47:54 +0000
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 09:44:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 12:20:16 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>I read the stuff on your website and here on the List. It was
>>>empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

>>Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
>>research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
>>"legible".

>Aw come on, James; hard evidence?

>Who is Jack Brewer and since when has he been the go-to-guy in
>'ufology'?

>Try citing some heavyweights.

>Project Seal is nothing new. Vallee mentioned it in one of his
>books, must be 15 years ago. Next you will be citing Menzel (dis-
>information specialist for the CIA) as trustworthy.

My, my, Don, here's my challenge to you: You present your hard
evidence first. The theory you purport to be the greatest story
every told and have been telling for sixty years or more without
any hard evidence. Just loads and loads of people claiming
things without hard evidence.

Did you know Menzel personally and can say without reservation
just which and what camp he was in? Or, yeah, there was a huge
profile presented to interested parties. Did you buy the legend
put forward? Oh, okay, you are forgiven.

And, yeah, I forgive myself if I believed somebody's
cover/legend. You can forgive yourself, too.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:00:39 -0300
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 09:49:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 12:20:16 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>I read the stuff on your website and here on the List. It was
>>>empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

>Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
>research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
>"legible".

>Aw come on, James; hard evidence?

>Who is jack Brewer and since when has he been the go-to-guy in
'ufology'?

>Try citing some heavyweights.

>Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
>research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
>"legible".

>Aw come on, James; hard evidence?

>Who is jack Brewer and since when has he been the go-to-guy in
'ufology'?

>Try citing some heavyweights.

>Project Seal is nothing new. Vallee mentioned it in one of his
>books, must be 15 years ago. Next you will citing Menzel (dis-
>information specialist for the CIA) as trustworthy.

Hey Don,

I am sorry, but since my research is not from a
Ufological/believer point of view, there is no "go-to-guy" that
I need to cite.

That would be like asking an atheist which fundamentalist
preacher they most agree with.

Also, maybe you or someone else on this list can enlighten me as
to which Vallee book ever mentioned Project Seal?

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 19:01:22 +0000
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 09:51:30 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>To: <errol.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 12:30:12 -0500
>Subject: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>Are alien abductees closer to locating (and hopefully removing)
>their Alien [MILAB?] Implants?

><http://tinyurl.com/cxdafx4>

>Thanks to Robert Morningstar for the lead.

Roger Tolces, private investigator, has been able to detect implanted chips or electromagnetic charged environments for at least 10 years.

If anyone wants to determine whether they are implanted, contact Roger. He has a website.

Why leap to the conclusion the implant is alien?

I am more inclined to attribute the implantation to an MILAB source than "alien." I say this because "alien" presence comes off more ephemeral than solid. Therefore, I have to logically conclude that anything solid is the work of very earthly operations.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:17:42 -0500
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 09:52:54 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 14:11:06 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 16:04:36 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>James,

>>I don't know what kind of magic you are trying to apply here,
>>but it does not work on me.

>>No, it was not, if you just consider that they had found a
>>crashed flying saucer. There are some differing opinions even
>>among specialists but, in my view, they had a direct order to
>>issue that press release as a ?precaution because, on the
>>morning of July 8, they were not sure they could hide it
>>completely.

>>By noon, they had secured the sites and could then begin the
>>debunking operation with the weather balloon.

>>You see, it's not so hard to propose a rational explanation,
>>once you have studied the cases seriously. You must certainly
>>have done that, so I guess you are launching a new debunking
>>story, like some others before.

>>You won't succeed, because the press release and subsequent
>>balloon story don't make any sense in your scenario.

I guess, James, that in your world there's no room for a simple
screw-up. In military intelligence, as in the rest of the real
world, these are ubiquitous.

But then, I guess that makes me irrational.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 19:18:29 +0000
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 09:54:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 20:50:23 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 21 May 2012 10:34:50 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>The operation succeeded. Which spies and organizations were
>>outed by the operation is not completely known (but the
records >>are sitting in classified archives) and these elements
were >>quietly eliminated either by outright deportation,
movement to >>positions of less trust and prosecution of spy
rings. > >The only case I'm aware of during the wave wasn't
espionage, but >the illegal possession of top secret documents.
That's the two >army sergeants case. It has a local connection
for me and was >followed closely here (or at least the case of
one sergeant who >was from my hometown and tried here). As best
I can tell, this >might have been a show trial to warn off the
army from >attempting to regain control of atomic r&d from
civilian >authority. I'd guess the military's major concern
during the >wave was a congressional investigation into military
secret >projects budgets, and for the Army Air Forces, the fear
of a >last ditch attempt to delay the formation of the USAF. The
>flying saucer wave was just crazy enough to lead to that worst
>case scenario.

To paraphrase Gen. Leslie Grove, Operations Manager of the
Manhattan Project: "never put anything important in writing."

Smart guy, because it is difficult to reconstruct any operations
related to "hidden research" (as Grove called it) being done
from the 40s on. It was wartime, don't you all know, and
anything can be done in the name of National Security. (Sound
familiar. Today's researcher should have no trouble with the
concept. Conspiracies? You want conspiracies? Of course,
conspiracies by the U.S. government was, has and will be done.
All in the name of protecting the American public.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 15:47:12 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 09:55:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>I read the stuff on your website and here on the List. It was
>>empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

>Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
>research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
>"legible".

The Ramey memo, right here, right now.

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: A Snowball's Chance? - Cox

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:54:42 -0400
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 09:57:28 -0400
Subject: Re: A Snowball's Chance? - Cox

>Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

><http://devoid.blogs.heraldtribune.com/13040/a-snowballs-chance/>

>Wednesday, May 23, 2012

>A Snowball's Chance?
>Billy Cox

<snip>

>"Whatever the widget (UFO) was, it projected holograms or put
>things in people's minds," Alexander says. "There was a
>thorough investigation to find the helicopters, and they
>absolutely could not find them."

Thus says the same dude who, about a decade ago, averred on
Coast to Coast AM that there was no evidence such technology
existed or was even possible.

I trust Col. Alexander as an information source as far as I can
toss a bus.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
Archived: Sat, 26 May 2012 10:00:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 13:08:36 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>I read the stuff on your website and here on the List.
>>>It was empty. Just a lot of meaningless talk.

>Dave, read Jack Brewer's recent post on my "meaningless talk",
>research which is backed up with hard evidence that is easily
>"legible".

>Hello James

>I have only one question:

>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

Hi Martin,

Great question. In my research paper that I will be posting on my web site within the next weeks, I will lay it all out.

I will not post my findings here to have the Dave Morton's of the world counteract with:

What about Rudiak, Marcel, Haught, witness X, the pyramid builders, etc... ad nauseam...

As I previously stated, you can stack my evidence with everyone else's and choose what you want to believe.

I am not trying to convince the believer whose mind is already made up nor the debunker who knows it can't be so-just because. Both tend to frequent this list.

I am shooting for the open minded critical thinker who although maybe leaning one way or the other has the courage to say, "Damn, I never looked at it that way before" and who is willing to examine the data and argue the data without summary dismissal. All other arguments are a complete waste of time and only lead to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the history of Ufology and its infighting.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

From: **Elsie Conner** <elsie_conner.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 10:41:34 -0400
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:29:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 19:01:22 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 12:30:12 -0500
>>Subject: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>>Are alien abductees closer to locating (and hopefully removing)
>>their Alien [MILAB?] Implants?

>><http://tinyurl.com/cxdafx4>

>>Thanks to Robert Morningstar for the lead.

>Roger Tolces, private investigator, has been able to detect
>implanted chips or electromagnetic charged environments for at
>least 10 years.

>If anyone wants to determine whether they are implanted, contact
>Roger. He has a website.

>Why leap to the conclusion the implant is alien?

>I am more inclined to attribute the implantation to an MILAB
>source than "alien." I say this because "alien" presence comes
>off more ephemeral than solid. Therefore, I have to logically
>conclude that anything solid is the work of very earthly
>operations.

Why leap to the conclusion that an alien presence is ephemeral?

I have to logically conclude you don't know dink about alien
abductions.

E. Conner

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 11:35:09 -0300
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:32:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
To: post.nul
Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:17:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>I guess, James, that in your world there's no room for a simple
>screw-up. In military intelligence, as in the rest of the real
>world, these are ubiquitous.

>But then, I guess that makes me irrational.

>Jerry Clark

Au contraire, Jerry. There is plenty of room for screw ups.

If it was the New Mexico National Guard that found the debris
and sent out the press release, I could buy it, but instead it
was an elite unit composed of handpicked men who were thoroughly
indoctrinated to keep their mouths shut.

Remember, these were the same folks who dropped the bomb on
Japan in 1945. So less than two years later, these soldiers went
from being the creme de la creme to a bunch of Gomer Pyles? I
seriously doubt that.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Gildas Bourdais** <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 17:24:27 +0200
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:36:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 14:11:06 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 16:04:36 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>James,

>>I don't know what kind of magic you are trying to apply here,
>>but it does not work on me.

>>No, it was not, if you just consider that they had found a
>>crashed flying saucer. There are some differing opinions even
>>among specialists but, in my view, they had a direct order to
>>issue that press release as a ?>precaution because, on the
>>morning of July 8, they were not sure they could hide it
>>completely.

>>By noon, they had secured the sites and could then begin the
>>debunking operation with the weather balloon.

>>You see, it's not so hard to propose a rational explanation,
>>once you have studied the cases seriously. You must certainly
>>have done that, so I guess you are launching a new debunking
>>story, like some others before.

>>You won't succeed, because the press release and subsequent
>>balloon story don't make any sense in your scenario.

>>"What a fantastic story", I said to Walter Haut when I met him
>>at the Roswell Museum in 1995, and he smiled. He confirmed that
>>Colonel Blanchard would have never issued such a press release
>>without a direct order.

>Gildas,

>I love it when ufologists pull out the "rationality" card when
>it is convenient for them.

>Sorry, the "put out a saucer press release just to debunk as a
>weather balloon" cover story still makes no sense. If the Army
>Air Force wanted to keep a lid on it, they had the means to do
>so without making an international news event out of it.

James,

I assumed that, before talking about Roswell with such
assurance, you had studied the case in some depth, but I was
wrong. Obviously, you have not.

If you had, you would know that a number of people were already
talking about the crash, in and around Roswell, days before the
press release. That's why the military, when they realized that,
feared they would not be able to contain it.

The press release was a sort of damage control operation, just in case. In fact it was an intelligent emergency action. Can you grasp that?

Now, I repeat, in your scenario it does not make sense.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 12:26:51 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:38:36 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>Hello James

>>I have only one question:

>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

>Hi Martin,

>Great question. In my research paper that I will be posting on
>my web site within the next weeks, I will lay it all out.

>What about Rudiak, Marcel, Haught, witness X, the pyramid
>builders, etc... ad nauseam...

>As I previously stated, you can stack my evidence with everyone
>else's and choose what you want to believe.

>I am not trying to convince the believer whose mind is already
>made up nor the debunker who knows it can't be so-just because.
>Both tend to frequent this list.

>I am shooting for the open minded critical thinker who although
>maybe leaning one way or the other has the courage to say,
>"Damn, I never looked at it that way before" and who is willing
>to examine the data and argue the data without summary
>dismissal. All other arguments are a complete waste of time and
>only lead to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the history
>of Ufology and its infighting.

James

You are using The Coming Of The Saucers as a source document
for 1947, especially Maury Island.

Regards,

Don

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 11:00:08 -0700
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:42:00 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>I have only one question:

>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

>Hi Martin,

>Great question. In my research paper that I will be posting on
>my web site within the next weeks, I will lay it all out.

Let me guess: trained counterintelligence pelicans, or maybe
John Alexander's holograms or top secret mental projection
machines.

Or maybe Arnold was secretly a government agent and made the
whole thing up. Same with Cpt. E. J. Smith and the rest of the
United Air Lines crew of July 4 or rocket scientists Dr. C. J.
Zohn, Curtis C. Rockwood, and John R. Kauke at White Sands
missile range on June 29. Add in Mr. and Mrs. Dan Wilmot in
Roswell on July 2, Navy Lt. William G. McGinty flying a P-80
near the Grand Canyon June 30, and on and on with hundreds and
hundreds of high quality sightings.

So impressive were many of these sightings, that Dept. AAF
Director of Intelligence Gen. George Schulgen and his staff were
to conclude at the end of July that "something was really flying
around". Gen. Nathan Twining and his intelligence and
engineering staff at Wright Field were to conclude the same
thing in a Secret memo that September and created Project Sign
to investigate further, most of these investigation well out of
the public eye. So well was the deception carried out that the
Project Sign people were totally confused into believing that
some of the mysterious flying saucers were really ET craft, not
some clever counterintelligence deception. No doubt the highly
influential Chiles-Whitted airliner sighting in the summer of
1948 that so impressed Sign, along with similar sightings
abroad, were "staged" just like the Arnold sighting.

The "great lie" was still going on in 1953 when Twining was Air
Force Chief of Staff and first put out Air Force Regulation 200-
2 defining the very term "unidentified flying object" and
stating they were to be studied for national security reasons
and "technical aspects". Either Twining was part of the great
counterintelligence conspiracy to smoke out a few Rooskie moles
or nobody sent him the memo about it and he was used as a useful
idiot by the great counterintelligence puppet masters.

And the grand deception apparently continues on into the present

day judging by the documents we have read, such as UFOs being a separate reported category in the CIRVIS national security regulations. No doubt Rendlesham was staged, Belgium was staged, Chicago O'Hare was staged, Stephenville, etc., etc., all part of the unending deception scheme.

Apparently the Russians also played the same game, if you believe the James Obergs of the world. And nobody on either side who wasn't part of the "greatest lie" can figure it out after six decades, not with their radar nets, gun cameras and jet interceptors and all the rest. Only people like James Oberg and James Carrion can penetrate the clever smokescreen of mass deception.

So James, this whole great deception scheme of yours needs to explain slightly more than just Arnold's sighting or Roswell, doesn't it? Try tens of thousands of incidents from all over the world that have been well-investigated, even previous to 1947, such as the 1946 ghost rocket wave over Europe.

Basically you are proposing an incredible world-wide mass conspiracy theory that might cause even hardened skeptics to run into the arms of the ETH as being far more plausible.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 21:15:19 +0000
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:45:35 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>I have only one question:

>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

>Great question. In my research paper that I will be posting on
>my web site within the next weeks, I will lay it all out.

>I will not post my findings here to have the Dave Morton's of
>the world counteract with:

>What about Rudiak, Marcel, Haught, witness X, the pyramid
>builders, etc... ad nauseam...

>As I previously stated, you can stack my evidence with everyone
>else's and choose what you want to believe.

>I am not trying to convince the believer whose mind is already
>made up nor the debunker who knows it can't be so-just because.
>Both tend to frequent this list.

>I am shooting for the open minded critical thinker who although
>maybe leaning one way or the other has the courage to say,
>"Damn, I never looked at it that way before" and who is willing
>to examine the data and argue the data without summary
>dismissal. All other arguments are a complete waste of time and
>only lead to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the history
>of Ufology and its infighting.

James:

I attended a lecture by Kenn Thomas when he was first pulling together the information he discovered related to the Maury Island event. Finally, last year, Thomas published JFK & UFO, Military- Industrial Conspiracy and Cover-up from Maury Island to Dallas. As usual, Thomas provides tons of documentation, interviews and just plain knowing a couple of things he dug up from newspapers, etc. The side bars are as long as the main text.

When I first heard him speak of Maury Island, I had been reluctant to just sit quietly and listen. I thought I knew it all, but he broke through my arrogance into my critical mind. If you haven't read the book - or maybe you don't want to read it before you publish your own - it is a good read and chockfull of data. As usual, Thomas loads the reader up with data that challenge any preconceived one might have about the event. Even that the event might not have anything to do with UFOs.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Mount Rushmore - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 17:23:41 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:47:54 -0400
Subject: Mount Rushmore - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

Mount Rushmore was actually carved by the South Dakota Monument Fairies - not by humans or aliens.

I know I'll be attacked by the James Carrions of this world, so I'm not going to post my evidence here. Why should I expose my theory to irrational attacks?

Sometime in the next 175 years, I will post my evidence in a chat room or on a bulletin board at the local Tom Thumb store.

Then, I will ride my bicycle to the Tom Thumb corporate headquarters with my evidence in hand, and demand that they recognize all those little Fairies for the good work they did. The poor little things...

I know exactly what the closed-minded Carrion is thinking: "That guy needs to change his toothpaste." Sorry, James. It's not going to happen.

I have nothing more to say. At least Phil Klass would probably agree with me.

To all you closed-minded people out there, I feel sorry for you.

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

BUFORA 50th Anniversary Conference

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:57:33 -0400
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 07:57:33 -0400
Subject: BUFORA 50th Anniversary Conference

<http://tinyurl.com/BUFORA-50th>

21 March 201

BUFORA 50th Anniversary UFO Conference, September 22/23, 2012

Sept 22nd/23rd 2012

Conference speakers are covering a wide range of new material for this special year marking a half century of one of the oldest and internationally respected UFO groups, continuing its research and investigation into the phenomenon.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Articles Written By Ian Stephens

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 08:04:49 -0400
Archived: Sun, 27 May 2012 08:04:49 -0400
Subject: Articles Written By Ian Stephens

Articles Written By Ian Stephens

Posted April 26, 2011
UFOs And Aviation? A Closer Look
<http://tinyurl.com/flyawaysimulationcom>

Posted February 13, 2012
The Great Government Alien Cover-up: It's For Your Own Good?
<http://tinyurl.com/7mke7qm>

Posted February 13, 2011
The Fourth Kind: Fact Or Fiction
<http://www.ufoinsight.com/the-fourth-kind-fact-or-fiction/>

Posted February 13, 2011
Alien Abductions Are Real
<http://www.ufoinsight.com/alien-abductions-are-real/>

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the leads]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

The Sighting That Convinced A Government Minister

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 06:28:49 -0400
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 06:28:49 -0400
Subject: The Sighting That Convinced A Government Minister

Source: TheTelegraph.Co.UK

<http://tinyurl.com/c8nz979>

27 May 2012

The UFO Sighting That Convinced A Government Minister
By Jasper Copping

One of the most tantalising ever accounts of an apparent encounter with a UFO =96 deemed so credible it apparently convinced a British minister =96 can be told for the first time.

It is one of the most tantalising ever official accounts of an encounter with a UFO =96 deemed so credible it even convinced the government minister who investigated it.

Now, for the first time, the sighting of a flying saucer by an RAF fighter pilot and the subsequent high level inquiry it prompted can be revealed.

The sighting occurred in 30 July 1952, when Flight Sergeant Roland Hughes was on a training flight over West Germany in a de Havilland Vampire FB9.

As he was returning to base, he reported being intercepted by a "gleaming silver, metallic disc" which flew alongside his aircraft before speeding off. The mystery object was also detected by RAF radars on the ground, which recorded it travelling at speeds far in excess of any known aircraft.

Hughes reported the sighting to his senior officers who sent him to see Duncan Sandys, the then aviation minister, to brief him personally.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 08:54:42 -0500
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 06:30:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 11:35:09 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:17:42 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>I guess, James, that in your world there's no room for a simple
>>screw-up. In military intelligence, as in the rest of the real
>>world, these are ubiquitous.

>>But then, I guess that makes me irrational.

>Au contraire, Jerry. There is plenty of room for screw ups.

>If it was the New Mexico National Guard that found the debris
>and sent out the press release, I could buy it, but instead it
>was an elite unit composed of handpicked men who were thoroughly
>indoctrinated to keep their mouths shut.

>Remember, these were the same folks who dropped the bomb on
>Japan in 1945. So less than two years later, these soldiers went
>from being the creme de la creme to a bunch of Gomer Pyles? I
>seriously doubt that.

James,

Nobody is immune from screw-ups.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 11:13:08 -0300
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 06:33:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 17:24:27 +0200
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>James,

>I assumed that, before talking about Roswell with such
>assurance, you had studied the case in some depth, but I was
>wrong. Obviously, you have not.

>If you had, you would know that a number of people were already
>talking about the crash, in and around Roswell, days before the
>press release. That's why the military, when they realized that,
>feared they would not to be able to contain it.

>The press release was a sort of damage control operation, just
>in case. In fact it was an intelligent emergency action. Can you
>grasp that?

>Now, I repeat, in your scenario it does not make sense.

Gildas,

An intelligent emergency action? To contain a story from a town
out in the middle of nowhere? And what military precedent can
you cite for such an emergency action?

I will state it again, float your containment theory past any
military or cold war historian as to its plausibility before you
authoritatively make statements. Or perhaps, a better way to
state my point is that I doubt any Roswell researcher has
bothered to consult a military or cold war historian but instead
prefer to make blanket "authoritative" statements to fit within
their alien saucer view. Can you grasp that?

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 11:15:52 -0300
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 06:37:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 12:26:51 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>I have only one question:

>>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

>>Great question. In my research paper that I will be posting on
>>my web site within the next weeks, I will lay it all out.

>>What about Rudiak, Marcel, Haught, witness X, the pyramid
>>builders, etc... ad nauseam...

>>As I previously stated, you can stack my evidence with everyone
>>else's and choose what you want to believe.

>>I am not trying to convince the believer whose mind is already
>>made up nor the debunker who knows it can't be so-just because.
>>Both tend to frequent this list.

>>I am shooting for the open minded critical thinker who although
>>maybe leaning one way or the other has the courage to say,
>>"Damn, I never looked at it that way before" and who is willing
>>to examine the data and argue the data without summary
>>dismissal. All other arguments are a complete waste of time and
>>only lead to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the
>>history of Ufology and its infighting.

>James

>You are using The Coming Of The Saucers as a source document
>for 1947, especially Maury Island.

Hi Don,

Absolutely! Arnold's account is key because the events he
describes in his book related to Maury Island are backed up by
FBI documents, newspaper accounts and Air Force investigative
documents. In other words, one can only conclude that regardless
of your opinion of Arnold, he was above all else an honest man.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Mount Rushmore - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 11:25:12 -0300
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 06:40:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Mount Rushmore - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 17:23:41 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Mount Rushmore - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Mount Rushmore was actually carved by the South Dakota
>Monument Fairies - not by humans or aliens.

<snip>

Hey Dave,

I will only believe it if you can find a photo of a park ranger holding up a telegram that can be deciphered through computer enhancement and states:

"If you look close enough at Lincoln's nose, that is not a booger hanging off of it, but a fairey worker putting on the finishing touches."

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 14:28:12 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 06:54:54 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 11:35:09 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:17:42 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>I guess, James, that in your world there's no room for a simple
>>screw-up. In military intelligence, as in the rest of the real
>>world, these are ubiquitous.

>>But then, I guess that makes me irrational.

>>Jerry Clark

>Au contraire, Jerry. There is plenty of room for screw ups.

>If it was the New Mexico National Guard that found the debris
>and sent out the press release, I could buy it, but instead it
>was an elite unit composed of handpicked men who were thoroughly
>indoctrinated to keep their mouths shut.

James, List, All -

I will not allow this to pass unchallenged. During the War, virtually every National Guard unit was called to active duty. One unit from Oklahoma was called up in 1940 and was finally released from active duty five years later. The National Guard units were fighting alongside the active forces.

The situation in the 1960s, that the National Guard was the hiding place to stay out of Vietnam and manned by incompetents, is somewhat true, but it was not true in the 1940s and certainly isn't true today. The National Guard is part of a composite US Military with tough training and standards and is often more competent in the technical aspects of specific assignments than the active forces.

So, this somewhat limited insult to the New Mexico National Guard in 1947 is undeserved. While they might not have been handpicked, they were every bit as competent and capable as their active duty counterparts...

I now retreat from this debate.

Kevin D. Randle
(Formerly of the Iowa National Guard)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 20:31:33 -0300
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 07:03:53 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>I have only one question:

>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

>Great question. In my research paper that I will be posting on
>my web site within the next weeks, I will lay it all out.

>I will not post my findings here to have the Dave Morton's of
>the world counteract with:

>What about Rudiak, Marcel, Haught, witness X, the pyramid
>builders, etc... ad nauseam...

>As I previously stated, you can stack my evidence with everyone
>else's and choose what you want to believe.

<snip>

James,

It seems to me you are not really familiar with the Roswell
evidence. Your Gomer Pyle remark was off the wall. Have you
listened to the first hand testimony of 27 witnesses on
Recollections Of Roswell?

There was clear chain of command activity. Blanchard became a 4
star general and was Vice-Chief of Staff of USAF when he died of
a massive heart attack. He certainly wasn't rewarded for
stupidity.

Listen to Retired General T.J. Dubose... Just who did what that
led to your remark. You have created scenarios without evidence.
Not a good way to proceed if you want to examine data.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 07:07:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>I have only one question:

>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

<snip>

>All other arguments are a complete waste of time and only lead
>to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the history of
>Ufology and its infighting.

Yeah, that never happens in intelligence - in any armed force,
government, police, judicial, science...and a million other
interests, just in the study of this phenomenon.

Why waste our time with what we already know.

You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far. The
good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

Produce the goods.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

The Moon: Look But Don't Touch, Says NASA

From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:35:47 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 07:12:22 -0400
Subject: The Moon: Look But Don't Touch, Says NASA

Source: TheGuardian.Co.UK

<http://tinyurl.com/7knxx7t>

Sunday 27 May 2012

The Moon: Look But Don't Touch, Says NASA

The space agency issues guidelines to safeguard the heritage of lunar exploration, but can it really expect full compliance?

It is, in its way, a giant leap for mankind: NASA has just issued guidelines for the treatment and protection of historical sites on the moon, even though nobody has been there for 40 years, and nobody has plans to visit any time soon. The Acropolis, by contrast, attracted sightseers for centuries before anyone thought to make a rule about not chipping off bits and taking them home.

Such guidelines may seem a bit hypocritical - if you really cared about something, you wouldn't leave it on the moon - but NASA was prompted to act by the race to land a robot on the lunar surface: 26 privately funded teams are vying to claim the Google Lunar X prize, worth \$20m (=C2=A313m).

NASA is proposing to keep the robots out of certain exclusion zones in order to preserve artefacts including hardware from Apollo lunar modules, wire-stiffened US flags, astronaut footprints and ongoing moon experiments. But if it expects full compliance, it really should just put up a sign: "Welcome to the Moon. Please Don't Touch Anything."

Terry W. Colvin
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:13:16 +0200
Archived: Mon, 28 May 2012 07:17:49 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 11:00:08 -0700
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>I have only one question:

>>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

>>Hi Martin,

>>Great question. In my research paper that I will be posting on
>>my web site within the next weeks, I will lay it all out.

>Let me guess: trained counterintelligence pelicans, or maybe
>John Alexander's holograms or top secret mental projection
>machines.

>Or maybe Arnold was secretly a government agent and made the
>whole thing up. Same with Cpt. E. J. Smith and the rest of the
>United Air Lines crew of July 4 or rocket scientists Dr. C. J.
>Zohn, Curtis C. Rockwood, and John R. Kauke at White Sands
>missile range on June 29. Add in Mr. and Mrs. Dan Wilmot in
>Roswell on July 2, Navy Lt. William G. McGinty flying a P-80
>near the Grand Canyon June 30, and on and on with hundreds and
>hundreds of high quality sightings.

>So impressive were many of these sightings, that Dept. AAF
>Director of Intelligence Gen. George Schulgen and his staff were
>to conclude at the end of July that "something was really flying
>around". Gen. Nathan Twining and his intelligence and
>engineering staff at Wright Field were to conclude the same
>thing in a Secret memo that September and created Project Sign
>to investigate further, most of these investigation well out of
>the public eye. So well was the deception carried out that the
>Project Sign people were totally confused into believing that
>some of the mysterious flying saucers were really ET craft, not
>some clever counterintelligence deception. No doubt the highly
>influential Chiles-Whitted airliner sighting in the summer of
>1948 that so impressed Sign, along with similar sightings
>abroad, were "staged" just like the Arnold sighting.

>The "great lie" was still going on in 1953 when Twining was Air
>Force Chief of Staff and first put out Air Force Regulation 200-
>2 defining the very term "unidentified flying object" and
>stating they were to be studied for national security reasons
>and "technical aspects". Either Twining was part of the great

>counterintelligence conspiracy to smoke out a few Rooskie moles
>or nobody sent him the memo about it and he was used as a useful
>idiot by the great counterintelligence puppet masters.

>And the grand deception apparently continues on into the present
>day judging by the documents we have read, such as UFOs being a
>separate reported category in the CIRVIS national security
>regulations. No doubt Rendlesham was staged, Belgium was staged,
>Chicago O'Hare was staged, Stephenville, etc., etc., all part
>of the unending deception scheme.

David,

You can add the 1997 Phoenix sightings to your list. There is a
French ufologist who claims that the huge triangle over Phoenix
was staged by the military in order to make us believe in the ET
presence. He has developed a very sophisticated explanation,
with a sort of veil dragged by a plane or a blimp, and lights
projected on it by lasers!

>Apparently the Russians also played the same game, if you
>believe the James Obergs of the world. And nobody on either side
>who wasn't part of the "greatest lie" can figure it out after
>six decades, not with their radar nets, gun cameras and jet
>interceptors and all the rest. Only people like James Oberg and
>James Carrion can penetrate the clever smokescreen of mass
>deception.

>So James, this whole great deception scheme of yours needs to
>explain slightly more than just Arnold's sighting or Roswell,
>doesn't it? Try tens of thousands of incidents from all over the
>world that have been well-investigated, even previous to 1947,
>such as the 1946 ghost rocket wave over Europe.

>Basically you are proposing an incredible world-wide mass
>conspiracy theory that might cause even hardened skeptics to run
>into the arms of the ETH as being far more plausible.

Yes, that's an amusing paradox. All these theories have the common
goal of killing the ETH, but they are so crazy that that may
eventually reinforce it.

Cheers

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Re:: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 08:47:14 -0300
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 06:17:08 -0400
Subject: Re:: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Why waste our time with what we already know.

>You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far.
>The good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

>Produce the goods.

Don,

You mean the things you already know like your comment on Project Seal that you authoritatively stated was already covered by Jacques Vallee? Can you produce those goods for me please by telling me which of his books that was mentioned in?

Thanks

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:03:57 -0300
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 06:19:34 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 20:31:33 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>James,

>It seems to me you are not really familiar with the Roswell
>evidence. Your Gomer Pyle remark was off the wall. Have you
>listened to the first hand testimony of 27 witnesses on
>Recollections Of Roswell?

>There was clear chain of command activity. Blanchard became a 4
>star general and was Vice-Chief of Staff of USAF when he died of
>a massive heart attack. He certainly wasn't rewarded for
>stupidity.

>Listen to Retired General T.J. Dubose... Just who did what that
>led to your remark. You have created scenarios without evidence.

>Not a good way to proceed if you want to examine data.

Stan,

The one thing that you and all of the other Roswell "experts" on
this List seem to agree with me on is that the Roswell Press
release was ordered by the "chain of command". What we differ on
is why? Those who are pro-alien saucer believe it was to contain
the story by debunking it. My view is that it was part of a
counterintelligence deception operation.

As far as Dubose is concerned, he comes into the picture after
the initial press release was sent out announcing to the world
the 509th was in possession of a disc. It is that initial press
release and the containment theory that don't make an ounce of
sense. Gildas believes this containment theory to be an
intelligent emergency action and Jerry believes it to be a Gomer
Pyle screw up. It seems that amongst yourselves (Roswell
experts), the only thing you can collectively agree on is you
really don't like hearing non alien theories for your bread and
pudding.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 06:23:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 11:15:52 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 12:26:51 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>You are using The Coming Of The Saucers as a source document
>>for 1947, especially Maury Island.

>Absolutely! Arnold's account is key because the events he
>describes in his book related to Maury Island are backed up by
>FBI documents, newspaper accounts and Air Force investigative
>documents. In other words, one can only conclude that regardless
>of your opinion of Arnold, he was above all else an honest man.

The problem for you, James, is that the FBI and the Air Force
documents, the statements of Smith, and Arnold in 1947, don't
back up Arnold on the mysterious events in the book. I don't
know what ET advocates or skeptics think of the book. I'm
outside that debate. But, stylistically speaking, those
mysterious events are more Palmer than Arnold.

However, here is a trivial detail you might be interested in, if
you haven't come across it before. In The Analysis of Flying
Object Incidents in the US (both the 1948 and 1949 versions),
prepared by both AF and Navy intelligence, Arnold's Cascade's
sighting is dated to July 29, 1947.

"h. On 29 July 1947, Kenneth Arnold, while flying near Tacoma,
Washington, reported a formation of flying objects. His sketch
of their shape corresponds closely to that shown in the
photographs made by Mr Rhoads [sic]. On the same day, two U.S.
Air Force pilots at Hamilton Field reported two flying disks
trailing a P-80, following it toward Oakland, California."

So, we know this is not referring to Arnold's report of sighting
the amber or bronze colored small disks, but the Cascades
sighting of June 24, 1947.

What is really of interest here - and correct me if I am wrong -
but in 1947 all of the official statements and all the parties
interviewed, including Arnold, said he had left for Tacoma on
the 30th, not the 29th. It is only in The Coming of the Saucers
that Arnold says it was the 29th.

So, where did the Air Force and the Navy get July 29th?

Regards ,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Re: Lighter-Than-Air UAV The Size Of A Football

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 12:32:49 -0300
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 06:27:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Lighter-Than-Air UAV The Size Of A Football

Meantime in the real world;

These have been coming for ten years but it looks like they will finally get wide area disbursement within the next decade as aero-engineering masters Heavy Than Air gas vehicles with real lifting capability.No doubt every sighting from the 1800s until now will be attributed to this yet-to-fly aircraft.

Army Airship Being Readied For Inaugural Flight
Lighter-Than-Air UAV The Size Of A Football Field
May Fly In The Next Two Weeks

<http://www.as.northropgrumman.com/products/lemv/>

U.S. Army and Northrop Grumman officials are hopeful that they will be able to successfully fly a huge, unmanned airship over Lakehurst, NJ in the next couple of weeks.

Called the Long Endurance Multi-Intelligence Vehicle (LEMV), the aircraft has been designed as a surveillance tool for the Army, and its first flight is about a year behind schedule. But now Wired magazine reports that K.C. Brown, the director of Army programs for NGC, has said "We're about to fly the thing."

Military airships have been beset with problems ranging from integration of the necessary equipment to undefined missions. But Northrop Grummand and the Army say that the LEMV will "redefine persistent surveillance."

The first flight profile calls for the \$500 million 300-foot-long airship to take a short flight around Lakehurst before being flown to Tampa, FL, where it will be mated with a gondola equipped with cameras and radio gear. From there, it will fly across the Atlantic in "early winter" for a demonstration in an unspecified "theater."

NGC says the LEMV can fill roles beyond surveillance. The current model can carry up to 20 tons of cargo. Made larger, they claim it could carry hundreds of tons at a cost far less than a fixed-wing airplane. (Image courtesy Northrop Grumman)

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 12:40:03 -0300
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 06:30:46 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 11:15:52 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 12:26:51 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>You are using The Coming Of The Saucers as a source document
>>for 1947, especially Maury Island.

>Absolutely! Arnold's account is key because the events he
>describes in his book related to Maury Island are backed up by
>FBI documents, newspaper accounts and Air Force investigative
>documents. In other words, one can only conclude that regardless
>of your opinion of Arnold, he was above all else an honest man.

Ah, there we are. Can't dispute the evidence (Mt. Rainier
sighting) attack the witness.

Classic.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations

From: **Kay Wilson** <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 15:37:18 -0500
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 06:33:24 -0400
Subject: Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations

Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations

I thought this would be pertinent to share with the List for people taking sighting reports and investigating UFOs.

Additionally, some people might view these as the new "black helicopters," so to speak.

<http://tinyurl.com/6sw3yv1>

Thanks to Keith Rowell and M.R. Downey of Oregon for the lead.
<http://oregonmufon.com>

Here's an article from U.S. News about the current use of drones in the U.S.

<http://tinyurl.com/8473zyh>

I predict we will read something in response to this post from Kathy Kasten any minute now...

Kay Wilson
www.alienjigsaw.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Men In Black 3's Suits: The Latest Spin...

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:12:12 -0400
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:12:12 -0400
Subject: Men In Black 3's Suits: The Latest Spin...

Source: TheGuardian.Co.UK

<http://tinyurl.com/8xpv19o>

Mon, 28 May 2012

Men In Black 3's Suits: The Latest Spin On Well-Laundered
Folklore

Spotted a UFO? There's a strong chance the men in black will
come knocking too - these dark-suited men have long had a grip
on the human psyche...

To most, the premise on which the Men in Black films are based
may seem pleasingly fanciful; but not to all. Quite a lot of
people believe that dark-suited gents are indeed policing
contact between aliens and humans. Concern about this activity
goes back a long way. The first of the films, which appeared in
1997, was based on a relatively obscure black-and-white comic-
book . This had been launched in 1990, but even by then,
speculation about a corps of black-clothed figures supposedly
managing our relationship with extraterrestrials was already
decades old.

In 1953, Albert K Bender, the editor of a UFO publication called
Space Review, announced that he'd found the solution to the
flying saucer mystery but had been forbidden to print it. He
warned others working in the field to be cautious, and then
stopped publishing. Later he said that three men wearing dark
suits had told him to write no more about UFOs, and he'd obeyed
them because he'd been "scared to death".

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

UFONauts Many Shapes Sizes And Colors

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:20:12 -0400
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:20:12 -0400
Subject: UFONauts Many Shapes Sizes And Colors

Source: Tony Morill's Forteania Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/75robnl>

Thursday, May 24, 2012

UFONauts: Many Shapes, Sizes, And Colors

It should come as no surprise to anyone that has been in the UFO arena for any amount of time that there are a myriad of UFO occupants that have been encountered by many people all throughout the world. One thing that can be said for sure is that they certainly don't all fall into the "Gray Alien" meme that has become so popular in our culture. As I've noted in previous posts (Little Green Meme?) they seem to come in all shapes, sizes, and colors. And boy that's no exaggeration! Over at AboveTopSecret a member by the name of karl12 has brought together an awesome thread entitled 'UFO Occupants ~Drawings,Sketches and Non-Human Reports' which showcases a large number of the varied Ufonauts seen by people.

Below are just a few of the examples, though I highly recommend that you check out the thread for more information on each of the cases included. First up will be The Flatwood's Monster.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:23:47 -0400
Archived: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:23:47 -0400
Subject: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective

<http://tinyurl.com/7a45vch>

Saturday, May 26, 2012

Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

Philip Mantle, Roswell Alien Autopsy published by
RoswellBooks.com, Edinburg, Texas, 293 pages with an index.

Philip Mantle, a British UFO researcher has been involved with the alien autopsy almost from the moment that it was announced to the world that the film existed. He has met with all the primaries in the case, including those who hold the film, those who have examined it, and those of us who have researched it and UFOs for many years. If someone was going to write the definitive book about the alien autopsy, it would be Philip Mantle.

In great detail, Mantle outlines the whole story of the alien autopsy from the first public mention of any sort of film footage by Reg Presley of the Troggs (yeah, the Wild Thing group), to the worldwide interest in it. He explains how he entered into the investigation nearly two decades ago and what he has learned along the way.

For those interested in all details of how the film was found, or maybe I should say, for those interested in the various versions of how the film was found and what was on it, this is the book. In fact, that is what is good about this book. It provides the information in a chronological form but with all the variations on what was said and by whom as more was learned about it.

Mantle presents the material in a fairly neutral form and I did find that somewhat disconcerting. I would have liked to see more commentary from him about the various aspects of the case. Don't get me wrong, he offers opinions, but most of them are from those who have had some involvement in the alien autopsy case whether from the point of their creation of it to those who were on the fringes of the research about it.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:39:38 +0200
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 08:05:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 11:13:08 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 17:24:27 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>James,

>>I assumed that, before talking about Roswell with such
>>assurance, you had studied the case in some depth, but I was
>>wrong. Obviously, you have not.

>>If you had, you would know that a number of people were already
>>talking about the crash, in and around Roswell, days before the
>>press release. That's why the military, when they realized that,
>>feared they would >not to be able to contain it.

>>The press release was a sort of damage control operation, just
>>in case. In fact it was an intelligent emergency action. Can you
>>grasp that?

>>Now, I repeat, in your scenario it does not make sense.

>Gildas,

>An intelligent emergency action? To contain a story from a town
>out in the middle of nowhere? And what military precedent can
>you cite for such an emergency action?

>I will state it again, float your containment theory past any
>military or cold war historian as to its plausibility before you
>authoritatively make statements. Or perhaps, a better way to
>state my point is that I doubt any Roswell researcher has
>bothered to consult a military or cold war historian but instead
>prefer to make blanket "authoritative" statements to fit within
>their alien saucer view. Can you grasp that?

James,

There are differing opinions about the press release, among
which a mere blunder of Colonel Blanchard who, in spite of that
blunder, later became a four star General. But according to
Walter Haut, he would never have done that without a direct
order.

So, I stick to my version.

But let's test yours again. According to you, there was no UFO at
all. The press release and the balloon explanation were part of a
highly sophisticated scenario to make the Russians believe that
these flying saucers were an American super weapon. A weird super
weapon which crashed and explained as a confusion with a
balloon. Great scenario for the Russians, indeed! Sorry, that's
total nonsense to me.

There is another question, this time about you. What I cannot grasp, is how you could become international director of MUFON.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Close Encounters Of A Lenticular Kind

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 08:27:11 -0400
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 08:27:11 -0400
Subject: Close Encounters Of A Lenticular Kind

Source: DailyMail.Co.UK

<http://tinyurl.com/lenticularphotos>

29 May 2012

Close Encounters Of A Lenticular Kind: The Mountain-Top Cloud Formations That Look Like UFOs

By Eddie Wrenn

We feel prepared to go out on a limb and say none of these images are of flying saucers.

But since mankind first gazed up and saw one of these clouds float past, their uniform and tight circular shapes have caused wonder, curiosity - and even fear - in the eyes of their beholder.

The clouds look so artificial that, over the course of history, they may well have prompted early thoughts about visitors from space.

Instead, these are lenticular clouds - smooth, tightly-bordered circular formations that form high in the atmosphere over the tops of mountains, before drifting off to prompt curiosity in those that behold them.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:04:36 -0300
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 08:30:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 08:54:42 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 11:35:09 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:17:42 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>I guess, James, that in your world there's no room for a simple
>>>screw-up. In military intelligence, as in the rest of the real
>>>world, these are ubiquitous.

>>>But then, I guess that makes me irrational.

>>Au contraire, Jerry. There is plenty of room for screw ups.

>>If it was the New Mexico National Guard that found the debris
>>and sent out the press release, I could buy it, but instead it
>>was an elite unit composed of handpicked men who were thoroughly
>>indoctrinated to keep their mouths shut.

>>Remember, these were the same folks who dropped the bomb on
>>Japan in 1945. So less than two years later, these soldiers went
>>from being the creme de la creme to a bunch of Gomer Pyles? I
>>seriously doubt that.

>James,

>Nobody is immune from screw-ups.

>Jerry Clark

Why screw up? The carefully done deception kept the world away
from the Roswell story for more than 30 years and would have
gone on for much longer if a TV journalist in Baton Rouge hadn't
been late for her interview with me in 1978.

The station manager then suggested I talk to Jesse Marcel... "He
handled wreckage of one of those flying saucers you are
interested in when he was in the military..."

Changed my life and the lives of many on this List.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Truth Is Out There About UFO In Baltic

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:23:45 -0400
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:23:45 -0400
Subject: Truth Is Out There About UFO In Baltic

Source: FoxNews.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/BalticUSO>

May 29, 2012

[Image & Video]

The Truth Is Out There About UFO In Baltic Sea, Swedish
Scientists Say
By Gene J. Koprowski

Swedish scientists plan to explore a mystery ripped straight
from the "The X-Files."

Rather than Mulder and Scully, this adventure features Swedish
researchers Peter Lindberg and Dennis Asberg. They too know the
truth is out there -- and in mere days plan to visit what they
call the "Baltic Anomaly."

Last summer, while on a treasure hunt between Sweden and
Finland, the pair and their research associates made headlines
worldwide with the discovery of a 200-foot wide unidentified
object at the bottom of the Baltic Sea. Now a team of
oceanographers, engineers and deep sea divers will return to the
site Friday, June 1, for a 6 to 10 day trip.

They want to find out once and for all what it really is.

"We don't know whether it is a natural phenomenon, or an
object," Lindberg, captain of the Ocean Explorer, told
FoxNews.com. "We saw it on sonar when we were searching for a
wreck from World War I. This circular object just turned up on
the monitor."

The discovery was a worldwide news event, covered in the popular
press, the scientific press and in the blogosphere. Many
speculated that the discovery was of a long-lost unidentified
flying object (UFO), that crashed into the sea -- evoking
Duchovny's alien-hunting character on the TV show.

Lindberg is clearly aware of the connection: The logo for his
"OceanX Team" is clearly meant to evoke the X-Files logo. But is
the truth out there this time? He aims to find out.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Cathy Reason** <Cathym.nul>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 18:53:11 +0100
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:25:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

><http://tinyurl.com/bgg5x66>

>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

<snip>

I find this story rather difficult to understand. "Gardening" is supposed to work like this:

Imagine you are monitoring Enigma traffic from a German naval reconnaissance command covering the North Sea. You might arrange for a destroyer to sail past Dogger Bank at 1300 hrs on July 15. You can then assume that the subsequent Enigma traffic will contain the plaintext "destroyer", "Dogger", "1300 hrs" and "July 15" because the behavior of the German command will be predictable - they are trained to identify destroyers, time and place using common terminology, charts and clocks. You can then use this information to break the cipher.

But once you start relying on naive members of the public observing mysterious, unfamiliar objects this predictability is lost. For example one observer might report:

"Saw silver objects in sky Tuesday afternoon"

Another might say:

"Observed glowing things near mountain, about 4.30 pm"

While still a third might say:

"Watched aluminum-colored disks flying at high altitude, sometime last week"

Each observer will generate a different plaintext to describe the experience and the result is a potentially open-ended series of non-overlapping plaintexts. Now it might be possible to deal with this problem using the computers available in the 1940s, but it looks like an extraordinarily convoluted and cack-handed way of going about things. In fact from a cryptanalyst's point of view you are effectively adding additional layers of encipherment to the text.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

UFO FOTOCAT Blog Update June 2012

From: **Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos** <ballesterolmos.nul>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 21:02:44 +0100 (BST)
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:31:17 -0400
Subject: UFO FOTOCAT Blog Update June 2012

Dear UFO Colleague,

Over the past 12 years, the FOTOCAT Project has probably become the largest specialized catalogue of UFO sightings in the world, with 11,000+ records compiled and maintained in individual file folders, saved in digital archives and logged in a computerized databank.

The main catalogue is available to researchers on demand and when considered complete - is targeted to be posted online for any user to take advantage of the content of all its entries.

In the meantime, a FOTOCAT blog post to announce research in progress, assistance received, book reviews, case analysis, and other related information is at:

<http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

Highlights of the present edition:

- Spheres In Airborne UAP Imagery paper enlarged
- Book review: From Other Worlds
- Conisborough (UK, 1966) revisited
- The latest known photograph of ball lightning
- The 1967 North Carolina alien picture analyzed
- Reports tallied by time-of-the-day, 2000 to 2005

I wish you a good read.

Best regards,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
Valencia, Spain

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:36:30 -0300
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:33:20 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 12:40:03 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>You are using The Coming Of The Saucers as a source document for 1947,
>>>especially Maury Island.

>>Absolutely! Arnold's account is key because the events he describes in
>>his book related to Maury Island are backed up by FBI documents,
>>newspaper accounts and Air Force investigative documents. In other
>>words, one can only conclude that regardless of your opinion of Arnold,
>>he was above all else an honest man.

>Ah, there we are. Can't dispute the evidence (Mt. Rainier
>sighting) attack the witness.

>Classic.

Don,

Calling Arnold an honest man is attacking the witness?

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:34:44 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>So, we know this is not referring to Arnold's report of sighting
>the amber or bronze colored small disks, but the Cascades
>sighting of June 24, 1947.

>What is really of interest here - and correct me if I am wrong -
>but in 1947 all of the official statements and all the parties
>interviewed, including Arnold, said he had left for Tacoma on
>the 30th, not the 29th. It is only in The Coming of the Saucers
>that Arnold says it was the 29th.

>So, where did the Air Force and the Navy get July 29th?

Hello Don

Excuse me for butting in, but this is an interesting point. I hadn't noticed it before. You seem to be right, e.g. the FBI interview report from SAC Butte does say that Arnold told him he "left for Tacoma" on July 30, whereas Arnold's book gives July 29. Given that Arnold was writing several years later and was not immune to other small date errors we should probably give the benefit of the doubt to the near-contemporary documents.

IMO this is made surer by the fact that, whichever day it was, he flew out very early (5:30 am he said), so early that he couldn't get fuel at Boise and would have to stop over at La Grande to top up (which he did) before going on via Chehalis to Tacoma. This was a significant fact for him in relation to the odd business of the Tacoma hotel room because it convinced him that nobody could have seen him leave Boise (and he had advertised no plan to do so). So this seems well-established. But he wrote a letter to Palmer (covering transmittal of a copy of his AF letter) dated July 29, when supposedly he would have been in the air en route to Tacoma. The flight to Tacoma took all day (even starting at 5:30 he didn't make Barry's Airport until dusk, he said) and would leave no time for typing or posting letters. So it would make sense that he wrote to Palmer from home on July 29 and left for Tacoma the next morning.

As for where the Analysis of Flying Object Incidents got July 29 from, I suspect this is just a mistaken association :

"On 29 July 1947, Kenneth Arnold, while flying near Tacoma, Washington, reported a formation of flying objects. The sketch of their shape corresponds closely to that shown in the photographs made by Mr. Rhoads. On the same day, two U.S. Air Force pilots at Hamilton Field reported two flying disks trailing a P-80, following it toward Oakland, California."

I know of no drawing made by Arnold of the La Grande "ducks". This appears to refer to the June 24 sighting sketch, as you suggest, as does the reference to the Rhodes photos which we know were compared by Arnold to his objects. But that sighting

was not "near Tacoma". However Tacoma was where the Rhodes photo was shown to Arnold by Brown & Davidson who came from Hamilton Field.

I suspect someone just misread the file (along with William Rhodes' name) and, having conceived a mistaken association with the Hamilton Field incident because of the Brown & Davidson connection and the near-miss date, bracketed a bogus reference to Arnold in with the Hamilton Field case of July 29, which was a significant Blue Book unknown (#69 in the final tally) and very influential inside ATIC at the time, as well as becoming a major public case (another at the same base on the previous day also made the papers).

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:50:27 -0500
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:37:22 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>I have only one question:

>>>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

><snip>

>>All other arguments are a complete waste of time and only lead
>>to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the history of
>>Ufology and its infighting.

>Yeah, that never happens in intelligence - in any armed force,
>government, police, judicial, science...and a million other
>interests, just in the study of this phenomenon.

>Why waste our time with what we already know.

>You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far. The
>good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

>Produce the goods.

Exactly, Don.

In instances like these, of which there are almost countless examples over the history of ufological discourse (one virtue of being in the despised Old Guard: you've seen it all before, and you know a latest shiny new toy when you see one), somebody conjures up some extraordinary notion, then buttresses and follows it with over-the-top rhetoric and demonization of all doubters, current and potential.

James Carrion would be well advised to can the attacks and to lay out his case in precise fashion, sans the off-putting hyperbole. Only then will we be able to determine if his evidence matches his polemics. So far, the latter is sounding a lot louder than the former, and the pattern is looking dismayingly familiar.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 08:09:06 -0500
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:39:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:03:57 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 20:31:33 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>James,

>>It seems to me you are not really familiar with the Roswell
>>evidence. Your Gomer Pyle remark was off the wall. Have you
>>listened to the first hand testimony of 27 witnesses on
>>Recollections Of Roswell?

>>There was clear chain of command activity. Blanchard became a 4
>>star general and was Vice-Chief of Staff of USAF when he died of
>>a massive heart attack. He certainly wasn't rewarded for
>>stupidity.

>>Listen to Retired General T.J. Dubose... Just who did what that
>>led to your remark. You have created scenarios without evidence.

>>Not a good way to proceed if you want to examine data.

>Jerry believes it to be a Gomer
>Pyle screw up. It seems that amongst yourselves (Roswell
>experts), the only thing you can collectively agree on is you
>really don't like hearing non alien theories for your bread and
>pudding.

Really, James, is it necessary to put words into my mouth? I
never said, or even implied, anything like a "Gomer Pyle screw-
up." I have no reason to think those involved were dim-witted
cartoon hillbillies; to the contrary, as I've tried to explain
to you, screw ups happen on all levels of society in all kinds
of circumstances, not just among imaginary television
personalities. Then again, you may be a medieval astrologer who
believes there is no such thing as chance.

As I understand it, the Roswell press release was just a normal
screw up, sort of like Michael Kinsley's classic definition of a
gaffe: when a politician accidentally speaks the truth. Here,
the truth of the moment was that the remains were believed to be
of a flying disc. The authorities at the base soon regretted
announcing as much and did everything in their power to
discredit the release. That's Roswell 101.

And really, James, I have never identified myself as a "Roswell
expert," nor till now has anyone ever called me one. I am no
more an authority on the subject than you are, and my views on
the matter remain resolutely agnostic. You need greater fidelity
to accuracy if you expect to be taken seriously.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 15:19:00 +0200
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:42:04 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:04:36 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 08:54:42 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 11:35:09 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 14:17:42 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>I guess, James, that in your world there's no room for a simple
>>>>screw-up. In military intelligence, as in the rest of the real
>>>>world, these are ubiquitous.

>>>>But then, I guess that makes me irrational.

>>>>Au contraire, Jerry. There is plenty of room for screw ups.

>>>>If it was the New Mexico National Guard that found the debris
>>>>and sent out the press release, I could buy it, but instead it
>>>>was an elite unit composed of handpicked men who were thoroughly
>>>>indoctrinated to keep their mouths shut.

>>>>Remember, these were the same folks who dropped the bomb on
>>>>Japan in 1945. So less than two years later, these soldiers went
>>>>from being the creme de la creme to a bunch of Gomer Pyles? I
>>>>seriously doubt that.

>>James,

>>Nobody is immune from screw-ups.

>>Jerry Clark

>Why screw up? The carefully done deception kept the world away
>from the Roswell story for more than 30 years and would have
>gone on for much longer if a TV journalist in Baton Rouge hadn't
>been late for her interview with me in 1978.

>The station manager then suggested I talk to Jesse Marcel... "He
>handled wreckage of one of those flying saucers you are
>interested in when he was in the military..."

>Changed my life and the lives of many on this List.

Yes, Stan, and we must all thank you for that.

But let's try to apply Carrion's logic to this.

Thirty years later, retired Jesse Marcel told you that, in fact, he had really found very strange debris on the Foster ranch.

In the Carrion's scenario, two explanations come to my mind.

First one: Jesse Marcel was part of the false saucer deception and amused himself fooling you;

Second one: he was not, and then he was fooled, maybe, by a false debris field!

In any case, he reopened the Roswell bag, which had been so well sealed thirty years before, and the cat has been running ever since.

Amusing!

Everytime we consider it, the Carrion scenario becomes more and more absurd.

Cheers,

Gildas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:48:04 -0300
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:45:10 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:39:38 +0200
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>James,

>There are differing opinions about the press release, among
>which a mere blunder of Colonel Blanchard who, in spite of that
>blunder, later became a four star General. But according to
>Walter Haut, he would never >have done that without a direct
>order.

>So, I stick to my version.

>But let's test yours again. According to you, there was no UFO
>at all. The press release and the balloon explanation were part
>of a highly sophisticated scenario to make the Russians believe
>that these flying saucers were an American super weapon. A
>weird super weapon which crashed and explained as a confusion
>with a balloon. Great scenario for the Russians, indeed! Sorry,
>that's total nonsense to me.

>There is another question, this time about you. What I cannot
>grasp, is how you could become international director of MUFON.

>Gildas Bourdais

It makes no sense to you because you don't understand anything
about Intelligence Deception operations. At the same time you
ignore my challenge to float your accepted theory by a military
historian or intelligence historian. Are you afraid of what you
may find out? Or perhaps your mind is so made up that nothing,
not even the truth, will change it.

As to how I became the International Director of MUFON, I guess
what you are really saying is how could I have achieved that
position if I am not a diehard believer. If you read MUFON's
mission statement, it is "the scientific investigation of UFOs
for the benefit of humanity". When it comes to science, belief
should not factor in.

I left MUFON because the MUFON Board of Directors, like you, are
also a bunch of diehard believers that will not accept a non-ET
theory, even if focused on the specific timeframe of June -
August of 1947. Or as Clifford Clift, former International
MUFON Director so succinctly put it: "James left MUFON because
the truth he was seeking was not the truth the MUFON Board of
Directors was seeking."

From what I have experienced so far posting on this List, these
same two standards of truth play out here as well.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:59:25 -0300
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:47:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:04:36 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Why screw up? The carefully done deception kept the world away
>from the Roswell story for more than 30 years and would have
>gone on for much longer if a TV journalist in Baton Rouge hadn't
>been late for her interview with me in 1978.

>The station manager then suggested I talk to Jesse Marcel...
>"He handled wreckage of one of those flying saucers you are
>interested in when he was in the military..."

>Changed my life and the lives of many on this List.

>Stan Friedman

A question for the "Roswell Experts" on this List. Who in your
"expert" opinion do you think ordered the 509th's press release
and what do you base that opinion on?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 11:50:38 -0300
Archived: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:51:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 08:47:14 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>Why waste our time with what we already know.

>>>You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far.
>>>The good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

>>Produce the goods.

>Don,

>You mean the things you already know like your comment on
>Project Seal that you authoritatively stated was already covered
>by Jacques Vallee? Can you produce those goods for me please by
>telling me which of his books that was mentioned in?

Somewhere toward the end of Forbidden Science he makes reference
to Seal if memory serves.

Your turn.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:34:08 -0400
Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:03:57 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 20:31:33 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>James,

>>It seems to me you are not really familiar with the Roswell
>>evidence. Your Gomer Pyle remark was off the wall. Have you
>>listened to the first hand testimony of 27 witnesses on
>>Recollections Of Roswell?

>>There was clear chain of command activity. Blanchard became a 4
>>star general and was Vice-Chief of Staff of USAF when he died of
>>a massive heart attack. He certainly wasn't rewarded for
>>stupidity.

>>Listen to Retired General T.J. Dubose... Just who did what that
>>led to your remark. You have created scenarios without evidence.

>>Not a good way to proceed if you want to examine data.

>Stan,

>The one thing that you and all of the other Roswell "experts" on
>this List seem to agree with me on is that the Roswell Press
>release was ordered by the "chain of command". What we differ on
>is why? Those who are pro-alien saucer believe it was to contain
>the story by debunking it. My view is that it was part of a
>counterintelligence deception operation.

>As far as Dubose is concerned, he comes into the picture after
>the initial press release was sent out announcing to the world
>the 509th was in possession of a disc. It is that initial press
>release and the containment theory that don't make an ounce of
>sense. Gildas believes this containment theory to be an
>intelligent emergency action and Jerry believes it to be a Gomer
>Pyle screw up. It seems that amongst yourselves (Roswell
>experts), the only thing you can collectively agree on is you
>really don't like hearing non alien theories for your bread and
>pudding.

James, I really can't follow your reasoning. I think you are
starting with a false premise namely that the US was very
worried in 1947 about a Stalin attack.

Not true.

The cold war was on, but the wheels in DC didn't expect the USSR
to have the atomic bomb until well into the 1950s and that
includes general Leslie Groves.

Check the press.

Furthermore it was believed - wrongly - that even if they got the bomb they had no long distance bombers for delivering it to attack the USA.

It should further be noted that the decision to build the Distant Early Warning line of radars was made at a special Summer Study group meeting at MIT in 1952... 5 years after Roswell.

One of the scariest documents I have seen was a 1951 NSC memo stating that the Russians had made more progress in the development of nuclear weapons and methods for delivering them in the past 18 months, than had been expected for 5 years.

You seem to forget that Brazel showed strange wreckage to his neighbors, the Proctors and his other neighbors at the Wade General Store in Corona on Saturday July 5. That is where he heard about flying saucers and a reward for finding wreckage. That is when it was suggested he go to the sherrif's office in Roswell which he did on July 6.

Major Marcel examined the wreckage, told his boss and was sent out to Brazel's ranch because there was so much of it. He was told to take a CIC man with him. His worry was who was spying on the 509th.

Are you really suggesting there was no strange wreckage in the big debris field on the ranch? So everybody was lying including the rancher, his son, Marcel and his son, the Proctors, the people in Corona, the sheriff and his family?

Why was Brazel picked up on his ranch and brought back to town and fed a new story by the Army?

Yes, I worked hard to find DuBose and meet with him. He took the call from Ramey's boss general McMullen saying to get the press off their backs, send wreckage up to Washington that day with one of his Colonel couriers, and never talk about it again. I had no idea what he would say.

Is this all fantasy and lies?

Are you really saying there was no debris field of strange material?

Don't forget Blanchard had Brazel's material on Sunday July 6.

The news story went out on the 8th with the correcting story going out a few hours later. Both are on the Front page of the LA Examiner on July 8.

Well done!!

I had been lecturing about Flying Saucers since 1967. I didn't need Roswell, or any story I couldn't back up with facts.

It would appear that your bread and pudding is coming only from ceative debunking ignoring much of the data

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

'Repas Ufologiques'

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 17:09:57 +0100
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:37:37 -0400
Subject: 'Repas Ufologiques'

Just received an update from G=E9rard Lebat with news of 'Repas Ufologiques' upcoming get-togethers in France and elsewhere - here's an extract referring to Paris (I think - maybe check your translation)

<http://www.les-repas-ufologiques.com/>

Meals From Paris UFO Invite Two American Experts
Two Nights Exceptional - 2012

Ufologist American And Witness
Robert Salas
For the first time in France
22 Juin 2012
with Fabrice Bonvin
UFO researcher (Switzerland)

The American Cryptozoologist
Loren Coleman
For the first time in france!
October 2, 2012

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

From: Edward Gehrman <egerman.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 09:10:44 -0700
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:40:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <ufo-updates-list.nul>
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle
>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:23:47 -0400

>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective

>Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>Philip Mantle, Roswell Alien Autopsy published by
>RoswellBooks.com, Edinburg, Texas, 293 pages with an index.

Kevin, EBK, List,

Philip and I are friends, but he's mistaken about the AA.

The UFO community has missed a great chance to understand the UFO phenomenon by studying the AA and discussing the implications of the footage.

Only a few researchers have viewed the uncut footage or tried to figure out the significance of the Socorro crash site.

Everyone refuses to listen to Dr Leir and his insistence that the footage is of a real creature.

How and why did John Humphrey, a left hander, play the part of a right handed surgeon and why did he and Spyros show the brain being removed from the rear when it was obviously removed from the front?

These types of mistakes are ingored or overlooked in Philip's research and by the vast majority of other UFO researchers.

And how did Ray Santilli describe and give directions to a dry lake with burned vegetation and rocks, covered with a strange blue melted material, eventually identified as cristobalite? He has never been to Socorro.

These are only a few of the unanswered questions.

I think it's time for folks to take another look at the uncut footage and the evidence that it is hoaxed. There are many dead ends in Ufology, but the AA isn't one of them.

Ed

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

New Book 'Russia's Roswell Incident'

From: Philip Mantle <philip.mantle.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 18:25:09 +0100
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:45:13 -0400
Subject: New Book 'Russia's Roswell Incident'

- PRESS RELEASE -

UFO crash in Dalnegorsk, Russia, disclosed in new book, Russia's Roswell Incident

Although the leaders of the former Soviet Union did not allow citizens to speak freely about UFOs, a new book finally reveals major UFO cases that have surfaced since the USSR fell, including the reported 1986 crash of a UFO in Dalnegorsk, Russia.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Russian Roswell Incident

PRLog (Press Release) - May 30, 2012 -

Witnesses say something not of this world crash-landed on a hilltop in the small Russian village of Dalnegorsk in 1986, according to the new book Russia's Roswell Incident and Other Amazing UFO Cases from the Former Soviet Union by former Soviet refugee Paul Stonehill and British UFO expert Philip Mantle. Metal fragments recovered at the site of the Dalnegorsk crash continue to puzzle scientists today. When subjected to heat, some of the elements "disappear" and are replaced by others. Some fragments display anti-gravitational properties.

Early in 2012, media headlines throughout the United States announced, "Russian Roswell UFO Artifact Featured at National Atomic Testing Museum in Las Vegas." For most Americans, it was their first exposure to one of the world's most intriguing UFO stories since the reported crash of a UFO near Roswell, New Mexico, in July 1947. The mysterious 1986 crash-landing of a spherical flying object on a hill in the town of Dalnegorsk in Southeastern Russia was finally getting the attention it has long deserved.

At the National Atomic Testing Museum, an affiliate of the Smithsonian Institution, a clear glass case displays glassy spheres and bits of metal in vials recovered from a red orb that streaked over the Russian mining town of Dalnegorsk and crashed into Mount Izvestkovaya on January 29 1986. The exhibit's description reads: "Three Soviet academic centers and 11 research institutes analyzed the objects from this UFO crash. The distance between atoms is different from ordinary iron. Radar cannot be reflected from the material.

"Elements in the material may disappear and new ones appear after heating. One piece disappeared completely in front of four witnesses. The core of the material is composed of a substance with anti-gravitational properties."

The case is notable because of this extremely strange trace evidence, which continues to intrigue researchers today. It is also noteworthy because of recently released Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) documents, obtained through the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), which indicate that the U.S.

intelligence community apparently took a great interest in the Dalnegorsk UFO crash.

Often called "Russia's Roswell," this unusual event is fully explored in this new book by Paul Stonehill, founder of the Russian UFOlogy Research Center, and U.K. researcher Philip Mantle. In addition to complete analysis of the Dalnegorsk crash, the book fully covers the mysterious 1908 Tunguska Event in Siberia, which some people believe was an alien spacecraft that fell to Earth.

Stonehill said, "Unidentified objects have been seen both on land and in the sea throughout Russia's history. In addition to an in-depth analysis of two reported UFO crashes, each of which has been called 'Russia's Roswell Incident,' our book also gives you an overview of the most important cases, observations, and sightings in the lands formerly known as the Soviet Union."

"Russia is a huge country. Many of its areas, especially in Siberia, Far Eastern provinces and the Arctic regions, have not been completely explored even today, in the early 21st century," Stonehill added. "During the last one hundred years Russia has gone through bloody revolutions, wars, transformations of its economy, purges, famine, invasions, and other life-shattering events. Millions of Russians perished in concentration camps created by Soviet Marxist Leninists and German National Socialists. Hundreds of thousands, if not millions, died from famine and starvation. Others were exiled, banished, maimed, their lives torn apart by terrible events of the 20th century."

"And yet, even in the darkest of times, there were those in the USSR who had observed strange and perplexing unidentified flying or submersible objects and found ways to preserve their observations. Such anomalous phenomena had never escaped the attention of the Soviet rulers and the nation's armed forces."

Since UFOs were a forbidden subject for citizens of the Soviet Union, this book mostly contains UFO reports by scientists, pilots, military personnel, cosmonauts, and other Soviet "officials" with a high enough standing to risk telling their stories. As a result, the reader will not find accounts from random, run-of-the-mill citizens who, after their encounter, ran to the local newspaper to "tell all." Instead, the UFO sightings in this book are mostly from sources generally considered to be "highly reliable," including many highly-trained observers such as astronomers, cosmonauts, and aircraft pilots.

Among the incredible stories told in the book is that of a close encounter at a major Russian missile base in 1994. The authors report that a UFO measuring about 75 feet in diameter made a soft landing on three landing support legs in front of the main building at the base that is a key part of Russia's air defense system.

The report states that "something" resembling a flying saucer landed in the plaza in front of the main building. Five minutes later all soldiers not on combat duty formed a perimeter around the UFO, their machine guns at the ready. Observing the object from a distance of 300-500 feet, the soldiers said it was a classic "saucer" - a silver disk with a dome on the top, three semi-spheres on the bottom, and three landing supports.

As noted, the object's diameter was about 75 feet, and the dome's diameter was about 20 feet. The three semi-spheres on the bottom were about 15 feet in diameter. The object's silver color was accentuated by a slight hint of blue, and it gleamed very brightly in the sunlight of mid afternoon.

Within 10 minutes of the landing, the military unit's watch officer reported the UFO to superiors. The soldiers were ordered not to approach the UFO and to cease all activities that might be viewed as aggressive and might initiate a retaliatory response from the object. The orders also instructed the base to get ready to receive an airplane containing a "mobile laboratory" of government UFO researchers. The plane carrying 15 military research specialists arrived about three hours later.

After filming the object on their approach to the site, the researchers split up into three groups. Dressed in shiny, hermetically-sealed, biohazard suits, and waving various instruments, the rapid response team approached the UFO. When

they got within about 20 feet, the object or the surrounding air suddenly "rippled" as if struck by some type of distortion wave. A strange sizzling noise was heard, and the soil shook a little underneath the observers' feet. Then the UFO began a slow ascent, and as it lifted off, it "pulled" the asphalt-covered surface of the plaza towards it. That "pull" extended from the edges to the center of the plaza.

Paul Stonehill was born in Kiev, USSR, in 1959 and emigrated to the U.S. in 1973. A recognized expert on the history and culture of the former Soviet Union, author, TV consultant, lecturer, and researcher of Eurasian paranormal phenomena, Paul founded the Los Angeles-based Russian Ufology Research Center in 1991. Paul has excellent sources in the UFO research community in Russia, Ukraine and Eastern Europe.

Philip Mantle is the former Director of Investigations for the British UFO Research Association, former Mutual UFO Network representative for England, and an honorary member of the Research Institute on Anomalous Phenomena in the Ukraine. He is an international lecturer on the subject of UFOs and has also worked for a variety of TV and radio companies around the world.

Their new book, Russia's Roswell Incident and Other Amazing UFO Cases from the Former Soviet Union, 302 pages in length with many rare UFO photos from the Russian UFO archives, retails for \$14.95 and is available at

<http://RoswellBooks.com>

and all online book sellers.

All media and review copy requests via Noe Torres at:
noetorres.nul

Thanks,

Philip Mantle

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 17:30:05 +0000
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:47:28 -0400
Subject: Re: Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations

>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 15:37:18 -0500
>Subject: Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations

>Map Of Domestic Drone Authorizations

>I thought this would be pertinent to share with the List for
>people taking sighting reports and investigating UFOs.

>Additionally, some people might view these as the new "black
>helicopters," so to speak.

><http://tinyurl.com/6sw3yv1>

>Thanks to Keith Rowell and M.R. Downey of Oregon for the lead.
><http://oregonmufon.com>

>Here's an article from U.S. News about the current use of drones
>in the U.S.

><http://tinyurl.com/8473zyh>

>I predict we will read something in response to this post from
>Kathy Kasten any minute now...

Here is the response you are requesting: drones are being flown
along the border with Mexico here in Arizona. The Border Patrol
owns at least one and it flies nightly.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Many Coloured 'Fireballs' Reported To NUFORC

From: Peter Davenport <director.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:09:07 -0700
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:50:15 -0400
Subject: Many Coloured 'Fireballs' Reported To NUFORC

To the List:

By Wednesday afternoon, our webmaster should have updated the NUFORC homepage and database with the latest reports.

I write principally to call to the attention of the List members the striking number of reports of red, orange, and yellow "fireballs" that have been submitted to our Center. The same phenomenon is being reported to MUFON, as well, I am informed.

In particular, I invite the List to inspect the data for Saturday night, May 19. Similar sightings, occurring at approximately the same time, were reported from 25 states or provinces, which strikes me as being very unusual, indeed.

I have called this phenomenon to the attention of the List in months past, but seemingly to little avail. However, the phenomenon continues, and the reports of the "fireballs" seem to be on the increase.

I will be away from the Center for the next several days, but will be prepared to respond to queries when I return. I look forward to your comments.

Peter
NUFORC

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 17:43:51 +0000
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:55:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>To: <errol.nul>
>Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 12:30:12 -0500
>Subject: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>Are alien abductees closer to locating (and hopefully removing)
>their Alien [MILAB?] Implants?

><http://tinyurl.com/cxdafx4>

For a couple of years, while I was part of a mind control on-line group, people would send me X-Rays claiming to show an implant.

I was on the staff of the UCLA Department of Pathology and had access to technology and people who volunteered to look at the X-Rays. In that time, we saw only one X-Ray that showed an implant.

An implant has peculiar properties that easily identify it to be an implant. These properties leave no doubt as to what is being seen. None of the X-Rays - or should I say photocopies of X-Rays showed those properties. And, they would have shown up regardless of the fact we were looking at photocopies.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 15:28:23 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 08:57:00 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 12:40:03 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 11:15:52 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 26 May 2012 12:26:51 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>You are using The Coming Of The Saucers as a source document
>>>for 1947, especially Maury Island.

>>Absolutely! Arnold's account is key because the events he
>>describes in his book related to Maury Island are backed up by
>>FBI documents, newspaper accounts and Air Force investigative
>>documents. In other words, one can only conclude that regardless
>>of your opinion of Arnold, he was above all else an honest man.

>Ah, there we are. Can't dispute the evidence (Mt. Rainier
>sighting) attack the witness.

>Classic.

Don, I don't know what you are referring to. James has to deal
with not accepting Arnold's account of Mt Ranier while at the
same time accepting his account of Maury Island. For most of us,
it is the other way round - if the source document for Arnold's
Maury Island account is The Coming Of The Saucers.

Regards,

Don
www.foreshadower.net

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 06:31:28 -0500
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:16:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:48:04 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:39:38 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>James,

>>There are differing opinions about the press release, among
>>which a mere blunder of Colonel Blanchard who, in spite of that
>>blunder, later became a four star General. But according to
>>Walter Haut, he would never >have done that without a direct
>>order.

>>So, I stick to my version.

>>But let's test yours again. According to you, there was no UFO
>>at all. The press release and the balloon explanation were part
>>of a highly sophisticated scenario to make the Russians believe
>>that these flying saucers were an American super weapon. A
>>weird super weapon which crashed and explained as a confusion
>>with a balloon. Great scenario for the Russians, indeed! Sorry,
>>that's total nonsense to me.

>>There is another question, this time about you. What I cannot
>>grasp, is how you could become international director of MUFON.

>>Gildas Bourdais

>It makes no sense to you because you don't understand anything
>about Intelligence Deception operations. At the same time you
>ignore my challenge to float your accepted theory by a military
>historian or intelligence historian. Are you afraid of what you
>may find out? Or perhaps your mind is so made up that nothing,
>not even the truth, will change it.

>As to how I became the International Director of MUFON, I guess
>what you are really saying is how could I have achieved that
>position if I am not a diehard believer. If you read MUFON's
>mission statement, it is "the scientific investigation of UFOs
>for the benefit of humanity". When it comes to science, belief
>should not factor in.

>I left MUFON because the MUFON Board of Directors, like you, are
>also a bunch of diehard believers that will not accept a non-ET
>theory, even if focused on the specific timeframe of June -
>August of 1947. Or as Clifford Clift, former International
>MUFON Director so succinctly put it: "James left MUFON because
>the truth he was seeking was not the truth the MUFON Board of
>Directors was seeking."

>>From what I have experienced so far posting on this List, these
>>same two standards of truth play out here as well.

I guess we all can't be as brilliant as you believe you are.

Our profound apologies.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 07:00:00 -0500
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:17:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 11:50:38 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 08:47:14 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>Why waste our time with what we already know.

>>>>You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far.
>>>>The good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

>>>>Produce the goods.

>>Don,

>>You mean the things you already know like your comment on
>>Project Seal that you authoritatively stated was already covered
>>by Jacques Vallee? Can you produce those goods for me please by
>>telling me which of his books that was mentioned in?

>Somewhere toward the end of Forbidden Science he makes reference
>to Seal if memory serves.

Hi, Don,

Could you be confused about which book?

Forbidden Science has an index, and there's an entry that covers
the various projects. Seal isn't listed.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 05:17:29 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:20:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:59:25 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:04:36 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>Why screw up? The carefully done deception kept the world away
>>from the Roswell story for more than 30 years and would have
>>gone on for much longer if a TV journalist in Baton Rouge hadn't
>>been late for her interview with me in 1978.

>>The station manager then suggested I talk to Jesse Marcel...
>>"He handled wreckage of one of those flying saucers you are
>>interested in when he was in the military..."

>>Changed my life and the lives of many on this List.

>A question for the "Roswell Experts" on this List. Who in your
>"expert" opinion do you think ordered the 509th's press release
>and what do you base that opinion on?

Colonel Blanchard ordered Lt. Walter Haut to distribute the press
release on July 8, 1947, according to first hand testimony from
Walter more than 30 years ago.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Noory Friedman & Cremo In Toronto June 23rd

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:26:24 -0400
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:26:24 -0400
Subject: Noory Friedman & Cremo In Toronto June 23rd

Host of Coast to Coast AM is coming to Toronto!

George Noory Live In Toronto with Special Guests:

Stanton T Friedman - Godfather of Ufology
Michael A. Cremo - Forbidden Archeologist

Where: The Queen Elizabeth Theatre
190 Princes' Boulevard (CNE Grounds)

When: Saturday June 23, 2012
Box Office opens at: 5:00PM
Doors open at: 6:00PM

Showtime: 7:00PM

Advance Tickets on sale at:

Conspiracy Culture
1696 Queen St West
416-916-1696

See: <http://www.georgenoorylive.com/tickets.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:13:07 -0400
Archived: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:33:26 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: post.nul <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 05:17:29 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:59:25 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:04:36 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>Why screw up? The carefully done deception kept the world away
>>>from the Roswell story for more than 30 years and would have
>>>gone on for much longer if a TV journalist in Baton Rouge hadn't
>>>been late for her interview with me in 1978.

>>>The station manager then suggested I talk to Jesse Marcel...
>>>"He handled wreckage of one of those flying saucers you are
>>>interested in when he was in the military..."

>>>Changed my life and the lives of many on this List.

>>A question for the "Roswell Experts" on this List. Who in your
>>"expert" opinion do you think ordered the 509th's press release
>>and what do you base that opinion on?

>Colonel Blanchard ordered Lt. Walter Haut to distribute the press
>release on July 8, 1947, according to first hand testimony from
>Walter more than 30 years ago.

>Stan Friedman

As some others have posted, I too would like to see James Carrion publish his theories with supporting evidence and allow others to reach their own conclusion. To join in this back and forth, as I've seen the past couple of days is adding weight to something that, as of yet, has little substance. Of course, the definition of "substance" may be another point of contention.

IMO, the Internet now defines ufology and not the historic research organizations that evolved from NICAP. Carrion's theories or beliefs will only have whatever weight we give it in our reactions here, and the ripple it makes in the ufological pond won't be seen in the surface tension a few years from now.

Carrion isn't the first person to jump from the fence to one side or the other in this field, and I've seen most researchers sway one way or the other as time goes on. But his quick transition from newly installed International Director of MUFON to someone who has it all figured out is somewhat incredulous.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com