

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > Jun

UFO UpDates Mailing List Jun 2012

Jun 1:

[Re: Alien \[MILAB?\] Implants Closer To Detection?](#) - Kay Wilson [21]
[New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo](#) - Kay Wilson [17]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [22]
[New At The Alien Jigsaw - 05-31-12](#) - Kay Wilson [37]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [15]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ecsedy [19]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - David Rudiak [32]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ecsedy [15]
[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers](#) - Don Ecsedy [21]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [38]
[Carrion's Conversion \[was: Flying Saucers - The](#) - Jerome Clark [34]
[Dating Arnold \[was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest](#) - Michael Tarbell [18]
[Re: Many Coloured 'Fireballs' Reported To NUFORC](#) - Martin Shough [126]
[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers \[was: The Greatest](#) - James Carrion [70]

Jun 2:

[Right Here Right Now](#) - Dave Morton [61]
[Greer Has Raised \\$175,000 For A Documentary About](#) - David Biedny [16]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Gildas Bourdais [18]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [19]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [18]
[Re: Carrion's Conversion](#) - James Carrion [11]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Jerome Clark [23]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Jerome Clark [18]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [77]
[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [17]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [36]
[Re: Dating Arnold \[was: Flying Saucers - The](#) - Don Ecsedy [54]
[Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts](#) - Robert Powell [20]
[Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo](#) - Kathy Kasten [18]
[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [34]
[Re: Carrion's Conversion](#) - Kathy Kasten [30]
[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers](#) - Kathy Kasten [21]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Bruce Maccabee [13]
[Valentine Show On John Ford Affair](#) - John Ford Initiative [53]

[Re: Greer Has Raised \\$175,000 For A Documentary](#) - Michael Naisbitt [19]

[Re: Carrion's Conversion](#) - Jerome Clark [12]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [40]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Jerome Clark [43]

Jun 3:

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [8]

[Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo](#) - Kay Wilson [22]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Martin Shough [158]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Martin Shough [51]

[Santilli & Socorro](#) - Edward Gehrman [63]

[Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]

[Re: Carrion's Conversion](#) - Jerome Clark [16]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Michael Tarbell [22]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Michael Tarbell [25]

[Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle](#) - Kevin Randle [73]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Michael Tarbell [22]

[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers](#) - Kevin Randle [19]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [15]

[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers](#) - James Carrion [57]

Jun 4:

[Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers](#) - Don Ecsedy [36]

[Book 'Real Cowboys Meet Real Aliens'](#) - Philip Mantle [144]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [27]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jack Brewer [89]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ecsedy [50]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Cathy Reason [28]

[Re: Reconciling Impossibles With Observed Phenomena](#) - Ray Dickenson [65]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - James Carrion [35]

Jun 5:

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Jerome Clark [32]

[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Jerome Clark [13]

[Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle](#) - Neil Morris [16]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [27]

Jun 6:

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ledger [15]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [50]

[Aztec Incident by Scott & Suzanne Ramsey](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]

[UFOs And Phone Interference](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [70]

[Kasten's Non-Response \[was: Alien \[MILAB?\]\]](#) - Kay Wilson [16]

[Re: New At The Alien Jigsaw - 05-31-12](#) - Kay Wilson [13]

Jun 7:

[S.P.A.C.E. Contact News - June 2012](#) - Kay Wilson [13]

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Jerome Clark [39]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Martin Shough [10]
[Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told](#) - Don Ledger [14]
[Athens County Ohio](#) - Fred Saluga [20]
['Photographing UFOs'](#) - Chris Beacham [23]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Martin Shough [57]
[Ukrainian UFO Studies](#) - Igor Kalytyuk [34]

Jun 8:

[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Jerome Clark [32]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [13]
[Re: Athens County Ohio](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [10]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [50]
['Space Station' Found On Mars](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [29]
[Did Canadians Analyse Roswell Material?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]
[What Did First Fictional Aliens Look Like?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]
[President Carter & Truth Of So-Called UFOS](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [26]
[Military Blocking Baltic UFO Site](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Michael Tarbell [48]

Jun 9:

[Contacts Abductions & Convictions](#) - Ray Dickenson [59]
[John Ford's Court Date Set - Donations Needed](#) - John Ford Initiative [82]
[Re: Dating Arnold](#) - Don Ecsedy [95]
[Pope On Aliens At The Olympics](#) - Joe McGonagle [27]
[Re: Military Blocking Baltic UFO Site](#) - Gord Heath [62]

Jun 10:

[Re: Pope On Aliens At The Olympics](#) - William Treurniet [53]
[Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET](#) - Victor Viggiani [166]
[Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions](#) - Ray Dickenson [40]

Jun 11:

[This Week At Inexplicata - 11 June 2012](#) - Scott Corrales [553]
[Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions](#) - Gildas Bourdais [18]

Jun 12:

[Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions](#) - Kathy Kasten [13]
[Polygrapher For TV Pilot & UFO Witnesses](#) - Terry W. Colvin [54]
[Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions](#) - Ray Dickenson [50]

Jun 13:

[Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos](#) - Joachim Koch [10]
[Come To Planetfest 2012](#) - Kathy Kasten [40]

Jun 14:

[Alien Earths Could Form Earlier Than Expected](#) - Ray Dickenson [61]

Jun 15:

[Re: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos](#) - Carol Maltby [51]

[110 Potential Drone Bases In U.S.](#) - Kathy Kasten [61]

[Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Kathy Kasten [16]

[Alien Body-Shapes](#) - Ray Dickenson [55]

[Re: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos](#) - Mark Rousell [54]

[Between Two Lies: New Blog](#) - Kay Wilson [22]

[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Don Ledger [9]

[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Jerome Clark [16]

Jun 16:

[Baltic Sea UFO 'Runway'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [38]

[George 'Commander X' Dickson Passes](#) - Richard Smith - John Ford Initiative [87]

[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - [12]

['30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [29]

[The Study Of Climate On Alien Worlds](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [24]

[Voyager I 'This Close' To Leaving Our System](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [31]

[Re: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple](#) - Diana Cammack [14]

[Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [69]

[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - Thiago L. Ticchetti [11]

Jun 17:

[Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET](#) - William Treurniet [59]

[Re: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple](#) - Steve Sawyer [31]

[Another Baltic Sea UFO Picture](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [23]

[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Vincent Boudreau [31]

[What Would Ellen Ripley Say?](#) - Elsie Conner [37]

[Why Aliens Would Defeat The Human Species](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [24]

[Looking For Aliens In All The Wrong Places?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [32]

[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [15]

[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [22]

Jun 18:

[Book - Zones Of Strangeness](#) - Ray Dickenson [72]

[Denocla UFO Paranormal Radio Network Interview](#) - Denis R. Denocla [18]

[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Steve Sawyer [22]

[Uri Geller Goes Forth With UFO Theory](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]

[Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]

[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Don Ledger [21]

Jun 19:

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Gerald O'Connell [15]

[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Kathy Kasten [12]

[Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET](#) - Kathy Kasten [26]

[Re: What Ellen Ripley Say?](#) - Kathy Kasten [10]
[Primordial Soup May Be Alien Concoction](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [40]
[Undersea Object Probably Not A UFO](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [23]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Ray Dickenson [34]
[I Have No Idea What I Saw](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [31]

Jun 20:

[This Week At Inexplicata - 06-19-12](#) - Scott Corrales [64]
[Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery](#) - Vincent Boudreau [31]
[Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET](#) - Kathy Kasten [60]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Gerald O'Connell [28]

Jun 21:

[Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET](#) - William Treurniet [16]

Jun 22:

[Much Info On Official Chilean UFO Site](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [33]
[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - Jack Brewer [32]
['Secret Access: UFOs On The Record'](#) - Dave Haith [88]

Jun 23:

[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - Kentaro Mori [37]

Jun 24:

[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - Thiago L. Ticchetti [14]
[Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle](#) - Edward Gehrman [85]
[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [25]
[Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle](#) - Steven Kaeser [44]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Ray Dickenson [73]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Vincent Boudreau [23]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - David Rudiak [30]
[Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers](#) - Jack Brewer [39]

Jun 25:

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Eleanor White [11]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Ray Dickenson [25]

Jun 26:

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Vincent Boudreau [54]
[Video Shakes Crop Circle Credibility](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [43]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Ray Dickenson [56]
[OZ Researcher Paul B. Norman Passes](#) - John W. Auchetti [129]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Vincent Boudreau [37]
[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - David Rudiak [110]
[Re: OZ Researcher Paul B. Norman Passes](#) - Bruce Maccabee [41]

Jun 27:

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [23]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Ray Dickenson [33]

[A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]

Jun 28:

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - David Rudiak [27]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - William Treurniet [32]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Jerome Clark [12]

[CIA Vet Claims Roswell As ET Crash](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]

Jun 29:

[Re: A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs](#) - Chris Rutkowski [15]

[Re: CIA Vet Claims Roswell As ET Crash](#) - Robert Powell [30]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Albert Baier [29]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Ray Dickenson [40]

[Predictable Nat Geo Anti-UFO 'Documentary'](#) - Dave Haith [53]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Ray Dickenson [51]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Jerome Clark [22]

Jun 30:

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - William Treurniet [11]

[Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?](#) - Jerome Clark [9]

[Open Mic Night At The UFO Trail](#) - Jack Brewer [23]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:44:46 -0500
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:25:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 17:43:51 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>>To: <errol.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 24 May 2012 12:30:12 -0500
>>Subject: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

>>Are alien abductees closer to locating (and hopefully removing)
>>their Alien [MILAB?] Implants?

>><http://tinyurl.com/cxdafx4>

>For a couple of years, while I was part of a mind control on-
>line group, people would send me X-Rays claiming to show an
>implant.

>I was on the staff of the UCLA Department of Pathology and had
>access to technology and people who volunteered to look at the
>X-Rays. In that time, we saw only one X-Ray that showed an
>implant.

>An implant has peculiar properties that easily identify it to be
>an implant. These properties leave no doubt as to what is being
>seen. None of the X-Rays - or should I say photocopies of X-Rays
>showed those properties. And, they would have shown up
>regardless of the fact we were looking at photocopies.

I didn't realize Kathy, that you used to be a board certified
Radiologist.

<http://www.theabr.org/>

Interesting that you don't mention what the "peculiar
properties" are.

Since you didn't have any luck in your implant research and
studies, you'll probably find these two papers of great
interest.

Ron Noel Implant Analysis Report

<http://tinyurl.com/7wh4m6u>

Analysis of an Object Taken From Patient

<http://tinyurl.com/7j2v3ow>

Kay Wilson
www.alienjigsaw.com

Re: Alien [MILAB?] Implants Closer To Detection?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

From: **Kay Wilson** <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:45:54 -0500
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:28:33 -0400
Subject: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

New Blog Post June 2012:

Distortion And Innuendo: Speaking The Language Of The Debunkers
Part I

My latest blog takes both a serious and sometimes comical look
at the debunkers and their tactics, while at the same time
"cleaning up" their distortions and innuendos.

<http://tinyurl.com/7avokce>

Thanks for taking a look,

Kay Wilson
<http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats New/Whats new.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:50:27 -0500
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:31:49 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 08:57:37 -0300
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>I have only one question:

>>>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

><snip>

>>All other arguments are a complete waste of time and only lead
>>to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the history of
>>Ufology and its infighting.

>Yeah, that never happens in intelligence - in any armed force,
>government, police, judicial, science...and a million other
>interests, just in the study of this phenomenon.

>Why waste our time with what we already know.

>You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far. The
>good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

>Produce the goods.

Exactly, Don.

In instances like these, of which there are almost countless examples over the history of ufological discourse (one virtue of being in the despised Old Guard: you've seen it all before, and you know a latest shiny new toy when you see one), somebody conjures up some extraordinary notion, then buttresses and follows it with over-the-top rhetoric and demonization of all doubters, current and potential.

James Carrion would be well advised to can the attacks and to lay out his case in precise fashion, sans the off-putting hyperbole. Only then will we be able to determine if his evidence matches his polemics. So far, the latter is sounding a lot louder than the former, and the pattern is looking dismayingly familiar.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

New At The Alien Jigsaw - 05-31-12

From: **Kay Wilson** <kaywilson.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:46:56 -0500
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:33:23 -0400
Subject: New At The Alien Jigsaw - 05-31-12

New at The Alien Jigsaw

http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats New/Whats_new.html

Preliminary Analysis of Possible Alien Hybrid Hair
by Kay Wilson and Steve G. Colbern

<http://tinyurl.com/7cquckb>

Ron Noel Implant Analysis Report by S. G. Colbern

<http://tinyurl.com/7wh4m6u>

New Photos Have Been Added To The Gallery:
Physical Aftereffects of Abduction

<http://alienjigsaw.com/Gallery/photos.html>

Still Accepting Abduction Experiencer Surveys

<http://tinyurl.com/7r4glpz>

Richard Bonenfant, Ph.D. New Book titled:
Elizabeth Nolan

<http://tinyurl.com/7vn75kp>

Richard Bonenfant, Ph.D. Review of
The Researcher's Supplement

<http://tinyurl.com/8axfx7e>

Richard Bonenfant, Ph.D. Thoughts about
The Alien Jigsaw

http://alienjigsaw.com/Reviews/Book_Reviews.html

<http://tinyurl.com/7rz4nj>

Elsie Conner's blog A New Physics:
Technology Observed

<http://outofdarkness601.blogspot.com/>

A New Book Revealing the Home World of an Alien Species

<http://tinyurl.com/6o78ahe>

Thanks for taking a look,

Kay Wilson
www.alienjigsaw.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:57:56 -0300
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:39:54 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 07:00:00 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 11:50:38 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 08:47:14 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>>Why waste our time with what we already know.

>>>>>You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far.
>>>>>The good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

>>>>>Produce the goods.

>>>>Don,

>>>>You mean the things you already know like your comment on
>>>>Project Seal that you authoritatively stated was already covered
>>>>by Jacques Vallee? Can you produce those goods for me please by
>>>>telling me which of his books that was mentioned in?

>>Somewhere toward the end of Forbidden Science he makes reference
>>to Seal if memory serves.

>Hi, Don,

>Could you be confused about which book?

>Forbidden Science has an index, and there's an entry that covers
>the various projects. Seal isn't listed.

I could, Jerry. I read the book many years ago and am going from
memory. I mentioned it during debate years ago on this List.

If I recall it was the book in which it mentions Donald Menzel's
article in Pravda back in the late 50s or early 60s which I
thought was really strange given the cold war and Menzel's CIA
connections. Do you recall that book?

Jacques would likely remember the project.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:03:52 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:42:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: post.nul <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 05:17:29 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:59:25 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:04:36 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>Why screw up? The carefully done deception kept the world away
>>>from the Roswell story for more than 30 years and would have
>>>gone on for much longer if a TV journalist in Baton Rouge hadn't
>>>been late for her interview with me in 1978.

>>>The station manager then suggested I talk to Jesse Marcel...
>>>"He handled wreckage of one of those flying saucers you are
>>>interested in when he was in the military..."

>>>Changed my life and the lives of many on this List.

>>A question for the "Roswell Experts" on this List. Who in your
>>"expert" opinion do you think ordered the 509th's press release
>>and what do you base that opinion on?

>Colonel Blanchard ordered Lt. Walter Haut to distribute the press
>release on July 8, 1947, according to first hand testimony from
>Walter more than 30 years ago.

>Stan Friedman

United Press, July 9:

"The Roswell announcement came from Col. William H. Blanchard,
commanding officer of the Roswell army air base, who
specifically described the discovery as "a flying disc."

He said the disc had been forwarded to higher headquarters,
presumably the commanding general of the 8th air force at Fort
Worth, Tex.

Blanchard would reveal no further details."

http://www.roswellproof.com/UP_NevadaSJ_July9.html

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 07:53:39 -0700
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:44:55 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:50:27 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 23:53:24 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 18:00:25 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>>>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 16:12:31 +0100
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>I have only one question:

>>>>How, exactly, was this hoax on Kenneth Arnold carried out?

><snip>

>>>>All other arguments are a complete waste of time and only lead
>>>>to downward death spirals to nowhere, i.e. the history of
>>>>Ufology and its infighting.

>Yeah, that never happens in intelligence - in any armed force,
>government, police, judicial, science...and a million other
>interests, just in the study of this phenomenon.

>>Why waste our time with what we already know.

>>You are sure getting a lot of mileage out of nothing so far. The
>>good thing is, you do have Kathy Kasten on your side.

>>Produce the goods.

>Exactly, Don.

>In instances like these, of which there are almost countless
>examples over the history of ufological discourse (one virtue of
>being in the despised Old Guard: you've seen it all before, and
>you know a latest shiny new toy when you see one), somebody
>conjures up some extraordinary notion, then buttresses and
>follows it with over-the-top rhetoric and demonization of all
>doubters, current and potential.

>James Carrion would be well advised to can the attacks and to
>lay out his case in precise fashion, sans the off-putting
>hyperbole. Only then will we be able to determine if his
>evidence matches his polemics. So far, the latter is sounding a
>lot louder than the former, and the pattern is looking
>dismayingly familiar.

Reminds me a bit of all the recent "explanations" for the 1964

Socorro Lonnie Zamora case, from secret balloon project we were supposed to find for ourselves to a hoax carried out by New Mexico Tech students.

The rhetoric by those proposing the theories is indeed eerily the same. The "Old Guard" is lambasted as narrow-minded and dottering, incapable of grasping the brilliance of the new, improved explanation.

There are also never any specifics as to how these alleged hoaxes were carried off. To Martin Shough's simple, direct query as to how exactly the Kenneth Arnold sighting could be explained, James Carrion waved his hands and declared Kenneth Arnold was a "magic trick" and details would be forthcoming in the future.

Recently we were told that Socorro was also a "magic trick" by those clever NMT students and details would be forthcoming. I'm still waiting for the secret to these magic tricks, such as trap doors, curtains, smoke machines, and wires hanging from the sky in the middle of the desert.

There are good reasons why these cases have stood the test of time. The devil is in the details, and those deriding these cases never really have even a remotely plausible explanation that fits the actual details.

Supersonic pelicans anyone?

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:17:18 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 06:46:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Cathy Reason <Cathym.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 18:53:11 +0100
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>>Date: Fri, 11 May 2012 05:42:14 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>Source: James Carrion's Follow The Magic Thread

>><http://tinyurl.com/bqg5x66>

>>Tuesday, May 8, 2012

><snip>

>I find this story rather difficult to understand. "Gardening"
>is supposed to work like this:

>Imagine you are monitoring Enigma traffic from a German naval
>reconnaissance command covering the North Sea. You might
>arrange for a destroyer to sail past Dogger Bank at 1300 hrs on
>July 15. You can then assume that the subsequent Enigma traffic
>will contain the plaintext "destroyer", "Dogger", "1300 hrs" and
>"July 15" because the behavior of the German command will be
>predictable - they are trained to identify destroyers, time and
>place using common terminology, charts and clocks. You can then
>use this information to break the cipher.

>But once you start relying on naive members of the public
>observing mysterious, unfamiliar objects this predictability is
>lost. For example one observer might report:

>"Saw silver objects in sky Tuesday afternoon"

>Another might say:

>"Observed glowing things near mountain, about 4.30 pm"

>While still a third might say:

>"Watched aluminum-colored disks flying at high altitude, sometime
>last week"

>Each observer will generate a different plaintext to describe
>the experience and the result is a potentially open-ended series
>of non-overlapping plaintexts. Now it might be possible to deal
>with this problem using the computers available in the 1940s,
>but it looks like an extraordinarily convoluted and cack-handed
>way of going about things. In fact from a cryptanalyst's point
>of view you are effectively adding additional layers of
>encipherment to the text.

>Cathy

Why would the Soviets encrypt news stories in peacetime?

There were no odds of the Luftwaffe or U-Boats interfering with their delivery. Why not just put the clippings, collected by their embassies and consulates, in a 'diplomatic pouch', and fly them to the Kremlin?

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:41:00 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 07:12:30 -0400
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300
>Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:03:57 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 20:31:33 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>You seem to forget that Brazel showed strange wreckage to his
>neighbors, the Proctors and his other neighbors at the Wade
>General Store in Corona on Saturday July 5. That is where he
>heard about flying saucers and a reward for finding wreckage.
>That is when it was suggested he go to the sherrif's office in
>Roswell which he did on July 6.

How did his neighbors learn about a reward on July 5?

I don't know why they would suggest going to the Chaves County
sheriffs. Lincoln County had a perfectly good Sheriffs office
just down the road in Carrizozo. I'm from Pittsburgh. If my
property were vandalized, would my neighbors suggest I inform
the police in Cleveland?

"Haughts Statement" informs us the rancher didn't have a phone.
I guess it is in there to explain why the rancher didn't report
the object immediately. Weren't there phones in Corona? Why
didn't he call... someone... on the 5th?

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 12:41:51 -0300
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 07:15:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:36:30 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 12:40:03 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>You are using The Coming Of The Saucers as a source document for 1947,
>>>>especially Maury Island.

>>>Absolutely! Arnold's account is key because the events he describes in
>>>his book related to Maury Island are backed up by FBI documents,
>>>newspaper accounts and Air Force investigative documents. In other
>>>words, one can only conclude that regardless of your opinion of Arnold,
>>>he was above all else an honest man.

>>Ah, there we are. Can't dispute the evidence (Mt. Rainier
>>sighting) attack the witness.

>>Classic.

>Don,

>Calling Arnold an honest man is attacking the witness?

Indirectly James. If you want to call him an honest man why bury
it in a sentence that also states:

"In other words, one can only conclude that regardless of
your opinion of Arnold"....

You damn him with faint praise.

You constantly refer to others as hard-core believers. I don't
what I am but hard-core is not one of them. I spend more time
refuting BS theories (like no one else has thought of this
particular possibility and discounted it out of hand because it
just doesn't fit) about these things while not really getting
down to the nitty-gritty.

BTW - I am not questioning your right to bash the phenomenon but
using this arcane, mouldy reasoning such as "the US's ability to
accomplish whatever it puts its hand too' crap that I've been
seeing on these lists for years. The most outstanding of which
is "these things are probably "Top Secret" US experimental
aircraft (I'm waiting for you to roll this one out-BTW) which
has been floated since day one with never an ounce of proof to
support it.

The CIA's ability to do much of anything in 1947 is a case in
point. Why not have a look at their structure back then, their
budgets-good luck because their budgets from '47-60s is a black
hole. Steve Aftergood has been trying to get it for years. FOIAs
refused, etc.

The Maury Island case should be segregated from Arnold's

experience in the Cascades. Maury Island might well have had roots in disinformation and the trusty hands of 6 year old intelligence community in the US.

Above is abbreviated I know - best I can do. Will be out of town for 4 days working.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Carrion's Conversion [was: Flying Saucers - The

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:53:16 -0500
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 07:18:25 -0400
Subject: Carrion's Conversion [was: Flying Saucers - The

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:13:07 -0400
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: post.nul <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 05:17:29 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:59:25 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:04:36 -0300
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>>>Why screw up? The carefully done deception kept the world away
>>>>>from the Roswell story for more than 30 years and would have
>>>>>gone on for much longer if a TV journalist in Baton Rouge hadn't
>>>>>been late for her interview with me in 1978.

>>>>>The station manager then suggested I talk to Jesse Marcel...
>>>>>"He handled wreckage of one of those flying saucers you are
>>>>>interested in when he was in the military..."

>>>>>Changed my life and the lives of many on this List.

>>>>A question for the "Roswell Experts" on this List. Who in your
>>>>"expert" opinion do you think ordered the 509th's press release
>>>>and what do you base that opinion on?

>>>Colonel Blanchard ordered Lt. Walter Haut to distribute the press
>>>release on July 8,1947, according to first hand testimony from
>>>Walter more than 30 years ago.

>Carrion isn't the first person to jump from the fence to one
>side or the other in this field, and I've seen most researchers
>sway one way or the other as time goes on. But his quick
>transition from newly installed International Director of MUFON
>to someone who has it all figured out is somewhat incredulous.

I agree, Steve, with the point. Carrion's conversion is
dizzily swift. His desire to depict everybody who hasn't
followed him there as intellectually corrupt, stupid, dogmatic,
blind, deaf, or whatever (choose your favorite unflattering
adjective) is, at the least, unbecoming. Better for him to rein
it in and to concentrate on writing up the most compelling
version of his case he's able to construct, and then the rest of
us can make our own judgments.

I've been at this since the early 1960s. Over the decades I've
changed my mind about a number of things, and not a day passes
that I'm not weighing and pondering in the face of new
information. I am struck, for example, at how my thoughts
concerning such fundamental matters as abductions and

crash/retrieval claims have evolved from my first exposure to them and on to the present, where I hold views I would have found unimaginable or objectionable back then. Right now I'm reading John Alexander's very interesting book and finding his contribution intriguing and thought-provoking, helping me to clarify my own impressions of what we're up against in this very difficult enterprise.

Thus, I try to stay modest in the face of new claims that aren't at least obviously crazy or rooted in conspiracy theory or demonology. I encourage others to do the same. We're dealing with phenomena of extraordinary complexity, well beyond current knowledge to all appearances. That being the case, provisional hypotheses are to be preferred to ones cast in concrete.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 07:21:08 -0400
Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest

>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ecsedy<don.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>What is really of interest here - and correct me if I am wrong -
>>but in 1947 all of the official statements and all the parties
>>interviewed, including Arnold, said he had left for Tacoma on
>>the 30th, not the 29th. It is only in The Coming Of The Saucers
>>that Arnold says it was the 29th.

>>So, where did the Air Force and the Navy get July 29th?

>Excuse me for butting in, but this is an interesting point. I
>hadn't noticed it before. You seem to be right, e.g. the FBI
>interview report from SAC Butte does say that Arnold told him he
>"left for Tacoma" on July 30, whereas Arnold's book gives July
>29. Given that Arnold was writing several years later and was
>not immune to other small date errors we should probably give
>the benefit of the doubt to the near-contemporary documents.

If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part
series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at
saturdaynightuforia.com,

<http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

which goes into great detail about this and other
'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the
Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury
Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due
to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent
complicity in their publication.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Many Coloured 'Fireballs' Reported To NUFORC

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 17:36:45 +0100
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 07:28:46 -0400
Subject: Re: Many Coloured 'Fireballs' Reported To NUFORC

>From: Peter Davenport <director.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:09:07 -0700
>Subject: Many Coloured 'Fireballs' Reported To NUFORC

<snip>

>I have called this phenomenon to the attention of the List in
>months past, but seemingly to little avail. However, the
>phenomenon continues, and the reports of the "fireballs" seem to
>be on the increase.

I checked the NUFORC site for the exciting May 19 case updates
which Peter told us would be there yesterday. As I write they
still are not. But I expect more of the same, the same being
this:

[Begin Quote]

MORE SIGHTINGS OF RED, ORANGE, AND YELLOW "FIREBALLS=E2=80=9D

We have addressed this issue almost continuously over the last ten
months and it appears to us to be occurring unabated. Some people have
suggested that the objects that are being seen and reported are so-called
"Chinese lanterns, but we do not accept that this theory can explain any
but a small fraction of the reports we have received. We provide links
below to several of the recent reports of these "fireballs":

HELSINKI, FINLAND, APRIL 29, 2012, @ 21:20 HRS. - Two adult witnesses
observe eight yellow lights move quickly over the city. Full Report See
image at right. Hi Res #1 Hi Res #2 Hi Res #3

SANDY, UTAH, MAY 1ST, 2012, @ 21:30 HRS. - Two adults witness a string of
orange lights above the Wasatch Mountains in the eastern sky. Full Report

JAMESTOWN, NORTH CAROLINA, MAY 04TH, 2012, @ 00:38 HRS. - A man who was
returning from work witnessed a peculiar orange glowing light in the night
sky. Full Report

SANTA FE, TEXAS, MAY 4TH, 2012, @ 22:25 HRS. - A law enforcement officer
witnesses seven orange-colored lights maneuver in the night sky. Full
Report

[End Quote]

At least three of these falls within the spread of reported
behaviours typical of lanterns. That is not a proof that they
are lanterns, but it is a good prima facie reason why they could
be, and it is up to the claimant (Peter Davenport) to provide
substantial reasoned argument or evidence to support his
announcement that "we do not accept this theory".

I think some investigators still don't appreciate the sheer
volume of these things sold and I have the feeling that the US
is still less aware of them as an issue than the UK and other
European countries have been for some years now. There are
periodic attempts to ban sales in several countries, recently in
Spain, and a UK govt e-petition was started last year. Farmers

and conservationists are regularly up in arms about the fire risks and dangers to wildlife. Hundreds of UFO reports have been generated. So this is a very visible and by-now hum-drum issue.

Here's a fairly typical news story from over 2 years ago:

[Begin Quote]

Sky Lanterns, a leading supplier in Britain, said it was looking at modifying designs to make its lanterns safer and stop firms selling ones containing metal.

James Cameron, managing director of The Glow Company, a British retailer, said lantern sales had nearly quadrupled in the past year.

In 2008, the Doncaster-based firm sold 29,000 Chinese lanterns, rising to 100,000 last year, with sales peaking in July.

Mr Cameron said: "They have become very fashionable in the past 18 months, helped by the buzz created by reports of UFO sightings which later transpired to be Chinese lanterns.

"We understand the concerns of farmers and are stopping using wire in our products in favour of fire retardant string."

The rise in sales in Britain and an increasingly competitive marketplace have seen prices fall from around £35 per lantern to £32 on average.

BIS said it did not have plans to ban Chinese lanterns in Britain but was "carefully considering" complaints from farmers.

[End Quote]

Of course they are still going strong. Think about those numbers. 100,000 'Chinese' or 'Thai lanterns' sold by one company in the UK in 2010 alone! Every one of them a potential UFO. And we now have a lot of collective experience in how these things are perceived and reported as UFOs. Is it any wonder that some of us are underwhelmed by Peter's repeated excitement when peaks of orange-blob sightings come around every so often - very typically around times like New Year and July 4th?

If it turns out to be true that there was a non-random peak of such reports on May 19th (as Peter implies), there may be a cultural explanation.

For one example, the Marine Conservation Society recently expressed concern about increased use of Thai or Chinese lanterns in the run up to the Olympics. The manufacturers have of course grabbed the opportunity:

[Begin Quote]

Chinese Lanterns for the Wedding season - Sky Lanterns for the Jubilee and Olympics

There are so many occasions where Sky Lanterns are perfect and this year there are two new fantastic opportunities to use them....They will create the perfect atmosphere for a special evening and will be a talking point for weeks after. Mix in with some coloured Sky Lanterns - red, white and blue of course to make the display even more special.

Next, of course, is the Olympics....

<http://tinyurl.com/822b5zx>

[End Quote]

I note that Peter Davenport's May 19 hot-spot date was the start of the Olympic Torch relay - across Britain - which may only be coincidence.

Another possible coincidence is that Saturday May 19th in the US

was the day of the 'Washington, D.C.-Bangkok Sister City Jubilee Celebration 2012'

<http://tinyurl.com/7m7qr5g>

in the middle of Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month, which is "a celebration of the history, contributions, and culture of Americans from Asia and the Pacific Islands" (who make up 5.6% of the US population) encompassing public festivals across the country in cities including Chicago, Los Angeles, Washington, San Francisco, Pittsburgh and New York City:

<http://tinyurl.com/7aaq44h>

and was marked by this Presidential Proclamation from Barack Obama on May 1:

<http://tinyurl.com/84yeykm>

Do these events increase the likelihood of the type of outdoor celebration at which Thai lanterns might be released on Washington-Bangkok Sister City Jubilee day? I don't know, but it's a line of inquiry.

Peter's complaint (repeated multiple times now) that no one takes any notice of his drifting fireballs is unreasonable and untrue. Each time I (for one) have responded by examining the reports and videos that he recommends and making quite detailed comments on them with specific and often quantitative observations, inviting debate =E2=80=93 invitations which he religiously ignores. I will not be wasting any more time.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 14:26:31 -0300
Archived: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 07:35:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300
>Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>James, I really can't follow your reasoning. I think you are starting with
>a false premise namely that the US was very worried in 1947 about a Stalin
>attack.

>_Not_ true.

Stan,

Please read the declassified Top Secret memo dated August 24, 1946 from Vandenberg to Truman that I located in the Truman library to understand what was being discussed at the top re. fears of Soviet aggression. The fears were real and not imagined or contrived. Here's the link:

<http://tinyurl.com/7o72hnu> [.pdf]

>You seem to forget that Brazel showed strange wreckage to his
>neighbors, the Proctors and his other neighbors at the Wade
>General Store in Corona on Saturday July 5. That is where he
>heard about flying saucers and a reward for finding wreckage.

>That is when it was suggested he go to the sherrif's office in
>Roswell which he did on July 6.

>Major Marcel examined the wreckage, told his boss and was sent
>out to Brazel's ranch because there was so much of it. He was
>told to take a CIC man with him. His worry was who was spying on
>the 509th.

>Are you really suggesting there was no strange wreckage in the
>big debris field on the ranch? So everybody was lying including
>the rancher, his son, Marcel and his son, the Proctors, the
>people in Corona, the sheriff and his family?

>Why was Brazel picked up on his ranch and brought back to town
>and fed a new story by the Army?

Nope, something was found and I am not disputing that, but if you are going to admit into evidence something was found on the Brazel ranch, you should not ignore the other "crashed saucers" that occurred at the same time as Roswell, you know the ones that were too small to hold alien bodies:

- The one found July 7 by Norman Hargrave on a beach in Texas that was 20 inches in diameter. The one that allegedly had written on it: "Military secret of the United States of America Army Air Forces M 4330657. Anyone damaging or revealing description or whereabouts of this missile is subject to prosecution by the U.S. Government. Call collect at once LD446, Army Air Forces Depot, Spokane, Washington." The same one that Hargrave then changes his mind about and says it was all a hoax.

ET proponents readily chalk it up as a hoax but don't bother to

ask themselves why the alleged message mentions Spokane, Washington instead of an Air Force Base in Texas? Or how would a businessman in Texas know enough about the AAF to know Spokane Army Air Forces Depot belonged to Twining's Air Material command (AMC)? The AMC in 1947 was responsible for research and development and logistics until 1950, when research and development was split off into a separate organization, the Air Research and Development Command.

- The July 7 object that disintegrated over West Rindge, NH reported by E.A. Soucy, SAC of the FBI at Boston (probably the same Mr. Soucy who met or telephoned Hoyt Vandenberg on July 11 per Vandenberg's Calendar).

Soucy's report indicated that:

"LITTLE BLAZES had been started on a green lawn. Fires were apparently caused by metallic fragments which were turned over to (name deleted) of MIT... (name deleted) has advised that their general (deleted) appearance are /sic/ similar to the lining of /V dash two/V-2 bombs, which he had observed at New Mexico. A metallurgist at MIT said it might have been from a jet turbo plane. At present time he is in process of analyzing two of these pieces. These scientists in roughly reconstructing the metallic object state it was approximately fourteen inches in diameter, three /2-/ sixteenths of an inch thick, and machine tooled, and some fragments indicated they were burned and SCOREOUT appeared to have been subjected to terrific heat. These scientists are treating this matter as classified information." SOUCY

Interesting that we have three "crashed saucers" all over the US on or prior to July 7. Two of the three are ignored by the ET Proponents or simply discounted.

>Yes, I worked hard to find DuBose and meet with him. He took the >call from Ramey's boss general McMullen saying to get the press >off their backs, send wreckage up to Washington that day with >one of his Colonel couriers, and never talk about it again. I >had no idea what he would say.

>Is this all fantasy and lies?

Not at all. The "facts" fit just as well for my theory as they do for yours.

>I had been lecturing about Flying Saucers since 1967. I didn't >need Roswell, or any story I couldn't back up with facts.

>Stan Friedman

You mean like the facts you ignored from the email I sent you about the whereabouts of Spaatz between July 7 - 11, 1947? Here's the article on my website to refresh your memory:

<http://tinyurl.com/755semt>

Nothing like being in charge of the brand spanking new US Air Force and have not only a watershed event in human history occur on your watch while you are out fishing but then have your newly minted military service wet itself with an embarrassing news gaffe.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Right Here Right Now

From: **Dave Morton** <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 16:41:25 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 06:37:45 -0400
Subject: Right Here Right Now

James,

A) Explain the Ramey memo, right here, right now. Third request.

That's the document that David Rudiak enhanced and analyzed. The memo that General Ramey was holding in his hand in July of 1947 while posing for a photo in his office.

Total word count in the memo: Around 100 words, counting everything - the text body, the address, and the handwritten notes.

I've seen notes to kids from the parents, almost as long.

It's such a simple request. A tiny request.

B) If you can't accomplish that task (and I know you can't), please do this one.

It's even shorter:

Explain the 2 phrases:

1. THE VICTIMS OF THE WRECK.
2. AVIATORS IN THE "DISC".

That's only 9 (nine) words.

I've made it simple and easy for you. Explain 2 phrases of 9 words, total.

C) If you can't do that, try this super-easy one:

Explain one word in the memo:

VICTIMS.

There. I've made it ultra-simple and easy for you.

Explain 1 word: VICTIMS.

I can't make it any easier than that.

One word. Just one. Uno. Ein. Ichi.

I'm confident that it will be too tough for you.

James, explain that one word, right here, right now. Not later, not somewhere else, not over there, not tomorrow, not next Tuesday. Right here, right now.

You can do task A or task B or task C.

This is the time and the place.

This is your moment to shine or fail miserably.

This is why the rest of us are here: Intelligent discourse.

Certain outcome: You will fail, as you always do.

Why do I keep saying "You will fail"? Because you always do. When it comes to the flying saucers of 1947, everything you've said is a failure to explain them. You throw out accusations but have nothing to back them up.

I've penciled-in your grade as "F".

The inked version will be given in 3 days when you've had enough time to fail to complete any one of the tasks.

In fact, every grade I have listed for you is an "F". Your GPA is 0.0 .. Remember the movie "Animal House"? "Mr. Blutarsky: Zero point zero." At least John Belushi was funny...

I have recommended to the Dean that you drop out of this college immediately. You should receive a letter from him, shortly. Dean Wormer is not smiling.

Or was that your whole shtick? Is this just a comedy? Is UFO UpDates just your crazy frat house for having Toga Parties?

Never mind, James. Just party on. When it comes to flying saucers, you don't have any idea what

Dave Morton

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Greer Has Raised \$175,000 For A Documentary About

From: David Biedny <dbiedny.nul>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 18:13:00 -0400
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 06:39:09 -0400
Subject: Greer Has Raised \$175,000 For A Documentary About

Folks,

Greer just keeps working it:

<https://vimeo.com/43101753>

And it appears that he has somehow become connected to Douglass Trumbull, which I find truly sad, and I'm thinking of reaching out to a close mutual friend and warning him about Greer and his questional reputation and motives.

Just thought the list would find this... interesting.

dB

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Gildas Bourdais** <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 11:20:57 +0200
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 07:48:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:48:04 -0300
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 11:39:38 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>James,

>>There are differing opinions about the press release, among
>>which a mere blunder of Colonel Blanchard who, in spite of that
>>blunder, later became a four star General. But according to
>>Walter Haut, he would never >have done that without a direct
>>order.

>>So, I stick to my version.

>>But let's test yours again. According to you, there was no UFO
>>at all. The press release and the balloon explanation were part
>>of a highly sophisticated scenario to make the Russians believe
>>that these flying saucers were an American super weapon. A
>>weird super weapon which crashed and explained as a confusion
>>with a balloon. Great scenario for the Russians, indeed! Sorry,
>>that's total nonsense to me.

>>There is another question, this time about you. What I cannot
>>grasp, is how you could become international director of MUFON.

>>Gildas Bourdais

>It makes no sense to you because you don't understand anything
>about Intelligence Deception operations. At the same time you
>ignore my challenge to float your accepted theory by a military
>historian or intelligence historian. Are you afraid of what you
>may find out? Or perhaps your mind is so made up that nothing,
>not even the truth, will change it.

James,

It's my turn to ask: do you know military or intelligence
historians willing to buy your Great Lie story? Please give us
names.

>As to how I became the International Director of MUFON, I guess
>what you are really saying is how could I have achieved that
>position if I am not a diehard believer. If you read MUFON's
>mission statement, it is "the scientific investigation of UFOs
>for the benefit of humanity". When it comes to science, belief
>should not factor in.

>I left MUFON because the MUFON Board of Directors, like you, are
>also a bunch of diehard believers that will not accept a non-ET
>theory, even if focused on the specific timeframe of June -
>August of 1947. Or as Clifford Clift, former International
>MUFON Director so succinctly put it: "James left MUFON because

>the truth he was seeking was not the truth the MUFON Board of
>Directors was seeking."

>>From what I have experienced so far posting on this List, these
>same two standards of truth play out here as well.

For my part, I try to apply only one standard of truth: well
documented, studied and analysed facts.

From that viewpoint, your Roswell story falls apart, like
several others, recently. Such as the Body Snatchers, or Stalin
and Dr Mengele.

I am not surprised that you had to leave MUFON.

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:39:24 -0300
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 08:11:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:50:27 -0500
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>Exactly, Don.

>In instances like these, of which there are almost countless
>examples over the history of ufological discourse (one virtue of
>being in the despised Old Guard: you've seen it all before, and
>you know a latest shiny new toy when you see one), somebody
>conjures up some extraordinary notion, then buttresses and
>follows it with over-the-top rhetoric and demonization of all
>doubters, current and potential.

>James Carrion would be well advised to can the attacks and to
>lay out his case in precise fashion, sans the off-putting
>hyperbole. Only then will we be able to determine if his
>evidence matches his polemics. So far, the latter is sounding a
>lot louder than the former, and the pattern is looking
>dismayingly familiar.

>Jerry Clark

Jerry,

Perhaps you can read over all of my posts and cite one instance where I have personally attacked any one. If I am guilty of anything, it is simply of presenting an alternative theory and defending that view point. As for the proof you all keep demanding, I have already presented documented evidence of a disinformation campaign in the guise of Project Seal, but ho-hum, yawn, it is not an ET explanations, so it bores you. Any other evidence I present here will be treated the same way. I am wasting my time here and we all know it.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:44:54 -0300
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 08:12:52 -0400
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:17:18 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Why would the Soviets encrypt news stories in peacetime?

>There were no odds of the Luftwaffe or U-Boats interferring
>with their delivery. Why not just put the clippings, collected
>by their embassies and consulates, in a 'diplomatic pouch', and
>fly them to the Kremlin?

>Regards,

>Don

Don,

Take a look at the Wikipedia Article on the Army Security Agency/NSA's Project Shamrock. The Russians thought their encryption was unbreakable and therefore heavily used Commercial Telegraph carriers for most of their communications. RCA, ITT and Western Union provided the ASA/NSA with copies of all of these outgoing telegram's from 1945 on. Project Venona was the ASA/NSA project that worked on painstakingly breaking the code. Venona was also the project that exposed the extents of Soviet espionage in the US.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Carrion's Conversion

From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 09:17:50 -0300
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 08:23:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Carrion's Conversion

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:53:16 -0500
>Subject: Carrion's Conversion [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>I agree, Steve, with the point. Carrion's conversion is
>dizzily swift. His desire to depict everybody who hasn't
>followed him there as intellectually corrupt, stupid, dogmatic,
>blind, deaf, or whatever (choose your favorite unflattering
>adjective) is, at the least, unbecoming. Better for him to rein
>it in and to concentrate on writing up the most compelling
>version of his case he's able to construct, and then the rest of
>us can make our own judgments.

>I've been at this since the early 1960s. Over the decades I've
>changed my mind about a number of things, and not a day passes
>that I'm not weighing and pondering in the face of new
>information. I am struck, for example, at how my thoughts
>concerning such fundamental matters as abductions and
>crash/retrieval claims have evolved from my first exposure to
>them and on to the present, where I hold views I would have
>found unimaginable or objectionable back then. Right now I'm
>reading John Alexander's very interesting book and finding his
>contribution intriguing and thought-provoking, helping me to
>clarify my own impressions of what we're up against in this very
>difficult enterprise.

>Thus, I try to stay modest in the face of new claims that
>aren't at least obviously crazy or rooted in conspiracy theory
>or demonology. I encourage others to do the same. We're dealing
>with phenomena of extraordinary complexity, well beyond current
>knowledge to all appearances. That being the case, provisional
>hypotheses are to be preferred to ones cast in concrete.

>Jerry Clark

Jerry,

My conversion was not dizzyingly swift. It came over 14 years but
accelerated during the 3 years I spent as the MUFON Director.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Dating Arnold

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:46:03 -0500
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 08:25:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600
>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>To:<[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Don Ecsedy<don.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](#)>
>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>What is really of interest here - and correct me if I am wrong -
>>>but in 1947 all of the official statements and all the parties
>>>interviewed, including Arnold, said he had left for Tacoma on
>>>the 30th, not the 29th. It is only in The Coming Of The Saucers
>>>that Arnold says it was the 29th.

>>>So, where did the Air Force and the Navy get July 29th?

>>Excuse me for butting in, but this is an interesting point. I
>>hadn't noticed it before. You seem to be right, e.g. the FBI
>>interview report from SAC Butte does say that Arnold told him he
>>"left for Tacoma" on July 30, whereas Arnold's book gives July
>>29. Given that Arnold was writing several years later and was
>>not immune to other small date errors we should probably give
>>the benefit of the doubt to the near-contemporary documents.

>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part
>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at
>saturdaynightuforia.com,

><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>which goes into great detail about this and other
>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the
>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury
>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due
>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent
>complicity in their publication.

I don't. Nor should anyone else. I knew Arnold, and his naivete
about Palmer lingered to the end of his days.

Arnold was an old-fashioned straight-shooter, Western variety.
Not just my opinion, of course. It was a view shared by those
who knew him, which gives them a step up on those for whom he is
no more than a target in print.

As I was working on the Maury Island entry for the encyclopedia
and examining the relevant materials, it occurred to me that
Arnold's honesty worked against him. He couldn't imagine that
anyone else wouldn't be as he was.

As for the belated effort to smear Arnold, it's just another sad
example of how ufologists love to eat their own. The examples

Re: Dating Arnold

are too numerous to count. (Travis Walton and Jesse Marcel come immediately to mind.) Sometimes it leads me to the sorry conclusion that no one ever should report a UFO sighting to us.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Dating Arnold

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:54:54 -0500
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 09:08:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600
>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

<snip>

>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part
>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at
>saturdaynightuforia.com,

><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>which goes into great detail about this and other
>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the
>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury
>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due
>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent
>complicity in their publication.

Actually, I would be surprised if Arnold ever read the book.
Arnold was not a reader of anything not directly related to
business.

He simply trusted Ray Palmer to take care of things, which is
why he made the mistake of passing on a book offer from
Doubleday and taking Palmer's assurances that they should co-
author (or at least co-byline) a book on their own. Arnold never
saw a cent from The Coming of the Saucers. Amazingly, even so,
he didn't hold a grudge against Palmer for that.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 11:03:15 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 09:11:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600
>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Don Ecsedy<don.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>What is really of interest here - and correct me if I am wrong -
>>>but in 1947 all of the official statements and all the parties
>>>interviewed, including Arnold, said he had left for Tacoma on
>>>the 30th, not the 29th. It is only in The Coming Of The Saucers
>>>that Arnold says it was the 29th.

>>>So, where did the Air Force and the Navy get July 29th?

>>Excuse me for butting in, but this is an interesting point. I
>>hadn't noticed it before. You seem to be right, e.g. the FBI
>>interview report from SAC Butte does say that Arnold told him he
>>"left for Tacoma" on July 30, whereas Arnold's book gives July
>>29. Given that Arnold was writing several years later and was
>>not immune to other small date errors we should probably give
>>the benefit of the doubt to the near-contemporary documents.

>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part
>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at
>saturdaynightuforia.com,

><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>which goes into great detail about this and other
>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the
>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury
>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due
>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent
>complicity in their publication.

>Mike

After several days of back and forth online comments with the
author of those articles, I came away with the sense the author
has... what's a good word for it?... an ideological distaste for
Arnold which determines his putting the worst possible
interpretation on anything Arnold wrote. Regarding Arnold's
telegram of August 31, 1947, to Springer asking if there was any
objection to him publishing an article, Arnold wrote:

"I have offer to sell my detailed account of an investigation of
flying disk that took place in Tacoma Washington July 29 thru
August 3rd... "

His opinion is that Arnold "was excited" when he wrote that.

When I pointed out the entry on Arnold in the AF "Analysis", both in 1948 and 1949:

"h. On 29 July 1947, Kenneth Arnold, while flying near Tacoma, Washington, reported a formation of flying objects. The sketch of their shape corresponds closely to that shown in the photographs made by Mr. Rhoads [sic]. On the same day, two U.S. Air Force pilots at Hamilton Field reported two flying disks trailing a P-80, following it toward Oakland, California."

He replied that dates are often wrong in these documents (and I agree) and indicated other wrong dates, but he did not address the issue of the confounding of the Cascades and Maury Island, and, again, why the 29th of all possible wrong dates?

Both Smith and Arnold refer to Major Sander as S-2 at McChord Field, while the AF, FBI, and the press refer to him as the McChord PIO. The author's comment was Smith and Arnold seemed to think anyone in uniform in military intelligence. At that point, it didn't seem worth my time to ask what he thought a PIO was doing in a field investigation of the deaths of two CIC agents and the men they had spent their last remaining hours with. I didn't address, as it seemed too far off-topic, other officers involved in project saucer who seemd to wear several hats, including public relations.

All the responses I was getting from him were along the "plausibility" line that we are familiar with from inattentive skeptics -- menzelization, hynekizing.

His comment regarding Arnold's story of being welcome at Hamilton and being given prints of the Rhodes photographs was that he could not imagine the AF wanting to have anything to do with Arnold after Tacoma, much less invite him to Hamilton and give him photographs. When I proved to him Arnold had the photographs in 1950, he ended the conversation with:

"If you simply refuse to believe what was said by Capt. Smith, Ted Morello, Paul Lantz, and -- ironically -- Arnold himself in Fate magazine, then there's nothing further I'm willing to reply (frankly, my time is limited and very valuable to me)."

Yet, I had never disputed those things with him, but I'd broken the surface tension of his strawman 'Arnold' by proving something in The Coming Of The Saucers which is unrelated to either the Cascades or Tacoma. Arnold had told the truth about something in that book. That he did so, and because the author of the series could not acknowledge it, but danced away, indicates to me he has a lot invested in doing a Project Grudge on Arnold.

In light of the abobe, I question his stated objectivity and lack of agenda.

In 1950, Arnold self-published The Flying Saucer As I Saw It. In the pages I have seen, there are three reproductions of photographic prints of the William A. Rhodes object. I don't know if he had the fourth. Two of them were not available to the public until declassification of PBB (they are not copied from newspapers) and one of them has not been published elsewhere to my knowledge. These are the prints that Bruce Macabee said he saw in an original of the Analysis pasted onto pages in the report.

They can be seen here, the last set of images:

<http://www.foreshadower.net/rhodes-photographs/>

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 12:24:50 -0300
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 09:15:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:41:00 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300
>>Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>>>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:03:57 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>You seem to forget that Brazel showed strange wreckage to his
>>neighbors, the Proctors and his other neighbors at the Wade
>>General Store in Corona on Saturday July 5. That is where he
>>heard about flying saucers and a reward for finding wreckage.
>>That is when it was suggested he go to the sherrif's office in
>>Roswell which he did on July 6.

>How did his neighbors learn about a reward on July 5?

>I don't know why they would suggest going to the Chaves County
>sheriffs. Lincoln County had a perfectly good Sheriffs office
>just down the road in Carrizozo. I'm from Pittsburgh. If my
>property were vandalized, would my neighbors suggest I inform
>the police in Cleveland?

>"Haughts Statement" informs us the rancher didn't have a phone.
>I guess it is in there to explain why the rancher didn't report
>the object immediately. Weren't there phones in Corona? Why
>didn't he call... someone... on the 5th?

Brazel didn't get a newspaper or have electricity. Roswell was
next to the Military and Lincoln was not. The rewards story was
in the newspaper seen by people in Corona.

Who would Brazel have called about a reward? He would have to
provide pieces... Hard to do over the phone. His ranch was
closer to Roswell than it was to Lincoln or Carrizozo... No
mountains in between either. The General store was in Corona...
Years later his son Bill lived in Carrizozo.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 13:10:47 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 09:18:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600
>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>To:<post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Don Ecsedy<don.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>which goes into great detail about this and other
>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the
>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury
>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due
>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent
>complicity in their publication.

>Mike

It can be too easy to implicate Palmer. Arnold was not an academic, and intellectual. His writing is casual, conversational, and expressive, on occasion hyperbolic. Taken flatly, literally, some things he wrote are absurd. His expressiveness is not 'cosmopolitan', but regional and local, and also specialized to his career and interests. He is innocent of irony. He seems to have been unaware of it and seems to have spent most of his life conversing with people pretty much like himself.

It's possible Palmer created Arnold arriving in Tacoma on the 29th because he needed an alternative to putting upfront paragraphs of exposition of the backstory. A better literary device is to have the backstory told by the protagonists to the reader. That's what happens in Arnold's room on the night of the 29th.

Then there's the mystery reservation at the Winthrop Hotel. Is it possible Arnold made the reservation himself at the time he called inquiring about a room, rather than Palmer making up a mysterious occurrence? Arnold wrote:

"I was quite shocked when I spoke to the room clerk and heard him say, "Yes, Mr. Arnold, we have a room and bath for you."

The clerk doesn't say there was a reservation for Kenneth Arnold. He does indicate, though, the Winthrop had a vacancy. Thus, Arnold reserved the room himself, and now there was a reservation for Kenneth Arnold.

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The

From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 16:02:51 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 09:31:36 -0400
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ecsedy <don.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>So, we know this is not referring to Arnold's report of sighting
>>the amber or bronze colored small disks, but the Cascades
>>sighting of June 24, 1947.

>>What is really of interest here - and correct me if I am wrong -
>>but in 1947 all of the official statements and all the parties
>>interviewed, including Arnold, said he had left for Tacoma on
>>the 30th, not the 29th. It is only in The Coming of the Saucers
>>that Arnold says it was the 29th.

>>So, where did the Air Force and the Navy get July 29th?

>Hello Don

>Excuse me for butting in, but this is an interesting point. I
>hadn't noticed it before. You seem to be right, e.g. the FBI
>interview report from SAC Butte does say that Arnold told him he
>"left for Tacoma" on July 30, whereas Arnold's book gives July
>29. Given that Arnold was writing several years later and was
>not immune to other small date errors we should probably give
>the benefit of the doubt to the near-contemporary documents.

I do. However, if another July 29th reference turns up, I'm
going to have to consider it is a pattern rather than random
mistakes. We already have Arnold's telegram to Col. Springer of
August 31, 1947, as well as the Analysis.

>IMO this is made surer by the fact that, whichever day it was,
>he flew out very early (5:30 am he said), so early that he
>couldn't get fuel at Boise and would have to stop over at La
>Grande to top up (which he did) before going on via Chehalis to
>Tacoma. This was a significant fact for him in relation to the
>odd business of the Tacoma hotel room because it convinced him
>that nobody could have seen him leave Boise (and he had
>advertised no plan to do so). So this seems well-established.
>But he wrote a letter to Palmer (covering transmittal of a copy
>of his AF letter) dated July 29, when supposedly he would have
>been in the air en route to Tacoma. The flight to Tacoma took
>all day (even starting at 5:30 he didn't make Barry's Airport
>until dusk, he said) and would leave no time for typing or
>posting letters. So it would make sense that he wrote to Palmer
>from home on July 29 and left for Tacoma the next morning.

I haven't researched Tacoma. Please correct any mistakes I make.
I knew I'd have to, though, because I have been researching
Rhodes and there is a Tacoma 'sidebar' to it.

Did he write he made the decision while in Chehalis to go on to Tacoma that night? In another post I've offered a different reading of the mystery reservation at the Winthrop. Arnold made the reservation himself when he first called the Winthrop. They had a vacancy. He had been awake and flying since 5:30am, and probably a bit disoriented (as well as dirty and hungry). It is not simply his recollection that might be off, but the immediate experience itself at the time didn't quite register with him.

>As for where the Analysis of Flying Object Incidents got July 29
>from, I suspect this is just a mistaken association :

>"On 29 July 1947, Kenneth Arnold, while flying near Tacoma,
>Washington, reported a formation of flying objects. The sketch
>of their shape corresponds closely to that shown in the
>photographs made by Mr. Rhoads. On the same day, two U.S. Air
>Force pilots at Hamilton Field reported two flying disks
>trailing a P-80, following it toward Oakland, California."

>I know of no drawing made by Arnold of the La Grande "ducks".
>This appears to refer to the June 24 sighting sketch, as you
>suggest, as does the reference to the Rhodes photos which we
>know were compared by Arnold to his objects. But that sighting
>was not "near Tacoma". However Tacoma was where the Rhodes photo
>was shown to Arnold by Brown & Davidson who came from Hamilton
>Field.

Arnold was shown a sketch which, he wrote, Brown and Davidson said was based on photos taken in Phoenix recently and kept at Hamilton. Interestingly, Emil Smith to the FBI reports this as Arnold being excited about a "freak disc" a woman in Phoenix had sighted.

>I suspect someone just misread the file (along with William
>Rhodes' name) and, having conceived a mistaken association with
>the Hamilton Field incident because of the Brown & Davidson
>connection and the near-miss date, bracketed a bogus reference
>to Arnold in with the Hamilton Field case of July 29, which was
>a significant Blue Book unknown (#69 in the final tally) and
>very influential inside ATIC at the time, as well as becoming a
>major public case (another at the same base on the previous day
>also made the papers).

>Martin Shough

It's quite a mash-up of three cases, Mt Ranier, Maury Island, Hamilton Field, with a reference to a fourth case, Rhodes, and putting us in mind of a fifth sighting, Arnold's small disks, as well as the sighting at Hamilton the previous day, for 60 words.

The misspelling of Rhodes as Rhoads goes back to the first record in the file, CIC agent Aldrich's report. The spelling vanishes from the files until Ruppelt's time, but it is always 'Rhoads' in the Analysis. I think it is an example of a pattern, and not random mistakes. The traces of earlier documents in reports gives us an idea what records were available to that person at that time.

Did the FBI or the AF take a statement from Arnold in August of 1947 about Tacoma? I was just browsing Wilcox's report. At the bottom of page 7, it mentions Arnold, following a report on Crisman and Dahl and a paragraph on the crash,

"A check of the records of the Winthrop Hotel in Tacoma, Washington revealed that [] giving his address as [] Mountain View Drive, Boise, Idaho rented room 502 from July 30th, 7:43 P.M. until..."

And flip to the next page and the entire paragraph is blacked out, maybe ten lines, I'd guess. Then begins the material from Emil Smith.

What could there have been following "...until" that required that much india ink?

Regards,

Don
<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

From: Robert Powell <rpowell.nul>
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2012 16:11:50 -0500
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 09:33:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts

>From: Michael Tarbell<mtarbell.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Fri, 25 May 2012 09:58:57 -0600
>Subject: Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>>From: Robert Powell<rpowell.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:17:50 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>>>From: Don Ledger<dledger.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Tue, 22 May 2012 11:47:08 -0300
>>>Subject: Mystery Object Spotted By Pilot Prompts Investigation

>>>USA Today reports that speculation so far has been that the
>>>object was a military or law enforcement drone, a radio-
>>>controlled aircraft, or a large bird. Drone aircraft are going
>>>through the process of being approved by the FAA to operate in
>>>airspace with manned aircraft. So far this approval has not yet
>>>been granted. The technology for maintaining separation between
>>>manned aircraft and UAVs has not been perfected yet.
>>Don, this part of the USA Today article is not quite correct.
>>The FAA has approved operation of drone aircraft in civilian
>>airspace. Based on witness reports, I have sent a FOIA to the
>>FAA for that information. They have replied that they cannot
>>tell me who they have authorized to fly drones through civilian
>>airspace because they would be compromising other government
>>agencies.

>The Electronic Frontier Foundation has already obtained this
>information through their own FOIA request. See the following
>list of recipients of FAA Certificates of Authorization for
>operation of drones in U.S. airspace:

><https://www.eff.org/document/faa-list-certificates-authorizations-coas>

>Additional manufacturers with Special Airworthiness Certificates
>to test-fly experimental drones:

><http://tinyurl.com/6vds543>

>Interactive map of domestic drone authorizations:

><http://tinyurl.com/6sw3yvl>

Mike, thank you for that valuable link. Unfortunately it doesn't
provide what I need in this specific case.

I was requesting that the FAA send me the names of organizations
who received certificates of authorization for specific days and
in a specific area. They have replied that they cannot provide
that information until they have cleared it with the groups that
flew drones on the specific days and location in question. (I
have politely asked them if they will be providing that
information in the future, without conferring with the owners of
the drones, should a drone collide with a civilian air craft.)

I have been keeping Jennifer, who I believe is in your

organization, appraised of my efforts.

Robert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 21:43:50 +0000
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 09:38:17 -0400
Subject: Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 06:28:33 -0400
>To: ufo-updates-list.nul
>From: post.nul
>Subject: UFO UpDate: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>From: Kay Wilson <kaywilson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:45:54 -0500
>Subject: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>New Blog Post June 2012:

>Distortion And Innuendo: Speaking The Language Of The Debunkers
>Part I

>My latest blog takes both a serious and sometimes comical look
>at the debunkers and their tactics, while at the same time
>"cleaning up" their distortions and innuendos.

><http://tinyurl.com/7avokce>

It is a legitimate question: what verification do you have that you are an emissary - the term was being used by you to imply a representative for... the Others? (using it as Richard Dolan defined the term).

Do I just have to take your word that you were told by a bona fide representative of a galactic government? If just anybody can announce they are an emissary, doesn't that demean, denigrate anyone declaring they are an emissary?

How do I know who to believe is the real deal?

I hope you can see things from my perspective.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 18:59:47 -0300
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 10:34:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 14:26:31 -0300
>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300
>>Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>>James, I really can't follow your reasoning. I think you are
>>starting with a false premise namely that the US was very
>>worried in 1947 about a Stalin attack.

>>_Not_ true.

>Stan,

>Please read the declassified Top Secret memo dated August 24,
>1946 from Vandenberg to Truman that I located in the Truman
>library to understand what was being discussed at the top re.
>fears of Soviet aggression. The fears were real and not imagined
>or contrived. Here's the link:

><http://tinyurl.com/7o72hnu> [.pdf]

James,

It seems to me that the 27 Sept. memo from Vandenberg negates
the fears expressed in the Sept. 24 memo. Certainly no great
fear of the Societs attacking the US imminently. No atom bomb
,no long distance bombers no need for the wild disinfor-
mation program you suggest and have not provided any evidence for. As I
noted the bomb and bombers weren't anticipated for several
years.

>>You seem to forget that Brazel showed strange wreckage to his
>>neighbors, the Proctors and his other neighbors at the Wade
>>General Store in Corona on Saturday July 5. That is where he
>>heard about flying saucers and a reward for finding wreckage.

>>That is when it was suggested he go to the sherrif's office in
>>Roswell which he did on July 6.

>>Major Marcel examined the wreckage, told his boss and was sent
>>out to Brazel's ranch because there was so much of it. He was
>>told to take a CIC man with him. His worry was who was spying on
>>the 509th.

>>Are you really suggesting there was no strange wreckage in the
>>big debris field on the ranch? So everybody was lying including
>>the rancher, his son, Marcel and his son, the Proctors, the
>>people in Corona, the sheriff and his family?

>>Why was Brazel picked up on his ranch and brought back to town
>>and fed a new story by the Army?

>Nope, something was found and I am not disputing that, but if
>you are going to admit into evidence something was found on the

>Brazel ranch, you should not ignore the other "crashed saucers"
>that occurred at the same time as Roswell, you know the ones
>that were too small to hold alien bodies:

>- The one found July 7 by Norman Hargrave on a beach in Texas
>that was 20 inches in diameter. The one that allegedly had
>written on it: "Military secret of the United States of America
>Army Air Forces M 4330657. Anyone damaging or revealing
>description or whereabouts of this missile is subject to
>prosecution by the U.S. Government. Call collect at once LD446,
>Army Air Forces Depot, Spokane, Washington." The same one that
>Hargrave then changes his mind about and says it was all a hoax.

>ET proponents readily chalk it up as a hoax but don't bother to
>ask themselves why the alleged message mentions Spokane,
>Washington instead of an Air Force Base in Texas? Or how would a
>businessman in Texas know enough about the AAF to know Spokane
>Army Air Forces Depot belonged to Twining's Air Material command
>(AMC)? The AMC in 1947 was responsible for research and
>development and logistics until 1950, when research and
>development was split off into a separate organization, the Air
>Research and Development Command.

>- The July 7 object that disintegrated over West Rindge, NH
>reported by E.A. Soucy, SAC of the FBI at Boston (probably the
>same Mr. Soucy who met or telephoned Hoyt Vandenberg on July 11
>per Vandenberg's Calendar).

>Soucy's report indicated that:

>"LITTLE BLAZES had been started on a green lawn. Fires were
>apparently caused by metallic fragments which were turned over
>to (name deleted) of MIT... (name deleted) has advised that
>their general (deleted) appearance are /sic/ similar to the
>lining of /V dash two/V-2 bombs, which he had observed at New
>Mexico. A metallurgist at MIT said it might have been from a jet
>turbo plane. At present time he is in process of analyzing two
>of these pieces. These scientists in roughly reconstructing the
>metallic object state it was approximately fourteen inches in
>diameter, three /2-/ sixteenths of an inch thick, and machine
>tooled, and some fragments indicated they were burned and
>SCOREOUT appeared to have been subjected to terrific heat.
>These scientists are treating this matter as classified
>information." SOUCY

>Interesting that we have three "crashed saucers" all over the US
>on or prior to July 7. Two of the three are ignored by the ET
>Proponents or simply discounted.

Are you really suggesting that all UFO reports are connected
with all other reports? I don't think so. The amount of evidence
for Roswell is far larger than for the others. Listen to
Recollections Of Roswell.

>>Yes, I worked hard to find DuBose and meet with him. He took the
>>call from Ramey's boss general McMullen saying to get the press
>>off their backs, send wreckage up to Washington that day with
>>one of his Colonel couriers, and never talk about it again. I
>>had no idea what he would say.

>>Is this all fantasy and lies?

>Not at all. The "facts" fit just as well for my theory as they
>do for yours.

>>I had been lecturing about Flying Saucers since 1967. I didn't
>>need Roswell, or any story I couldn't back up with facts.

>>Stan Friedman

>You mean like the facts you ignored from the email I sent you
>about the whereabouts of Spaatz between July 7 - 11, 1947?
>Here's the article on my website to refresh your memory:

><http://tinyurl.com/755semt>

>Nothing like being in charge of the brand spanking new US Air
>Force and have not only a watershed event in human history occur
>on your watch while you are out fishing but then have your newly
>minted military service wet itself with an embarrassing news
>gaffe.

Sorry, James, General Twining's daughter told me that Port Aransas, Texas, was a favorite fishing haunt of USAF brass. General Twining's pilot told me that fishing and hunting expeditions were looked upon as very useful for open, off-the-record discussions.

You haven't mentioned the articles clearly showing Twining's involvement with Flying Saucers including on the front page of the Roswell Daily Record on July 8 or his letter to Boeing on July 17 noting that he had been unable to go there because of a very important matter that had come up... "been away quite a bit". He was in New Mexico from July 7-11.

Yes, as was common on fast breaking stories there were differences in detail in some of the press accounts.. just as Haut's name was spelled 4 different ways.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Carrion's Conversion

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 22:06:02 +0000
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:11:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Carrion's Conversion

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:53:16 -0500
>Subject: Carrion's Conversion [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:13:07 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>Carrion isn't the first person to jump from the fence to one
>>side or the other in this field, and I've seen most researchers
>>sway one way or the other as time goes on. But his quick
>>transition from newly installed International Director of MUFON
>>to someone who has it all figured out is somewhat incredulous.

>I agree, Steve, with the point. Carrion's conversion is
>dizzily swift. His desire to depict everybody who hasn't
>followed him there as intellectually corrupt, stupid, dogmatic,
>blind, deaf, or whatever (choose your favorite unflattering
>adjective) is, at the least, unbecoming. Better for him to rein
>it in and to concentrate on writing up the most compelling
>version of his case he's able to construct, and then the rest of
>us can make our own judgments.

>I've been at this since the early 1960s. Over the decades I've
>changed my mind about a number of things, and not a day passes
>that I'm not weighing and pondering in the face of new
>information. I am struck, for example, at how my thoughts
>concerning such fundamental matters as abductions and
>crash/retrieval claims have evolved from my first exposure to
>them and on to the present, where I hold views I would have
>found unimaginable or objectionable back then. Right now I'm
>reading John Alexander's very interesting book and finding his
>contribution intriguing and thought-provoking, helping me to
>clarify my own impressions of what we're up against in this very
>difficult enterprise.

>Thus, I try to stay modest in the face of new claims that aren't
>at least obviously crazy or rooted in conspiracy theory or
>demonology. I encourage others to do the same. We're dealing
>with phenomena of extraordinary complexity, well beyond current
>knowledge to all appearances. That being the case, provisional
>hypotheses are to be preferred to ones cast in concrete.

>Jerry Clark

[See my hand raised, over here]

I have proposed a provisional hypothesis: I call it the Hadrone
Syndrome. This hypothesis developed out of a re-examining the
data. I came to a different conclusion based on verifiable
documents; some outside the usual ufo literature.

Now, I don't want you to worry. I have no intention - nor does
any publisher - of sharing the extensive and lengthy

documentation with anybody. This after testing the waters in this group and being shot down at every turn.

For solace, I am reading Annie Jacobsen's book, Area 51. She did bring a new hypothesis to the table and got creamed in the process. Good thing she had a day job with a fairly prestigious publication in the big city of L.A. The day job got her face time, almost immediately after the book was published, with major networks.

Could the rest of the ufo researchers have been jealous of her position? New kid on the block, thinking she hone in on their positions? Frankly, as i read what she wrote, I find that she was careful to cite the sources she could cite. Yeah, I am sure there were a couple of minor errors. Everyone - who doesn't have an editor - makes errors.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 22:18:46 +0000
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:15:31 -0400
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>From: James Carrion <jcarrion.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 14:26:31 -0300
>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300
>>Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

<snip>

>>Are you really suggesting there was no strange wreckage in the
>>big debris field on the ranch? So everybody was lying including
>>the rancher, his son, Marcel and his son, the Proctors, the
>>people in Corona, the sheriff and his family?

>>Why was Brazel picked up on his ranch and brought back to town
>>and fed a new story by the Army?

>Nope, something was found and I am not disputing that, but if
>you are going to admit into evidence something was found on the
>Brazel ranch, you should not ignore the other "crashed saucers"
>that occurred at the same time as Roswell, you know the ones
>that were too small to hold alien bodies:

>- The one found July 7 by Norman Hargrave on a beach in Texas
>that was 20 inches in diameter. The one that allegedly had
>written on it: "Military secret of the United States of America
>Army Air Forces M 4330657. Anyone damaging or revealing
>description or whereabouts of this missile is subject to
>prosecution by the U.S. Government. Call collect at once LD446,
>Army Air Forces Depot, Spokane, Washington." The same one that
>Hargrave then changes his mind about and says it was all a hoax.

>ET proponents readily chalk it up as a hoax but don't bother to
>ask themselves why the alleged message mentions Spokane,
>Washington instead of an Air Force Base in Texas? Or how would a
>businessman in Texas know enough about the AAF to know Spokane
>Army Air Forces Depot belonged to Twining's Air Material command
>(AMC)? The AMC in 1947 was responsible for research and
>development and logistics until 1950, when research and
>development was split off into a separate organization, the Air
>Research and Development Command.

>- The July 7 object that disintegrated over West Rindge, NH
>reported by E.A. Soucy, SAC of the FBI at Boston (probably the
>same Mr. Soucy who met or telephoned Hoyt Vandenberg on July 11
>per Vandenberg's Calendar).

>Soucy's report indicated that:

>"LITTLE BLAZES had been started on a green lawn. Fires were
>apparently caused by metallic fragments which were turned over
>to (name deleted) of MIT... (name deleted) has advised that
>their general (deleted) appearance are /sic/ similar to the
>lining of /V dash two/V-2 bombs, which he had observed at New
>Mexico. A metallurgist at MIT said it might have been from a jet

>turbo plane. At present time he is in process of analyzing two
>of these pieces. These scientists in roughly reconstructing the
>metallic object state it was approximately fourteen inches in
>diameter, three /2-/ sixteenths of an inch thick, and machine
>tooled, and some fragments indicated they were burned and
>SCOREOUT appeared to have been subjected to terrific heat.
>These scientists are treating this matter as classified
>information." SOUCY

>Interesting that we have three "crashed saucers" all over the US
>on or prior to July 7. Two of the three are ignored by the ET
>Proponents or simply discounted.

>>Yes, I worked hard to find DuBose and meet with him.He took the
>>call from Ramey's boss general McMullen saying to get the press
>>off their backs, send wreckage up to Washington that day with
>>one of his Colonel couriers, and never talk about it again. I
>>had no idea what he would say.

>>Is this all fantasy and lies?

>Not at all. The "facts" fit just as well for my theory as they
>do for yours.

>>I had been lecturing about Flying Saucers since 1967. I didn't
>>need Roswell, or any story I couldn't back up with facts.

>>Stan Friedman

>You mean like the facts you ignored from the email I sent you
>about the whereabouts of Spaatz between July 7 - 11, 1947?
>Here's the article on my website to refresh your memory:

><http://tinyurl.com/755semt>

>Nothing like being in charge of the brand spanking new US Air
>Force and have not only a watershed event in human history occur
>on your watch while you are out fishing but then have your newly
>minted military service wet itself with an embarrassing news
>gaffe.

>James

Finally, I am getting what I wished for. Data from outside the
usual sources. It is refreshing and I like that the dialog is
being raised to another level.

Me? I took another path to get to different conclusion. However,
I decided not to share info.

I admire James for sallying forth and just taking on the old
guard. I admit I haven't been lecturing and researching everyday
and immersed myself in this topic. I really wanted to walk away
after being burnt by rejection notices and went back to writing
novels. But, this topic is like a drug and I like lively
discussions. It is the one thing I miss when I bury myself in
focusing on the novel.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Dating Arnold

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 23:09:31 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:17:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600
>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>From: Martin Shough<parcellular.nul>
>>To:<[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Don Ecsedy<don.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](#)>
>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part
>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at
>saturdaynightuforia.com,

><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

This is an excellent article covering the history of Arnold's
June 24, 1947, sighting, how it came about and the aftermath.
Any reader who wants to see a detailed analysis of the sighting
and a discussion of suggested explanations should see

<http://www.brumac.8k.com/KARNOLD/KARNOLD.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Valentine Show On John Ford Affair

From: **John Ford Initiative** <johnfordinitiative.nul>
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 19:35:53 -0600
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:24:13 -0400
Subject: Valentine Show On John Ford Affair

Hey guys, here's something from Alfred Lehmborg. He was kind enough to forward this on to me to share with the John Ford Initiative.

Here is the direct link:

<http://www.atlanticcoastufos.com/podcasts/7-30-10.mp3>

It is an episode of Kate Valentine's UFO Show on July 30, 2010, when she interviewed Alfred Lehmborg to discuss the John Ford affair and the South Haven UFO Crash. It is quite an interesting interview that shares a lot of good background information. I recommend you listen to it and pass it on to everyone you can.

This audio interview will also be posted as an audio link on the JOHN FORD INITIATIVE headquarters site at:

<http://ufoteacher.com/johnford>

This will make it easy to find as well.

Thank you Alfred very much for this - much appreciated. And thank you to Kate Valentine as well for opening up her show to this very important issue.

Sincerely,
Richard Smith

~~~~~  
The John Ford Initiative

George Dickson | Peter Moon | Richard Smith

Letter To The Editor:  
[http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note\\_id=304094992991595](http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note_id=304094992991595)

Petition: <http://signon.org/sign/the-john-ford-initiative>  
Web Site: <http://ufoteacher.com/johnford>  
Facebook: [Http://Xrl.Us/Bm2Ve2](http://Xrl.Us/Bm2Ve2)  
E-Mail: [johnfordinitiative.nul](mailto:johnfordinitiative.nul)  
Office: (516) 690-4059

Days Of Operation:  
Wednesdays, Thursdays & Fridays  
9:00AM - 5:00PM EDT

The Coalition:  
The John Ford Initiative represents the unified coalition of an ever growing list of multiple entities proactively hastening the release of John Ford including:

Richard Smith.Co LLC  
David Aaron / The UFO Audio Video Clearing House  
George Dickson & Associates  
Mr. Kelly Freeman - Florida UFO Network  
Sky Books Publishing  
The Albuquerque Society and Culture Examiner  
The Montauk Pulse  
The Richard Smith Show  
The UFOTeacher Initiative

UFOTeacher Global News  
UFOTeacher.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

## Re: Greer Has Raised \$175,000 For A Documentary

From: Michael Naisbitt <[info.nul-blog.com](mailto:info.nul-blog.com)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 12:52:29 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:28:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer Has Raised \$175,000 For A Documentary

>From: David Biedny<[dbiedny.nul](mailto:dbiedny.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 18:13:00 -0400  
>Subject: Greer Has Raised \$175,000 For A Documentary About Himself

>Greer just keeps working it:

><https://vimeo.com/43101753>

>And it appears that he has somehow become connected to Douglass  
>Trumbull, which I find truly sad, and I'm thinking of reaching  
>out to a close mutual friend and warning him about Greer and his  
>questionable reputation and motives.

A valiant effort in the comments section David but I fear they're not for turning... I wrote something about the seemingly duplicitous actions of Greer quite a while back along with a very old (and somewhat 'alarming') video I uploaded of one of his, 'sky watch's' from back in '94:

<http://tinyurl.com/cxvt58p>

Cheers,

Michael Naisbitt

[www.UFO-Blog.com](http://www.UFO-Blog.com)  
[www.DroneHoax.com](http://www.DroneHoax.com)

[Everything one needs to know about Greer is in the UFO UpDates Archive. End of Greer discussion, again]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

## Re: Carrion's Conversion

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 07:27:11 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:30:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Carrion's Conversion

>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 09:17:50 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Carrion's Conversion

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:53:16 -0500  
>>Subject: Carrion's Conversion [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>I agree, Steve, with the point. Carrion's conversion is  
>>dizzily swift. His desire to depict everybody who hasn't  
>>followed him there as intellectually corrupt, stupid, dogmatic,  
>>blind, deaf, or whatever (choose your favorite unflattering  
>>adjective) is, at the least, unbecoming. Better for him to rein  
>>it in and to concentrate on writing up the most compelling  
>>version of his case he's able to construct, and then the rest of  
>>us can make our own judgments.

>>I've been at this since the early 1960s. Over the decades I've  
>>changed my mind about a number of things, and not a day passes  
>>that I'm not weighing and pondering in the face of new  
>>information. I am struck, for example, at how my thoughts  
>>concerning such fundamental matters as abductions and  
>>crash/retrieval claims have evolved from my first exposure to  
>>them and on to the present, where I hold views I would have  
>>found unimaginable or objectionable back then. Right now I'm  
>>reading John Alexander's very interesting book and finding his  
>>contribution intriguing and thought-provoking, helping me to  
>>clarify my own impressions of what we're up against in this very  
>>difficult enterprise.

>>Thus, I try to stay modest in the face of new claims that  
>>aren't at least obviously crazy or rooted in conspiracy theory  
>>or demonology. I encourage others to do the same. We're dealing  
>>with phenomena of extraordinary complexity, well beyond current  
>>knowledge to all appearances. That being the case, provisional  
>>hypotheses are to be preferred to ones cast in concrete.

>Jerry,

>My conversion was not dizzyingly swift. It came over 14 years but  
>accelerated during the 3 years I spent as the MUFON Director.

Okay, James, fair enough.

My advice stands. Let's see the whole case, fully documented and  
argued.

Till then, I'll do my best to stay on the sidelines.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 07:58:20 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:33:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:39:24 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:50:27 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>Exactly, Don.

>>In instances like these, of which there are almost countless  
>>examples over the history of ufological discourse (one virtue of  
>>being in the despised Old Guard: you've seen it all before, and  
>>you know a latest shiny new toy when you see one), somebody  
>>conjures up some extraordinary notion, then buttresses and  
>>follows it with over-the-top rhetoric and demonization of all  
>>doubters, current and potential.

>>James Carrion would be well advised to can the attacks and to  
>>lay out his case in precise fashion, sans the off-putting  
>>hyperbole. Only then will we be able to determine if his  
>>evidence matches his polemics. So far, the latter is sounding a  
>>lot louder than the former, and the pattern is looking  
>>dismayingly familiar.

>Jerry,

>Perhaps you can read over all of my posts and cite one instance  
>where I have personally attacked any one. If I am guilty of  
>anything, it is simply of presenting an alternative theory and  
>defending that view point. As for the proof you all keep  
>demanding, I have already presented documented evidence of a  
>disinformation campaign in the guise of Project Seal, but ho-  
>hum, yawn, it is not an ET explanations, so it bores you. Any  
>other evidence I present here will be treated the same way. I am  
>wasting my time here and we all know it.

Okay, seeing this just now, James, I am forced to offer this  
comment, notwithstanding my growing desire to spend no more time  
and effort on this thread:

You clearly have no idea how I receive UFO information and what  
I make of it. It's apparent, for example, that though we both  
attended the 2008 SSE conference, you did not afford my lecture  
- which among other things proposed a non-ET reading of the  
high-strangeness aspect of UFO experience - the courtesy of a  
hearing. Thus, the sloppy and baseless characterization you  
allow yourself to indulge here. Beyond that, I think we can all  
agree that James Carrion doesn't do nuance.

If you're playing loosely with my own approach, I am justifiably  
skeptical of what you're doing with the data you have in hand.  
Where your mind-reading practice is concerned, in a favorite  
expression of my friend Mike Swords, evidently there's plenty of

static on the mental radio. Is there some reason I shouldn't wonder if you're attempting comparable mind reading on post-World War II U.S. intelligence operatives?

No credentialed historian, it bears noting, has come to conclusions remotely like yours. And you can't even retort that UFOs are not respectable enough for respectable historians to take up (except, of course, as absurd popular enthusiasm). True enough, but plenty have written about the operations and abuses of U.S. intelligence in the post-war years. If your conclusions were true, the credentialed historian responsible for exposing them could count on a big article in the New York Times and a lot of approving attention from colleagues. I can see the headlines already.

If you can't argue your case to the satisfaction of intelligent, informed outsiders, then the problem is yours, not ours.

My challenge to you to write a full account, documented to the best of your ability, stands. No excuses this time.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 08:50:54 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 15:37:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 13:10:47 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

<snip>

>It can be too easy to implicate Palmer. Arnold was not an  
>academic, and intellectual. His writing is casual,  
>conversational, and expressive, on occasion hyperbolic. Taken  
>flatly, literally, some things he wrote are absurd. His  
>expressiveness is not 'cosmopolitan', but regional and local,  
>and also specialized to his career and interests. He is innocent  
>of irony. He seems to have been unaware of it and seems to have  
>spent most of his life conversing with people pretty much like  
>himself.

Don,

That's an excellent, perceptive characterization of Arnold's  
personality as I and others were able to observe it.

In the years I worked at Fate magazine, I often had occasion to  
discuss Ray Palmer with Curt and Mary Fuller. They knew him  
well, and their assessment of him was an unflattering one. They  
told me that Palmer's hand was apparent in much of the content  
of Fate in the late 1940s, including in material by-lined by  
others (either Palmer pseudonyms or the names of actual people),  
and that much of it was fictionalized or at least exaggerated.  
(Co-owner Curt Fuller, a formally educated journalist and a  
conscientious editor, was seldom in the office because he was  
busy editing Flying and taking care of two small children while  
Mary was confined to a TB sanatorium.)

When I checked, I found that the back-up files for the Fate of  
the late 1940s were missing. The Fullers thought Palmer had  
probably taken them with him when he moved to Wisconsin in the  
early 1950s. Thus, documentation of the Palmer-Arnold  
relationship from this crucial period is unavailable. We do,  
however, know something of the dynamics of that relationship.

In matters literary (using the adjective in the broadest sense),  
Arnold, who knew nothing of writing and publishing, relied  
entirely on Palmer's assurances and left such to him, often to  
his own detriment. In the time I spent with Arnold in 1977 and  
in contacts in later years (he died in 1984), I noticed that  
virtually his entire understanding of the UFO phenomenon, his  
own experience aside, came from Palmer, delivered orally. He not  
once referred to other UFO chroniclers. He wasn't a reader, and  
he wasn't monitoring Palmer as, clearly, he ought to have.

In the vanished social world in which Arnold lived, a man's word  
was his bond, and that was it. Unfortunately, in the larger  
world, those constraints didn't apply to Palmer or Crisman or  
Dahl, ready, willing, and able to exploit Arnold's lack of  
cynicism, irony, or inability to detect deception.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 11:45:44 -0300  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 09:02:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 11:20:57 +0200  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>It's my turn to ask: do you know military or intelligence  
>historians willing to buy your Great Lie story? Please give us  
>names.

>Gildas Bourdais

>I will be happy to take the lead on this and will float both  
>theories past a number of Cold War and Intelligence Historians  
>and report back to the list my findings.

>In the meantime, dwell on this, my theory has much precedence  
>from all of the exceptional deception operations played by the  
>allied nations on the axis nations during WW2.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

From: Kay Wilson <[kaywilson.nul](mailto:kaywilson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 10:31:52 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:39:58 -0400  
Subject: Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 21:43:50 +0000  
>Subject: Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 06:28:33 -0400  
>>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>>From: Kay Wilson <[kaywilson.nul](mailto:kaywilson.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 09:45:54 -0500  
>>Subject: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>>New Blog Post June 2012:

>>Distortion And Innuendo: Speaking The Language Of The Debunkers  
>>Part I

>>My latest blog takes both a serious and sometimes comical look  
>>at the debunkers and their tactics, while at the same time  
>>"cleaning up" their distortions and innuendos.

>><http://tinyurl.com/7avokce>

>It is a legitimate question: what verification do you have that  
>you are an emissary - the term was being used by you to imply a  
>representative for... the Others? (using it as Richard Dolan  
>defined the term).

>Do I just have to take your word that you were told by a bona  
>fide representative of a galactic government? If just anybody  
>can announce they are an emissary, doesn't that demean,  
>denigrate anyone declaring they are an emissary?

>How do I know who to believe is the real deal?

>I hope you can see things from my perspective.

Kathy - you have no perspective. Zip!

Believe what you want, as you always do.

There is no grand conspiracy here. Their words and my words were  
perfectly clear and I could not have re-stated the matter more  
succinctly and to the point.

You've beaten this topic for over two years. Nobody cares.

Maybe you can go post over at the UFO Toilet Paper Trail blog  
and get more attention there. No doubt, your post was designed  
to elicit a response from Brewer himself. Alas, I will be too  
busy living a real life, unlike you and your playmates.

Have fun playing together, and don't forget to thank one another  
for each other's 'critical thinking'.

Bye-Bye ,

Kay

[http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats\\_New/BetweenTwoLiesBlog.htm](http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats_New/BetweenTwoLiesBlog.htm)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 17:22:33 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:42:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>complicity in their publication.

Thanks, Mike. But after my first reading I would say (whilst reserving the right to adapt my opinion on the basis of further thought!) I'm not too impressed with this. I was drawn in by the pleasure of re-reading much interesting background in one place with evocative period photos, and had high hopes for it. I was initially intrigued by what the author was trying to do, and interested to see what he could do with the July 29/30 date anomaly etc. But after a few sections I started to get a sense of the ballistic arc of the thing and where it was carefully aimed to come down. I ended up finding the result analytically petty, lacking judgment and proportion, and insincere. This anonymous person - let's call "him" X - gives us no clue as to his identity or background which deprives us of the ability to perceive his motivation in 3D, but I can smell an agenda.

In my opinion (at the moment) the date error is not dramatically material. I disagree both with X and with Don Ecsedy. I doubt that it signifies much. There are "internal" inconsistencies between the 1947 Smith/FBI record and Arnold's narrative, yes, but I don't perceive the "significance" that X claims to see, and neither do I see the heavy hand of Ray Palmer on Arnold's narrative, planting fabricated tales in it the way X suggests.

A few points:

X implies that Arnold's retelling of Dahl's story is suspect because the narrative Arnold places in quotes is too grammatically and idiomatically polished to be a verbatim record of Dahl's exact words. The implication is that Arnold is deceiving us. This is ludicrous, in that only an idiot could have imagined that by using quotes around these twelve wordy paragraphs in 1952 Arnold was claiming perfect recall of Dahl's words in a Tacoma hotel room in 1947. The account is plainly intended as no more than a reconstruction or evocation of what was said. The critic here shoots himself in the foot, a victim of his own over-literal pedantry.

X argues that Dave Johnson "encouraged" Arnold to get involved with Ray Palmer and go to Tacoma. I don't see this. Arnold says he asked Johnson's opinion about Palmer's offer of expenses. Johnson said he couldn't see why Arnold shouldn't go to Tacoma

but the only way to find out if Palmer was serious was to take him up on it and see if he did send any money.

The fact that Dave Johnson telegraphed Hamilton Field on July 29 on his own account advising a check on Palmer and Venture Press is fascinating. X says it is evidence that "Johnson wasn't quite as sanguine about the matter as Arnold believed". This misrepresents Arnold, who wrote that when Palmer's \$200 actually arrived the "hard headed" Johnson was surprised and "just couldn't believe an unknown party would be tossing money around that way". Hardly "encouraging". This is in fact exactly the suspicion that Johnson telegraphed to Lt Brown, that Palmer's freedom with \$200 seemed "out of scale" for the nature of the business. Nothing strange or inconsistent here. But X tells the story in such a way as to imply that Arnold is at fault.

[Begin Quote]

Arnold would write of his experience five years later in the book *The Coming of the Saucers* - published and in fact co-written by Raymond Palmer. It is from that book that the story of Johnson's encouragement for Arnold to go to Tacoma is told. Yet, Johnson's behind the scenes activities - revealed decades later in a declassified FBI report - would seem to be inconsistent with such advice.

And as it turns out, it would be just the first of many inconsistencies and discrepancies to come in the story of that time as later told by Arnold.

[End Quote]

X exhibits some tell-tale pseudo-sceptic tics, such as constantly buttressing the argument in-hand with promises of how much stronger the next arguments are going to get, advertising punch-lines that never quite materialise, and dropping in observations that he hopes will seem damaging with that butter-wouldn't-melt mannerism that begins "Curiously, . . ." or using phrases like "EQUALLY OPAQUE in the events leading up to Arnold's departure for Tacoma is Arnold's motivation..." to plant the thought that Arnold was not merely falling short of his duty by omitting to include the results of a total psychiatric evaluation and brain scan in his 79 page story but could be hiding some motivation that is murky or even scurrilous.

X focuses on the date discrepancy as a big thing because, he points out, if Arnold really arrived on the 30th not the 29th then everything which he describes as happening on the 30th really happened on the 31st, and so on. Most consequences of this are of the "so what?" variety. There are numerous examples of what he calls "internal" inconsistencies among the small daily details variously recalled by Smith for the FBI and by Arnold for his book five years later - several things in Arnold's account of the meetings and comings and goings in the hotel room that Smith (or Smith's interviewer at least) does not mention, differences regarding the sequence of events and who was in the room or not in the room when so-and-so arrived, which reporter phoned on which day and who picked up the phone, etc etc - but says "the most glaring discrepancy" is the date, referring again to the 29/30 July confusion. This is true in the sense that most of the material discrepancies he lists come down in the end to this same issue.

X suggests that the content of the claimed anonymous letter to Harold Dahl that Arnold says he forgot to mention to Brown-Davidson is a coded insert referring to the "Shaver mystery" therefore proving the account to be corrupted by the scheming hand of Ray Palmer. But he forgets that he has earlier spent several paragraphs explaining to us that Dahl's associate Crisman (he of the shadowy past and suspect associations, whom Arnold says he and Smith both sussed as a liar and peculiar and fishy and a controlling influence ) was probably none other than the author of the original "Shaver" correspondence to Ray Palmer in the first place. So one doesn't have to look far to imagine who may have fed Dahl this stuff.

X is trying to set this up as evidence that Palmer has inserted fabrications into Arnold's story to further his own publishing interests, or even that Arnold and Palmer colluded to make this up in furtherance of those interests. The latter is certainly

implied constructively, inasmuch as X knows as well as his readers do that Arnold never resiled from this account and would thus have been passively condoning the lie that X claims to have detected. But I think X is off-beam and trying to sell us a conspiracy theory.

It seems quite likely to me that Palmer may have "helped" Arnold polish his narrative and knock his notes into shape, especially in this part of the book, but I see no convincing evidence of systematic deception with any particular purpose. I think that dates and times and sequences of phone calls and meetings etc were probably reconstructed very roughly from Arnold's memory (I know of no evidence that he kept an exact daily diary in Tacoma) and finessed a bit with help from Palmer in order to make a flowing story, which does differ in details from the account that the FBI got from Capt Smith in 1947. But the essence and flavour of what happened and the cast of characters are not in much doubt, despite this (nor are the FBI documents immune from errors).

The main point to come out of all this is the one Dion Ecsidy brought up here. Most things come back to this question of why Arnold recalled flying to Tacoma on July 29 instead of July 30. I think that Don perhaps suspects some sort of cover-up of a missing day that Arnold really did spend in Tacoma? If there are other reasons to suspect this it would be interesting to hear about them, but for my money, at the moment, this is probably just a meaningless error.

Arnold's 1952 book says July 29. And a 1948 official report contains the July 29 date. When we then find that Arnold himself used the July 29 date in a telegram of August 31, 1947 it seem at first sight like impressive early corroboration. OK, but of course this document could simply be the source of a perpetuated systematic error.

Could Arnold have written down the wrong date a month after the event? It's possible. If he did then whatever sources or notes or memories he was using to reconstruct the affair 5 years later for Ray Palmer would be contaminated by this early error. The occurrence of the same date in the document "Analysis of FLYOB Incidents in US" would be more impressive were it not that the context of this reference seems confused and may suggest that some info from the files has been improperly digested by the writer.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 18:34:52 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:44:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 16:02:51 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 13:27:33 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 11:12:49 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>><snip>

>>>So, we know this is not referring to Arnold's report of sighting  
>>>the amber or bronze colored small disks, but the Cascades  
>>>sighting of June 24, 1947.

>>>What is really of interest here - and correct me if I am wrong -  
>>>but in 1947 all of the official statements and all the parties  
>>>interviewed, including Arnold, said he had left for Tacoma on  
>>>the 30th, not the 29th. It is only in The Coming of the Saucers  
>>>that Arnold says it was the 29th.

>>>So, where did the Air Force and the Navy get July 29th?

>>Hello Don

>>Excuse me for butting in, but this is an interesting point. I  
>>hadn't noticed it before. You seem to be right, e.g. the FBI  
>>interview report from SAC Butte does say that Arnold told him he  
>>"left for Tacoma" on July 30, whereas Arnold's book gives July  
>>29. Given that Arnold was writing several years later and was  
>>not immune to other small date errors we should probably give  
>>the benefit of the doubt to the near-contemporary documents.

>I do. However, if another July 29th reference turns up, I'm  
>going to have to consider it is a pattern rather than random  
>mistakes. We already have Arnold's telegram to Col. Springer of  
>August 31, 1947, as well as the Analysis.

Hi Don

Yes, but what I mean is that a systematic error could stem from  
an original random error that enters the record and infects it.  
It can be a pattern and still be insignificant.

>IMO this is made surer by the fact that, whichever day it was,  
>he flew out very early (5:30 am he said), so early that he  
>couldn't get fuel at Boise and would have to stop over at La  
>Grande to top up (which he did) before going on via Chehalis to  
>Tacoma. This was a significant fact for him in relation to the  
>odd business of the Tacoma hotel room because it convinced him  
>that nobody could have seen him leave Boise (and he had  
>advertised no plan to do so). So this seems well-established.

>But he wrote a letter to Palmer (covering transmittal of a copy  
>of his AF letter) dated July 29, when supposedly he would have  
>been in the air en route to Tacoma. The flight to Tacoma took  
>all day (even starting at 5:30 he didn't make Barry's Airport  
>until dusk, he said) and would leave no time for typing or  
>posting letters. So it would make sense that he wrote to Palmer  
>from home on July 29 and left for Tacoma the next morning.

>I haven't researched Tacoma. Please correct any mistakes I make.

I think you know more than most of us do! I already learned something.

>I knew I'd have to, though, because I have been researching  
>Rhodes and there is a Tacoma 'sidebar' to it.  
>Did he write he made the decision while in Chehalis to go on to  
>Tacoma that night?

Yes.

>In another post I've offered a different  
>reading of the mystery reservation at the Winthrop. Arnold made  
>the reservation himself when he first called the Winthrop. They  
>had a vacancy. He had been awake and flying since 5:30am, and  
>probably a bit disoriented (as well as dirty and hungry). It is  
>not simply his recollection that might be off, but the immediate  
>experience itself at the time didn't quite register with him.

I think this is a good idea. It sounds like a sensible explanation, the sort of thing that could easily happen. I can see how it might seem mystifying to a man in Arnold's situation, keyed-up, tired and sensitised to expect the unusual.

<snip>

>>I suspect someone just misread the file (along with William  
>>Rhodes' name) and, having conceived a mistaken association with  
>>the Hamilton Field incident because of the Brown & Davidson  
>>connection and the near-miss date, bracketed a bogus reference  
>>to Arnold in with the Hamilton Field case of July 29, which was  
>>a significant Blue Book unknown (#69 in the final tally) and  
>>very influential inside ATIC at the time, as well as becoming a  
>>major public case (another at the same base on the previous day  
>>also made the papers).

>It's quite a mash-up of three cases, Mt Ranier, Maury Island,  
>Hamilton Field, with a reference to a fourth case, Rhodes, and  
>putting us in mind of a fifth sighting, Arnold's small disks, as  
>well as the sighting at Hamilton the previous day, for 60 words.

Yes, it's a real beauty ;-)

>The misspelling of Rhodes as Rhoads goes back to the first  
>record in the file, CIC agent Aldrich's report. The spelling  
>vanishes from the files until Ruppelt's time, but it is always  
>'Rhoads' in the Analysis.

OK, I didn't know that.

>I think it is an example of a pattern,  
>and not random mistakes. The traces of earlier documents in  
>reports gives us an idea what records were available to that  
>person at that time.

Sure, but it is still a mistake. This illustrates what I meant about an error that gets perpetuated, like (arguably) the 29 July date.

The point I made before tends to support this in the case of the date, I feel. The fact that he provably dated a letter to Ray Palmer "July 29 1947" does suggest that he was at home that day, not in the middle of a wearying cross-country flight to Tacoma. On Aug 19 he told the FBI that he left for Tacoma on July 30, which fits Capt Smith's account and makes sense. At the end of Aug, however, for whatever reason, he gets mixed up and puts July 29 in his telegram to Springer (maybe it was the date he had been intending to go, or something else happened that triggered this date in his mind). The act of writing it down for Col Springer either "resets" the gauge of Arnold's memory, or else he made a note of it somewhere or kept a copy of the telegram, from which he refreshed his memory years later.

Meanwhile this telegram is in the AF system, and maybe some document somewhere about Tacoma that the confused 'Analysis' author referred to had contained this date. Thus a systematic error perpetuates an originally random one.

Speculation, I concede.

>Did the FBI or the AF take a statement from Arnold in August of >1947 about Tacoma?

Yes, FBI SAC, Butte interviewed Arnold on Aug 19 and wrote up a 6-page report for the Director on Aug 27.

>I was just browsing Wilcox's report. At the >bottom of page 7, it mentions Arnold, following a report on >Crisman and Dahl and a paragraph on the crash,

>"A check of the records of the Winthrop Hotel in Tacoma, >Washington revealed that [ ] giving his address as [ ] >Mountain View Drive, Boise, Idaho rented room 502 from July >30th, 7:43 P.M. until..."

>And flip to the next page and the entire paragraph is blacked >out, maybe ten lines, I'd guess. Then begins the material from >Emil Smith.

>What could there have been following "...until" that required >that much india ink?

I can't imagine. Must be personal data. Perhaps it listed the other residents of the hotel? Not impossible given that notions of bugging and phone tapping had been bruited about by Arnold and Smith.

Regards

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Santilli & Socorro

From: Edward Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 10:46:32 -0700  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:48:38 -0400  
Subject: Santilli & Socorro

>From: Edward Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 09:10:44 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>And how did Ray Santilli describe and give directions to  
>a dry lake with burned vegetation and rocks, covered with a  
>strange blue melted material, eventually identified as  
>crystalobalite? He has never been to Socorro.

<http://alienautopsy-yes.com/creatures.html>

List,

Isn't there someone on the list willing to try to answer the question above? I've added some background info below but the question still remains: If there wasn't a cameraman, how did Ray or others know about this very unusual and secluded site, covered with a material that can only be created by melting silica at an intense heat? And why do we only find it concentrated in this one area?

"I've explored the site thoroughly accompanied by my wife and brother; I've tried to interest others in a careful, forensic exploration. By the time my brother and I began searching for the site, the Alien Autopsy had been relegated to either the gray basket or the wastebasket of most respectable UFO researchers; we knew that anything we found would be suspect unless we found tangible proof.

As I have stated before, both my brother and I are very familiar with New Mexico history and geology and what to expect when we hike the desert, so we both were excited when we found an area, larger than three football fields, covered with a strange glass-like material that neither of us could identify.

At the time, I didn't realize just how difficult it could be to identify a mineral sample. I now know better; the only precise method is X-ray Diffraction. I had sent samples of the strange material to many researchers but while most found it unusual, they couldn't positively identify what it was.

Some thought it was a form of agate (Chalcedony) and one said it looked like igneous calcite. I sent samples to the BLT research team and they found it interesting but nothing definitive. Finally I sent some to Phyllis Budinger who performed a IR spectra as well as microscope pix. She wrote: "The microscope pix do suggest a melted mineral, I.e. glass-like. However, I was quite surprised at the infrared spectrum. It suggests quartz still in crystalline state. I need to take more spectra and study the data more in-depth. So don't take this as the end result. XRD should resolve this issue."

She suggested Sampath Iyengar, a PhD chemist, with a degree in mineralogy. He owns a lab in Wildomar, CA. and is considered an

expert with XRD (X-Ray Diffraction) which is a powerful technique for identifying minerals. I send Dr. Iyengar a sample of the material and he agreed to test it for me. The results were unequivocal: the material was Cristobalite, a high temperature indicator, forming at above 1450C. It was a melt on top of the rhyolite and not formed from the rhyolite. Cristobalite is never found under the conditions that exist at the crash site.

"Cristobalite occurs in igneous rocks located in areas of volcanic activity. It rarely occurs in detectable samples. Some localities where noticeable material has come from are: Cerro San Cristobal, Pachuca, Mexico (the origination of its name); Little Lake, Coso Hot Springs, Inyo Co., California; the San Juan Mountains in Colorado; Obsidian Cliff in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming; Mt. Lassen in Lassen Volcanic National Park in California; and Crater Lake National Park, Klamath Co., Oregon." (notice that New Mexico wasn't mentioned)

Ed

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 19:19:30 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:50:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 21:43:50 +0000  
>Subject: Re: New Blog Post Distortion And Innuendo

<snip>

>Do I just have to take your word that you were told by a bona  
>fide representative of a galactic government? If just anybody  
>can announce they are an emissary, doesn't that demean,  
>denigrate anyone declaring they are an emissary?  
<snip>

Sorry Kathy,

You've just hit against the courtroom or pulpit dilemma of being  
faced with an unprovable single-witness 'miraculous' assertion.

It's been rephrased by Lee Smolin as: "Both the genuinely pious  
and the genuinely schizophrenic can claim to speak the thoughts  
of the divine." - in 'The Life of the Cosmos'.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Carrion's Conversion

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 14:23:25 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:52:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: Carrion's Conversion

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 22:06:02 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Carrion's Conversion

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:53:16 -0500  
>>Subject: Carrion's Conversion [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:13:07 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>Carrion isn't the first person to jump from the fence to one  
>>>side or the other in this field, and I've seen most researchers  
>>>sway one way or the other as time goes on. But his quick  
>>>transition from newly installed International Director of MUFON  
>>>to someone who has it all figured out is somewhat incredulous.

>>I agree, Steve, with the point. Carrion's conversion is  
>>dizzilyingly swift. His desire to depict everybody who hasn't  
>>followed him there as intellectually corrupt, stupid, dogmatic,  
>>blind, deaf, or whatever (choose your favorite unflattering  
>>adjective) is, at the least, unbecoming. Better for him to rein  
>>it in and to concentrate on writing up the most compelling  
>>version of his case he's able to construct, and then the rest of  
>>us can make our own judgments.

>>I've been at this since the early 1960s. Over the decades I've  
>>changed my mind about a number of things, and not a day passes  
>>that I'm not weighing and pondering in the face of new  
>>information. I am struck, for example, at how my thoughts  
>>concerning such fundamental matters as abductions and  
>>crash/retrieval claims have evolved from my first exposure to  
>>them and on to the present, where I hold views I would have  
>>found unimaginable or objectionable back then. Right now I'm  
>>reading John Alexander's very interesting book and finding his  
>>contribution intriguing and thought-provoking, helping me to  
>>clarify my own impressions of what we're up against in this very  
>>difficult enterprise.

>>Thus, I try to stay modest in the face of new claims that aren't  
>>at least obviously crazy or rooted in conspiracy theory or  
>>demonology. I encourage others to do the same. We're dealing  
>>with phenomena of extraordinary complexity, well beyond current  
>>knowledge to all appearances. That being the case, provisional  
>>hypotheses are to be preferred to ones cast in concrete.

>For solace, I am reading Annie Jacobsen's book, Area 51. She did  
>bring a new hypothesis to the table and got creamed in the  
>process. Good thing she had a day job with a fairly prestigious  
>publication in the big city of L.A. The day job got her face  
>time, almost immediately after the book was published, with  
>major networks.

For a succinct three-paragraph demolition of Jacobsen's "ridiculous tale" - his words, not mine - see the new paperback edition of John A. Alexander's UFOs: Myths, Conspiracies, and Realities, page 276.

>Could the rest of the ufo researchers have been jealous of her  
>position? New kid on the block, thinking she hone in on their  
>positions? Frankly, as i read what she wrote,

Yeah, that's it, Kathy. Couldn't possibly be anything else, could it? Like, you know, that her ideas are demonstrably baseless in the real world and actually ridiculous?

Sigh,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 13:52:15 -0600  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:55:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Jerome Clark<[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:46:03 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>complicity in their publication.

>I don't. Nor should anyone else. I knew Arnold, and his naivete  
>about Palmer lingered to the end of his days.

>Arnold was an old-fashioned straight-shooter, Western variety.  
>Not just my opinion, of course. It was a view shared by those  
>who knew him, which gives them a step up on those for whom he is  
>no more than a target in print.

>As I was working on the Maury Island entry for the encyclopedia  
>and examining the relevant materials, it occurred to me that  
>Arnold's honesty worked against him. He couldn't imagine that  
>anyone else wouldn't be as he was.

>As for the belated effort to smear Arnold, it's just another sad  
>example of how ufologists love to eat their own. The examples  
>are too numerous to count. (Travis Walton and Jesse Marcel come  
>immediately to mind.) Sometimes it leads me to the sorry  
>conclusion that no one ever should report a UFO sighting to us.

I presume you were unable to review the referenced material, as  
nothing here seems to address it.

I assure you I have no interest in smearing Arnold, or anyone  
else. I definitely have interest in his original sighting  
account, which I think you would agree was the seminal event of  
20th century UFO chronology. Given its significance, did you  
really expect anything less than microscopic scrutiny of this  
case, including all its principals and their adventures after-  
the-fact?

I frankly have no concern whether a single line of The Coming Of  
The Saucers was anything but a fabrication of Palmer's. But  
perhaps you may understand that some might find it difficult to  
imagine that Arnold himself was equally unconcerned. I'm certain  
he knew his name was on it.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:41:20 -0600  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:56:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Don Ecsedy<[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 11:03:15 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>complicity in their publication.

>After several days of back and forth online comments with the  
>author of those articles, I came away with the sense the author  
>has... what's a good word for it?... an ideological distaste for  
>Arnold which determines his putting the worst possible  
>interpretation on anything Arnold wrote.

I didn't necessarily get that impression, at least not until the  
final concluding remarks in Part 10, which I would agree were  
unjustifiably critical of Arnold, and frankly detracted from the  
overall presentation.

I have snipped off the details of your debate with the author,  
in which you make valid points that dismiss some of the more  
nit-picky issues. On the whole, however, it seems evident that  
various incidents, indeed entire sequences of events (meetings,  
phone calls, travels, etc.) described in The Coming of the  
Saucers were simply fabricated.

My resulting unease is not out of concern that this was Arnold's  
doing rather than Palmer's, but that Arnold would have such  
little concern for the accuracy of the account (which indeed  
contradicted his own report to the FBI), considering the  
potential impact on the credibility of the Mt. Rainier sighting,  
which he otherwise ostensibly strove to maintain, in spite of  
some awkward 'tweaks' to the account along the way.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 16:59:18 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 07:59:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>From: Edward Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 09:10:44 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)>  
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle  
>>Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 07:23:47 -0400

>>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective

>>Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>Philip Mantle, Roswell Alien Autopsy published by  
>>RoswellBooks.com, Edinburg, Texas, 293 pages with an index.

>Kevin, EBK, List,

>Philip and I are friends, but he's mistaken about the AA.

>The UFO community has missed a great chance to understand the  
>UFO phenomenon by studying the AA and discussing the  
>implications of the footage.

>Only a few researchers have viewed the uncut footage or tried to  
>figure out the significance of the Socorro crash site.

>Everyone refuses to listen to Dr Leir and his insistence that  
>the footage is of a real creature.

>How and why did John Humphrey, a left hander, play the part of a  
>right handed surgeon and why did he and Spyros show the brain  
>being removed from the rear when it was obviously removed from  
>the front?

>These types of mistakes are ingored or overlooked in Philip's  
>research and by the vast majority of other UFO researchers.

>And how did Ray Santilli describe and give directions to  
>a dry lake with burned vegetation and rocks, covered with a  
>strange blue melted material, eventually identified as  
>crystalite? He has never been to Socorro.  
>These are only a few of the unanswered questions.

>I think it's time for folks to take another look at the uncut  
>footage and the evidence that it is hoaxed. There are many dead  
>ends in Ufology, but the AA isn't one of them.

Ed, List, All

Let's see, Ray Santilli said that the "tent" autopsy footage  
was either faked by his friends as a joke or an attempted  
recreation of the footage because the original was too muddy,  
dirty, or dark to be aired. Which version do you believe and  
don't both of them lead to the single conclusion that it is

faked?

If there was original tent footage, as suggested by Santilli, that film, although useless for broadcast would have forensic value but none of it has ever been submitted for testing which leads to a single conclusion of fake.

We learn, now, that the hospital footage was also staged... but wait, a few frames of the real autopsy were cut into the admittedly fake autopsy. We just have to figure out which parts are faked and which are real. Santilli says that there were only about four minutes of footage that was useful so he faked the rest.

In the tent debris footage, one of the I-beams has the word "video" on it... and there is a Greek word for freedom on it. What are the odds that alien writing would produce two terrestrial words?

The first cameraman statement was filled with British terms and when that was pointed out it was changed. Bob Shell claimed that he had retyped the transcript to remove the offending British words (which is not to suggest the British are offensive, only that the terminology used was not that an American would use) without benefit of hearing the tape. Bob Shell is currently in prison for an unrelated matter, but that does not speak well of his credibility.

Santilli supplied the name of the cameraman, but when it was proven that no cameraman by that name had worked the projects he claimed, Santilli said that he had invented the name as a red herring.

Let's recap... Santilli said the original tent autopsy was a fake. He said that most of the hospital morgue footage was faked. He submitted a faked interview with the alleged cameraman. He showed people some of the debris from the tent footage in the trunk (okay, boot) of his car... well this debris is not in the film, but it is some of it that was produced for that purpose.

We have the names of those who played the various roles, and there are drawings and photographs of the process. We have forensic specialists who say that the alien bodies show the muscles of a person standing rather than a body at rest. The wound on the leg is explained. The autopsy was not conducted with the rules and regulations in place at the time for a military autopsy... I can go on but what's the point.

At the far end of the spectrum, you say Roger Leir said the autopsy is of a real creature... which parts, since Santilli has said that the autopsy is mostly faked?

You ask how Santilli could describe a real place near Socorro when he had never been there, but don't mention all the others, such as Michael Hesemann, who have been there.

Santilli has blocked every attempt to forensically study the film, which would go a long way to establishing it's authenticity and has all sorts of excuses for this failure. Of course, if he knows that it's fake, then the last thing he wants is any independent testing.

Instead, we are asked to accept this as real because Santilli described a real place near Socorro, John Humphreys is left-handed and Roger Leir thinks the body is real.

At what point do you realize the problem isn't that we all reject the autopsy (because of all the admissions of fakery involved), but your continued drumbeat that it is real... despite the deplorable lack of evidence on your side.

Kevin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:49:43 -0600  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 08:01:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:54:54 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

><snip>

>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>complicity in their publication.

>Actually, I would be surprised if Arnold ever read the book.  
>Arnold was not a reader of anything not directly related to  
>business.

>He simply trusted Ray Palmer to take care of things, which is  
>why he made the mistake of passing on a book offer from  
>Doubleday and taking Palmer's assurances that they should co-  
>author (or at least co-byline) a book on their own. Arnold never  
>saw a cent from The Coming of the Saucers. Amazingly, even so,  
>he didn't hold a grudge against Palmer for that.

This is somewhat more responsive to the point. But if we presume  
Arnold was of unimpeachable character and honesty, then we  
cannot merely speculate that Arnold may not have read or  
participated in the book, rather we must definitively conclude  
so. Otherwise (given the material referenced above), our premise  
is evidently false.

I would speculate, however, that neither you nor myself would  
knowingly allow a book to be published, with our name listed as  
one of two co-authors, without having personally reviewed/edited  
the material in it. Hence my unease with Arnold having  
apparently done so. I make due note that you knew him and  
evidently do not find this particularly troubling or surprising.  
For my own part it has not diminished my interest in the  
original Mt. Rainier incident.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:



<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 17:14:06 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 08:03:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 12:24:50 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:41:00 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300  
>>>Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>>>>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:03:57 -0300  
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>You seem to forget that Brazel showed strange wreckage to his  
>>>neighbors, the Proctors and his other neighbors at the Wade  
>>>General Store in Corona on Saturday July 5. That is where he  
>>>heard about flying saucers and a reward for finding wreckage.  
>>>That is when it was suggested he go to the sherrif's office in  
>>>Roswell which he did on July 6.

>>How did his neighbors learn about a reward on July 5?

<snip>

>Brazel didn't get a newspaper or have electricity. Roswell was  
>next to the Military and Lincoln was not. The rewards story was  
>in the newspaper seen by people in Corona.

Stan, List, All -

I have found no reference to these rewards that preceded  
Brazel's trip into Roswell. After the July 4 weekend, there  
are plenty of references to the various rewards, one of which  
expired on the close of the July 4 weekend. There may have been  
radio news stories about them that people could have heard, but  
I don't believe there were any printed references prior to  
Brazel's trip... If there were, in the local, New Mexico  
newspapers, I'm sure that David Rudiak, or one of the other  
researchers will let us know.

Kevin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 19:35:00 -0300  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 08:07:26 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:39:24 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jklark.nul](mailto:jklark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 07:50:27 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>>James Carrion would be well advised to can the attacks and to  
>>lay out his case in precise fashion, sans the off-putting  
>>hyperbole. Only then will we be able to determine if his  
>>evidence matches his polemics. So far, the latter is sounding a  
>>lot louder than the former, and the pattern is looking  
>>dismayingly familiar.

>Jerry,

>Perhaps you can read over all of my posts and cite one instance  
>where I have personally attacked any one. If I am guilty of  
>anything, it is simply of presenting an alternative theory and  
>defending that view point. As for the proof you all keep  
>demanding, I have already presented documented evidence of a  
>disinformation campaign in the guise of Project Seal, but ho-  
>hum, yawn, it is not an ET explanations, so it bores you. Any  
>other evidence I present here will be treated the same way. I am  
>wasting my time here and we all know it.

James,

Please be sure to provide linkage, other than your imagination,  
between Project Seal (in New Zealand) and Roswell.

I suppose one could say the reason I am so involved with Roswell  
is that my Bar Mtizvah was in the summer of 1947. But that isn't  
true either.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

## Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 20:23:46 -0300  
Archived: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 08:13:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 18:59:47 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>>Stan,

>>Please read the declassified Top Secret memo dated August 24,  
>>1946 from Vandenberg to Truman that I located in the Truman  
>>library to understand what was being discussed at the top re.  
>>fears of Soviet aggression. The fears were real and not  
>>imagined or contrived. Here's the link:

>><http://tinyurl.com/7o72hnu> [.pdf]

>James,

>It seems to me that the 27 Sept. memo from Vandenberg negates  
>the fears expressed in the Sept. 24 memo. Certainly no great  
>fear of the Soviets attacking the US imminently. No atom bomb,  
>no long distance >bombers no need for the wild disinformation  
>program you suggest and have not provided any evidence for. As I  
>noted the bomb and bombers weren't anticipated for several  
>years.

You are missing the point Stan as what the memos show is how  
fluid the national security situation was during that time,  
where one month indications are we will be attacked, and the  
next month tensions ease. This high level of anxiety coupled  
with the ongoing revelations that the Soviets had infiltrated  
all levels of American Society is what would spur a  
counterintelligence operation to expose these Soviet spies and  
sympathizers.

As for what you call a wild disinformation story, consider this:

No one on this List has offered up a plausible alternative  
explanation for why Project Seal was being promoted in 1947 by  
the principal scientists involved even though the project was  
killed off in 1945, this coming to light only after the project  
was declassified decades later. At that time the press believed  
that the US was in possession of a weapon, possibly airborne,  
that was more potent than the atomic bomb. I have conclusively  
dissected the newspaper stories and the statements that were  
made and have shown a mixture of true and false statements based  
on the declassified documents.

No one on this List has offered an alternative explanation for  
the newspaper article that came out on June 14, 1947 captioned  
as "Spaceship Here" that details a contract awarded to Douglas  
Aircraft for creating a 2,200 MPH aircraft, a contract that  
never existed, and something that was not achieved until  
Lockheed created the SR-71.

No one on this List has offered an alternative explanation for  
the July 13, 1947 news article about a NEPTUNE rocket created at  
White Sands that could fly at 8000 MPH and in the article quoted  
an Army Spokesman calling it a Navy Project, but the alleged

missile cannot be found in the annals of missile history.

So here are just three examples of the press being fed a bunch of baloney stories by the military and the science community, for nonexistent weapons and projects which just so happen to either be assumed to be or actually of the high-speed airborne type. Two of the three stories predate even Maury Island. For whose benefit was all of this concocted? The answer is simple - it was for the Soviets - who were not only reading these disinformation stories with interest but then also reading soon after about the widely reported flying saucers - strange high speed objects skimming all over the United States.

>Are you really suggesting that all UFO reports are connected  
>with all other reports? I don't think so. The amount of evidence  
>for Roswell is far larger than for the others. Listen to  
>Recollections Of Roswell.

No. What I am suggesting is you can't cherry pick your evidence just because your favorite incident has more data. How do you explain the 20 inch saucer found in West Rindge, NH that scientists examine fragments of and determined to be similar to a V-2 missile part? That's one that you can't just chalk up to the amateurish garbage can lids and saw blade hoaxes that were being reported in the press.

>Sorry, James, General Twining's daughter told me that Port  
>Aransas, Texas, was a favorite fishing haunt of USAF brass.

>General Twining's pilot told me that fishing and hunting  
>expeditions were looked upon as very useful for open,  
>off-the-record discussions.

>You haven't mentioned the articles clearly showing Twining's  
>involvement with Flying Saucers including on the front page of  
>the Roswell Daily Record on July 8 or his letter to Boeing on  
>July 17 noting that he had been unable to go there because of  
>a very important matter that had come up... "been away quite a  
>bit". He was in New Mexico from July 7-11.

>Yes, as was common on fast breaking stories there were  
>differences in detail in some of the press accounts... just as  
>Haut's name was spelled 4 different ways.

You are again missing the point. My question to you is where do you think Spaatz was between July 7 - 11, not Twining.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Jun 2012 01:22:41 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 08:32:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 12:24:50 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:41:00 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Roswell & Flying Saucers

>>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 12:18:19 -0300  
>>>Subject: Roswell & Flying Saucers [was: The Greatest Lie...]

>>>>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Mon, 28 May 2012 09:03:57 -0300  
>>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

><snip>

>>>You seem to forget that Brazel showed strange wreckage to his  
>>>neighbors, the Proctors and his other neighbors at the Wade  
>>>General Store in Corona on Saturday July 5. That is where he  
>>>heard about flying saucers and a reward for finding wreckage.  
>>>That is when it was suggested he go to the sherrif's office in  
>>>Roswell which he did on July 6.

>>How did his neighbors learn about a reward on July 5?

>>I don't know why they would suggest going to the Chaves County  
>>sheriffs. Lincoln County had a perfectly good Sheriffs office  
>>just down the road in Carrizozo. I'm from Pittsburgh. If my  
>>property were vandalized, would my neighbors suggest I inform  
>>the police in Cleveland?

>>"Haughts Statement" informs us the rancher didn't have a phone.  
>>I guess it is in there to explain why the rancher didn't report  
>>the object immediately. Weren't there phones in Corona? Why  
>>didn't he call... someone... on the 5th?

>>Brazel didn't get a newspaper or have electricity. Roswell was  
>>next to the Military and Lincoln was not. The rewards story was  
>>in the newspaper seen by people in Corona.

>>Who would Brazel have called about a reward? He would have to  
>>provide pieces... Hard to do over the phone. His ranch was  
>>closer to Roswell than it was to Lincoln or Carrizozo... No  
>>mountains in between either. The General store was in Corona...  
>>Years later his son Bill lived in Carrizozo.

I don't think anyone has found a reward story in the news before  
July 8th. Maybe someone locally was offering a reward. The day  
of the Roswell story locally, the day of Brazel's interview in  
Roswell, and some other undetermined length of time he was away  
from the ranch. was when the rewards stories were published. If  
Brazel had heard about the rewards while chatting with the Daily



Record's reporter, which had an AP feed, Kellahin from the AP, and with KGFL with a UP feed, I can see how the story of rewards might have gotten into the telling decades later. In other words, originally, the ranchers first heard about the rewards from Mac Brazel when he returned from Roswell.

The thing about the rancher not having a phone is it is a secondary and explanatory statement. The author appears to be anticipating the question: why didn't the rancher report it immediately. It is repeated and elaborated on by Marcel in Ft Worth; the rancher didn't have a radio, either. The message is: the rancher was as innocent as Adam about the flying saucers. This seems to be anticipating a different public outcome, a different press reaction, than the rawin and balloon story got.

Alamogordo AAF was closer to Brazel than the RAAF, and with better roads. As I recall in The Roswell Incident Bill said Mac drove the kids back to Tularosa before he went to Roswell. Tularosa is a few miles north of Alamogordo. Considering the better options available to him, the reason(s) why Brazel went to the Chaves sheriffs is really not known and is probably not discoverable at this late date.

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Book 'Real Cowboys Meet Real Aliens'

From: Philip Mantle <[philip.mantle.nul](mailto:philip.mantle.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 03 Jun 2012 12:48:39 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 08:42:07 -0400  
Subject: Book 'Real Cowboys Meet Real Aliens'

-----

Real Cowboys Meet Real Aliens  
in new book by UFO Researchers

Yes, there were UFO sightings by cowboys, ranchers, and others in the Old West. Perhaps not as dramatic as Hollywood's version, these true-life UFO cases of the 1800s are nonetheless every bit as interesting, according to a newly-released book.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

PRLog (Press Release) - Jun 02, 2012 -

Imagine John Wayne riding his trusty steed over a rocky ridge and finding , littered across the desert in front of him, a crashed extraterrestrial spacecraft and the bodies of its non-human occupants. This scenario of the Wild West meeting the far future may not be as far-fetched as some people think, according to Noe Torres and John LeMay, authors of the newly-released *The Real Cowboys & Aliens: UFO Encounters Of The Old West*, 2nd Edition. The book features over 30 illustrations by award-winning artists Neil Riebe, Shane Olive, and Jared Olive, and is now available at [RoswellBooks.com](http://RoswellBooks.com) and all major online book retailers.

"After the Steven Spielberg movie *Cowboys & Aliens* was announced in 2010, we thought it would be interesting to look at actual UFO cases from the 1800s", Torres said. "While the movie is an enjoyable work of fiction, clearly it has little or no basis in reality, and we wanted our book to present actual, documented cases of UFOs in the Old West."

Writing with middle school and high school students in mind, the authors emphasized that people in the Old West really did see strange flying ships streaking across the sky. Hundreds of UFO sightings were reported throughout North America in the 19th century, as documented in numerous newspaper articles and books of that time period. Farmers, cowboys, ranchers, and others reported seeing strange signs and wonders in the sky, and occasionally, they also claimed to meet beings that were clearly not human.

The first edition of their book was praised by critics and was wildly successful among both school-age children and adults. A review in New Mexico's major daily newspaper, the *Albuquerque Journal*, proclaimed:

"Hey, Hollywood. If you're going to make a big-budget movie about extraterrestrial contact with Wild West cowpunchers, can't you at least try to get it right? Of course spacemen explored our planet in the days of saddle-sore lawmen and swinging saloon doors. But it wasn't the cartoonish picture of evil planetary invaders, complete with hideous teeth and slimy hands emanating from their reptilian bellies that is portrayed in this Hollywood blockbuster... *The Real Cowboys & Aliens: UFO Encounters Of The Old West*, sets the record straight, using information gathered from yellowed newspaper clippings from the 1800s to tell of

numerous close encounters between farmers and cowboys on the range and mysterious visitors in flight." (Albuquerque Journal, Aug. 18, 2011, Page A1).

"We were, of course, extremely gratified at the praise we received for our first edition," Torres said, "So a year later, we decided to go back in and improve a few things about the first edition and essentially create a 'director's cut' of the book with some additional content and illustrations. We also got one of the most talented artists we've ever worked with, Joe Calkins, to create a new artistic concept for our front cover. The result is dramatic, depicting a Wild West showdown between an Old West gunslinger and an extraterrestrial. Looking at the cover, you get the overwhelming feeling that something is about to happen and that it won't be pleasant for the humans."

Calkins also did the cover art for several of Torres' previous books, including Ultimate Guide to the Roswell UFO Crash, Aliens In The Forest, and Russia's Roswell Incident. Calkins, who resides in Woodburn, Oregon, displays samples of his art on his Web site

[www.CerberusArt.com](http://www.CerberusArt.com)

"After the Spielberg movie was announced, we thought it important for people to know that these types of UFO encounters really did happen in the 1800s", co-author LeMay said. "In reality, there exist many newspaper and magazine accounts from the 1800s telling of strange sightings from America's cowboy era." A native of Roswell, New Mexico, LeMay has authored several books about the history of New Mexico and is vice-president of the board of directors for the Historical Society for Southeast New Mexico (HSSNM).

"It seems likely that UFOs were quite common in the 1800s." Torres added, "We have found hundreds of newspaper and magazine accounts about people seeing strange objects and lights in the sky. We have also found articles that mention face-to-face encounters with creatures that seemed human but were clearly not."

Torres, a state section director in Texas for the Mutual UFO network (MUFON), said, "What is especially interesting about these historic UFO sightings is that they took place before airplanes were invented and before flight of any kind was common. Although hot air balloons had been around since the 1700s, it was closer to 1900 before balloon airships became widely known." Torres has written several books about historic UFO cases.

Torres and LeMay said that after researching many UFO sightings from 1800s, they picked the most interesting cases to include in the book. Torres said, "We've included many bizarre stories, such as the one about a being from outer space whose spaceship crashed in North Texas and whose body was buried in a lonely Texas cemetery where it may still remain today. You will also read about a flying monster shot by two cowboys outside of Tombstone, Arizona. There is also a tale about an underwater UFO that came up to the surface and nearly electrocuted two men. Another story tells of a mysterious 'airship' that lassoed a calf and then flew off into the sky with it. You will also hear the tale of a strange flying beast that terrorized a small Midwest town. Did these strange encounters really happen as recorded, or were they the products of overworked imaginations? We'll let the readers decide for themselves."

The second edition is not a radical departure from the first, according to Torres. "We didn't stray far from the original. We added some new content, tweaked some of the narrative to make it flow more smoothly, and added some new illustrations by our very talented artists. And, we definitely improved the front cover. But, we wanted to keep the overall presentation very similar to the first edition."

Torres and LeMay have spoken at many schools about their book since the first edition was released early in 2011. "Originally we targeted this book at middle school and high school students.", LeMay said. "We had no idea it would be so popular among adults. We've received some really good reviews, although people sometimes comment about the reading level of the book, not realizing that it was primarily intended for a younger

audience. We wanted kids who saw the Spielberg movie to know that there really were UFO sightings back in the Old West."

The artist whose illustrations appear at the start of each chapter, Neil Riebe, is an author and illustrator living in Madison, Wisconsin. Shane Olive is a retired firefighter living in Roswell, New Mexico. He has worked in illustrating for over 30 years. He had done artwork for book covers, VHS box art, and for various magazines, including TV Guide. His son, Jared, who also contributed several illustrations for this book, is a firefighter in Roswell. He has previously designed t-shirts and logos for products sold in Roswell gift shops.

The 126-page paperback book is now available for \$12.95 at:

<http://www.RoswellBooks.com>

and at all major online book sellers.

Review and media request via Noe Torres at:

[noetorres.nul](mailto:noetorres.nul)

-----

Philip Mantle

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Jun 2012 10:13:29 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 08:45:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 08:50:54 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 13:10:47 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

><snip>

>>It can be too easy to implicate Palmer. Arnold was not an  
>>academic, and intellectual. His writing is casual,  
>>conversational, and expressive, on occasion hyperbolic. Taken  
>>flatly, literally, some things he wrote are absurd. His  
>>expressiveness is not 'cosmopolitan', but regional and local,  
>>and also specialized to his career and interests. He is innocent  
>>of irony. He seems to have been unaware of it and seems to have  
>>spent most of his life conversing with people pretty much like  
>>himself.

>Don,

>That's an excellent, perceptive characterization of Arnold's  
>personality as I and others were able to observe it.

>In the years I worked at Fate magazine, I often had occasion to  
>discuss Ray Palmer with Curt and Mary Fuller. They knew him  
>well, and their assessment of him was an unflattering one. They  
>told me that Palmer's hand was apparent in much of the content  
>of Fate in the late 1940s, including in material by-lined by  
>others (either Palmer pseudonyms or the names of actual people),  
>and that much of it was fictionalized or at least exaggerated.  
>(Co-owner Curt Fuller, a formally educated journalist and a  
>conscientious editor, was seldom in the office because he was  
>busy editing Flying and taking care of two small children while  
>Mary was confined to a TB sanatorium.)

>When I checked, I found that the back-up files for the Fate of  
>the late 1940s were missing. The Fullers thought Palmer had  
>probably taken them with him when he moved to Wisconsin in the  
>early 1950s. Thus, documentation of the Palmer-Arnold  
>relationship from this crucial period is unavailable. We do,  
>however, know something of the dynamics of that relationship.

>In matters literary (using the adjective in the broadest sense),  
>Arnold, who knew nothing of writing and publishing, relied  
>entirely on Palmer's assurances and left such to him, often to  
>his own detriment. In the time I spent with Arnold in 1977 and  
>in contacts in later years (he died in 1984), I noticed that  
>virtually his entire understanding of the UFO phenomenon, his  
>own experience aside, came from Palmer, delivered orally. He not  
>once referred to other UFO chroniclers. He wasn't a reader, and  
>he wasn't monitoring Palmer as, clearly, he ought to have.

>In the vanished social world in which Arnold lived, a man's word  
>was his bond, and that was it. Unfortunately, in the larger  
>world, those constraints didn't apply to Palmer or Crisman or

>Dahl, ready, willing, and able to exploit Arnold's lack of  
>cynicism, irony, or inability to detect deception.

Jerry,

Approaching the matter of Kenneth Arnold from the direction of the Rhodes case, my first encounter with Arnold is in room 502 of the Winthrop in Tacoma with Brown and Davidson telling him about photographs from Phoenix.

I have to admit to being non-plussed by the relationship between Arnold and the agents and, with a degree of separation, Colonel Springer, and also Velma Brown. I'd come to expect agents to maintain a distance, anonymity, when investigating those reporting sightings.

It is one reason not to immediately ascribe everything Arnold wrote about Tacoma that is inexplicable or 'mysterious' as the work of Palmer.

As well, Brown and Davidson were pilots. They would have an opinion of Arnold's Cascades sighting and his estimates.

Can you refer to me to an article or book that examines this aspect of the Arnold story?

Best Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Jack Brewer <[brewer.jack.nul](mailto:brewer.jack.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Jun 2012 08:48:07 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 08:49:04 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:39:24 -0300  
>Archived: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 08:11:07 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

<snip>

>Jerry,

>Perhaps you can read over all of my posts and cite one instance  
>where I have personally attacked any one. If I am guilty of  
>anything, it is simply of presenting an alternative theory and  
>defending that view point. As for the proof you all keep  
>demanding, I have already presented documented evidence of a  
>disinformation campaign in the guise of Project Seal, but ho-  
>hum, yawn, it is not an ET explanations, so it bores you. Any  
>other evidence I present here will be treated the same way. I am  
>wasting my time here and we all know it.

>James

I sincerely empathize.

I invite consideration of the following:

- If one does not understand critical thinking skills or refuses to apply them, all ensuing discussion is editorial opinion and irrelevant from the perspective of attempting to establish actuality. Specifically, there is no single explanation applicable to the complex history of unidentified things perceived to be bouncing around the sky. Similarly, what James may or may not think about the history and explanations for UFOs, the work anyone else has published on the topic, personal experiences concerning the subject matter and so on are entirely irrelevant in evaluating two issues that should be primary concerns: One, the literal research methodology undertaken by James during the course of his work, and, two, his hypothesis surrounding certain events that took place specifically during June of 1947.

- In order to effectively offer critical review of work presented by James Carrion or anyone else, the work must actually be read and considered. There is no way to competently negotiate this point; a person is simply unqualified to comment on work they have not read and/or do not understand. Repeatedly demanding James explain points that are, in fact, covered extensively in the work undermines the entire process of effectively and objectively considering the research presented. Similarly, incorrectly and unfairly saddling James with assertions not actually expressed in his work, as is repeatedly taking place, is very detrimental to productive and constructive dialog. Such tactics also misrepresent actuality while failing to address the substance of the research, whether the tactics are implemented through intentional ill will, personal insecurities or simple ignorance.

- Whether or not the UFO community likes to acknowledge it, none

of the events in question, Maury Island, Arnold or Roswell, have ever been conclusively established to include extraterrestrial beings and such. Arguments that said events involved ET and/or extraordinary phenomena are currently nothing more than passionate editorial and representations of opinion. One rational line of consideration would therefore be that alternative explanations should be considered and explored, not oppressed, particularly if such exploration includes adhering to professional research protocol. Actually, a strong argument could well be made that rationality demands exploring alternative and innovative approaches in light of the fact no conclusive evidence of ET has ever been established.

So, James, I would agree that you are indeed wasting your time if your efforts are measured by the extent you can lead certain people to understand that what may or may not have taken place in Washington during the latter 1940's does not necessarily have anything to do with what may or may not have happened, for instance, in Point Pleasant, West Virginia, in the 1960's, among other examples of applying critical thinking. I would also conclude it to be a waste of time if you expect people to be held accountable for their statements who refuse to follow reasonable debate protocol and are emotionally vested in attacking your research to the extent they are entirely unable to objectively discuss it.

I would equally conclude, however, that your research itself has been a very wise use of time from several perspectives well beyond the possibility your theory may be correct and your work offers interesting considerations. Among such perspectives would be the examples you have provided of competently conducting research as well as applying long term sustained attention, each of which are very valuable commodities. Such skills are valuable not only in ufology, but also in a culture suffering from ever decreasing attention spans and the subsequent risks of misunderstanding concepts if they cannot be fully contained within single tweets or brief emails.

What's more, I would even say your recent efforts on UFO UpDates List were not entirely wastes of time, in that it is my experience that numerous intelligent and reasonably well informed readers do not bother (or waste their time, if you will) arguing with those who are overly opinionated and prone to pontificating. Such intelligent readers indeed notice what takes place both overtly and at times between the lines. In that regard, James, your time was well spent in further exposing that which the discriminating member of the UFO community must come to accept: many people have very little interest in the truth as compared to being considered witty while imposing their preferred belief systems and premature conclusions upon others.

Jack  
[www.ufotrail.blogspot.com](http://www.ufotrail.blogspot.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**





# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Jun 2012 14:02:00 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 08:51:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:44:54 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:17:18 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>Why would the Soviets encrypt news stories in peacetime?

>>There were no odds of the Luftwaffe or U-Boats interferring  
>>with their delivery. Why not just put the clippings, collected  
>>by their embassies and consulates, in a 'diplomatic pouch', and  
>>fly them to the Kremlin?

>Don,

>Take a look at the Wikipedia Article on the Army Security  
>Agency/NSA's Project Shamrock. The Russians thought their  
>encryption was unbreakable and therefore heavily used Commercial  
>Telegraph carriers for most of their communications. RCA, ITT  
>and Western Union provided the ASA/NSA with copies of all of  
>these outgoing telegram's from 1945 on. Project Venona was the  
>ASA/NSA project that worked on painstakingly breaking the code.  
>Venona was also the project that exposed the extents of Soviet  
>espionage in the US.

>James

A few things I have no argument over: Seal might have been developed in 1947 as a disinfo project, and I've also wondered whether the AF might have produced a saucer on the ground hoax or two in 1947. So, stipulating to such a scenario...

It appears to me that the development of a disinfo operation using Seal was cut short by the flying saucer wave rather than the wave being a development of the operation. During the wave, did anyone draw attention to the super weapon story and relate it to the saucers? An allusion to the secret super weapon having something to do with something in the air had only been broached by the operation when the report of Arnold's sighting went national. I'd think the operation would have wanted to develop that allusion more fully before launching the wave - assuming they could have launched the wave in the first place. The fact it was not so developed in the national media and that no one (at least that I know of) connected the two, is a strong circumstantial argument against the flying saucer wave being a product of the operation. It looks to me as if the operation was aborted by the wave.

Whether or not the Soviets would have coded up stories from US newspapers - the US wasn't the only nation reporting on the saucers. I understood you to mean the authorities were looking to spot Soviet undercover informants - subversives - rather than the activities of the embassy, consulates, and known or suspected agents. This was why Brown and Davidson were interested in certain kinds of mail Arnold was receiving, or so

I understand you. Certain groups had been of interest to both the CIC and the FBI for more than a decade, and these groups and individuals are among those likely to have written to Arnold. For example, men and women who were the up and coming generation of science fiction writers and editors had a history in the 1930s especially of association with Communist Party front organizations. In fact, Jim Pobst wrote he had evidence that Richard Shaver was a party agitator in the early 30s in Detroit. Some of the theosophists (what today is called New Age), Meade Layne especially, also were persons of interest. There were other space opera cults besides the fans of Shaver, and Fortean who were resoundingly 'anti-establishment'. The CIC and the FBI had reasons for wanting information about them whether or not the Seal operation was true or not.

Regards,

Don  
[www.foreshadower.net](http://www.foreshadower.net)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Cathy Reason** <[Cathym.nul](mailto:Cathym.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Jun 2012 19:02:02 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 09:14:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 11:17:18 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>Why would the Soviets encrypt news stories in peacetime?

>There were no odds of the Luftwaffe or U-Boats interfering with  
>their delivery. Why not just put the clippings, collected by  
>their embassies and consulates, in a 'diplomatic pouch', and fly  
>them to the Kremlin?

As I understand the story Mr Carrion is telling, the Soviets were encrypting all their communications from the US using one-time pads. However up to the mid 1940s, some of these one-time pads were re-used, which allows a cryptanalyst an entry into breaking the ciphers. This practice stopped by the mid 1940s, but by then enough traffic had been accumulated for a team of analysts to spend the best part of four decades trying to decipher it. The fact that it took nearly forty years - plus the fact that, apparently, less than twenty percent of the traffic was ultimately deciphered - shows just how extremely difficult it can be to break a one-time cipher, even when you have a crib. And this is where the story seems to break down.

If it's true that, after the mid 1940s, the Soviets were using one-time pads and weren't re-using them, then these ciphers could not have been broken using a known plaintext attack. So "gardening" would have been completely useless. So for Mr Carrion's story to make any sense at all, the cipher which constituted the target for this "gardening" approach must have been something else. And it's not clear to me what it was, assuming it existed.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Re: Reconciling Impossibles With Observed Phenomena

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Jun 2012 23:07:53 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 09:16:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: Reconciling Impossibles With Observed Phenomena

Hello List

Recently on NPR, Marcelo Gleiser has written intelligently from two seemingly opposed viewpoints:

Of Alien Intelligence, The Supernatural And Divinity

<http://tinyurl.com/758wmzz>

Should Humans Explore The Stars?

<http://tinyurl.com/7up9njt>

both of which I found today (thanks to Graham Hancock's sci-updates web-page) and realised, at first sight, that Marcelo had neatly covered some positive and negative aspects of my own musings of the last year or so.

Firstly, that present-day humans can't possibly do interstellar travel in present-day 'space-ships' (tin cans with rockets attached). If they tried they would be quickly killed by either radiation or impacts: both are soon lethal in deep space and at any significant relative velocity.

Secondly, and even so, a great deal of reported 'sightings' and 'encounters' are eerily like what we could expect from visiting super-advanced civilizations: i.e various kinds of 'magic'.

Therefore I think we have to look at the big picture of what is actually observed and try to reconcile these apparent 'impossibilities' with our own knowledge and projected near-future discoveries.

So what do we see?

In space we see large spherical or globular objects, maybe 5 or 6 miles in diameter, of non-metallic appearance, moving in a non-ballistic manner and paying no regard to the laws of inertia. Also in space we catch glimpses of 'super-fast' small entities, apparent sizes ranging from 'tiny lights' to 'human-sized streaks', which seem to be associated with, or deployed from, the much larger non-metallic objects.

In and below the atmosphere we typically see a bewildering mix of smaller metallic-looking disks, triangles, cigar-shapes etc. occasionally over-looked or 'shepherded' by a super-sized object which can be of a somewhat amorphous or shape-changing nature. (Nb. none of the above descriptions are hard'n'fast - mutations and exceptions abound.)

While on the ground we have reports of sightings and encounters, often of high strangeness, with entities of many types, most of which seem to have 'super-human' abilities or to break the (presently known) laws of physics.

I claim that we can stand back, look at the big picture and see that it is all as we should expect, given the above constraints and observed phenomena.

I.e that advanced civilizations send out inertia-drive protected and propelled massive craft of non-metallic (some of poss. gelatinous) build, carrying hardware deep inside, to be deployed as and when necessary. That intelligent organic entities (the actual advanced beings) do not travel outside their home solar systems but control and direct interstellar craft by instantaneous (entangled) communications, which also allows organic beings safely 'at home' to direct their robots and possible android 'waldos' in their interactions with Earth animals.

After all, it's exactly what we will have to do if we wish to explore the Universe.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 09:05:42 -0300  
Archived: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 09:22:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 07:58:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>No credentialed historian, it bears noting, has come to  
>conclusions remotely like yours. And you can't even retort that  
>UFOs are not respectable enough for respectable historians to  
>take up (except, of course, as absurd popular enthusiasm). True  
>enough, but plenty have written about the operations and abuses  
>of U.S. intelligence in the post-war years. If your conclusions  
>were true, the credentialed historian responsible for exposing  
>them could count on a big article in the New York Times and a  
>lot of approving attention from colleagues. I can see the  
>headlines already.

Jerry,

The US Air Force Warrior Studies commissioned an interesting Air Force History document by Dr. Diane T. Putney titled "ULTRA and the Army Air Forces in WW2".

Here are some relevant excerpts:

"ULTRA remained the great secret of WW2 for nearly 30 years after the war..."

"Two books published in 1967 and 1973 in Polish and French, respectively, revealed that ENIGMA intercepts were broken and read, but the books received little notice. (Until) in 1974, Frederick W. Winterbotham, the British group captain who established the Special Liaison Units and who was responsible for ULTRA's security, published his memoir, The Ultra Secret, which became an instant bestseller."

"The initial revelations about ULTRA led some people to speculate that reputations of some Allied commanders would have to be revised, that ULTRA was responsible for the Allied victory, and that the history of WW2 would have to be rewritten. Historians were willing to reexamine their conclusions in light of new evidence, but they still had to wait years until the U.S. and British governments declassified and released ULTRA documents."

So here we have a precedent of factual information being ignored by historians in 1967 and 1973 until someone 'in the know' revealed ULTRA as a reality. Just because no credentialed historian has come to my conclusions does not mean that the reality behind my theory does not exist.

James

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:



<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 5](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 08:38:59 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 05 Jun 2012 17:13:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 13:52:15 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Jerome Clark<[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:46:03 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>>complicity in their publication.

>>I don't. Nor should anyone else. I knew Arnold, and his naivete  
>>about Palmer lingered to the end of his days.

>>Arnold was an old-fashioned straight-shooter, Western variety.  
>>Not just my opinion, of course. It was a view shared by those  
>>who knew him, which gives them a step up on those for whom he is  
>>no more than a target in print.

>>As I was working on the Maury Island entry for the encyclopedia  
>>and examining the relevant materials, it occurred to me that  
>>Arnold's honesty worked against him. He couldn't imagine that  
>>anyone else wouldn't be as he was.

>>As for the belated effort to smear Arnold, it's just another sad  
>>example of how ufologists love to eat their own. The examples  
>>are too numerous to count. (Travis Walton and Jesse Marcel come  
>>immediately to mind.) Sometimes it leads me to the sorry  
>>conclusion that no one ever should report a UFO sighting to us.

>I frankly have no concern whether a single line of The Coming Of  
>The Saucers was anything but a fabrication of Palmer's. But  
>perhaps you may understand that some might find it difficult to  
>imagine that Arnold himself was equally unconcerned. I'm certain  
>he knew his name was on it.

Two observations, one quick, the other not quite so quick:

(1) Arnold was an honorable man who lacked imagination and did not read much.

(2) Continuing attacks on him - or anyone one else who is

prominent in UFO history - by those of the necessary ideological predisposition are sadly predictable. They are premised on the notion that well-known UFO witnesses must of necessity be shady characters operating out of less than respectable motives. (Philip J. Klass mastered this particular approach, applying it even to the likes of Father Gill.) Knowing this, I find the current smear of Arnold by yet another UFOphobe of little interest, and certainly no reason to get excited.

For the particulars, I bow to those - Martin Shough, Don Ecsedy - who have the stomach (not to mention the time) to take this on in all its grisly details and to document, detail by detail, the hollowness at the core.

It may bear remarking that if someone presumes the worst in you, even (or especially) in your innocent mistakes and ordinary human failings, he or she will find it. In my career in ufology, I have occasionally been at the receiving end of malicious printed personal attacks (I don't mean, by the way, in the usual rough and tumble of Updates exchanges). I know the feeling and the experience. I just hope you never find yourself there.

On to the more productive endeavors that await my attention....

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 5](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 08:47:05 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 05 Jun 2012 17:26:04 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 09:05:42 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 07:58:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>No credentialed historian, it bears noting, has come to  
>>conclusions remotely like yours. And you can't even retort that  
>>UFOs are not respectable enough for respectable historians to  
>>take up (except, of course, as absurd popular enthusiasm). True  
>>enough, but plenty have written about the operations and abuses  
>>of U.S. intelligence in the post-war years. If your conclusions  
>>were true, the credentialed historian responsible for exposing  
>>them could count on a big article in the New York Times and a  
>>lot of approving attention from colleagues. I can see the  
>>headlines already.

>Jerry,

>The US Air Force Warrior Studies commissioned an interesting Air  
>Force History document by Dr. Diane T. Putney titled "ULTRA and  
>the Army Air Forces in WW2".

>Here are some relevant excerpts:

>"ULTRA remained the great secret of WW2 for nearly 30 years  
>after the war..."

>"Two books published in 1967 and 1973 in Polish and French,  
>respectively, revealed that ENIGMA intercepts were broken and  
>read, but the books received little notice. (Until) in 1974,  
>Frederick W. Winterbotham, the British group captain who  
>established the Special Liaison Units and who was responsible  
>for ULTRA's security, published his memoir, The Ultra Secret,  
>which became an instant bestseller."

>"The initial revelations about ULTRA led some people to  
>speculate that reputations of some Allied commanders would have  
>to be revised, that ULTRA was responsible for the Allied  
>victory, and that the history of WW2 would have to be rewritten.  
>Historians were willing to reexamine their conclusions in light  
>of new evidence, but they still had to wait years until the U.S.  
>and British governments declassified and released ULTRA  
>documents."

>So here we have a precedent of factual information being ignored  
>by historians in 1967 and 1973 until someone 'in the know'  
>revealed ULTRA as a reality. Just because no credentialed  
>historian has come to my conclusions does not mean that the  
>reality behind my theory does not exist.

I don't think this really addresses my point, but if you have  
the evidence, let's see it. Pull yourself away from Updates and  
start writing up your case, the best you can argue it, in a  
formal paper.

Till then, we're all wasting time.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 5](#)

## Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

From: Neil Morris <[neil.nul](mailto:neil.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 17:27:20 +0100  
Archived: Tue, 05 Jun 2012 17:44:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 16:59:18 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>From: Edward Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 09:10:44 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>>Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective

>>>Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>>Philip Mantle, Roswell Alien Autopsy published by  
>>>RoswellBooks.com, Edinburg, Texas, 293 pages with an index.

>>Kevin, EBK, List,

>>Philip and I are friends, but he's mistaken about the AA.

>>The UFO community has missed a great chance to understand the  
>>UFO phenomenon by studying the AA and discussing the  
>>implications of the footage.

>>Only a few researchers have viewed the uncut footage or tried to  
>>figure out the significance of the Socorro crash site.

>>Everyone refuses to listen to Dr Leir and his insistence that  
>>the footage is of a real creature.

>>How and why did John Humphrey, a left hander, play the part of a  
>>right handed surgeon and why did he and Spyros show the brain  
>>being removed from the rear when it was obviously removed from  
>>the front?

>>These types of mistakes are ingored or overlooked in Philip's  
>>research and by the vast majority of other UFO researchers.

>>And how did Ray Santilli describe and give directions to  
>>a dry lake with burned vegetation and rocks, covered with a  
>>strange blue melted material, eventually identified as  
>>crystalite? He has never been to Socorro.  
>>These are only a few of the unanswered questions.

>>I think it's time for folks to take another look at the uncut  
>>footage and the evidence that it is hoaxed. There are many dead  
>>ends in Ufology, but the AA isn't one of them.

>Ed, List, All

>Let's see, Ray Santilli said that the "tent" autopsy footage  
>was either faked by his friends as a joke or an attempted  
>recreation of the footage because the original was too muddy,  
>dirty, or dark to be aired. Which version do you believe and  
>don't both of them lead to the single conclusion that it is  
>faked?

>If there was original tent footage, as suggested by Santilli,  
>that film, although useless for broadcast would have forensic  
>value but none of it has ever been submitted for testing which  
>leads to a single conclusion of fake.

<snip>

>Instead, we are asked to accept this as real because Santilli  
>described a real place near Socorro, John Humphreys is left-  
>handed and Roger Leir thinks the body is real.

>At what point do you realize the problem isn't that we all  
>reject the autopsy (because of all the admissions of fakery  
>involved), but your continued drumbeat that it is real...  
>despite the deplorable lack of evidence on your side.

>Kevin

List,

You may find some of this evidence in this article I wrote  
earlier this year.

<http://es3.ph.man.ac.uk/~neil/Alien-Autopsy-Revisited.pdf>

Here you will find examples of intercut footage, "I" beams with  
and without glyphs and more than one body on the table, it's  
worth the 2.5mbt download if I do say so myself.

Neil

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 5](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 12:42:09 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Tue, 05 Jun 2012 17:50:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 17:22:33 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

><snip>

>The main point to come out of all this is the one Dion Ecsidy  
>brought up here. Most things come back to this question of why  
>Arnold recalled flying to Tacoma on July 29 instead of July 30.  
>I think that Don perhaps suspects some sort of cover-up of a  
>missing day that Arnold really did spend in Tacoma? If there are  
>other reasons to suspect this it would be interesting to hear  
>about them, but for my money, at the moment, this is probably  
>just a meaningless error.

Just to note, I don't think there is a cover-up about the date.  
I accept the consensus of the record that Arnold arrived in  
Tacoma on the 30th.

If more references to the 29th turn up, then I'd wonder if it is  
a pattern of some sort.

>Arnold's 1952 book says July 29. And a 1948 official report  
>contains the July 29 date. When we then find that Arnold himself  
>used the July 29 date in a telegram of August 31, 1947 it seem  
>at first sight like impressive early corroboration. OK, but of  
>course this document could simply be the source of a perpetuated  
>systematic error.

In his telegram to Springer, Arnold does not say he arrived on  
the 29th, but that the events from the 29th were the subject of  
his article. Arnold's course is set on Tacoma when he accepted  
Palmer's assignment on the 29th. 'Tacoma' begins for him at that  
moment it seems.

>Could Arnold have written down the wrong date a month after the  
>event? It's possible. If he did then whatever sources or notes  
>or memories he was using to reconstruct the affair 5 years later  
>for Ray Palmer would be contaminated by this early error. The  
>occurrence of the same date in the document "Analysis of FLYOB  
>Incidents in US" would be more impressive were it not that the  
>context of this reference seems confused and may suggest that  
>some info from the files has been improperly digested by the  
>writer.

>Martin Shough



Re: Dating Arnold

What is surprising is that they didn't correct the error in the Analysis. It seems reasonable to assume at least one person would have noticed the jumble.

Someone did make a change between the December 1948 and the April 1949 printings of the Analysis. They replaced the first photograph in the four-image grid of Rhodes' photos with a different crop of it.

Regards,

Don  
<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 6](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 14:30:31 -0300  
Archived: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 18:42:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:49:43 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:54:54 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>><snip>

>>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>>complicity in their publication.

>>Actually, I would be surprised if Arnold ever read the book.  
>>Arnold was not a reader of anything not directly related to  
>>business.

>>He simply trusted Ray Palmer to take care of things, which is  
>>why he made the mistake of passing on a book offer from  
>>Doubleday and taking Palmer's assurances that they should co-  
>>author (or at least co-byline) a book on their own. Arnold never  
>>saw a cent from The Coming of the Saucers. Amazingly, even so,  
>>he didn't hold a grudge against Palmer for that.

>This is somewhat more responsive to the point. But if we presume  
>Arnold was of unimpeachable character and honesty, then we  
>cannot merely speculate that Arnold may not have read or  
>participated in the book, rather we must definitively conclude  
>so. Otherwise (given the material referenced above), our premise  
>is evidently false.

>I would speculate, however, that neither you nor myself would  
>knowingly allow a book to be published, with our name listed as  
>one of two co-authors, without having personally reviewed/edited  
>the material in it. Hence my unease with Arnold having  
>apparently done so. I make due note that you knew him and  
>evidently do not find this particularly troubling or surprising.  
>For my own part it has not diminished my interest in the  
>original Mt. Rainier incident.

Hi Mike,

I'm not sure that pulp fiction writers or publications would give those mentioned in books much notice-if any- back in the late '40s or early '50s. They were more 'tabloid-like' and seemingly unanswerable to those who objected. Many writers noted witnesses names with impunity without any worries about the type of litigation one would expect today.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 6](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 14:34:22 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 18:44:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:41:20 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Don Ecsedy<[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 11:03:15 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>>><http://tinyurl.com/cg1k9b>

>>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>>complicity in their publication.

>>After several days of back and forth online comments with the  
>>author of those articles, I came away with the sense the author  
>>has... what's a good word for it?... an ideological distaste for  
>>Arnold which determines his putting the worst possible  
>>interpretation on anything Arnold wrote.

>I didn't necessarily get that impression, at least not until the  
>final concluding remarks in Part 10, which I would agree were  
>unjustifiably critical of Arnold, and frankly detracted from the  
>overall presentation.

I also read author's writings the comments section for the  
series.

>I have snipped off the details of your debate with the author,  
>in which you make valid points that dismiss some of the more  
>nit-picky issues. On the whole, however, it seems evident that  
>various incidents, indeed entire sequences of events (meetings,  
>phone calls, travels, etc.) described in The Coming of the  
>Saucers were simply fabricated.

I agree with Martin Shough that a fair amount of that is a big  
"so what?", and agree with you that not all of it is a "so  
what?". Also, simply because the FBI or the AF have a different  
account doesn't mean theirs is true, and Arnold's false.

>My resulting unease is not out of concern that this was Arnold's  
>doing rather than Palmer's, but that Arnold would have such  
>little concern for the accuracy of the account (which indeed  
>contradicted his own report to the FBI), considering the

>potential impact on the credibility of the Mt. Rainier sighting,  
>which he otherwise ostensibly strove to maintain, in spite of  
>some awkward 'tweaks' to the account along the way.

>Mike

UFO writers have commented on blogs that editors make changes to their books. I've found quotations of Aldrich's 8/14/47 report (in which Rhodes is spelled Rhoads all four times it appears) in books and articles in which Aldrich's 'Rhoads' was "corrected" to 'Rhodes'. Editors and publishers will do that, and in the pulp genres back in the day, Palmer was not unique in doing so, including rewriting the submitted text, and Arnold would not be the first writer to let it pass (and apparently to this day some UFO writers will let it pass).

I'm less interested in questioning Arnold's character than in figuring out which parts of the story in the book were made up out of the whole cloth by Palmer. I don't think Palmer did so for some nefarious purpose, but to make the story more suspenseful, mysterious. He doesn't seem to have realized at the time how mysterious it already was, and would become. He was trying to engage the reader and make the sale. I guess I have a less negative opinion of Palmer than is common around here. At least, I think I understand his motives. They seem harmless.

Crisman and Dahl may be harmless, as well. We have Palmer's word it was Crisman who wrote letters to Amazing Stories about his battle with the Dero in Burma, Perhaps Crisman was trying to replicate Shaver's success, and maybe Palmer was playing out that possibility with him, and that was what Maury Island was.

What really interests me, though, is why Brown and Davidson would fly to Tacoma right then and there just on a hint from Arnold. The same with Sander on a call from Smith...and why Smith thought Sander was S-2 (not A-2) intelligence rather than a PIO.

So, there is Arnold, wound as tight as a spring, and then there are Crisman, Dahl, Sander, Brown, Davidson, Palmer, all with their own agendas, as well Lantz and Morello with theirs, and also Smith and even Dave Johnson with theirs. Were any of them forthright and above board with Arnold? I would not want to have been in Arnold's shoes.

Regards,

Don  
<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 6](#)

## Aztec Incident by Scott & Suzanne Ramsey

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 19:36:07 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 19:36:07 -0400  
Subject: Aztec Incident by Scott & Suzanne Ramsey

Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/83olr3o>

Wednesday, May 30, 2012

The Aztec Incident by Scott and Suzanne Ramsey

The Aztec Incident, Scott and Suzanne Ramsey, Aztec.48  
Productions, Mooresville, NC, 221 pages, no index.

Let me say first that this was a fun book to read. I have been aware of the alleged Aztec UFO crash almost from the moment that I became interested in UFOs. I remember, while still in high school so many years ago, reading J. P. Cahn's expose of the story in a back issue of True. I was especially interested because I was living in Aurora, Colorado which is, of course, right next door to Denver (and in fact, when most people ask about it I say Denver unless they are familiar with the area), and Denver had a prominent role in the original story.

That said, I found the book somewhat disappointing, but that might be a result of hearing, for the last several years, about a new investigation that had uncovered new and important clues. I had heard about new witnesses to the crash and new information that should be quite persuasive for those with an open mind.

There are two new first-hand witnesses, both no longer available for interview. One of them, Doug Noland, tells a robust tale, but is slightly contaminated because he approached William Steinman who wrote about the Aztec crash in the mid-1980s. While it certainly makes sense for a witness who knows something about the case to come forward, it isn't as clear cut as if the Ramseys found him through investigation and there is nothing in the book to tell us that.

To make it worse, Noland's tale mirrors part of the Frank Scully story as reported by Scully in Behind the Flying Saucers. Scully wrote of Dr. Gee and the scientists who watched the crashed saucer for two days before they approached and how, with a pole poked through a small hole in a porthole, tripped a switch that opened the craft.

In fact, the Ramseys go out of their way to avoid much of what Scully reported about the Aztec crash, seeming to ignore Scully's first, tongue-in-cheek references in his newspaper column, the crashes in other parts of the United States and the world, or that the crew had been dressed in garb reminiscent of the 1890s, but they all had perfect teeth.

Anyway, Noland claimed, and the Ramseys reported,

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 6](#)

## UFOs And Phone Interference

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 20:44:09 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 20:44:09 -0400  
Subject: UFOs And Phone Interference

This happened a couple of times on my radio program Strange Days... Indeed. And a couple of decades ago, a radio station North of Toronto was blown-off the air and much of its studio and phones wiring fried during a discussion about sightings around a lake in the area. No... the weather was fine, no lightning

Source: [MysteriousUniverse.Org](http://MysteriousUniverse.Org)

<http://tinyurl.com/799kq34>

May 29th 2012

UFOs And Phone Interference  
Nick Redfern

There can surely be very few stranger experiences for the researcher of Fortean and paranormal phenomena than when those same phenomena decide to turn the tables and focus their attentions upon the aforementioned researcher. And there is, perhaps, no better example of this than in relation to the mystery of the Men in Black - whose shadowy and creepy activities seem to have consistently targeted UFO witnesses and investigators alike. Including, just maybe, me. Read on...

On last Saturday night's Coast to Coast show I was interviewed on that very subject: the Men in Black mystery. It was a 3-hour-long episode (1AM to 4AM) in which I discussed with the host of the show, John B. Wells, the many and varied complexities of the legend of the Men in Black.

During the course of the show, we covered a great deal of ground, such as: (A) the saga of Albert Bender (arguably, the one man more than any other who kick-started the MIB mystery), (B) Gray Barker's significant part in the controversy (largely thanks to his classic 1956 book, They Knew Too Much About Flying Saucers), (C) the MIB-Mothman connection detailed by John Keel in The Mothman Prophecies and by the aforementioned Barker in The Silver Bridge (the latter being a book I particularly recommend), (D) the many and varied theories for who - or what - the Men in Black might be, (E) modern day encounters with the MIB, and much more.

But, there was something else too...

Normally, whenever I do a radio show, it goes ahead without a hitch or a glitch. But, not when I'm talking about the MIB. On many occasions now, when that subject surfaces, we seem to encounter endless telephone interference - which is, as many will be acutely aware, an absolutely staple part of MIB lore.

As anyone who has read the work of Keel will know, cases abound where people immersed in the conundrum of the Men in Black have encountered all manner of very bizarre telephone interference,



such as hearing strange voices ranting in bizarre or foreign languages, as well as equally weird electronic noises, clicks, and bleeps. And, very often, in the night hours and early mornings when the world is transformed into a very different and mysterious place.

And, indeed, it might be said that last weekend's Coast to Coast show was just the latest addition to this odd and clearly ongoing situation.

On no less than four occasions during the course of the show, the landline dropped, forcing the producers to call me back. But, the phone didn't ring out at my end on any of those call-back occasions. So then they tried my cell phone. Which was fine, as I always have that handy for use as an emergency back-up. Well, it was okay for a while. That is, until the cell started dropping and we had to then use another land line.

So, all in all, no less than three phones for one interview - a significant portion of which was focused on the very issue of the Men in Black and telephone interference!

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 6](#)

## Kasten's Non-Response [was: Alien [MILAB?]]

From: **Kay Wilson** <[kaywilson.nul](mailto:kaywilson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 12:14:44 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 21:17:14 -0400  
Subject: Kasten's Non-Response [was: Alien [MILAB?]]

Kathy Kasten, the self proclaimed radiologist and expert on implant analysis - refuses to respond.

Kathy: Are you a board certified radiologist or not?

If not, what makes you such an expert on judging what is and what is not a "real implant"??

What are the "peculiar properties" of the implants you claim to know so much about from your "mind control" research?

Kay Wilson  
[www.alienjigsaw.com](http://www.alienjigsaw.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 6](#)

## Re: New At The Alien Jigsaw - 05-31-12

From: Kay Wilson <[kaywilson.nul](mailto:kaywilson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Jun 2012 12:24:46 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 06 Jun 2012 21:20:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: New At The Alien Jigsaw - 05-31-12

I'd like to make a correction to my What's New and let everyone know the correct URL to Elsie Conner's blog titled:

The Frustrating Aspects of Alien Screen Imagery

<http://outofdarkness601.blogspot.com>

Kay Wilson  
[http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats\\_New/Whats\\_new.html](http://alienjigsaw.com/Whats_New/Whats_new.html)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## S.P.A.C.E. Contact News - June 2012

From: Kay Wilson <[kaywilson.nul](mailto:kaywilson.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2012 10:07:44 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 12:41:47 -0400  
Subject: S.P.A.C.E. Contact News - June 2012

Harold Egelin has published his June 2012 Edition of his SPACE CONTACT e-newsletter:

<http://community-2.webtv.net/AOKSpacer/SPACECONTACTNEWS/>

Always interesting information and lots of links to share.

Kay Wilson  
[www.alienjigsaw.com](http://www.alienjigsaw.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2012 08:10:25 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 13:09:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:49:43 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 08:54:54 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>><snip>

>>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>>complicity in their publication.

>>Actually, I would be surprised if Arnold ever read the book.  
>>Arnold was not a reader of anything not directly related to  
>>business.

>>He simply trusted Ray Palmer to take care of things, which is  
>>why he made the mistake of passing on a book offer from  
>>Doubleday and taking Palmer's assurances that they should co-  
>>author (or at least co-byline) a book on their own. Arnold never  
>>saw a cent from The Coming of the Saucers. Amazingly, even so,  
>>he didn't hold a grudge against Palmer for that.

>I \_would\_ speculate, however, that neither you nor I would  
>knowingly allow a book to be published, with our name listed as  
>one of two co-authors, without having personally reviewed/edited  
>the material in it. Hence my unease with Arnold having  
>apparently done so. I make due note that you knew him and  
>evidently do not find this particularly troubling or surprising.  
>For my own part it has not diminished my interest in the  
>original Mt. Rainier incident.

I think that the point is that neither you nor I resemble the  
likes of Kenneth Arnold much. I presume we are both honest and  
well-meaning as was Arnold, but beyond that, you and I grasp,  
and think in terms of, formal logic, history, and all that flow  
from them. In my experience - anyone who has received an  
unsourced e-mail from an unread uncle will know what I mean - a  
great many people don't. Arnold, who lived in an oral world in  
which printed communication existed only to communicate

technical information, knew what happened to him, and that's all he needed to know. I suspect he also felt he didn't need to read about it.

(Broadly related: A few of you may recall a statement credited to Arnold and circulated through fringe saucer literature in the 1950s. In the statement, supposed in Arnold's own words, he reports that invisible beings had made their presence known in his house. When I met him, I asked about that. He'd never heard the story before and said it wasn't true.)

Years ago, after meeting Arnold, the woman to whom I was then married remarked with something approaching incredulity on how unimaginative he was, how pedestrian his concerns, and how little he cared about things that would excite anybody with curiosity and an engagement with the larger world. I recall thinking once that if it were not for UFOs, Arnold and I would have had not a thing in common. I suspect that if you'd met him, something of the same would have crossed your mind.

Arnold was an old-fashioned Westerner, a hardly unfamiliar type to those who know something of that fading culture, whose life was business, family, and friends. Just about anything that concerns us, he left to Ray Palmer - as we see now, providing a target for the unfriendly fire that seeks, through him, to wound all linked to the UFO phenomenon.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2012 14:30:04 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 13:10:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 12:42:09 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

<snip>

>What is surprising is that they didn't correct the error in the  
>Analysis. It seems reasonable to assume at least one person  
>would have noticed the jumble.

>Someone did make a change between the December 1948 and the  
>April 1949 printings of the Analysis. They replaced the first  
>photograph in the four-image grid of Rhodes' photos with a  
>different crop of it.

OK. Did you find any changes anywhere in the text?

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2012 11:23:43 -0300  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 13:12:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 08:47:05 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>From: James Carrion <[jcarrion.nul](mailto:jcarrion.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 09:05:42 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 07:58:20 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told

>>>No credentialed historian, it bears noting, has come to  
>>>conclusions remotely like yours. And you can't even retort that  
>>>UFOs are not respectable enough for respectable historians to  
>>>take up (except, of course, as absurd popular enthusiasm). True  
>>>enough, but plenty have written about the operations and abuses  
>>>of U.S. intelligence in the post-war years. If your conclusions  
>>>were true, the credentialed historian responsible for exposing  
>>>them could count on a big article in the New York Times and a  
>>>lot of approving attention from colleagues. I can see the  
>>>headlines already.

>>Jerry,

>>The US Air Force Warrior Studies commissioned an interesting Air  
>>Force History document by Dr. Diane T. Putney titled "ULTRA and  
>>the Army Air Forces in WW2".

>>Here are some relevant excerpts:

>>"ULTRA remained the great secret of WW2 for nearly 30 years  
>>after the war..."

>>"Two books published in 1967 and 1973 in Polish and French,  
>>respectively, revealed that ENIGMA intercepts were broken and  
>>read, but the books received little notice. (Until) in 1974,  
>>Frederick W. Winterbotham, the British group captain who  
>>established the Special Liaison Units and who was responsible  
>>for ULTRA's security, published his memoir, The Ultra Secret,  
>>which became an instant bestseller."

>>"The initial revelations about ULTRA led some people to  
>>speculate that reputations of some Allied commanders would have  
>>to be revised, that ULTRA was responsible for the Allied  
>>victory, and that the history of WW2 would have to be rewritten.  
>>Historians were willing to reexamine their conclusions in light  
>>of new evidence, but they still had to wait years until the U.S.  
>>and British governments declassified and released ULTRA  
>>documents."

>>So here we have a precedent of factual information being ignored  
>>by historians in 1967 and 1973 until someone 'in the know'  
>>revealed ULTRA as a reality. Just because no credentialed  
>>historian has come to my conclusions does not mean that the  
>>reality behind my theory does not exist.



>I don't think this really addresses my point, but if you have  
>the evidence, let's see it. Pull yourself away from Updates and  
>start writing up your case, the best you can argue it, in a  
>formal paper.

>Till then, we're all wasting time.

Absolutely right, Jerry.

Tis Ultra BS is old snooze. Been known since the mid 60s even by  
western writers. Bill Stevenson learned of this from William  
Stephenson while interviewing the latter for his book about his  
setting up the SOE.

Gotta go.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## Athens County Ohio

From: **Fred Saluga** <[FSaluga.nul](mailto:FSaluga.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 00:18:32 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 13:14:21 -0400  
Subject: Athens County Ohio

Greetings:

I am presently conducting an investigation into UFO sightings and possible abductions in Athens County Ohio. I would appreciate any information that anyone could send me on any other topics listed above.

Fred Saluga  
MUFON State Director  
West Virginia MUFON,  
P.O. Box 3031,  
Weirton, West Virginia 26062

(304) 914-3287

[FSaluga.nul](mailto:FSaluga.nul)  
[west\\_virginia\\_mufon.nul](mailto:west_virginia_mufon.nul)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## 'Photographing UFOs'

From: Chris Beacham <[cbeacham.nul](mailto:cbeacham.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 17:28:13 +1000  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 13:17:06 -0400  
Subject: 'Photographing UFOs'

Hi List,

Since 2000 I have witnessed a lot of unusual activity near to where I live on the Northern Beaches of Sydney. I have been able to photograph some of it, and have posted several dozen images online over the years. I had never really considered going much further than, but with the advent of Print on Demand publishing it became possible for me to produce a photo book - Photographing UFOs - and arrange many of my sightings in some sort of cohesive order, which I guess only I really can do...

There is a free full preview of the book at:

[www.blurb.com/books/3301144](http://www.blurb.com/books/3301144)

While I don't really consider myself a UFO researcher as such, I hope there is enough information in the brief narratives for interested readers.

Kind regards,  
Chris Beacham

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 14:48:32 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 13:18:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 14:34:22 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:41:20 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

<snip>

>>I have snipped off the details of your debate with the author,  
>>in which you make valid points that dismiss some of the more  
>>nit-picky issues. On the whole, however, it seems evident that  
>>various incidents, indeed entire sequences of events (meetings,  
>>phone calls, travels, etc.) described in The Coming of the  
>>Saucers were simply fabricated.

>I agree with Martin Shough that a fair amount of that is a big  
>"so what?", and agree with you that not all of it is a "so  
>what?". Also, simply because the FBI or the AF have a different  
>account doesn't mean theirs is true, and Arnold's false.

That's correct. For another example, Frank Brown's confidential  
CIC memorandum on his interview with Arnold manages to get the  
date of Arnold's sighting wrong twice in one paragraph, and not  
just the day of the month - which he gives as the 25th instead  
of the 24th - but even the month of the year, giving it as July  
25, a date which still lay in the future at the time writing.  
And this was only 4 days after his July 12 interview with  
Arnold!

<snip>

>I'm less interested in questioning Arnold's character than in  
>figuring out which parts of the story in the book were made up  
>out of the whole cloth by Palmer. I don't think Palmer did so  
>for some nefarious purpose, but to make the story more  
>suspenseful, mysterious. He doesn't seem to have realized at the  
>time how mysterious it already was, and would become. He was  
>trying to engage the reader and make the sale. I guess I have a  
>less negative opinion of Palmer than is common around here. At  
>least, I think I understand his motives. They seem harmless.

Again I think this is correct. I really doubt that there is  
anything like as much invention in Palmer's editorial input as  
some people suppose. Even in the Tacoma section Arnold's  
'first-person' narrative reads to me almost entirely like  
Arnold's own natural voice, with plenty of disingenuous  
'evidence against interest' that to my ear rings true. Yes,  
certain sequences of events in Tacoma may have got shifted and  
shuffled by a combination of Arnold's 5-year-old memory and  
Palmer's editorial polishing. It seems plausible to me that  
Arnold may have provided a less-than-perfectly coherent sketch  
of that mess of events in Tacoma and Palmer did his best to  
knock it into narrative shape, in doing which it would be not  
unnatural for him as publisher to select the more "interesting"  
of two possible interpretations. But this strikes me as

essentially innocent and inconsequential, and like Don I have a more sympathetic feeling about Palmer than many do.

Look at his Aug 5 letter to Arnold (in the FBI file) asking Arnold not to back off: Where an exploitative cynic or a profiteering fanatic attempting to embroil Arnold in his schemes could easily have taken the opportunity to feed Arnold's paranoia, instead Palmer rather reasonably reassures Arnold that the deaths of Brown and Davidson were a chance accident, nothing at all to do with Maury Island anyway, and if there was really anything sinister going on "I'm afraid I'd have been a corpse long ago!".

You can argue that he was just being very cunning now and playing things down just to keep an antsy Arnold on-side. But in the same letter you find the same diffidence in his query about Arnold's La Grande sighting, when he asks blandly if anything came out on Arnold's photo of those "those 'ducks' or whatever they were" - no attempt to stoke up mystery. All quite pragmatic, really.

And for those who see Palmer only as a self-serving, Dero-obsessed pulp fiction promoter it's an interesting reality-check to re-read his own overview of the UFO story in part two of the Coming of the Saucers. It's a flawed product of its time and makes a believer's case, not a dispassionate analyst's - but let's be honest, there are many far more unbalanced offerings on the shelves than this!

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

## Ukrainian UFO Studies

From: Igor Kalytyuk <[kontaktkoordinator.nul](mailto:kontaktkoordinator.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 02:52:17 +0300  
Archived: Thu, 07 Jun 2012 13:28:00 -0400  
Subject: Ukrainian UFO Studies

[Non-Subscriber Post]

Ukrainian Scientific Research Centre of analysis of anomalies Zond in a partnership with ISRC EIBC and Ufology News project, firstly unveiling unique Ukrainian historical declassified materials on the subject of UFOs and UAP.

These files were saved from complete destruction due to the efforts of Academician of the Ukrainian National Academy of Science, Ph. D., Pisarenko G.S. (Head of the Kiev section Research Of Anomalies In Environment in Popov's radio electronics association), Kuznetsova I.S. (Secretary of the Kiev section), Beletsky A.V. (Head of the Kharkiv section), Ph. D. Bilyk A.S. (Head of SRCAA Zond, aviation and cosmic systems faculty of Kyiv Polytechnic University). Scanning and Publication Kalytyuk I.M. (Head of ISRC EIBC, editor of Ufology News project).

Name: Compilation of materials with radiolocation UFO detection evidences, based on a data, collected by Kharkiv section "Research of anomalies in environment" in Popov's radio electronics association on a period 1978-1991.

Description: Documents contains new evidences of unidentified atmospheric phenomenon's, fixed with radiolocation equipment and methodological materials, developed by Kharkiv anomalies research section members V.S. Mantulin and A.V. Beletsky.

<http://tinyurl.com/6uh4vf7>

Sincerely,

Igor Kalytyuk  
International Scientific Research Center EIBC

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 12:41:20 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 06:21:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 14:34:22 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:41:20 -0600  
>>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Don Ecsedy<[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 11:03:15 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>>>complicity in their publication.

>>>>After several days of back and forth online comments with the  
>>>>author of those articles, I came away with the sense the author  
>>>>has... what's a good word for it?... an ideological distaste for  
>>>>Arnold which determines his putting the worst possible  
>>>>interpretation on anything Arnold wrote.

>UFO writers have commented on blogs that editors make changes to  
>their books. I've found quotations of Aldrich's 8/14/47 report  
>(in which Rhodes is spelled Rhoads all four times it appears) in  
>books and articles in which Aldrich's 'Rhoads' was "corrected"  
>to 'Rhodes'. Editors and publishers will do that, and in the  
>pulp genres back in the day, Palmer was not unique in doing so,  
>including rewriting the submitted text, and Arnold would not be  
>the first writer to let it pass (and apparently to this day some  
>UFO writers will let it pass).

>I'm less interested in questioning Arnold's character than in  
>figuring out which parts of the story in the book were made up  
>out of the whole cloth by Palmer. I don't think Palmer did so  
>for some nefarious purpose, but to make the story more  
>suspenseful, mysterious. He doesn't seem to have realized at the  
>time how mysterious it already was, and would become. He was  
>trying to engage the reader and make the sale. I guess I have a  
>less negative opinion of Palmer than is common around here. At  
>least, I think I understand his motives. They seem harmless.



Hi, Martin,

I think your assessment is essentially correct, if erring modestly on the side of the charitable. Palmer's personality was a complex one. He was not a sinister monster in the Rupert Murdoch mold (if on a much smaller scale), but he was hardly the soul of integrity either.

The Fullers often told me (1) that for as long as they knew him, they could never discern what he genuinely believed about anything and (2) that nothing seemed to please him more than putting one over somebody, however trivial or ephemeral the matter in question.

Palmer had no journalistic training, ambition, or interest. He loved pumping up both readers' pulses and their numbers. His was strictly a tabloid/pulp sensibility. He wasn't above exploiting the delusions of a severely mentally ill individual (Richard Shaver) for commercial purposes, but he was also personally kind and charitable to Shaver even after whatever financial advantage the exploitation yielded Palmer had expired.

In a sense Palmer is one of those individuals about whom any sentence expressed probably should be appended with an asterisk. On the other hand, any prose copy that passed through his editorial hand has to be viewed with some (in other cases much) suspicion. Palmer wanted to tell the story excitingly. Accurately was a secondary consideration, if that.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 15:53:57 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 06:22:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2012 14:30:04 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 12:42:09 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

><snip>

>>What is surprising is that they didn't correct the error in the  
>>Analysis. It seems reasonable to assume at least one person  
>>would have noticed the jumble.

>>Someone did make a change between the December 1948 and the  
>>April 1949 printings of the Analysis. They replaced the first  
>>photograph in the four-image grid of Rhodes' photos with a  
>>different crop of it.

>OK. Did you find any changes anywhere in the text?

I didn't look for any. At the time. I was looking for the  
photos, noted the July 29th "jumble", and moved on. I will  
compare the versions, though.

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## Re: Athens County Ohio

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 18:25:17 -0300  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 06:25:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Athens County Ohio

>From: Fred Saluga <[FSaluga.nul](mailto:FSaluga.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 00:18:32 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Athens County Ohio

>Greetings:

>I am presently conducting an investigation into UFO sightings  
>and possible abductions in Athens County Ohio. I would  
>appreciate any information that anyone could send me on any  
>other topics listed above.

>Fred Saluga

If one googles Athens County Ohio UFO Sightings one gets  
hundreds of thousand hits. Some seem worthwhile.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 17:55:39 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 06:27:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2012 14:48:32 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Jun 2012 14:34:22 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 02 Jun 2012 14:41:20 -0600  
>>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

><snip>

>>>I have snipped off the details of your debate with the author,  
>>>in which you make valid points that dismiss some of the more  
>>>nit-picky issues. On the whole, however, it seems evident that  
>>>various incidents, indeed entire sequences of events (meetings,  
>>>phone calls, travels, etc.) described in The Coming of the  
>>>Saucers were simply fabricated.

>>I agree with Martin Shough that a fair amount of that is a big  
>>"so what?", and agree with you that not all of it is a "so  
>>what?". Also, simply because the FBI or the AF have a different  
>>account doesn't mean theirs is true, and Arnold's false.

>That's correct. For another example, Frank Brown's confidential  
>CIC memorandum on his interview with Arnold manages to get the  
>date of Arnold's sighting wrong twice in one paragraph, and not  
>just the day of the month - which he gives as the 25th instead  
>of the 24th - but even the month of the year, giving it as July  
>25, a date which still lay in the future at the time writing.  
>And this was only 4 days after his July 12 interview with  
>Arnold!

><snip>

>>I'm less interested in questioning Arnold's character than in  
>>figuring out which parts of the story in the book were made up  
>>out of the whole cloth by Palmer. I don't think Palmer did so  
>>for some nefarious purpose, but to make the story more  
>>suspenseful, mysterious. He doesn't seem to have realized at the  
>>time how mysterious it already was, and would become. He was  
>>trying to engage the reader and make the sale. I guess I have a  
>>less negative opinion of Palmer than is common around here. At  
>>least, I think I understand his motives. They seem harmless.

>Again I think this is correct. I really doubt that there is  
>anything like as much invention in Palmer's editorial input as  
>some people suppose. Even in the Tacoma section Arnold's  
>'first-person' narrative reads to me almost entirely like  
>Arnold's own natural voice, with plenty of disingenuous  
>'evidence against interest' that to my ear rings true. Yes,  
>certain sequences of events in Tacoma may have got shifted and  
>shuffled by a combination of Arnold's 5-year-old memory and  
>Palmer's editorial polishing. It seems plausible to me that

>Arnold may have provided a less-than-perfectly coherent sketch  
>of that mess of events in Tacoma and Palmer did his best to  
>knock it into narrative shape, in doing which it would be not  
>unnatural for him as publisher to select the more "interesting"  
>of two possible interpretations. But this strikes me as  
>essentially innocent and inconsequential, and like Don I have a  
>more sympathetic feeling about Palmer than many do.

>Look at his Aug 5 letter to Arnold (in the FBI file) asking  
>Arnold not to back off: Where an exploitative cynic or a  
>profiteering fanatic attempting to embroil Arnold in his schemes  
>could easily have taken the opportunity to feed Arnold's  
>paranoia, instead Palmer rather reasonably reassures Arnold that  
>the deaths of Brown and Davidson were a chance accident, nothing  
>at all to do with Maury Island anyway, and if there was really  
>anything sinister going on "I'm afraid I'd have been a corpse  
>long ago!".

>You can argue that he was just being very cunning now and  
>playing things down just to keep an antsy Arnold on-side. But in  
>the same letter you find the same diffidence in his query about  
>Arnold's La Grande sighting, when he asks blandly if anything  
>came out on Arnold's photo of those "those 'ducks' or whatever  
>they were" - no attempt to stoke up mystery. All quite  
>pragmatic, really.

In his book, Arnold is presenting himself as best he can as he  
had been in 1947, but soon after Tacoma, he began to reassess  
things, including his relationship with Brown and Davidson,  
because even before 1952 he was not of the opinion he (and  
Smith) were working with AF intelligence to solve the matter of  
the flying saucers as he thought. Instead he found out Brown was  
a "counter espionage agent" who reported to Mitchell Field in  
New York, not Hamilton A-2 and that his job was to report on  
Arnold's saucer-related activity and press contacts. He  
suspected Brown was not even an AF Lieutenant, but from "Central  
Intelligence". In the book, Major Sander became the repository  
of his suspicions - whether he had them at the time or only  
later.

He wrote Sander promised in a few weeks he and Smith would be  
told the results of the AF investigation of Maury Island and the  
crash, but he says he was told nothing. In December 1948,  
Brown's widow writes to him that "The War Department has never  
given me any kind of statement as to what might have happened  
the night Frank was killed". She wrote whatever information she  
had, she had to dig up for herself. "I have never thought  
Frank's death was an accident", she wrote. This is pouring  
gasoline on the fire for Arnold. He had his reasons for his  
suspicions unrelated to Palmer or some mental deficiency,  
whether "paranoia" or small town 'yokelness'.

Over five years, our recollection becomes a bit hazy on the  
details, true. But we also can develop our understanding, given  
re-enforcement and information. Arnold got his from people who  
were close to the events (including Palmer), some of whom were  
as close to it as he was. That is a very different perspective  
than we, here in the audience, have.

>And for those who see Palmer only as a self-serving, Dero-  
>obsessed pulp fiction promoter it's an interesting reality-check  
>to re-read his own overview of the UFO story in part two of the  
>Coming of the Saucers. It's a flawed product of its time and  
>makes a believer's case, not a dispassionate analyst's - but  
>let's be honest, there are many far more unbalanced offerings on  
>the shelves than this!

>Martin Shough

I agree with you there. Too bad Palmer died before usenet.

The Dahl/Crisman story presents a technical problem for the  
writer and editor since we do not know at the beginning, nor  
will we know by the last page, whether their story is accurate,  
a fabrication built on some actual event, or a pure fabrication.  
It is the backstory for an astonishing series of events, some  
off-stage, like the anonymous phone calls to reporters, that are  
themselves weird or tragic.

Arnold's - to quote William Gibson from Mona Lisa Overdrive -

"world never had so many moving parts and so few labels."

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## 'Space Station' Found On Mars

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:02:44 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:02:44 -0400  
Subject: 'Space Station' Found On Mars

Source: TheSun.Co.UK

<http://tinyurl.com/5utyvkg>

02 Jun 2011

'Space Station' Found On Mars

A GOOGLE geek has found what he believes is a 'space station' on Mars.

He found the spec on the Red Planet by accident but has provided fellow explorers with the coordinates of the 'object' so they can discover it for themselves.

American armchair astronaut David Martines posted a clip of his trip to the surface of the planet on YouTube.

He used the search giant's maps of the astral body and his video has already clocked up a staggering 58,000 views.

David explains how he found the 'space station' or possibly 'power station' quite by accident at coordinates 71 49'19.73"N 29 33'06.53"W.

Unlike mountains and other features on the surface, the white 'building' appears to have blue and red stripes painted on it.

According to David's calculations it is also huge - measuring 700ft long by 150ft wide.

[Video]

[More at site... thanks to Dave Haith for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## Did Canadians Analyse Roswell Material?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:12:05 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:12:05 -0400  
Subject: Did Canadians Analyse Roswell Material?

Source: Grant Cameron's Presidential UFO Site

<http://tinyurl.com/6rhontg>

Monday, 28 May 2012

Did the Canadians Analyze the Roswell Metal?  
by Grant Cameron

Did The Canadians Analyze The Roswell Crash Material?

Notes of a conversation with Defense Research Board Scientist  
A.W.L. Bridge

As a background to the conversation the reader should know that Arthur Bridge was a Canadian government scientist working for the defense research board which was the main weapon research unit for the department of defense.

Bridge was a member of a secret "inner circle" of government employees who worked with Wilbert Smith on gravity control experiments and other sensitive matters. The work was done in off hours. Bridge was the youngest member of the group.

I was the only researcher that Bridge ever talked to. He wouldn't have talked to me either, except that Wilbert Smith's wife asked him to talk to me. Bridge lived in Morden, Manitoba just 70 miles from my home.

This conversation occurred just after I had sent Bridge two documents by mail for comment. The two documents included the MJ-12 document, and a letter written by scientist Robert Sarbacher to researcher William Steinman about his involvement in a set of crashed saucer briefing that had taken place in 1950 at Wright Patterson Air Force Base. The conversation took place in late 1987 or early 1988.

As you will see from the phone conversation Bridge was very paranoid after his government career. When I went to his house to talk both his wife and two children would be asked to leave the room. Then he would close the door, and remind me that nothing he would tell me should leave the room.

Bridge's comments from my hand-written notes;

[More at site... thanks to Victor Viggiani for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## What Did First Fictional Aliens Look Like?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:16:10 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:16:10 -0400  
Subject: What Did First Fictional Aliens Look Like?

Source: NewYorker.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/cy8w2gf>

June 4, 2012

What Did The First Fictional Aliens Look Like?  
by Laura Miller

Bacteria are not known for their ability to stir the imagination, but there is at least one reliable exception: bacteria from Mars. In 1996, a NASA study caused a sensation when it announced the mere possibility of fossilized bacteria in a meteorite believed to be from the Red Planet. Thirty-six years after the Viking landers collected soil on Mars, scientists can still make news by declaring that the samples indicate the presence of microbes. Although conclusive evidence for life on Mars (or on any other foreign planet) has yet to turn up, humans are an impatient species. And so we have invented extraterrestrials of every conceivable kind. There are fictional aliens that resemble little green men, mollusks, insects, plants, and minerals. Sometimes they have no bodies at all.

For all their diversity, these creatures tend to fall into one of two groups: those we can live with and those we can't. This summer, Hollywood franchises espousing each view will be delivering their newest installments. The genial *Men in Black* movies suggest that freaky-looking extraterrestrials already live among us, undetected by most citizens and overseen by an agency made up of weary bureaucrats and blasé field officers. The films are an extended pun on the alternate meaning of *alien*: immigrant. Far grimmer is *Prometheus*, the latest *Alien* movie; the series features an implacable foe that uses our bodies as nests for its young, and likes to chase us through hideous, dripping corridors while baring its hideous, dripping fangs.

Before the nineteenth century, if authors depicted the inhabitants of other planets the aliens were essentially

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## President Carter & Truth Of So-Called UFOS

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:25:17 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:25:17 -0400  
Subject: President Carter & Truth Of So-Called UFOS

Source: FinalCall.Com

[http://www.finalcall.com/artman/publish/printer\\_8811.shtml](http://www.finalcall.com/artman/publish/printer_8811.shtml)

May 31, 2012

President Carter And The Truth Of So-Called UFOS  
By Jabril Muhammad

In an article I wrote for The Final Call, on December 13, 1992, I wrote the position of former President Jimmy Carter about these planes.

"Former President Jimmy Carter was interviewed last night on the Larry King Radio program. At one point a caller reminded him of his campaign promise to have all government files relative to the 'UFO' phenomena released to the American people.

The caller indicated that he did not believe that Mr. Carter had kept that promise. The caller ended with these words: 'When you were elected, what stopped you from fulfilling that promise?'

What follows is a transcript of an excerpt of the interview, which contained his words, in response to this question.

[More at site... ]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## Military Blocking Baltic UFO Site

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:31:20 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 07:31:20 -0400  
Subject: Military Blocking Baltic UFO Site

Source: GhostTheory.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/89nv47s>

June 1, 2012

Baltic Sea UFO Search: Military Blocking Site  
by Javier Ortega

GhostTheory reader JP sent us this interesting piece of information from a Swedish newspaper in which they interview the Baltic UFO search team. According to this piece, there is a U.S. and Russian military exercise restricting them from entering the area where this anomalous "structure" was found at the bottom of the sea.

You might recall the breaking news last year when the team released sonar images of a strange structure at the bottom of the Baltic Sea. Earlier this month, the team announce a second expedition to the secret site in order to gather more information on what lies beneath the dark waters.

What's strange is that all this time, the team has kept the location a secret and now there seems to be military interference in the zone.

Here is a google translation from the article:

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 13:11:39 -0600  
Archived: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 15:45:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 17:22:33 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>complicity in their publication.

Martin, Jerry, Don (Ecsedy & Ledger), List,

I've enjoyed your thoughtful comments on this thread, which I've  
been unable to find time to revisit. Consolidating my replies to  
all:

Using Martin's convention (X = author of The Positively True  
Story of Kenneth Arnold), I acknowledge he and Don Ecsedy's  
point that most of X's case rests on the date discrepancy. If a  
one-day shift in the start date of the Coming Of The Saucers  
account effectively squared things up with the more  
contemporaneous reports of Arnold/Smith et al, I wouldn't have  
given any of this much further thought. In my opinion the newer  
material, even after such re-synchronization, clearly contains,  
shall we say, some colorful embellishments.

However, having given thought to the comments here regarding  
Palmer, the pulp-fiction character of his product, and Arnold's  
own personality, I must concede that I'm probably setting the  
bar a little high. Clearly, Coming Of The Saucers was not meant  
to be a documentary so much as a dramatization, and I don't  
really see this having any substantive bearing on the  
credibility of Arnold's original account of the Mt. Rainier  
incident. The subtle 'evolution' of the latter with time (via  
Arnold himself, not through the filter of Palmer) is of more  
significance to me in that regard, but as has been discussed  
here previously, even that has plausible mitigating factors.

I'm curious to know how Listers in general gauge the  
significance of the Arnold case to the entire 'flying saucer'  
paradigm (not necessarily the UFO phenomenon per se). Consider  
that, if the Arnold sighting is debunked, there would seem to be  
two possible conclusions: either (1) Arnold somehow presciently  
fabricated or hallucinated a phenomenon that would shortly  
thereafter become manifest as an objective physical phenomenon

Re: Dating Arnold

world-wide; or, (2) post-Arnold sightings of flying saucers are collectively bunk (copycats/hoaxes, mass hysteria, delusions, misidentifications, etc).

Which is quite a pickle. Hence my own opinion that quite a bit stands or falls with the Arnold case, at least with regard to the classic 'flying saucer'. Substantially more so IMO than with, say, Roswell, which attracts vastly more study and dissection.

Regards,

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 9](#)

## Contacts Abductions & Convictions

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2012 06:37:14 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 06:07:54 -0400  
Subject: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

Hello List,

I was recently watching Stanton Friedman's major (3 hour) presentation on video - "Flying Saucers Are Real" on YT - and found many points previously unknown. Afterwards, on the second night, accidentally clicked over to an interview with David Jacobs (with clips from Budd Hopkins) which I might not have chosen to watch.

Even so, became interested. Not because I actually liked Jacobs' on-screen persona (he came across as quite opinionated) but because he seemed to construct some interesting logical constraints, which lead to some alarming (also seemingly logical) conclusions. I.e that the Earth's human population is being invaded or assimilated by a program of alien 'hybrid' introductions.

Now I'm not a ufologist and therefore wouldn't dare offer advice on that subject but, due to extensive reading in historical and folk-lore fields, when Jacobs was later talking about the psychological dangers to the 'experiencers', I couldn't help but think of the basic rules handed down - throughout history - to similar experiencers of much earlier dangerous encounters with 'entities'.

[Before listing them, must agree with one of the contributors to that video that he - and I - would be extremely unlikely to experience such physical or mental 'invasions', purely due to our technical and scientific upbringings and careers, which seem to preclude sensitivity to those high strangeness events.]

Jacobs's accounts indicate that the 'invaders' contact and instruct people mostly at night/mainly telepathically/and are deceptive and sometimes destructive BUT seem to follow a logic and a set of rules of their own.

That is strangely like the histories of other-worldly encounters we find stretching back for millenia. Therefore, by following the ancient rules as laid down, modern experiencers could maybe protect themselves.

History's rules: historical accounts say that religious 'believers' can escape any danger by calling on their God. But the accounts also say that non-religious folk can also be immune IF they address the entity(s) logically, first asking for a name/identity, and then for a reason or motive for the visitation, and then proceeding rationally and dispassionately.

The few recurring cases also stress the importance of repeating that formula on each visitation; perhaps to re-establish the identity of the entity, or maybe to re-state the rules of the game.

This might all seem a bit wild and esoteric, yet, along with Vallee and Hynek, am inclined to think 'total ufology' has strong linkages with historical and even mythical events, so re-stating those rules of conduct might help some folk who need it. After all, it might be mainly a matter of 'convictions' (in which case our politicians are doomed).

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 9](#)

## John Ford's Court Date Set - Donations Needed

From: **John Ford Initiative** <[johnfordinitiative.nul](mailto:johnfordinitiative.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2012 21:24:46 -0600  
Archived: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 06:17:15 -0400  
Subject: John Ford's Court Date Set - Donations Needed

Donate: <http://ufoteacher.com/donate>

Dear Members and Associates;

On behalf of John Ford, this is a special update going out to all of you who have been kind enough to either donate money, sign the petition or join the coalition for The John Ford Initiative. Below is attached a very recent update, including two related news article attachments, sent to me by email from Joe Zuppardo about John Ford's scheduled preliminary court date, now on the court calendar with the Orange County State Supreme Court in Goshen, NY.

Scheduled for Sept. 23, 2012, it becomes imperative to realize the financial implications of what is about to take place in a few months as John Ford and his attorney, Andrea Risoli, prepare for a major court challenge this year.

As you know, we need your help in more ways than one - and we are very serious when we say this. Your aide and assistance in recent months with The John Ford Initiative has been invaluable on many levels. But the battle to free John Ford from the horrible injustice placed upon him for 16 years has just begun.

To this end, we have received a donation from a Mr. David Mansfield who is the first to get the ball rolling here. We wanted to take the time to thank him for doing so.

I don't need to tell you that we definitely need a lot more money donations to assist in the highly important court fees and legal services that Ms. Risoli will need to employ in defending John Ford properly. It is crucial to get those donations immediately for The John Ford Initiative so as to cover the court fees and legal services that have been and will be incurred.

Our goal: \$20,000 in donations via PayPal, credit card, debit card or eCheck (direct bank account transfer).

This is what it will take to make this a positive success story, get the job done and FREE JOHN FORD immediately.

We prefer electronic payments via PayPal so as to accurately keep track of all donations coming through but we can also accept bank checks or money orders if you feel more comfortable with that option. All payments are made securely and privately through PayPal and managed directly by our parent company RichardSmith.Co LLC. You can safely pay using either your own PayPal account or with Visa, Mastercard, Discover, American Express, or eCheck (no registration necessary).

All donations to the John Ford Initiative specifically will be solely used to assist and pay for attorney fees, court fees and legal expenses that have been incurred in order to retain the rather important and much needed legal services of his defense attorney, Andrea Risoli. Anything you can do here and now with respect to a donation will be extremely appreciated as we really do need your help and support!

Special limited time offer: Make a donation of \$300 and get six months of free advertising in our rotating banner ads right at the top of Ufoteacher.Com on every page. Make a donation of \$500 and get one whole year of free advertising in our rotating banner ads on Ufoteacher.com =97 you save \$100!  
Call today: 516-690-4059

Remember folks, this is the year that everything must be put right. This is the year that justice must prevail. This is the year that we Free John Ford once and for all. Plain and simple. It must be done.

This means your donation is the key ingredient to making this all happen. To this end, we have left the donation amount open to you, which means you are in control of what you want to give. Remember, whether it be \$1 or \$1000, your donation is always appreciated as it makes possible our efforts to move in a forward motion and FREE JOHN FORD immediately.

With all due appreciation and gratitude.

Sincerely,

Richard Smith

Letter To The Editor:

[http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note\\_id=3D304094992991595](http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note_id=3D304094992991595)

Donate: <http://ufoteacher.com/donate>

Petition: <http://signon.org/sign/the-john-ford-initiative>

Web Site: <http://ufoteacher.com/johnford>

Facebook: <http://xrl.us/bm2ve2>

eMail: [johnfordinitiative.nul](mailto:johnfordinitiative.nul)

Office: (516) 690-4059

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 9](#)

## Re: Dating Arnold

From: Don Ecsedy <[don.nul](mailto:don.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2012 13:37:52 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 15:09:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2012 13:11:39 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>From: Martin Shough<[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 17:22:33 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Dating Arnold

>>>From: Michael Tarbell<[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 31 May 2012 10:29:18 -0600  
>>>Subject: Dating Arnold [was: Flying Saucers - The Greatest Lie Ever Told]

>>>If you haven't already seen it, I highly recommend the ten-part  
>>>series "The Positively True Story of Kenneth Arnold" at  
>>>[saturdaynightuforia.com](http://saturdaynightuforia.com),

>>><http://tinyurl.com/cgtlk9b>

>>>which goes into great detail about this and other  
>>>'inconsistencies', including outright fabrications, in the  
>>>Coming of the Saucers version of Arnold's involvement in Maury  
>>>Island affair. And while I suspect most or all of these were due  
>>>to Ray Palmer, I have distinct unease with Arnold's apparent  
>>>complicity in their publication.

>Martin, Jerry, Don (Ecsedy & Ledger), List,

>I've enjoyed your thoughtful comments on this thread, which I've  
>been unable to find time to revisit. Consolidating my replies to  
>all:

>Using Martin's convention (X = author of The Positively True  
>Story of Kenneth Arnold), I acknowledge he and Don Ecsedy's  
>point that most of X's case rests on the date discrepancy. If a  
>one-day shift in the start date of the Coming Of The Saucers  
>account effectively squared things up with the more  
>contemporaneous reports of Arnold/Smith et al, I wouldn't have  
>given any of this much further thought. In my opinion the newer  
>material, even after such re-synchronization, clearly contains,  
>shall we say, some colorful embellishments.

What are the embellishments?

There are a number of time-wasters in TCOTS. The event in Tacoma on the 29th is the interview of Dahl in Arnold's hotel room. I've thought it a way to avoid pages of third person exposition of the backstory. There's another reason for it. It establishes Dahl's personality and character. Arnold describes him as so physically imposing that he is regretting having been arrogant on the phone with him. But it turns out Dahl is a whiner, and "superstitious", meaning, I think, emotional and irrational. He may look like a real man, a manly man, but he is not. He's been, as the saying goes, "unmanned" by the experience. Is there any development of this character trait later on in terms of narrative value or embellishment? Not really. Dahl's characterization is consistent, and that's about it. Maybe a



draft of the story was more Dahl-centric. There are the time-wasters of the scenes at Dahl's secretary's house. Maybe they were relevant in a Dahl-centric draft.

Then there are the time-wasters of just hanging around and waiting for something to happen, like towards the end when Smith and Arnold hang out in the hotel room expecting AF Intelligence to contact them. Or Arnold waiting for Smith to return from his mysterious absence. That's an interesting scene because Arnold gets a bit "unmanned", himself, when Smith fails to return at the stated time. Arnold nearly cries in relief when Smith shows up.

Palmer could write a better narrative than TCOTS, which indicates he did not have a free hand to change Arnold's contribution as he pleased ("Look, Ken. Do you want the reader to turn to page two or not?", the editor said)

There is one time-waster that is important: Major Sander. Arnold's presentation of his relationship with AF Intelligence is the subject of the scenes. It's those glances between Arnold and Smith over the head of, behind the back of, Major Sander that seem to be the 'reveal' of TCOTS. Arnold, writing in 1952, presents himself in Tacoma as confident in the AF investigators, glad and relieved to have Brown and Davidson on the job, believing himself (and Smith) to be in collaboration with them to solve the saucer mystery -- in other words, the cluelessly naive Arnold. At the end, those knowing glances tell a different story. Maybe that's what Arnold intended, his point.

My complaint about "X" is not that he didn't attempt to synchronize the accounts or do any analysis, but his attitude towards Arnold (and Smith, somewhat) in the Comments. There is a comment related to the slag dump story, regarding Arnold's description of how the various pieces of debris felt in his hands, "X" comments by wondering whether such a thing was a game (I guess like 20 Questions) in the Arnold family (I am not going to go back and find the exact language). It's a bit of gloating ridicule.

Ok. I don't expect everyone to have a brain-cell archiving data about the Wurzburg School of experimental psychology and the work of Karl Marbe re 'imageless thought'. Arnold references a classic experiment. What I do expect from a researcher is some hesitation, a bit of uncertainty, due to their appreciation that they just can't know everything.

>However, having given thought to the comments here regarding  
>Palmer, the pulp-fiction character of his product, and Arnold's  
>own personality, I must concede that I'm probably setting the  
>bar a little high. Clearly, Coming Of The Saucers was not meant  
>to be a documentary so much as a dramatization, and I don't  
>really see this having any substantive bearing on the  
>credibility of Arnold's original account of the Mt. Rainier  
>incident. The subtle 'evolution' of the latter with time (via  
>Arnold himself, not through the filter of Palmer) is of more  
>significance to me in that regard, but as has been discussed  
>here previously, even that has plausible mitigating factors.

>I'm curious to know how Listers in general gauge the  
>significance of the Arnold case to the entire 'flying saucer'  
>paradigm (not necessarily the UFO phenomenon per se). Consider  
>that, if the Arnold sighting is debunked, there would seem to be  
>two possible conclusions: either (1) Arnold somehow presciently  
>fabricated or hallucinated a phenomenon that would shortly  
>thereafter become manifest as an objective physical phenomenon  
>world-wide; or, (2) post-Arnold sightings of flying saucers are  
>collectively bunk (copycats/hoaxes, mass hysteria, delusions,  
>misidentifications, etc).

The only reason I can think of why Arnold would invent or "embellish" the Mt Ranier sighting would be to have a good story to tell the guys in the hanger. For that purpose, supersonic speed would be the embellishment. His audience would be expecting such speeds, and sooner than later. Arnold's story would be a bit of rumor that they'd already achieved it believe it or not.

But the reason we have 'saucer' isn't that, but the turbulence of the objects as they sped along. If someone knows of anything, either scientific, speculative, or fictional that might have

influenced Arnold's description of this, I'd appreciate a citation. I don't believe he just made it up. As an embellishment, it doesn't serve any purpose. It wouldn't make his sighting any more believable to his audience. Quite the opposite, perhaps.

>Which is quite a pickle. Hence my own opinion that quite a bit  
>stands or falls with the Arnold case, at least with regard to  
>the classic 'flying saucer'. Substantially more so IMO than  
>with, say, Roswell, which attracts vastly more study and  
>dissection.

I don't know. The AF was as fussed about the saucers as was Arnold, and before Arnold. Arnold's story went viral in the press, and from that point on, if there was any "mass hysteria" about the saucers, it was in the AF. Such publicity was the last thing they wanted since it messed up the good reports with hoaxers, copycats, and the fertile imaginations of theosophists, Fortean, and science fantasy fans. The "good reports" being, of course, from people just like themselves...AF pilots and officers, and their scientific consultants.

I believe it wouldn't have mattered what story got on the wires first, the AAF, the CIC, would have been on it like a lamprey. So would have the FBI. Keyhoe - the origins of ufology didn't need Arnold, but did need Project Saucer. That's where the 'agency' is in the Flying Saucer Wave of 1947. It's also why 'conspiracy' suggests itself so readily.

Regards,

Don

<http://www.foreshadower.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 9](#)

## Pope On Aliens At The Olympics

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 20:14:26 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 16:03:01 -0400  
Subject: Pope On Aliens At The Olympics

-----

Source: Daily Mail

<http://tinyurl.com/blvcpph>

7 June 2012

By Eddie Wrenn

Keep An Eye On The Skies For Saucers During The Olympics Games,  
Warns Former MoD UFO expert

- UFO expert Nick Pope says massive summer events like the  
Olympics would be a prime time for an alien encounter

- Ministry of Defence 'has planned for the worst outcomes -  
attack and invasion'

- 'We should be prepared for even the most seemingly  
unfathomable'

[More at link above]

-----

Congratulations to Nick on his invention of the bottomless  
barrel which he continues to scrape, including:

"...He said: 'The government must - and has planned - for the  
worst-case scenario: alien attack and alien invasion.

'Space shuttles, lasers and directed-energy weapons are all  
committed via the Alien Invasion War Plan to defence against any  
alien ships in orbit...."

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 9](#)

## Re: Military Blocking Baltic UFO Site

From: **Gord Heath** <[gordheath.nul](mailto:gordheath.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2012 14:57:04 -0700  
Archived: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 21:15:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Blocking Baltic UFO Site

Is it just a coincidence that this 'UFO' case seems to be developing with so many parallels to the 2006 case where a 'dive company' claimed to have discovered the F-89 that disappeared on a 1953 mission over Lake Superior (commonly referred to as the "Kinross Incident")?

In the Great Lakes Dive Company hoax, the hoax was basically started when the hoaxer sent off an email to Francis Ridge at NICAP, containing a fake news story. The story propagated to the UFO community after the email got published on UFO UpDates.

The hoaxers went to quite a bit of effort to continue the hoax, developing a web site that made claims the 'dive company' had discovered the F-89 on the bottom of Lake Superior after they had temporarily suspended a search for a ship wreck. They published two alleged 'side scan sonar images' of the F-89 on their website. They later added another 'side scan sonar' image of a "disk" that they claimed to have found nearby. This created all sort of hype and excitement that the dive company had found the 'flying saucer'" which had collided with the F-89. The hoaxers claimed that the Canadian government was denying them access to the site. They claimed that they had to re-jig a fish finder to get images of the disk as the disk was emitting some sort of radiation that was interfering with their towed sonar.

The hoax perpetrator used an alias, and was interviewed with Linda Moulton Howe on Coast to Coast AM Radio Show. Some people were suspicious about the claims, and started to question the hoaxers concerning some of the alleged finds. About a month after the hoax started, the website suddenly went dead with no indication of a reason. The real name and motivation for the hoax are still unknown.

I still wonder if it was just a coincidence that this hoax broke in the middle of David Cherniack's project filming The Moncla Memories documentary - which relates to anomalous memories I have from childhood that seem related to the pilot of the F-89 who went missing in the Kinross Incident.

When I heard the story broke, I was immediately very suspicious that it was a hoax. This was partly because of the timing, and partly because I did not believe that the F-89 was actually lying on the bottom of Lake Superior. But I also had an open mind that maybe the F-89 could be on the bottom of the lake, so I adopted a wait and see attitude.

Of course, we still don't know if the F-89 is actually lying as wreckage strewn over the bottom of Lake Superior and we have no confirmation that anyone has ever even tried to use sidescan sonar to locate it.

To this day, I wonder if the hoax was somehow triggered by David Cherniack's project. The 'discovery' did divert his attention in the documentary away the original theme. It may be that the timing was a coincidence, but it certainly still strikes me as weird.

I'm curious if James Carrion (who was primarily responsible for busting the hoax), or others developed any theories on who was

behind the hoax and what were their motivations?

Cheers,

Gord Heath  
UFOBC

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 10](#)

## Re: Pope On Aliens At The Olympics

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 19:55:56 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 04:33:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: Pope On Aliens At The Olympics

>From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2012 20:14:26 +0100  
>Subject: Pope On Aliens At The Olympics

>Source: Daily Mail

><http://tinyurl.com/blvcpph>

>7 June 2012

>By Eddie Wrenn

>Keep An Eye On The Skies For Saucers During The Olympics Games,  
>Warns Former MoD UFO expert

>- UFO expert Nick Pope says massive summer events like the  
>Olympics would be a prime time for an alien encounter

>- Ministry of Defence 'has planned for the worst outcomes -  
>attack and invasion'

>- 'We should be prepared for even the most seemingly  
>unfathomable'

But of course any attack would have nothing to do with current  
and past UFO sightings, because the MoD says these are not a threat.

>Congratulations to Nick on his invention of the bottomless  
>barrel which he continues to scrape, including:

>"...He said: 'The government must - and has planned - for the  
>worst-case scenario: alien attack and alien invasion.

>'Space shuttles, lasers and directed-energy weapons are all  
>committed via the Alien Invasion War Plan to defence against any  
>alien ships in orbit...."

Has Nick been watching too many propaganda movies?

'When Aliens Attack' from National Geographic is discussed at:

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/2011/may/m19-004.shtml>

The 'documentary' talks seriously about planning to repel  
hostile alien invaders, and anticipates the above quotes.

'The Last Starfighter' movie used video games to identify  
sharpshooter kids, which anticipates Nick's comment

"...we are all in some ways equipped to deal with alien invasion

- games such as Resistance: Burning Skies on PlayStation Vita  
help acclimatise people to the reality of extraterrestrial life

- and in particular that they might be hostile. 'It is a widely  
held belief that classified information about weapons and  
tactics useful in combatting alien occupation are embedded into  
such gameplay."

"acclimatise" in this context is another word for brainwashing.

Then he says "'The logical course is to unite the world against the alien threat, combining our military strength and fighting under the United Nations. But some countries might not fight. We saw this type of treachery and cowardice in the Second World War."

This is reminiscent of George Bush's, "You're either with us or against us" when he used 911 to begin a decade of destructive and useless war. Perhaps Nick's anticipated invasion of the Olympics predicts a similar false flag attack on that occasion.

Here in Canada, the government is still serious about buying ultra-expensive F35 war planes that would only be used to fight elsewhere. Maybe it believes the propaganda and the need to defend against aliens. But as Nick says, "Aliens may possess weapons or advanced technology we've no idea of. Aliens may have invisibility, a death ray, teleportation, force fields and other things we can't even guess at."

Nick also says, "RAF jets such as the Eurofighter Typhoons, and missiles such as the Rapiers guarding the Olympic Games would be well equipped to enter the fray." So we are "well equipped to enter the fray" against "technology we've no idea of"?

Actually, we have little to defend against weapons like that, but never mind, that's no reason to not feed the military-industrial machine, even when the threat is hypothetical and unknown and, in most people's minds, a fantasy.

I'm mildly shocked that Nick has been co-opted by fear-mongers. I would have expected him to resist being used like that. But it is also true that I don't know him very well, now even less than before.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 10](#)

## Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

From: Victor Viggiani <[zland.nul](mailto:zland.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 00:32:47 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 04:44:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 May 2012 10:44:11 -0500  
>Subject: Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

>>From: Victor Viggiani <[zland.nul](mailto:zland.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 May 2012 20:20:46 -0400  
>>Subject: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

>>May 17.2012

>><https://sites.google.com/site/zlandcommunications/home>

>>President Obama tells Will Smith's son: "I can neither confirm  
>>nor deny the existence of extraterrestrials."

>>Toronto Canada [ZNN] Men in Black 3 star Will Smith today told  
>>BBC Radio 1 host Chris Moyles that his 13-year-old son Jayden  
>>(himself a Hollywood star) put President Obama on the spot  
>>recently during a private tour of the White House. The subject  
>>of Jayden's enquiry -- aliens!

>>Read more and view Will Smith's surprising revelations during  
>>the BBC interview at:

>><http://tinyurl.com/6txfhc7>

>It's probably already too late, but I would hope that people  
>watch the video and catch the context before running wild with  
>this. From Smith's amused account, one has no problem imagining  
>the gleam in the President's eye as he answered an  
>impressionable kid's question.

>I don't pretend to be privy to what the gummint knows or doesn't  
>know about UFOs, but I'm certain this is nothing more than  
>evidence of something we already knew: the President has a sense  
>of humor.

>Jerry Clark

The incident with Will Smith's son is - by itself - relatively  
innocuous... and for sure the President does have a sense of  
humour.

However - that said - when his comments are examined in the  
context of other statements by political figures, they are  
tantamount to high quality evidence. Like Obama's comments in  
Roswell -

see ZNN home page:

<https://sites.google.com/site/zlandcommunications/home>

- about 'keeping our secrets here...' and other similar seepage,  
the astute observer can make determinations that all point in  
the same direction. Obama prefaced this remark by saying, 'Let

me tell you =96 there are more nine and ten year old boys around the country when I meet them =96 they ask me, "Have you been to Roswell and is it true what they say? And I tell them, 'If I told you I would have to kill you.'"

These kinds of events are signals - small brush strokes on a bigger canvass that demand our attention.

Why has Obama obliquely referenced UFO/ET events... campaign fodder, entertainment factor (MIBIII hype) or...? As always, speculation opens possibilities that are most certainly the substance of backroom 'think-tank' gaming and planning by alphabet soup agencies and other players of which we may have no knowledge. These clues are not simply meaningless morsels tossed out to a naive media by conniving politicians, speech writers and military tacticians. Although manipulative in their intent - they do represent tangible and meaningful evidence of a much bigger picture.

Some may even say the White House might even be the 'pin-hole leak' in the UFO cover-up balloon.

Take for example, the Clinton administration's involvement in the Rockefeller Initiative and the Podesta 2002 statement at the National Press Club in DC as well as President Jimmy Carter's demands of then CIA Director George W. Bush Sr. that the UFO file be released to the President. All these are clues that something of a disclosure nature might be occurring in the White House.

Is it a simple coincidence that two Presidents and a Chief of Staff each had an interest in UFO information or, can it all just be... dismissed? Perhaps Bush - in his denial of the Carter demand - knew the White House could not be entrusted with such provocative information for fear of leakage. This does not include Secretary of State - then First Lady - Hillary Clinton's relationship with Laurance S. Rockefeller who attempted to engage President Bill Clinton in declassifying UFO files. Signal or coincidence?

These seemingly singular events provide a vivid context or landscape for the highly plausible conclusion/assessment that the White House could be a focal point (one of many) for the UFO/ET cover-up. Clinton's statement, responding to a letter from 'Ryan' - yet another 10 year old boy... in Ireland about USAF and alien bodies - is probably the most blatant public reference the White House has ever made - see Inside UFOs - maintaining my contention that the UFO issue has been assessed, discussed and analyzed at the highest levels of governance on the planet for decades.

Looked at in another entirely different context - the White House could also be the very conduit through which a disclosure statement of some kind is eventually made. If it does happen, it'll surely come from the Oval Office - unless there are a few Ben Bradlees, Woodwards or Bernsteins left out there who will upset the apple cart with a preemptive media strike. [Read Dolan and Zabel's AD for more enlightenment on this front.]

These things do not happen as a simple happen stance - they are staged every inch of the way - especially the media-riddled Will Smith situation and Obama's Roswell comment about keeping our secrets here... this kind of language means something very significant - something that should not be ignored lest we miss the innuendo behind the curtain (Obama's toothy smile and 'sense of humour'?)

Yet it is important to point out that no single UFO/ET story of this nature is - in and of itself - proof positive of the existence of a cover-up. However taken in the larger context, all of the incidents should provide clarity or at least a solid avenue of evidence for any investigative journalist to hunt down the truth. Although the avenue is littered with a mixture of disinformation and seemingly solid evidence - the growing number of these kinds of events are subtle signals, making it clear that there is something going on at the political as well as the film/media levels that could indicate a softening of the political rationale that maintains a weakening cover-up. Ignoring or dismissing these signals is not good research, journalism or investigation.

Our assessment at ZNN is that the last thing the US government wants is a 'blast of unauthorized disclosure' information by another nation or some other rogue group that is able to use the media and the internet to cause a gaping hole in their cover-up... What better way than to 'slow-leak' the issue - provide a mix of hints and disinfo to fall upon the very fertile ground of ridicule already in place? The cover-up is thus sustained for the moment - yet will not meet with success because it is an 'old-out-dated' tactic used when news moved slowly.

Today as we all are well aware - the acuity, speed and levels of coverage brought about by the internet have the capacity to allow millions and millions of people to know the same thing instantly at the click of a mouse button, a key stroke or a touch on an iPad.

This is as powerful as it is complex - because this capacity among the human species has never existed before - we are just beginning to see and feel the full impact of this radically new form of communication - what some might call a 'collective electronic consciousness' - especially when any kind of disclosure news (limited or otherwise) is let loose.

This might translate into a scenario - like Obama's flippant Roswell remarks - where the press will say, "No, wait guys - he's serious!" all because of the continuous and meaningful context we might be able to provide for these kinds of loose lipped utterances. At some point in the history of the Catholic Church some Cardinal or prelate must have uttered the same words after Galileo's imprisonment. Look how that turned out...

This is precisely why we - in the UFO research community - need to get our act together and utilize this tactic daily to assemble these pieces, however seemingly innocuous they may appear to be, for people who cannot, won't or are ambivalent towards. We continue to compete with the so-called news of the day. However one day very soon, the news we provide will soon fill in the canvass of the UFO/ET issue - to override and dominate the 'regular' news of the day - over-taking the crap seen on the nightly news and in the newspapers.

This is the reason ZlandCommunications contextualizes these incidents as news and connects the dots for readers. The work of Robbie Graham is most salient in this over-all scenario as well as others cited by him - including CIA involvement (control) in virtually every Hollywood film with an ET theme. (again see ZNN home page and click on Silver Screen Saucers)

The ZlandCommunications NewsNetwork attempts to provide a contextual array of news to paint a larger picture - leading the open-minded person to view information and validate the presence of an emerging trend of news pointing to the UOF/ET reality and its eventual disclosure. Is it an accident Fife Symington changed his mind? Why did former NM Governor and Presidential prospect Bill Richardson insist - at least three times in an MSNBC interview - that the US government needs to come clean on the UFO issue? Were Senator Barry Goldwater and Congressman Steve Schiff chasing rainbows or were they paying heed to some subtle and not so subtle clues? The percolation of this matter in political realms is far deeper than any ramblings of opinions we might have.

Get the news out there and - with good, courageous journalism (it still does exist!) the pin hole leaks will evolve into gaping holes.

It is time for the UFO research community to stop putting bullet holes in each piece of evidence sent our way - rather examine each piece of the puzzle, discarding the crap, and assembling the meaningful pieces to create the vibrant picture than is emerging right before our eyes.

The really strange part of this is that the government - with statements like Obama's and Clinton's is actually assisting us with small brush strokes on the canvass - how can we ignore that? These brush strokes will very soon be recognized for what they really represent - the truth.

So - the Will Smith thing is significant - not for what actually occurred but because it is a signal.

Re: What Obama Told Will Smith's Son About ET

Let's not kid ourselves by ignoring the obvious - UFOs are real  
- the 'government' knows - and so do we.

Victor Viggiani  
ZlandCommunications  
NewsNetwork  
<https://sites.google.com/site/zlandcommunications/home>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 10](#)

## Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 15:01:22 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 10:18:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2012 06:37:14 +0100  
>Subject: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

<snip>

>Jacobs's accounts indicate that the 'invaders' contact and  
>instruct people mostly at night/mainly telepathically/and are  
>deceptive and sometimes destructive BUT seem to follow a logic  
>and a set of rules of their own.

<snip>

>That is strangely like the histories of other-worldly encounters  
>we find stretching back for millenia. Therefore, by following  
>the ancient rules as laid down, modern experiencers could maybe  
>protect themselves.

>History's rules: historical accounts say that religious  
>'believers' can escape any danger by calling on their God. But  
>the accounts also say that non-religious folk can also be immune  
>IF they address the entity(s) logically, first asking for a  
>name/identity, and then for a reason or motive for the  
>visitation, and then proceeding rationally and dispassionately.

<snip>

Hello List,

Received some off-list comment on that post, mostly approving.  
Even so, am realizing that the subject deserved more  
examination.

In particular, if we take those conclusions about the past - by  
Vallee, Hynek, Keel, and now Jacobs - at face value, they raise  
questions about probable lack of integrity (to say the least) in  
our existing power structures - for instance in policing,  
politics, judiciary and finance.

As we saw, it seems that individuals most prone to attack and  
subversion by 'entities' are those without strong ethics, and/or  
without a good grounding in science, natural philosophy or maybe  
even sound 'husbandry' of one kind or another. And, again  
looking back at the folk-record, we see that persons seeking  
gain or self-advantage in dealings with the 'tricksters' almost  
always end up in thrall to them, by various kinds of  
enslavement.

That amounts to a double-whammy against the big-wheels in  
policing, politics, the judiciary and finance (and media?), who  
are notoriously deficient in knowledge and ethics (because  
their careers are built around the need for power, not for  
knowledge or even thought/meditation) and whose every action is  
ruled by greed.

So, if Vallee, Hynek, Keel, and Jacobs are right about the  
history of 'abductions' and 'interventions', what does that say  
about the methods (probably non-humane), and the motives

(possibly non-human) of our leaders?

I.e. whose interests are they likely to be serving?

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 11](#)

## This Week At Inexplicata - 11 June 2012

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 06:44:28 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 08:28:10 -0400  
Subject: This Week At Inexplicata - 11 June 2012

INEXPLICATA - The Journal of Hispanic Ufology

June 11, 2012

A Touch Of Darkness: Cemeteries And UFOs  
By Scott Corrales  
(c) 2012

There is a dark side to the UFO phenomenon that has always been mentioned, but is seldom delved into. In an effort to "accentuate the positive" we overlook some of these grotesque aspects, mainly because they do not jibe with our concept of an advanced, benevolent, spacefaring, technological civilization =96 such as one that we may have read about in the books of Larry Niven or Hal Clement. This dark side is raw and primitive, evoking fears that go as far back as the caves, but taking place in our own troubled times. Some of these behaviors suggest =96 to the discomfiture of many =96 that the intelligence behind the UFO is far more earthbound than we know, and more closely related to Medieval lore than outer space.

Anthropologists have suggested that human ritual practices in burying the dead go back at least a hundred thousand years, and aside from guaranteeing the final rest of the departed by anointing them with substances such as red ochre, surrounding them with flowers, sea shells and other objects, there was also the urge to place them with charms that would insure the body's protection against "evil spirits". Vigils were held to insure that corpses weren't reanimated by these spirits, bringing harm to the community, or =96 perhaps more importantly, if the deceased had died a violent death =96 identifying the perpetrator in the community. Historian M.P. Charlesworth mentions a fear in the rural Roman Empire of "vampires coming to take away the dead", and similar beliefs and traditions can be found under different guises all around the planet.

### An Unsettling Motion Picture

In 1979, an unusual film graced screens across America. Billed as a horror/sci-fi flick, Phantasm presented the story of a small town whose less-than-human funeral director (a character known only as the Tall Man) modifies human corpses into "slave labor" -dwarfish creatures resembling the Jawas from Star Wars- - on a distant planet. When the film's youthful protagonists discover the ultimate fate of their dead relative's mortal remains, they undertake a quest to vanquish the Tall Man and his works. So compelling was this piece of fiction that it spawned an enthusiastic fan base and three sequels.

While the merits of this cinematic event are best left to film reviewers, Phantasm drew attention to a curious aspect of the UFO phenomenon-its unusual affinity with human burial grounds, ancient and modern. But before proceeding along this line of inquiry, it is necessary to differentiate between UFOs and the balls of light seen at many graveyards in this country and in others. Known as "cemetery lights" or "graveyard lights", they have been described as having sizes ranging from candle-flames to large azure fireballs. These lights, which can either dart

around or remain perfectly motionless, have been attributed to the presence of phosphorated hydrogen, which has luminous properties. Researchers such as Spain's Salvador Freixedo have remarked about the sentient quality exhibited by these objects and dubbed them REPQEN -Residual Psychic Quasi-Intelligent Energy.

#### A Tradition of UFO Involvement

In the early decades of the UFO phenomenon, investigators would write about the phenomenon's preference for dark, deserted places-quarries, garbage dumps, cemeteries-and suggested that these choices were ideal low-visibility places for alien spaceship crews to land undetected by humans. As the paranormal aspects of the phenomenon began to be acknowledged in the 1970's, researchers admitted that such places had also been favored by shadowy creatures from terrestrial traditions.

One of the most the most remarkable cases involving the presence of unidentified flying objects over an ancient North American burial ground was recorded by investigator John Magor in his article "Strange Sights in Yukon Sky" (Canadian UFO Report, Vol.1. No.1). The incident took place in the environs of Canyon Creek on the Alaska Highway in December 1966 and involved the family of one Bob McKinnon.

According to Mr. McKinnon, he first became aware that something was amiss when a powerful beam of light poured through the windows of the rest area he operated on the highway. Even more surprising was the fact that the light beam had its origin in an ancient Indian burial ground half a mile away.

McKinnon thought at first that the light issued from construction work being done at the site, but soon realized that this could not be the case, since the beam "was hanging absolutely motionless in the air, maybe a 100 feet up, and looked to be about two feet in diameter. It was bluish-orange in color, something like the reflections off a diamond."

The rest area operator called his relatives to witness the event and went outside the structure to get a better view. The light initiated a slow descent over the burial ground. The source of light almost touched the ground and lit the four-foot tall wooden huts into which the bodies of the dead were deposited. "We definitely had a feeling it was interested in the place," noted the main witness.

Then as suddenly as it appeared, the light vanished. McKinnon contacted the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and was told that the object must have been a meteor-a suggestion that the witness could not agree with.

Magor's report concludes with the rest area operator saying that despite his lack of interest in flying saucers, "there definitely was something strange happening out there over the cemetery that night."

On the night of July 21, 1977, three members of the Bradford, Pennsylvania, police department were treated to the sight of two luminous objects flying low across the city sky toward Oak Hill Cemetery. That very same evening, a triangular UFO sporting white and red lights was seen over Limestone, N.Y. Adding to the baffling phenomena was the problem of "unidentified radio signals", mostly in a language similar to Spanish, pouring in over area air waves, disrupting police-band communications. While local experts dismissed the radio "skips", as they were known, no official explanation was ever put forth for the anomalous radio activity.

Even rock and roll musicians have experiences to share: Rob Zombie recalled having had a UFO sighting in 1973 while attending a third grade Halloween party. The future rock celebrity was leaving the event when he saw a UFO hovering over the cemetery adjacent to his grade school. "That was pretty freaky," he admitted to journalist Gerri Miller.

#### Alien Grave Robbers?

A UFO was inadvertently "caught in the act" of showing its interest for human burials on April 28, 1976, when Angel



Gonz=Ellez photographed funeral services being held for Rev. Antonio Roque of the Barrio Navarro Baptist Church in Gurabo, Puerto Rico. At no point were the photographer nor those attending the service ever aware of the unidentified flying object: the dark, oval-shaped object appeared when the color film was developed. Gonzalez's snapshot shows the object suspended at a certain distance over the crowd. The photo appeared in the May 20th edition of the Caguas La Semana newspaper and went on to become one of the memorable images of Puerto Rico's mid-70s UFO wave.

On the other side of the Caribbean, residents of the Las Margaritas and Santa Rosa developments in the city of Jalapa allegedly witnessed a collision between two UFOs in mid-flight, directly above the Bosques del Recuerdo cemetery in the early hours of October 27, 1995. Both objects had been flying at high speeds and low above the ground.

It was approximately 4:00 a.m. when the dispatcher of the Canal 35 Hermandad taxi company, Ms. P.Ch.C, reported from her vantage point at the summit of Lomas Margaritas that a huge light which had been flying over the area had subdivided itself into three smaller lights, two of which had collided in mid-air. This report prompted a large number of cab drivers to rush to the scene of the events. Mrs. Y.F.G., a resident of the aforementioned development, stated that similar events had occurred days earlier and that she herself had seen the objects disappearing in the vicinity of Cofre de Perote, a nearby mountain.

Dr. Rafael A. Lara of the Mexican research organization CEFP, a resident of the state of Veracruz, managed to interview the witnesses for his Terra Incognita journal. Ms. P.Ch.C. added that after the objects disappeared, three women were seen in the middle of the cemetery, although the cab drivers who reported to the scene could not find anyone.

Contradictions did not wait long to emerge, as the selfsame Ms. P.Ch.C declared that what she had seen had not necessarily been "alien spaceships" and that an overeager radio journalist, Antonio Trujillo, had reported the "alien spaceships" over Bosques del Recuerdo Cemetery. The media frenzy led to the young woman being besieged at her workplace by reporters interested in learning "if she had undergone any emotional or physical trauma as a result of witnessing the UFOs."

Mexico was not alone on the list: UFOs also staged appearances at cemeteries in Brazil during the April 1996 flap that caused excitement all over the South American giant's northeastern region. On April 5, 1996, a woman named Mar=EDa Jose and her son were driving along the road linking the cities of Joao Pessoa and Natal in the state of Paraiba when they became aware of a UFO hovering in the vicinity of a local cemetery. The unknown object sped directly toward them, passing over the car's roof at low altitude. Mrs. Jose was so unnerved by the experience that she was hospitalized in the city of Campinha Grande.

Even more compelling is the case involving a direct link to one of South American ufology's strangest cases: the 1949 death of Joao Prestes due to an alleged "UFO bolt" which roasted him to death. Researcher Pablo Villarubia managed to find fresh leads and witnesses to this case in recent years, delving into the misperceptions between what was reported and what actually happened. One of the most interesting side stories involved the unfortunate Joao's brother Emiliano, who had seen "two fireballs rising and striking each other" and repeating the same action in the vicinity of the Aracariguama cemetery a year after his brother's death. Emiliano Prestes was reportedly encircled by the fireballs and could feel the intense heat arising from the unknown objects. He dropped to his knees in fervent prayer until the lights departed, perhaps sparing him a fate similar to his brothers. According to Hermes da Fonseca, whom Villarubia interviewed, the fireballs remained active to the present: In 1995, Giomar Gouveia, a champion jockey and owner of some stables at Ibate, saw a light hovering over his animals, giving off orange beams of light. Aracariguama Cemetery had its own eerie stories to tell. Nelson Oliveira, the local gravedigger, informed the UFO researcher that around 1989, he had seen something strange in the cemetery: an unusual circular flying object which resembled "an upside-down hat" made of aluminum. The object hovered for a while before heading in the direction

of the city of Sao Paulo.

An unusual case involving the presence of UFOs in cemeteries occurred in the town of Gerena in the province of Seville (Spain) and prompted an investigation by Joaquin Mateos Nogales, a respected local researcher.

Gerena is located some 14 miles northwest of Seville, and its cemetery lies outside the city limits, past the last few dwellings in town. These homes have a perfect view of the graveyard and its towering cypress trees.

On October 23, 1977, Ms. Ana Rum and Mr. Manuel Fernandez were walking along one of the town's streets back to their respective homes on what was a cloudless night studded with stars. At a given moment, the time being eight o'clock in the evening, Ms. Rum drew her companion's attention to an unusual situation: an uncanny glow was emerging from the cemetery, which was clearly visible from where they stood. Mr. Fernandez assured her that the glow was most likely "a cloud illuminated by the town's streetlights". This seemed like a reasonable explanation and they continued to amble down the street.

As they walked, they began to realize that the "cloud" over the cemetery had a reddish hue, and cast its light on the tall cypress trees and the mausoleums. It was a sizeable cloud, suspended in complete silence over the area. Realizing that something out of the ordinary was taking place, both went over to another neighbor's house with the purpose of taking a better look at the phenomenon from his rooftop. The Muaoz house was situated in such a way that he enjoyed a privileged view of the cemetery.

The homeowner and his wife joined the first two witnesses on the rooftop to look at the reddish cloud, but by this point, the red cloud had vanished, and the four onlookers were able to see a small red object (later described as "circular") heading off into the distance, changing colors from red to green and blinking intermittently.

"We must stress the fact," states the researcher's report, "that the area in question offers a wealth of unidentified flying object reports, which we have attested through many years of on-site research."

### The Real Tomb Raiders

Compelling evidence for UFO interest in the discarded mortal coils of human beings comes from West Virginia journalist Bob Teets, who mentioned the following cases in his book *West Virginia UFOs* (1994).

Elk Garden, a community of 300 souls in Mineral County, has attracted the disturbing attention of unidentified objects since at least the early 1960's, when local residents began to see them in the vicinity of Nethken Hill, whose cemetery contains a number of the small town's most prominent citizens as well as a Methodist church. The Kalbaugh family, living on a farm located a small distance away from the cemetery, claims having seen "lights" throughout the late 1960's and early 70's, and being clearly aware that they were neither airplanes nor helicopters. Eyewitnesses are in agreement that the lights were invariably white in color and accompanied by a high-pitched sound.

But the most memorable and eerie of the apparitions over Nethken Hill would occur on October 8, 1967, when Reverend Harley DeLeurere and two male members of his congregation, intrigued by the stories of sightings, went up to a promontory from where they could have a panoramic view of Nethken Hill and its cemetery. Their skywatch was rewarded later that evening when one of them saw an object described as "a big turtle with lights on it" appear over the hill and move deliberately toward the church.

The witnesses were stunned by what happened next: the turtle-shaped luminous object descended to approximately six feet off the ground as it shone its lights toward the graveyard. One of the men (identified by author Teets only as "Leonard Jr."), recalls that the object's lights projected into a day-old grave

at the cemetery. Rev. DeLeurere allegedly mentioned that it would be a good idea to exhume the body in the new grave to check for signs of disturbance. "It seems like every time there was a new grave, within the next couple of nights, people would see lights up there."

Seeing the events through the prism of early 90's abduction research, the author suggests the interesting possibility that the UFOs were engaged in the business of retrieving alien implants from the bodies interred on Nethken Hill.

#### Scientific Curiosity or Unhealthy Interest?

Why are UFOs (whatever their origin) interested in our final resting places? What could they stand to gain from such pursuits? Trying ascribe reason to an utterly unreasonable phenomenon leads us to consider that "alien scientists" can glean important biological information from the deceased, or as suggested in the West Virginia scenario, we are witnessing a cleanup operation aimed at removing implants left in the bodies of long-time abductees.

There is still a less wholesome possibility to consider, and it is as mind-bending as it is sordid: could UFOs be piloted by "recycled" humans? If it is true that the technology of any advanced alien civilization would be indistinguishable from magic, as Arthur C. Clarke noted in Report on Planet Three and Other Speculations, a spacefaring civilization might choose to leave the dangers of space travel to beings created specifically for the purpose, rather than jeopardizing its own citizens.

In November 1974, Luis and Maribel R., a married couple from the city of Huesca, Spain, stopped their car on a deserted highway in the middle of the night to hold a strange conversation with a conversation with a pointy-faced, all-too-human ufonaut, who asked them a surprising question: would they be so kind as to lend him a monkey wrench? A semi-spherical UFO with alternating red, yellow and white lights hovered in the background, and the car's driver wondered what good would a wrench do aboard such a vehicle. The ufonaut introduced himself as having been the former "Dr. Flor, from Barcelona."

Perhaps even more alarming is a case investigated by Spanish researcher Manuel Carballeda: according to the testimony of a number of witnesses, a young man who identified himself as Frederick Valentich, the Australian pilot who disappeared mysteriously in 1978, was alive and well in 1990 at Plaza del Charco, a seaport square on island of Tenerife in the Canary Islands. Displaying an Australian passport to prove his claim, Valentich told those with whom he spoke on several occasions that he now belonged to a group of humans who had been "recruited" by extraterrestrials. It is also worth noting that the supposed Valentich showed no signs of aging, and resembled the photos circulated around the time of his disappearance.

Another case involving a possible "recycled" human occurred in the Dominican Republic on September 22, 1973, as insurance salesman Virgilio Gomez drove to a business appointment. Heading toward his destination, he became aware of someone waving him down. As he slowed down, Gomez became aware that the person was clad in a green uniform and that there were two others standing a few dozen feet away. The insurance salesman could not have been prepared for what happened next: the man in the green uniformed told him that his name was Freddy Miller, a man who had "supposedly" drowned thirteen years earlier with other people in a boating accident, but that he had in fact been rescued by a modern device, "a module known to people as a UFO".

Suspecting that someone was playing a prank on him, Gomez asked the stranger which planet he came from. He was stunned when the man soberly answered that he thought he came from Venus, and that he had been rescued "on account of his knowledge of radio technology," adding that there had been no room for the other hapless boaters and that they would not have survived the "adaptation process."

Gomez remarked that "Freddy Miller" had a disgusting grayish-yellow skin tone that he found repulsive, spoke in a thick, deliberate voice and was virtually hairless. The entity's body was covered by a form-fitting green coverall without zippers or

pockets. A large wristwatch "similar to the ones worn by scuba divers" adorned the wrist of its left hand.

The insurance agent was shown a half-concealed vehicle in the woods by the roadside - a fact that caused him to realize that the situation was no joke. The oval-shaped craft had a chromelike sheen to it and largely resembled "an American football" (unlike the traditional soccer ball used throughout Latin America) and was windowless, betraying no external seams or rivets.

Did "recycled" humans resembling burn victims make an appearance in a 1967 UFO case in Western Pennsylvania? In a case researched by the defunct Pittsburgh UFO Research Institute, a man known only as "Mr. Ribble" took his daughter to an airstrip near Butler, PA to possibly catch a glimpse of the strange nocturnal phenomena which had plagued the vicinity for some time. Father and daughter soon found themselves staring at the evolutions of two luminous objects which suddenly headed straight for their Volkswagen, yet rather than crashing into the hapless car, the lights morphed into a half-circle of five humanoid figures "dressed in sloppy green-gray trousers" with their heads covered by flat-topped caps. The exposed skin of their arms and faces was coarse and gave the appearance of being severely burned. After Mr. Ribble coaxed his rear-engined car into starting, he found it necessary to drive around the semicircle of unpleasant figures.

Could the Men-in-Black who played such a prominent role in the early days of the UFO phenomenon correspond to this category of unliving beings, for want of a better term? Descriptions of these entities in their ill-fitting clothes, superannuated vehicles and odd physical characteristics have filled the casebooks of researchers in North America, South America and Europe.

A compelling example can be found in John Keel's *The Mothman Prophecies* (Signet, 1975) as the author turns his attention from the goings-on in West Virginia to the no less strange occurrences on Long Island, N.Y.'s Mount Misery. In the late spring of 1967, a young contactee began to have repeated encounters with a personage she at first took to be the local librarian, clad in old-fashioned, 1940's -style clothing (the author notes that this was before any "retro" styles were in fashion). A series of subsequent encounters occurred in which the strange personage - always wearing the same garb - tried to address the contactee. "There was something wrong about her movements. It was as if...she were dead."

Much has been made of the Men-in-Black's choice of clothing: white shirts, black ties and black suits. Perhaps these intriguing characters have been reanimated from the grave still clad in their burial clothes? A ludicrous proposition, but the MIB who caused a coin to vanish before the startled eyes of Maine physician Herbert Hopkins in 1976 was described as wearing heavy white makeup and lipstick. An effort to hide the disfigurements of a cadaver? The intervening twenty-odd years have not shed any further light on this case.

(An earlier version of this article appeared in 2001 in *FATE Magazine* and was cited by Preston Dennett in the *MUFON Proceedings* for 2002)

Posted by INEXPLICATA (IHU) AT 5:47 AM

Friday, June 08, 2012

Spain: A Landing at Canada de los Garabatos (1982)  
A Report by Joaquin Mateos and Manuel Filpo

#### Technical Information

Geographic Location: Property of the "La Alegria" farm at the gorge known as "Los Garabatos" within the municipal confines of Sanlucar La Mayor, Province of Seville (Spain). The landing site is practically at the center of the triangle formed by Aznalcollar, Gerena and Olivares. This is an area in which multiple sightings have occurred over the last 15 years.

Time and Date: 30 January 1982 at 21:00 hours (Saturday)

Sighting Information: It was seen at a distance of 300 meters. It was on land or close to it, in a horizontal position. The observation lasted five minutes.

Information on the Surroundings: The terrain is nearly flat. A few meters away there is a relatively small reservoir used by the Aznalcollar Mine. There are some scattered cortijos (farmhouses) in the area. The remains of an ancient aqueduct used by the Romans to bring water to Italica are nearby.

Physical Characteristics of the Sighting: The object was cigar-shaped and the witness defines it as a fluorescent tube measuring some 30 meters long. The object was fully illuminated by an intense white light and surrounded by a haze of a similar color. The entire location was so thoroughly illuminated by it that the slightest details were clearly visible: plants, shrubs and stones. It produced no sounds or smells. No traces were left behind. After the sighting was over, as the witnesses returned to their homes, they noticed that their television sets suffered transient problems, with a great black dot appearing in the middle of the screen. No animal anomalies have been ascertained in the neighboring farmhouses.

Weather Conditions: Completely clear

Witnesses: Don Manuel Morato, married, around 30 years old, native of Cabezas de San Juan (Sevilla), and a tractor operator at the farmhouse. The overseer also saw the object as it flew over both of them.

Description of the Events: Mr. Morato was carrying out agricultural duties on his tractor and the vehicle became stuck as a result of recent rains. He abandoned the tractor and returned to the farmhouse on foot to ask for help. He and the overseer decide to go find another tractor to pull it out. No sooner do they step out, they see a light that draws their attention. They wait for it to fly past and remark that it's longer than an airplane, aside from being fully illuminated like a fluorescent tube. Its velocity was slow. They witnessed its trajectory until it vanished behind some hills. They then continued toward the stuck tractor and extricate it, each man riding a separate tractor. While the overseer goes ahead, the main witness decides to take a look at the hills and ascertains, to his astonishment, that the object is on the ground or close to it, surrounded by the mysterious haze that enveloped it as it went past. The main witness observed the phenomenon for five minutes before deciding to advise his companion, in order that he may also see it. They go back but there is nothing to be seen. Perhaps it turned itself off =96 remarks the tractor operator =96 but both men decide to return to the farmhouse. Subsequently, interruptions occur on both men's TV sets.

Remarks: Yet another sighting occurs in this "hot spot". We must also note that the witnesses are serious people, not big talkers, pleasant and educated. There are no reasons that we could see as justifying a falsehood. Both men are confused, stunned.

The area has, in theory, attractive features for hypothetical extraterrestrial visitors: mines, archaeological ruins, a variety of crops...and even a distinguished researcher such as Don Manuel Osuna. The possible hypothesis of the "magnet" effect exerted by researchers of the phenomenon should not be dismissed. It may someday be confirmed, as Don Ignacio Darnaude has suggested.

The possible relationship between sightings and the presence of eucalyptus trees should be also worthy of further study, as these have seldom been absent from areas where such incidents have occurred. Our colleagues Hermida and Valls are working on a report on the subject.

The interference caused to the TV sets is also very interesting, as it is unique. A black dot that takes up nearly the entire TV screen can only be produced by a serious breakdown. It is true that after some research, we were able to ascertain that classic interference took place that night. It can be supposed that the object remained in the area for some time, confirming the tractor's suspicions about how the object "turned itself off".

The uncertain nature of the white light is also confirmed. We often hear descriptions such as "it was like nothing we'd ever seen" or "it lit the entire area like daylight but without blinding us."

When we tried to survey the area in detail, we could see that it had been plowed over, thus making it impossible to find possible landing marks or ground traces.

In Gerena, 6 February 1982

(Translation (c) 2012, S. Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to Jose Manuel Garcia Bautista)

Posted By Inexplicata (IHU) AT 12:49 PM

Thursday, June 07, 2012

Brazil: The Man Who Woke Up on a Rock =96 A Thousand Feet in the Air

Source: Gazeta Newspaper

Date: 8 November 2008

[Our friend and colleague Paulo R. Poian has called our attention to this bit of undeniable high-strangeness from 2008 in Brazil's Espiritu Santo province. No UFOs were present. Could a hapless stoneworker been teleported to a rocky summit by those reckless entities we know as the djinn? Perhaps we'll never know =96 SC]

The protagonist of this story was rescued by firefighters. No UFOs were reported in the region and the episode was described as a "strange form of somnambulism".

The event took place in the Brazilian state of Espiritu Santo: "A mystery is perplexing the residents of Bananalzinho in the municipality of Rio Bananal. Odair Jose Berti, 35, a stonecutter, does not know how he wound up on top of a rock some 980 feet in the air =96 remaining on this precarious perch for some 17 hours. He was rescued by firefighters during a hazardous operation that took 12 hours and ended early yesterday morning. Even experienced firefighters like sergeants Jose Ailton and Caldeira could not find a logical explanation for the bizarre episode.

According to the rescuers, reaching the top of the rock poses considerable difficulty, even using proper gear. It would be virtually impossible for local residents to make a successful ascent without the proper mountaineering equipment.

"Only God knows how he got there," remarked Claudedir Berti, the stonecutter's nephew. Odair himself was terrified by the situation. He lives in the town of Colatina and had decided to take a walk over to his brother's house in Bananalzinho, where he arrived late on Wednesday.

"I was very tired," he told his relatives, and fell asleep early.

Upon awakening the next day =96 according to his story =96 he was greatly shocked to find himself on top of a rocky summit. In desperation, he started to scream, waving his shirt, until a local resident took notice. When he was rescued, the stonecutter was found wearing shorts, a shirt and carpet slippers, and didn't have a scratch on his body, as the rescuers would testify. He was in weakened condition, however, due to thirst and hunger. Mr. Berti was treated at the local hospital and released.

The rescue mission involved a team of four firefighters, who were driven to the site at around 1:40 in the afternoon. Upon realizing the seriousness of the situation, they took a helicopter belonging to the state government. However, the flying vehicle was not rated for nocturnal operations, so the rescuers decided to attempt a climb using rappelling techniques.

Aided by local residents, they took over an hour to reach the best-suited area for an ascent.

The operation lasted about an hour. During the descent, two firefighters became lost and only managed to find their way out with the help of some locals. During the climb, Sgt. Jose Ailton experienced several foot injuries.

The stonecutter's relatives insist that he does not suffer from mental problems. Odair is separated from his wife and lives with his mother.

LINK: <http://www.ufo.com.br/noticias/em-2008-homem-despertou-no-alto-de-pedra-com-300-metros-de-altura>

(Translation (c) 2012, S. Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to Guillermo Gimenez and Paulo R. Poian)

Posted by INEXPLICATA (IHU) AT 11:

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 11](#)

## Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 15:54:26 +0200  
Archived: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 11:46:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 15:01:22 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2012 06:37:14 +0100  
>>Subject: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

><snip>

>>Jacobs's accounts indicate that the 'invaders' contact and  
>>instruct people mostly at night/mainly telepathically/and are  
>>deceptive and sometimes destructive BUT seem to follow a logic  
>>and a set of rules of their own.

><snip>

>>zhat is strangely like the histories of other-worldly encounters  
>>we find stretching back for millenia. Therefore, by following  
>>the ancient rules as laid down, modern experiencers could maybe  
>>protect themselves.

>>History's rules: historical accounts say that religious  
>>'believers' can escape any danger by calling on their God. But  
>>the accounts also say that non-religious folk can also be immune  
>>IF they address the entity(s) logically, first asking for a  
>>name/identity, and then for a reason or motive for the  
>>visitation, and then proceeding rationally and dispassionately.

><snip>

>Hello List,

>Received some off-list comment on that post, mostly approving.  
>Even so, am realizing that the subject deserved more  
>examination.

>In particular, if we take those conclusions about the past - by  
>Vallee, Hynek, Keel, and now Jacobs - at face value, they raise  
>questions about probable lack of integrity (to say the least) in  
>our existing power structures - for instance in policing,  
>politics, judiciary and finance.

>As we saw, it seems that individuals most prone to attack and  
>subversion by 'entities' are those without strong ethics, and/or  
>without a good grounding in science, natural philosophy or maybe  
>even sound 'husbandry' of one kind or another. And, again  
>looking back at the folk-record, we see that persons seeking  
>gain or self-advantage in dealings with the 'tricksters' almost  
>always end up in thrall to them, by various kinds of  
>enslavement.

>That amounts to a double-whammy against the big-wheels in  
>policing, politics, the judiciary and finance (and media?), who  
>are notoriously deficient in knowledge and ethics (because  
>their careers are built around the need for power, not for  
>knowledge or even thought/meditation) and whose every action is



>ruled by greed.

>So, if Vallee, Hynek, Keel, and Jacobs are right about the  
>history of `abductions' and `interventions', what does that say  
>about the methods (probably non-humane), and the motives  
>(possibly non-human) of our leaders?

>I.e. whose interests are they likely to be serving?

Ray and List,

I have not followd this thread, but I just read this message and I strongly disagree with it. Firstly, how can you put together people as different as Vallee and Jacobs? Their views on ufos, and even more on abductions, are practically opposite. In addition to that, I don't agree at all with your opinion that individuals most prone to attack and subversion by 'entities' are those without strong ethics, etc. What about Betty and Barney Hill, Travis Walton and so many others, to begin with? Or maybe you are not considering abductions?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 12](#)

## Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 16:07:08 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 12 Jun 2012 05:53:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 15:54:26 +0200  
>Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 15:01:22 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2012 06:37:14 +0100  
>>>Subject: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>><snip>

>>>Jacobs's accounts indicate that the 'invaders' contact and  
>>>instruct people mostly at night/mainly telepathically/and are  
>>>deceptive and sometimes destructive BUT seem to follow a logic  
>>>and a set of rules of their own.

>  
>><snip>

>>>zhat is strangely like the histories of other-worldly encounters  
>>>we find stretching back for millenia. Therefore, by following  
>>>the ancient rules as laid down, modern experiencers could maybe  
>>>protect themselves.

>>>History's rules: historical accounts say that religious  
>>>'believers' can escape any danger by calling on their God. But  
>>>the accounts also say that non-religious folk can also be immune  
>>>IF they address the entity(s) logically, first asking for a  
>>>name/identity, and then for a reason or motive for the  
>>>visitation, and then proceeding rationally and dispassionately.

>><snip>

>>Hello List,

>>Received some off-list comment on that post, mostly approving.  
>>Even so, am realizing that the subject deserved more examination.

>>In particular, if we take those conclusions about the past - by  
>>Vallee, Hynek, Keel, and now Jacobs - at face value, they raise  
>>questions about probable lack of integrity (to say the least) in  
>>our existing power structures - for instance in policing,  
>>politics, judiciary and finance.

>>As we saw, it seems that individuals most prone to attack and  
>>subversion by 'entities' are those without strong ethics, and/or  
>>without a good grounding in science, natural philosophy or maybe  
>>even sound 'husbandry' of one kind or another. And, again  
>>looking back at the folk-record, we see that persons seeking  
>>gain or self-advantage in dealings with the 'tricksters' almost  
>>always end up in thrall to them, by various kinds of  
>>enslavement.

>>That amounts to a double-whammy against the big-wheels in

>>policing, politics, the judiciary and finance (and media?), who  
>>are notoriously deficient in knowledge \_and\_ ethics (because  
>>their careers are built around the need for power, \_not\_ for  
>>knowledge or even thought/meditation) and whose every action is  
>>ruled by greed.

>>So, if Vallee, Hynek, Keel, and Jacobs are right about the  
>>history of `abductions' and `interventions', what does that say  
>>about the methods (probably non-humane), and the motives  
>>(possibly non-human) of our leaders?

>>I.e. whose interests are they likely to be serving?

>Ray and List,

>I have not followed this thread, but I just read this message and  
>I strongly disagree with it. Firstly, how can you put together  
>people as different as Vallee and Jacobs? Their views on ufos,  
>and even more on abductions, are practically opposite. In  
>addition to that, I don't agree at all with your opinion that  
>individuals most prone to attack and subversion by 'entities'  
>are those without strong ethics, etc. What about Betty and  
>Barney Hill, Travis Walton and so many others, to begin with? Or  
>maybe you are not considering abductions?

Gildas:

I don't think Ray was referring to the researchers, but their  
evaluation of the abductee's response to the event called  
abduction. At least that is how I read it. The abductee was the  
focus of the comment.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 12](#)

## Polygrapher For TV Pilot & UFO Witnesses

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 13:51:28 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
Archived: Tue, 12 Jun 2012 06:03:01 -0400  
Subject: Polygrapher For TV Pilot & UFO Witnesses

This came through on another List. I have not checked it out.

-----

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am forwarding you a request I received today. This is a one-week paid assignment for the selected candidate. Former FBI/CIA and Military females preferred with experience in polygraph to interview potential witnesses of UFO sighting for TV pilot. If interested, please respond directly to Jessica Jorgensen.

Good luck!

Tanya S. DeGenova

---

Hi Tanya,

My name is Jessica Jorgensen, and I am a Producer for Big Fish Entertainment, the television production company behind documentary programs like Do You Believe? and Bomb Patrol: Afghanistan.

Visit [BigFishUSA.com](http://BigFishUSA.com) for more information on our company.

I am currently working on a new project for a major cable network, which is why I am reaching out to you today.

The focus of this show will be individuals who believe they have had encounters with aliens. Each episode, we will explore the experiences of these individuals via a variety of methods. We are currently working with renowned scientists and regressive-hypnotherapists.

I am reaching out to you for help in finding a female law-enforcement/former-law-enforcement professional to assist in lie detection segments of this show. Ideally we would like to find someone who has worked in lie detection before, but we are open to any credentialed professionals who may be a good fit.

If this is something you are interested in, please reply to my email or call me directly at 646-397-3297. If this does not seem like you, but you know others who may be qualified, please forward this message on.

This is a unique opportunity to explore a fascinating issue while highlighting the methodology and personality of an experienced professional.

Thank you very much. I hope to hear from you soon.

Jessica Jorgensen

Jessica Jorgensen  
Producer

Big Fish Entertainment  
New York  
NY 10013  
tel. + 646-397-3297

[jessicajorgensen.casting.nul](mailto:jessicajorgensen.casting.nul)

BigFishUSA.com

-----

Terry W. Colvin

Tucson, Arizona 10 June to 13 August 2012

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 12](#)

## Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 22:28:35 +0100  
Archived: Tue, 12 Jun 2012 06:05:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2012 15:54:26 +0200  
>Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2012 15:01:22 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Contacts Abductions & Convictions

<snip>

>>So, if Vallee, Hynek, Keel, and Jacobs are right about the  
>>history of 'abductions' and 'interventions', what does that say  
about the methods (probably non-humane), and the motives  
(possibly non-human) of our leaders?

>> I.e. whose interests are they likely to be serving?

>Ray and List,

>I have not followed this thread, but I just read this message and  
>I strongly disagree with it. Firstly, how can you put together  
people as different as Vallee and Jacobs? Their views on ufos,  
and even more on abductions, are practically opposite. In  
addition to that, I don't agree at all with your opinion that  
individuals most prone to attack and subversion by 'entities' are  
those without strong ethics, etc. What about Betty and Barney  
Hill, Travis Walton and so many others, to begin with? Or maybe  
you are not considering abductions?

Hi Gildas,

I can understand your pain, because, from reading earlier works  
and statements, had also thought that those people had  
distinctly different hard'n'fast views. Turns out I was wrong;  
they had/have all come around by various routes to the  
'trickster' element in close encounters (and visitations - for  
some). Indeed, Hynek, Keel and Vallee later identified apparent  
non-corporeality in some cases. Also, from their separate  
experiences and case files, they have each warned of  
'subversion' - tricksters leading people astray.

As far as your first problem with definitions goes, the evidence  
is that Betty and Barney Hill, Travis Walton etc were/are highly  
ethical people - and as a matter of fact I personally find that  
most working folk, around the world, also have the same strong  
system of ethics (although if questioned they might put local  
'family' or 'religion' labels on it). I.e. you don't need to be  
a bishop or a professional philosopher to have strong ethics.

Your second problem is with the 'subversion' bit - there is no  
evidence that Betty and Barney Hill, Travis Walton etc were  
tempted into the trap (described by John Keel and acknowledged by  
others), of serving the apparent interests of tricksters by way  
of 'disciples' and 'prophecy' etc. As Keel noted, although  
'subverted' folk might be strangely successful at first,  
nevertheless that always seems to lead to public and personal

catastrophe.

While from the little I've seen of recordings of Betty and Barney Hill, Travis Walton etc, although they were clearly shocked by the pressures of publicity and controversy, each of them finally emerged with their personalities intact and indeed with a large measure of dignity.

Ethical is as ethical does.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 13](#)

## Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

From: **Joachim Koch** <[lists.nul](mailto:lists.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2012 17:49:37 +0200  
Archived: Wed, 13 Jun 2012 19:56:27 -0400  
Subject: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

Hi List,

We all are waiting for new information about the enigmatic object on the bottom of the Baltic Sea. It is said divers will reveal what they have found at a press conference this week. Speculation ranges from natural stone formations to something not of this Earth.

See:

<http://www.oceanexplorer.se/videos/>

Best,

Joachim

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 13](#)

## Come To Planetfest 2012

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2012 18:24:58 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 13 Jun 2012 19:57:57 -0400  
Subject: Come To Planetfest 2012

Sounds like fun, especially milling about with other space fans.

KK

-----

From: [connect.nul](mailto:connect.nul)  
To: [catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)  
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2012 09:59:42 -0500  
Subject: Come to Planetfest 2012

Hi Kathy!

A video invitation to Planetfest 2012

It's Bill Nye here, and this is a special invitation for you to join me at Planetfest=99 2012: Curiosity Knows No Bounds, August 4 and 5 in Pasadena, CA.

Space exploration brings out the best in us humans, and Planetfest is a celebration of the amazing Curiosity rover=92s historic landing on Mars! We will all get to know more about our planetary neighbor and the spacecraft unlocking its secrets.

I=92ll be there both days, so join me, and thousands of space fans, as we:

Witness the landing, with the best live NASA feed and experts on-stage sharing their insights=85and the drama.

Be part of our live Planetary Radio show, Explore hands-on science activities for kids, Meet the scientists and engineers behind the mission, Enjoy an out-of-this-world art show.

Seating is limited, so get your tickets today.

Can=92t make it to Pasadena? We're working with science centers, museums, and other groups around the world to bring you Planetfest Worldwide. We also will be web casting the two-day Pasadena event so you can participate from home. We want everyone, everywhere to witness and celebrate this extraordinary landing on Mars.

For the latest information about Planetfest 2012 and to purchase tickets, visit [planetfest.org](http://planetfest.org).

See you on Mars!...and at Planetfest!

Bill Nye  
CEO, The Planetary Society

The Planetary Society  
85 South Grand,  
Pasadena, CA 91105  
[Planetary.org](http://Planetary.org) | (626) 793-5100 | [tps.nul](mailto:tps.nul)  
All contents =A9 2012 The Planetary Society. All rights reserved.  
[About The Planetary Society](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 14](#)

## Alien Earths Could Form Earlier Than Expected

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2012 23:55:21 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 07:58:43 -0400  
Subject: Alien Earths Could Form Earlier Than Expected

Hello List,

An obvious implication of this finding is that "Earth equivalents" have already formed in our Galaxy, some maybe millions or billions of years ahead of ours.

---

ScienceDaily

[www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/06/120613133253.htm](http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/06/120613133253.htm)

June 13, 2012

Alien Earths Could Form Earlier Than Expected

Building a terrestrial planet requires raw materials that weren't available in the early history of the universe. The Big Bang filled space with hydrogen and helium. Chemical elements like silicon and oxygen - key components of rocks - had to be cooked up over time by stars. But how long did that take? How many of such heavy elements do you need to form planets?

Previous studies have shown that Jupiter-sized gas giants tend to form around stars containing more heavy elements than the Sun. However, new research by a team of astronomers found that planets smaller than Neptune are located around a wide variety of stars, including those with fewer heavy elements than the Sun. As a result, rocky worlds like Earth could have formed earlier than expected in the universe's history.

"This work suggests that terrestrial worlds could form at almost any time in our galaxy's history," said Smithsonian astronomer David Latham (Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics). "You don't need many earlier generations of stars."

Latham played a lead role in the study, which was led by Lars A. Buchhave from the University of Copenhagen and will be published in the journal Nature. The work is being presented June 13 at the 220th meeting of the American Astronomical Society.

Astronomers call chemical elements heavier than hydrogen and helium "metals". They measure the metal content, or metallicities, of other stars using the Sun as a benchmark. Stars with more heavy elements are considered metal-rich while stars with fewer heavy elements are considered metal-poor.

Latham and his colleagues examined more than 150 stars known to have planets, based on data from NASA's Kepler spacecraft. They measured the stars' metallicities and correlated that with the sizes of the associated planets. Large planets tended to orbit stars with solar metallicities or higher. Smaller worlds, though, were found around metal-rich and metal-poor stars alike. "Giant planets prefer metal-rich stars. Little ones don't," explained Latham.

They found that terrestrial planets form at a wide range of metallicities, including systems with only one-quarter of the

Sun's metal content.

Their discovery supports the "core accretion" model of planet formation. In this model, primordial dust accumulates into mile-sized planetesimals that then coalesce into full-fledged planets. The largest, weighing 10 times Earth, can then gather surrounding hydrogen and become a gas giant.

A gas giant's core must form quickly since hydrogen in the protoplanetary disk dissipates rapidly, swept away by stellar winds in just a few million years. Higher metallicities might support the formation of large cores, explaining why we're more likely to find a gas giant orbiting a metal-rich star.

"This result fits with the core accretion model of planet formation in a natural way," said Latham.

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics (2012, June 13).  
Alien Earths could form earlier than expected.

---

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## Re: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 13:42:18 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 07:29:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

>From: Joachim Koch <[lists.nul](mailto:lists.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2012 17:49:37 +0200  
>Subject: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

>We all are waiting for new information about the enigmatic  
>object on the bottom of the Baltic Sea. It is said divers will  
>reveal what they have found at a press conference this week.  
>Speculation ranges from natural stone formations to something  
>not of this Earth.

>See:

><http://www.oceanexplorer.se/videos/>

The website UFO Eyes has another perspective on the mission:

-----

<http://tinyurl.com/bp4xqft>

June 15, 2012

First, the Ocean X Team is in the treasure hunting business and they've been recovering shipwreck cargo for over 20 years. They're professionals and have over the years successfully recovered cargo worth millions of euros.

In 1999, the Ocean X Team found the wreck of Kyros, a Swedish steamship sunk by a German submarine on May 19, 1917. The Kyros was carrying 1000 bottles of cognac and 300 bottles of liquor when it was torpedoed. However, the wreck's depth, lack of funding and bad weather conditions have kept the team from recovering the cargo.

Fast forward to early February 2012, when a Finnish team of divers announce that they too have found the Kyros, and that they plan on recovering its cargo. The Kyros lies in international waters, and no owner of the ship or its cargo have been identified. That means - finders, keepers.

Now, imagine the Ocean X Team's reaction when they heard the news. Suddenly, another group of treasure hunters knew what only they thought they knew - the exact location of the Kyros and its very valuable cargo.

But wait a minute, what has this to do with the "anomaly"? Well, look at this:

The filename of the sonar scan image of the "anomaly" is named "kyros.xtf", which would suggest that the Ocean X Team were scanning the area of the Kyros when they found the "anomaly". Indeed, this was confirmed by another team of divers who knew about the Ocean X Team's whereabouts at the time last summer.

So, the Ocean X Team was on a mission to the Kyros last summer, but could for one reason or another not recover its cargo (maybe for the reasons listed above). At the same time, they must have

known about other team's efforts to find the shipwreck, and might have suspected that the Finnish team was close to finding it or were in the process of confirming its location.

Simply put, the Ocean X Team needed money - desperately - to be able to recover the Kyros' cargo before someone else did.

See where this is going?

They made up a story about an amazing discovery, created a lot of media hype, got a production company onboard, secured a contract for a TV series (called the Baltic Cowboys), and lo and behold - suddenly they had the funds necessary to recover the valuable cargo.

-----

Carol

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## 110 Potential Drone Bases In U.S.

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 18:28:34 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 08:38:22 -0400  
Subject: 110 Potential Drone Bases In U.S.

Dear List:

I am posting this list/e-mail from Steven Aftergood to help weed out actual ufo sightings from known aircraft that will now be flying in our skies.

-----

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2012, Issue No. 57  
June 14, 2012

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Pentagon Lists 110 Potential Drone Bases In U.S.

The Department of Defense has identified 110 sites in the United States that could serve as bases for military unmanned aerial systems (UAS), or drones. A new report to Congress lists each of the 110 sites "and the UAS likely to fly at that location." See "Report to Congress on Future Unmanned Aircraft Systems Training, Operations, and Sustainability," Department of Defense, April 2012.

The newly disclosed DoD report was first reported by InsideDefense.com.

The actual or potential drone bases are located in 39 of the 50 states, from Fort McClellan in Alabama to Camp Guernsey in Wyoming, as well as Guam and Puerto Rico.

Currently, the DoD and the military have "88 active certificates of authorization (COAs) at various locations around the country" that permit them to fly UASs outside of restricted military zones, the report to Congress said. COAs are issued by the Federal Aviation Administration.

But "The rapid increase in fielded UAS has created a strong demand for access within the NAS [National Airspace System] and international airspace. The demand for airspace to test new systems and train UAS operators has quickly exceeded the current airspace available for these activities," the report said.

The Senate Armed Services Committee, evidently receptive to this demand, said in its report on the FY2013 defense authorization act that integration of drones into domestic airspace should be accelerated. See "Senate: Drones Need to Operate 'Freely and Routinely' in U.S.," Secrecy News, June 8, 2012.

The website Public Intelligence previously identified 64 U.S. drone site locations. See also "Revealed: 64 Drone Bases on American Soil" by Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai, Wired Danger Room, June 13:

"UAS will not achieve their full potential military utility unless they can go where manned aircraft go with the same freedom of navigation, responsiveness, and flexibility," the new DoD report to Congress said.

A bill "to protect individual privacy against unwarranted governmental intrusion through the use of the unmanned aerial vehicles" (HR 5925) was introduced in the House of Representatives on June 7 by Rep. Austin Scott. A companion bill (S.3287) has been introduced in the Senate by Sen. Rand Paul.

-----

Thank god a couple of individuals representing the American public are aware of the potential for abuse in the name of National Security.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 08:43:37 -0400  
Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

Finally, a sighting solved.

-----

Source: USNews.MSNBC.MSN.Com

June 14 2012

<http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

[More at site]

--

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## Alien Body-Shapes

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 10:00:18 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 08:45:49 -0400  
Subject: Alien Body-Shapes

Hello List, a current news-item is headlined "The shape of fear: why we hate spiders"

<http://tinyurl.com/clw2x47>

and has only two pertinent quotes:

"Spiders are the source of fear for thousands because of the shape of their legs and their colour, scientists have claimed."

and

"Their fast movements scuttling across floors into dark corners also tap into deep-rooted fears, it was claimed."

"Spiders just tick all these boxes, and like any phobia, when it builds up in someone's mind they can become scared even seeing a picture," Professor May told the Daily Mail."

Most papers I've seen claimed "scientists explain 'Why we hate spiders'"

Which of course they didn't!

If you read the much quoted text you see the 'scientist' just pushed the question back one remove by restating it in quasi-scientific terms. As Darwin said "It is so easy... to think that we give an explanation when we only restate a fact." (from the conclusion of 'On the Origin of Species')

So the question of why we dislike the spider-shape and spider-motion remains unanswered.

I mention this because an American scientist? speculated (maybe a decade ago?) that we dislike spiders because they are a truly alien species which developed elsewhere (can't remember if he thought they arrived before or after Earth-life evolved - but as almost all are carnivorous it was probably after).

While not sure about the 'alien' bit, I am fairly certain that we have a residual dislike of spiders because our small ancestors (maybe gekko or shrew-sized) were hunted, caught and eaten in abundance by spiders' much larger ancestors - back in the high-oxygen era when dragonflies measured a yard across the wings.

Does this tell us anything about real, evolved aliens - i.e. ETs?

Well, it's hard to be anywhere near sure, but we are basically herbivore/omnivores (witness our teeth and ancestry and the fact that red meat is bad for us), so if we meet spider-shaped aliens, or even humanoid aliens with vertically-slitted eyes (both are almost certainly signs of hunting carnivorous ancestry), maybe we'd better be careful.

Contrarily, if we met pure herbivore ETs (although I'm a bit dubious whether they could evolve to a high level of conscious intelligence) we'd best be even more careful because they

wouldn't trust us at all, due to our recent foray into meat eating, and herbivore meat at that.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## Re: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

From: Mark Rousell <[markr.nul](mailto:markr.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 13:00:50 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 08:51:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 13:42:18 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Ocean X Team & Baltic USO Videos

>The website UFO Eyes has another perspective on the mission:

>-----

><http://tinyurl.com/bp4xqft>

>June 15, 2012

<snip>

Some more information was posted on the Ocean X Team website today:

-----

Source: <http://www.oceanexplorer.se/>

2012-06-15

NEWS RELEASE

15/6/2012, PRESS RELEASE

The Treasure Hunters, Ocean X Team, Discovered Something Unique When They Dove Down To The Mysterious Circle-Shaped Object In The Baltic Sea

Treasure hunters confirm they have found something abnormal in the seabed

Stockholm, Sweden, June 15, 2012

The Ocean X Team dove down to the circle-shaped object in the Baltic Sea and met something they never experienced before. First they thought it was just stone or a rock cliff, but after further observations the object appeared more as a huge mushroom, rising 3-4 meters/10-13 feet from the seabed, with rounded sides and rugged edges. The object had an egg shaped hole leading into it from the top, as an opening. On top of the object they also found strange stone circle formations, almost looking like small fireplaces. The stones were covered in something resembling soot.

"During my 20-year diving career, including 6000 dives, I have never seen anything like this. Normally stones don't burn. I can't explain what we saw, and I went down there to answer questions, but I came up with even more questions ", says Stefan Hogeborn, one of the divers at Ocean X Team.

The path to the object itself can be described as a runway or a downhill path that is flattened at the seabed with the object at the end of it.

"First we thought this was only stone, but this is something else. And since no volcanic activity has ever been reported in the Baltic Sea the find becomes even stranger. As laymen we can only speculate how this is made by nature, but this is the strangest thing I have ever experienced as a professional diver", continues Peter Lindberg, one of the founder Ocean X Team.

Right now, scientists are examining samples from the circle-shaped object, and experts in sonar imaging are processing data from the ship to hopefully shed more light over the mysterious object.

-----

There is also a Swedish newspaper article at:

<http://tinyurl.com/czch6a3>

which I've not yet read.

Also no mention of the slightly increased radiation (see news release dated 2nd April 2012.

Mark Rousell

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## Between Two Lies: New Blog

From: **Kay Wilson** <[kaywilson.nul](mailto:kaywilson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 09:41:39 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 11:54:28 -0400  
Subject: Between Two Lies: New Blog

Between Two Lies: New Blog

[http://alienjigsaw.com/WhatsNew/Whats\\_new.html](http://alienjigsaw.com/WhatsNew/Whats_new.html)

June 2012: The Enablers: Spreading the Message of  
Innuendo, Distortion and Falsehood - Part Two of Two

A humorous look at a few "ufological" characters -

This blog critically examines =96 while speaking the language of  
the debunkers =96 "Michael-JV-Jackson", "Cruella De Ville", the  
"Two Johns of UFO UpDdates", "Brewer the Yeast Flake, "The  
Gumball Machine" and a Holy Mackerel. Always accurate,  
tastefully funny, and full of whimsical images with a surprise  
message at the end.

<http://alienjigsaw.com/WhatsNew/BetweenTwoLiesBlog.htm>

Thanks for taking a look,

Kay Wilson  
[www.alienjigsaw.com](http://www.alienjigsaw.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 14:16:49 -0300  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 14:03:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000  
>Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>Finally, a sighting solved.

>-----

>Source: USNews.MSNBC.MSN.Com

>June 14 2012

><http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

>Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without  
>adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

>[More at site]

Solved? What was there to be solved?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

## Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 14:40:21 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 16:06:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 14:16:49 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000  
>>Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>Finally, a sighting solved.

>>-----

>>Source: USNews.MSNBC.MSN.Com

>>June 14 2012

>><http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

>>Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without  
>>adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

>

>>[More at site]

>Solved? What was there to be solved?

Don,

I'd be surprised if a single UFO organization got a call about this.

It's always easy to solve a UFO sighting when nobody called it a UFO sighting.

Next time a helicopter flies over my house, will that be a solved UFO sighting, too?

Sigh,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

## Baltic Sea UFO 'Runway'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 07:29:46 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 07:29:46 -0400  
Subject: Baltic Sea UFO 'Runway'

Source: Ocean X Team

<http://www.oceanexplorer.se/>

15/6/2012

NEWS RELEASE

The Treasure Hunters, Ocean X Team, discovered something unique when they dove down to the mysterious circle-shaped object in the Baltic Sea

Treasure hunters confirm they have found something abnormal in the seabed

Stockholm, Sweden  
June 15, 2012

The Ocean X Team dove down to the circle-shaped object in the Baltic Sea and met something they never experienced before.

First they thought it was just stone or a rock cliff, but after further observations the object appeared more as a huge mushroom, rising 3-4 meters/10-13 feet from the seabed, with rounded sides and rugged edges.

The object had an egg-shaped hole leading into it from the top, as an opening.

On top of the object they also found strange stone circle formations, almost looking like small fireplaces. The stones were covered in something resembling soot.

"During my 20-year diving career, including 6000 dives, I have never seen anything like this. Normally stones don't burn. I can't explain what we saw, and I went down there to answer questions, but I came up with even more questions", says Stefan Høgeborn, one of the divers at Ocean X Team.

The path to the object itself can be described as a runway or a downhill path that is flattened at the seabed with the object at the end of it.

[More at site... thanks to Dave Haith for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

## George 'Commander X' Dickson Passes

From: **Richard Smith - John Ford Initiative** <[johnfordinitiative.nul](mailto:johnfordinitiative.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 19:27:35 -0600  
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 07:36:09 -0400  
Subject: George 'Commander X' Dickson Passes

Dear Friends and Associates;

I have some very sad news to share with you all.

I received word from Peter Moon today that our good friend George Dickson has passed away. He was a great friend to me, as well as philosopher, explorer and paranormal researcher. His passing represents a great loss for all of us. I had called his family today and gave my condolences to his wife Linda.

I had known George since the nineties when I first met him at the Eyes of Learning. He had giving an awesome lecture that night and I believe that's when he took a direct interest in me and what I was doing with my own work.

What started out as his involvement with the original Montauk Project evolved by leaps and bounds over the years into a quest for knowledge and wisdom as well as a fiery passion for the Mayan legacy and its prophecies, evident in his ongoing Friday night radio broadcasts on the L.A. Steel Show on BlogTalkRadio.

It was George himself who recently got me onboard to team up with both himself and Peter Moon to go after the John Ford Initiative to free John Ford. It was George who, in a recent interview on the L.A. Steel Show, had stated on the air as to how impressed and happy he was to see all the progress I had made with the initiative itself.

I had always imagined that he would be there right to the end to see the fruits of our labors come to fruition. I can only hope that his spirit will be watching over us as we continue on without him. I will miss him very much. I am missing his wise and gentle voice already.

In all sincerity,

Richard Smith

-----

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sky Books <[skybooks.nul](mailto:skybooks.nul)>  
Date: June 15, 2012 8:33:43 AM MDT  
To: John Ford <[johnfordinitiative.nul](mailto:johnfordinitiative.nul)>  
Subject: George Dickson has passed away  
Reply-To: Sky Books <[skybooks.nul](mailto:skybooks.nul)>

Rick - please notify any you know and include on your website. Also, tell John Ford if you talk to him.

I just received news that George Dickson passed away yesterday. He was identified as Mr. X in Montauk Revisited and I recently saw him for the first time in years when my Bulgarian friends visited. He was deeply involved in the Montauk scenario long before it ever became public and just recently recounted his very disturbed memories of helping to procure the technology for the Montauk Chair.

George had been suffering from different health problems over the years and his departure is not completely unexpected. One thing about him that always amazed me was that he was completely certain that he was not a body and would live on no matter what. For me to have just visited him was a very nice way to say goodbye to someone who helped along the way. His funeral arrangement, which will be upstate, are now being arranged.

Goodbye George! Thank you for all your support.

~~~~~  
THE JOHN FORD INITIATIVE

George Dickson | Peter Moon | Richard Smith

LETTER TO THE EDITOR:
http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note_id=304094992991595

DONATE: <http://ufoteacher.com/donate>
PETITION: <http://signon.org/sign/the-john-ford-initiative>
WEB SITE: <http://ufoteacher.com/johnford>
FACEBOOK: <http://xrl.us/bm2ve2>
EMAIL: johnfordinitiative.nul
OFFICE: (516) 690-4059

DAYS OF OPERATION:
WEDNESDAYS, THURSDAYS & FRIDAYS
9:00AM - 5:00PM EDT

THE COALITION:
THE JOHN FORD INITIATIVE represents the unified coalition of an ever growing list of multiple entities proactively hastening the release of John Ford including:

RICHARDSMITH.CO LLC
David Aaron / THE UFO AUDIO VIDEO CLEARING HOUSE
George Dickson & Associates
Mr. Kelly Freeman - Florida UFO Network
Sky Books Publishing
The Albuquerque Society and Culture Examiner
The Montauk Pulse
The Richard Smith Show
THE UFOTEACHER INITIATIVE
UFOTEACHER Global News
UFOTEACHER.COM

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 23:47:53 -0300
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 07:39:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 14:40:21 -0500
>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2012 14:16:49 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000
>>>Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>>Finally, a sighting solved.

>>>-----

>>>Source: USNews.MSNBC.MSN.Com

>>>June 14 2012

>>><http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

>>>Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>>Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without
>>>adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

>>>[More at site]

>>>Solved? What was there to be solved?

>Don,

>I'd be surprised if a single UFO organization got a call about
>this.

>It's always easy to solve a UFO sighting when nobody called it a
>UFO sighting.

>Next time a helicopter flies over my house, will that be a
>solved UFO sighting, too?

>Sigh,

Sigh is right, Jerry. The plane was on a fatbed truck so how did
it get to be a "flying" object to begin with. UFO... right out
the window these days; now apparently that means 'alien' space
craft.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

'30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 07:46:13 -0400
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 07:46:13 -0400
Subject: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

Source: Examiner.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/6sxyyse>

June 15, 2012

'30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple In Will County
Roger Marsh
National UFO Examiner

An Illinois couple came forward June 15, 2012, to report watching a 30-foot, disc-shaped object that moved quickly toward their car from a high altitude and passed over the highway at 30 feet in front of them, according to testimony from the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) witness reporting database.

The couple was driving along I-355 southwest toward New Lenox at 7:45 p.m. on Monday, October 17, 2011, when the incident occurred.

"We were roughly four miles from the U.S. 6 Southwest Highway exit when I noticed a small, extremely bright silver and amber-hued light flare violently in the southeastern sky," the reporting witness stated. "After momentarily intensifying, it began to soundlessly plummet towards the earth at a 75-degree angle."

The witness braced himself for the object's impact.

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

The Study Of Climate On Alien Worlds

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 08:11:24 -0400
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 08:11:24 -0400
Subject: The Study Of Climate On Alien Worlds

Source: American Scientist

<http://tinyurl.com/7fcwz7j>

July August 2012

The Study Of Climate On Alien Worlds

Characterizing atmospheres beyond our Solar System is now within our reach

Kevin Heng

Space and ground telescopes have located thousands of planets outside of our solar system. Such exoplanets can still only be seen as little more than pinpoints of light. Nonetheless, astronomers are now able to decipher some details of these distant worlds, such as the basic structures of their atmospheres.

Instruments can now detect an exoplanet by recording the diminution of light as the body, residing in an edge-on orbit, passes either in front of or behind its host star.

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Voyager I 'This Close' To Leaving Our System

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 08:15:50 -0400
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 08:15:50 -0400
Subject: Voyager I 'This Close' To Leaving Our System

Source: The Atlantic

<http://tinyurl.com/78j9tfn>

Jun 13 2012

Get Ready, Because Voyager I Is 'This Close' To Leaving Our
Solar System
Rebecca J. Rosen

Last week, in the corners of the Internet devoted to outer space, things started to get a little, well, hot. Voyager 1, the man-made object farthest away from Earth, was encountering a sharp uptick in the number of a certain kind of energetic particles around it. Had the spacecraft become the first human creation to "officially" leave the solar system?

It's hard to overstate how wild an accomplishment this would be: A machine, built here on Earth by the brain- and handiwork of humans, has sailed from Florida, out of Earth's orbit, beyond Mars, beyond the gas giants of Jupiter and Saturn, and may now have left the heliosphere - tiny dot in the universe beholden to our sun. Had it really happened? How would we know?

We're not quite there yet, Voyager's project scientist and former head of NASA's Jet Propulsion Lab, Edward Stone, told me. The spacecraft is on its way out - "it's leaving the solar system" - but we don't know how far it has to go or what that transition to interstellar space will look like.

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

From: **Diana Cammack** <cammack.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 14:07:53 +0200
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 09:30:34 -0400
Subject: Re: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

>From: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <post.nul>
>To: "- UFO UpDates Subscribers -"
>Date: Saturday, June 16, 2012 1:46 PM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

>Source: Examiner.Com

><http://tinyurl.com/6sxyyse>

>June 15, 2012

>'30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple In Will County
>Roger Marsh
>National UFO Examiner

>An Illinois couple came forward June 15, 2012, to report
>watching a 30-foot, disc-shaped object that moved quickly toward
>their car from a high altitude and passed over the highway at 30
>feet in front of them, according to testimony from the Mutual
>UFO Network (MUFON) witness reporting database.

>The couple was driving along I-355 southwest toward New Lenox at
>7:45 p.m. on Monday, October 17, 2011, when the incident
>occurred.

>"We were roughly four miles from the U.S. 6 Southwest Highway
>exit when I noticed a small, extremely bright silver and amber-
>hued light flare violently in the southeastern sky," the
>reporting witness stated. "After momentarily intensifying, it
>began to soundlessly plummet towards the earth at a 75-degree
>angle."

>The witness braced himself for the object's impact.

>[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

The witness then states:

"When it became apparent to the agent that I was trying to
report an unconventional aircraft sighting, I was referred
without further comment to the private company, Bigelow
Aerospace Corporation..."

Is Bigelow still in the UFO business?

Diana

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:51 -0300
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:39 -0400
Subject: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

Dear Listers,

I am presently in Lima, Peru, interviewing local military UFO researchers. In the last years I'm dedicated to interviewing men on this continent who, while on military duty and as part of their military routine, have been engaged in UFO investigations.

I've interviewed, in Brazil, among others, Brazilian Air Force Brigadiers Jose Carlos Pereira, of the Brazilian Airspace Defense Command, and Socrates Monteiro, a former Minister.

Then I went to other countries in South America which have had in the past or still have in full activity Oficial research organizations. In Montevideo, Uruguay, I have interviewed Colonel Ariel Sanchez, from the Uruguayan Air Force's Comision Receptadora y Investigadora de Denuncias de Objetos Voladores No Identificados (CRIDOVNI), the official committee of UFO investigations in that country. The interview was fully published to this List.

And last month, in Santiago, Chile, I interviewed General Ricardo Bermudez, a retired Chilean Air Force jet pilot and presently in charge of the Centro de Estudios de Fenomenos Aereos Anomalos (CEFAA), the local official UFO investigations centre. Both conversations were extremely successful and have yielded a lot of interesting UFO information.

In Peru, yesterday, I interviewed retired Peruvian Air Force Colonel Julio Chamorro, who is now a flight navigator with a cargo company that operates flights to the Peruvian Amazon in Antonovs. Chamorro was the man who initiated the process of creation, from 1999 to 2001, of the Air Force's Oficina de Investigacion de Fenomenos Anomalos Aeroespaciales (OIFAA), an office to receive reports of UFO sightings, centralize and co-ordinate their investigations and inform the population. It was all official.

Unfortunately, OIFAA have been paralyzed in the last years, but plans to reactivate it are well advanced. Yesterday, talking to Commander Chamorro, I learned that the office was established after a determination made by the former-President Alberto Fujimori, in the 90s, after an increase of UFO sightings in several areas of the country, especially the capital, Lima, and some military installations.

One such installations is the La Joya Air Force Base, in Arequipa, in the deserts of south of Peru. It was there that fighter pilot Oscar Santa Maria made his astounding pursuit of a UFO at close range, in the 80s. I have learned that the base was full of Soviet military at that time, as part of Peruvian-Soviet Union agreements to perform military operations in the country, including training and installation of defense apparatus.

Commander Chamorro also gave me details of an incident, of which he was one of the 2,000 witnesses, while serving as an officer at La Joya. He also gave me details of many interesting cases that were registered all over the country and investigated by OIFAA. Among them, the sighting that former-President Fujimori,

along with several of his security detail, had of a huge UFO over the Peruvian Amazon, near the city of Iquique. It is assumed that it was after that experience that OIFFA had its go ahead.

I have published some photos of the interview on my Facebook page:

[facebook.com/ajgevaerd](https://www.facebook.com/ajgevaerd)

Have a great weekend.

A. J. Gevaerd
Brazilian UFO Magazine
www.ufo.com.br

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: **Thiago L. Ticchetti** <ticchetti.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 12:04:53 -0300
Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 11:52:08 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:51 -0300
>Subject: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>I am presently in Lima, Peru, interviewing local military UFO
>researchers. In the last years I'm dedicated to interviewing men
>on this continent who, while on military duty and as part of
>their military routine, have been engaged in UFO investigations.

>I've interviewed, in Brazil, among others, Brazilian Air Force
>Brigadiers Jose Carlos Pereira, of the Brazilian Airspace
>Defense Command, and Socrates Monteiro, a former Minister.

>Then I went to other countries in South America which have had
>in the past or still have in full activity _Oficial_ research
>organizations. In Montevideo, Uruguay, I have interviewed
>Colonel Ariel Sanchez, from the Uruguayan Air Force's Comision
>Receptadora y Investigadora de Denuncias de Objetos Voladores
>No Identificados (CRIDOVNI), the official committee of UFO
>investigations in that country. The interview was fully
>published to this List.

>And last month, in Santiago, Chile, I interviewed General
>Ricardo Bermudez, a retired Chilean Air Force jet pilot and
>presently in charge of the Centro de Estudios de Fenomenos
>Aereos Anomalos (CEFAA), the local official UFO investigations
>centre. Both conversations were extremely successful and have
>yielded a lot of interesting UFO information.

>In Peru, yesterday, I interviewed retired Peruvian Air Force
>Colonel Julio Chamorro, who is now a flight navigator with a
>cargo company that operates flights to the Peruvian Amazon in
>Antonovs. Chamorro was the man who initiated the process of
>creation, from 1999 to 2001, of the Air Force's Oficina de
>Investigacion de Fenomenos Anomalos Aeroespaciales (OIFAA), an
>office to receive reports of UFO sightings, centralize and
>co-ordinate their investigations and inform the population. It
>was all official.

>Unfortunately, OIFAA have been paralyzed in the last years, but
>plans to reactivate it are well advanced. Yesterday, talking to
>Commander Chamorro, I learned that the office was established
>after a determination made by the former-President Alberto
>Fujimori, in the 90s, after an increase of UFO sightings in
>several areas of the country, especially the capital, Lima, and
>some military installations.

>One such installations is the La Joya Air Force Base, in
>Arequipa, in the deserts of south of Peru. It was there that
>fighter pilot Oscar Santa Maria made his astounding pursuit of a
>UFO at close range, in the 80s. I have learned that the base was
>full of Soviet military at that time, as part of Peruvian-
>Soviet Union agreements to perform military operations in the
>country, including training and installation of defense
>apparatus.

>Commander Chamorro also gave me details of an incident, of which
>he was one of the 2,000 witnesses, while serving as an officer

>at La Joya. He also gave me details of many interesting cases
>that were registered all over the country and investigated by
>OIFAA. Among them, the sighting that former-President Fujimori,
>along with several of his security detail, had of a huge UFO
>over the Peruvian Amazon, near the city of Iquique. It is
>assumed that it was after that experience that OIFFA had its go
>ahead.

>I have published some photos of the interview on my Facebook
>page:

>facebook.com/ajgevaerd

>Have a great weekend.

This is what I call research! Congratulations, Geva.

This effort shows that Latin America is ahead of many first
world nations regarding UFO phenomena.

Thiago

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 14:21:01 -0400
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 18:40:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

Dear List,

I have had the pleasure of getting to know Paul Hamden, an Australian medium who channels ETs. In 1976, Paul, a friend, and two neighbours saw a cigar-shaped UFO hanging outside his third-floor kitchen window in Melbourne. He estimated it to be about 20 m long and 4 m high. He says "the skin of the craft became 'clear' on one end (as if a portal had opened), we saw two 'beings' inside, they were watching us. This lasted a few minutes then the craft simply 'vanished'... Thirty years later, the beings have returned, I now sit regularly with a group of friends; we have communication with extraterrestrial beings".

Paul has documented his conversations with the ETs. He is aware of the potential for his own subconscious to intrude during the seance, and is careful to look for inconsistencies over time. He says he has not found any. He is open to answering questions from people who are sincere, and does not seem to have preconceived ideas that interfere with what he has learned from the ETs.

He channels a number of ETs including a zeta (grey) and a couple of anunnaki. He has learned that each has a physical existence on another planet, but the zeta, at least, is able to exist for as long as a week in an etheric body separate from the physical. A zeta can travel long distances by first sending a physical container body to the destination, then transferring its etheric body into the container after arrival.

Paul confirms that proximity to an ET can have deleterious effects on people. Illness has been reported by abductees after the experience, and he has suffered the same illness himself. It took more than a year for his body to be adapted so that it no longer feels ill after a visit by an ET. He was told that the cause of illness is disruption of the human etheric body by the presence of the ET etheric body.

The idea of a human etheric body superimposed on the physical body is plausible. There is considerable evidence in the scientific literature of EM or photon communication within and among cells of the body. This could be interpreted in favour of the existence of an EM etheric body that regulates the physical body. See, for example, the review article "Electromagnetic cellular interactions" by Cifra et al. in *Progress in Biophysics Mol. Biol.*, 105, 223-246, 2011.

Paul was also told by the zeta that its blood is copper based. This may mean that the oxygen carrier in the zeta is hemocyanin which is found in several earth animal species as well. Also, the EM absorption spectra of oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin may have something to say about the connection between the human physical and etheric bodies. Deoxygenated hemoglobin has a greater EM energy capacity, and the deoxygenated state seems to correlate with altered states of consciousness where the etheric body would be more involved.

Please see more on this subject at:

<http://www.treurniet.ca/psi/etherblood.htm>

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

From: Steve Sawyer <stevesaw.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 12:53:52 -0700
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 18:42:51 -0400
Subject: Re: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 14:07:53 +0200
>Subject: Re: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

>>From: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <post.nul>
>>To: "- UFO UpDates Subscribers -"
>>Date: Saturday, June 16, 2012 1:46 PM
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: '30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple

>>Source: Examiner.Com

>><http://tinyurl.com/6sxyyse>

>>June 15, 2012

>>'30-Foot Disc' UFO Follows Illinois Couple In Will County
>>Roger Marsh
>>National UFO Examiner

>>An Illinois couple came forward June 15, 2012, to report
>>watching a 30-foot, disc-shaped object that moved quickly toward
>>their car from a high altitude and passed over the highway at 30
>>feet in front of them, according to testimony from the Mutual
>>UFO Network (MUFON) witness reporting database.

>>The couple was driving along I-355 southwest toward New Lenox at
>>7:45 p.m. on Monday, October 17, 2011, when the incident
>>occurred.

>>"We were roughly four miles from the U.S. 6 Southwest Highway
>>exit when I noticed a small, extremely bright silver and amber-
>>hued light flare violently in the southeastern sky," the
>>reporting witness stated. "After momentarily intensifying, it
>>began to soundlessly plummet towards the earth at a 75-degree
>>angle."

>>The witness braced himself for the object's impact.

>>[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

>The witness then states:

>"When it became apparent to the agent that I was trying to
>report an unconventional aircraft sighting, I was referred
>without further comment to the private company, Bigelow
>Aerospace Corporation..."

>Is Bigelow still in the UFO business?

He's never been out of it. And probably never will be. Although,
I find Bigelow to be sort of a paranormal dilettante.

Ask John Carpenter, John Schuessler, or James Carrion, among
many other beneficiaries and critics of his... "largesse."

I personally don't think it's a good idea for police departments
or the FAA to refer UFO witnesses/reports to Bigelow's BAASS

[Bigelow Aerospace Advanced Space Studies], the Bigelow Aerospace division that receives such UFO data, since it seems to go into a kind of corporate "black hole" with little to nothing coming back out.

Ask yourself - do you think it's right or proper for a rather secretive corporation with some mysterious financial backers and government contracts/contracts to be in the singular position of being "authorized" by the FAA, a federal agency, to be the one entity they recommend to receive UFO reports, and who then "privatizes" the data gained? And for what purpose? What do they do with this data? Who benefits and how? Why isn't there more transparency and public disclosure of such info by BAASS? What's really going on at BAASS in this regard?

For further insight, see:

<http://bit.ly/76YV1R>

and

<http://followthemagicthread.blogspot.com/search?q=baass>

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Another Baltic Sea UFO Picture

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 18:48:12 -0400
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 18:48:12 -0400
Subject: Another Baltic Sea UFO Picture

Source: The Daily Mail - London

<http://tinyurl.com/cha6v3v>

18 June 2012

By Meghan Keneally

Pictured: The UFO-Shaped Object Found At The Bottom Of The Baltic Sea... Covered In Soot, With 'Little Fireplaces' And Lying At The End Of A 1,000ft Runway

Object is raised about 10 to 13ft above seabed and curved at the sides like a mushroom

It has a 60 metre diameter with an egg-shaped hole leading into it from the top

Hole is surrounded by an strange rock formation that expedition team can not explain

Stones are covered in something 'resembling soot' which has baffled experts

[More at site... thanks to Dave Haith for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 18:06:13 -0400
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 18:50:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000
>Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

<snip>

>Finally, a sighting solved.

>Source: USNews.MSNBC.MSN.Com

>June 14 2012

><http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

>Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without
>adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

>[More at site]

Maybe there is more to this than the official point of view.

On the site, one reads:

"The X-47B is the first unmanned vehicle designed to take off and land on an aircraft carrier. As part of the program's demonstration, the X-47B will perform arrested landings and catapult launches at Pax to validate its ability to conduct precision approaches to the carrier. The base is one of only a few sites in the world where the Navy can run performance tests on aircraft-carrier catapult operations at a land-based facility with flight test and engineering support resources not available on a ship."

Hmm...

Since when is a saucer-shaped object aerodynamically sound?

I thought it was exactly the contrary.

A Frisbie does not exactly fly like a plane, does it?

Since it is aerodynamically un-sound, what revolutionary technology is behind this?

Looks more like some kind of a way for the military to further confuse the issue of UFOs.

This is the perfect setup: we now have saucer-shaped drones.

Drones, the new catch phrase.

Does it even fly?

Vincent Boudreau

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

What Would Ellen Ripley Say?

From: **Elsie Conner** <elsie_conner.nul>
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 20:38:30 -0400
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 18:56:49 -0400
Subject: What Would Ellen Ripley Say?

Dear List,

Some of you may be interested in a women's perspective concerning a previous post from Magonia regarding "the feminisation of ufology".

Source: Out Of Darkness Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/7kocwlg>

Saturday, June 16, 2012

What Would Ellen Ripley Say?
by Elsie Conner

A blog was published at Magonia on June 3, 2012 titled SHJ, IFOS, And The Feminisation Of Ufology. The short paragraph which refers to the feminisation of ufology has spawned a couple of other blogs where my latest post, The Frustrating Aspect of Alien Screen Imagery, has been linked with this article. It's interesting and tragic on several levels.

Let's begin:

Let me be clear, I am a woman, a wife, a daughter, a sister, a friend, a Ph.D. candidate in Electrical and Computer Engineering, I hold a job in my profession, and I am an alien abductee. I know a thing or two about discrimination, ignorance, cruelty, and just plain gender stupidity.

First off - I have never heard of this survey of women in ufology. What is this survey and where can you find it? When was it conducted? Who participated in this survey? Nobody I know was involved in it. The first time I have seen this reference to this women in ufology survey is from the Magonia blog referenced above. I find it unprofessional not to mention anything about this survey's particulars. Is there any reason to believe this survey even existed?

More at site...

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

What Would Ellen Ripley Say?

are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Why Aliens Would Defeat The Human Species

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 19:04:21 -0400
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 19:04:21 -0400
Subject: Why Aliens Would Defeat The Human Species

Source: Big Think.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/7emppzv>

June 13, 2012

Why Aliens Would Defeat The Human Species
Orion Jones

What's the Latest Development?

Seth Shostak, senior astronomer with the SETI Institute in Mountain View, California, advises Hollywood on the science of extraterrestrials. Having served as the science consultant for films like Contact, Green Lantern and Battleship, Shostak says it will be years before the film industry catches up to scientific views on alien life, one of which concerns their superior strength: "Whoever takes the trouble to come visit us is probably a more aggressive personality. And if they have the technology to come here, the idea that we can take them on is like Napoleon taking on U.S. Air Force."

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Looking For Aliens In All The Wrong Places?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 19:13:01 -0400
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 19:13:01 -0400
Subject: Looking For Aliens In All The Wrong Places?

Source: Big Think.Com

<http://bigthink.com/ideas/20033>

May 13, 2010

Looking For Aliens In All The Wrong Places?

Good luck finding intelligent life within 1,000 light years. As for super-advanced alien civilizations, they're probably closer to the center of the galaxy.

Interview with Paul Davies Cosmologist & Astrobiologist, Arizona State University interview - Recorded April 15, 2010

"Now the problem here is that a civilization that is 1,000 light years away doesn't know we exist. They don't know that we have radio telescopes here on Earth because they see Earth as it was 1,000 years ago. Nothing can travel faster than light, so however good their instruments they can't see in effect the future. They can only see Earth as it was 1,000 years ago, so there is no particular reason they should be sending us messages at this time and if you put yourself in the position of a SETI enthusiast on this hypothetical distant planet going to a granting agency and saying, 'There is a really interesting planet over there, Earth, that we've studied it very carefully and we can see they've built huge structures like the pyramids and this great wall in China and we think some millennium soon they may have radio telescopes, could we have some money to start broadcasting?'"

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: **A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO** <aj.nul>
Date: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 10:05:52 -0300
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 19:31:34 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:51 -0300
>Subject: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>Dear Listers,

>I am presently in Lima, Peru, interviewing local military UFO
>researchers. In the last years I'm dedicated to interviewing men
>on this continent who, while on military duty and as part of
>their military routine, have been engaged in UFO investigations.

<snip>

One correction...

Former Peruvian President Alberto Fujimori had a contact with a
huge UFO, along with several of his security detail, near the
city of Iquitos, not Iquique. Iquitos is in the Peruvian Amazon,
while Iquique is a Chilean city.

Sorry for the mistake.

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 10:14:41 -0300
Archived: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 19:35:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: Thiago L. Ticchetti <ticchetti.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 12:04:53 -0300
>Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:51 -0300
>>Subject: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>>I am presently in Lima, Peru, interviewing local military UFO
>>researchers. In the last years I'm dedicated to interviewing men on
>>this continent who, while on military duty and as part of their
>>military routine, have been engaged in UFO investigations.

<snip>

>This is what I call research! Congratulations, Geva.

>This effort shows that Latin America is ahead of many first
>world nations regarding UFO phenomena.

Thank you. Thiago.

Indeed, what most people in the world UFO community don't know is that in South America several governments have had their attention already attracted to the UFO Phenomena in a very positive way. Several of them had in the past and several still currently do have official bodies to investigate UFOs.

Brazil, for example, had its first official initiative in that direction as early as 1954. And in 1969, while most authorities of the world were struggling to deny the UFO Phenomena, Brazil had a huge, complex and very active investigation entity inside the Brazilian Air Force to openly and seriously investigate the phenomena, SIOANI.

Cheers,

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Book - Zones Of Strangeness

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 15:46:30 +0100
Archived: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 08:38:43 -0400
Subject: Book - Zones Of Strangeness

Hello List

Just received a mail from Peter McCue, and, in light of recent discussions on this List thought it worth forwarding (from the Amazon entry, Peter is a clinical psychologist and something of an expert on the nature of hypnosis) - so here it is:

Subject: Book on hot spots/window areas

Dear Ray,

I'm based in Scotland. A book by me, entitled Zones Of Strangeness: An Examination of Paranormal And UFO Hot Spots, was recently published.

Given your involvement with the UFO subject, it's possible that it would be of interest to you. Information about it can be found on the Internet - for example, at:

bookstore.authorhouse

<http://tinyurl.com/d2znqfd>

amazon.com

<http://tinyurl.com/bue6azl>

The book is substantial - all told, it runs to about 560 pages, and it contains 14 outline maps. I believe that it's well-researched and clearly written. It's extensively referenced, and should be of interest to UFO researchers, psychical researchers, and general readers.

The following is a list of the contents:

Preface
Acknowledgements
Chapters:
1 Setting the Scene
2 The Paranormal - An Overview
3 Poltergeist Phenomena and Hauntings
4 The UFO Phenomenon
5 The Bigfoot Phenomenon
6 Clapham Wood
7 The Warminster Phenomena
8 The 'Welsh Triangle'
9 Rendlesham Forest
10 Cannock Chase
11 The Pennines
12 The Bonnybridge UFO Phenomena
13 Ben Macdui
14 Ghostly Events near Inverness
15 Other British Hot Spots
16 Hot Spots on the Mainland of the USA
17 Puerto Rico
18 The Bermuda Triangle and Other Foreign Hot Spots
19 Concluding Thoughts
Endnotes

Bibliography
Index

Chapters 1-5 are foundation chapters. They discuss, among other things, theories about UFO phenomena, apparitional events, and abduction experiences.

Chapters 6-15 consider alleged hot spots in the UK.

Chapter 16, which focuses on the mainland of the USA, is quite long. It discusses areas such as the Yakama Indian Reservation (Washington state), the San Luis Valley (Colorado/New Mexico), north-east Utah (the location of the so-called 'Skinwalker Ranch'), the 'Bridgewater Triangle' (Massachusetts), and the Hudson Valley.

If you think any of your friends or associates would be interested, please feel free to copy this e-mail to them.

Thank you for your help.

Best wishes,

Peter

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Denocla UFO Paranormal Radio Network Interview

From: Denis R. Denocla <denocla.nul>
Date: Sun, 17 Jun 2012 20:02:53 +0200
Archived: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 08:41:40 -0400
Subject: Denocla UFO Paranormal Radio Network Interview

Dear Colleagues,

You can have a global focus of my work listening this interview with Trice Sheridan & Joe Montaldo on UFO Paranormal Radio Network

<http://www.denocla.com/?p=3017> <=en-us

Enjoy this interview that 450,000 plus people listen to and feel free to share it !

Best Regards,

D. R. Denocla

<http://www.denocla.com>
<http://www.facebook.com/denocla>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: Steve Sawyer <stevesaw.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 04:45:41 -0700
Archived: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 08:44:22 -0400
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 18:06:13 -0400
>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000
>>Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

><snip>

>>Finally, a sighting solved.

>>Source: USNews.MSNBC.MSN.Com

>>June 14 2012

>><http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

>>Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without
>>adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

>>[More at site]

>Maybe there is more to this than the official point of view.

>On the site, one reads:

>"The X-47B is the first unmanned vehicle designed to take off
>and land on an aircraft carrier. As part of the program's
>demonstration, the X-47B will perform arrested landings and
>catapult launches at Pax to validate its ability to conduct
>precision approaches to the carrier. The base is one of only a
>few sites in the world where the Navy can run performance tests
>on aircraft-carrier catapult operations at a land-based facility
>with flight test and engineering support resources not available
>on a ship."

>Hmm...

>S
>I thought it was exactly the contrary.

>A Frisbie does not exactly fly like a plane, does it?

>Since it is aerodynamically un-sound, what revolutionary
>technology is behind this?

>Looks more like some kind of a way for the military to further
>confuse the issue of UFOs.

>This is the perfect setup: we now have saucer-shaped drones.

>Drones, the new catch phrase.

>Does it even fly?

Hi, Vincent

If you'd done a Google image search on "X-47B" you would have seen that this "UCAS drone" for the U.S. Navy is in fact not saucer or disk shaped at all, but only appears to be so, sort of, when photographed from the side or at a somewhat front angle.

In fact, the X-47B has a kind of "flying saucer" appearance at certain side or frontal angles due to both visual stealth and aero-dynamic design reasons, but actually has two stubby, angular wings.

For clarity, see this .jpg image, showing the XB-47B from side, front, and top views, with specific dimensions and planform specs:

<http://bit.ly/M0tHf1>

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Uri Geller Goes Forth With UFO Theory

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 13:05:12 -0400
Archived: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 13:05:12 -0400
Subject: Uri Geller Goes Forth With UFO Theory

Source: The Scotsman.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/6qpyryo>

Monday 18 June 2012

Geller Goes Forth With UFO Theory

Celebrity psychic Uri Geller is hoping to prove that his private island in the Firth of Forth is a UFO hotspot.

The 65-year-old surprised many people three years ago when he bought Lamb Island because he believed it had a connection with the Egyptian pyramids.

He said that since then he has been contacted by members of the public who have reported seeing "strange lights" in the skies above his uninhabited rock.

Geller is now hoping that cameras set up by the Scottish Seabird Centre on Lamb Island to record wildlife can also be used to look for the UFOs.

"I have received a lot of e-mails from local people telling me about strange lights above the area," he said.

"There definitely appears to be something strange and mysterious going on there.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 13:09:34 -0400
Archived: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 13:09:34 -0400
Subject: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

Source: digg.com

<http://tinyurl.com/84jmvk6>

Jun 14, 2012

Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?
By Annalee Newitz

We know a lot about the history of life on Earth, but how it began is still one of our greatest scientific mysteries. One hypothesis is that life actually originated on another planet, and many scientists today take the idea quite seriously. Though it sounds like the plot from recent scifi movie Prometheus, it's an old idea that even the celebrated nineteenth century physicist Lord Kelvin and Nobel winning geneticist Francis Crick have advocated. That's right =97 the evolution of life might have its beginnings on another planet.

Over 120 years ago, Kelvin shocked the British scientific community in a speech about what he called "panspermia," where he suggested that life might have come from planets smashing into each other and sending bits of life hurtling through space. He and a few colleagues had hit upon this notion after observing the massive 1880 eruption of a volcano on Krakatoa. To be more precise, they observed the aftermath of the volcano, which completely sterilized the island. No life was left at all. But then, within months, seedlings began to sprout and life took hold again.

Where had that life come from? To naturalists of the nineteenth century, it was obvious that it had drifted there from nearby islands. Seeds and insects blown on the wind, or floating on the tides, had begun the process of re-greening the stricken landscape. This got Kelvin thinking about the origin of life on Earth. Couldn't the same thing happen to barren planets drifting in space? Perhaps life had drifted to Earth on the stellar winds.

Aliens Seeded the Planet with Life

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 12:42:06 -0300
Archived: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 13:13:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 18:06:13 -0400
>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000
>>Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

><snip>

>>Finally, a sighting solved.

>>Source: USNews.MSNBC.MSN.Com

>>June 14 2012

>><http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

>>Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without
>>adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

>>[More at site]

>Maybe there is more to this than the official point of view.

>On the site, one reads:

>"The X-47B is the first unmanned vehicle designed to take off
>and land on an aircraft carrier. As part of the program's
>demonstration, the X-47B will perform arrested landings and
>catapult launches at Pax to validate its ability to conduct
>precision approaches to the carrier. The base is one of only a
>few sites in the world where the Navy can run performance tests
>on aircraft-carrier catapult operations at a land-based facility
>with flight test and engineering support resources not available
>on a ship."

>Hmm...

>Since when is a saucer-shaped object aerodynamically sound?

>I thought it was exactly the contrary.

>A Frisbie does not exactly fly like a plane, does it?

>Since it is aerodynamically un-sound, what revolutionary
>technology is behind this?

The X-47B flies exactly like a plane because it is a plane.
Nothing different about the technology on this bird. Jet engines
push, air flows over the wings-and its aero-dynamic body-and it
lifts off and flies. Control surfaces on the wings for
manoeuvring.

There is no need to make this plane out to be anymore exotic than it really is. Basically it has about the same payload as a WWII B-17. Faster-though subsonic-and stealthier and obviously no pilots-just a video game operator in a bunker in Nevada.

And aerodynamically it is very sound. Not sure why you thought it was not.

This aircraft is a non issue as far as unidentified phenomena are concerned.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:02:45 +0100
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 05:33:35 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>Source: digg.com

><http://tinyurl.com/84jmvk6>

>Jun 14, 2012

>Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?
>By Annalee Newitz

>We know a lot about the history of life on Earth, but how it
>began is still one of our greatest scientific mysteries. One
>hypothesis is that life actually originated on another planet,
>and many scientists today take the idea quite seriously.

<snip>

Oft-repeated, this hypothesis is regularly trotted out as a
'solution' to the mystery. Just once it would be nice to see
it acknowledged that this is no solution at all, but merely a
deferral: we are still left with the scientific mystery of how
life started elsewhere.

Gerald O'Connell
<http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:39:34 +0000
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 07:05:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Steve Sawyer <stevesaw.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 04:45:41 -0700
>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 18:06:13 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

<snip>

>>"The X-47B is the first unmanned vehicle designed to take off
>>and land on an aircraft carrier. As part of the program's
>>demonstration, the X-47B will perform arrested landings and
>>catapult launches at Pax to validate its ability to conduct
>>precision approaches to the carrier. The base is one of only a
>>few sites in the world where the Navy can run performance tests
>>on aircraft-carrier catapult operations at a land-based facility
>>with flight test and engineering support resources not available
>>on a ship."

>>Hmm...

>>I thought it was exactly the contrary.

>>A Frisbie does not exactly fly like a plane, does it?

>>Since it is aerodynamically un-sound, what revolutionary
>>technology is behind this?

>>Looks more like some kind of a way for the military to further
>>confuse the issue of UFOs.

>>This is the perfect setup: we now have saucer-shaped drones.

>>Drones, the new catch phrase.

>>Does it even fly?

>If you'd done a Google image search on "X-47B" you would have
>seen that this "UCAS drone" for the U.S. Navy is in fact not
>saucer or disk shaped at all, but only appears to be so, sort
>of, when photographed from the side or at a somewhat front
>angle.

>In fact, the X-47B has a kind of "flying saucer" appearance at
>certain side or frontal angles due to both visual stealth and
>aero-dynamic design reasons, but actually has two stubby,
>angular wings.

>For clarity, see this .jpg image, showing the XB-47B from side,
>front, and top views, with specific dimensions and planform
>specs:

><http://bit.ly/M0tHf1>

The air craft now flying Earth's skies just keeps getting

stranger and stranger looking. Actually, they look like some craft designed for a sci-fi movie.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:58:13 +0000
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 07:08:30 -0400
Subject: Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 14:21:01 -0400
>Subject: Paul Hamden Communicates With ETs

>I have had the pleasure of getting to know Paul Hamden, an
>Australian medium who channels ETs. In 1976, Paul, a friend, and
>two neighbours saw a cigar-shaped UFO hanging outside his third-
>floor kitchen window in Melbourne. He estimated it to be about
>20 m long and 4 m high. He says "the skin of the craft became
>'clear' on one end (as if a portal had opened), we saw two
>'beings' inside, they were watching us. This lasted a few
>minutes then the craft simply 'vanished'... Thirty years later,
>the beings have returned, I now sit regularly with a group of
>friends; we have communication with extraterrestrial beings".

>Paul has documented his conversations with the ETs. He is aware
>of the potential for his own subconscious to intrude during the
>seance, and is careful to look for inconsistencies over time. He
>says he has not found any. He is open to answering questions
>from people who are sincere, and does not seem to have
>preconceived ideas that interfere with what he has learned from
>the ETs.

>He channels a number of ETs including a zeta (grey) and a couple
>of anunnaki. He has learned that each has a physical existence
>on another planet, but the zeta, at least, is able to exist for
>as long as a week in an etheric body separate from the physical.
>A zeta can travel long distances by first sending a physical
>container body to the destination, then transferring its etheric
>body into the container after arrival.

>Paul confirms that proximity to an ET can have deleterious
>effects on people. Illness has been reported by abductees after
>the experience, and he has suffered the same illness himself. It
>took more than a year for his body to be adapted so that it no
>longer feels ill after a visit by an ET. He was told that the
>cause of illness is disruption of the human etheric body by the
>presence of the ET etheric body.

>The idea of a human etheric body superimposed on the physical
>body is plausible. There is considerable evidence in the
>scientific literature of EM or photon communication within and
>among cells of the body. This could be interpreted in favour of
>the existence of an EM etheric body that regulates the physical
>body. See, for example, the review article "Electromagnetic
>cellular interactions" by Cifra et al. in Progress in Biophysics
>Mol. Biol., 105, 223-246, 2011.

>Paul was also told by the zeta that its blood is copper based.
>This may mean that the oxygen carrier in the zeta is hemocyanin
>which is found in several earth animal species as well. Also,
>the EM absorption spectra of oxygenated and deoxygenated
>hemoglobin may have something to say about the connection
>between the human physical and etheric bodies. Deoxygenated
>hemoglobin has a greater EM energy capacity, and the
>deoxygenated state seems to correlate with altered states of
>consciousness where the etheric body would be more involved.

>Please see more on this subject at:

><http://www.treurniet.ca/psi/etherblood.htm>

William:

I read your paper and would like to speak to the effect of colored and flashing lights on the physiology of the human body.

A while back when I was researching mind control, I came across a study done by the U.S. Army Military team. They had found and translated papers from experiments done by the Russians. Who were much farther ahead in this area research in this area than the Americans. Their research was focused on the effects of red and blue lights.

The fact that states of hypnosis could be attained by flashing lights, even at great distances. One of their experiments caused an American air plane crew to almost crash into a Russian air craft carrier; the Russians were flashing the blue light directly into the cockpit of the plane.

It was determined that various colored lights could cause various physical responses.

I have to dig out the paper to provide the exact results. I was impressed with what I do remember.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: What Would Ellen Ripley Say?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 23:14:18 +0000
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 07:10:36 -0400
Subject: Re: What Would Ellen Ripley Say?

>From: Elsie Conner <elsie_conner.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 20:38:30 -0400
>Subject: What Would Ellen Ripley Say?

>Dear List,

>Some of you may be interested in a women's perspective
>concerning a previous post from Magonia regarding "the
>feminisation of ufology".

>-----

>Source: Out Of Darkness Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/7kocwlg>

>Saturday, June 16, 2012

>What Would Ellen Ripley Say?
>by Elsie Conner

>A blog was published at Magonia on June 3, 2012 titled SHJ,
>IFOS, And The Feminisation Of Ufology. The short paragraph
>which refers to the feminisation of ufology has spawned a couple
>of other blogs where my latest post, The Frustrating Aspect of
>Alien Screen Imagery, has been linked with this article. It's
>interesting and tragic on several levels.

>Let's begin:

>Let me be clear, I am a woman, a wife, a daughter, a sister, a
>friend, a Ph.D. candidate in Electrical and Computer
>Engineering, I hold a job in my profession, and I am an alien
>abductee. I know a thing or two about discrimination, ignorance,
>cruelty, and just plain gender stupidity.

>First off - I have never heard of this survey of women in
>ufology. What is this survey and where can you find it? When was
>it conducted? Who participated in this survey? Nobody I know was
>involved in it. The first time I have seen this reference to
>this women in ufology survey is from the Magonia blog referenced
>above. I find it unprofessional not to mention anything about
>this survey's particulars. Is there any reason to believe this
>survey even existed?

>More at site...

>-----

Thank God, somebody put it out there. And, it was a woman.
Imagine that!

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Primordial Soup May Be Alien Concoction

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 07:20:59 -0400
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 07:20:59 -0400
Subject: Primordial Soup May Be Alien Concoction

Source: The Telegraph - London

<http://tinyurl.com/csxsntm>

June 19th, 2012

Why The Primordial Soup May Be An Alien Concoction
By Tom Chivers

The discovery of 'extremophiles' strengthens the theory that life was 'delivered' from other planets

In August, Nasa's Curiosity rover, the largest and most expensive robot ever to be sent to the surface of another planet, will arrive on Mars. It has several goals, including studying the climate and geography of the Red Planet, and providing information for a possible future manned mission. But Curiosity will also be looking for signs of life and clues as to where, as well as how, life began - still one of science's greatest unsolved mysteries.

Ever since Charles Darwin suggested that life may have begun in a "warm little pond", the idea of the primordial soup has taken hold in the public and scientific imagination. The hypothesis states that the chemical building blocks of life mixed together until a chance event combined them into a replicating being. That replicator, which would have "lived" around four billion years ago, is the great-to-the-Nth-power grandparent of every organism.

The primordial soup explanation has had rivals, but most of them centre around a similar idea: a replicating process starts on Earth, and once it is up and running, Darwinian evolution acts on the offspring of that original ur-organism. In his book *The Blind Watchmaker*, Richard Dawkins championed Graham Cairns-Smith's theory that clay crystals, which preserve information as they grow from solution, were the first replicators; Nick Lane, a biochemist at University College London, proposes that they arose by a hot vent (rather than a warm pond) in the deep ocean.

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Undersea Object Probably Not A UFO

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 07:24:37 -0400
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 07:24:37 -0400
Subject: Undersea Object Probably Not A UFO

Source: UPI.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/cu2vzd4>

June 18, 2012

Undersea Object Probably Not A UFO

STOCKHOLM, Sweden, June 18 (UPI) -- A mysterious object at the bottom of the Baltic Sea isn't a UFO, Swedish researches say, but they add they don't have a sure idea of what it is, either.

The object, located on the sea floor between Sweden and Finland, is some sort of "natural, geological formation," Peter Lindberg, the leader of the Ocean Explorer team, told FoxNews.com.

Lindberg and other scientists and divers spent 12 day exploring the 200-foot-wide roughly circular object under the Baltic they had first seen in sonar scans a year ago.

"It's not obviously an alien spacecraft. It's not made of metal", he said.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 14:25:24 +0100
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 10:40:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:02:45 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>Oft-repeated, this hypothesis is regularly trotted out as a
>'solution' to the mystery. Just once it would be nice to see it
>acknowledged that this is no solution at all, but merely a
>deferral: we are still left with the scientific mystery of how
>life started elsewhere.

It's a matter of probabilities or odds Gerald

Here's Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe, speaking to Stuart Miller:

[Quotation Starts]

"When we examined the probabilities that are involved in putting together the most primitive living system, for instance, considering how amino acids combine into a basic set of enzymes (that is crucial for life), it didn't take a lot of calculation to discover that this is an incredibly improbable event. If it happened on the Earth, it means we've essentially overcome superastronomical odds in doing so.

In view of the superastronomically vast improbability factors that are associated with the assembly of the first living system, we quickly rejected the Earth as a reasonable site for a first origin of life. It would obviously pay to go to the biggest available cosmic system if one had to overcome such incredible odds. And the biggest available cosmic system is the Universe as a whole.

The transition from non-life to life in our view must have involved the resources of all the stars in all the galaxies in a substantial part of the Universe, but this transformation needs to have taken place only once."

[Quotation Ends]

The full interview is republished, by permission, at

www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

I Have No Idea What I Saw

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 11:04:22 -0400
Archived: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 11:04:22 -0400
Subject: I Have No Idea What I Saw

Source: Billy Cox's Blog DeVoid

<http://tinyurl.com/89tus33>

Wednesday, June 6, 2012

I Have No Idea What I Saw
by Billy Cox

What other thing sounds like a cow when it moos? Wild boar, maybe? Beowulf's Grendel? A Texas chainsaw massacre? Klingons?

In the National Geographic's upcoming Chasing UFOs premiere, during a sequence set somewhere in the featureless nocturnal outback, amid the grainy-green otherworldly claustrophobic glow of night-vision cameras, the common and the ordinary translate into anxiety and terror. But viewers are the ones left in the dark, because it's not certain if this campy shtick is intentional or inadvertent.

Borrowing heavily from the format of The History ' 2008-09 reality series UFO Hunters, NatGeo brings a different set of expectations to the genre due largely to the presence of filmmaker James Fox.

Fox is a grounded researcher who has produced a couple of solid documentaries, 2002's "Out of the Blue" and I Know What I Saw in 2009. But a screening of the first two hour-long installments gives us something totally bizarre.

Here's the setup:

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 20](#)

This Week At Inexplicata - 06-19-12

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 10:39:37 -0400
Archived: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 18:32:58 -0400
Subject: This Week At Inexplicata - 06-19-12

Tuesday, June 19, 2012

Mexico: Alleged UFO Photographed During Sandstorm

Source: Noticias OjinagaHoy (Mexico)

www.ojinagahoy.com.mx

Date: 06.19.2012-06-19

Mexico: Alleged UFO Photographed Before Sandstorm

CIUDAD JUAREZ =96 Residents of Ciudad Juarez claim having photographed an unidentified flying object only minutes before last Friday's sandstorm, whose winds approached 90 kilometers an hour and created widespread chaos throughout the region.

Through the Facebook social network, a photograph was shared this Monday by subscriber Juan Carlos Leon, showing a sort of ring suspended in the sky with at least a dozen lights.

The user informed Norte Digital that the photo was taken by a young woman named Raquel Vargas, who provided him with the image.

Leon noted that Vargas is a skeptical individual. However, she managed to photograph the aerial shape moments before the weather phenomenon took place, and wanted to share it on the Internet.

Norte Digital contacted Vargas, who assured that said image was taken in the vicinity of Calzada del Rio, to the north of the city, in the direction of El Paso, Texas. "I was running an errand at a neighboring development and we heard something like a lightning bolt, but when we turned around, we saw that thing in the sky", she said.

The phenomenon was seen by several local residents, who turned on their cellphones and photo cameras upon seeing the UFO. "When we left our home, in a matter of minutes, it was still in the sky and I managed to take a picture of it. Others did as well."

Another local resident told Vargas that the lights resembled the ones seen when a night time game is being played at a stadium.

The "haboob" started only minutes after the strange aerial spectacle ended.

"There was a lot of wind and flying dirt after that, and we went away because the storm had already started," she noted.

Last Friday, the wind gusts recorded achieved speeds of up to 85 kilometers per hour, prompting a mobilization by various rescue forces, Public Safety and Civil Protection, who reported to at least 37 emergency situations in a two-hour period.

Injuries, blackouts, toppled structures, fallen fences and trees, aside from traffic accidents and the momentary suspension

of flights from the local airport, were the consequence of the zero visibility caused by the powerful winds.

(Translation (c) 2012, Scott Corrales, Institute of Hispanic Ufology. Special thanks to Guillermo Gimenez, Planeta UFO)

POSTED BY INEXPLICATA (IHU) AT 6:53 AM

Colombia: Luminous Anomaly Over Manizales

[INEXPLICATA stopped posting photos of alleged UFO phenomena a while back, but our friend Daniel Gonz=Ellez of Spain's GEIFO group (currently in Colombia, where he heads the organization's local chapter) has sent us two interesting photographs: a greenish "flying spindle" that supposedly appeared after a lightning storm in the town of Manizales, Colombia. He is currently trying to locate the photographer for an interview. In the meantime, we submit these to you as "anomalous light" photos and not UFO shots. - Scott Corrales, Editor, Inexplicata]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 20](#)

Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 11:16:12 -0400
Archived: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 18:34:19 -0400
Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 12:42:06 -0300
>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 18:06:13 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2012 19:16:15 +0000
>>>Subject: Military Clears Up Beltway UFO Mystery

<snip>

>>Since it is aerodynamically un-sound, what revolutionary
>>technology is behind this?

>The X-47B flies exactly like a plane because it is a plane.
>Nothing different about the technology on this bird. Jet engines
>push, air flows over the wings-and its aero-dynamic body-and it
>lifts off and flies. Control surfaces on the wings for
>manoeuvring.

>There is no need to make this plane out to be anymore exotic
>than it really is. Basically it has about the same payload as a
>WWII B-17. Faster-though subsonic-and stealthier and obviously
>no pilots-just a video game operator in a bunker in Nevada.

>And aerodynamically it is very sound. Not sure why you thought
>it was not.

>This aircraft is a non issue as far as unidentified phenomena
>are concerned.

<snip>

Hello Don and List,

The first 2 paragraphs of the article at Kathy's link

<http://tinyurl.com/7vzs5f6>

read:

"Beltway traffic in Washington, D.C., is bad enough without
adding extraterrestrial vehicles into the mix.

On Wednesday night, Facebook and Twitter users went wild over
sightings of a saucer-shaped vessel being towed on local
highways. The buzz called to mind the frenzy in 1947 Roswell,
albeit in a much more modern way."

The article tricks the reader into thinking that the military now has saucer-shaped drones over there.

Considering that there has been lately talks of using drones on a large scale on US soil, one + one being two, well, there you have it...

"Next time you see a saucer-shaped thing, people, relax, it's a drone."

You probably won't be fooled by the rhetoric, but that's not the point.

The point is who cares about the savvy Don Ledgers of the world, when the money is on fooling John Doe?

Vincent Boudreau

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 20](#)

Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 00:23:57 +0000
Archived: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 18:37:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 14:21:01 -0400
>Subject: Paul Hamden Communicates With ETs

>Please see more on this subject at:

><http://www.treurniet.ca/psi/etherblood.htm>

William:

This is follow up information regarding your statement in your paper cited above in the URL:

"A red light often plays a role in physical mediumship. The seance is usually conducted in the dark, but if a light is allowed, it is usually a red light. It is possible that a red light in the range of 600-800 nm wavelength might actually enhance a medium's abilities?"

Your next paragraph goes on to discuss that because of medium's induction into slower breathing, sleep is induced and the heart rate slows down.

I offer to provide information on experiments done by the Russians and finally found the report called Controlled Offensive Behavior - USSR (U).

It was prepared by the U.S. Army of the Surgeon General Medical Intelligence Office, translated and published by J. LaMothe, D.I.A. in Jan. 31, 1972.

The section of interest is PART VI, Light and Color As A Means of Altering Human Behavior, SubSection II - Color and Light. Para. 1 (U)

"The Soviets have reported that low intensity red light creates an irregular alpha rhythm in humans. Another study was conducted on the effects of low intensity red, green, and yellow light on humans.

Physiological tests were administered to 412 females working in a film processing plant under red, green, or yellow light of low intensity 25 watt bulbs. Complex shifts were found in the central and autonomic system which included marked increases in optical rheobase and chronaxy and lengthening of the latent period of visual and motor reactions during the course of the day.

The EEG was characterized by irregular alpha rhythm, frequently followed by more rapid low-amplitude oscillations, an indication of decreased reactivity of the cortical processes.

The condition of the autonomic nervous system was judged from shifts in skin temperature and arterial pressure, both of which were much lower at the end of a work shift than at the beginning.

The physiological data were supported by the results of a

neurological examination which showed a large number of functional shifts.

In general, the adverse effects were most pronounced in those who worked in soft red light. Yellow light was less irritating than green." (rheobase=the minimum amount of current amplitude to stimulate contraction of a muscle; chronaxy=minimum amount of time needed to stimulate a muscle)"

The report is extensive and proves that the Russians were way ahead of anyone else. It introduced me to the great L.L. Vasilev, Edward Naumov and G.A. Sergeyev. It is still a terrific resource document. The Americans have brought the research forward but it is difficult to find publication of the new research. If you remember, I did find a few papers and shared just a bit of what is taking place.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 20](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 15:18:11 +0100
Archived: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 23:31:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 14:25:24 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:02:45 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

><snip>

>>Oft-repeated, this hypothesis is regularly trotted out as a
>>'solution' to the mystery. Just once it would be nice to see it
>>acknowledged that this is no solution at all, but merely a
>>deferral: we are still left with the scientific mystery of how
>>life started elsewhere.

>It's a matter of probabilities or odds Gerald

>Here's Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe, speaking to Stuart Miller:

<snip>

>The full interview is republished, by permission, at

>www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html

I don't think we're quite on the same square with this one, Ray.

My point was a very basic one: we don't settle the question of how life starts by deciding that it starts somewhere other than on our own planet. Where it comes from may indeed be a matter of probability, and will remain so right up to the point where we can be wholly certain.

Wickramasinghe is certainly alive to the key issue on the 'how': he sees 'life' as something that occurs somewhere on an ascending spectrum of complexity. Viewed this way, those who look or hope for a clear-cut answer to the question may well be in for a great disappointment. The strongest likelihood is that there is a grey, blurred area on that spectrum where different, but not all criteria are satisfied. There is no a priori reason to expect that there should be some sharply defined point in the spectrum of complexity where 'life' actually 'starts'.

Of course, this idea is going to leave a lot of folk decidedly uneasy. However, I would hope, Ray, that as a fellow iconoclast, you might find it rather appealing.

Great interview. Thanks for the link!

Gerald O'Connell

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 21](#)

Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 21:06:45 -0400
Archived: Thu, 21 Jun 2012 09:31:19 -0400
Subject: Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

>From: Kathy Kasten<catraja.nul>
>To:<post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 00:23:57 +0000
>Subject: Re: Paul Hamden Communicates With ET

>>From: William Treurniet<wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 14:21:01 -0400
>>Subject: Paul Hamden Communicates With ETs

>>Please see more on this subject at:

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/psi/etherblood.htm>

>William:

>This is follow up information regarding your statement in your
>paper cited above in the URL:

>"A red light often plays a role in physical mediumship. The
>seance is usually conducted in the dark, but if a light is
>allowed, it is usually a red light. It is possible that a red
>light in the range of 600-800 nm wavelength might actually
>enhance a medium's abilities?"

>Your next paragraph goes on to discuss that because of medium's
>induction into slower breathing, sleep is induced and the heart
>rate slows down.

>I offer to provide information on experiments done by the
>Russians and finally found the report called Controlled
>Behavior - USSR (U).

>It was prepared by the U.S. Army of the Surgeon General Medical
>Intelligence Office, translated and published by J. LaMothe,
>D.I.A. in Jan. 31, 1972.

>The section of interest is PART VI, Light and Color As A Means
>of Altering Human Behavior, SubSection II - Color and Light.
>Para. 1 (U)

>"The Soviets have reported that low intensity red light creates
>an irregular alpha rhythm in humans. Another study was conducted
>on the effects of low intensity red, green, and yellow light on
>humans.

>Physiological tests were administered to 412 females working in
>a film processing plant under red, green, or yellow light of low
>intensity 25 watt bulbs. Complex shifts were found in the
>central and autonomic system which included marked increases in
>optical rheobase and chronaxy and lengthening of the latent
>period of visual and motor reactions during the course of the
>day.

>The EEG was characterized by irregular alpha rhythm, frequently
>followed by more rapid low-amplitude oscillations, an indication
>of decreased reactivity of the cortical processes.

><snip>

>In general, the adverse effects were most pronounced in those
>who worked in soft red light.

I proposed that the energy of the red light is transferred to the etheric body via deoxygenated hemoglobin. An energized etheric body is thought to be correlated with an altered state of consciousness. The description of the EEG above, which was most pronounced after exposure to red light, is a bit like what happens during REM sleep. So that seems consistent with the proposal.

Thanks, Kathy, for directing me to this document.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Much Info On Official Chilean UFO Site

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Thu, 21 Jun 2012 18:23:42 -0300
Archived: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 08:55:16 -0400
Subject: Much Info On Official Chilean UFO Site

Dear Listers:

Chilean Civilian Aeronautics General Department (DGAC), through its UFO investigations Committee named Comite de Estudios de Fen=F3menos Aereos An=F3malos (CEFAA), launched Wednesday its new website presenting documentation of UFO cases studied by the entity, along with videos and recordings that clearly demonstrate the reality of the UFO Phenomena, both in Chilean Territory and in the world.

The ceremony was attended by Leslie Kean and top civilian and military authorities in Chile.

The CEFAA has been operating since 1997 and continuously investigates occurrences of UFO sightings specially made by pilots and UFO detections made by traffic control personnel. It also works in conjunction with Chilean Air Force (FACH), private investigators and police personnel, universities, scientists and civilians etc.

Its head is retired Air Force general Ricardo Berm=FAddez, who gave me a detailed 4-hours interview last April. He declared: =93UFOs are absolutely real. This cannot be ignored any longer=94. And much more.

The CEFAA website is operating since today and is at:

www.cefaa.gob.cl. It is worth a visit.

Cheers,

A. J. Gevaerd
Brazilian UFO Magazine
www.ufo.com.br

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 00:58:09 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 08:57:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:51 -0300
>Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:39 -0400
>Subject: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>Dear Listers,

>I am presently in Lima, Peru, interviewing local military UFO
>researchers. In the last years I'm dedicated to interviewing men
>on this continent who, while on military duty and as part of
>their military routine, have been engaged in UFO investigations.

<snip>

>And last month, in Santiago, Chile, I interviewed General
>Ricardo Bermudez, a retired Chilean Air Force jet pilot and
>presently in charge of the Centro de Estudios de Fenomenos
>Aereos Anomalos (CEFAA), the local official UFO investigations
>centre. Both conversations were extremely successful and have
>yielded a lot of interesting UFO information.

<snip>

Hello Mr. Gevaerd,

I support your initiative. Congratulations on your efforts.

I am concerned, however, with events surrounding General Bermudez, the CEFAA and the El Bosque Air Base incident as reported by Leslie Kean. Such concerns include video footage independently located of the specific incident in question and contradicting conclusions Kean reported. Many additional valid concerns, such as a lack of transparency and the failure to produce video and analysis claimed to be on hand, surfaced and were competently summarized any number of places such as UFO-Blog.

It would be necessary for parties involved in the El Bosque case to be fully accountable for their yet to be supported claims before I could share your apparent enthusiasm about the sincerity and competency of some of these individuals and organizations, Mr. Gevaerd. I encourage proactive behavior, however, and therefore wish you success in your investigative efforts. I indeed hope you find many interesting events to report in which the data speaks for itself and does not require sensationalizing.

Regards,

Jack Brewer
<http://www.ufotrail.blogspot.com/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

'Secret Access: UFOs On The Record'

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Thu, 21 Jun 2012 16:50:56 +0100
Archived: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 09:11:11 -0400
Subject: 'Secret Access: UFOs On The Record'

A new UFO documentary to get even the sceptics out there wondering...

It's based on the New York Times best selling book by Leslie Kean: UFOs: Generals, Pilots and Government Officials Go On the Record:

<http://tinyurl.com/7wpb5kg>

Click on the pink link above and scroll down to the movie, Or watch it on YouTube here:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3D1ORgLO9raIO&feature=3Dplayer_embedded

History Channel Gets It Right
By Billy Cox
Herald Tribune
August 26, 2011

Original Link

Thursday night=92s =93Secret Access=94 report by The History Channel =97 =93UFOs On The Record=94 =97 is the sort of crisp advocacy journalism one might easily envision in PBS=92 =93Frontline=94 rotation. Focused (if not a tad overproduced), expertly sourced, and devoid of the tripe that too often characterizes network programming on this issue, =93UFOs On The Record=94 is a foundational model for jump-starting a national conversation so desperately overdue.

In fact, the logical next question might be: What, exactly, are viewers supposed to do with this material? Contemplating citizen action may seem premature, given the comparatively small niche audience of The History Channel, but at least this week we got a glimpse of what may lie ahead.

The 96-minute documentary, built upon Leslie Kean=92s UFOs: Generals, Pilots and Government Officials Go On the Record, was produced by filmmakers Ricki Stern and Annie Sudberg, who obviously know what they=92re doing. Having tackled atrocities in Darfur, a racially motivated murder conviction in North Carolina, and America=92s post-9/11 surveillance culture, among other things, Stern and Sudberg have little appetite for equivocation. Like Kean, they made no unsubstantiated claims and dispensed with the uninformed ruminations of so-called UFO skeptics that pass for =93balance=94 in mainstream formulas. Instead, they mined official documents and eyewitnesses to build a compelling case for the serious disconnect between reality and American public policy.

For Kean=92s readers, the =93Secret Access=94 treatment covered familiar turf: the Phoenix Lights, the Rendlesham Forest incident, the 1989-90 Belgian wave, etc. But watching many of the players in the book =97 like former Federal Aviation Administration accidents division chief John Callahan, and retired Belgian Gen. Wilfried De Brouwer =97 as they physically reconstructed complex interactions between UFOs and jet planes brought the enormity of the transactions to life. And, fan

though I am of the written word, there is no substitute for listening to audiotapes of air traffic/ground crew chatter trying to come to grips with a UFO idling over Chicago=92s O=92Hare International in 2006.

=93On The Record=94 also introduced us to eyewitnesses who weren=92t in the book, and gave a sendup to the National Aviation Reporting Center on Anomalous Phenomena, which is conducting the sort of scientific research that sends our own government into contortions to avoid. And it profiled an innovator who just might someday land the biggest catch of all.

Hollywood special effects guru Douglas Trumbull (=93Blade Runner,=94 =93Close Encounters of the Third Kind,=94 =932001: A Space Odyssey=94) can spot UFO fake footage with a craftsman=92s eye. But lately, he=92s applying his technological skills to a mobile camera unit called Ufotog, with which he will scan the skies using optics capable of gauging size, distance, altitude, velocity and trajectory of unidentifieds up there, visible and otherwise. This is exciting stuff.

What a rollercoaster month it=92s been for Leslie Kean. The paperback version of UFOs On the Record rolled on Aug. 2, with a fresh endorsement from heavyweight astronomer Derrick Pitts. On Sunday, she was by the side of artist and abduction research pioneer Budd Hopkins when he died at age 80. A couple of days ago, she joined a panel discussion promoting the =93Secret Access=94 documentary on CNN Headline News (see below). A noteworthy venue, but it should=92ve been even bigger.

Things change, inevitably, whether we allow them to or not. Thursday night, we got a glimpse of how a major shift might begin. Given the MSM=92s track record for peddling dumbed-down mediocrity, it=92s unlikely the =93Secret Access=94 piece can provide that momentum swing, which will occur eventually. What it did was remind us of an even more fundamental truth =97 hard work pays off.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 23](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 10:49:12 -0300
Archived: Sat, 23 Jun 2012 07:59:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 00:58:09 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

<snip>

>I am concerned, however, with events surrounding General
>Bermudez, the CEFAA and the El Bosque Air Base incident as
>reported by Leslie Kean. Such concerns include video footage
>independently located of the specific incident in question and
>contradicting conclusions Kean reported. Many additional valid
>concerns, such as a lack of transparency and the failure to
>produce video and analysis claimed to be on hand, surfaced and
>were competently summarized any number of places such as UFO-
>Blog.

>It would be necessary for parties involved in the El Bosque case
>to be fully accountable for their yet to be supported claims

Indeed it's puzzling why the new CEFAA website has published no
hint of analysis on the El Bosque case besides a translation of
one of Kean's posts originally published on Huffington Post. On
their multimedia section, there's also a low quality version of
just one of the videos - which had been previously publicized.
One would assume the official website of CEFAA would publicize
and share original evidence and investigation on such trumpeted
cases - trumpeted with heavy reference to CEFAA's alleged
investigations on them.

Perhaps even more puzzling is that at least one case featured on
the previous version of the website, and promoted by some as
"the best UFO photo ever" at the time, cannot be found on the
new version of CEFAA's website. It's the El Yeso case, of which
previously CEFAA also only published a translation of NARCAP's
analysis. The translation of NARCAP's analysis can still be
accessed directly:

<http://tinyurl.com/6srwtu6>

But I couldn't find reference to El Yeso on the new website.
Perhaps this is related to the fact the anomaly has been
suggested as simply a reflection?

<http://tinyurl.com/7tgkymy>

Why not publish original evidence and investigation to support
the claims they themselves have translated from English, and why
remove reference to content from the previous version - even if
it was just a translation? I hope CEFAA's official website is
still to be updated with a lot more relevant material, so there
may be finally an open and public review of their claims and
conclusions.

Kentaro

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: **Thiago L. Ticchetti** <ticchetti.nul>
Date: Sat, 23 Jun 2012 17:14:22 -0300
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 07:39:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 10:49:12 -0300
>To: post.nul
>Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 00:58:09 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

><snip>

>>I am concerned, however, with events surrounding General
>>Bermudez, the CEFAA and the El Bosque Air Base incident as
>>reported by Leslie Kean. Such concerns include video footage
>>independently located of the specific incident in question and
>>contradicting conclusions Kean reported. Many additional valid
>>concerns, such as a lack of transparency and the failure to
>>produce video and analysis claimed to be on hand, surfaced and
>>were competently summarized any number of places such as UFO-
>>Blog.

>>It would be necessary for parties involved in the El Bosque case
>>to be fully accountable for their yet to be supported claims

<snip>

>Perhaps even more puzzling is that at least one case featured on
>the previous version of the website, and promoted by some as
>"the best UFO photo ever" at the time, cannot be found on the
>new version of CEFAA's website. It's the El Yeso case, of which
>previously CEFAA also only published a translation of NARCAP's
>analysis. The translation of NARCAP's analysis can still be
>accessed directly:

><http://tinyurl.com/6srwtu6>

>But I couldn't find reference to El Yeso on the new website.
>Perhaps this is related to the fact the anomaly has been
>suggested as simply a reflection?

><http://tinyurl.com/7tgkymy>

>Why not publish original evidence and investigation to support
>the claims they themselves have translated from English, and why
>remove reference to content from the previous version - even if
>it was just a translation? I hope CEFAA's official website is
>still to be updated with a lot more relevant material, so there
>may be finally an open and public review of their claims and
>conclusions.

Yeah, you might be correct, Kentaro. Lets wait for more
information.

By the way, why don't you spend some time and money to go to
Peru and ask them in person. You could also do some real field
research instead of waiting for someone do something.

Thiago Luiz Ticchetti

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

From: Edward Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sat, 23 Jun 2012 15:42:00 -0700
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 07:44:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 16:59:18 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>From: Edward Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 09:10:44 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>Kevin, EBK, List,

>>Philip and I are friends, but he's mistaken about the AA.

>>The UFO community has missed a great chance to understand the
>>UFO phenomenon by studying the AA and discussing the
>>implications of the footage.

>>Only a few researchers have viewed the uncut footage or tried to
>>figure out the significance of the Socorro crash site.

>>Everyone refuses to listen to Dr Leir and his insistence that
>>the footage is of a real creature.

>>How and why did John Humphrey, a left hander, play the part of a
>>right handed surgeon and why did he and Spyros show the brain
>>being removed from the rear when it was obviously removed from
>>the front?

>>These types of mistakes are ingored or overlooked in Philip's
>>research and by the vast majority of other UFO researchers.

>>And how did Ray Santilli describe and give directions to
>>a dry lake with burned vegetation and rocks, covered with a
>>strange blue melted material, eventually identified as
>>crystalite? He has never been to Socorro.
>>These are only a few of the unanswered questions.

>>I think it's time for folks to take another look at the uncut
>>footage and the evidence that it is hoaxed. There are many dead
>>ends in Ufology, but the AA isn't one of them.

>>Ed, List, All

>>Let's see, Ray Santilli said that the "tent" autopsy footage
>>was either faked by his friends as a joke or an attempted
>>recreation of the footage because the original was too muddy,
>>dirty, or dark to be aired. Which version do you believe and
>>don't both of them lead to the single conclusion that it is
>>faked?

>>If there was original tent footage, as suggested by Santilli,
>>that film, although useless for broadcast would have forensic
>>value but none of it has ever been submitted for testing which
>>leads to a single conclusion of fake.

>>We learn, now, that the hospital footage was also staged... but
>>wait, a few frames of the real autopsy were cut into the
>>admittedly fake autopsy. We just have to figure out which parts

>>are faked and which are real. Santilli says that there were only
>>about four minutes of footage that was useful so he faked the
>>rest.

>>In the tent debris footage, one of the I-beams has the word
>>"video" on it... and there is a Greek word for freedom on it.
>>What are the odds that alien writing would produce two
>>terrestrial words?

>>The first cameraman statement was filled with British terms and
>>when that was pointed out it was changed. Bob Shell claimed that
>>he had retyped the transcript to remove the offending British
>>words (which is not to suggest the British are offensive, only
>>that the terminology used was not that an American would use)
>>without benefit of hearing the tape. Bob Shell is currently in
>>prison for an unrelated matter, but that does not speak well of
>>his credibility.

Kevin, List,

I sent Bob Shell our exchange of posts on Updates regarding the
Alien Autopsy and asked him if he'd like to comment on them.
Below is his reply:

Kevin, Ed, List,

Dr. Leir is not the only medical professional to weigh in on
the film. Mike Hesemann showed the film to over 300 pathologists
at a pathology conference in Europe and the majority thought it
was a real body. Additionally I was in contact with a thoracic
surgeon in Massachusetts who studied the film in detail and was
also convinced that it was a real body. I'm not a medical doctor,
but trained as a zoologist and I took all of the photos for an
anatomy and physiology lab manual used in medical schools. This
involved many dissections. I've seen autopsies. I know what a
body looks like on the inside, and this looks very real.

I am convinced that the person who gave me detailed directions from
Socorro to the crash site was someone intimately familiar with the
area. As noted, Santilli is not, and has never been there.

When I went out to Socorro and drove up to Magdalena and the Plains
of St. Augustin I met and talked to many older locals, most of whom
remembered a big to-do back in 1947 about a mysterious crash out on
the Plains in an area of dry lake beds. It is not coincidental
that this is the same area where Barney Barnett reported his crash.
Unfortunately, I don't have access to my notes right now and can't
go into more detail.

Ray told me that the film was all in good condition when
the cameraman first showed it to him at his house in Florida.
After a long delay, he finally worked out a purchase price and
received the film. At that time he discovered that it had been
seriously water damaged in the interim, and that only a small
percentage of the footage was recoverable. Ray consulted with me
on how to preserve/restore water damaged footage, and, in turn, I
consulted with experts at the Eastman Kodak's motion picture
division in Colorado and got information on how to deal with such
footage.

Unfortunately, there is no sure way to restore such water damaged
film. It is my understanding from Ray that he then had as much of
the footage as possible copied frame by frame onto video. There
is nothing about any of this which raises any red flags to me.
I've come across exactly the same situation several times before,
and it is sad that often not much can be recovered.

I don't know what percentage of the final product is the original
footage. Maybe all of it is, maybe only some of it is. I don't
know if Ray was pressured into claiming he faked it. There is much
more that I don't know than there is that I do know. I do believe,
however, that there is or was original film. I do not believe that
Spyros Melaris had anything to do with the AA film.

In the tent debris footage Kevin says one of the I-beams has the
word "video" on it. That is not correct. It has something that
looks like VIDEOE. I'm not sure where Kevin got that the Greek word
for freedom is on one of the beams. The Greek word for freedom is

ELEUTHERA, and I don't recall seeing that there.

Kevin is welcome to his opinion on my credibility. I retyped the transcription to be "American" because Ray told me that his English secretary had transcribed it from the tape and put it in English vernacular. There was never any secrecy about this, and the main thing I did was convert English spelling to American spelling. I'd imagine that the original and my retyping are both out there somewhere on the Internet for comparison.

This is the first I've heard that forensic specialists have said that the muscles are those of a standing person rather than a body at rest. What forensic specialists said that?

The place that Mike Hesemann and I went to outside Socorro is not the place that Ed Gehrman has located. Mike and I did not follow the road anywhere near as far as Ed did, and I was always skeptical that Mike had located a crash site. We disagreed on that at the time, and so far as I know, we still do.

I am intrigued and puzzled by the AA film. I don't know what it depicts, but I am far from convinced that it shows a latex dummy created by Ray Santilli and friends. I don't claim to understand Ray's behavior about this odd artifact. But I do think we make a big mistake if we simply dismiss it outright as a hoax. Once I am in a position to do so, I plan to continue my investigation into this mystery.

Sorry not to be able to go into more detail, but my memory is imperfect and I do not have access to my notes and research material.

Bob Shell

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 09:48:24 -0300
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 10:44:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 00:58:09 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:51 -0300
>>Archived: Sat, 16 Jun 2012 10:58:39 -0400
>>Subject: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>>I am presently in Lima, Peru, interviewing local military UFO
>>researchers. In the last years I'm dedicated to interviewing men on
>>this continent who, while on military duty and as part of their
>>military routine, have been engaged in UFO investigations.

><snip>

>>And last month, in Santiago, Chile, I interviewed General Ricardo
>>Bermudez, a retired Chilean Air Force jet pilot and presently in charge
>>of the Centro de Estudios de Fenomenos Aereos Anomalos (CEFAA), the
>>local official UFO investigations centre. Both conversations were
>>extremely successful and have yielded a lot of interesting UFO
>>information.

><snip>

>Hello Mr. Gevaerd,

>I support your initiative. Congratulations on your efforts.

>I am concerned, however, with events surrounding General
>Bermudez, the CEFAA and the El Bosque Air Base incident as
>reported by Leslie Kean. Such concerns include video footage
>independently located of the specific incident in question and
>contradicting conclusions Kean reported. Many additional valid
>concerns, such as a lack of transparency and the failure to
>produce video and analysis claimed to be on hand, surfaced and
>were competently summarized any number of places such as UFO-
>Blog.

>It would be necessary for parties involved in the El Bosque case
>to be fully accountable for their yet to be supported claims
>before I could share your apparent enthusiasm about the
>sincerity and competency of some of these individuals and
>organizations, Mr. Gevaerd. I encourage proactive behavior,
>however, and therefore wish you success in your investigative
>efforts. I indeed hope you find many interesting events to
>report in which the data speaks for itself and does not
>require sensationalizing.

Thank you for your support, Jack.

Indeed, there is a great deal of interesting events to report
on my continent, cases that definitely don't require
sensationalizing. Especially in Brazil.

South American military in several countries are slowly coming
out with an increasing amount of info. But, of course, the most

serious cases are not being reported yet.

If you ask me about these military transparencies, I would tell you my opinion, but certainly not yet or in public.

Let's say, for now, that most of the military I met - and they are many - are honestly trying their best to do what is expected of them.

I can also say that there are several and sometimes profound differences in the way that military from different South American countries work with the UFO Phenomena.

I expect to have a final report later this year, as I finish this round on interviews in Ecuador, Colombia and Argentina.

A. J

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 08:28:55 -0400
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 10:49:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>From: Edward Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 23 Jun 2012 15:42:00 -0700
>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 2 Jun 2012 16:59:18 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>>>From: Edward Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 09:10:44 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy And Philip Mantle

>Kevin, List,

>I sent Bob Shell our exchange of posts on Updates regarding the
>Alien Autopsy and asked him if he'd like to comment on them.
>Below is his reply:

<snip>

Ed,

Do you know when Bob gets out of prison, so that he can actually join in the full AA discussion and take some hard questions on aspects that he may not be aware of?

You know that a lot of discussion has occurred since his arrest and conviction, and his communication has been primarily from those who have some dog in the fight (so to speak). He has not been a part of the discussion, so for me, his filtered memories are little more than that and provide little in the way of evidence.

I had a great amount of communication with Bob, Philip and Michael in the early days of the AA 'investigation', but I didn't retain it for future reference.

My own recollection of some of the aspects that Bob brings up raised questions at the time in my own mind, and some of the more notable statements from 'experts' were later reversed as additional information became available. But the 1996-1999 period was a time of gathering as much information as you could to sift through later to see if it's useful.

Now, a dozen years later it would be necessary to re-interview most of those who gave statements to see if their positions have been altered through additional information.

One problem I had with most of the statements that Bob and others found was the lack of first hand stories, or interviews. A lot of them tended to be a story that someone had heard from a friend or a Doctor he knew, and that would be added to the mix for later follow up. I think the real hope was to find that magic piece of data that could lead to the 'cameraman' and the full story. That, as we know, didn't happen.

If the actual film or cameraman come to light and can be examined and verified, we will have something to discuss further, IMO. Of course, I'd also want to bring Robert Kiviat into the mix as the Producer that brought the "film" to FOX Network. His views have also been very interesting as they have evolved over the years with further investigation.

Good luck in the quest.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 16:22:04 +0100
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 11:58:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 15:18:11 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 14:25:24 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:02:45 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>><snip>

>>Here's Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe, speaking to Stuart Miller:
>>The full interview is republished, by permission, at
www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html

<snip>

>My point was a very basic one: we don't settle the question of
>how life starts by deciding that it starts somewhere other
>than>on our own planet. Where it comes from may indeed be a
>matter of probability, and will remain so right up to the point
>where we can be wholly certain.

<snip>

>Of course, this idea is going to leave a lot of folk decidedly
>uneasy. However, I would hope, Ray, that as a fellow iconoclast,
> >you might find it rather appealing.

<snip>

Hello Gerald,

Right, I agree with all your points and have done for a while,
especially about mainstream `unease' on these matters.
Here's the Profs Hoyle & Wickramasinghe again with in-depth
analysis of the `origin of life'.

[Quotation Begins]

"The outstanding question ... 2000 or more enzymes are crucial
across a wide spectrum of [Earth] life ... the chance of
obtaining the necessary total of 2000 enzymes by randomly
assembling amino acid chains is ... p to 1 against, with p
minimally an enormous superastronomical number equal to
10^{40,000} [1 followed by 40,000 zeros].

The odds we have thus computed are only for the enzymes, and of
course correct arrangements with many other important
macromolecules - histone-4 and cytochrome-c are two such
examples, each with exceedingly small probability of being
obtained by chance.

If all these other relevant molecules for life are also taken account of in our calculation, the situation for conventional biology becomes doubly worse. The odds of one in $10^{40,000}$ against are horrendous enough, but that would have to be increased to a major degree. Such a number exceeds the total number of fundamental particles through the observed Universe by very, very many orders of magnitude.

So great are the odds against life being produced in a purely mechanistic way that the difficulties for an Earthbound, mechanistic biology are in our view intrinsically insuperable." Quotation ends Prof. Sir Fred Hoyle & Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe in 'Cosmic Life-Force'

However, as you hint, mainstream science seems unwilling to examine the question objectively (or is 'warned-off' - see footnote).

So I've collected opinions from some notable scientists - including Francis Crick, Roger Penrose, Lee Smolin, S.J. Gould etc. - who were willing to stick their necks out, at www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html (the page name is irony)

Their views raise some serious questions about Life on Earth, Life Origins Anywhere, and even the structure of the (local?) Universe.

All maybe summed up by James P Hogan:

[Quotation Begins]

"Either these programs which defy human comprehension in their effectiveness and complexity wrote themselves accidentally out of mindless matter acting randomly; or something wrote them for a reason. There is no third alternative."

[Quotation Ends]

James P. Hogan - 'Kicking The Sacred Cow' - 2004

Footnote - Hoyle was famous for his stubborn individualism and honesty (which was why, although he earned two or more Nobel prizes he was denied any, by fuddy-duddy and corrupt establishments. Even the science histories are often censored when reviewing his achievements), and I think Wickramasinghe follows in his footsteps. He has received death threats for discussing science honestly (threats from who? - now there's a question) and both men came under pressure from (shadowy) Gov't or quasi-gov't agencies. See:

www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html#pursuit

So maybe we should be careful where we express doubts on these matters - there seems to be some toxic cans of worms hidden under the 'science consensus'.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 13:50:35 -0400
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 18:25:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 16:22:04 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 15:18:11 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>[Quotation Begins]

>"The outstanding question ... 2000 or more enzymes are crucial
>across a wide spectrum of [Earth] life ... the chance of
>obtaining the necessary total of 2000 enzymes by randomly
>assembling amino acid chains is ... p to 1 against, with p
>minimally an enormous superastronomical number equal to
> $10^{40,000}$ [1 followed by 40,000 zeros].

>The odds we have thus computed are only for the enzymes, and of
>course correct arrangements with many other important
>macromolecules - histone-4 and cytochrome-c are two such
>examples, each with exceedingly small probability of being
>obtained by chance.

>If all these other relevant molecules for life are also taken
>account of in our calculation, the situation for conventional
>biology becomes doubly worse. The odds of one in $10^{40,000}$
>against are horrendous enough, but that would have to be
>increased to a major degree. Such a number exceeds the total
>number of fundamental particles through the observed Universe by
>very, very many orders of magnitude.

>So great are the odds against life being produced in a purely
>mechanistic way that the difficulties for an Earthbound,
>mechanistic biology are in our view intrinsically insuperable."
>Quotation ends Prof. Sir Fred Hoyle & Prof. Chandra
>Wickramasinghe in 'Cosmic Life-Force'

>However, as you hint, mainstream science seems unwilling to
>examine the question objectively (or is 'warned-off' - see
>footnote).

<snip>

Hello Ray, Gerald and List,

If I understand correctly...

Life is fabricated by life, proof being that the conditions for
its occurrence is so improbable. The genetic code would be a
program which allows life to adapt and evolve.

Which begs the observation: who or what created the Makers, and
of what type of life are the Makers made of?

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

Surely, it cannot be our type of life.

Hence, it must be something that is totally strange to us.

In a nutshell: some 'God' created and programmed life on Earth.

The only way this kind of life can evolve is via a program implemented in the genes.

Creationism and evolutionism must be having a field day.

Vincent Boudreau

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 11:22:00 -0700
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 18:28:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 16:22:04 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 15:18:11 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 14:25:24 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:02:45 +0100
>>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>><snip>

>>>>Here's Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe, speaking to Stuart Miller:
>>>>The full interview is republished, by permission, at
>>>>www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html

>>>><snip>

>>>>My point was a very basic one: we don't settle the question of
>>>>how life starts by deciding that it starts somewhere other
>>>>than on our own planet. Where it comes from may indeed be a
>>>>matter of probability, and will remain so right up to the point
>>>>where we can be wholly certain.

>>>><snip>

>>>>Hello Gerald,

>>>>Right, I agree with all your points and have done for a while,
>>>>especially about mainstream `unease' on these matters.
>>>>Here's the Profs Hoyle & Wickramasinghe again with in-depth
>>>>analysis of the `origin of life'.

>>>>[Quotation Begins]

>>>>"The outstanding question ... 2000 or more enzymes are crucial
>>>>across a wide spectrum of [Earth] life ... the chance of
>>>>obtaining the necessary total of 2000 enzymes by randomly
>>>>assembling amino acid chains is ... p to 1 against, with p
>>>>minimally an enormous superastronomical number equal to
>>>> $10^{40,000}$ [1 followed by 40,000 zeros].

>>>>The odds we have thus computed are only for the enzymes, and of
>>>>course correct arrangements with many other important
>>>>macromolecules - histone-4 and cytochrome-c are two such
>>>>examples, each with exceedingly small probability of being
>>>>obtained by chance.

>>>>If all these other relevant molecules for life are also taken

>account of in our calculation, the situation for conventional
>biology becomes doubly worse. The odds of one in $10^{40,000}$
>against are horrendous enough, but that would have to be
>increased to a major degree. Such a number exceeds the total
>number of fundamental particles through the observed Universe by
>very, very many orders of magnitude.

>So great are the odds against life being produced in a purely
>mechanistic way that the difficulties for an Earthbound,
>mechanistic biology are in our view intrinsically insuperable."
>Quotation ends Prof. Sir Fred Hoyle & Prof. Chandra
>Wickramasinghe in 'Cosmic Life-Force'

>However, as you hint, mainstream science seems unwilling to
>examine the question objectively (or is 'warned-off' - see
>footnote).

>So I've collected opinions from some notable scientists -
>including Francis Crick, Roger Penrose, Lee Smolin, S.J. Gould
>etc. - who were willing to stick their necks out, at
>www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html (the page name is irony)

>Their views raise some serious questions about Life on Earth,
>Life Origins Anywhere, and even the structure of the (local?)
>Universe.

>All maybe summed up by James P Hogan:

>[Quotation Begins]

>"Either these programs which defy human comprehension in their
>effectiveness and complexity wrote themselves accidentally out
>of mindless matter acting randomly; or something wrote them for
>a reason. There is no third alternative."

>[Quotation Ends]

>James P. Hogan - 'Kicking The Sacred Cow' - 2004

>So maybe we should be careful where we express doubts on these
>matters - there seems to be some toxic cans of worms hidden
>under the 'science consensus'.

The odds against Ray Dickenson existing are so staggering that
either he does not exist or he is a product of some divine
intelligent design. Consider:

The odds against a RD having parents who would live in the same
time frame in the hundreds of thousands of years of modern human
existence and would ever meet each other amongst all the
millions to billions of other people of Earth: conservatively 1
in 10^{13} .

The odds that even with his given parents that he would end up
with his unique combination of 46 chromosomes, 23 from each
parent: 1 in $2^{23} = 1$ in 8,388,604 = $\sim 1/10^7$.

Therefore the odds against RD existing are about 1 in 10^{20} .
Further, the odds of any of these genetically unique 7 billion
other humans existing concurrently with RD are 1 in
 $10^{20 \times 7}$ billion.

You can keep going with these type of simplistic probability
arguments to "disprove" Ray Dickenson exists or could ever exist
or that he co-exists with me and everybody else on this list,
either that or some intelligence decided we should concurrently
exist. But there is at least one serious logical fallacy in
these arguments. Perhaps I presume the real, existing Ray
Dickenson can tell us what it is.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 11:49:15 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 18:29:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>From: Kentaro Mori <kentaro.mori.nul>
>Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 10:49:12 -0300
>Archived: Sat, 23 Jun 2012 07:59:47 -0400
>Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

>>From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 00:58:09 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Interviewing Peruvian Military UFO Researchers

><snip>

>>I am concerned, however, with events surrounding General
>>Bermudez, the CEFAA and the El Bosque Air Base incident as
>>reported by Leslie Kean. Such concerns include video footage
>>independently located of the specific incident in question and
>>contradicting conclusions Kean reported. Many additional valid
>>concerns, such as a lack of transparency and the failure to
>>produce video and analysis claimed to be on hand, surfaced and
>>were competently summarized any number of places such as UFO-
>>Blog.

>>It would be necessary for parties involved in the El Bosque case
>>to be fully accountable for their yet to be supported claims

>Indeed it's puzzling why the new CEFAA website has published no
>hint of analysis on the El Bosque case besides a translation of
>one of Kean's posts originally published on Huffington Post. On
>their multimedia section, there's also a low quality version of
>just one of the videos - which had been previously publicized.
>One would assume the official website of CEFAA would publicize
>and share original evidence and investigation on such trumpeted
>cases - trumpeted with heavy reference to CEFAA's alleged
>investigations on them.

>Perhaps even more puzzling is that at least one case featured on
>the previous version of the website, and promoted by some as
>"the best UFO photo ever" at the time, cannot be found on the
>new version of CEFAA's website. It's the El Yeso case, of which
>previously CEFAA also only published a translation of NARCAP's
>analysis. The translation of NARCAP's analysis can still be
>accessed directly:

><http://tinyurl.com/6srwtu6>

>But I couldn't find reference to El Yeso on the new website.
>Perhaps this is related to the fact the anomaly has been
>suggested as simply a reflection?

><http://tinyurl.com/7tqkymy>

>Why not publish original evidence and investigation to support
>the claims they themselves have translated from English, and why
>remove reference to content from the previous version - even if
>it was just a translation? I hope CEFAA's official website is
>still to be updated with a lot more relevant material, so there
>may be finally an open and public review of their claims and
>conclusions.

>Kentaro

Your questions are valid, Kentaro. They deserve valid answers, as do several more questions surrounding the actions of the CEFAA and some of its supporters.

It is quite concerning when claims are made publicly and conclusions are reported of absolutely extraordinary circumstances (fantastic, actually), yet promises remain unfulfilled of forthcoming supporting data and analysis. Perhaps even more concerning is the number of people who apparently have no problems with such circumstances and fail to express concern about the ill conceived procedures that resulted in publishing the unsupported conclusions. Such poor procedures are of course conducive to deception and corruption.

Suspensions of judgment and patience pending more information are not appropriate responses when conclusions have been reported; requests for data supporting the conclusions or retractions thereof are in order. I cannot buy-in until it becomes standard protocol to present conclusive evidence when facts are claimed - and that perspective should be universally shared.

I choose to accurately identify differences between facts and opinions, assumptions, belief systems and claims of facts, and so should anyone with a sincere interest in actuality. Opinions, beliefs and even hopes are all well and good but should be properly identified as such - not presented as facts. Much more accountability and objectivity is due from the CEFAA and some of those reporting events surrounding the agency than has yet been the case.

By the way, Kentaro, I have been checking out your blog for quite some time now. I appreciate your work and the example it sets.

Regards,

Jack

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 25](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 19:08:30 -0400
Archived: Mon, 25 Jun 2012 04:34:08 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 16:22:04 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>He has received death threats for discussing science honestly
>(threats from who? - now there's a question)

It's obvious (to me) the criminal elite don't want the advanced
science known to the public. Not a surprise.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 25](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Mon, 25 Jun 2012 00:34:37 +0100
Archived: Mon, 25 Jun 2012 04:37:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 13:50:35 -0400
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 16:22:04 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>If I understand correctly...

>Life is fabricated by life, proof being that the conditions for
>its occurrence is so improbable. The genetic code would be a
>program which allows life to adapt and evolve.

>Which begs the observation: who or what created the Makers, and
>of what type of life are the Makers made of?

>Surely, it cannot be our type of life.

>Hence, it must be something that is totally strange to us.

>In a nutshell: some 'God' created and programmed life on Earth.

>The only way this kind of life can evolve is via a program
>implemented in the genes.

>Creationism and evolutionism must be having a field day.

Hello Vincent,

Think most of your 'conclusions' are jumping the gun a bit. If
you read those expert opinions at:

www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html

you should see that the options, although limited and maybe
including a putative 'creation', also include a more likely
'intervention'.

You might also realize that I express no firm opinion of my own.
That's because I don't feel that we have enough information to
be sure of any conclusion. Even so, and in response to your
closing sentence, I refuse to stop thinking for fear of the
consequences.

To do that would make us like those prelates who refused to look
through Galileo's telescope (at the moons of Saturn) for fear of
seeing something which was forbidden.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
Date: Mon, 25 Jun 2012 13:12:11 -0400
Archived: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 09:15:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 25 Jun 2012 00:34:37 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 13:50:35 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 16:22:04 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>>If I understand correctly...

>>Life is fabricated by life, proof being that the conditions for
>>its occurrence is so improbable. The genetic code would be a
>>program which allows life to adapt and evolve.

>>Which begs the observation: who or what created the Makers, and
>>of what type of life are the Makers made of?

>>Surely, it cannot be our type of life.

>>Hence, it must be something that is totally strange to us.

>>In a nutshell: some 'God' created and programmed life on Earth.

>>The only way this kind of life can evolve is via a program
>>implemented in the genes.

>>Creationism and evolutionism must be having a field day.

<snip>

Hello Ray and List,

<snip>

>Think most of your 'conclusions' are jumping the gun a bit. If
>you read those expert opinions at:

>www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html

>you should see that the options, although limited and maybe
>including a putative 'creation', also include a more likely
>'intervention'.

<snip>

You confuse conclusions and observations based on the
understanding of your discourse.

I your "expert" opinions. Your "experts" say:

"From that POV, depending on your inclinations, you're almost bound to conclude that our Universe is either a 'supernatural creation', or maybe some sort of experiment by advanced beings."

And:

"The history of life is consistent with Darwinian evolution, although life's increasing complexity - including the very recent appearance of modern man - is also consistent with (but not proof of) the possibility of some special creative agent existing."

And:

"I do not believe that any scientist who examined the evidence would fail to draw the inference that the laws of nuclear physics have been deliberately designed with regard to the consequences they produce inside the stars."

And:

"there is a grand design in the Universe that favours the development of intelligent life"

Etc.

So "Life is fabricated by life, proof being that the conditions for its occurrence is so improbable. The genetic code would be a program which allows life to adapt and evolve" seems to sum it up quite succinctly, no?

I may be no expert (and, btw, what do you know about that?) but, if 10^{123} is a bit too much for me, I can still add one to one.

<snip>

>You might also realize that I express no firm opinion of my own.
>That's because I don't feel that we have enough information to
>be sure of any conclusion. Even so, and in response to your
>closing sentence, I refuse to stop thinking for fear of the
>consequences.

>To do that would make us like those prelates who refused to look
>through Galileo's telescope (at the moons of Saturn) for fear of
>seeing something which was forbidden.

<snip>

Your reaction to my observations is surprising.

I note that, in the same sentence, you refuse to express your opinion but you pride yourself in being a deeper thinker than one who does.

In the same time, Cartesian reasoning - e.g. clear understanding of where you're going with your material - is judged by you to be at the same level as the ones you assassinated Galileo.

You evidently did not understand my observations, which started with "If I understand correctly".

There is much to be said about the semantics of your wording, and it would probably start with something about open-mindedness.

Vincent Boudreau

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

Video Shakes Crop Circle Credibility

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 09:21:09 -0400
Archived: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 09:21:09 -0400
Subject: Video Shakes Crop Circle Credibility

Source: Thrive Debunked Blog

<http://thrivedebunked.wordpress.com/tag/blt-research/>

June 20, 2012

Crop Circle Wars! Fake Video Shakes Credibility Of One Of Thrive's Main Sources. (UPDATED!)

This blog, originally published June 20, 2012, was updated June 22. Scroll to the end for the update.

A bizarre little drama is going on right now in the world of crop circles. A fake video designed to bolster belief in the supposed paranormal origin of crop circles has been making the rounds on the Internet, igniting both indignant recriminations and spirited defenses. This matter may seem extraneous to issues involved in Thrive=97until you realize that the fake video controversy directly concerns a website called BLTResearch.com, which is one of the Thrive movie's go-to sources for the crop circle nonsense that appears so prominently in the first part of the film.

Just a brief recap. In Thrive, Foster Gamble makes the assertion that crop circles are made by extraterrestrials visiting Earth, and that these circles contain mathematical, engineering and possibly spiritual messages from the aliens for the benefit of humanity. Specifically, Mr. Gamble claims the aliens are trying to tell us about this "torus" shape, which Thrive says is the answer to all the world's problems because it can give us free energy, if only those evil Global Domination Agenda people would quit meddling with it. Crop circles, therefore, are a key part of Thrive's message.

Crop circles have also proven, much to my surprise, to be the single most controversial subject we've ever covered on Thrive Debunked. To date we've had more comments and more angry buzzing about the debunking of crop circles article than any other in the history of this blog=97more than free energy, more than David Icke, and more than the Global Domination Agenda. Clearly, I struck a nerve; this alone merits revisitation of the issue.

What's the Controversy?

[More at site... thanks to Dave Haith for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:02:53 +0100
Archived: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 09:23:20 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

Hello List,

From those somewhat panicky responses to that thread we can see some people did not bother analysing the information (expert opinions) at www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html but simply reacted in fear and hatred. Here's what they either didn't know or failed to see:

The data appear to show several high improbability areas, raising the questions of

- a) a universal "Creation" (by supernatural figure(s) that science cannot prove or disprove);
- b) an 'intervention' which changed the local (observable) universe to contain stars which generate carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and other life-necessities;
- c) an 'intervention' which directly manipulated complex molecules to 'kick-start organic life on Earth';
- d) an 'intervention' accounting for the mysteriously large differences between homo sapiens and all other primates: both chromosomal and in the fossil record (no 'missing link').

Quick analysis:

- a) We can set aside a) "Creation" - it's purely a matter of assertion and 'faith' (like the 'Big Bang?').
- b) Lee Smolin's odds against life-friendly stars were 10^{229} (1 followed by 229 zeros), but that assumed a homogenous universe; however the concepts of a multiverse and/or of bubble-universes allows us to apply the anthropic principle and say that we are bound to live in a life-friendly bubble or local universe because that's where our kind of organic life can develop.
- c) Hoyle and Wickramasinghe's odds against life-processes were $10^{40,000}$ (1 followed by 40,000 zeros) but that's in a 'one-off' setting; however the 'panspermia' hypothesis (rapidly becoming a solid theory - see updates to www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html) allows us to extend the operating area to the whole of our observable universe and over many billions of years which, although non-computable just now, probably brings those odds down to maybe even to a positive 'certainty'.
- d) We're - maybe - left with the evolutionary gap situation of homo sapiens, which is surrounded by uncertainty - much of it generated by dogmatic (and feuding) scientists, assisted by rumours of 'forbidden' archeology, OOPARTS etc.

IMHO one can get a clearer picture by reading Elaine Morgan's books (starting with 'The Aquatic Ape' 1982), which seem to tie that 'gap' period to Earth, or at least an Earth-like planet with salt seas, shrimps, oysters and other shellfish etc.

Even so, the necessary 'gap' seems much longer than mainstream science has appreciated or is willing to admit to - so some

mystery remains.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

OZ Researcher Paul B. Norman Passes

From: John W. Auchetl <praufo.nul>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 02:41:54 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 12:22:38 -0400
Subject: OZ Researcher Paul B. Norman Passes

Paul B. Norman 22-04-1916 - 23-06-2012

It is with sadness that I wish to inform List members of the passing of Paul Norman at the age of 96. Paul died on Saturday 23rd peacefully; he spent the last years in a nursing home, suffering dementia.

Paul was born in Tennessee, was enlisted in the United States Navy during WW2 and had a long and distinguished naval career which included active service in the Pacific. It was through that period of his life that Paul discovered Australia and New Zealand.

His interest in UFOs came when he first saw a UFO in November 1953. Paul was the superintendent of a power station in Tennessee and one night one came right over the station. He noted that he "thought it had to be a night-flying helicopter but there was no sound at all. It approached the power station at helicopter speed, stopped overhead for about half a minute and sped away fast and silently".

In 1963 he left the United States to live in Australia where he married and established a family in Timboon and Arcadia.

He could be relatively candid about issues and "didn't suffer some Ufologists gladly" especially those with egos and beliefs that pushed their standing/position within the subject above the research. He had a good sense of humor and a cheeky play on words when someone stepped on his toes; two of his most used lines was "Malfunction Junction" and "Armchair Ufologist". He believed that the important investigation into the UFO would be damaged if researcher's had "less get up and go and suffered from their over bloated egos". Paul was also frequently highly critical of the Government policy on the UFO phenomenon, but understood that their actions whilst on the surface was disruptive and silent, people within were human and through that he was able to make valuable connections and source material not generally available to the public, even today. He was simply trusted by authority and that was due to his honest, hard-nosed, diligent and ceaseless energy. Paul appeared in many UFO documentaries and was frequently quoted on the subject in the media.

I had a very close working association with Paul from the late 1970's to the early 1990's. During this period we travelled together to many locations within Australia and researched hundreds of cases. He was an easy man to work with and loved to travel, frequenting airports, police stations, news editors and DoD establishments. Frequently staying in hostels, he questioned just about everyone on the UFO subject hoping to get another lead. Where I was inundated with technical equipment, he trusted his note pad, tape recorder, camera and business card to do the work, amazingly with great success. We worked on some of the best cases in the world, but three that strike me were the unexplained disappearance on 21 October 1978 of 20-year-old Frederick Valentich while piloting a Cessna 182L light aircraft over Bass Strait in Australia. The famous Quentin Fogarty NZ UFO footage, recorded on board an Argosy freight airplane over

December 1978 and the January 20th 1988 Knowles family car encounter whilst travelling across the Nullarbor Plain. The work Paul put into these cases was amazing tribute to his drive and it was a great pleasure working with him during these landmark events. However, in truth this list is much longer. Paul had heart valves replaced back in the 1980 and he amazed me on how well he recovered to get back researching at a frantic pace. On many occasions after that we would arrive in some location for the night and while we discussed all things that day, he would consume, against the Doctors orders, two litres of full cream milk. He had the 'constitution of an Ox'.

Paul had many friends around the world and visited just about everyone at some stage over the years. I just want to list a few people Paul spoke fondly of and had a close relationship with; Coral & Jim Lorenzen, Walt Andrus, Lou Farish, Derek Dempster, Gordon Creighton, Allen Hynek (I should note that Paul was still very suspicious of Allen, but saw him as a "Born Again Ufologist"), Betty Hill was a favorite and he stayed with her on many occasions, Lord Hill Norton and James McDonald. Paul was a key organizer in the visit to Australia of Dr James Edward McDonald in 1967 and then Dr. J. Allen Hynek in 1973. His Australian friends are too numerous to mention, many VUFORS committee members were very close to Paul, but his greatest friend and long term working colleague was Judith MaGee.

As a longtime member of FSR and MUFON, Paul was very proud of MUFON and spoke fondly of its efforts; he occasionally spoke at their conferences. He has also been for many years, the MUFON area representative for our State of Victoria. But his great love was the Victorian U.F.O. Research Society (VUFORS) and its members. Paul served in many capacities but principally as the Vice President and Researcher. And his connection with members through his "On the UFO Trails" travel logs and reports in the VUFORS publications were important to Paul. I taxed him about writing a book, using his many notes and logs, as he had many ideas and stories to tell, and at one stage we sat down and did a number of interviews and drafted a concept, but he was not eager to push his own story as it distracted people from the main game. As I noted above he had a wicked sense of humor and on one occasion attended a committee meeting with an Einstein mask on. On another occasion while returning to the US to visit his sister in Concord, California, he found himself stuck in immigration, officially listed as "Deceased"; it became obvious that someone or some group was playing games with his profile. By the time that was sorted out he opened his luggage at his sisters only to find that it was well and truly picked over by the authorities. Where most would find this an upsetting form of Governments intrusion, Paul wore it with pride. In his rich American voice he told me "John=E2=80=A6 I am affecting someone in high places and that's a good sign they care about the subject". He took a lot of pleasure from this event.

A constant welcomed overnight guest in our home, while we planned our research, he was always respectful and non-intrusive. Paul communicated with me over the years after I departed VUFORS. However, time took its toll and toward the last 10 years unfortunately I sadly lost 'one to one' contact with him.

Paul was a great friend to me and ufology and an esteemed colleague who was well-liked by many. Paul will be remembered as a great and important Ufologist and for his valiant pursuit to find answers to the UFO enigma and will never be forgotten in Australian Ufology. Paul will be remembered, as he was an extraordinary man who lived an extraordinary life. It's hard to say one can truly and deeply know a person, but it easier to say, that I am glad our life paths did meet. Our thought's and sympathy go to the family at this time.

Paul will be sadly missed.

John W. Auchettl
Phenomena Research Australia

<http://www.legacy.com/guestbook/heraldsun-au/guestbook.aspx?n=3Dpaul-norman&pid=3D158219793&cid=3Dfull>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 14:25:35 -0400
Archived: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 17:01:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:02:53 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>>From those somewhat panicky responses to that thread we can see
>some people did not bother analysing the information (expert
>opinions) at www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html but simply
>reacted in fear and hatred. Here's what they either didn't know
>or failed to see:

>The data appear to show several high improbability areas,
>raising the questions of

<snip>

Hello Ray and List,

Before this gets out of hand, I would like to point out that you
have completely misinterpreted my observations.

I understand that we know dzit about the Universe: Cosmology
looks more like a religion than anything else... Dark matter has
been invented to make our views fit within the red shift
paradigm, while the red shift paradigm itself is highly
debatable.

But the Cosmologists ignores this and onto the Big Bang and Dark
Matter and now Dark Energy, each parroting the other.

As for life itself, Evolution is a given, but when you start to
look closely at the whys and the whats, the idea of the genetic
code being a program is not so far-fetched and it begs the
question: who or what made the program? That idea may seem
heretic, but if you look into it, we are actually toying with the
human genome in 2012. We are also trying to create new forms of
life with viruses and bacteria. What will we be able to do in
two hundred years?

I wasn't trying to make fun of you or your opinions.

I was just trying to make fun of the established paradigms of a
"Science" pretending it has it all figured out, and, to do so,
keeps ignoring the data.

Sorry for not being clear enough with my sarcasm.

I think you reacted to my post in a defensive manner, afraid of
being branded as a creationist or a cartoonist, or whatever.

Branding is not my cup of tea.

Sorry for the inconvenience.

Vincent Boudreau

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 11:46:19 -0700
Archived: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 17:28:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:02:53 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>b) an 'intervention' which changed the local (observable)
>universe to contain stars which generate carbon, nitrogen,
>oxygen and other life-necessities;

>c) an 'intervention' which directly manipulated complex
>molecules to 'kick-start organic life on Earth';

>Quick analysis:

>b) Lee Smolin's odds against life-friendly stars were 10^{229} (1
>followed by 229 zeros), but that assumed a homogenous universe;
>however the concepts of a multiverse and/or of bubble-universes
>allows us to apply the anthropic principle and say that we are
>bound to live in a life-friendly bubble or local universe
>because that's where our kind of organic life can develop.

No different than the "Goldilocks" reason for why Earth is
"just-right" to harbor life. Divine intervention is not needed
to explain it. The reason is if enough people play the lottery,
somebody will inevitably win the lottery, even if the odds are
hugely against it. Earth is a lottery winner. Out of the
godzillion planets out there amongst a godzillion earth-like
stars, there are going to be lottery winners that are just the
right size at just the right distance from just the right star,
etc.

So our Universe may be another lottery winner in the theoretical
Multiverse. If the odds against life-friendly stars were truly 1
in 10^{229} , but there are roughly 10^{450} different type of
universes predicted by string/membrane theory, that is still a
helluva lot of universes ($\sim 10^{220}$) which would have the right-
type stars.

The law of large numbers can make seemingly staggeringly
improbable things a virtual certainty, such as somebody winning
the lottery. And somebody will also win the lottery after that,
and the next one, etc., etc.

What is truly staggeringly improbable would be the SAME person
winning the lottery every time, which brings us to the basic
logical flaw in the next argument.

>c) Hoyle and Wickramasinghe's odds against life-processes were
> $10^{40,000}$ (1 followed by 40,000 zeros) but that's in a 'one-off'
>setting; however the 'panspermia' hypothesis (rapidly becoming a
>solid theory - see updates to
>www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html) allows us to extend
>the operating area to the whole of our observable universe and
>over many billions of years which, although non-computable just
>now, probably brings those odds down to maybe even to a positive
>'certainty'.

In a previous post, I asked what the fundamental logical flaw in
Hoyle/Wickramasinghe's argument was? Like the same person

always winning the lottery, it is the assumption that there is only one unique way that life can arise. I compared it to the argument saying that the genetically unique Ray Dickenson could not possibly exist with all the other unique people on Earth at this time. The odds against this happening are staggeringly small. But that is assuming only one possible outcome. If it hadn't been Ray and me and everybody else, it would have been another group of genetically unique individuals.

Similarly, nobody knows how many combinations of enzymes there might be, besides the ones that did give rise to life here, that could also give rise to life. There are probably a staggeringly huge number of possibilities. We only see the particular one that led to us, just like by chance the unique genetic combination of Ray Dickenson is here against all odds. But there are a huge number of genetic combinations that will create a human being.

The H/W argument of high improbability would only apply if one asked what are the odds of two Ray Dickenson's randomly arising at the same time, or everybody being genetically identical to RD, just like the same person winning the lottery every time. That would not be chance but would require deliberate intervention. Somebody was rigging the lottery, or somebody was deliberately cloning Ray Dickenson.

There are other logical flaws in the H/W argument, such as the assumption of total randomness, neglecting such things as the "guiding hand" of evolution by natural selection, which includes chemical evolution. Not all outcomes are equally likely. Certain chemical processes in certain environments of temperature, pressure, pH, etc., are favored over others.

Also there is the principle of spontaneous self-assembly which is seen over and over again in biochemical systems. E.g., the double lipid (fat) membrane of a cell membrane spontaneously arises through dumb chemical forces. Take the same soup of lipids in water and shake them up like a salad dressing and they will spontaneously form innumerable simple proto membranes, simply because it is thermodynamically favorable that this happen. This is easy to do in a lab (or your kitchen) and the little sacs created even have a name--liposomes. Your emulsified salad dressing is full of them.

If there are various random proteins in the salad dressing, a number of them will inevitably get trapped inside the liposomes, and even demonstrate some simple enzymatic activity of a fully living cell. These aren't truly living cells, in that they can't do necessary things that a living cell can do, like reproduction, but it gives you a basic idea of how simple cell-like processes could easily arise without some intelligent intervention or against all odds that millions of lipids could "randomly" assemble into a cell membrane with enzymatic proteins inside. No, it happens inevitably and spontaneously through chemical forces.

Now imagine on a proto-Earth a godzillion such liposome-like sacs over hundreds of million of years, and one finally hitting on the right combination of enzymes, plus maybe RNA/DNA inside the sac, to be self-sustaining, maybe even reproducing itself through simple mitosis. It would quickly come to dominate (natural selection) over its competition. Again, we have the law of large numbers at play: a huge number of systems acting over a tremendous amount of time. These are the basic ingredients for the spontaneous creation of life and evolution of same.

This doesn't rule out panspermia, but just argues that panspermia is not required. Also it doesn't lead you back to the inevitable question that if life was truly so damn improbable, then how could it ever arise anywhere in our universe to even get panspermia going? (Hoyle's 1 in 10^{40} ,000 is so staggeringly small, he is basically arguing absolute impossibility against life arising anywhere at any time in our Universe by chance.)

Hoyle was an astrophysicist, not a biochemist or molecular biologist. His arguments against the improbability of spontaneous creation of life are based on flawed logic and lack of understanding of life processes. He was arguing outside of his field of expertise. Of course, he was also making this

argument about 60 years ago, before the modern revolution in the life sciences that started with Watson and Crick's elucidation of the structure of DNA in the early 1950s. Since then a tremendous amount has been learned about the molecular basis of life that were previously dark mysteries.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

Re: OZ Researcher Paul B. Norman Passes

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 15:51:28 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 17:31:06 -0400
Subject: Re: OZ Researcher Paul B. Norman Passes

>From: John W. Auchettl <praufu.nul>
>To: post.nul>
>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 02:41:54 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: OZ Researcher Paul B. Norman Passes

>Paul B. Norman 22-04-1916 - 23-06-2012

>It is with sadness that I wish to inform List members of the
>passing of Paul Norman at the age of 96. Paul died on Saturday
>23rd peacefully; he spent the last years in a nursing home,
>suffering dementia.

>Paul was born in Tennessee, was enlisted in the United States
>Navy during WW2 and had a long and distinguished naval career
>which included active service in the Pacific. It was through
>that period of his life that Paul discovered Australia and New
>Zealand.

>His interest in UFOs came when he first saw a UFO in November
>1953. Paul was the superintendent of a power station in
>Tennessee and one night one came right over the station. He
>noted that he "thought it had to be a night-flying helicopter
>but there was no sound at all. It approached the power station
>at helicopter speed, stopped overhead for about half a minute
>and sped away fast and silently".

>In 1963 he left the United States to live in Australia where he
>married and established a family in Timboon and Arcadia.

<snip>

>I had a very close working association with Paul from the late
>1970's to the early 1990's. During this period we travelled
>together to many locations within Australia and researched
>hundreds of cases. He was an easy man to work with and loved to
>travel, frequenting airports, police stations, news editors and
>DoD establishments. Frequently staying in hostels, he questioned
>just about everyone on the UFO subject hoping to get another
>lead. Where I was inundated with technical equipment, he trusted
>his note pad, tape recorder, camera and business card to do the
>work, amazingly with great success. We worked on some of the
>best cases in the world, but three that strike me were the
>unexplained disappearance on 21 October 1978 of 20-year-old
>Frederick Valentich while piloting a Cessna 182L light aircraft
>over Bass Strait in Australia. The famous Quentin Fogarty NZ UFO
>footage, recorded on board an Argosy freight airplane over
>December 1978 and the January 20th 1988 Knowles family car
>encounter whilst travelling across the Nullarbor Plain. The work
>Paul put into these cases was amazing tribute to his drive and
>it was a great pleasure working with him during these landmark
>events. However, in truth this list is much longer. Paul had

I knew Paul as a member of the original NICAP. It was because of
Paul that "an American" rather than an Australian was
"commissioned" to investigate the then- internationally famous
New Zealand multiple witness-radar- color movie (Quentin
Fogarty) film case of Dec 31, 1978.

As the story goes, the TV station (then channel 0) that owned the film and publicized it as the first genuine UFO film suffered immediate worldwide criticism for making that claim without an investigation. Channel 0 was accused of faking the whole sighting. IN response to the severe criticism the Channel owners/operators announced that they would support an investigation. Then they realized they had no idea whom to call upon for an investigation. So they turned to Paul Norman for a recommendation. Paul recommended that they take the film to NICAP, headquartered in Washington DC, because "they have the best equipment."

The film was taken early Sunday morning, Dec, 31, 1978. Sunday and Monday news casts worldwide were discussing the sightings and film (even Walter Cronkite) During the afternoon of the following Thursday, Jack Acuff, NICAP director called "an American" and said, "They are bringing the New Zealand Film here to NICAP. Do you want to see it?" Taking about 4 microseconds to make a studied decision, the answer was "yes".

The American? Me.

I had the original film 8 days after it was taken and that began a several year-long investigation.

I suspect that this was a fortunate choice that never would have occurred without Paul's suggestion. This NZ case still, after some 33 years is still the only sighting that has been "argued out" in the refereed technical press.

See:

http://www.brumac.8k.com/NEW_ZEALAND/NZSB.html

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 27](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 21:44:11 -0300
Archived: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 06:16:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 11:46:19 -0700
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:02:53 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>b) an 'intervention' which changed the local (observable)
>>universe to contain stars which generate carbon, nitrogen,
>>oxygen and other life-necessities;

>>c) an 'intervention' which directly manipulated complex
>>molecules to 'kick-start organic life on Earth';

>>Quick analysis:

>>b) Lee Smolin's odds against life-friendly stars were 10^{229} (1
>>followed by 229 zeros), but that assumed a homogenous universe;
>>however the concepts of a multiverse and/or of bubble-universes
>>allows us to apply the anthropic principle and say that we are
>>bound to live in a life-friendly bubble or local universe
>>because that's where our kind of organic life can develop.

>No different than the "Goldilocks" reason for why Earth is
>"just-right" to harbor life. Divine intervention is not needed
>to explain it. The reason is if enough people play the lottery,
>somebody will inevitably win the lottery, even if the odds are
>hugely against it. Earth is a lottery winner. Out of the
>godzillion planets out there amongst a godzillion earth-like
>stars, there are going to be lottery winners that are just the
>right size at just the right distance from just the right star,
>etc.

>So our Universe may be another lottery winner in the theoretical
>Multiverse. If the odds against life-friendly stars were truly 1
>in 10^{229} , but there are roughly 10^{450} different type of
>universes predicted by string/membrane theory, that is still a
>helluva lot of universes ($\sim 10^{220}$) which would have the right-
>type stars.

>The law of large numbers can make seemingly staggeringly
>improbable things a virtual certainty, such as somebody winning
>the lottery. And somebody will also win the lottery after that,
>and the next one, etc., etc.

>What is truly staggeringly improbable would be the SAME person
>winning the lottery every time, which brings us to the basic
>logical flaw in the next argument.

>>c) Hoyle and Wickramasinghe's odds against life-processes were
>> $10^{40,000}$ (1 followed by 40,000 zeros) but that's in a 'one-off'
>>setting; however the 'panspermia' hypothesis (rapidly becoming a
>>solid theory - see updates to
>>www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html) allows us to extend
>>the operating area to the whole of our observable universe and
>>over many billions of years which, although non-computable just

>>now, probably brings those odds down to maybe even to a positive
>>'certainty'.

>In a previous post, I asked what the fundamental logical flaw in
>Hoyle/Wickramasinghe's argument was? Like the same person
>always winning the lottery, it is the assumption that there is
>only one unique way that life can arise. I compared it to the
>argument saying that the genetically unique Ray Dickenson could
>not possibly exist with all the other unique people on Earth at
>this time. The odds against this happening are staggeringly
>small. But that is assuming only one possible outcome. If it
>hadn't been Ray and me and everybody else, it would have been
>another group of genetically unique individuals.

>Similarly, nobody knows how many combinations of enzymes there
>might be, besides the ones that did give rise to life here, that
>could also give rise to life. There are probably a staggeringly
>huge number of possibilities. We only see the particular one
>that led to us, just like by chance the unique genetic
>combination of Ray Dickenson is here against all odds. But
>there are a huge number of genetic combinations that will create
>a human being.

>The H/W argument of high improbability would only apply if one
>asked what are the odds of two Ray Dickenson's randomly arising
>at the same time, or everybody being genetically identical to
>RD, just like the same person winning the lottery every time.
>That would not be chance but would require deliberate
>intervention. Somebody was rigging the lottery, or somebody was
>deliberately cloning Ray Dickenson.

>There are other logical flaws in the H/W argument, such as the
>assumption of total randomness, neglecting such things as the
>"guiding hand" of evolution by natural selection, which includes
>chemical evolution. Not all outcomes are equally likely.
>Certain chemical processes in certain environments of
>temperature, pressure, pH, etc., are favored over others.

>Also there is the principle of spontaneous self-assembly which
>is seen over and over again in biochemical systems. E.g., the
>double lipid (fat) membrane of a cell membrane spontaneously
>arises through dumb chemical forces. Take the same soup of
>lipids in water and shake them up like a salad dressing and they
>will spontaneously form innumerable simple proto membranes,
>simply because it is thermodynamically favorable that this
>happen. This is easy to do in a lab (or your kitchen) and the
>little sacs created even have a name--liposomes. Your
>emulsified salad dressing is full of them.

>If there are various random proteins in the salad dressing, a
>number of them will inevitably get trapped inside the liposomes,
>and even demonstrate some simple enzymatic activity of a fully
>living cell. These aren't truly living living cells, in that
>they can't do necessary things that a living cell can do, like
>reproduction, but it gives you a basic idea of how simple cell-
>like processes could easily arise without some intelligent
>intervention or against all odds that millions of lipids could
>"randomly" assemble into a cell membrane with enzymatic proteins
>inside. No, it happens inevitably and spontaneously through
>chemical forces.

>Now imagine on a proto-Earth a godzillion such liposome-like
>sacs over hundreds of million of years, and one finally hitting
>on the right combination of enzymes, plus maybe RNA/DNA inside
>the sac, to be self-sustaining, maybe even reproducing itself
>through simple mitosis. It would quickly come to dominate
>(natural selection) over its competition. Again, we have the
>law of large numbers at play: a huge number of systems acting
>over a tremendous amount of time. These are the basic
>ingredients for the spontaneous creation of life and evolution
>of same.

>This doesn't rule out panspermia, but just argues that
>panspermia is not required. Also it doesn't lead you back to
>the inevitable question that if life was truly so damn
>improbable, then how could it ever arise anywhere in our
>universe to even get panspermia going? (Hoyle's 1 in $10^{40,000}$
>is so staggeringly small, he is basically arguing absolute
>impossibility against life arising anywhere at any time in our
>Universe by chance.)

>Hoyle was an astrophysicist, not a biochemist or molecular
>biologist. His arguments against the improbability of
>spontaneous creation of life are based on flawed logic and lack
>of understanding of life processes. He was arguing outside of
>his field of expertise. Of course, he was also making this
>argument about 60 years ago, before the modern revolution in the
>life sciences that started with Watson and Crick's elucidation
>of the structure of DNA in the early 1950s. Since then a
>tremendous amount has been learned about the molecular basis of
>life that were previously dark mysteries.

Outstanding posting, David... I would like to add another
possible ingredient to the mix.

Two of the major processes for the distribution of intelligent
life on earth are colonization and migration. As soon as one
opens the door to interstellar travel, I am sure that will
happen in the local neighborhoods.

We only noted nuclear fusion as the energy source of the stars
within my lifetime. First big demonstration was in 1952. Every
advanced civilization will be aware of the need to find a safe
haven after asteroid collision etc.

In our neighborhood there are other solar systems much closer to
each other than the sun is to the nearest star. My favorite pair
Zeta and Zeta 2 Reticuli are less than an eighth of a light year
apart from each other besides a billion years older than the
Sun. Just 39.3 light years from here.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 27](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 10:57:47 +0100
Archived: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 06:19:31 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 14:25:35 -0400
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:02:53 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>>The data appear to show several high improbability areas,
>>raising the questions of
><snip>

>Hello Ray and List,

>Before this gets out of hand, I would like to point out that you
>have completely misinterpreted my observations.
<snip>

Hi Vincent,

Thanks for your message, but it really wasn't necessary. With so many interesting and mysterious things in the universe, thinking people shouldn't be worrying about personal stuff.

Anyway, as regulars could testify, I don't take offence at correspondence - and, maybe because I'm bad with names, also don't get into 'flame wars' - see next.

But, if any words sound abrasive, that's to discourage BS and disinfo trolls (although the professional trolls - many in science and ufology - deserve only exposure with 'extreme prejudice' - see www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.html).

Which brings us back to some interesting facts:

that Wickramasinghe received death threats for agreeing to talk publicly about physics, interplanetary life/evolution and cosmology;

that the police almost certainly lied when claiming those threats were 'untraceable' (if a corrupt politician gets a death threat it's traced the same day);

that Gov't or quasi-gov't agencies, powerful enough to create 'problems' (admin? funding? physical? life-threatening?) even resorted to direct pressure in trying to silence Hoyle and Wickramasinghe.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 27](#)

A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 08:09:07 -0400
Archived: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 08:09:07 -0400
Subject: A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs

Source: USA Today

<http://tinyurl.com/7nxcmbj>

26-06-12

A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs, Would Befriend Aliens
By Natalie DiBlasio

Aliens often compete with superheroes, vampires and zombies to top hit movie lists - but more than a third of Americans say UFOs are not a fantasy.

That's the word from a new National Geographic Channel study finding that 80 million Americans are certain UFOs exist and that one in 10 believe they've spotted one.

"We wanted the pulse on people's opinions", says Brad Dancer, senior vice president of research and digital media for National Geographic. "We wanted to get a sense of how Americans view UFOs, what people believe and how mainstream pop culture may or may not be playing into their opinions on it."

The results are in: Seventeen percent of Americans don't believe UFOs exist, 36% think they do, and 48% aren't sure.

The survey was commissioned for National Geographic Channel's series Chasing UFOs, premiering Friday at 9 p.m. ET.

About 79% of those surveyed think the government has kept information about UFOs a secret from the public, and 55% believe there are real-life Men in Black-style agents who threaten people who spot UFOs.

So what if they stopped by? The survey shows:

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 28](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 09:51:05 -0700
Archived: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 08:20:49 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 10:57:47 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 14:25:35 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:02:53 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>see www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.html).

A very interesting and thought-provoking interview of Wickramasinghe with Stuart Miller.

>Which brings us back to some interesting facts:

>that Wickramasinghe received death threats for agreeing to talk
>publicly about physics, interplanetary life/evolution and
>cosmology;

>that the police almost certainly lied when claiming those
>threats were 'untraceable' (if a corrupt politician gets a death
>threat it's traced the same day);

>that Gov't or quasi-gov't agencies, powerful enough to create
>'problems' (admin? funding? physical? life-threatening?) even
>resorted to direct pressure in trying to silence Hoyle and
>Wickramasinghe.

Wickramasinghe said he received threats. Is there any real evidence that some "Gov't or quasi-gov't agencies, powerful enough to create 'problems'" was really behind it? Why would they even bother? Is the mere idea of panspermia really that threatening to the "powers that be"?

More likely it was some crazy, rigid, sociopathic individual with strongly held views who took personal offense at Wickramasinghe's theories of panspermia. These people can be incredibly hostile and nasty and I've had run-ins with a few such crazies myself - not calling me on the phone and harrassing or threatening me, but very personal attacks in emails and public forums. Such mentally ill people are unfortunately quite common.

As for inability to trace, something like calls from pay phones or mail with no return address would do it . Try tracing those back to some individual.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 28](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 13:53:48 -0400
Archived: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 08:21:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 16:22:04 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 20 Jun 2012 15:18:11 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2012 14:25:24 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2012 22:02:45 +0100
>>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>><snip>

>>>>Here's Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe, speaking to Stuart Miller:
>>>>The full interview is republished, by permission, at
>>>>www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html

>>>><snip>

>>>>My point was a very basic one: we don't settle the question of
>>>>how life starts by deciding that it starts somewhere other
>>>>than on our own planet. Where it comes from may indeed be a
>>>>matter of probability, and will remain so right up to the point
>>>>where we can be wholly certain.

I just ran across the mind-blowing work of William Brown, part of
which is at

http://williambrownscienceoflife.com/?page_id=3D201

It is relevant to this discussion. The following extract is a
taste.

[Start Quote]

This means that the cellular system would have had to convert
from an RNA-based replication process to a DNA-based RNA-protein
complex replicative mechanism in an astonishingly short interval
of time -- considering the staggering amount of serendipitous
random mutations that would be necessitated to do so. Of course
this biomolecular evolution would be greatly facilitated, and
possibly necessitated, by an intelligently directed design process.

It is therefore quite possible, and logical, that the first
organisms arrived on Earth with this replicative machinery intact
and fully functional. Whereby there would have been an initial
development of life that involved several billions of years to
develop the machinery needed to switch to a DNA-based system and
to decode that information to form proteins. In this way the

evolution of life would parallel the evolution of the Universe itself. In that prebiotic processes began shortly after enough heavy nuclei were produced to form organic compounds in the nebulae from which solar systems and planetary bodies coalesce.

[End Quote]

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 28](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 09:01:02 -0500
Archived: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 12:31:28 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 09:51:05 -0700
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 27 Jun 2012 10:57:47 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>From: Vincent Boudreau <vincentboudreau.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 14:25:35 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>>Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:02:53 +0100
>>>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

><snip>

>>see www.perceptions.couk.com/warn.html).

>A very interesting and thought-provoking interview of
>Wickramasinghe with Stuart Miller.

>>Which brings us back to some interesting facts:

>>that Wickramasinghe received death threats for agreeing to talk
>>publicly about physics, interplanetary life/evolution and
>>cosmology;

>>that the police almost certainly lied when claiming those
>>threats were 'untraceable' (if a corrupt politician gets a death
>>threat it's traced the same day);

>>that Gov't or quasi-gov't agencies, powerful enough to create
>>'problems' (admin? funding? physical? life-threatening?) even
>>resorted to direct pressure in trying to silence Hoyle and
>>Wickramasinghe.

>Wickramasinghe said he received threats. Is there any real
>evidence that some "Gov't or quasi-gov't agencies, powerful
>enough to create 'problems'" was really behind it? Why would
>they even bother? Is the mere idea of panspermia really that
>threatening to the "powers that be"?

Exactly.

>More likely it was some crazy, rigid, sociopathic individual
>with strongly held views who took personal offense at
>Wickramasinghe's theories of panspermia. These people can be
>incredibly hostile and nasty and I've had run-ins with a few
>such crazies myself - not calling me on the phone and harrassing
>or threatening me, but very personal attacks in emails and
>public forums. Such mentally ill people are unfortunately quite
>common.

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

Of course it gives our ideas so much more credibility if we link those who threaten us to the gummin't than to the real source, the mentally ill and the sociopathic in the population.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 28](#)

CIA Vet Claims Roswell As ET Crash

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 12:48:31 -0400
Archived: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 12:48:31 -0400
Subject: CIA Vet Claims Roswell As ET Crash

Source: YouTube.Com

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yLsw66_lTrs

28-12-12

Chase Brandon CIA Veteran On Coast to Coast "Roswell Happened"!

Charles 'Chase' Brandon is a thirty-five year veteran of the CIA.

For twenty-five years he served in the Agency's elite Clandestine Service as an undercover, covert operations officer carrying out foreign assignments involving international terrorism, counterinsurgency, global narcotics trafficking and weapons smuggling.

He operated under a range of official and private sector covers, sometimes using alias names and physical disguises, and often collaborating with special operations components of foreign military, security or law enforcement components abroad.

During intermittent assignments at headquarters, he was also an Agency foreign political affairs analyst, Presidential briefer, and an instructor in tactical paramilitary and espionage tradecraft disciplines at secret CIA training camps.

[Thanks to Grant Cameron for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

Re: A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs

From: Chris Rutkowski <canadianuforeport.nul>
Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 12:31:55 -0500
Archived: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 06:13:21 -0400
Subject: Re: A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs

>Source: USA Today

><http://tinyurl.com/7nxcmbj>

>26-06-12

>A Third Of Earthlings Believe In UFOs, Would Befriend Aliens
>By Natalie DiBlasio

The news coverage this is getting is tremendous.

However, the articles don't actually point to a source for the survey or poll itself. And the NatGeo site(s) don't provide the info.

The results as reported aren't useful without knowing how the questions were worded. The Kelton website doesn't give that info, either.

Anyone know where the actual survey can be found?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

Re: CIA Vet Claims Roswell As ET Crash

From: Robert Powell <rpowell.nul>
Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 12:42:06 -0500
Archived: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 06:16:13 -0400
Subject: Re: CIA Vet Claims Roswell As ET Crash

>Source: YouTube.Com

>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yLsw66_lTrs

>28-12-12

>Chase Brandon CIA Veteran On Coast to Coast "Roswell Happened"!

>---

>Charles 'Chase' Brandon is a thirty-five year veteran of the
>CIA.

>For twenty-five years he served in the Agency's elite
>Clandestine Service as an undercover, covert operations officer
>carrying out foreign assignments involving international
>terrorism, counterinsurgency, global narcotics trafficking and
>weapons smuggling.

>He operated under a range of official and private sector covers,
>sometimes using alias names and physical disguises, and often
>collaborating with special operations components of foreign
>military, security or law enforcement components abroad.

>During intermittent assignments at headquarters, he was also an
>Agency foreign political affairs analyst, Presidential briefer,
>and an instructor in tactical paramilitary and espionage
>tradecraft disciplines at secret CIA training camps.

I listened to Brandon's talk on the Coast to Coast archive. He seems realistic in his knowledge of the CIA and he talks intelligently. He claims that he saw documents that convince him that the remains of the aliens and the craft exists. He saw these in one of many many remote boxes in some government warehouse. (I wondered how he so quickly found the Roswell box.)

I understand how old knowledge may often get filed away and forgotten as time goes by. I think much of the history of UFOs has met that fate. However, I have a hard time believing that if there were aliens and hardware from the Roswell crash that it would just be forgotten. That is harder to believe than believing the crash actually happened.

The guy may have been in the CIA but he did not convince me that he really knows that aliens bodies and artifacts were recovered from Roswell. My gut feel is that he is doing this to sell his book, which he admits is a science fiction book. He says the CIA approved his book and I think that is because it is a science fiction book. I would have thought more of his claim if he had written a factual book that proved what he knew about Roswell.

Brandon sees the alien artifacts that have supposedly been forgotten to history and he does nothing to raise the issue with anyone?

Hmm. Hard for me to believe.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: **Albert Baier** <albertgbaier.nul>
Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 13:47:03 -0500
Archived: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 06:21:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>Source: digg.com

><http://tinyurl.com/84jmvk6>

>Jun 14, 2012

>Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?
>By Annalee Newitz

Ray, List,

The 'spontaneous creation' theories go back a long way.

IMO, they are a rebellion against the very powerful churches,
and the clergy, who claimed exclusive knowledge of the Creator.

It's not so surprising, considering the state of Religion back
then. The quandary for 'science' is, having rejected 'creation',
it has only 'random chance' left as an alternative.

The modern theory of evolution depends on random mutations, yet
mutations are seldom beneficial to an organism, and, again,
there's no way to prove or disprove the theory. The subject of
probability was well covered in previous posts. It's not
impossible for anything to happen, it's only impossible based
on current understanding.

Personally, I tend to lean away from the 'random chance'
theories, since I don't believe the extraordinarily complex
machinery of a single cell could arise spontaneously. The role
of energy is paramount in living systems, and there has been
little research in this area. Energy is the key to understanding
how living systems arise. Creation is merely a property of the
Universe, any 'intelligence' we see there is our own
imagination. No God required.

Albert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 20:19:49 +0100
Archived: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 07:33:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

Hello List

Many of us have seen this behaviour in UFO circles and even more often in politics: when someone can't face the facts of a case or an argument they simply rewrite it to be easier to criticise and then pretend that was the original case or argument.

That's euphemistically known as a 'Straw Man' tactic. Plain-speaking folk, like me, call it lying.

Here's three facts gleaned from an interview conducted by Stuart Miller (first two reported in the Press at the time iirc)

- i) Professor Wickramasinghe and his family received death threats, to make him "keep quiet"
- ii) Police claimed those threats were untraceable
- iii) at least one Gov't or quasi-Gov't 'agency' - which could create "further problems" - pressurized Professor Wickramasinghe to "keep quiet"

That last fact is in this snippet from the interview at:

www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html)

[Quotation Begins]

SM: - Nothing from a government source? No shadowy figure has stepped forth and said, "Could you stop this?"

CW: - It's hard to answer that question. I think there were some.

SM: - You do?

CW: - Yes. Maybe I shouldn't answer this question because it could lead to further problems.

SM: - Professor, I am most grateful for your time.

[Quotation Ends]

I would heartily recommend to anyone who doesn't want to (inadvertently?) tell lies, that they thoroughly read material before commenting on it. Those who don't care will carry on doing what they do best - lying.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

Predictable Nat Geo Anti-UFO 'Documentary'

From: Dave Haith <visionsl.nul>
Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 08:41:28 +0100
Archived: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 08:04:12 -0400
Subject: Predictable Nat Geo Anti-UFO 'Documentary'

FYI
Dave

From: ufohastings.nul
To: ufohastings.nul
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2012 7:39 AM
Subject: Predictable Nat Geo 'Documentary' aired tonight

All,

Nat Geo just ran one of its new UFO shows, The Truth Behind UFOs: Popped. (The other one, Chasing UFOs, which is getting almost all of the publicity, airs tomorrow evening on the network.)

Tonight's show was one of the most blatant exercises in anti-UFO propaganda that I have ever seen. Actually, it's almost identical to previous 'documentaries' offered by Nat Geo, which is why Bob Salas, Charles Halt and I have declined to participate in anything the network produces, despite being asked to do so by various people on several occasions over the last year.

If Nat Geo's mission is not covertly directed by the folks at CIA headquarters, the network is certainly making the spooks very happy. Those of you who have not read journalist Terry Hansen's out-of-print book, The Missing Times: News Media Complicity in the UFO Cover-up, you need to!

While Nat Geo is not a news network per se, the agency has used entertainment organizations over the years, domestically and internationally, to serve its ends. Some of that subterfuge was exposed in the U.S. Senate's 1975 Church Committee hearings, some by Carl Bernstein (of Watergate fame) and others. I have been promoting Hansen's book for a decade. It is excellent and should have received far more attention than it did after publication in 2000.

In short, the CIA's anti-UFO campaign, first recommended in their now-declassified 1953 Robertson Panel report, is undoubtedly alive and well. Proving Nat Geo's complicity is another matter. Perhaps Hansen will get back in the saddle and do a second edition of his book. No pressure, Terry.

Bob and Hank, tonight's UFO program, and others recently or soon-to-be aired, drive home the point that we need to have total control over our film, even if it takes awhile longer to fund and produce. I am now convinced that pitching the project to any network would be a major mistake. We need to have a finished film ready for release, with no objectionable content or conditions being mandated by some outside producer or executive. I strongly recommend that we have a strategy session once Bob gets back from vacation.

Robert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 13:47:03 +0100
Archived: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 11:34:28 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Albert Baier <albertgbaier.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 13:47:03 -0500
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

<snip>

>Personally, I tend to lean away from the 'random chance'
>theories, since I don't believe the extraordinarily complex
>machinery of a single cell could arise spontaneously. The role
>of energy is paramount in living systems, and there has been
>little research in this area. Energy is the key to understanding
>how living systems arise. Creation is merely a property of the
>Universe, any 'intelligence' we see there is our own
>imagination. No God required.

Hello Albert,

Yes, am inclined to agree with your line of thought - and other folk were thinking that way too. Here's some quotes, in no particular order

"there is a grand design in the Universe that favours the development of intelligent life" --John D. Barrow & Frank J. Tipler in 'The Anthropic Cosmological Principle'

"To my way of thinking, there is still something mysterious about evolution, with its apparent 'groping' towards some future purpose. Things at least seem to organize themselves somewhat better than they 'ought' to, just on the basis of blind-chance evolution and natural selection." -- Roger Penrose - 'The Emperor's New Mind' - 1989

"in brief, classical Darwinism is no longer considered valid by qualified biologists" -- Norman Macbeth (p. 5 of 'Darwin Retried' 1971)

"An honest man, armed with all the knowledge available to us now, could only state that, in some sense, the origin of life appears at the moment to be almost a miracle." -- Francis Crick - p. 88 'Life Itself, Its Origin and Nature' (1981)

"The Darwinian theory is wrong and the continued adherence to it is an impediment to discovering the correct evolutionary theory" --Fred Hoyle - 'Mathematics of Evolution' 1987

"we must therefore admit the possibility that, if we are not the highest intelligences in the universe, some higher intelligence may have directed the process by which the human race was developed, by means of more subtle agencies than we are acquainted with" --A R Wallace

Those thoughts, on evolution, seem to follow your reasoning.

With regard to the (local?) structure of the universe - and the problems of matter, mass and inertia - Planck was quite explicit:

"All matter originates and exists only by virtue of a force which brings the particle of an atom to vibration and holds this most minute solar system of the atom together. We must assume behind this force the existence of a conscious and intelligent mind. ..." -- Max Planck - Das Wesen der Materie [The Nature of Matter], speech at Florence, Italy (1944)

The data, some mainstream some more advanced, tend to make me side with Planck, he might indeed be right. Only I'd maybe, when thinking about it, put that in the plural - as "conscious and intelligent minds".

Cheers Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 07:45:17 -0500
Archived: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 11:36:08 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 28 Jun 2012 20:19:49 +0100
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>Hello List

>Many of us have seen this behaviour in UFO circles and even more
>often in politics: when someone can't face the facts of a case
>or an argument they simply rewrite it to be easier to criticise
>and then pretend that was the original case or argument.

>That's euphemistically known as a 'Straw Man' tactic. Plain-
>speaking folk, like me, call it lying.

>Here's three facts gleaned from an interview conducted by Stuart
>Miller (first two reported in the Press at the time iirc)

>i) Professor Wickramasinghe and his family received death
>threats, to make him "keep quiet"

>ii) Police claimed those threats were untraceable

>iii) at least one Gov't or quasi-Gov't 'agency' - which could
>create "further problems" - pressurized Professor Wickramasinghe
>to "keep quiet"

>That last fact is in this snippet from the interview at:

>www.perceptions.couk.com/panspermia.html)

>[Quotation Begins]

>SM: - Nothing from a government source? No shadowy figure has
>stepped forth and said, "Could you stop this?"

>CW: - It's hard to answer that question. I think there were
>some.

>SM: - You do?

>CW: - Yes. Maybe I shouldn't answer this question because it
>could lead to further problems.

>SM: - Professor, I am most grateful for your time.

>[Quotation Ends]

>I would heartily recommend to anyone who doesn't want to
>(inadvertently?) tell lies, that they thoroughly read material
>before commenting on it. Those who don't care will carry on
>doing what they do best - lying.

I must say I am underwhelmed. CW's words are so vague as to be
meaningless. Happily, the "threats," whatever they were about,
clearly weren't serious enough to intimidate him into silence
when it comes to discussing his theories.

As an aside, I can imagine that he didn't make his colleagues

very happy when he agreed to testify on the side of creationists at a trial in Arkansas. Interestingly, the subject of intimidation comes up in the context of that discussion.

In any event, unless CW opens up, discussion of particulars is impossible, leaving those so inclined to continue to speculate to their paranoid hearts' delight. For myself, I am disinclined to believe that the British government delivers threats to its native scientists via anonymous phone messages. Sociopaths do, however.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 30](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 12:37:36 -0400
Archived: Sat, 30 Jun 2012 11:06:50 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 07:45:17 -0500
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>to their paranoid hearts' delight. For myself, I am disinclined
>to believe that the British government delivers threats to its
>native scientists via anonymous phone messages. Sociopaths do,
>however.

I suggest that the course of geopolitics over just the last ten
years shows that the two categories are not mutually exclusive.
'Sociopathic government' seems to account for much of it.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 30](#)

Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sat, 30 Jun 2012 10:10:22 -0500
Archived: Sat, 30 Jun 2012 21:45:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 12:37:36 -0400
>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2012 07:45:17 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Could Aliens Have Created Life On Earth?

>>to their paranoid hearts' delight. For myself, I am disinclined
>>to believe that the British government delivers threats to its
>>native scientists via anonymous phone messages. Sociopaths do,
>>however.

>I suggest that the course of geopolitics over just the last ten
>years shows that the two categories are not mutually exclusive.
>'Sociopathic government' seems to account for much of it.

Sadly true.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2012](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 30](#)

Open Mic Night At The UFO Trail

From: Jack Brewer <brewer.jack.nul>
Date: Sat, 30 Jun 2012 19:06:19 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Sat, 30 Jun 2012 21:51:08 -0400
Subject: Open Mic Night At The UFO Trail

[Jack Brewer's Blog]

"Emails were recently sent from The UFO Trail to some 30 or so members of the UFO community. Recipients were asked to provide statements to be quoted and explaining what they thought would be the most constructive directions for ufology.

"Over half of those contacted responded, representing a quite diverse sample of individuals, life experience and resulting points of view. Comments were provided by experiencers, scientists, investigators and bloggers, among additional and multiple demographics that could be used to describe each of them. Following is what they had to say:"

View the full post at:

<http://tinyurl.com/7224dmc>

Regards,

Jack Brewer
www.ufotrail.blogspot.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com