

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > Jun

UFO UpDates Mailing List Jun 2013

Jun 1:

[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Davidf Rudiak [70]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Jason Gammon [26]
[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - David Rudiak [152]
[UFO Photographed Over Historic Edgemoor Barn](#) - Terry W. Colvin [8]

Jun 2:

[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - Jason Gammon [16]
[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - Jerome Clark [41]
[ICBM Launch Officer Capt. David Schuur Passes](#) - Robert Hastings [190]
[Is Our Universe Merely One Of Billions?](#) - Ray Dickenson [34]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Eleanor White [17]
[Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast](#) - Kathleen Marden [58]

Jun 3:

[Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast](#) - Ray Dickenson [33]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Jason Gammon [94]
[Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast](#) - Jason Gammon [83]
[Marden & Stoner On The Paracast](#) - Eleanor White [37]
[Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [166]

Jun 4:

[Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast](#) - Jason Gammon [38]
[The Importance Of BCI In Alien Technology](#) - Jason Gammon [79]
[UFO Sightings Have Doubled In Canada](#) - Robert Hastings [17]
[Erling Strand?](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [10]

Jun 5:

[Sturrock/Vallee Sidereal Time Debate](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [224]

Jun 7:

[Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article](#) - David Biedny [88]
[New Hessdalen Lights Paper](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [44]
[Seven States Report 265 May Cases](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [31]

Jun 8:

[Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article](#) - Gene Steinberg [13]
[Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article](#) - David Biedny [83]
[Gatwick AIRPROX 30DEC12 0823](#) - Dave Haith [29]

Jun 9:

[Leslie Kean's Conference](#) - Jerome Clark [17]
[Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article](#) - Michael Tarbell [17]

Jun 12:

[Re: Leslie Kean's Conference](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [15]
[Are These the Faces Of Our Far-Future Descendents?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [30]

Jun 13:

[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Ray Dickenson [59]

Jun 14:

[New Zealand UFO Docs - Searchable PDFs](#) - Isaac Koi [86]
[Australian UFO PDF Files - Second Batch](#) - Isaac Koi [43]
[UFO UpDates PDF Archive](#) - Isaac Koi [15]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Jason Gammon [39]
[Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless](#) - Ray Dickenson [18]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Eleanor White [15]

Jun 15:

[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - William Treurniet [19]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Albert Baier [38]
[Results Of 10 Years Research By Denocla](#) - Denis R. Denocla [30]
[The Onion On 'Alien' Planet](#) - Diana Cammack [8]

Jun 16:

[Re: Pareidolia](#) - William Treurniet [15]
[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - William Treurniet [32]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Ray Dickenson [30]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Ray Dickenson [51]
[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - William Treurniet [38]
[Scattered Not Unified](#) - Dave Emmons [31]
[Paradigm Shift In Physics \[was: Kathleen Marden On](#) - Albert Baier [43]

Jun 17:

[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Kathleen Brand [18]
[Re: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless](#) - Terrence Brown [37]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jerome Clark [29]

Jun 18:

[Re: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless](#) - Ray Dickenson [16]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - William Treurniet [36]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [58]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Steve Sawyer [33]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Gerald O'Connell [15]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jerome Clark [12]
[Company To Send "Hello!" Tweets To ETs](#) - Terry W. Colvin [33]

Jun 19:

[Our Need For Sharing](#) - Dave Emmons [38]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [29]
[Black Holes Don't Exist \[was: Re: Kathleen Marden](#) - Jason Gammon [47]
[Chinese Verson Of Jonathan Reed Hoax](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [29]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - William Treurniet [15]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Ray Dickenson [80]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Don Ledger [22]

Jun 20:

[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [26]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [52]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Paul Hamden [30]

Jun 21:

[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - William Treurniet [38]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Michael Hughes [45]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Edward Gehrman [24]
[Successor To Arcturus Books Open For Business](#) - Rich Heiden [83]
[Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files](#) - Joe McGonagle [16]
[UFO Today Mag On MoD 'Final' File Release](#) - Philip Mantle [64]

Jun 22:

[Re: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files](#) - Don Ledger [13]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [46]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [41]
[Sightings Trebled In 2009 As MoD Axed X Files](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [24]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - William Treurniet [25]
[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - Jason Gammon boyinthemachine@aol.com [51]

Jun 23:

[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Gerald O'Connell [21]

[Re: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files](#) - Ray Dickenson [39]
[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - William Treurniet [62]
[Re: Sightings Trebled In 2009 As MoD Axed X Files](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [13]
[UFO Magazine To Publish John Ford Issue](#) - John Ford Initiative [44]
[The End Of Fake UFO Photos?](#) - Dave Haith [15]

Jun 24:

[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - Jason Gammon [47]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [17]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [15]

Jun 25:

[Another Thought On Boyd Bushman Experiment](#) - Eleanor White [32]

Jun 26:

[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - William Treurniet [23]
[Les Rencontres Ufologiques](#) - Ray Dickenson [18]

Jun 27:

[An Interview With Gordon Creighton](#) - Ray Dickenson [32]
[MoD Release To Deflect Public Interest](#) - Robert Hastings [20]
[Re: Scattered Not Unified](#) - Jason Gammon [32]

Jun 29:

[E-Mail Addresses?](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [13]

Jun 30:

[Binding Force Detector By Bill Beaty?](#) - Eleanor White [17]
[1971 Costa Rican Photo Is Prosaic Object](#) - Ray Stanford [312]
[Klass' Infamous Theory About Valentich Incident](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [72]
[Re: Binding Force Detector By Bill Beaty?](#) - William Treurniet [18]

Jun 24:

[This company will be a memorable stock](#) - jdobrickdd@sbcglobal.net [7]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Davidf Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 13:51:41 -0700
Archived: Sat, 01 Jun 2013 04:33:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 12:34:12 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Kathleen Marde <kmarden.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 09:42:26 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>"Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable
>from magic." --Arthur C. Clarke

>So therefore, if the following are true then they are a result
>of technology.

>1. Alien telepathy = technology

>2. Alien walking through walls, transporting people through
>"solid matter" = technology

>3. Alien/UFO invisibility = technology

>4. Alien mind control, warping of human perceptions =
>technology

>5. Alien/UFO interference with machinery, power-loss, etc.,
>= technology

>There's no need to throw "Western science", as you put it, out
>the window. It only appears like magic but it's technology.
>Anytime someone talks about a naturally-evolved ability they
>are unknowingly discussing magic.

So, Jason, if I were to say that all our sophisticated
perceptual and human cognitive abilities like sight, hearing,
logical/deductive reasoning, mathematics, language, music, art,
etc., etc. are the result of a naturally evolved brain then I am
unknowingly discussing "magic"? Now you are sounding like a
creationist.

Did these abilities evolve or were they artificially and
deliberately created by an unknown intelligence, therefore
possibly the result of some technological intervention? By
logical extension of your argument, you seem to be claiming the
latter since we currently don't fully understand how a complex
brain like this is organized or how it evolved. The same is true
for something like a single living cell. Contemporary science
has gained a lot of insight into how it might have come about,
but we still don't know all the steps. Maybe we will never know.
That doesn't mean somebody who says we still don't understand is
guilty of "woo-woo" stuff or claiming it is magical.

>Anytime someone talks about
>science being wrong, not just our current comprehension, but
>science being wrong, they are unknowingly discussing magic.

But science has often been wrong, because scientific knowledge
is always incomplete. Science evolves with new knowledge There

are innumerable examples. People who challenged the scientific paradigms of their day were not discussing "magic" but pointing out observed anomalies that didn't fit into contemporary theory. Thus Newtonian gravity is not "wrong", but it is an incomplete description of gravity that breaks down when pushed to extremes. So does general relativity that replaced it, which doesn't work in the quantum realm.

>Because if it does not fall into the category of science then
>it's by definition supernatural, magic.

You are contradicting yourself Jason. On the one hand, you are arguing that "they" have technology that we don't comprehend, therefore possibly outside current scientific understanding, which by your just stated definition making it supernatural or magic, but on the other hand you are arguing that it will someday be understood by science (a hidden assumption), therefore it isn't magic, but if somebody says it isn't understood now then you say they are guilty of believing in magic, and around and around we go.

This came up when you were arguing that alien telepathy must be a technology, when I said maybe it was, or maybe it was a naturally evolved ability, perhaps enhanced by technology, just as our own natural abilities such as sight or mathematical reasoning can be enhanced by technology.

I would probably be skeptical of telepathy/ESP myself except that I've had too many spontaneous experiences of my own where I have known of something unique and highly unusual happening in my near future that came true and other experiences, like a strong impression of viewing something unusual a mile away which turned out to be true. In more modern parlance, these would fall under remote viewing, which was extensively studied by Puthoff and Targ. Their remote viewers did things that shouldn't be possible under current scientific understanding, like locating a crashed plane in the African jungle or a person kidnapped by terrorists. That's needle in a haystack stuff and hard to write off simply to lucky guesses.

I doubt technology has anything to do with this, it is a natural ability, picking up some sort of very weak signal out of the noise. Some people are better at it. Apparently remote viewing can be improved with training. It may be somewhat within our own science, perhaps the result of quantum non-locality and a multidimensional universe or multiverse where our sensory abilities are not totally confined to the 4D space-time universe of our day-to-day experience.

This doesn't mean it can't be augmented by technology, which I suspect might be the case, like the difference between a radio receiver and picking up radio stations on a tooth filling.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 16:56:21 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 01 Jun 2013 08:14:46 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Kathleen Marden <Kmarden.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 15:14:36 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Kathleen Marden <Kmarden.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 09:42:26 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 20:31:29 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>In addition to what I wrote earlier today, I want to add that it
>is apparent you haven't bothered to read my bibliography for
>"The Alien Abduction Files". Had you read it, you'd have seen my
>four references for David Jacob's books. The bibliography is
>readily available at Amazon's site. As my friend and colleague
>Stanton Friedman would say, "Why bother me with the facts. My
>mind is made up". If you're going to express criticism of
>someone's work, you should do it with facts in hand.

Ms. Marden,

I was not criticizing your book as I have not read it. I was referring to your appearance on Coast To Coast on May 29, where you discuss among other things, the astral plane, astral projection, "raising one's vibrations", and make multiple anti-science statements.

This is not an attack on you as I have been interested in your prior work.

I think you have much to offer to this field but I do not think anything you do will be productive or add to our knowledge of the phenomenon if you continue in your current path.

To be the most effective and to further our knowledge you must, in my opinion, return to science and avoid the black holes of the New-Age nonsense. If you feel you are not the expert on technology, especially the types of technology that might be behind telepathy, mind control, and even movement though 'solid matter', then by all means seek out and consult with those who are.

Can you imagine a book based solely on the potential technology used by the visitors? For example, we are at the primitive stages of technological telepathy. You also might want to focus more on the cyborg aspect of the craft as you mentioned on the show. You might also want to ponder the beings being cyborgs as well. Just some suggestions.

Please be cautious with what abductees tell you.

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 19:58:49 -0700
Archived: Sat, 01 Jun 2013 08:15:04 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Wed, 29 May 2013 08:47:40 -0500
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 12:07:18 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 01:05:30 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>>Does anyone have more info on Grant Cameron's views on the
>>>'mental effects' with regard to UFOs?

For a recent lecture by Grant on exactly this topic see:

<http://tinyurl.com/n56yjbv>

Grant talks about his own experiences about 80 minutes into the lecture when he and others were chasing UFO "ground lights" in Manitoba during 1975-76 during the big flap there. After weeks or months of trying to get closer and failing, Grant came to the conclusion that the lights always seemed to be one step ahead of them, anticipating their every move as if they were having their minds read, though Grant never said he received any sort of telepathic message.

This is hardly unique. For example in the 1976 Tehran UFO intercept case, the UFO seemed to anticipate the pilots' intentions. When they armed their weapons system to fire, the UFO shut them down.

Grant also mentions the Skinwalker Ranch experience where the whatever again always seemed to be one step ahead of the scientists there, seemingly knowing their intentions.

(I've also heard Grant mention this before, but he notes how back in 1975-76, they tried to communicate with flashlights and the UFOs would respond by blinking or moving. In private, Grant has told me that because of his own experience in this, he believes Steven Grier he claims to get UFOs to respond to flashlight or laser beam signals.)

>>I don't know Grant's personal views on how it might work, but he >>does bring it up with regards to the Wilbert Smith Nov. 21, 1950 >>memo. Besides saying the saucers are real, classified higher >>than the H-bomb, and a small group under Dr. Vannevar Bush were >>looking into their "modus operandi", also brings up the subject >>"mental phenomena" being investigated. Smith would also >>sometimes bring up being in mental contact with the "boys >>topside".

>>Another "mental case" that Grant has looked into was that of >>Mrs. Francis Swan, a 1950s contactee, who said she was in mental >>contact with an alien named AFFA. I helped Grant dig up some

>>obscure information on this, such as the 1954 orbiting satellite
>>story that broke in the news in August 1954.

The AFFA story begins about 40 minutes into the lecture. Go about 49 minutes into the lecture, and Grant talks about Betty Hill's connection to this. Hill lived less than a mile from Frances Swan, was put in contact with Swan by Adm. Herbert Knowles who knew Swan well (they were neighbors also) and had sent her automatic writing connection to AFFA up the intelligence chain of command (which eventually ended up on Eisenhower's desk). When Hill tried to talk to Swan about her abduction experience, the very religious Swan refused to talk to her when Hill described the greys, saying they were the "evil ones" whereas AFFA was one of the blond Nordics and one of the good ones. Swan threw her out of the house.

Decades later (not in the lecture), Grant told me he also spoke to Mrs. Swan and she was still calling the greys the evil ones and AFFA one of the good ones. Grant told me she also said that she tried to warn the CIA guy not to try to establish mental contact with the evil ones, but that is another story. (Allegedly the guy couldn't get them out of his head and went half crazy.)

Wilbert Smith also claimed to be in telepathic connection with AFFA and was receiving information on how to build an experimental device to test antigravity propulsion. Smith also said he was able to communicate with ordinary radio.

Yes, this is also very weird to me as well, but Grant is probably the authority on Wilbert Smith, having all his known papers and talked to his family and associates, and is a serious researcher who thinks out of the box and goes places I'm afraid to touch because I don't have any idea on how to approach the material. It also gives me the creeps. But it is certainly interesting to think about.

>Mrs. Swan's psychic contacts gave rise to a fantastic legend
>about UFOs over CIA headquarters. The legend, which David
>mentions, isn't true (or, more accurately, is wildly
>exaggerated). Nor is there any reason to believe Swan's aliens
>existed outside her head.

But according to Grant Cameron, Smith, the Canadian government, the CIA, and the Office of Naval Intelligence (Adm. Knowles connection) took Swan and AFFA very seriously and were trying to establish communication with him, even have him land at a Canadian base. Paul Hellyer, when he was Defence Minister back then, had a hand in this.

It is also true that Swan was independently saying AFFA was telling her that they were in two large orbiting satellites (this was early 1954). This eventually ended up on Eisenhower's desk.

Totally unknown to her, astronomers Clyde Tombaugh and Dr. Lincoln La Paz were engaged in a telescopic search for "natural" earth satellites funded by the Army. In May 1954, Donald Keyhoe was saying his Pentagon sources were telling him they were successful in their search and had indeed discovered one or two such satellites. Keyhoe in his books went further, saying the Tombaugh search was triggered by new long-range radar picking up these satellites in orbit. Tombaugh in Hynek's 1952 astronomer survey, told Hynek that his telescopes were at the disposal of the military to search for UFOs, so Tombaugh being involved in such a search makes perfect sense.

As I mentioned before, the orbiting satellite story broke in the mainstream media in August 1954, being first published in Aviation Week Magazine. Then newspapers like the NY Times jumped in, the usually UFO-debunking Times getting a source close to the project to state that the story of the satellites was true, though denying any flying saucer connection.

I have articles that post-date this where the orbiting satellites story kept coming up. Popular Mechanics ran two stories the following year, probably disinformation, claiming they had sources saying that the U.S. had secretly orbited two such satellites. Of course, this was nonsense as the first U.S. satellite wasn't until 1958 after the two first Soviet Sputniks of late 1957.

Another interesting story from June 1955 came from conservative columnists Stewart and Joseph Alsop, who first criticized the Eisenhower administration for lagging behind the Soviets in satellite development. In the course of this, they mentioned the two rumored satellites from the year before. In subsequent columns, they related how Eisenhower's National Security Advisor was so infuriated by this column that he forbade two members of the NSC staff from having any social contacts with the Alsops. The Alsops complained about Cutler's heavy-handed methods and censorship of the media.

Hmmm, is this the same Robert Cutler of the MJ-12 Cutler/Twining memo of July 1954? Indeed it is. And if you think about it, two large alien satellites in orbit might very well trigger an emergency meeting of the NSC with an MJ-12 type control group.

My point is, how did Mrs. Swan know anything about two orbiting satellites before anything was said publicly? Was it a lucky guess from somebody who only thought she was in mental contact with an alien named AFFA? Why would the very unsophisticated Swan even be thinking about artificial satellites in early 1954? These were hardly household topics back then. As those of us who lived through those times remember, it wasn't until Sputnik in 1957 that satellites really entered public consciousness in a big way.

>Still, it's one of the more colorful episodes from the early >saucer contactee era. There is a comprehensive account of the >episode (along with a separate treatment of Wilbert Smith's >involvement with space communicants) in my UFO Encyclopedia, if >anyone wants to know more.

I haven't read Jerry's treatment, but I don't know if he has gone into as much depth on this as Grant Cameron concerning Smith, Swan, the CIA, and all the rest. Smith certainly does mention in his 1950 memo that the U.S. government thought the "mental phenomena" associated with UFOs was important, and Grant speculates why that would be, perhaps related to the stories of the surviving Roswell alien communicating telepathically.

I spoke to Frankie Rowe last year in Roswell, Rowe being the daughter of Roswell fireman Dan Dwyer, who had allegedly gone out to the crash site and seen the dead aliens and one live one. Rowe told me point blank, as she has others, that when her father came home he spoke of being in mental contact with the living alien. Grant brings up the Dan Dwyer story, as he does the the Marion "Mac" Macgruder story of his War College class being taken to Wright-Patterson to see the live Roswell alien and being in mental contact with it. That may be why Smith was told that the government considered the "mental phenomena" so important. They had a telepathic alien in their custody.

Grant also speculates that this may be related to the MK-Ultra mind control program that started up only a few months after Smith's 1950 memo. Unknown to me, this was a joint program of the CIA, UK, and Canada, who had their first meeting at McGill University in Montreal, not far from where Smith lived.

And CIA officer Chase Brandon also figures into Grant's lecture. As you may remember, Brandon last year made headlines when he claimed to stumble on the "Roswell box" at CIA headquarters and saw enough in it to understand that Roswell was quite real. But what Grant is really interested in is how Brandon speaks of "phenomenology", which he says is CIA spook talk for everything paranormal, with UFOs, remote viewing, ghosts, etc. all being lumped together, leading Grant to believe the CIA believes there is some deep connection between all of these.

Dr. Eric Walker, connected to Vannevar Bush, MJ-12, the U.S. Research and Development Board, and Dr. Robert Sarbacher, Wilbert Smith's primary informant in 1950 and who outed Walker as being the guy to talk to, also brought up the subject of ESP in one interview, saying that unless you had this skill you would never be part of the UFO control group, which was now international.

So yes, weird stuff, but Grant is always interesting and provocative in his lectures. So even if you don't buy any of it, it is still well worth your while to watch.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 1](#)

UFO Photographed Over Historic Edgemoor Barn

From: **Terry W. Colvin** <fortean1.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Jun 2013 12:06:46 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
Archived: Sat, 01 Jun 2013 08:15:37 -0400
Subject: UFO Photographed Over Historic Edgemoor Barn

San Diego UFO Photographed Over Historical Edgemoor Barn

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/05/23/san-diego-ufo_n_3322134.html

Terry W. Colvin
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand
http://tlc-brotherhood.net/TLCB_Forum.html (guests welcome)
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Jun 2013 12:33:24 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sun, 02 Jun 2013 06:15:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 19:58:49 -0700
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'
<snip>

>Grant also speculates that this may be related to the MK-Ultra
>mind control program that started up only a few months after
>Smith's 1950 memo. Unknown to me, this was a joint program of
>the CIA, UK, and Canada, who had their first meeting at McGill
>University in Montreal, not far from where Smith lived.

<snip>

Yes, I've thought the same thing myself. Well, I speculated that
the motivation for MKULTRA was in response to the telepathy, the
warping of perceptions, and 'mind control' of the visitors. Too
bad the majority of the documents were destroyed. It makes one
wonder just what information may have been included in those
files.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Jun 2013 12:41:02 -0500
Archived: Sun, 02 Jun 2013 06:18:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 19:58:49 -0700
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Wed, 29 May 2013 08:47:40 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 12:07:18 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 01:05:30 -0400 (EDT)
>>>>Subject: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>>>Does anyone have more info on Grant Cameron's views on the
>>>>'mental effects' with regard to UFOs?

>For a recent lecture by Grant on exactly this topic see:

><http://tinyurl.com/n56yjbv>

>>>Another "mental case" that Grant has looked into was that of
>>>Mrs. Francis Swan, a 1950s contactee, who said she was in mental
>>>contact with an alien named AFFA. I helped Grant dig up some
>>>obscure information on this, such as the 1954 orbiting satellite
>>>story that broke in the news in August 1954.

>The AFFA story begins about 40 minutes into the lecture. Go
>about 49 minutes into the lecture, and Grant talks about Betty
>Hill's connection to this. Hill lived less than a mile from
>Frances Swan, was put in contact with Swan by Adm. Herbert
>Knowles who knew Swan well (they were neighbors also) and had
>sent her automatic writing connection to AFFA up the
>intelligence chain of command (which eventually ended up on
>Eisenhower's desk). When Hill tried to talk to Swan about her
>abduction experience, the very religious Swan refused to talk to
>her when Hill described the greys, saying they were the "evil
>ones" whereas AFFA was one of the blond Nordics and one of the
>good ones. Swan threw her out of the house.

>Decades later (not in the lecture), Grant told me he also spoke
>to Mrs. Swan and she was still calling the greys the evil ones
>and AFFA one of the good ones. Grant told me she also said that
>she tried to warn the CIA guy not to try to establish mental
>contact with the evil ones, but that is another story.
>(Allegedly the guy couldn't get them out of his head and went
>half crazy.)

>Wilbert Smith also claimed to be in telepathic connection with
>AFFA and was receiving information on how to build an
>experimental device to test antigravity propulsion. Smith also
>said he was able to communicate with ordinary radio.

>Yes, this is also very weird to me as well, but Grant is
>probably the authority on Wilbert Smith, having all his known
>papers and talked to his family and associates, and is a serious
>researcher who thinks out of the box and goes places I'm afraid
>to touch because I don't have any idea on how to approach the
>material. It also gives me the creeps. But it is certainly
>interesting to think about.

>>Mrs. Swan's psychic contacts gave rise to a fantastic legend
>>about UFOs over CIA headquarters. The legend, which David
>>mentions, isn't true (or, more accurately, is wildly
>>exaggerated). Nor is there any reason to believe Swan's aliens
>>existed outside her head.

>But according to Grant Cameron, Smith, the Canadian government,
>the CIA, and the Office of Naval Intelligence (Adm. Knowles
>connection) took Swan and AFFA very seriously and were trying to
>establish communication with him, even have him land at a
>Canadian base. Paul Hellyer, when he was Defence Minister back
>then, had a hand in this.

>It is also true that Swan was independently saying AFFA was
>telling her that they were in two large orbiting satellites
>(this was early 1954). This eventually ended up on Eisenhower's
>desk.

Thanks for getting me to watch the whole lecture, David. I found
it quite interesting, though I harbor many reservations. I will,
however, wait to read Grant Cameron's promised book on Wilbert
Smith for a fuller account of his views and the chain of
evidence he applies to get there.

I will, however, say that while I certainly respect his research
into Smith's life, experience, and belief, I do claim superior
knowledge of alleged psychic contacts with ETs simply because
I've spent a lot of time studying the subject's history. I
detect no evidence of Cameron's awareness of the matter, which
has a rich history in 19th-C. occultism and was going on into
the 20th Century even as the flying-saucer age was beginning
(e.g., Ole Sneide). Suffice it to say that such history does not
afford anyone confidence in the veracity of such communications,
however sincere the channeler may be (and I doubt that anyone
would question Smith's integrity in these matters). For details,
see the middle chapters of my 2010 book Hidden Realms.

In Smith's case, if one is to take the stories literally, one is
forced to believe in - as one who embraced the 1800s-era
communications would have been - the existence of Martians. It
should be noted, by the way, that the alleged ET "Affa" shows up
first in George Hunt Williamson's writings, along with a whole
lot of other space people with exotic names.

I am perfectly able to accept that UFOs are real and that many
strange things happen. The real problem is that people's
analyses of these puzzling, confusing phenomena are askew. (As
is Cameron's grammar; he repeatedly confuses "phenomena"
[plural] with "phenomenon" [singular]. As an editor I am sure
that one day the broad mangling of these two will drive me
completely around the bend.)

Anyway, I look forward to reading Cameron's book and hope it
appears in the reasonably near future. I'm sure it will be most
fascinating.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

ICBM Launch Officer Capt. David Schuur Passes

From: Robert Hastings <ufohastings.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Jun 2013 14:02:14 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sun, 02 Jun 2013 06:27:34 -0400
Subject: ICBM Launch Officer Capt. David Schuur Passes

All,

I just received the news that retired USAF Captain David Schuur passed away yesterday.

[He had leukemia and died at Johns Hopkins Hospital, yesterday at 4:30 PM with wife, Judy and daughter, Belinda.]

In 2007, he told me that a UFO had temporarily activated most of his Minuteman missiles at Minot AFB in 1966. See my book's "Launch in Progress" chapter, attached.

God bless you, David, for speaking the truth.

Robert
ufohastings.nul

The following excerpt comes from Robert Hastings' book UFOs and Nukes: Extraordinary Encounters at Nuclear Weapons Sites

Copyright 2008 Robert L. Hastings. All Rights Reserved.

~ 14 ~

Launch in Progress!

Of all the interviews I've conducted with former or retired ICBM launch officers over the past three decades, this was perhaps the most disturbing. According to the source, David H. Schuur, a UFO once activated the launch sequence in most of his Minuteman missiles.

In August 2007, Schuur told me, "I saw your request for information in the [June 2007] Association of Air Force Missileers Newsletter. I was involved in a UFO incident at Minot AFB in the mid-1960s. I had read your earlier article [in the September 2002 AAFM Newsletter] but was hesitant to respond." I asked Schuur why he had been hesitant. He replied, "Well, we were basically told, way back when, that it was classified information and, you know, it didn't happen and don't discuss it. I guess I was still operating on that idea when I saw your first article."

Schuur had obviously had a change of heart. He continued, "Anyway, I was a Minuteman missile crewmember in the 455th/91st Strategic Missile Wing at Minot from December 1963 through November 1967. I was a 1st Lieutenant during that period and the deputy commander that night. Since the incident occurred some 40 years ago, my memories are a bit foggy but, based on who my commander was at the time, I would say it occurred between July 1965 and July 1967."

I asked Schuur if he could narrow the time-frame during which the incident occurred, by associating it with another event. He

replied, "Not really, but my sense is that the incident occurred toward the end of my duty in the [missile] field, so it was probably during 1966, or '67. I was pulling alert in the Echo [Launch Control] Capsule and was at the console at the time, probably early in the morning when the commander was sleeping. I know I was at Echo because that's where I pulled almost all of my alert duty. My crew commander at the time has died. He was a Lieutenant Colonel at Minot, in his 50s-he was in the reserves, an old Korea veteran, who was recalled to duty in the early 1960s."

"As far as the incident, here's my best recollection of it: Alpha capsule, which was east of us, reported on PAS-the Primary Alerting System-that their security personnel were observing a large, bright object hovering over some of their missile sites. It was moving from missile to missile. I think the Alpha missile crew also reported that they were receiving 'spurious indicators' on their missile control console, but I'm not certain about that. I do know that a few minutes later our own capsule had spurious indicators-anomalous readings-from some of our missiles."

I asked Schuur to explain PAS. He said, "It was an open line between SAC headquarters and the wing command posts. There was a speaker in each launch capsule and when the command posts issued a directive, or whatever, we were able to hear it. When Alpha had their UFO sightings, they alerted the command post, at which time the command post called SAC headquarters. So, when the report of the sightings went out, we all heard it on PAS."

Schuur continued, "But it wasn't just Alpha and Echo. Over the next hour or so-I don't recall exactly how long it was-all of the flights reported that their [Security Alert Teams] were observing a UFO near their facilities. The path of the object could be followed as it passed over each flight area by the reports on the PAS. The object moved over the entire wing from the southeast to the northwest, following the layout of the wing."

Schuur elaborated, "All of them-Bravo Flight, Charlie, Delta, right on down the line to Oscar-were reporting sightings of this object. Minot's missile field is laid out like the letter 'C'. Alpha is located southeast of the base, and the other flights-Bravo, Charlie and so forth-were south, southwest, west, northwest, then north of Minot. Oscar, the last flight, is at the top of the 'C', north of the base. The object-as far as I know, it was only one object-came across Alpha Flight, then moved all the way around the flights and ended up at Oscar. We could hear that on PAS. At Echo, it didn't come close to the Launch Control Facility, it just visited the LFs (silos), then passed onto the next flight."

"As far as our flight, Echo, a few minutes after hearing the report from Alpha, I received a call from topside security that a large bright light-actually, a large, bright object would be more accurate-was in the sky, to the east of the launch control facility. When the guard called down, he may have used the term 'UFO' but I don't recall. He didn't describe its shape or altitude because it was too far away. It never got close enough to the LCF to see any detail. At its closest, it was two, three, maybe four miles away from us, near one of the missile sites."

Schuur continued, "However, when the object passed over our flight, we started receiving many spurious indications on our console. The object was apparently sending some kind of signals into each missile. Not every missile got checked [out] by the object, but there were several that did. Maybe six, seven, or eight. Maybe all ten got checked, but I don't think so. As this thing was passing over each missile site, we would start getting erratic indications on that particular missile. After a few seconds, everything reset back to normal. But then the next missile showed spurious indicators so the object had apparently moved on to that one and did the same thing to it. Then on to the next one, and so on. It was as if the object was scanning each missile, one by one. The Inner Security and Outer Security [alarms were triggered] but we got those all the time, for one reason or another. However, on this particular night, we had to activate the 'Inhibit' switch because we got 'Launch in Progress' indicators! After a few minutes, the UFO passed to the northwest of us and all indicators reset to normal."

I wanted to be certain about what I had just been told. I asked Schuur, "So, if you get a 'Launch in Progress' indicator, does that mean the launch sequence has been triggered-that the missile is preparing to launch?" Schuur replied, "That means the missile has received a launch signal. When that happens, we get an indication in the capsule that a launch command has been received by that missile. If that happens, without proper authority, you flip what's called an 'Inhibit' switch, to delay the launch for a given period of time. If an Inhibit command comes in from another launch capsule, that shuts down the launch totally. But if that second command doesn't come in, the missile will wait for a specified period of time and then launch automatically at the end of that expired period-theoretically. Of course, that night, we had all kinds of other indicators coming on from each missile so, in that situation, the launch probably would have aborted itself. I honestly don't know."

I asked Schuur if the "Launch in Progress" indicator had ever been triggered on any other occasion, either before or after the UFO incident, while he was on alert duty. He replied, "No, never."

I asked Schuur if he had heard about missile maintenance teams having to replace components or whole systems in the affected missiles-the ones that generated the spurious readings. He replied, "No, if that happened, I never heard about it."

Schuur said, "Upon returning to the base the next day, my commander and I were met by the operations officer. He just said, 'Nothing happened, nothing to discuss, goodbye.' Our logs and tapes were turned in. Every capsule had a 24-hour tape that, as I recall, recorded the communications that went over the PAS system, so all the reports would have been on that tape. But we were essentially told that nothing had happened that night and to discuss it no further. It was a non-event. We were never debriefed, by OSI or anyone else. We just went home. Most of the returning missile crews drove back to the base from their facilities, so they all arrived at different times. There was no group debriefing that I know of. I never heard another thing about the incident."

I asked Schuur, "I know that you were given no feedback from your superiors, but what is your personal assessment of the event?" He replied, "Oh, I think something was up there, uh, scanning the missiles, seeing what was going on. Some kind of a scanning process." I asked Schuur whether he thought the launch activation had been incidental or deliberate. He seemed surprised by my question and said, "I think that the scanning just set it off. It set all kinds of things off, we were getting all sorts of indicators. There were some kind of signals being sent [from the UFO] to the missile that inadvertently triggered the launch activation, but I don't think it was deliberate. I hope not! That would have been-." Schuur didn't finish this sentence. His voice broke and he heaved a deep sigh. Apparently, the thought that those aboard the UFO might have deliberately attempted to launch his nuclear missiles that night had caused him to pause-and probably shudder-over 40 years later.

I obviously accept Schuur's report as credible, but am of course attempting to locate other former members of his squadron who are willing to corroborate it. As Schuur candidly admitted, after reading my first article in the September 2002 AAFM newsletter, he waited some five years before approaching me. It was only after my second published request for information from former/retired USAF missileers, that he decided to unburden himself. This hesitant response is not atypical. Many of my former missile launch officer sources have not readily or easily divulged their UFO experiences to me, for one reason or another.

Importantly, to my knowledge, Schuur's testimony represents the only credible report on record of a UFO temporarily activating a U.S. nuclear missile. However, there is one other reliable report of such an activation-in the Soviet Union. That case will be discussed at length in a later chapter.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Is Our Universe Merely One Of Billions?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Jun 2013 20:44:53 +0100
Archived: Sun, 02 Jun 2013 06:33:21 -0400
Subject: Is Our Universe Merely One Of Billions?

Hello List,

The Daily Galaxy has a longish article titled "The "Dark Flow" & the Existence of Other Universes - New Claims of Hard Evidence"

at <http://tinyurl.com/l783rff>

[Quote Begins]

"Is our universe merely one of billions? Evidence of the existence of 'multiverse' revealed for the first time by a cosmic map of background radiation data gathered by Planck telescope. This past week, the first 'hard evidence' that other universes exist has been claimed to have been found by cosmologists studying the Planck data. They have concluded that it shows anomalies that can only have been caused by the gravitational pull of other universes."

[Quote Ends]

Some will recall this being argued at times in the past, on the evidence of the WMAP results, but this piece seems rather partial to me.

After all, already scientists have said the evidence (WMAP and Planck) could equally mean the universe is much bigger than we think and that there's a very large 'attractor' situated over-the-horizon somewhere, which would account for the 'flow' - the unbalanced heat-map of Planck (and WMAP).

However there's also a very large and unexplained cold-spot not predicted by the standard model. There's many possible reasons for that - some may be a bit sinister.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Jun 2013 16:54:35 -0400
Archived: Sun, 02 Jun 2013 06:34:31 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Davidf Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 13:51:41 -0700
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

<snip>

>I doubt technology has anything to do with this, it is a natural
>ability, picking up some sort of very weak signal out of the
>noise.

Having been on the receiving end of many repeating assaults
which place them in the "paranormal class," but which are timed
with incredible precision, my impression is that advanced
natural abilities use a class of physics advanced beyond that
released to the general public, and, that the group responsible
for the assaults have learned how the natural abilities work.

They have then, I believe, been able to adapt the mechanism
behind the natural abilities to equipment.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 2](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

From: Kathleen Marden <Kmarden.nul>
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 07:24:29 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sun, 02 Jun 2013 09:30:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 12:34:12 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>Ms. Marden, with all due respect and no offense intended, but at
>the end of the show you were discussing "the astral plane",
>"astral projection", and "raising one's vibrations". You were
>discussing it because that's what your abductees told you, so
>therefore it must be right, correct? You have left science
>behind and have entered New-Age "woo-hoo" land. However, you can
>make a u-turn and come back. I suggest you do so immediately.

With reference to statements I made on Coast to Coast, I was speaking of information I received from 3 theoretical physicists that I had consulted regarding information that several experiencers had passed to me independently. I asked if it was scientifically possible and discussed the answers I received from them. Are you suggesting that these highly respected physicists who've worked at Harvard and MIT are out in woo woo land?

There has been much discussion recently about other dimensions, parallel universes, and other planes of existence. It is all theoretical and highly speculative. But I've been asked to express an opinion about it, along with religious belief systems, so many times, that I included a discussion of alternative hypotheses, including psychological explanations, in the last chapter of "The Alien Abduction Files. In the past, I've refused to engage in this type of speculation, because I find it uncomfortable. But I have addressed it recently. What some consider mysticism, others consider science. It is in my gray basket. But I will discuss what others have hypothesized, when asked.

I have certainly not thrown western scientific materialism out the window. I have merely stated that some abduction related phenomena cannot be adequately explained within the tenets of our current scientific paradigms. For example, how does one explain telepathic communication, light orbs, and passing through solid surfaces? We'd have to state that it is a figment of one's imagination. Yet, hundreds or thousands of individuals from around the world have described the same phenomena, in conjunction with UFO abductions.

I disagree with your final assessment of abduction experiencers. There is consistency that you couldn't possibly have knowledge of. It is information that researchers, such as myself, hide from others. We use it as a ruler to measure the veracity of one's claim. I would never reveal this information.

Just as an aside, approximately four years ago, Budd Hopkins and I discussed my book. I was apprehensive about writing it, but he urged me to proceed. I had a great deal of respect for Budd's work and the compassion and kindness that he carried for experiencers. We can learn much more from the well grounded, well educated, perceptive and scientifically knowledgeable experiencers that share their information with us quietly and anonymously, than we can from those who admonish us to watch out

for the whackos.

I've been involved in UFO and abduction research for 23 years and have three books and many essays to my credit. In addition to this, I have lectured across the United States, appeared as an on-camera expert on television shows, and made guest appearances on hundreds of radio shows. However, I'm not familiar with your work. Will you please send a list of your publications to me?

Kathleen Marden

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 17:36:06 +0100
Archived: Mon, 03 Jun 2013 05:36:10 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>From: Kathleen Marden <Kmarden.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 07:24:29 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 12:34:12 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>Ms. Marden, with all due respect and no offense intended, but
>>at the end of the show you were discussing "the astral plane",
>>"astral projection", and "raising one's vibrations". You were
>>discussing it because that's what your abductees told you, so
>>therefore it must be right, correct? You have left science
>>behind and have entered New-Age "woo-hoo" land. However, you
>>can make a u-turn and come back. I suggest you do so
>>immediately.

>With reference to statements I made on Coast to Coast, I was
>speaking of information I received from 3 theoretical physicists
>that I had consulted regarding information that several
>experiencers had passed to me independently. I asked if it was
>scientifically possible and discussed the answers I received
>from them. Are you suggesting that these highly respected
>physicists who've worked at Harvard and MIT are out in woo woo
>land?

>There has been much discussion recently about other dimensions,
>parallel universes, and other planes of existence. It is all
>theoretical and highly speculative. But I've been asked to
>express an opinion about it, along with religious belief
>systems, so many times, that I included a discussion of
>alternative hypotheses, including psychological explanations, in
>the last chapter of "The Alien Abduction Files. In the past,
>I've refused to engage in this type of speculation, because I
>find it uncomfortable. But I have addressed it recently. What
>some consider mysticism, others consider science. It is in my
>gray basket. But I will discuss what others have hypothesized,
>when asked.

>I have certainly not thrown western scientific materialism out
>the window. I have merely stated that some abduction related
>phenomena cannot be adequately explained within the tenets of
>our current scientific paradigms. For example, how does one
>explain telepathic communication, light orbs, and passing
>through solid surfaces? We'd have to state that it is a figment
>of one's imagination. Yet, hundreds or thousands of individuals
>from around the world have described the same phenomena, in
>conjunction with UFO abductions.
<snip>

Hello Kathleen and Jason,

Apologies for interrupting, but recent off-line discussion
(yesterday) gave an insight on the 'other dimensions' debate.

That is, although I'd always dismissed the thought of other
dimensions, re-thinking the premises outlined in 'Flatland' by

Edwin Abbott Abbott - see:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flatland>

a stark fact leaps out: no inhabitant of Flatland (like us) could imagine or find experimental evidence of other dimensions. Only the intrusion of someone or something from a further dimension could present such evidence (which would appear as a miraculous, paranormal or UFO/ET event). So all my scepticism hits a brick wall there - it's a moot question.

Incidentally 'western materialism' is also under question these days - see my note of last month

<http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2013/may/m13-003.shtml>

on Dilworth's 'The Metaphysics of Science' (review at:

<http://tinyurl.com/kn8rnrw>

That seems to complement other opinions, from many high-placed physicists and logical theorists, that determinism (western materialism) has failed to answer the big questions of cosmology, physics and consciousness - so other approaches should be tried.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 14:34:42 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Mon, 03 Jun 2013 05:40:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Davidf Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 13:51:41 -0700
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 12:34:12 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>>From: Kathleen Marde <Kmarden.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 09:42:26 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>"Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable
>>from magic." --Arthur C. Clarke

>>So therefore, if the following are true then they are a result
>>of technology.

>>1. Alien telepathy =3D technology

>>2. Alien walking through walls, transporting people through
>>"solid matter" =3D technology

>>3. Alien/UFO invisibility =3D technology

>>4. Alien mind control, warping of human perceptions =3D
>technology

>>5. Alien/UFO interference with machinery, power-loss, etc.,
>=3D technology

>>There's no need to throw "Western science", as you put it, out
>>the window. It only appears like magic but it's technology.
>>Anytime someone talks about a naturally-evolved ability they
>>are unknowingly discussing magic.

>So, Jason, if I were to say that all our sophisticated
>perceptual and human cognitive abilities like sight, hearing,
>logical/deductive reasoning, mathematics, language, music, art,
>etc., etc. are the result of a naturally evolved brain then I am
>unknowingly discussing "magic"? Now you are sounding like a
>creationist.

I apologize for the late reply. Another tornado intruded into
my life. I actually never got this in my inbox.
David, sight and hearing are naturally evolved abilities.
Language, music, art, etc. are inventions; thus, are far
closer to technology.

>Did these abilities evolve or were they artificially and
>deliberately created by an unknown intelligence, therefore
>possibly the result of some technological intervention? By
>logical extension of your argument, you seem to be claiming the
>latter since we currently don't fully understand how a complex
>brain like this is organized or how it evolved. The same is true
>for something like a single living cell. Contemporary science

>has gained a lot of insight into how it might have come about,
>but we still don't know all the steps. Maybe we will never know.
>That doesn't mean somebody who says we still don't understand is
>guilty of "woo-woo" stuff or claiming it is magical.

Sight and hearing evolved. The others are human inventions, just like human technology. Even though they are inventions they can still go through a process of evolution with time.

>>Anytime someone talks about
>>science being wrong, not just our current comprehension, but
>>science being wrong, they are unknowingly discussing magic.

>But science has often been wrong, because scientific knowledge
>is always incomplete. Science evolves with new knowledge There
>are innumerable examples. People who challenged the scientific
>paradigms of their day were not discussing "magic" but pointing
>out observed anomalies that didn't fit into contemporary theory.
>Thus Newtonian gravity is not =E2=80=9Cwrong=E2=80=9D, but it is an
>incomplete
>description of gravity that breaks down when pushed to extremes.
>So does general relativity that replaced it, which doesn't work
>in the quantum realm.

Science has never been wrong. People can and often are wrong. Multiple people can and do subscribe to incorrect beliefs, even scientific theories. Science itself is never wrong, just like it is never right. Science is in fact an invention of humanity that is most useful in describing and furthering our understanding of the Universe.

>>Because if it does not fall into the category of science then
>>it's by definition supernatural, magic.

>You are contradicting yourself Jason. On the one hand, you are
>arguing that "they" have technology that we don't comprehend,
>therefore possibly outside current scientific understanding,
>which by your just stated definition making it supernatural or
>magic, but on the other hand you are arguing that it will
>_someday_ be understood by science (a hidden assumption),
>therefore it isn't magic, but if somebody says it isn't
>understood now then you say they are guilty of believing in
>magic, and around and around we go.

One of the more annoying things about humans is that we view ourselves as existing at the pinnacle of human technological development. So even though we talk of beings that may be thousands, if not millions of years more advanced than us, we still act as if they are going to have technology that we can easily understand. So when faced with the 'magic' of the aliens, the natural tendency of we silly humans is to invoke the supernatural, to chase after nonsense like 'naturally evolved abilities', and to throw out our science and invoke some mythical 'super science' which is in fact nothing but a pseudo-scientific wet dream.

>This came up when you were arguing that alien telepathy _must_
>be a technology, when I said maybe it was, or maybe it was a
>naturally evolved ability, perhaps enhanced by technology, just
>as our own natural abilities such as sight or mathematical
>reasoning can be enhanced by technology.

We are currently in the primitive stages of technological telepathy. Such form of telepathy does not invoke anything magical and is not dependant upon us achieving a new science.

<http://tinyurl.com/k4978zu>

The article above even mentions "Brain-Machine Interface", a.k.a., Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), which I have been practically screaming here since like 2005 or so. The aliens are using an extremely advanced form of this technology. One that is apparently wireless and can operate despite large distances. BCI is associated with so much of alien technology. Telepathy, mind control, altering of human perceptions, moving things with the power of the mind, and even navigating the crafts, all of this involves BCI.

<http://tinyurl.com/lyzh7f>

As in the article above, technological telepathy is also called,

synthetic telepathy or artificial telepathy.

>I would probably be skeptical of telepathy/ESP myself except
>that I've had too many spontaneous experiences of my own where I
>have known of something unique and highly unusual happening in
>my near future that came true and other experiences, like a
>strong impression of viewing something unusual a mile away which
>turned out to be true. In more modern parlance, these would
>fall under remote viewing, which was extensively studied by
>Puthoff and Targ. Their remote viewers did things that
>shouldn't be possible under current scientific understanding,
>like locating a crashed plane in the African jungle or a person
>kidnapped by terrorists. That's needle in a haystack stuff and
>hard to write off simply to lucky guesses.

So let's assume that human ESP exists and that humans can sometimes have psychic or telepathic experiences. All the research shows this is just passing and sporadic and is not controllable. What evidence can you point to that proves that this is the same thing that the aliens are using? Even more important, why in the hell are you continuing to argue for this point knowing that we are on the verge of replication technological telepathy that doesn't have anything to do with ESP and psychic phenomena. I know you have read my past posts. You admitted it yourself.

So you know of this technological development. Why are you so insistent on clinging to "naturally evolved abilities" when it can be replicated technologically and with far more control and extended usefulness? If human ESP and telepathy exists it's sporadic and uncontrollable technological telepathy will be the complete opposite and would prove far more useful to exploit. What's preventing you from embracing this? Is it the fear of losing the "specialness" of humanity?

>I doubt technology has anything to do with this, it is a natural
>ability, picking up some sort of very weak signal out of the
>noise. Some people are better at it. Apparently remote viewing
>can be improved with training. It may be somewhat within our own
>science, perhaps the result of quantum non-locality and a
>multidimensional universe or multiverse where our sensory
>abilities are not totally confined to the 4D space-time universe
>of our day-to-day experience.

Technology has everything to do with this. You can scour the earth in an attempt to find one psychic who can turn your t.v. channels for you using their abilities. You can also simply pick up the remote and click the button. Make your choice.
>This doesn't mean it can't be augmented by technology, which I
>suspect might be the case, like the difference between a radio
>receiver and picking up radio stations on a tooth filling.

Technological telepathy is not dependant, nor has anything to do with, any alleged ESP or psychic ability of the human mind. Just like turning on the light switch or switching channels on the t.v. has nothing to do with the supernatural either. We don't have to discover the elusive "super science" that the cavemen worship.

I will repeat myself from an earlier post.

If UFOs were proven real to 'mainstream science' tomorrow nobody would be turning to Ufologists for the answers. Nobody is going to knock on your door, David. Nobody is going to attack Stanton Friedman, paparazzi-style. Why? Because you are all cavemen. You are all shamans in the desert. You are all rainbow-chasers.

Even though mainstream scientists have been the biggest debunkers they are the only ones to have the mind set to be able to comprehend UFOs and how to even replicate some of the technological achievements of the aliens. Let me now paraphrase Arthur C. Clarke since so many people still have no clue what he was talking about.

"It's going look like magic. You aren't going to understand it. You're going be tempted to rush into this or that pseudo-scientific nonsense but the reality is that it's extremely advanced technology. So don't be fooled."

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 18:42:51 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Mon, 03 Jun 2013 05:45:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>From: Kathleen Marden <Kmarden.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 07:24:29 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 12:34:12 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>Ms. Marden, with all due respect and no offense intended, but at
>>the end of the show you were discussing "the astral plane",
>>"astral projection", and "raising one's vibrations". You were
>>discussing it because that's what your abductees told you, so
>>therefore it must be right, correct? You have left science
>>behind and have entered New-Age "woo-hoo" land. However, you can
>>make a u-turn and come back. I suggest you do so immediately.

>With reference to statements I made on Coast to Coast, I was
>speaking of information I received from 3 theoretical physicists
>that I had consulted regarding information that several
>experiencers had passed to me independently. I asked if it was
>scientifically possible and discussed the answers I received
>from them. Are you suggesting that these highly respected
>physicists who've worked at Harvard and MIT are out in woo woo
>land?

I seriously doubt that theoretical physicists would be interested
in the alleged astral plane. However, if you can provide me the
names of such individuals I would be much interested in hearing
their views on this.

>There has been much discussion recently about other dimensions,
>parallel universes, and other planes of existence. It is all
>theoretical and highly speculative. But I've been asked to
>express an opinion about it, along with religious belief
>systems, so many times, that I included a discussion of
>alternative hypotheses, including psychological explanations, in
>the last chapter of "The Alien Abduction Files. In the past,
>I've refused to engage in this type of speculation, because I
>find it uncomfortable. But I have addressed it recently. What
>some consider mysticism, others consider science. It is in my
>gray basket. But I will discuss what others have hypothesized,
>when asked.

Time travel and parallel universe are a potential reality. The
concept of other dimension is perhaps the least likely scenario
that some researchers have presented. We exist in multiple
dimesions so it would be likely that any intelligent life would
also exist in multiple dimensions as well. If an intelligence
from multiple other dimensions were to interact with our world
it would not be in the form of flying saucers and space men. It
would appear extremely strange and foreign to our normal
perceptions. We would not know what we were looking at. I don't
have the link here but in the past I've found websites that
portray what an object in multiple dimensions would appear to us
as if it were to interact with our dimensions.It's rather freaky
looking, nothing like flying saucers or aliens.

However, the interesting thing to note is that one does not have to invoke time travel or parallel universes. Any machine capable of travelling through deep space while abstracting fuel from space and capable of self-repair can travel between stars without needing to travel faster than the speed of light and definitely without need to travel to another universe. If you are familiar with Dr. Eric Walker then you may know that it was his opinion that the aliens are not travelling here from a home planet. He believed they have basis within the solar system, possibly here on earth, the moon, etc.

>I have certainly not thrown western scientific materialism out
>the window. I have merely stated that some abduction related
>phenomena cannot be adequately explained within the tenets of
>our current scientific paradigms. For example, how does one
>explain telepathic communication, light orbs, and passing
>through solid surfaces? We'd have to state that it is a figment
>of one's imagination. Yet, hundreds or thousands of individuals
>from around the world have described the same phenomena, in
>conjunction with UFO abductions.

This is what I'm trying to communicate. You claim it's beyond explanation within the scientific paradigm. Where's the evidence for this? Why have you either forgotten or dismissed technology? Remember Arthur C. Clarke, it (extremely advanced technology) is going to look like magic. Believe it or not, humans are not currently existing at the climax of human technological achievement.

>I disagree with your final assessment of abduction experiencers.
>There is consistency that you couldn't possibly have knowledge
>of. It is information that researchers, such as myself, hide
>from others. We use it as a ruler to measure the veracity of
>one's claim. I would never reveal this information.

Unfortunately much of the information that was kept secret has been spilled. I don't want to open a can of worms and name names on that so I will let that be. However, this isn't what I'm referring to here. I'm cautioning you not to take what abductees or even the alleged aliens say or do at face value. It doesn't matter if 2,000 abductees tell you something. Why? Because every single one of them is controlled and manipulated by the control freak aliens during an abduction. So when all these abductees tell you of being shown images of some vague destruction on the planet you can not conclude that the aliens are positive and are attempting to prevent such scenarios from taking place. For all you know those screens with the images are merely a diversion for the abductees conscious minds while their unconscious minds are downloaded for mind-control programming. So sure, you can say that this is what abductees are reporting. However, I'm cautioning you as to what this actually means or represents. You can't merely accept what either the abductees or the aliens say.

>Just as an aside, approximately four years ago, Budd Hopkins and
>I discussed my book. I was apprehensive about writing it, but he
>urged me to proceed. I had a great deal of respect for Budd's
>work and the compassion and kindness that he carried for
>experiencers. We can learn much more from the well grounded,
>well educated, perceptive and scientifically knowledgeable
>experiencers that share their information with us quietly and
>anonymously, than we can from those who admonish us to watch out
>for the whackos.

Ms. Marden, when you have proven yourself to be en par with Budd Hopkins we can discuss this further.

>I've been involved in UFO and abduction research for 23 years
>and have three books and many essays to my credit. In addition
>to this, I have lectured across the United States, appeared as
>an on-camera expert on television shows, and made guest
>appearances on hundreds of radio shows. However, I'm not
>familiar with your work. Will you please send a list of your
>publications to me?

Ms. Marden, your so-called 23 years experience in UFO and abduction research has absolutely nothing to do with abduction research outside of your aunt and uncle's experience, which you have exploited to your benefit, perhaps even greater than Betty Hill herself. In reality your delving into abduction research is about a little over a year, possibly two, long when you began to actively seek out abductees in order to study. You admit you

only recieved what, like 54 responce? Yet, Hopkins and Jacobs recieved hundreds, if not thousands of mail each year. This serves only to underscore your newness to the field.

I can not of course force you to accept my advice. So you can simply take it or toss it as you like. I would hope that you would be mature and wise enough to see that I'm offering you constructive criticisms to prevent you from going down the same tirde road that many people have travelled before you. It leads to nowhere. As one of my favorite English teachers once said, "if you always do what you've always done then you'll always get what you always got." It's time for something new. Focus on the technology for the answers behind the seemingly magical abilities. They have nothing to do with latent psychic abilities or anything outside the scientific paradigm. They are merely examples of extremely advanced technology.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

Marden & Stoner On The Paracast

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 21:47:07 -0400
Archived: Mon, 03 Jun 2013 05:47:07 -0400
Subject: Marden & Stoner On The Paracast

I was very impressed with Kathleen Marden and Denise Stoner's interview on The Paracast earlier today. They were absolutely fact-oriented, and the bits of speculation were verbally labelled as such.

To listen, the archives are on:

<http://www.theparacast.com>

"Gene and Chris explore the UFO abduction enigma with Kathleen Marden and Denise Stoner, authors of "The Alien Abduction Files: The Most Startling Cases of Human Alien Contact Ever Reported." Kathleen is the niece of the late Betty Hill, whose abduction experience with her husband Barney has been regarded as one of the most credible such encounters. Denise and her family, including her husband, report that they have been abducted repeatedly by strange entities."

It was encouraging that Denise is planning to set up cameras to monitor herself in the future.

** As to references to "interdimensional," the "astral plane" and such, the impression I got was that they use these terms as handy descriptors, because mainstream science refuses to look into and provide "official" names for such concepts. Entirely forgivable, in my opinion.

Personally, if I found I needed to use such terms, I might frame them in this way, to make it clear that I was using them as descriptors for advanced concepts:

- "astral-plane-like"
- "seemingly interdimensional"

In no way did I get the impression from this interview that these authors and experiencers are "New Agey." These ladies seemed to be paragons of objectivity to me.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 3](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2013 14:10:14 +0800
Archived: Mon, 03 Jun 2013 05:49:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

Ms Marden; Mr. Gammon,

May I interject and express an opinion? My statements below. But please note that I'm not a physicist. This is a lay perspective.

>From: Kathleen Marden <Kmarden.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 07:24:29 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 12:34:12 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>Ms. Marden, with all due respect and no offense intended, but at >>the end of the show you were discussing "the astral plane", >>"astral projection", and "raising one's vibrations". You were >>discussing it because that's what your abductees told you, so >>therefore it must be right, correct? You have left science >>behind and have entered New-Age "woo-hoo" land. However, you can >>make a u-turn and come back. I suggest you do so immediately.

>With reference to statements I made on Coast to Coast, I was >speaking of information I received from 3 theoretical physicists >that I had consulted regarding information that several >experiencers had passed to me independently. I asked if it was >scientifically possible and discussed the answers I received >from them. Are you suggesting that these highly respected >physicists who've worked at Harvard and MIT are out in woo woo >land?

I think you'd find plenty - in fact, a majority - of physicists who would challenge the reported existence of PSI. The notion of non-local effects as a cause for ESP and psychic phenomena is highly contentious. One that experimental evidence for is tenuous, relying on few early studies in the 1970s and 80s by Puthoff and Targ, along with several replications and a statistical analysis by Jessica Utts. Many hardcore skeptics challenge those findings. Princeton recently closed down the PEAR group studying such anomalous phenomena. I'm not sure who's doing this work and publishing any longer. The giggle factor really interferes with funding and conducting serious research into the matter.

But, unlike Mr. Gammon, I think it's a serious possibility.

Still, to give Gammon's view fair consideration, let's imagine an 'Occam's Razor' continuum; one whereby on the far left are views that conclude nonlocal PSI effects are the cause of UFO abduction reported claims of 'telepathy', 'mind reading intent', 'mind-scanning'. And even an inter-dimensional intelligence(s) at work. In the middle of this continuum are the views of Mr. Gammon, who claim these reports must all be due to misunderstood technology - perhaps ordered microwave emissions that affect the brains of subjects - resulting in a misinterpreted experience of reality by abductees as depicted in "The Matrix." Here, it's not reality that is manipulated by PSI, but experience that is

manipulated by prosaic EM effects; ostensibly performed by biological ETI entities, travelling through space from nearby stars (or, perhaps, evil government agents). And to the far right on this continuum are the views of hardcore skeptics like CSICOP, who argue that this is all bunk; a product of mental illness or sleep paralysis.

Occam's Razor slices this continuum up into even segments, and along the bottom of this continuum we place a probability curve of likelihood of cause, as defined by random selection of physicists who might have responded to some hypothetical survey. At the top of this curve, we find consensus opinion. Recognize that this 'consensus opinion' is just a tool. It does NOT mean that at this peak you've found an answer; it's just an interesting way to look at the problem.

Fudging a 'consensus opinion' (because I have no real survey results to offer), let's just guess. The peak would exist somewhere between the center and right. That is, most physicists would admit that a technological explanation is possible. In fact, recent technology improvements show that such an effect as perceived to be 'mental telepathy' might be possible in the near term given current advances in brain science. Mr Gammon is right about that; though even he must admit that such a human technology would not look or perform anything like what abductees report. Continuing on along the right to the end of this continuum, a dipping head would go straight to 'bunk'; skeptics who can not even admit the potential of near-term brain science advances. Along the left, you'd see a long tail where some physicists would gradually transition from the technological explanation to accepting the notion of PSI as a likely cause for claimed telepathy in abduction reports. That's where you've placed your bet on the roulette wheel of science, and I find it a tantalizingly attractive explanation.

Again, that doesn't mean these hypothetical survey results are right, it just represents a consensus view. Still, we often see appeals to consensus on such matters as Global Climate Change; it's a common argument. But from this you can see why Mr. Gammon would prefer the technological explanation to one of PSI. It just seems more likely; argument by perceived credulity.

The problem here is that it doesn't really explain the 'high strangeness' factor of UFO and abduction reports. So we're at an impasse. We don't really have a mechanism of action for PSI, yet there is tantalizing evidence for the existence of the effect. Nor do we have even evidence for the use of PSI via abduction reports, though it does seem the best explanation given the 'high strangeness' range of reports. But I have to admit, I see the range of all three perspectives as useful in trying to understand the matter. From PSI to technology to outright skepticism. Holding all three perspectives simultaneously helps me juggle the matter into some seemingly rational framework (even if that framework is wrong and I don't happen to know why - lol).

To take a minor-demon's advocate position (because the devil lives on the far right of our continuum), let's try to place Mr Gammon's perspective into a technological explanation.

Reports are that Grays 'mindscan' by bending over abductees and staring into their eyes. Reports are also that Grays use some kind of dark film (sunglasses like) lens covering their eyes. Let's imagine a technology placed on the warped spherical surface of that dark film. Made of carbon-nanotubes at varying heights, each of those nanotubes represents an antenna capable of emitting at a specific EM frequency. Some of them emit microwaves capable of penetrating the eye to cause optical nerve firings. Emission is of variable intensity and can shift from below threshold to threshold for firing of a nerve. This beam is then deflection scanned across the nerve itself, much like an electron beam from a CRT. With considerable computation, it's possible to imagine a technology that might elicit the kind of optical nerve response that represents an experience many abductees report as 'mind-scanning'. An experience, not a communications channel.

But it wouldn't give an answer to 'mind reading' and 'full telepathy'. The problem of reading those brain emissions for a full bi-directional communications channel is not explained by this mechanism. Where do we go? SQID receivers embedded

throughout the ship? Or throughout the clothing a so-called gray might wear? Just how are brain firings read from afar in this thought experiment without an actual brain implant transmitting that data back?

Dr. Leir reports that he has removed implants, but from places like the toe and hand. Not from the brain. He also reports that at least some of these implants transmit at 8hz, 17mhz, 110mhz, and 1.2ghz bands.

<http://www.alienscalpel.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/05/Analysis-of-Object-Taken-from-Patient-John-Smithv51.pdf>

Is that a technological answer? Could an implant in a toe or hand cause such experiences as 'telepathy' as reported by abductees? I have no idea. But it seems unlikely. I would guess - based upon a lay understanding of the problem - that a brain implant would be necessary. According to Dr. Leir, he hasn't seen such a thing. Though it's not impossible.

Still, this is all quite the extrapolation. A skeptic would look at this convoluted hypothetical explanation and call it all 'bunk.' But we already knew that. And we aren't here because we believe such proclamations. I just - again - find it useful to remember; to keep myself grounded from the hypothetical back to a consensus 'expected reality.'

I think I prefer the PSI and a multidimensional explanation. It's an easier answer, fitting more of reported claims than advanced technology appears to resolve. Though I have to admit, this is a perspective I've been slowly coming to over time. One I still find difficult to accept. But Vallee's books keep dragging me there. His work is just too good to ignore. The pure technological explanation seems a square peg crammed into a round hole just because it's a close fit. But, on the contrary, I must also accept that too is argument by credulity. As I whipsaw back and forth trying to grapple with the extreme complexity of this issue, I must accept that there are no hard facts available yet to ground any answer at all.

So, the skeptic stands tall, claiming his spot at the top of the evidentiary mountain, head held high in triumph, while his eyes remain firmly closed to reported events of 'high strangeness'. Reports that we believe should not be ignored. The skeptic is the majority; Mr Gammon a minority; you and me, each choosing a spot somewhere on the left at the tail of that continuum joining a minority of a minority.

Only further data collection and analysis can trump argument by credulity. So I commend you for that hard work.

One side point: Why does Dr. Leir keep removing those things? Jeesh. If they're transmitting, why not record a sample data set and attempt to find the carrier? From there, it might actually be possible to decode a signal and work back to determine functionality. Perhaps even transmit back bogus data to whomever is collecting and thus elicit a response from those elusive 'alien abducting friends' at a time of choosing. Use their game to advantage, so to speak. Cutting those things out and smashing them up to examine under electron microscopy, EDX, and spectroscopy is like trying to understand the functioning of a silicon chip after a hammer had smashed it to bits. I think he's going about it wrong there. Though I suppose he may feel he has an obligation under the Hippocratic Oath to first help his patients by conducting these surgeries.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2013 08:44:23 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 04 Jun 2013 06:25:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 17:36:06 +0100
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

<snip>

>Hello Kathleen and Jason,

>Apologies for interrupting, but recent off-line discussion
>(yesterday) gave an insight on the 'other dimensions' debate.

>That is, although I'd always dismissed the thought of other
>dimensions, re-thinking the premises outlined in 'Flatland' by
>Edwin Abbott Abbott - see:

><http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flatland>

<snip>

Ray,

Other dimensions is not even on the table with regard to the visitors. People use the term "interdiminsional", "other dimensions", etc., as a synonym for 'parallel universe', when the two are completely distinct.

For one thing, we exist in multiple dimensions (length, height, depth, time, although time is now being challenged as the 4th dimension). So if there were life in extra dimensions it would likely exist in multiple dimensions as well. If that life would then interact with our dimensions it would not appear as flying saucers and spacemen. When we looked at it we wouldn't know what we were looking at. It would appear quite odd and something quite foreign to our perceptions.

Not to mention that the other dimensions that are believed to exist are all completely rolled up so tiny that only subatomic particles could be effected by them or interact with them.

Also, parallel universes are quite in vogue as of late. However, there are problems with them too. If technology could be invented to transport one into a parallel universe it would not possess the ability to fine tune or choose a specific parallel universe. It would all be chance. And the thing about that is that if the laws of physics are even just slightly off in that other universe the traveler would immediately cease to be.

To burst another bubble, many scientists have already discarded the notion of worm holes as well. I'm no expert on this but supposedly the calculations show that the moment that any matter would enter a worm hole the worm hole would then immediately collapse killing any person trying to cross it.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

The Importance Of BCI In Alien Technology

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2013 09:44:40 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 04 Jun 2013 06:28:50 -0400
Subject: The Importance Of BCI In Alien Technology

One of the things I picked up on with regard to alleged alien technology either witnessed or described was the prevalence of what we call Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), a.k.a. Brain-Computer Interface, or Mind-Machine Interface.

This technology is currently in a primitive state for humans but with the visitors it appears to be at an extremely advanced level. I've been saying this since about 2005 but seem to have only reached a couple of people. Perhaps this time this will prove to be an eye-opener and help people to realize that technology is the route to go.

What is BCI? In a nutshell it is thought-controlled machines. However, theoretically the reverse is also true. A machine intelligence could use BCI to control a human being for example.

With regard to the aliens we see this pretty much everywhere with regard to their reported technology. Below are some key examples.

1. Flying the craft

There have been many reports of the UFOs being flown via thought. Corso mentioned a helmet that was believed to allow it's wearer to control the ship. Other sources have claimed a control panel that fit the aliens' hands is used. The aliens are then believed to have special exposed nerves in their fingers that allow the BCI to form when they place their hands on the panels. Abductees also report lights turning on automatically when they enter a dark room. Although this could be something akin to a motion sensor it is also possible this is done via thought by one of the aliens which would make it an example of BCI.

2. Alien Toys

Abductees routinely report being made to play with strange toys like floating balls. The abductees are told to concentrate on the balls and make them move in different directions via thought alone. Those researchers who are not scientifically minded may interpret this as supernatural abilities akin to telekinesis but in reality this would also be BCI

3. Telepathy

In order for technological telepathy to function there would need to be an interface between say two minds and a machine that could perhaps read the thoughts and translate the information between minds. I'm no expert on this for sure. We are currently working on very primitive forms of technological telepathy. The visitors display an extremely advanced and wireless form of this technology.

4. Mind Control, Manipulation Of Perceptions

BCI is a two-way street. We humans can use it to control machines and a machine intelligence may also make use of it to control or manipulate human beings.

5. Virtual-Reality

Similar to telepathy and the mind-control and manipulation of perception, the ability of the visitors to stage scenarios that only exist in the abductee's mind is also likely to be a form of BCI. Abductees also report being made to watch screens. While watching the screen it's very often reported that the scenes come alive like it is happening in reality. This may also be a form of BCI.

BCI is going to be one of the biggest technological breakthroughs to be on the lookout for. Imagine driving cars with thought alone, never pushing a button in the elevator and only thinking of the floor number, even paying for goods or services via thought. It's going to change our society in many ways. What people may not know is that advanced BCI is a necessary step for the creation of cyborgs. It will revolutionize the world of prosthetics. Below are some interesting videos on BCI.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sm2d0w87wOE>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ppILwXwsMng>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=89cgTxLY0sM>

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-lKy3e_EAiE

<http://youtu.be/y-WSmj3hgEc>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zGcE6vD7Rbo>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VTrPspJ-Zvk>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

UFO Sightings Have Doubled In Canada

From: **Robert Hastings** <ufohastings.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2013 16:56:49 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 04 Jun 2013 06:35:09 -0400
Subject: UFO Sightings Have Doubled In Canada

Source: The Toronto Star

<http://tinyurl.com/ldzrvq2>

Sun Jun 02 2013

UFO Sightings Have Doubled In Canada: Are There Aliens Among Us?
By: Leslie Scrivener

How to explain the stunning increase in UFO reports - all those humming, blinking, blinding lights - which have more than doubled in Canada in the last year?

[More at site...]

ufohastings.nul

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 4](#)

Erling Strand?

From: **A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO** <a.j.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Jun 2013 13:14:45 -0300
Archived: Tue, 04 Jun 2013 14:22:01 -0400
Subject: Erling Strand?

Does anybody on the List have Erling Strand's e-mail address?

Thanks!

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 5](#)

Sturrock/Vallee Sidereal Time Debate

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2013 17:11:18 +0800
Archived: Wed, 05 Jun 2013 17:20:43 -0400
Subject: Sturrock/Vallee Sidereal Time Debate

Peter Sturrock, Professor Emeritus of Physics at Stanford, wrote an interesting paper statistically comparing just under 12,000 UFO events collected in the Hatch "U" UFO database to Sidereal Time. This paper was published in 2004 by the Society for Scientific Exploration and is available here:

http://www.scientificexploration.org/journal/jse_18_3_sturrock.pdf

To explain, Sidereal Time is a unified means for astronomers across the world rationalize the Earth's daily rotation and yearly orbit in relation to astronomical objects like stars. This way, the astronomical community knows they are discussing the same objects on disparate calendar days and times across the globe. Here's Wikipedia, which is much more detailed:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sidereal_time

Sturrock stated that his intent was to expand upon previous work done by Claude Poher and Jacques Vallee in the 1970s, which had apparently shown a correlation to Sidereal Time using smaller datasets. The importance of which, as Vallee noted in rebuttal paper, is:

"If UFO sightings tended to occur at a particular value of the local sidereal time this might mean that a specific celestial source was involved in the phenomenon. Therefore searches for extraterrestrial signals (such as SETI) might be conducted in the part of the sky in question." (Pg 1 of the Vallee rebuttal paper)

Just a point of opinion, Sturrock's statistical work is very rigorous, showing what a trained scientist with good analytic skills can do with a large dataset. But the paper is a bear to read. Comprising eight sections in the paper, he uses a range of methods to slice up the data. He finally concludes in section four a significant correlation to Sidereal Time.

I'll break this post down into two sections, a discussion on Sturrock's paper and then Valle's rebuttal.

Sturrock Paper:

The Hatch data is broken up into four blocks, taken from 1892 through to 1999. These are: 1892 to 1957; 1957 to 1973; 1973 to 1982; 1982 to 1999. His histograms reflect this breakdown by using four blocks per period analyzed.

He starts by breaking down the data into number of events for year (fig 2) and total events per year (fig 3). Fig 2 is particularly interesting as it shows a dramatic increase right in per-year sightings around the mid-1940s. Why is this? I think most would probably argue it was due to media saturation regarding the Kenneth Arnold sighting in 1947. (And it should be noted that Vallee threw out all the pre-1947 data in his rebuttal).

Sturrock then breaks the data down into day of week (figs 4 and 5) which show little correlation to UFO reports, the curve

fitting perfectly within standard deviation blocks. But in Fig 6, time of day, we see a HUGE curve below and above mean, indicating significant positive activity between 8pm - 11pm and dead time from about 3am to 8pm. EXCEPT for block A (1892-1957), which is still at or below mean but which shows a spike in activity from about 10am through to 7pm. His mean and standard deviation graph in Fig 7 shows that this result is significant and not just a statistical artifact.

He then performed an 'hour of year' analysis (fig 8), which broke up events into approx 15 day increments for 24 segments a year. This showed an interesting peak across all blocks from between Jul through Nov, except for block A (1892-1957), which also showed significant spikes from around May to Jul). Then he broke it down to by mean to standard deviation (Figs 9). Note though that Standard Deviation is all over the place. The peaks are significant, but the margin of error is high in some cases.

The power spectrum graph in Fig 10 is important. There are two peaks, one at .42 which goes to a count of 308, and one at 1, which goes to a count of 297. He ignores the peak at .42 and focuses on the peak at 1y. This result shows that the amplitude of spikes every year is very high in relation to events across a five year span. That is, the probability of events peaks EVERY YEAR, not every two years or every three years or every five years.

Now we get to Section 4, which contains the primary argument for correlation to Sidereal Time.

"We know that if the frame rotates with respect to the Earth with a one-day rotation period, there is a very strong modulation, shown in Figures 6 and 7."

Figs 6 and 7 are the Time of Day correlations. Those are known to spike at between 8pm and 11pm.

"If it were to turn out that many events are due to observations of the planet Jupiter, then one would expect to find a significant pattern in terms of a frame that has the same mean rotation rate that Jupiter has with respect to the Earth."

What this means is that the frequency of events happening yearly during peak months is at a very high amplitude every year relative to a scale from 0 to 5 years. Sturrock wants to know If UFO reports were due to a planetary occurrence like Jupiter intersecting that patch of the sky (a celestial misidentification). So he decided to compare synodic period to local sidereal time period. See this Wikipedia entry on orbital periods:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orbital_period

I found this section very difficult to interpret. Please offer corrections if I've made a mistake. But, what he appears to be doing is comparing Hour of Day and Hour of Year clustering peaks for UFO reports with sidereal time to the orbital period for Jupiter.

There's a good deal of math where he draws out this comparison, but fig.12 - the result - is very important. The spectral analysis on the top of the graph in fig 12 compares the amplitude of the frequency of events of Sidereal Time on the top to amplitude of the frequency for the synodic period of Jupiter. ??? (corrections please)

Notice we see a peak at 1y which goes up to ~125. And at 2y going up to ~75, yet on the bottom of the graph there are no counter negative peaks shooting down to about the same amplitudes. What he's arguing is that if the positive peak is high and the negative peak (Jupiter) is not symmetrical in amplitude, it rules out a synodic period correlation. (if I understand this right)

Sturrock then computes two additional graphs in figs 13 and 14 to confirm this. Ultimately concluding:

"Since the power spectrum computed from Equation 4.3 is not symmetric in positive and negative frequencies, it appears not to be due to the interplay of the HOD [Hour of Day] and HOY [Hour of Year] modulations. The asymmetry indicates that there

is a modulation in terms of LST [Local Sidereal Time]."

Meaning, whatever the cause of UFO reports at the same patch of the sky at the same time across the globe, it's not due to Jupiter.

The rest of the paper are a series of statistical tests to confirm the result and then a final short concluding statement. Suffice it to say, his additional tests confirm the initial result found in Section 4.

So now we move on to a short discussion in Section 8, the most interesting of which is the patch of sky in Local Sidereal Time he argues is the main focus of reported UFO events:

"If the effect does indeed have an astronomical origin, it points to a source with right ascension in the range 21.5 Plus/Minus 1.5. Based on the analysis carried out to date, the declination is unknown."

And then concluding that his analysis supports the Poher and Vallee analyses of the 1970s.

"The analysis reported in this article appears to support the early findings of Poher (1973) and of Poher and Vallee (1975), which were based on independent and comparatively small catalogs."

Vallee Rebuttal:

In 2007, Jacques Vallee responded to Sturrock's paper. I note that Valle's work is a significantly easier paper to read and understand.

http://www.ufoskeptic.org/Vallee_LST.pdf

Here is his counterargument synthesized in the abstract:

"While a frequency distribution indicative of a correlation with star positions was indeed detected, control with a separate catalogue compiled in France discloses an important artefact: multiple entries for a single, particularly remarkable UFO event have resulted in massively duplicated records. This calls into question the significance of the claimed pattern."

The first thing Vallee does is point to a Johnson and Saunders (2002) study which used a different UFO database to determine CE2 type events against sidereal time. What's interesting here is that the Johnson-Saunders study determined a DIFFERENT sidereal time (14:00) from the Sturrock analysis. See Fig 1.

Vallee then used the same Hatch "U" database as Sturrock, though a slightly newer revision. He also tossed every UFO event before 1947, arguing that they should be considered an entirely different class of events. However, he confirms the same result as Sturrock (See Fig 2)

"This graph matches well with Sturrock's published distribution. In particular it exhibits the same increase in the frequency of reported events in the range of 20 hours to 23 hours, with a noticeable peak between 21.1 and 21.5 hours of local sidereal time." (Pg. 4)

But then, upon further analysis, Vallee found that one particular event (Nov 5th, 1990, in France) had many multiple witness sightings. Vallee realized that Sturrock had counted each sighting of one event as multiple events in his analysis.

"Among the UFO events in the Hatch catalog for which a value of LST can be computed there are 56 entries for this single case of November 5, 1990. Such a large block of entries, occurring in such a small interval of LST values, introduces a potential distortion in the statistical correlation. ... When this duplication is removed the frequency distribution becomes that of figure 4, where the rise in frequency around 21.5 hours is less noticeable, although still present." (Pg. 5)

OK. So, this means that Vallee is challenging the significance of Sturrock's finding by saying that there were duplicates in the dataset that were erroneously counted. And when Vallee

performed a similar analysis with the duplicates removed, he found the same correlation, but with a much smaller peak of significance.

Vallee then went on to perform a similar analysis using a smaller French SERPA database. He discovered a huge peak at the same time as Sturrock (21.5hrs; or 8pm to 11pm). BUT, he then factored out IFOs from the database - Identified objects - and found absolutely no correlation to the Sturrock result of sidereal time at 21.5hrs (or 8pm - 11pm) whatsoever. This presumably refuted his prior work with Poher in the 1970s. But he did find a smaller statistical correlation to sidereal time in the early morning hours of between 3am to 5am.

"It can be seen that the peak at about 21.5 hours disappears when identified cases are removed from the Sepra catalog. In contrast, the secondary peak between 3.2 and 5.2 hours of local sidereal time on figure 6 actually stands out more sharply on figure 7, with a maximum at 3.8 hours. This particular feature in the distribution survives not only the elimination of IFOs from the list but also a review of the individual cases for possible duplication effects or other biases. At this point we have to consider it as unexplained."

So. What does all this mean? First of all, there's the question of whether the Hatch database separates out and classifies UFO events based on relevant 'strangeness' factors. Valle confirmed Sturrock's work with the Hatch database and yet challenged it with the SERPA catalog. Then Vallee seems to argue that the French SERPA catalog has better identification between identified objects and the truly strange, and that this is the result of the discrepancy between Hatch and SERPA. And, ultimately, depending on database, you get different results using the same - or similar - statistical tools.

I think the ultimate result here is to challenge the utility of UFO databases for statistical work. Is that true? That is the question to the List.

(and, of course, I welcome responses which correct any errors I may have made digging through these papers. Attempting to understand the Sturrock paper, in particular, was quite difficult.)

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

From: David Biedny <dbiedny.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2013 22:48:14 -0400
Archived: Fri, 07 Jun 2013 07:47:14 -0400
Subject: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

Folks,

I have publicly spoken about a UFO sighting I was part of in the summer of 1974 in Caracas, Venezuela with my brother Barry, my parents, and many other people. I received an email today from Hector Escelante, a Venezuelan UFO researcher, who was finally able to track down the newspaper piece that showed up on the front page of a paper called "2001", here is the scan of the cover of the August 1, 1974 edition:

<http://tinyurl.com/lhzckjl>

Here is a rough translation provided to me by Hector, I'll try to clean it up in the next few days...

Mysterious Objects Caracas Sky
Thousands of people saw and radar captured nothing Maiquetia
By Eduardo Suarez

Caracas, Thursday August 1, 1974. Until this morning there was no explanation for the phenomenon that worried last night thousands of Caracas and was immediately associated with the controversial idea of "flying saucers", when an overflight swift four luminous objects crossed the sky of the capital in about 15 seconds.

Further complicating the interpretation of the unusual fact that version was not night flights of combat aircraft and the Radar Operations Center in Maiquet=EDa, where objects were also spotted, did not record anything on the screens of their various systems.

West-East Direction

The passage of unidentified flying objects was seen by thousands of people and in the writing of "2001" is received after 11:30 last night dozens of phone calls requesting information.

This newspaper journalists returning from a fact-finding mission in Maiquet=EDa, also noted the passage of luminous objects and other people who sighted as far away as Catia or Prados del Este.

One of the witnesses was a captain of a commercial airline, whose name reserve and who provided a more accurate version, according to your logic skills aeronautics.

Our informant explained that it was a formation of four luminous objects-a main, tail, and three acting as "bodyguards" and left a bright tail as it passes through the sky that appeared in the south of Caracas 11:30 pm.

The formation was located at an elevation of ten to fifteen degrees above the horizon and across the sky Caracas in West-East direction, at an enormous speed.

The four items were lost-to our informant, which was located in Prados del Este-after Petare Hills, La Lagunita sector. All the

way was covered by flying objects in no more than 20 seconds, at a speed higher than that of any known jet without noise.

This version was confirmed by passersby on Avenida San Martin, as well as by others in the center of the capital and even by residents of Los Ruices.

In Miraflores And Maiquetia

The news of the sudden and inexplicable appearance of flying objects was known even in the Miraflores Palace where at that time the President Carlos Andres Perez and his Cabinet held a long meeting, resulting in dozens of journalists waited. The fact was the subject of many comments although there was no formal interpretation of what happened.

Possibly official body, the Radar Operations Center in Maiquet=EDa reported flying objects had been detected visually, but that no electronic device recorded his stint as would have happened if it had been airplanes.

The issue took incredible trim when it was established that no commercial flight had passed over Caracas and self Aviation General Command had asked about reports Maiquet=EDa, proving that it was not the night exercise supersonic fighter-bombers "Mirage "as some people thought.

This request for information have also been made, it was learned in Miraflores, by the Ministry of Defense, which confirms the absence of any flight over the skies of Caracas.

In the morning it was possible to know that the luminous objects were seen minutes before 11:30 pm on Maracay and Valencia, where the proximity of the Black Palo airbase also thought night exercises.

That version was discarded as the others and this morning there was no explanation for the strange phenomenon, expected that Cagigal Observatory report on the last possibility: that it is a meteor shower, though many eliminate it in advance, since meteorites fall into semiverticales paths and do not move horizontally and less with changes, as happened last night.

And here is the link to the original Paracast episode with me and my brother Barry:

http://www.theparacast.com/podcasts/paracast_060328.mp3

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

New Hessdalen Lights Paper

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2013 14:48:53 +0800
Archived: Fri, 07 Jun 2013 07:49:16 -0400
Subject: New Hessdalen Lights Paper

The Hessdalen Light Project has been investigating anomalous balls of floating light in the Hessdalen Valley region of Norway for about thirty years. It's affiliated with Ostfold University College.

http://www.hessdalen.org/index_e.shtml

Recently a new study was published containing interesting findings from their work.

http://www.itacomm.net/PH/2013_Monari_et-al-en.pdf

The report indicates that a recent discovery of an abandoned sulfur mine in the region may have given insight into the phenomena. The authors propose that sulfuric acid leached into the ground from this mine may have created a 'battery like' effect to store charge. Then, between the ground and the atmosphere, a charge potential may have built up much like lightning between clouds and ground. When pockets of sulfuric acid becomes vaporous and free floating in the atmosphere, they might ignite, forming free floating spherical plasmas. Interestingly, they believe such pockets of sulfuric acid vapor, whether ignited or not, should reflect RADAR. Therefore, it is possible that an ignited plasma ball would be both visible and reflect radar.

If correct, in cases where sulfuric acid has leached into the ground, it might provide an explanation for plasma orbs that are commonly seen and reported as certain kinds of UFOs. These could have a natural explanation. That might also explain apparent merging and disappearance of such phenomena as energies supporting plasma discharge are consumed.

This does not 'solve' the UFO question. In particular, it does not answer issues surrounding CE2 and CE3 type events. Nor does it answer questions about daylight or night sightings of objects with apparent physical structure. But, it could potentially be used to rule out a range of other observations of a less physical nature, particularly in those areas where it can be confirmed that sulfuric acid has leached into ground water and land close to repeated 'orb' style observations.

Best,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 7](#)

Seven States Report 265 May Cases

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Jun 2013 07:53:32 -0400
Archived: Fri, 07 Jun 2013 07:53:32 -0400
Subject: Seven States Report 265 May Cases

Source: Huffington Post

<http://tinyurl.com/koxmchy>

06/06/2013

Seven States Report 265 May Cases
by Roger Marsh

The National UFO ALERT Rating System has been updated for June 2013, with California, Texas, Florida, Arizona, New York, Ohio and Pennsylvania moving to a UFO Alert 3 as the highest reporting states during the month of May 2013, filed with the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON).

California was the leading high-reporting state in May with 67 cases, up from 47 April cases, 59 March cases, 53 February cases and 63 January cases.

Those states in a UFO Alert 4 category with 13 or more reports include: Michigan, Illinois, Missouri, Washington, Colorado, Indiana and Minnesota.

All other states move to a UFO Alert 5 category with lower numbers of UFO activity. The Watch States - with 10 or more cases - are Virginia, New Jersey, North Carolina and Maryland.

MUFON released May statistics June 1, where the total number of reported UFO sightings is listed by state. These statistics are used to rate states. The entire country was moved back to the lowest alert level - UFO Alert 5.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

From: Gene Steinberg <gene.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2013 06:34:57 -0700
Archived: Sat, 08 Jun 2013 20:00:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

>From: David Biedny <dbiedny.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 6 Jun 2013 22:48:14 -0400
>Subject: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

>I have publicly spoken about a UFO sighting I was part of in the
>summer of 1974 in Caracas, Venezuela with my brother Barry, my
>parents, and many other people. I received an email today from
>Hector Escelante, a Venezuelan UFO researcher, who was finally
>able to track down the newspaper piece that showed up on the
>front page of a paper called "2001", here is the scan of the
>cover of the August 1, 1974 edition:

><http://tinyurl.com/lhzckjl>

>Here is a rough translation provided to me by Hector, I'll try
>to clean it up in the next few days...

<snip>

Thank you, David, for providing this information.

Peace,
Gene Steinberg
Host/Executive Producer, The Paracast
www.theparacast.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

From: David Biedny <dbiedny.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2013 12:33:09 -0400
Archived: Sat, 08 Jun 2013 20:03:31 -0400
Subject: Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

Here is a much better translation of the "2001" article text,
provided by researcher Scott Corrales.

Source: Biblioteca Nacional =96 Hemeroteca =96 Caracas, Venezuela

2001 =96 Caracas, Thursday 1 August 1974 Price 0.50 Issue No. 325

Mysterious Objects In The Sky Over Caracas

Seen By Thousands; Malquet=EDa Radar Picked Up Nothing
By Eduardo Su=Elrez

There was no explanation until this morning about the phenomenon that was a source of concern for thousands of residents of Caracas and that was immediately associated with the controversial notion of =93flying saucers, following the quick flyby of four luminous objects crossing the skies of the capital city within 15 seconds. Interpretation of the controversial event is the account that no nocturnal overflights by combat aircraft were involved, and that the Radar Operations Center at Maiquet=EDa, from where the objects were also sighted, did not pick up anything on its various electronic systems.

On An West-East Direction

Transit of the unidentified flying objects was witnessed by thousands of persons. Dozens of phone calls were received at the =932001=94 newsroom as from 11:30 last night, requesting information on the matter. Reporters of this newspaper, returning from an assignment in Maiquet=EDa, also witnessed the flight of the luminous objects, along with other people who saw them in places as distant as Catia or Prados del Este.

Among the witnesses is a commercial airliner captain whose name is kept confidential and who provided a more nuanced version in accordance with his logical awareness of aeronautics. Our source explained that it was a formation of four luminous objects - a main one, lacking a tail, and three acting as =93escorts=94, leaving a luminous wake as they crossed the heavens =96 which appeared in the southern area of Caracas at 11:30 p.m... The formation was located at an elevation of between ten to fifteen degrees over the horizon, crossing the skies over Caracas in a West-East direction at prodigious speed. The four objects vanished =96 to the eyes of the informant, who was located at Prados del Este =96 behind the hills of Petare in the La Lagunilla sector. The flying objects covered the entire distance in no more than 20 seconds at a speed far in excess of any known jet, and making no noise whatsoever.

This account was confirmed by passerby on Avenida San Martin, as well as others in the capital=92s downtown area and even by residents of Los Ruices.

At Miraflores And Maquetia

News of the unforeseen and inexplicable appearance of the unidentified flying objects became known even in the Miraflores

Palace, where President Carlos Andr=E9s P=E9rez and his Ministerial Cabinet were holding an extended session at the moment, one whose outcome was expected by dozens of journalists. The event was remarked upon, although there was no formal interpretation of the occurrence.

Possibly by official request, the Radar Operations Center at Maiquet=EDa reported that the flying objects had been visually detected, but that no electronic device had recorded their transit, as would have been the case with airplanes. The matter acquired an incredible context when it was established that no commercial flight had passed over Caracas and that the General Air Command had asked Maiquet=EDa for a report on the subject, which proves that no nocturnal operations by Mirage supersonic fighters could have been the cause, as some suspected. A similar request for information was also made by the Ministry of Defense, as was learned in Miraflores. This corroborates the non-existence of any flight over the skies of Caracas.

In the early hours of the morning it was learned that the luminous objects had been seen only minutes before 11:30 p.m. over Maracay and Valencia, where the possibility of nocturnal exercises was also raised, given the proximity of the Palo Negro air base. This suggestion was discarded, like the others, and as of this morning the strange phenomenon still remains unexplained, waiting for the Cajigal Observatory to put forth one final possibility: that it was a meteor shower, even when this alternative has been dismissed in advance due to the fact that meteorites fall in semi-vertical trajectories and do not fly horizontally, much less maneuver, as was the case last night.

(Translation by Scott Corrales, Institute of Hispanic Ufology)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 8](#)

Gatwick AIRPROX 30DEC12 0823

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Jun 2013 08:49:31 +0100
Archived: Sat, 08 Jun 2013 20:06:16 -0400
Subject: Gatwick AIRPROX 30DEC12 0823

From: Joe (uk-ufo) McGonagle
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2013 3:51 AM
To: ufologyinuk.nul
Subject: [ufologyinuk] Gatwick AIRPROX 30DEC12 0823

That bastion of quality British journalism, 'The Sun' is carrying a story at:

<http://tinyurl.com/q73ujfs>

headlined "Flying saucers over British Scientology HQ
Close encounter of the absurd kind"

the story is based on an Airprox report, 2012175 which was an incident reported by 3 separate aircraft inbound to Gatwick Airport. The description is of 2 white or silver disc-shaped objects at 1000-1500 feet. One pilot described them as "man-made and toy-like". There were also short-duration radar detections corresponding with the estimated location of the objects.

The official report can be found at:

<http://tinyurl.com/nfkwwkz>

(www.airproxboard.org.uk)

starting at page 32. I have extracted the report and uploaded it to the Yahoo files area (login required) at:

<http://tinyurl.com/ngbp5xg>

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 9](#)

Leslie Kean's Conference

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Jun 2013 09:23:58 -0500
Archived: Sun, 09 Jun 2013 19:18:21 -0400
Subject: Leslie Kean's Conference

Leslie Kean has helped organize a conference to take place on June 29 and 30. It looks of more than ordinary interest, with officials from Chile EFAA and France's GEIPAN speaking in the U.S. for the first time.

Three university Ph.D.'s will be talking as well as Maj. Gen. Wilfried de Brower, Col. Charles Halt, Dr. Richard Haines, and others.

Three speakers who will headline the conference recently appeared on this podcast:

<http://tinyurl.com/gg8pz3x>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 9](#)

Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Sun, 09 Jun 2013 08:38:33 -0700
Archived: Sun, 09 Jun 2013 19:19:53 -0400
Subject: Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

>From: David Biedny<dbiedny.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 7 Jun 2013 12:33:09 -0400
>Subject: Re: Caracas UFO Sighting - July 31, 1974 Article

>Here is a much better translation of the "2001" article text,
>provided by researcher Scott Corrales.

<snip>

>In the early hours of the morning it was learned that the
>luminous objects had been seen only minutes before 11:30 p.m.
>over Marcaay and Valencia, where the possibility of nocturnal
>exercises was also raised, given the proximity of the Palo Negro
>air base. This suggestion was discarded, like the others, and as
>of this morning the strange phenomenon still remains
>unexplained, waiting for the Cajigal Observatory to put forth
>one final possibility: that it was a meteor shower, even when
>this alternative has been dismissed in advance due to the fact
>that meteorites fall in semi-vertical trajectories and do not
>fly horizontally, much less maneuver, as was the case last
>night.

Hello David,

It's not clear that the meteor shower explanation can be so
casually dismissed, at least from the information provided in
the article.

What was the nature of the "maneuver" referred to in the last
sentence above? The preceeding portion of the article only
describes a generic west-to-east transit of the objects.

Thanks for any info,

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 12](#)

Re: Leslie Kean's Conference

From: **A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO** <a.j.nul>
Date: Tue, 11 Jun 2013 08:45:18 -0300
Archived: Wed, 12 Jun 2013 06:07:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Leslie Kean's Conference

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 9 Jun 2013 09:23:58 -0500
>Subject: Leslie Kean's Conference

>Leslie Kean has helped organize a conference to take place on
>June 29 and 30. It looks of more than ordinary interest, with
>officials from Chile EFAA and France's GEIPAN speaking in the
>U.S. for the first time.

>Three university Ph.D.'s will be talking as well as Maj. Gen.
>Wilfried de Brower, Col. Charles Halt, Dr. Richard Haines, and
>others.

>Three speakers who will headline the conference recently
>appeared on this podcast:

><http://tinyurl.com/gg8pz3x>

Hi Jerome.

Thank you for the good info, as usual.

Would you happen to have Leslie's new e-mail address? I have
been trying to reach her, but had no success so far.

And you or anyone in the List would also have Maj. Gen. Wilfried
de Brower's e-mail address as well?

Thank you very much.

A. J.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 12](#)

Are These the Faces Of Our Far-Future Descendents?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2013 17:20:58 -0400
Archived: Wed, 12 Jun 2013 17:20:58 -0400
Subject: Are These the Faces Of Our Far-Future Descendents?

Source: Discovery.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/lfv3cxe>

JUN 11, 2013

[Photo]

Are These the Faces Of Our Far-Future Descendents?
By Ray Villard

Some futurists caution that humans could likely become extinct sooner than later in the face of a technological Armageddon. You might call it an evolutionary phase transition when intelligent machines, nanobots, or some other creation of man gone amok wipes us all out.

Alternately, the seemingly inevitable marriage between man and machine may go down less Draconian pathways branching into an infinity of possibilities. For example, in Arthur C. Clarke=92s 3001: The Final Odyssey (1997) humans all shave their heads so they can wear a computer-interface BrainCap, er, iCap? And then there=92s Star Trek=92s infamous Borg =97 a collection of species that have been turned into cybernetic organisms functioning as drones in an interstellar hive.

While the future of mankind is unknowable, illustrator Nickolay Lamm has produced a set of imaginative evolutionary changes to the human face over the next 100,000 years.

[More at site... Thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 13](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 03:37:59 +0100
Archived: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 07:09:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2013 08:44:23 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 17:36:06 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

><snip>

>>Apologies for interrupting, but recent off-line discussion
>>(yesterday) gave an insight on the 'other dimensions' debate.

>>That is, although I'd always dismissed the thought of other
>>dimensions, re-thinking the premises outlined in 'Flatland' by
>>Edwin Abbott Abbott - see:

>><http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flatland>
>><snip>

>Other dimensions is not even on the table with regard to the
>visitors. People use the term "interdiminsional", "other
>dimensions", etc., as a synonym for 'parallel universe', when
>the two are completely distinct.

>For one thing, we exist in multiple dimensions (length, height,
>depth, time, although time is now being challenged as the 4th
>dimension). So if there were life in extra dimensions it would
>likely exist in multiple dimensions as well. If that life would
>then interact with our dimensions it would not appear as flying
>saucers and spacemen. When we looked at it we wouldn't know what
>we were looking at. It would appear quite odd and something
>quite foreign to our perceptions.

>Not to mention that the other dimensions that are believed to
>exist are all completely rolled up so tiny that only subatomic
>particles could be effected by them or interact with them.

>Also, parallel universes are quite in vogue as of late. However,
>there are problems with them too. If technology could be
>invented to transport one into a parallel universe it would not
>possess the ability to fine tune or choose a specific parallel
>universe. It would all be chance. And the thing about that is
>that if the laws of physics are even just slightly off in that
>other universe the traveler would immediately cease to be.

>To burst another bubble, many scientists have already discarded
>the notion of worm holes as well. I'm no expert on this but
>supposedly the calculations show that the moment that any matter
>would enter a worm hole the worm hole would then immediately
>collapse killing any person trying to cross it.

Hi Jason,

We'd better be precise when talking of 'dimensions'.

If there were a fourth dimension, then, according to the strict

logic of 'Flatland' no three-dimensional observer could know of it unless a 4-dimensional being somehow 'entered' our dimension - appearing, as I said, as a seemingly paranormal event. Although if that being had complete control over its dimensional movements it could indeed simulate anything it wished: spacemen, ghosts or gods.

Coincidentally, while you and I were busy with other things, but after your post, a Chinese pal told me of this short explanation (from one point of view), apparently by a clever teenager - at:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eGguwYPC32I>

and titled "4th Dimension Explained By A High-School Student".

My Chinese pal sent it because we'd been discussing the (fallacious in our view) relativistic concept of 'Time' as a dimension - and you'll see that student seems to agree.

However, as you say, the 'other dimension' term is often used to mean types of (unseen) existence or travel by means presently unknown to us.

I'm completely discounting the 'string theory' story of rolled-up dimensions for several reasons, primarily because 'string theory' has always seemed (to me) to be merely mathematicians' wishful thinking, and is no longer even fashionable as speculation.

Also completely discounted are 'worm-holes' and their so-called parental 'black holes'. I'm on record - see:

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/2007/jan/m10-008.shtml>

but there's earlier posts) as giving several reasons for my disbelief, some concerning their non-visibility (yes - the presence of 'black holes' would be very obvious indeed - if they existed). Another reason is the universal and over-arching power of "conservation of angular momentum" which, to my mind forbids a massive body from reaching a density stage much beyond a neutron star.

Because the mathematicians who dreamed-up and popularized 'black hole theory', notably Hawking in Brief History of Time, apparently failed to realize that real, physical objects are a) not symmetrical; and b) will therefore spin - faster and faster - with any accretion. And accelerating spin inevitably means that polar 'jets' will at some stage re-distribute the core matter of that spinning body.

As for parallel universes, the idea seems impossible to prove or disprove (so it's not 'scientific' - see Popper), and, along with 'black holes', 'dark matter' and 'dark energy', there has never been any physical evidence of their existence.

If we check the accumulating evidence we see that our physics theories and cosmological theories (the standard models) are being shot to pieces by contradicting discoveries - presently all being swept under the mat of 'consensus'.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 14](#)

New Zealand UFO Docs - Searchable PDFs

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 20:11:51 +0100
Archived: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 07:49:34 -0400
Subject: New Zealand UFO Docs - Searchable PDFs

As the latest item in a series of related posts, I've uploaded searchable PDF files containing over 2,000 pages of New Zealand UFO documents to a free file storage website ("WeTransfer") at the link below:

<http://we.tl/PVkgH6OCsI>

I've also uploaded the same material to the Box.com link below (and, unlike the above link, it will remain active after 24 June 2013). Unlike the above link, the Box.com link below allows you to download single files (in case you only want to view a sample file or download a specific reference) or you can simply click on the "Download" button at the top right of that webpage to download all of the files with a single click):
<https://www.box.com/s/9cd4zovsxcrskj9130kk>

In short, use the Wetransfer link above if you want the entire collection and it is before 25 June 2013. Use the Box.com link above if you only want a single file or it is after 24 June 2013.

Some highlights from that collection are given in my lengthy post at the link below:

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread952911/pg1>

That lengthy post is split into the following sections:

SECTION A : THIS BRIEF INTRODUCTION

SECTION B : BACKGROUND - UFOS OVER NEW ZEALAND

SECTION C : THE FILES RELEASED IN 2010

SECTION D : THE OTHER OFFICIAL FILES

SECTION E : DON'T ALARM THE WOMEN : CAPTAIN RAINBOW SIGHTING (1955)

SECTION F : THE RUSSIANS ARE COMING! - MORELAND HUMANOID ENCOUNTER "FIB" (1959)

SECTION G : SIGHTING BY TWO AIRCRAFT CREWS (1961) - SUPERIORS MYSTIFIED

SECTION H : UFO INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE (1970s)

SECTION I : KAIKOURA LIGHTS "WHITEWASH" (1978)

SECTION J : "WE ALWAYS KNEW WE WERE ON SHAKY GROUND" (1984)

SECTION K : "THERE IS ALSO A CLASSIFIED FILE" (1985)

SECTION L : BRUCE CATHIE

SECTION M : CONCLUSION

SECTION N : REFERENCES

SECTION 0 : POSTSCRIPT

My personal list of highlights includes the following:

(1) While the official New Zealand files released online in 2010 generated quite a bit of media coverage, none of the reports in the main stream media appear to have mentioned that several files were not included in that release of material. Some of the further files are available at archives in New Zealand for anyone that wishes to take photos of them.

(2) A well-reported sighting by the crew and some of the passengers of an aircraft in 1955 could have had more witnesses, but the male pilot and other male witnesses didn't want to alarm the women on board.

(3) An investigation of one reported close encounter in 1959 resulted in the investigator concluding that the occupant of a flying saucer may have been shouting in Russian. The report was accordingly classified and media requests for relevant documents were met with a refusal on the basis of an alleged promise to the witness to keep the relevant sighting report confidential. However, the internal documents now available reveal that in fact the officials writing the relevant correspondence were aware that there was not any such promise in relation to that sighting. The recipient of the correspondence has referred to the reply he received as a "fib". I'd be interested in hearing whether other people consider the word "fib" to be accurate and adequate in the light of the relevant internal documents.

(4) One sighting in 1961 left a pilot, and his superiors, "mystified".

(5) The official files contain a considerable volume of material on the well-known Kaikoura lights documents, including comments from military personnel expressing the view that the official New Zealand investigation into that sighting was a "whitewash".

(6) The New Zealand authorities had previously refused to release various documents, even when their internal documents stated that "we always knew we were on shaky ground" in doing so.

(7) One intriguing handwritten annotation on a memo written in 1985 in relation to an enquiry from prominent UFO author Timothy Good states "There is also a classified file".

I hope some of you find this searchable collection useful.

All the best,

Isaac

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 14](#)

Australian UFO PDF Files - Second Batch

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 20:38:43 +0100
Archived: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 08:04:12 -0400
Subject: Australian UFO PDF Files - Second Batch

A few weeks ago, I uploaded (with the permission of the National Archives of Australia), searchable PDF files containing over 10,000 pages of Australian UFO documents and gave some highlights from that collection in a rather lengthy post at:

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread942661/pg1>

In that thread, I pointed out that there were additional files that remained to be digitised at a fairly modest cost.

Since I posted that thread, veteran Australian researcher Keith Basterfield has found a sponsor (Melbourne researcher Paul Dean) willing to pay for 16 further UFO files to be digitised.

The National Archives of Australia has again kindly given permission for these further files to be made available as searchable PDFs upon them being digitised.

The first 8 of those further files have now been digitized by the National Archives of Australia and I've converted them to searchable PDFs. These files contain a little over 1,000 pages.

Keith Basterfield has kindly indicated that he is happy for me to post a link to these further files:

<http://we.tl/GUPgT4kSny>

Keith Basterfield has recently posted about some of these further files on his informative blog:

Another Rare Australian Government Navy UAP File Digitised

<http://tinyurl.com/lnbclmz>

Final Two Parts Of The RAAF's Main UAP File Series:

<http://tinyurl.com/kysk4h6>

Intrusions, Radar Contacts And Kugelblitz

<http://tinyurl.com/k4k98r8>

Car Pacing, Landing Traces, A Marine Gaseous UAP - Another RAAF UAP File Digitised

<http://tinyurl.com/ket4gfk>

A 1941 UAP file in the National Archives?

<http://tinyurl.com/knogpl1>

(The other files being digitised due to the efforts of Keith Basterfield and Paul Dean should be available within a month).

All the best,

Isaac

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 14](#)

UFO UpDates PDF Archive

From: **Isaac Koi** <isaackoi.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 20:42:14 +0100
Archived: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 08:05:34 -0400
Subject: UFO UpDates PDF Archive

Since someone has told me that he has been having problems downloading the searchable PDF archive of posts to this List from the Minus.com website.

So, I've temporarily uploaded another copy of the archive (updated to the end of 2012) to the link below:

<http://we.tl/8xPV9gCyIl>

All the best,

Isaac

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 14](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 16:16:49 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 08:08:44 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 03:37:59 +0100
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2013 08:44:23 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 2 Jun 2013 17:36:06 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden on Coast to Coast

>><snip>

>>>Apologies for interrupting, but recent off-line discussion
>>>(yesterday) gave an insight on the 'other dimensions' debate.

>>>That is, although I'd always dismissed the thought of other
>>>dimensions, re-thinking the premises outlined in 'Flatland' by
>>>Edwin Abbott Abbott - see:

>>><http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flatland>
>>><snip>

>>>Other dimensions is not even on the table with regard to the
>>>visitors. People use the term "interdiminsional", "other
>>>dimensions", etc., as a synonym for 'parallel universe', when
>>>the two are completely distinct.

>>>For one thing, we exist in multiple dimensions (length, height,
>>>depth, time, although time is now being challenged as the 4th
>>>dimension). So if there were life in extra dimensions it would
>>>likely exist in multiple dimensions as well. If that life would
>>>then interact with our dimensions it would not appear as flying
>>>saucers and spacemen. When we looked at it we wouldn't know what
>>>we were looking at. It would appear quite odd and something
>>>quite foreign to our perceptions.

>>>Not to mention that the other dimensions that are believed to
>>>exist are all completely rolled up so tiny that only subatomic
>>>particles could be effected by them or interact with them.

>>>Also, parallel universes are quite in vogue as of late. However,
>>>there are problems with them too. If technology could be
>>>invented to transport one into a parallel universe it would not
>>>possess the ability to fine tune or choose a specific parallel
>>>universe. It would all be chance. And the thing about that is
>>>that if the laws of physics are even just slightly off in that
>>>other universe the traveler would immediately cease to be.

>>>To burst another bubble, many scientists have already discarded
>>>the notion of worm holes as well. I'm no expert on this but
>>>supposedly the calculations show that the moment that any matter
>>>would enter a worm hole the worm hole would then immediately
>>>collapse killing any person trying to cross it.

>Hi Jason,

>We'd better be precise when talking of 'dimensions'.

>If there were a fourth dimension, then, according to the strict
>logic of 'Flatland' no three-dimensional observer could know of
>it unless a 4-dimensional being somehow 'entered' our dimension
>- appearing, as I said, as a seemingly paranormal event.
>Although if that being had complete control over its dimensional
>movements it could indeed simulate anything it wished: spacemen,
>ghosts or gods.

It would definitely be viewed as 'paranormal' by our perceptions
but it would not possess the ability to become anything as you
claim. It would look really weird to us but it could not make
itself appear as a flying saucer with spacemen, for example.
That's not how extra dimensions work.

>Coincidentally, while you and I were busy with other things, but
>after your post, a Chinese pal told me of this short explanation
>(from one point of view), apparently by a clever teenager - at:

><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eGguwYPC32I>

>and titled "4th Dimension Explained By A High-School Student".

>My Chinese pal sent it because we'd been discussing the
>(fallacious in our view) relativistic concept of 'Time' as a
>dimension - and you'll see that student seems to agree.

Actually mainstream science now is swayed to the side that time
is not the fourth dimension and that time is just change. I
remember when I was young hearing about how time was the fourth
dimension so it just goes to show that scientific opinions do
change with time.

>However, as you say, the 'other dimension' term is often used to
>mean types of (unseen) existence or travel by means presently
>unknown to us.

From my perspective it appears that much of the public confuses
extra dimensions with parallel universes. They are completely
distinct things.

>I'm completely discounting the 'string theory' story of rolled-
>up dimensions for several reasons, primarily because 'string
>theory' has always seemed (to me) to be merely mathematicians'
>wishful thinking, and is no longer even fashionable as
>speculation.

Agree. It has not been able to produce any evidence.

>Also completely discounted are 'worm-holes' and their so-called
>parental 'black holes'. I'm on record - see:

><http://ufoupdateslist.com/2007/jan/m10-008.shtml>

>but there's earlier posts) as giving several reasons for my
>disbelief, some concerning their non-visibility (yes - the
>presence of 'black holes' would be very obvious indeed - if they
>existed). Another reason is the universal and over-arching power
>of "conservation of angular momentum" which, to my mind forbids
>a massive body from reaching a density stage much beyond a
>neutron star.

Black Holes as currently described by science most likely do not
exist. The problem is the singularity, a concept that is more
magical than scientific. In the real world we aren't allowed to
divide by zero and nothing can be infinite. Yet for some reason
black hole supporters are given a free pass on both counts.

>Because the mathematicians who dreamed-up and popularized 'black
>hole theory', notably Hawking in Brief History of Time,
>apparently failed to realize that real, physical objects are a)
>not symmetrical; and b) will therefore spin - faster and faster
>- with any accretion. And accelerating spin inevitably means
>that polar 'jets' will at some stage re-distribute the core
>matter of that spinning body.

>As for parallel universes, the idea seems impossible to prove or
>disprove (so it's not 'scientific' - see Popper), and, along

>with 'black holes', 'dark matter' and 'dark energy', there has
>never been any physical evidence of their existence.

It's not just that. Let's assume that parallel universes exist.
In science fiction we have all these stories of people traveling
to a parallel universe. The problem is there is no way to steer.
You can't create a dial if there is no way to specifically
isolate a specific parallel universe from the rest. Instead, it
would be completely random. Perhaps a traveler might simply go
into the nearest universe and then go from there. But the notion
of choosing a specific universe to enter may be a pipe dream.

>If we check the accumulating evidence we see that our physics
>theories and cosmological theories (the standard models) are
>being shot to pieces by contradicting discoveries - presently
>all being swept under the mat of 'consensus'.

I agree Ray. There are many problems with many of the standard
models in science. These problems are omens indicating our
knowledge is incomplete. It may very well be the models are
either partially or completely wrong.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 14](#)

Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 22:45:33 +0100
Archived: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 08:11:55 -0400
Subject: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

Hello List,

UFO hits Air China Aeroplane

<http://tinyurl.com/m8reo3u>

and

It Really Does Look Like This Plane Hit A Ufo Mid-Air

<http://tinyurl.com/mksmxr9>

and if my memory is right, much the same thing happened in the last year or so to a Western plane - even down to the size, shape and position of the dent in the plane's nose.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 14](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 18:00:38 -0400
Archived: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 08:13:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 03:37:59 +0100
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

<snip>

>Hi Jason,

>We'd better be precise when talking of 'dimensions'.

"Dimensions" has more than one shade of meaning.

You can talk about the strict usage of "dimension" as meaning length, width, height, and time found in lower level physics textbooks.

But when people talk about beings "from another dimension", that's really about beings from another dimension _set_.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 09:04:34 -0400
Archived: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 06:00:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 03:37:59 +0100
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>Also completely discounted are 'worm-holes' and their so-called
>parental 'black holes'. I'm on record - see:

><http://ufoupdateslist.com/2007/jan/m10-008.shtml>

>but there's earlier posts) as giving several reasons for my
>disbelief, some concerning their non-visibility (yes - the
>presence of 'black holes' would be very obvious indeed - if they
>existed). Another reason is the universal and over-arching power
>of "conservation of angular momentum" which, to my mind forbids
>a massive body from reaching a density stage much beyond a
>neutron star.

>Because the mathematicians who dreamed-up and popularized 'black
>hole theory', notably Hawking in Brief History of Time,
>apparently failed to realize that real, physical objects are a)
>not symmetrical; and b) will therefore spin - faster and faster
>- with any accretion. And accelerating spin inevitably means
>that polar 'jets' will at some stage re-distribute the core
>matter of that spinning body.

>As for parallel universes, the idea seems impossible to prove or
>disprove (so it's not 'scientific' - see Popper), and, along
>with 'black holes', 'dark matter' and 'dark energy', there has
>never been any physical evidence of their existence.

Here is a recent article reporting 26 black holes discovered in
the Andromeda galaxy.

<http://tinyurl.com/n5nqlak>

As you said, the evidence is indirect.

"The researchers studied the peculiarities in the X-ray light
given off by the objects to determine whether they were more
likely to be black holes or dense objects called neutron stars.
They discriminated black holes belonging to Andromeda from
brighter, more distant supermassive black holes at the center of
other galaxies by studying the patterns of variation in their
brightness."

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: **Albert Baier** <albertgbaier.nul>
Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 09:18:51 -0500
Archived: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 06:03:26 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 16:16:49 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 03:37:59 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

Jason, Ray, et.al.,

While it is fun to speculate on present day theories, it is no longer necessary. Big Bang, black holes, dark matter, dark energy, string theory; it's all BS.

The true paradigm shift in physics occurred in 1928, with Paul Diracs relativistic reworking of Schrodingers wave equation. Diracs equation is still considered a remarkable achievement in physics, even today, but it was not correctly understood then. It is correctly explained by Hotson in my posted links here:

<http://tinyurl.com/7aysjhg>

Few have recognized the significance of Hotsons work because of their investment in the Standard Model, failing to realize the absurdity of a theory that:

1. Changes every time new experimental evidence comes along.
2. Explains things with magic particles, fudge factors, and hand waving.
3. Has replaced 'we don't know' with unprovable postulates.

Hotson explains the details quite well, but note this: You must be willing to:

1. Temporarily reset your viewpoint to 1928.
2. Suspend belief in all Standard Model concepts.
3. Critically analyze with an open mind.

Perhaps because of my mental shortcomings, I have had to reread Hotsons papers several times. It's hard to start with a clean slate in any endeavor, when one has been bombarded with a lifetimes worth of 'scientific' propoganda.

Any honest effort you expend on this will be well worth it, and will open new avenues for exploring the concepts you have been discussing here. I guarantee!

Best Regards,

Albert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

Results Of 10 Years Research By Denocla

From: Denis R. Denocla <denocla.nul>
Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 18:08:08 +0200
Archived: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 06:10:01 -0400
Subject: Results Of 10 Years Research By Denocla

Hi there, dear colleagues,
Enjoy and feel free to share.

Free online lecture:

Results Of 10 Years Of Research By Denis Roger Denocla

<http://www.youtube.com/denocla>

<http://youtu.be/rCjrJXJza6s>

Watch: 10 major results for 10 years of research

- The identity and origin of the Crop Circles makers
- 18 E.T. species described in detail and the fascinating world of UMMO
- A new cosmological model that explain dark matter
- The UFO's mode of travel explained
- The UMMO documents and the evidence of the E.T. presence on Earth
- The extraterrestrial language of UMMO decoded
- The 3/3 Theory model to understand paranormal phenomena
- How telepathy works
- What 'ghosts' and 'poltergeist' are
- Explanations for 'spirit' communications

Best Regards,

D. R. Denocla

<http://www.denocla.com>

<http://www.facebook.com/denocla>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 15](#)

The Onion On 'Alien' Planet

From: Diana Cammack <dianacammack45.nul>
Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 19:24:45 +0200
Archived: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 06:17:12 -0400
Subject: The Onion On 'Alien' Planet

<http://tinyurl.com/lx73flt>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: Pareidolia

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 08:27:48 -0400
Archived: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 08:02:20 -0400
Subject: Re: Pareidolia

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 13:22:16 +0100
>Subject: Pareidolia

>Excellent little piece from the BBC on why we see faces in the
>strangest places, Mars included...

><http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/magazine-22686500>

This article really only speculates on why we see faces in the
strangest places. I wonder how the author would explain the
faces on Mount Rushmore.

<http://www.nps.gov/moru/index.htm>

The perceived validity of pareidolia as an explanation depends
entirely on consensus, so it is as true as the phenomena it
tries to explain.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 08:51:38 -0400
Archived: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 08:05:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 12:07:18 -0700
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>Grant, in one public lecture I attended, also mentioned his own
>experiences with regards to literally chasing on foot small
>probes out in the field and being under the impression that his
>mind was being read on occasion (if I remember correctly, which
>maybe I'm not, so don't quote me here). This was back in 1975-76
>in Manitoba during a huge UFO wave there when the UFOs hung
>around for months. Grant now thinks this may be related to the
>U.S. nuclear missile UFO incursions taking place at the same
>time just over the border with Canada. The UFOs would "cool
>their heels" over in friendlier Canada, maybe, when they weren't
>harassing the missile sites.

>>To be specific, is he suggesting this is magic or advanced
>>technology. From what little I've heard from him on this it
>>seems he is pushing for the former.

>>People always forget Arthur C. Clarke's famous quote, "Any
>>sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from
>>magic."

>I don't think Grant believes in magic. I suspect he either
>believes this is a natural ability and/or some technology.

Wilbert B. Smith, who Grant has studied extensively, comments as follows in one of his letters concerning tensor beam technology. This information was said to come from the ETs themselves, but he does not explain how.:

<http://www.treurniet.ca/Smith/SmithCoil.htm>

"I think you might be a bit hasty in dismissing 'telepathic' communication with these people from elsewhere, since I know that the system they use does resemble telepathy to some extent, but it actually is electrical, even though they have cut out most of the intervening equipment such as microphones, earphones, vocal cords etc. I have generated this energy in the lab, but I am not smart enough to do anything with it; I only know that it exists, and the boys from topside admit that it is what they use.

The tensor beam I have found to be quite unmanageable. I can generate it OK but can't make it do much for me. You see, it is identical with the energies associated with the life functions in the human body and accordingly can be incorporated and directed with them, by mental control, by experts! I hope someday to be able to do it, but I am informed that it takes at least 10 years of concentrated training for the experts to learn how to do it."

So Smith would say that telepathy is a natural ability that can be enhanced by technology.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 15:44:54 +0100
Archived: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 08:06:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 18:00:38 -0400
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 03:37:59 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

><snip>
>>We'd better be precise when talking of 'dimensions'.

>"Dimensions" has more than one shade of meaning.

>You can talk about the strict usage of "dimension" as meaning
>length, width, height, and time found in lower level physics
>textbooks.

>But when people talk about beings "from another dimension",
>that's really about beings from another dimension _set_.

Hi Eleanor

Your 'other dimension set' is an example of 'other worlds' or maybe 'parallel existences' ideas - my reference was to the classical 'Flatland' concept of a single universe with some of its inhabitants having length, width and height, but others inhabiting a portion (or majority) of the universe where they have length, width, height and another unknown dimension. In that situation the three dimensional beings would only see what a four-dimensional visitor wanted them to see.

However, as I said before I don't believe that situation applies - and here's a small diagram (from John D Barrow's 'The Constants of Nature' p. 222) showing the reasons why just three dimensions are required for the observed universe, at www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/imgs/timespace.gif

I.e. with any less or any more than three dimensions we wouldn't be alive.

And in any case, there seems to be a basic relationship, first noticed by Kant, between the number of physical dimensions and the acting-ratio of gravity: in our three dimensional universe gravity acts as inverse square of distance, with 4 dimensions gravity would act as the inverse cube.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 15:49:44 +0100
Archived: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 08:08:08 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 09:04:34 -0400
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 03:37:59 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>Also completely discounted are 'worm-holes' and their so-called
>>parental 'black holes'. I'm on record - see:
>><http://ufoupdateslist.com/2007/jan/m10-008.shtml>
>>but there's earlier posts)

<snip>

>Here is a recent article reporting 26 black holes discovered in
>the Andromeda galaxy.

><http://tinyurl.com/n5nqlak>

>As you said, the evidence is indirect.

>"The researchers studied the peculiarities in the X-ray light
>given off by the objects to determine whether they were more
>likely to be black holes or dense objects called neutron stars.
>They discriminated black holes belonging to Andromeda from
>brighter, more distant supermassive black holes at the center of
>other galaxies by studying the patterns of variation in their
>brightness."

Hello William,

The usual claim of black-hole apologists - that "they're very
difficult to spot by direct means, therefore we must infer their
presence" is just rubbish. I.e if even one black hole existed in
the observable universe its presence would be obvious - as a big
circular 'target' of bent and re-focussed light, with a tiny
dark centre (event horizon), surrounded by an unnaturally wide
ring of darkness (no background starlight around it - that
would've all been bent inwards by the "infinite" gravity of the
'black hole', to form that target of light).

For anyone's peace-of-mind would recommend, whenever reading
'black hole', just substitute neutron star or massy body (or
galactic core - which might well have either).

There's several reasons to say there's no such thing as a 'black
hole': defined as an infinitely dense mass surrounded by an
'event horizon' (for light).

To see some of those reasons, put forward by sensible
physicists, try googling "Black Holes Don't Exist".

The original 'theory' was actually just speculative chat by a
fairly accomplished mathematician physicist (not a 'real'
physicist - Feynman quote), and was only talking about the
stages of density of star-like bodies, like "neutron stars" and

possibly beyond. A real physicist would have been thinking in terms of physical limiting factors, like accretion and spin, way before the fanciful idea of a 'singularity' occurred to anybody.

But mathematicians don't think much about reality. In fact decades later, when Hawking grabbed the idea as a 'sexy/scary' money-spinner he still didn't initially realize that any putative 'black hole' would have to have gained huge spin - a prohibitive amount of spin, way past the point where 'conservation of angular momentum' allows the breakdown of the axis line, forcing plasma and radiation 'jets' of enormous energy to emerge from the poles, so re-distributing the matter of any super-dense body well before a magic (and impossible) 'singularity' could happen. [His early mathematical 'design' was of a stationary, non-spinning object - if you can believe that!]

If you think about it, a putative 'black hole' (an infinitely dense mass surrounded by an 'event horizon') breaks the 'laws of physics', notably the conservation laws, as does the Big Bang (another sexy/scary gimmick designed to keep tax-payers funding rolling in).

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 15:54:53 -0400
Archived: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 08:09:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Albert Baier <albertgbaier.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 09:18:51 -0500
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>The true paradigm shift in physics occurred in 1928, with Paul
>Diracs relativistic reworking of Schrodingers wave equation.
>Diracs equation is still considered a remarkable achievement in
>physics, even today, but it was not correctly understood then.
>It is correctly explained by Hotson in my posted links here:

><http://tinyurl.com/7aysjhg>

I, too, was impressed with Hotson's efforts to correct a number
objections he raised concerning the standard model of physics.
His suggestions for improving the situation seemed to make a lot
of sense. I discovered much later that his proposals help to
understand some phenomena generally not handled by the standard
model.

Of particular interest were the production of ectoplasm and
apports by mediums in physical mediumship seances. I was able to
learn some novel physics about such materializations when given
the opportunity to interview knowledgeable extraterrestrial
entities via a medium. These are physical beings on another
planet who are able to project their consciousness to faraway
places. For communicating with us, they need to contact a
properly prepared human like a medium.

Their physics includes an understanding of materialization of
objects into the physical domain. I was struck by how well the
description they gave mapped onto the theoretical view proposed
by Hotson.

I wrote about this experience with the ETs in an earlier
contribution to the list.

<http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2013/jan/m21-003.shtml>

The physics of materialization is discussed in an article at

<http://www.treurniet.ca/psi/zectoplasm.htm>

The same information, as well as my reasons for believing that I
was talking to an entity separate from the medium, is included in
a book available from Amazon.

<http://tinyurl.com/bj4nab5>

Some readers may scoff at the validity of this kind of
communication. My apologies to Hotson when the association with
the purported ET physics reflects badly on his theory.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Scattered Not Unified

From: **Dave Emmons** <ddemmons5649.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 16:35:12 -0400
Subject: Scattered Not Unified

Been reading and responding personally to some of the posters here on UFO UpDates. Seems that people are grasping at straws in trying to figure out dimensions and other universes. Academia's research is necessary but the theories and opinions seem to be all over the spectrum of UFO research - scattered and not unified.

Studies on ETs, UFOs, and scientific theories on dimensions are just a guess by most and completely over the head of others. Why is it that people don't want to believe legitimate experiencers?

The clues each of us experiencers give should help close the research gap and answer some questions. For instance, Kathy Marden's research should not be dissed. I am one of those experiencers...

Seems it is very difficult to explain ET/UFO experiences to so-called scientist or amateur scientists - those who read a lot of books - and get them to open their minds to possibilities.

To me, I am happy with the experiences I have had with UFOs/ET throughout my life and sometimes I have to chuckle about all this einsteinium probabilities. Can't we all share without negative replies.

My experiences include not seeing one up close but five UFOs.

Honestly,

Dave

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 16](#)

Paradigm Shift In Physics [was: Kathleen Marden On

From: Albert Baier <albertgbaier.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 09:31:50 -0500
Archived: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 16:49:56 -0400
Subject: Paradigm Shift In Physics [was: Kathleen Marden On

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 15:54:53 -0400
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To >Coast

>>From: Albert Baier <albertgbaier.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 09:18:51 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To >Coast

>>The true paradigm shift in physics occurred in 1928, with Paul
>>Diracs relativistic reworking of Schrodingers wave equation.
>>Diracs equation is still considered a remarkable achievement in
>>physics, even today, but it was not correctly understood then.
>>It is correctly explained by Hotson in my posted links here:

>><http://tinyurl.com/7aysjhg>

>> "...I discovered much later that his proposals help to
understand some phenomena generally not handled by the standard
model....."

William,

I'm more concerned with correcting the many problems associated
with the Standard Model, which doesn't really explain anything.

In Hotsons Part 3, he says we now have an "...ubiquitous, non-
local medium connecting everything to everything else in the
universe..."

Changes in this medium 'produce' EM radiation, magnetic and
gravitational fields. That said, it certainly is possible for
other 'types' of energy to use the medium as well. I'm thinking
of chi, auras, homeopathy, telepathy, and so on, which are
'energy based' phenomena. Also note that this medium permeates
all space, including the space within atoms, and is responsible
for, and governs the behavior of, all matter.

For the past 85 years, physics has been in a tail-chasing mode,
inventing ever more bizarre constructs to preserve the Standard
Model, yet never able to explain the simplest phenomena.

There is no hope for science to understand the aforementioned
energy-based phenomena, without a correct understanding of the
basic structure and behavior of space, energy, and matter.

Hotson has shown us the structure of a 'whole-istic' universe.
It's an amazing accomplishment. It is indeed ironic that the
Masters of the Eastern Cultures have been able to understand and
use these ideas, whereas Western Science, in it's arrogance, and
ignorance, refuses to even look at them, because "they can't be
explained".

I love this quote from Stephen Bassett, about people who
think...:

"...collective ignorance is somehow smarter than individual
ignorance - a case of the arrogant leading the blind...."

True science isn't based on consensus. Truth isn't decided democratically. I don't want something 9 out of 10 doctors recommend. It has to be 10 out of 10, or it's a no go. :)

Best Regards,

Albert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Kathleen Brand <katsdogs.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 17:02:01 -0400
Archived: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 05:54:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Dave Emmons <d-demmons5649.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>Been reading and responding personally to some of the posters
>here on UFO UpDates. Seems that people are grasping at straws in
>trying to figure out dimensions and other universes. Academia's
>research is necessary but the theories and opinions seem to be
>all over the spectrum of UFO research - scattered and not
>unified.

>Studies on ETs, UFOs, and scientific theories on dimensions are
>just a guess by most and completely over the head of others.
>Why is it that people don't want to believe legitimate
>experiencers?

>The clues each of us experiencers give should help close the
>research gap and answer some questions. For instance, Kathy
>Marden's research should not be dissed. I am one of those
>experiencers...

>Seems it is very difficult to explain ET/UFO experiences to so-
>called scientist or amateur scientists - those who read a lot of
>books - and get them to open their minds to possibilities.

>To me, I am happy with the experiences I have had with UFOs/ET
>throughout my life and sometimes I have to chuckle about all
>this einsteinium probabilities. Can't we all share without
>negative replies.

>My experiences include not seeing one up close but five UFOs.

I've never posted on this forum before, but do receive all of
the posts.

As an "experiencer" myself, with absolutely no doubt in my mind
about the craft I've seen, I second Mr. Emmons' opinion.

Some posters go on, ad infinitum, about their own sacred
opinions, sometimes maligning, belittling, others' ideas.
Mainly, it seems to me they just like to spout alot of hot air -
just like to hear themselves "expound".

Enough, already.

Respectfully to all,

Kathleen

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

From: **Terrence Brown** <mrbrownsdi.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 00:49:42 -0400
Archived: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 05:57:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 22:45:33 +0100
>Subject: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

>UFO hits Air China Aeroplane

The source of the online reports seems to be The Aviation Herald.

<http://avherald.com/h?article=463508bb&opt=0>

A commentor said he had experienced this before:

"I had exactly the same thing happen to me on a flight out of LHR to YYZ on a 747-400. What caused it was a static discharge while climbing through snow showers at about 6000 feet on departure. There was a huge bang and flash followed by the wx radar failing. We leveled off at 10,000 feet and accelerated to 300 knots to see if there was any adverse effect. Since the aircraft felt perfectly normal we carried on to Toronto. As we approached the gate, the ramp hands were pointing at the nose. When we got off we saw that the radome was pushed in as if a huge fist had punched it in the nose. As it turned out the pressure from the rapidly heated and expanded air had done the damage. The radar antenna had jammed."

I can't assess the explanation, but a commentator on another site noted some plane noses are deformable by design, giving this link to a patent, which says:

"An aircraft forward pressure bulkhead as described herein includes a malleable and deformable dome that is configured to 'catch' foreign objects, such as birds. The dome is intentionally designed to deform in response to a foreign object strike that imparts at least a threshold amount of impact energy to the bulkhead. The dome is free of rigid stiffeners and non-deformable reinforcement members that would otherwise hinder the flexible characteristic of the dome."

<http://www.google.com/patents/US20070164152>

Therefore, we should take care not to make too much of this.

Oh! My warning is too late for The Sun! (Nice graphic.)

<http://tinyurl.com/n88h9n8>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 17](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 08:45:54 -0500
Archived: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 11:42:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Kathleen Brand <katsdogs.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 17:02:01 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Dave Emmons <ddemmons5649.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>>Been reading and responding personally to some of the posters
>>here on UFO UpDates. Seems that people are grasping at straws in
>>trying to figure out dimensions and other universes. Academia's
>>research is necessary but the theories and opinions seem to be
>>all over the spectrum of UFO research - scattered and not
>>unified.

>>Studies on ETs, UFOs, and scientific theories on dimensions are
>>just a guess by most and completely over the head of others.
>>Why is it that people don't want to believe legitimate
>>experiencers?

>>The clues each of us experiencers give should help close the
>>research gap and answer some questions. For instance, Kathy
>>Marden's research should not be dissed. I am one of those
>>experiencers...

>>Seems it is very difficult to explain ET/UFO experiences to so-
>>called scientist or amateur scientists - those who read a lot of
>>books - and get them to open their minds to possibilities.

>>To me, I am happy with the experiences I have had with UFOs/ET
>>throughout my life and sometimes I have to chuckle about all
>>this einsteinium probabilities. Can't we all share without
>>negative replies.

>>My experiences include not seeing one up close but five UFOs.

>I've never posted on this forum before, but do receive all of
>the posts.

>As an "experiencer" myself, with absolutely no doubt in my mind
>about the craft I've seen, I second Mr. Emmons' opinion.

>Some posters go on, ad infinitum, about their own sacred
>opinions, sometimes maligning, belittling, others' ideas.
>Mainly, it seems to me they just like to spout alot of hot air -
>just like to hear themselves "expound".

>Enough, already.

I certainly respect your experiences (whatever they were). At
the same time, I don't know where you get the idea that it's
only you who have a right to express a point of view.

There is no reason for Listfolk to surrender their right to
expression just because you don't like what they're saying. None
of us - well, aside from me, of course - has a monopoly on
wisdom. Some people have well-considered opinions, others may be

foolish, and all shades between, but this is a forum on which people get to hear them out and agree or disagree, and then throw their ideas out there. The hope is that somewhere in this noisy process, we'll get to some truth that may have eluded us otherwise. Actually, that's pretty much how all truths in all areas of inquiry are arrived at.

The history of free expression - with which you ought to acquaint yourself - demonstrates that debate is often cantankerous and impolite. But the alternative, namely an entire list nodding in agreement with you, would be an argument that a forum like Updates may as well cease to exist.

Yes, I find some posters irritating, bombastic, and wrong-headed. Yet I know some no doubt think of me in the same way. God bless us all, and let's have at it.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 17:11:38 +0100
Archived: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 05:27:50 -0400
Subject: Re: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

>From: Terrence Brown <mrbrownsdi.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 00:49:42 -0400
>Subject: Re: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2013 22:45:33 +0100
>>Subject: Seems UFO Pilots Are Getting Careless

>>UFO hits Air China Aeroplane

>The source of the online reports seems to be The Aviation
>Herald.
><http://avherald.com/h?article=463508bb&opt=0>

<snip>

>I can't assess the explanation, but a commentator on another
>site noted some plane noses are deformable by design, giving
>this link to a patent, which says:

<snip>

><http://www.google.com/patents/US20070164152>

>Therefore, we should take care not to make too much of this.

<snip>

Great, that's good thinking Terrence, probably more sensible -
and economic - than a rigid, armoured nose-casing. And from the
references it seems that Boeing and Airbus are into it - so we
can maybe expect more of the same.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
Archived: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 05:31:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 08:45:54 -0500
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Kathleen Brand <katsdogs.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 17:02:01 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: Dave Emmons <d-demmons5649.nul>
>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>>>Been reading and responding personally to some of the posters
>>>here on UFO UpDates. Seems that people are grasping at straws in
>>>trying to figure out dimensions and other universes. Academia's
>>>research is necessary but the theories and opinions seem to be
>>>all over the spectrum of UFO research - scattered and not
>>>unified.

>>>Studies on ETs, UFOs, and scientific theories on dimensions are
>>>just a guess by most and completely over the head of others.
>>>Why is it that people don't want to believe legitimate
>>>experiencers?

>>>The clues each of us experiencers give should help close the
>>>research gap and answer some questions. For instance, Kathy
>>>Marden's research should not be dismissed. I am one of those
>>>experiencers...

>>>Seems it is very difficult to explain ET/UFO experiences to so-
>>>called scientist or amateur scientists - those who read a lot of
>>>books - and get them to open their minds to possibilities.

>>>To me, I am happy with the experiences I have had with UFOs/ET
>>>throughout my life and sometimes I have to chuckle about all
>>>this einsteinium probabilities. Can't we all share without
>>>negative replies.

>>>My experiences include not seeing one up close but five UFOs.

>>I've never posted on this forum before, but do receive all of
>>the posts.

>>As an "experiencer" myself, with absolutely no doubt in my mind
>>about the craft I've seen, I second Mr. Emmons' opinion.

>>Some posters go on, ad infinitum, about their own sacred
>>opinions, sometimes maligning, belittling, others' ideas.
>>Mainly, it seems to me they just like to spout alot of hot air -
>>just like to hear themselves "expound".

>>Enough, already.

>I certainly respect your experiences (whatever they were). At
>the same time, I don't know where you get the idea that it's
>only you who have a right to express a point of view.

>There is no reason for Listfolk to surrender their right to
>expression just because you don't like what they're saying. None
>of us - well, aside from me, of course - has a monopoly on
>wisdom. Some people have well-considered opinions, others may be
>foolish, and all shades between, but this is a forum on which
>people get to hear them out and agree or disagree, and then
>throw their ideas out there. The hope is that somewhere in this
>noisy process, we'll get to some truth that may have eluded us
>otherwise. Actually, that's pretty much how all truths in all
>areas of inquiry are arrived at.

>The history of free expression - with which you ought to
>acquaint yourself - demonstrates that debate is often
>cantankerous and impolite. But the alternative, namely an entire
>list nodding in agreement with you, would be an argument that a
>forum like Updates may as well cease to exist.

>Yes, I find some posters irritating, bombastic, and wrong-
>headed. Yet I know some no doubt think of me in the same way.
>God bless us all, and let's have at it.

I can understand why experiencers, presumably abductees, might think that their abduction experiences are generally not taken seriously, but I don't understand Dave Emmons' complaint, "Why is it that people don't want to believe legitimate experiencers?" He seems to imply that speculations about what they experienced is the same as doubting the experience itself.

Kathleen Brand seems to have the same impression that abduction experiences are not believed by people "expounding" on how they might occur. She should be pleased that people are taking the time to think about possible reasons for the experiences that go beyond explaining them away.

In the recent exchanges, it was only one person who opined that "Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of details to their narratives." The posts by others, about "dimensions" for example, were actually based on accepting the reality of the experiences. Experiencers should see that as a plus.

To my mind, the issue should be more broadly framed as a standoff between strict materialists who are against any hypothesis that smacks of "woo-woo", i.e., possibilities beyond our present science, and those who accept that experiences of telepathy and moving through walls are clues about a reality we don't understand yet.

I am pretty much in agreement with the substance of Jerome Clark's response above. I disagree, though, that the alternative to a "cantankerous and impolite" debate is "an entire list nodding in agreement". I enjoy a well-reasoned argument in a debate that is, at the same time, polite.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 15:07:27 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 05:34:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Dave Emmons <ddemmons5649.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>Been reading and responding personally to some of the posters
>here on UFO UpDates. Seems that people are grasping at straws in
>trying to figure out dimensions and other universes. Academia's
>research is necessary but the theories and opinions seem to be
>all over the spectrum of UFO research - scattered and not
>unified.

>Studies on ETs, UFOs, and scientific theories on dimensions are
>just a guess by most and completely over the head of others.
>Why is it that people don't want to believe legitimate
>experiencers?

>The clues each of us experiencers give should help close the
>research gap and answer some questions. For instance, Kathy
>Marden's research should not be dissed. I am one of those
>experiencers...

>Seems it is very difficult to explain ET/UFO experiences to so-
>called scientist or amateur scientists - those who read a lot of
>books - and get them to open their minds to possibilities.
>To me, I am happy with the experiences I have had with UFOs/ET
>throughout my life and sometimes I have to chuckle about all
>this einsteinium probabilities. Can't we all share without
>negative replies.

>My experiences include not seeing one up close but five UFOs.

The phenomenon of alien abduction is a topic that the UFO community will never hold a unanimous opinion of. I'm not sure if you are aware of this or not, but much of the UFO community is skeptical of alleged alien abduction. The reason being is the lack of evidence. With regards to alien abduction there are cases such as Betty & Barney Hill's experience and the experience of Travis Walton, which lead many in the UFO community to believe there is a genuine reality to the reported experiences.

This would be due in part to the evidence and/or witnesses to the events. However, in most reported cases of alien abduction the alleged abductee is taken at night, while they are in bed, with there being no witnesses and no evidence. These cases are the cases that many in the UFO community are extremely skeptical of. Going further there are many differences between these types of alleged abductions.

There are other problematic features of the abduction phenomenon and with alleged abductees. How do we know what is credible and what is it. You mentioned legitimate abductees so therefore there must also be those who are not legit. If there's no evidence then how can we know who is legit and who isn't? Right now there are alleged abductees who have been busted time and time again hoaxing stuff yet they still receive time and attention. They still make the rounds on radio shows, documentaries, books, and lectures. People simply ignore the

evidence they are con artists and view them as if they are the real deal.

Many people don't know this but Dr. John Mack got out of the alien abduction work right before his death. He did so because he concluded that alien abduction would never produce physical evidence which is absolutely necessary to prove it's reality. So that's ultimately what we are left with...stories. And if we should be forced to take stories at face value then we can't cherry pick. If we have to accept the stories of alleged abductees at face value then we should likewise accept tales of ghost, fairies, vampires, witches, demons, and gods, in the same fashion.

Personally, I believe alien abduction is real. However, I also believe that abductees routinely confabulate, adding fantasy to their experiences and often twisting the experience around to making them appear ultra-important, a messenger to humanity. In reality they would be nothing more important than lab rats or animal that have been tagged and released back into the wild. Actually, I have people who contact me and get upset with my answer to them. They want answers to their experiences but they don't want the real answers. They want to be told they are special, just like the aliens tell them. They want to be told they are messengers to help save the planet. Nobody wants to hear the cold, hard truth that they are ultimately not important and are just another test subject.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Steve Sawyer <stevesaw.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 14:20:27 -0700
Archived: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 05:38:22 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 08:45:54 -0500
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Kathleen Brand <katsdogs.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 17:02:01 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: Dave Emmons <ddemmons5649.nul>
>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>>>Been reading and responding personally to some of the posters
>>>here on UFO UpDates. Seems that people are grasping at straws in
>>>trying to figure out dimensions and other universes. Academia's
>>>research is necessary but the theories and opinions seem to be
>>>all over the spectrum of UFO research - scattered and not
>>>unified.

>>>Studies on ETs, UFOs, and scientific theories on dimensions are
>>>just a guess by most and completely over the head of others.
>>>Why is it that people don't want to believe legitimate
>>>experiencers?

>>>The clues each of us experiencers give should help close the
>>>research gap and answer some questions. For instance, Kathy
>>>Marden's research should not be dismissed. I am one of those
>>>experiencers...

>>>Seems it is very difficult to explain ET/UFO experiences to so-
>>>called scientist or amateur scientists - those who read a lot of
>>>books - and get them to open their minds to possibilities.

>>>To me, I am happy with the experiences I have had with UFOs/ET
>>>throughout my life and sometimes I have to chuckle about all
>>>this einsteinium probabilities. Can't we all share without
>>>negative replies.

>>>My experiences include not seeing one up close but five UFOs.

>>I've never posted on this forum before, but do receive all of
>>the posts.

>>As an "experiencer" myself, with absolutely no doubt in my mind
>>about the craft I've seen, I second Mr. Emmons' opinion.

>>Some posters go on, ad infinitum, about their own sacred
>>opinions, sometimes maligning, belittling, others' ideas.
>>Mainly, it seems to me they just like to spout alot of hot air -
>>just like to hear themselves "expound".

>>Enough, already.

>I certainly respect your experiences (whatever they were). At
>the same time, I don't know where you get the idea that it's
>only you who have a right to express a point of view.

>There is no reason for Listfolk to surrender their right to
>expression just because you don't like what they're saying. None
>of us - well, aside from me, of course - has a monopoly on
>wisdom. Some people have well-considered opinions, others may be
>foolish, and all shades between, but this is a forum on which
>people get to hear them out and agree or disagree, and then
>throw their ideas out there. The hope is that somewhere in this
>noisy process, we'll get to some truth that may have eluded us
>otherwise. Actually, that's pretty much how all truths in all
>areas of inquiry are arrived at.

>The history of free expression - with which you ought to
>acquaint yourself - demonstrates that debate is often
>cantankerous and impolite. But the alternative, namely an entire
>list nodding in agreement with you, would be an argument that a
>forum like Updates may as well cease to exist.

>Yes, I find some posters irritating, bombastic, and wrong-
>headed. Yet I know some no doubt think of me in the same way.
>God bless us all, and let's have at it.

Hi, Jerry/List

"None of us - well, aside from me, of course - has a monopoly
on wisdom."

Very funny, Mr. Clark! I'll have you know you're not the only
wisdom "monopolist" on this List, though. Modesty prevents me
from naming "the others." Heh! 8^}

But, I do agree wholeheartedly with your expressed sentiments:

While the UFO UpDates Mail List messages, and some of the
conflicts and arguments that do periodically arise from those
who may disagree with others can lead to exacerbating and
amplifying more smoke and heat than light or clarity, that's just
in the nature of normal human debate over issues like aspects
of the UFO phenomenon and related matters.

It is what it is, and I don't think or agree that anyone should
"stifle themselves" [Edith] if they want to offer their 2 cents
worth of opinion, conjecture, or argument. That's what makes the
list, at times, "lively" and vibrant, although at other times it
can, if carried too far, devolve into very peripheral and sort
of pointless "tit for tat" kind of extended exchanges. We all
could, OTOH, do with less personal, ad hominem exchanges.

But I'm a kind of "first amendment" free speech "absolutist" in
these matters, and some here (or elsewhere) don't like that,
they can just not read the list or respond, and/or go elsewhere
to vent their opinions on others' opinions. It's still a free
country, if you make it so or want it. 'Nuff said. For now.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 11:48:32 +0100
Archived: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 07:23:28 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Steve Sawyer <stevesaw.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 14:20:27 -0700
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

<snip>

>That's what makes the list, at times, "lively" and vibrant,
>although at other times it can, if carried too far, devolve
>into very peripheral and sort of pointless "tit for tat" kind
>of extended exchanges. We all could, OTOH, do with
>less personal, ad hominem exchanges.

I think the best rule to follow is 'don't write anything you
wouldn't say to somebody's face'. Too many people use the online
world to vent anti-social impulses that they would otherwise be
inhibited from displaying. Don't curb your enthusiasm, curb your
aggression...

Gerald O'Connell
<http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 07:35:53 -0500
Archived: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 10:21:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 08:45:54 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: Kathleen Brand <katsdogs.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 17:02:01 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>>From: Dave Emmons <ddemmons5649.nul>
>>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>I am pretty much in agreement with the substance of Jerome
>Clark's response above. I disagree, though, that the alternative
>to a "cantankerous and impolite" debate is "an entire list
>nodding in agreement". I enjoy a well-reasoned argument in a
>debate that is, at the same time, polite.

I, too, favor respectful disagreement in principle. Who doesn't?

My point, however, is that free expression and debate often
don't turn out that way and that enforced silence is worse than
loud airing of contrary views..

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 18](#)

Company To Send "Hello!" Tweets To ETs

From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 20:20:25 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
Archived: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 10:29:17 -0400
Subject: Company To Send "Hello!" Tweets To ETs

Source: Money.CNN.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/lje92cj>

Date: June 17, 2013

Lone Signal Aims To Send "Hello!" Tweets To Extraterrestrials
By Nancy Gohring

Would you pay 25 cents to send a tweet to space on the odd chance that an alien might read it? The founders of Lone Signal hope so.

The New York startup is launching a new website through which anyone can submit messages that will be broadcast to a star 17 light years away.

The messages will be sent from the fabled Jamesburg Earth Station, a satellite site that was first built to support the Apollo 11 moon mission. The property, which includes 160 acres of prime real estate in California's rural Carmel valley, is now privately owned, and Lone Signal has secured a 30-year lease on its satellite equipment.

But Lone Signal has a more ambitious goal.

<snip>

[More at site...]

Terry W. Colvin
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand
http://tlc-brotherhood.net/TLCB_Forum.html (guests welcome)
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Our Need For Sharing

From: **Dave Emmons** <ddemmons5649.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 18:36:16 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 05:16:58 -0400
Subject: Our Need For Sharing

Freedom of speech is what I fought for in the Military so I am an avid constitution supporter of everybody's rights. Don't get me wrong about people not entitled to their opinions on this UFO blog site. My apologies to anyone that misinterpreted what I said about different belief opinions pertaining to UFOs and ETs existence.

It has been very difficult to explain most of the UFO events that I have seen in the past. Do I know what they are? No. Do I believe I have been abducted? Maybe? Like some say, people that have had an abduction experience have no proof, only bad regressed vivid dreams. Who really knows how an alien ET race would watch and monitor us humans. Direct physical contact or dream invasions to upload our memories and emotions. Maybe an advanced ET race would be thousands of years ahead in the art of forensics? No proof left behind...

There is no doubt that there are things we will never know and things we are not meant to know. If we found out the truth of "life" maybe our human dynamics would change the whole human condition.

Like some of you, I don't have a scientific background, I am only an observer of these unusual UFO events. Curiosity of the truth is my most driving force within my being. Sharing and drawing upon similar experiences is all I can do. I want and need scientific input from the academic community to help explain the things that I have seen and experienced.

It is the experiencers of these UFO events that are shamed into silence for not having "PROOF". Scientist can form opinions and not be ridiculed because it is the "norm of society pulpit" they speak from. Yes, we need to share...

Truthfully,

Dave

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 21:43:27 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 05:20:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

<snip>

>In the recent exchanges, it was only one person who opined that
>"Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of
>details to their narratives." The posts by others, about
>"dimensions" for example, were actually based on accepting the
>reality of the experiences. Experiencers should see that as a
>plus.

<snip>

Lest you misconstrue my words Mr. Treurniet, I did not imply
that there was nothing to alien abduction. I merely stated that
is a well known fact, something called confabulation. Several
abduction researchers have commented on this with regard to
alien abduction.

Hopkins and Jacobs have both touched on this several times, that
is the difficulty in determining which part of the narratives
are true and which parts are false.

For example, Jacobs believes talk about the aliens' faces being
blurred or that the abductee is somehow prevented from seeing
the faces is simply not true and he feels that if you push
through with hypnosis that this has arisen in the mind of the
abductee and was not apart of the experience.

This is but one small example and confabulation can become quite
complex in detail with regards to other examples. So what I was
cautioning against is the mere acceptance of abductee narratives
at face value. Parts of the experience may be real and parts may
be fantasy invented by the abductee and included in the
narrative.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Black Holes Don't Exist [was: Re: Kathleen Marden]

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 22:32:55 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 05:25:29 -0400
Subject: Black Holes Don't Exist [was: Re: Kathleen Marden]

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 15:49:44 +0100
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

<snip>

>Hello William,

>The usual claim of black-hole apologists - that "they're very
>difficult to spot by direct means, therefore we must infer their
>presence" is just rubbish. I.e if even one black hole existed in
>the observable universe its presence would be obvious - as a big
>circular 'target' of bent and re-focussed light, with a tiny
>dark centre (event horizon), surrounded by an unnaturally wide
>ring of darkness (no background starlight around it - that
>would've all been bent inwards by the "infinite" gravity of the
>'black hole', to form that target of light).

>For anyone's peace-of-mind would recommend, whenever reading
>'black hole', just substitute neutron star or massy body (or
>galactic core - which might well have either).

>There's several reasons to say there's no such thing as a 'black
>hole': defined as an infinitely dense mass surrounded by an
>'event horizon' (for light).

>To see some of those reasons, put forward by sensible
>physicists, try googling "Black Holes Don't Exist".

>The original 'theory' was actually just speculative chat by a
>fairly accomplished mathematician physicist (not a 'real'
>physicist - Feynman quote), and was only talking about about the
>stages of density of star-like bodies, like "neutron stars" and
>possibly beyond. A real physicist would have been thinking in
>terms of physical limiting factors, like accretion and spin, way
>before the fanciful idea of a 'singularity' occurred to anybody.

>But mathematicians don't think much about reality. In fact
>decades later, when Hawking grabbed the idea as a 'sexy/scary'
>money-spinner he still didn't initially realize that any
>putative 'black hole' would have to have gained huge spin - a
>prohibitive amount of spin, way past the point where
>'conservation of angular momentum' allows the breakdown of the
>axis line, forcing plasma and radiation 'jets' of enormous
>energy to emerge from the poles, so re-distributing the matter
>of any super-dense body well before a magic (and impossible)
>'singularity' could happen. [His early mathematical 'design' was
>of a stationary, non-spinning object - if you can believe that!]

>If you think about it, a putative 'black hole' (an infinitely
>dense mass surrounded by an 'event horizon') breaks the 'laws of
>physics', notably the conservation laws, as does the Big Bang
>(another sexy/scary gimmick designed to keep tax-payers funding
>rolling in).

Good post. I think this material is new to many people because
black holes have been pushed down our throats for many years
now.

In reality the very concept of the black hole, it's definition, is extremely problematic. A black hole is basically nothing other than an event horizon and a singularity. Well, an event horizon is only and imaginary boundary.

Its nothing physical, no real boundary. The singularity is even more strange. Its supposed to be zero volume but possess infinite density. Infinities do not exist in reality, only in math. We aren't allowed to divide by zero but for some reason black hole scientists are given a free pass to do so. To be blunt, the singularity only exists in mathematics and the human imagination. Its mythology. You could replace the singularity with unicorn or even Santa Clause, as its just as magical.

Now, the key thing to realize is that when people like me or Ray, or others say that black holes do not exist we are not saying there isn't something incredibly massive and possibly even 'dark' at locations where a black hole is supposed to be found. We are only saying the current definition or understanding of a black hole is wrong.

Going further, we need to get rid of the term black hole as well. I know it sounds so cool but it has to go because it's wrong. It would be sort of like the old Brontosaurus. When it was discovered it was wrong, as in had the wrong skull on the skeleton, they didn't just replace the skull but had to give it a new name, that of Apatosaurus. So the definition of a black hole is wrong, thus we have to start over from scratch to try to determine what it is and thus it will need a new name. Here's a good video to start off on. Unfortunately it's created by a Creationist. However, it is still good material:

<http://youtu.be/0iPvcEaSxx8>

Here's a good link to start your journey:

<http://www.noblackholes.com/>

As Ray indicated above, for more information google, "black holes do not exist", or a similar phrase. Good luck and feel free to shoot me a private email if anyone wants to discuss this further offlist.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Chinese Verson Of Jonathan Reed Hoax

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <giuliano.marinkovic.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 14:17:16 +0200
Archived: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 15:54:47 -0400
Subject: Chinese Verson Of Jonathan Reed Hoax

Source: Epoch Times

<http://tinyurl.com/lw6sep2>

June 18, 2013

Alien Hoax Lands Chinese Man In Jail

For several days last week China's blog sphere was abuzz with a story and photos of a man claiming to have recovered the body of an alien being. What's so odd about it is that state media also got in on the frenzy until the whole thing was determined to have been a hoax, and the man was arrested by the police.

Li Kai, a man from Binzhou City in Shandong Province, posted photos of a dead alien in an ice chest on Sina Weibo, China's Twitter-like service on June 8.

Li said he hunts wild rabbits and pheasants along the banks of the Yellow River and was checking his traps in the early morning hours of March 9 when he came across a UFO and ended up fighting several extraterrestrials. One of the aliens touched a high-voltage electric trap and was electrocuted, Li said. He then preserved the alien's body in a refrigerator.

[Photos and more at link...]

Telegraph video report is available here:

<http://tinyurl.com/lgjz6jt>

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 08:42:03 -0400
Archived: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 15:56:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 21:43:27 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

><snip>

>>In the recent exchanges, it was only one person who opined that
>>"Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of
>>details to their narratives." The posts by others, about
>>"dimensions" for example, were actually based on accepting the
>>reality of the experiences. Experiencers should see that as a
>>plus.

><snip>

>Lest you misconstrue my words Mr. Treurniet, I did not imply
>that there was nothing to alien abduction. I merely stated that
>is a well known fact, something called confabulation. Several
>abduction researchers have commented on this with regard to
>alien abduction.

>Hopkins and Jacobs have both touched on this several times, that
>is the difficulty in determining which part of the narratives
>are true and which parts are false.

>For example, Jacobs believes talk about the aliens' faces being
>blurred or that the abductee is somehow prevented from seeing
>the faces is simply not true and he feels that if you push
>through with hypnosis that this has arisen in the mind of the
>abductee and was not apart of the experience.

In the absence of confirmatory evidence, the use of the word
'confabulation' is equivalent to the use of the word
'pareidolia'. The experiencer is said to be confabulating when
he/she describes something too odd to accept.

I suggest that, like 'pareidolia', 'confabulation' is not an
explanation, but a succinct expression of ignorance of the
facts.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 17:52:02 +0100
Archived: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:00:10 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 21:43:27 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

><snip>

>>In the recent exchanges, it was only one person who opined that
>>"Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of
>>details to their narratives." The posts by others, about
>>"dimensions" for example, were actually based on accepting the
>>reality of the experiences. Experiencers should see that as a
>>plus.

><snip>

>Lest you misconstrue my words Mr. Treurniet, I did not imply
>that there was nothing to alien abduction. I merely stated that
>is a well known fact, something called confabulation. Several
>abduction researchers have commented on this with regard to
>alien abduction.

<snip>

>So what I was cautioning against is the mere acceptance of
>abductee narratives at face value. Parts of the experience may
>be real and parts may be fantasy invented by the abductee and
>included in the narrative.

Have to agree Jason. There are problems with all testimony; of
mundane traffic accidents, sightings, close encounters,
abduction experiences.

First is the problem of 'perception'. That is, different people
see different versions of the same event. This was demonstrated
years ago by Prof Arthur J. Ellison, who used to give lectures
on electricity and would sometimes make 'unexpected' things
happen. On at least one occasion he arranged for a bowl of
flowers on his table to "raise up" (by electromagnetism), while
he continued the lecture. Only at the end of question time did
one (nervous) member of the audience ask if anyone had seen
something strange happen to the bowl of flowers during the
lecture. Sceptic members said nothing had happened: "We would
have seen it - but we didn't", others said they noticed " a
wobble", while some excited members claimed they'd seen a
"lifting by spirit hands".

Subsequent research has confirmed those perceptual divisions.
Here's a list, with paraphrased headings (for sensationalism and
controversy the Press used misleading "political" divisions, and
even some scientists were guilty of that):

i) 2007 - dogmatic/sceptic people tend to be blind to unexpected
events:

www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v10/n10/abs/nn1979.html

ii) 2008 - nervous people tend to be more dogmatic:

www.sciencemag.org/content/321/5896/1667.abstract

iii) 2010 - anxious people turn to dogmatism, religion:

<http://psycnet.apa.org/journals/psp/99/1/148/>

iv) 2010 - more intelligent people are less dogmatic, more inclined to non-traditional thought:

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20455621

Those and more are summarized at:

www.perceptions.couk.com/magic2.html#more1

Then we have the problem of memory, and especially memories 'recovered' under counselling or hypnotism. The fact that hypnotism can create illusory 'memories' was conclusively demonstrated (to me) by a short TV examination some decades ago, where a Canadian or American (I think) psychologist repeatedly asked one question of a subject (his secretary - IRCC) over a three or four day period.

Let's say he began on a Tuesday - first he determined, by a question, that the subject had slept soundly the night before (Monday night) and had no disturbance during the night. Then he hypnotised the subject and asked if there had been a sharp noise heard late on Monday night. The answer was Yes.

On Wednesday he again hypnotised the subject and asked if the noise heard Monday night was "like a pistol shot" and again the answer was "Yes". Thursday he hypnotised the subject and asked what happened Monday night - the answer was "I was woken up by a pistol shot". On Friday, the (un-hypnotised) subject was asked what happened Monday night - the answer was "during the night I was woken up by a nearby pistol shot".

We know that the subject had slept soundly all Monday night - but the same subject now had a firm memory of being woken by a pistol shot. We also knew that at no time did the subject receive any instructions from the hypnotiser and was merely asked one question each time. [BTW - there's a strong likelihood that the subject didn't actually know what a pistol shot sounds like.]

Some surprising facts of false memory creation are at:

www.perceptions.couk.com/percepts32.txt

all summarized at:

www.perceptions.couk.com/percepts.html

Maybe we should also bear in mind the 'experimenter effect', the 'sheep and goats effect' and, an extension of those established by P.E.A.R, the fact that folk can unknowingly change the outcome of random number generators (or similar) by some sort of Psy-power. There's a New Scientist summary at:

www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/espsy.txt

Whether any of those mean that we cannot trust 'reality' is open to speculation.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 19](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 14:02:09 -0300
Archived: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:02:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 21:43:27 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

><snip>

>>In the recent exchanges, it was only one person who opined that
>>"Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of
>>details to their narratives." The posts by others, about
>>"dimensions" for example, were actually based on accepting the
>>reality of the experiences. Experiencers should see that as a
>>plus.

><snip>

>Lest you misconstrue my words Mr. Treurniet, I did not imply
>that there was nothing to alien abduction. I merely stated that
>is a well known fact, something called confabulation. Several
>abduction researchers have commented on this with regard to
>alien abduction.

>Hopkins and Jacobs have both touched on this several times, that
>is the difficulty in determining which part of the narratives
>are true and which parts are false.

>For example, Jacobs believes talk about the aliens' faces being
>blurred or that the abductee is somehow prevented from seeing
>the faces is simply not true and he feels that if you push
>through with hypnosis that this has arisen in the mind of the
>abductee and was not apart of the experience.

>This is but one small example and confabulation can become quite
>complex in detail with regards to other examples. So what I was
>cautioning against is the mere acceptance of abductee narratives
>at face value. Parts of the experience may be real and parts may
>be fantasy invented by the abductee and included in the
>narrative.

>-Jason Gammon

Thanks for bringing the obvious to our attention. This is old
think being recycled. Rest assured that if the "media" focused
their attention on this discussion your downgrading of the
veracity of all of those affected by this phenomenon will
instantly be suspect and all will be then confabulators.

I can assure you that none of those I interviewed back in the
beginning were the least bit interested in public exposure but
were indeed seriously affected by what happened to them; some to
the point of seeking psychiatric help. Unfortunately a small

percentage of the affected do confabulate, identifying themselves with the phenomenon for other psychological reasons that have nothing to do with actual abduction.

The fringe will always be present whether they be politicians or abductees.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 20](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:13:19 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 07:54:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 08:42:03 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 21:43:27 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>><snip>

>>>In the recent exchanges, it was only one person who opined that
>>>"Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of
>>>details to their narratives." The posts by others, about
>>>"dimensions" for example, were actually based on accepting the
>>>reality of the experiences. Experiencers should see that as a
>>>plus.

>><snip>

>>Lest you misconstrue my words Mr. Treurniet, I did not imply
>>that there was nothing to alien abduction. I merely stated that
>>is a well known fact, something called confabulation. Several
>>abduction researchers have commented on this with regard to
>>alien abduction.

>>Hopkins and Jacobs have both touched on this several times, that
>>is the difficulty in determining which part of the narratives
>>are true and which parts are false.

>>For example, Jacobs believes talk about the aliens' faces being
>>blurred or that the abductee is somehow prevented from seeing
>>the faces is simply not true and he feels that if you push
>>through with hypnosis that this has arisen in the mind of the
>>abductee and was not apart of the experience.

>In the absence of confirmatory evidence, the use of the word
>'confabulation' is equivalent to the use of the word
>'pareidolia'. The experiencer is said to be confabulating when
>he/she describes something too odd to accept.

>I suggest that, like 'pareidolia', 'confabulation' is not an
>explanation, but a succinct expression of ignorance of the
>facts.

I'm not sure you properly comprehended my reply so let me try
again.

Several abduction researchers have spoken out that parts of the
abduction narratives are fantasy. Jacobs gave an example of
abductees who claim the aliens do something to them to cause
their faces to appear blurry, to prevent the abductee from

seeing their faces. The abductee usually responds this is done to lesson their fear.

Jacobs believes this is confabulation, a fantasy the abductee has invented and added to the narrative. Jacobs argues the aliens have shown their faces to the abductees many times before so it makes no sense to all-of-a-sudden begin to mask their faces. This would be one example of confabulation. Confabulation can be simple and it can also be complex or intricate in detail.

Part of the abduction researchers' job is to separate confabulation from the "real experience". However, new abduction researchers may not be aware of this. This is why we simply cannot take everything abductees claim at face value.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 20](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:43:55 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 07:57:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 14:02:09 -0300
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2013 21:43:27 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>>To: post.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 17 Jun 2013 13:19:13 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>><snip>

>>>In the recent exchanges, it was only one person who opined that
>>>"Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of
>>>details to their narratives." The posts by others, about
>>>"dimensions" for example, were actually based on accepting the
>>>reality of the experiences. Experiencers should see that as a
>>>plus.

>><snip>

>>Lest you misconstrue my words Mr. Treurniet, I did not imply
>>that there was nothing to alien abduction. I merely stated that
>>is a well known fact, something called confabulation. Several
>>abduction researchers have commented on this with regard to
>>alien abduction.

>>Hopkins and Jacobs have both touched on this several times, that
>>is the difficulty in determining which part of the narratives
>>are true and which parts are false.

>>For example, Jacobs believes talk about the aliens' faces being
>>blurred or that the abductee is somehow prevented from seeing
>>the faces is simply not true and he feels that if you push
>>through with hypnosis that this has arisen in the mind of the
>>abductee and was not apart of the experience.

>>This is but one small example and confabulation can become quite
>>complex in detail with regards to other examples. So what I was
>>cautioning against is the mere acceptance of abductee narratives
>>at face value. Parts of the experience may be real and parts may
>>be fantasy invented by the abductee and included in the
>>narrative.

>Thanks for bringing the obvious to our attention. This is old
>think being recycled. Rest assured that if the "media" focused
>their attention on this discussion your downgrading of the
>veracity of all of those affected by this phenomenon will
>instantly be suspect and all will be then confabulators.

>I can assure you that none of those I interviewed back in the
>beginning were the least bit interested in public exposure but
>were indeed seriously affected by what happened to them; some to
>the point of seeking psychiatric help. Unfortunately a small

>percentage of the affected do confabulate, identifying
>themselves with the phenomenon for other psychological reasons
>that have nothing to do with actual abduction.

>The fringe will always be present whether they be politicians
>or abductees.

Mr. Ledger,

You have misunderstood me. You are a confabulator, as am I, as we are both human. Confabulation is the creation of a false memory, something untrue. A memory can be completely invented. A real memory can be warped to include details, even intricate details, that were not part of the real experience. Confabulation is a part of being human. We do not store memories like in the manner of a machine. We alter, change, add to, and even subtract from memories constantly.

I am not denying the reality of alien abduction. Nor am I claiming abductees should not be believed at all. I am merely stating the abduction narratives told by abductees can not be taken at face value because they most likely contain confabulation. This confabulation may be small, it may be large, and in some cases the entire experience may be confabulated. The job of the abduction researcher is to separate the wheat from the chaff, if you will, and get down to the core "real" experience.

So let me give you a couple examples of confabulation. Jacobs has spoken and written about how many abductees claim that the aliens do something to them to prevent them from seeing their faces, making their faces blurry. These abductees who claim this usually add that this was done to them to prevent them experiencing fear and panic. Jacobs believes this is confabulation, as in it is something the abductee has invented, a fantasy, and not a part of the actual experience. Jacobs has stated that if you push past this in hypnosis the person will realize it's not true, that they can see the faces. Jacobs also notes that the aliens have shown their faces many times to the abductees in the past so it doesn't make sense that all of a sudden the aliens decided to mask their faces. This is an example of a small bit of confabulation.

For a possible example of a larger, more detailed version of confabulation you may recall the experiences of the alleged abductee, Betty Andreasson. Her detailed, "spiritual experiences" smack of fantasy, inventions she created herself. Now, I know her case is controversial and that at least one alleged relative came forward saying it was a hoax. However, if she is a real abductee then her spiritually-related claims can be interpreted as being confabulation. Now, I'm not saying she wasn't abducted. I'm not saying she hasn't had real experiences. I'm just saying the spiritually-related claims of her experience are suspect and may be confabulation. This is why we must be cautious when dealing with the narratives from abductees.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 20](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Paul Hamden <paulham1000.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 14:18:38 +1000
Archived: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 08:02:50 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Kathleen Brand <katsdogs.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 17:02:01 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Dave Emmons <ddeemmons5649.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 16 Jun 2013 11:14:24 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>>Been reading and responding personally to some of the posters here on
>>UFO UpDates. Seems that people are grasping at straws in trying to
>>figure out dimensions and other universes. Academia's research is
>>necessary but the theories and opinions seem to be all over the
>>spectrum of UFO research - scattered and not unified.

<snip>

>I've never posted on this forum before, but do receive all of
>the posts.

>As an "experiencer" myself, with absolutely no doubt in my mind
>about the craft I've seen, I second Mr. Emmons' opinion.

>Some posters go on, ad infinitum, about their own sacred
>opinions, sometimes maligning, belittling, others' ideas.

>Mainly, it seems to me they just like to spout alot of hot air
>- just like to hear themselves "expound".

<snip>

As an experiencer with many years of contact with different
races, I have closely watched this List and read many of the
comments.

What is noticeable in some of the comments is the inability for
some to think outside of the 'frame work of their mind scape',
as though, "if I can't fathom this, then it can't be real"

I do not expect people to believe without evidence and neither
do the races, (but personal contact as evidence has been
provided to some on this list in certain cases.)

The human collective consciousness journey is one of
transformation, the evidence provided to contacted individuals
is very personal to them and it is the evidence they required at
the time of contact.

Disclosure will not take place any time soon, not in the form
that this race would expect, and not in a time frame desired by
many individuals.

This planets inhabitants are dealing with civilizations which
are very different and unknown to them..

Try to keep an open mind.

Regards

Paul

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 21](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 13:31:23 -0400
Archived: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 08:40:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:13:19 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 08:42:03 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

><snip>

>>In the absence of confirmatory evidence, the use of the word
>>'confabulation' is equivalent to the use of the word
>>'pareidolia'. The experiencer is said to be confabulating when
>>he/she describes something too odd to accept.

>>I suggest that, like 'pareidolia', 'confabulation' is not an
>>explanation, but a succinct expression of ignorance of the
>>facts.

>I'm not sure you properly comprehended my reply so let me try
>again.

>Several abduction researchers have spoken out that parts of the
>abduction narratives are fantasy. Jacobs gave an example of
>abductees who claim the aliens do something to them to cause
>their faces to appear blurry, to prevent the abductee from
>seeing their faces. The abductee usually responds this is done
>to lessen their fear.

>Jacobs believes this is confabulation, a fantasy the abductee
>has invented and added to the narrative. Jacobs argues the
>aliens have shown their faces to the abductees many times before
>so it makes no sense to all-of-a-sudden begin to mask their
>faces. This would be one example of confabulation. Confabulation
>can be simple and it can also be complex or intricate in detail.

>Part of the abduction researchers' job is to separate
>confabulation from the "real experience". However, new abduction
>researchers may not be aware of this. This is why we simply
>cannot take everything abductees claim at face value.

From the description given, I understand that Jacobs tried to explain away what the abductee said as an invention, i.e., confabulation, simply because it did not fit the narrative he preferred or expected. But there may have been a good reason for that deviation. Perhaps the abductee was particularly fearful during this particular experience, and the ET thought hiding the face would help allay the fear. Or, this was the first encounter with this particular ET individual, and he was simply following ET procedure for first encounters. In either case, the report that the faces were hidden would have been true.

My point was that, without 'ground truth' data, labeling a part of the abductee's experience as confabulation requires a subjective judgement that may not be correct. The label should not be used to dismiss the experience as reported. Otherwise, the researcher would be adding his/her own perspective, i.e.,

making things up.

I suggest that, unless a person is prone to serious mental aberrations or known to be lying, his/her claims about what happened during an alien abduction experience should actually be taken at face value. But the claims should not be judged as true or false until there is a better understanding of the phenomenon.

Abductee claims in common should lead to only interim conclusions about the how and why of what's happening. This is because alien intelligences seem to be involved and, by definition, are unpredictable from a human perspective. An abductee's claim that is unsupported because it is truly a confabulation should fall away over time without being so labeled.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 21](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Michael Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 15:00:38 -0400
Archived: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 08:46:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:43:55 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>Mr. Ledger,

>You have misunderstood me. You are a confabulator, as am I, as
>we are both human. Confabulation is the creation of a false
>memory, something untrue. A memory can be completely invented. A
>real memory can be warped to include details, even intricate
>details, that were not part of the real experience.
>Confabulation is a part of being human. We do not store memories
>like in the manner of a machine. We alter, change, add to, and
>even subtract from memories constantly.

>I am not denying the reality of alien abduction. Nor am I
>claiming abductees should not be believed at all. I am merely
>stating the abduction narratives told by abductees can not be
>taken at face value because they most likely contain
>confabulation. This confabulation may be small, it may be large,
>and in some cases the entire experience may be confabulated. The
>job of the abduction researcher is to separate the wheat from
>the chaff, if you will

Yes it is. However, when our "proofs" are limited to scant
physical evidence, we're left to interpret stories told by the
experiencers. And evaluating stories is subjective business.
What you might find convincing I might find questionable.

>So let me give you a couple examples of confabulation. Jacobs
>has spoken and written about how many abductees claim that the
>aliens do something to them to prevent them from seeing their
>faces, making their faces blurry. These abductees who claim this
>usually add that this was done to them to prevent them
>experiencing fear and panic. Jacobs believes this is
>confabulation, as in it is something the abductee has invented,
>a fantasy, and not a part of the actual experience. Jacobs has
>stated that if you push past this in hypnosis the person will
>realize it's not true, that they can see the faces. Jacobs also
>notes that the aliens have shown their faces many times to the
>abductees in the past so it doesn't make sense that all of a
>sudden the aliens decided to mask their faces. This is an example
>of a small bit of confabulation.

And you assume Jacobs is correct. I don't. Jacobs has his
biases, and I find some of his conclusions to be questionable.
How can you assume that people saying the alien faces are
blurred are confabulating? Because that's what Jacobs said?

>For a possible example of a larger, more detailed version of
>confabulation you may recall the experiences of the alleged
>abductee, Betty Andreasson. Her detailed, "spiritual
>experiences" smack of fantasy, inventions she created herself.
>Now, I know her case is controversial and that at least one
>alleged relative came forward saying it was a hoax. However, if
>she is a real abductee then her spiritually-related claims can be
>interpreted as being confabulation. Now, I'm not saying she
>wasn't abducted. I'm not saying she hasn't had real experiences.

>I'm just saying the spiritual-related claims of her experience
>are suspect and may be confabulation. This is why we must be
>cautious when dealing with the narratives from abductees.

Again, you are making assumptions based on your own biases instead of just listening objectively to what Andreasson herself said about *her* experiences. You have shown your bias in favor of physical ETs in spaceships abducting people and you've dismissed their accounts of experiences that don't fit within that belief system, like poltergeist activity, increased psychic abilities, and other phenomena that don't fit within a technological framework. So what if an alien decided to use their projection abilities to induce a spiritual experience in Betty? Maybe they are interested in spiritual beliefs and used Betty to study them.

It's certainly possible, isn't it? Even from your mechanistic reality tunnel, it's not outside the realm of possibility. But you're ready to dump it as "confabulation" while accepting other details.

Think about it=97we're dealing with subjective experiences and what people remember or recall. We're not dealing with any physical, smoking-gun evidence that your preferred scenario is correct, outside of some scars, peripheral witness accounts, and occasional landing traces. I find *any* conclusion premature, and I think the most practical approach is to say "I don't know" when it comes to what the experiencers tell us. All we have are their stories, but selectively choosing the details we want to believe are "true" because they fit what we want to believe is self-deception.

Michael M. Hughes

BLACKWATER LIGHTS
My debut novel from Random House (Hydra)
On sale July 16th!

<http://tinyurl.com/ln63fo4>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 21](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Edward Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 14:40:58 -0700
Archived: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 08:49:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:13:19 -0400 (EDT)
>Archived: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 07:54:05 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>Several abduction researchers have spoken out that parts of the
>abduction narratives are fantasy. Jacobs gave an example of
>abductees who claim the aliens do something to them to cause
>their faces to appear blurry, to prevent the abductee from
>seeing their faces. The abductee usually responds this is done
>to lessen their fear.

>Jacobs believes this is confabulation, a fantasy the abductee
>has invented and added to the narrative...

Jason,
Below is what Jacobs actually says:

<http://www.ufoabduction.com/memories.htm>

"The brain can take memories of aliens and turn them into more commonly conceived of images. For example, people routinely remember seeing "owls", "raccoons", "monkeys", "snakes", and even "spiders" when they were actually seeing alien faces. Furthermore, during the abduction event itself, the aliens are quite accomplished at neurological manipulation which can result in the enervation of specific neurological pathways.

By stimulating these pathways, the aliens can make people "see" things that are not there in objective reality. These "envisioning" procedures take place in people's minds and have the appearance of reality."

Much more relevant to this discussion at his site:

<http://www.ufoabduction.com/memories.htm>

Ed

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 21](#)

Successor To Arcturus Books Open For Business

From: Rich Heiden <rwheidenu.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 16:10:36 -0700 (PDT)
Archived: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 08:54:52 -0400
Subject: Successor To Arcturus Books Open For Business

Monica Girard - ex-wife of the late Robert Girard - has opened Arcstar Books, as a successor to Bob's enterprise, Arcturus Books. I was among Bob's many faithful customers for our UFO books and other media, until he died a couple years ago. I hope others will join me in now supporting Monica.

Rich Heiden

Text Version Of Monica's PDF Information Sheet:

Seeking Truth?

Are you an independent thinker who questions the boundaries of conventional wisdom? Are you driven to seek answers to the mysteries of our world? Do you seek knowledge beyond what mainstream media offer to expand and deepen your perspective of our place in the universe? Ever had an encounter with the unexplained, know someone who did, or are simply curious? Looking for answers?

If you answered yes to one or more of these questions, then we consider you a kindred spirit.

At ARCSTAR Books we make it our mission to support truth-seekers like you in obtaining great books and media on unexplained phenomena. We have over three decades of experience as independent book sellers and many more as researchers of unexplained phenomena.

ARCSTAR Books (www.arcstarbooks.com) is an independent online and mail-order store devoted to delivering the widest array of non-fiction books on unexplained phenomena through great personalized service.

We specialize in UFO and extraterrestrial literature, and also offer a wide selection of titles covering topics including, but not limited to, ancient astronaut theory, ancient civilizations, crop circles, the occult, secret societies, hollow earth, cryptozoology, and various supernatural and paranormal phenomena. Our book and media selection includes new, second hand, out of print, vintage, rare, and collectible titles. If you can't find a title you are looking for, let us know and we will find it for you.

We invite you to take a look at our online store and hope you make us your preferred source of books on unexplained phenomena. Visit us at www.arcstarbooks.com.

Our aim is to delight our community with a thoughtfully curated book selection and great personalized service. Knowing and maintaining a close relationship with our customers is important to us and a deeply rooted value you will not find at a big corporate store.

Next time you are looking for books or media on UFOs or other unexplained phenomena, think of us. Supporting independent book

sellers like us is a statement and an investment in deep expertise and personalized service.

We look forward to serving you. Until then, never cease from exploration.

Monica Girard
ARCSTAR Books
www.arcstarbooks.com

Historial Background From The Web Site:

Ever since we can remember we have looked up to the night sky and wondered about the existence of life in other worlds. The idea of civilizations in other planets and dimensions was quite natural for us. News reports of unexplained aircraft and visitors from outer space grabbed our attention and sparked our curiosity from a very early age.

Our deep curiosity about reports of UFOs and other unexplained phenomena turned into a quest that led us to read hundreds of books, travel, and meet witnesses, explorers, and authors from around the world. In the mid-1980s we joined Arcturus Books Service founder, Robert Girard (1942-2011), in a mission to provide fellow seekers from around the world with a one-stop mail-order book service where they could find a breadth of literature on unexplained phenomena.

After Robert's passing in 2011, Arcturus Books' 31 year tenure came to an end and the store closed. As for us, we decided to put our decades of experience exploring unexplained phenomena and books on these topics back to work through digital channels. Our purpose is to continue being in service of fellow seekers looking for literature on these topics through the authentic and personalized service that our old friends know and love.

We hope you join us in our journey and become part of the ARCSTAR Books community.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 21](#)

Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 11:37:45 +0100
Archived: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 08:59:30 -0400
Subject: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

Well, the final release is now available at:

<http://ufos.nationalarchives.gov.uk/>

We can expect the usual media frenzy. One additional feature is an app for the Iphone - see:

<http://tinyurl.com/lzmuodx>
(itunes.apple.com)

though how much use it will be remains to be seen - the GIGO principle applies, I think - Garbage In, Garbage Out.

Joe

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 21](#)

UFO Today Mag On MoD 'Final' File Release

From: Philip Mantle <philip.mantle.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 13:50:45 +0100
Archived: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 09:06:49 -0400
Subject: UFO Today Mag On MoD 'Final' File Release

Press Release - UFO Today Magazine

The Ministry of Defence closed its UFO desk and hotline in a year during which reported sightings had trebled because it served "no defence purpose", it has been revealed.

Declassified files, released on Friday by the National Archives, show that the MoD closed its UFO desk in 2009 because it any investigation into sightings "would be an inappropriate use of defence resources".

The 25 files, which included 4,400 pages and cover the work carried out in the final two years of the MoD's UFO desk - from late 2007 until November 2009.

The include accounts of alleged abductions and contact with aliens, as well as UFO sightings near UK landmarks including the Houses of Parliament.

Officials decided to close the "UFO hotline" as it was deemed to have no "defence benefit" and resources devoted too it where taking staff away from "more valuable defence-related activities".

In a briefing to then defence secretary Bob Ainsworth in November 2009, Carl Mantell, of the RAF's Air Command, suggested the MoD should to reduce the UFO desk, "which is consuming increasing resource, but produces no valuable defence output".

He said that, in more than 50 years, "no UFO sighting reported to (MoD) has ever revealed anything to suggest an extra-terrestrial presence or military threat to the UK".

Officials also predicted a backlash from "ufologists" over the decision, noting they had "deliberately avoided formal approaches to other governments on the issue", amid fears of "international collaboration and conspiracy".

An official MoD statement said: "The Ministry of Defence has no opinion on the existence or otherwise of extra-terrestrial life. However, in over 50 years, no UFO report has revealed any evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom.

"The MoD has no specific capability for identifying the nature of such sightings. There is no defence benefit in such investigation and it would be an inappropriate use of defence resources."

Commenting on the release of the files, Dr David Clarke, author of the book The UFO Files, said: "The last files from the UFO desk are now all in the public domain.

"People at home can read them and draw their own conclusions about whether 'the truth' is in these files or still out there."

Sightings recorded in the newly-released files include a letter from a school child asking for the truth about UFOs after she had seen some strange lights; a report via the UFO hotline by someone who had been "living with an alien" in Carlisle, and a

man from Cardiff who a UFO abducted his dog, car and tent.

There were also sightings of UFOs over the Houses of Parliament, Stonehenge and Blackpool Pier.

In the light of the fact that the MOD has closed its UFO Desk, UFO Today are opening up their very own UFO Today Digital Desk where people can email in their experiences, sightings and anything unexplainable - UFO Today have set up a dedicated email address : hotline.nul <<mailto:hotline.nul>>

The new UFO reporting digital desk will be overseen by UFO TODAY editor and former Director of Investigations for the British UFO Research Association, Philip Mantle.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Re: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 14:12:22 -0300
Archived: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 09:13:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 11:37:45 +0100
>Subject: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

>Well, the final release is now available at:

><http://ufos.nationalarchives.gov.uk/>

>We can expect the usual media frenzy. One additional feature is
>an app for the Iphone - see:

><http://tinyurl.com/lzmuodx>
>(itunes.apple.com)

>though how much use it will be remains to be seen - the GIGO
>principle applies, I think - Garbage In, Garbage Out.

I would have thought that you enjoyed the media frenzy and the
attention. So now the real work begins, prying loose the good
stuff; but good luck with that.

As for GIGO it's more like Concerned Reports In, Garbage Out -
CRIGO.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 22:53:42 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 09:16:49 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Michael Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 15:00:38 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:43:55 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

<snip>

>And you assume Jacobs is correct. I don't. Jacobs has his
>biases, and I find some of his conclusions to be questionable.
>How can you assume that people saying the alien faces are
>blurred are confabulating? Because that's what Jacobs said?

Because Jacobs presses forward with hypnosis and catches them. According to Jacobs if you press forward with hypnosis on this subject the abductee realizes it's not true and they can see their faces. Apparently they just need help from the researcher for them to realize it's not true. Jacobs also added that the whole notion of the abductees hiding their faces makes no sense as abductees have seen their faces on many occasions.

>>For a possible example of a larger, more detailed version of
>>confabulation you may recall the experiences of the alleged
>>abductee, Betty Andreasson. Her detailed, "spiritual
>>experiences" smack of fantasy, inventions she created herself.
>>Now, I know her case is controversial and that at least one
>>alleged relative came forward saying it was a hoax. However, if
>>she is a real abductee then her spiritually-related claims can be
>>interpreted as being confabulation. Now, I'm not saying she
>>wasn't abducted. I'm not saying she hasn't had real experiences.
>>I'm just saying the spiritual-related claims of her experience
>>are suspect and may be confabulation. This is why we must be
>>cautious when dealing with the narratives from abductees.

>Again, you are making assumptions based on your own biases
>instead of just listening objectively to what Andreasson herself
>said about *her* experiences. You have shown your bias in favor
>of physical ETs in spaceships abducting people and you've
>dismissed their accounts of experiences that don't fit within
>that belief system, like poltergeist activity, increased psychic
>abilities, and other phenomena that don't fit within a
>technological framework. So what if an alien decided to use
>their projection abilities to induce a spiritual experience in
>Betty? Maybe they are interested in spiritual beliefs and used
>Betty to study them.

Actually, and to be honest, with the alleged Andreasson abduction I lean toward it being a hoax. When I first read the books back in the late 80s or early 90s, I was put off by the claims. I wanted to believe that she had real experiences and just perhaps confabulated the spiritual aspects. As time went on I began to lean toward it being a hoax. When the family member came forward saying it was a hoax, that was the icing on the cake for me.

>It's certainly possible, isn't it? Even from your mechanistic
>reality tunnel, it's not outside the realm of possibility. But
>you're ready to dump it as "confabulation" while accepting other
>details.

Possible only in the sense that nothing is ever a zero percent chance of being a reality. I've touched on this practice by various Ufologists of tacking things on to the UFO phenomenon. So not only does science have to accept that UFOs are real, but they must also accept psychic abilities, E.S.P. reincarnation, astral travel, crystal healing, though projection, dowsing, and just about anything else that people seem so obsessed with combining with the phenomenon. All this practice does is guarantee that mainstream science won't touch this subject with a 1,000 foot pole.

>Think about it=C2=97we're dealing with subjective experiences and
>what people remember or recall. We're not dealing with any
>physical, smoking-gun evidence that your preferred scenario is
>correct, outside of some scars, peripheral witness accounts, and
>occasional landing traces. I find *any* conclusion premature,
>and I think the most practical approach is to say "I don't know"
>when it comes to what the experiencers tell us. All we have are
>their stories, but selectively choosing the details we want to
>believe are "true" because they fit what we want to believe is
>self-deception.

>Michael M. Hughes

Michael it's real simple. Do you believe that the U.S. has crashed wreckage and/or bodies in it's possession? If so then you can not claim the phenomenon is this wispy, ephemeral phenomenon that humans will never be able to solve or comprehend. Because there are people who must deny that the U.S. has wreckage because it would disprove their cherished magical beliefs concerning the phenomenon. These people literally do not want the phenomenon to be 'real'. They want to keep it a mystery at all costs. Of course if the U.S. does have wreckage then it would be 100% proof of the nuts-and-bolts theory. Just thought I would remind folks about this.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 23:28:18 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 09:21:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 13:31:23 -0400
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:13:19 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 08:42:03 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>><snip>

>>>In the absence of confirmatory evidence, the use of the word
>>>'confabulation' is equivalent to the use of the word
>>>'pareidolia'. The experiencer is said to be confabulating when
>>>he/she describes something too odd to accept.

>>>I suggest that, like 'pareidolia', 'confabulation' is not an
>>>explanation, but a succinct expression of ignorance of the
>>>facts.

>>I'm not sure you properly comprehended my reply so let me try
>>again.

>>Several abduction researchers have spoken out that parts of the
>>abduction narratives are fantasy. Jacobs gave an example of
>>abductees who claim the aliens do something to them to cause
>>their faces to appear blurry, to prevent the abductee from
>>seeing their faces. The abductee usually responds this is done
>>to lesson their fear.

>>Jacobs believes this is confabulation, a fantasy the abductee
>>has invented and added to the narrative. Jacobs argues the
>>aliens have shown their faces to the abductees many times before
>>so it makes no sense to all-of-a-sudden begin to mask their
>>faces. This would be one example of confabulation. Confabulation
>>can be simple and it can also be complex or intricate in detail.

>>Part of the abduction researchers' job is to separate
>>confabulation from the "real experience". However, new abduction
>>researchers may not be aware of this. This is why we simply
>>cannot take everything abductees claim at face value.

>From the description given, I understand that Jacobs tried to
>explain away what the abductee said as an invention, i.e.,
>confabulation, simply because it did not fit the narrative he
>preferred or expected. But there may have been a good reason for
>that deviation. Perhaps the abductee was particularly fearful
>during this particular experience, and the ET thought hiding the
>face would help allay the fear. Or, this was the first encounter
>with this particular ET individual, and he was simply following
>ET procedure for first encounters. In either case, the report
>that the faces were hidden would have been true.

No. Here's what happened with regard to Jacobs. Jacobs is skeptical of the claims by abductees that the aliens have done something to them to blur their faces as to prevent fear or panic in the abductee. Jacobs is skeptical of this because the aliens have shown their faces to abductees on many occasions starting with the first abduction. So Jacobs keeps this in his mind.

From the way Jacobs worded it I do not believe Jacobs points his finger at them and calls them liars. I believe he's a bit more crafty and, as he pushes on with the hypnosis, he gets them to realize they can see the faces after all and that their belief the aliens did something was an invention.

That's just the way I took it from hearing this from Jacobs.

>My point was that, without 'ground truth' data, labeling a part
>of the abductee's experience as confabulation requires a
>subjective judgement that may not be correct. The label should
>not be used to dismiss the experience as reported. Otherwise,
>the researcher would be adding his/her own perspective, i.e.,
>making things up.

That issue may arise in a scenario but in this example it's not apt.

>I suggest that, unless a person is prone to serious mental
>aberrations or known to be lying, his/her claims about what
>happened during an alien abduction experience should actually be
>taken at face value. But the claims should not be judged as true
>or false until there is a better understanding of the
>phenomenon.

Ufology is in such a sad state that even when an alleged abductee is caught lying, caught hoaxing, even on multiple occasions, the person is still paraded around as if they were 100% legit.

So obviously your take would not help us much.

This whole thing makes me wonder if lab mice talked to each other like humans and if one escaped and joined the wild mice, if they would have a similar problem of discerning what of the tales the mice told were real verses what were false. I can imagine some of the escaped mice would concoct tales of being messengers from the giants while others may be a bit more accurate in the descriptions and especially if they were extremely afraid.

>Abductee claims in common should lead to only interim
>conclusions about the how and why of what's happening. This is
>because alien intelligences seem to be involved and, by
>definition, are unpredictable from a human perspective. An
>abductee's claim that is unsupported because it is truly a
>confabulation should fall away over time without being so
>labeled.

I disagree with the notion that false memories fall away with time. How can they fall away if the person doesn't realize the memory never happened or has been altered? It is my belief that unless work is done to separate the true from the false memories that the confabulation may actually increase with time.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Sightings Trebled In 2009 As MoD Axed X Files

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 12:15:42 -0400
Archived: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 12:15:42 -0400
Subject: Sightings Trebled In 2009 As MoD Axed X Files

Source: DailyMail.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/lvhujph>

21 June 2013

How Strange Sightings Trebled In 2009 When MoD Axed Its X Files
Hotline
By Claire Ellicott

From cigar-shaped spacecraft in the night sky to abduction by aliens, they are the tales that have captivated the imagination of Earthlings for nearly a century.

And now the Ministry of Defence has revealed its latest batch of reports from people claiming a close encounter in the UK.

The sightings only go up to 2009, as that was the year the 'British X-Files' desk was closed.

Spookily, that year also saw a trebling in the frequency of UFO reports, the newly declassified files show.

More than 600 alien experiences were reported to the MoD's UFO hotline, more than double the previous year and three times the usual number, according to the papers released by the National Archives.

[More at site... for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 23:37:44 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 12:20:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Edward Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 14:40:58 -0700
>Subject: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:13:19 -0400 (EDT)
>>Archived: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 07:54:05 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>Several abduction researchers have spoken out that parts of the
>>abduction narratives are fantasy. Jacobs gave an example of
>>abductees who claim the aliens do something to them to cause
>>their faces to appear blurry, to prevent the abductee from
>>seeing their faces. The abductee usually responds this is done
>>to lessen their fear.

>>Jacobs believes this is confabulation, a fantasy the abductee
>>has invented and added to the narrative...

>Jason,

>Below is what Jacobs actually says:

><http://www.ufoabduction.com/memories.htm>

>"The brain can take memories of aliens and turn them into more
>commonly conceived of images. For example, people routinely
>remember seeing "owls", "raccoons", "monkeys", "snakes", and
>even "spiders" when they were actually seeing alien faces.
>Furthermore, during the abduction event itself, the aliens are
>quite accomplished at neurological manipulation which can result
>in the enervation of specific neurological pathways.

>By stimulating these pathways, the aliens can make people
>"see" things that are not there in objective reality.
>These "envisioning" procedures take place in people's minds
>and have the appearance of reality."

>Much more relevant to this discussion at his site:

><http://www.ufoabduction.com/memories.htm>

Incorrect. That would be Jacobs writing about screen memory,
not confabulation.

Confabulation is done with the person not realizing what they
are doing. The opposite would be some one who deliberately
chooses to lie and deceive.

Screen memory was first discovered by Hopkins who borrowed the
concept from psychology.

In psychology screen memory is a phenomenon that can occur with
abuse victims or people who have gone through an incredibly
traumatic experience. In screen memory a fake memory is created
to mask the traumatic event.

The key thing to realize is that in it's role as a concept in

psychology the screen memory is created by the person.

Hopkins proposed that screen memory among abductees was created by the aliens, perhaps in an attempt to prevent the abductee from realizing what occurred.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 10:00:37 -0400
Archived: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 12:23:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 23:28:18 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 13:31:23 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>><snip>

>No. Here's what happened with regard to Jacobs. Jacobs is
>skeptical of the claims by abductees that the aliens have done
>something to them to blur their faces as to prevent fear or
>panic in the abductee. Jacobs is skeptical of this because the
>aliens have shown their faces to abductees on many occasions
>starting with the first abduction. So Jacobs keeps this in his
>mind.

>From the way Jacobs worded it I do not believe Jacobs points his
>finger at them and calls them liars. I believe he's a bit more
>crafty and, as he pushes on with the hypnosis, he gets them to
>realize they can see the faces after all and that their belief
>the aliens did something was an invention.

It has been argued that false memories can actually be implanted
using hypnosis, even unintentionally. "... as he pushes on with
the hypnosis, he gets them to realize they can see the faces
after all." So which memory is more likely to be true?

>>My point was that, without 'ground truth' data, labeling a part
>>of the abductee's experience as confabulation requires a
>>subjective judgement that may not be correct. The label should
>>not be used to dismiss the experience as reported. Otherwise,
>>the researcher would be adding his/her own perspective, i.e.,
>>making things up.

>That issue may arise in a scenario but in this example it's not
>apt.

I think it is, especially if hypnosis was involved in arriving
at the "truth".

>>I suggest that, unless a person is prone to serious mental
>>aberrations or known to be lying, his/her claims about what
>>happened during an alien abduction experience should actually be
>>taken at face value. But the claims should not be judged as true
>>or false until there is a better understanding of the
>>phenomenon.

>Ufology is in such a sad state that even when an alleged
>abductee is caught lying, caught hoaxing, even on multiple
>occasions, the person is still paraded around as if they were
>100% legit.

>So obviously your take would not help us much.

>>Abductee claims in common should lead to only interim

>>conclusions about the how and why of what's happening. This is
>>because alien intelligences seem to be involved and, by
>>definition, are unpredictable from a human perspective. An
>>abductee's claim that is unsupported because it is truly a
>>confabulation should fall away over time without being so
>>labeled.

>I disagree with the notion that false memories fall away with
>time. How can they fall away if the person doesn't realize the
>memory never happened or has been altered? It is my belief that
>unless work is done to separate the true from the false memories
>that the confabulation may actually increase with time.

My suggestion that false memories should fall away over time is
based on the law of large numbers. That is, "the average of the
results obtained from a large number of trials should be close
to the expected value, and will tend to become closer as more
trials are performed."

In your example from Jacobs' work, if only one person
encountered beings with faces hidden, and many other people
never see hidden faces, then we might conclude in the long run
that beings don't hide their faces. The lone report about hidden
faces would be an outlier and could be ignored, even without
being labeled confabulation.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 22](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: Jason Gammon boyinthemachine.nul
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 00:22:58 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 12:28:30 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 08:51:38 -0400
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

<snip>

>Wilbert B. Smith, who Grant has studied extensively, comments as
>follows in one of his letters concerning tensor beam technology.
>This information was said to come from the ETs themselves, but
>he does not explain how.:

><http://www.treurniet.ca/Smith/SmithCoil.htm>

>"I think you might be a bit hasty in dismissing 'telepathic'
>communication with these people from elsewhere, since I know
>that the system they use does resemble telepathy to some extent,
>but it actually is electrical, even though they have cut out
>most of the intervening equipment such as microphones,
>earphones, vocal cords etc. I have generated this energy in the
>lab, but I am not smart enough to do anything with it; I only
>know that it exists, and the boys from topside admit that it is
>what they use.

>The tensor beam I have found to be quite unmanageable. I can
>generate it OK but can't make it do much for me. You see, it is
>identical with the energies associated with the life functions
>in the human body and accordingly can be incorporated and
>directed with them, by mental control, by experts! I hope
>someday to be able to do it, but I am informed that it takes at
>least 10 years of concentrated training for the experts to learn
>how to do it."

>So Smith would say that telepathy is a natural ability that can
>be enhanced by technology.

Dr. Eric Walker also dropped hints that E.S.P. played a huge
role with regard to understanding the UFO phenomenon. The
problem is how Walker would know this. The same question would
apply to Smith. How would he know?

Some people are just dead set on this belief that humans possess
this seemingly magical ability and that one day we will learn
how to control such. I believe this is a pipe dream and
represents perhaps a childish perception of the phenomenon.

I can only add that I can scour the earth in an attempt to find
a person with advanced psychic powers capable of turning
my t.v. channel by mere thought alone. I can also push the
button on my remote. Both routes may perhaps produce
results if time is not a factor to consider. As far as
usefulness and ability to replicate or reproduce the action,
I believe technology is what we should focus on. Sure there
have been various studies on alleged psychic powers.

However, we are currently in the infant stage of artificial or
technological telepathy. I don't know why so many UFO
researchers pretend as if this and similar advances are not
happening or are somehow not of concern. And for those out there

who think that the answer is a mixture of both routes, technology with advanced understanding of alleged psychic powers, then my question would be why? Why still cling to naturally evolved telepathy when technology does not require any alleged supernatural power of the human mind? Those researching technological telepathy are not researching alleged human telepathy.

To be honest, it's not going to play a role because it's not necessary. Both Dr. Smith and Dr. Walker only turned to a naturally evolved human ability because at that time they could not even fathom a technological version. There was no competition so of course it would be a monopoly. And going further, if MJ12 or some other secret organization existed I'm sure they too perhaps went down this route as well. However, by now they would have definitely realized that such avenue was unproductive.

All I can say is that if you still doubt what I'm saying then please look back and judge the productivity of these two avenues. Which one is going to deliver? Because thought-controlled cars and machines are also right around the corner and I promise you that telekinesis has absolutely nothing to do with it. It's called BCI (Brain-Computer Interface).

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 23](#)

Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 15:59:01 +0100
Archived: Sun, 23 Jun 2013 09:09:10 -0400
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 22:53:42 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Michael Hughes <michaelmhughes.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 15:00:38 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>>To: <post.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:43:55 -0400 (EDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

><snip>

>>And you assume Jacobs is correct. I don't. Jacobs has his
>>biases, and I find some of his conclusions to be questionable.
>>How can you assume that people saying the alien faces are
>>blurred are confabulating? Because that's what Jacobs said?

>Because Jacobs presses forward with hypnosis and catches
>them. According to Jacobs if you press forward with hypnosis
>on this subject the abductee realizes it's not true and they can
>see their faces. Apparently they just need help from the
>researcher for them to realize it's not true.

Sorry Jason, but once you get into the territory of researchers using hypnosis help abductees to 'realize what's true' then you are skating on the thinnest of ice. By definition 'good subjects' self-select on the basis of suggestibility - and that in itself should be enough of a warning.

As medical science progresses (increased sophistication in our ability to switch certain neural circuits on and off) narcoanalysis will start to look like a much better bet. Of course, the accompanying strictures over the qualification of researchers to employ such methods without proper medical credentials will spoil the fun for curious amateurs of every stripe. No bad thing, in my view.

Gerald O'Connell
<http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 23](#)

Re: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 16:26:58 +0100
Archived: Sun, 23 Jun 2013 09:12:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 14:12:22 -0300
>Subject: Re: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 11:37:45 +0100
>>Subject: Release Of 'Remaining' MoD UFO Files

>>Well, the final release is now available at:

>><http://ufos.nationalarchives.gov.uk/>

<snip>

>>the GIGO principle applies, I think - Garbage In, Garbage Out.

>I would have thought that you enjoyed the media frenzy and the
>attention. So now the real work begins, prying loose the good
>stuff; but good luck with that.
>As for GIGO it's more like Concerned Reports In, Garbage Out -
>CRIGO.

Right Don

The Gov't/M.o.D and media presentation of this release/closure was almost unbelievable for its contradictions. For a start their comments omit all mention of the long list of sensitive military sightings in UK from 40's and '50s onwards. And in my own region they've glossed over several events, here's two:

Dyfed Triangle UFO sighting from 1970s

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-wales-18798867>

UFO spotted by police helicopter

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/wales/7465041.stm>

and, maybe significantly, the Press have now completely 'forgotten' one event:

Berwyn Mountains Incident

<http://tinyurl.com/n524wwu>

where, as you might see, Gov't/M.o.D used several of their resources - police - RAF/Army, UK's Geological Service [and a fake (de-bunking) "ufologist"] for deception and obfuscation of the various events of that night [which included a 'co-incident' meeting of two opposing-course "meteors" over the site, an "earthquake" at the site, noise & lights observed by hundreds of locals - an apparently crashed/landed UFO seen by reputable witnesses - and then a massive "search/rescue cum wreckage-reclamation or investigation" by the military; all subsequently misrepresented or denied.] Here's a message from an angry investigator of that Berwyns Incident, with links:

www.perceptions.couk.com/metamail92.html#debunks

But it's all only what we should expect - information that governments fear they first suppress or distort, and then try to write out of history.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 23](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 15:54:04 -0400
Archived: Sun, 23 Jun 2013 09:14:53 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: Jason Gammon boyinthemachine.nul
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 00:22:58 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 08:51:38 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

><snip>

>>So Smith would say that telepathy is a natural ability that can
>>be enhanced by technology.

>Dr. Eric Walker also dropped hints that E.S.P. played a huge
>role with regard to understanding the UFO phenomenon. The
>problem is how Walker would know this. The same question would
>apply to Smith. How would he know?

Smith is not too clear on exactly how he received information
from the ETs. As far as I know, he got some information from a
medium, and at least one message was relayed by an acquaintance
who was a ham radio operator.

>Some people are just dead set on this belief that humans possess
>this seemingly magical ability and that one day we will learn
>how to control such. I believe this is a pipe dream and
>represents perhaps a childish perception of the phenomenon.

>I can only add that I can scour the earth in an attempt to find
>a person with advanced psychic powers capable of turning
>my t.v. channel by mere thought alone. I can also push the
>button on my remote. Both routes may perhaps produce
>results if time is not a factor to consider. As far as
>usefulness and ability to replicate or reproduce the action,
>I believe technology is what we should focus on. Sure there
>have been various studies on alleged psychic powers.

It's illuminating that you do not completely rule out the
possible existence of advanced psychic powers in humans. If
humans could have it, then why not the ETs? They might be more
proficient at it than we are.

The reality of such "magical" abilities and a physics we don't
yet understand has been demonstrated often. For example, it is
indicated by the German medium, Kai Muegge, in a recent report
entitled

"PHYSICAL MEDIUMSHIP UNDER STRICT SCIENTIFIC SCRUTINY 2013 -
'Sittings of Historical Significance' (Prof.Stephen Braude) /
with stills from the Video-Evidence.

<http://tinyurl.com/ny9t7xr>

Among other things, the medium writes, "The second sitting was
meant to film the full table levitation under full control of the
medium and his wife! After an hour of very active table movements
and partial levitations, the full levitation was first happening

in the dark before the red light was switched on to have it on film! What then was recorded, we believe may represent the most persuasive film document of a table levitation, especially when it is known under which circumstances it was made and who had sat at the table!"

The study was led by Dr. Stephen Braude, the chief editor of the J. of the Society of Scientific Exploration. A professional film-maker was present to document the effects produced in the seances. If levitation of a heavy table is possible by psychic means, then operating a tv remote should eventually be possible too.

>However, we are currently in the infant stage of artificial or
>technological telepathy. I don't know why so many UFO
>researchers pretend as if this and similar advances are not
>happening or are somehow not of concern. And for those out there
>who think that the answer is a mixture of both routes,
>technology with advanced understanding of alleged psychic
>powers, then my question would be why? Why still cling to
>naturally evolved telepathy when technology does not require any
>alleged supernatural power of the human mind? Those researching
>technological telepathy are not researching alleged human
>telepathy.

Let's use vision as an analogy for telepathy. Some of us cannot see well at all. We can improve things by wearing glasses, or if the eyes are really defective, we can wear a device that puts a lo-res tactile image of a scene on the skin.

Wearing glasses is analogous to the tensor beam device Smith described that appears to enhance the input to an existing telepathy system. The tactile image is analogous to the BCI system you mention below, since an alternative input mode is used.

Both approaches are possible, and no one is denying at this point that the BCI system will work. It seems to be you who is denying that a natural system for telepathy is worth considering. But it appears to be supernatural only due to our lack of knowledge.

>To be honest, it's not going to play a role because it's not
>necessary. Both Dr. Smith and Dr. Walker only turned to a
>naturally evolved human ability because at that time they could
>not even fathom a technological version. There was no
>competition so of course it would be a monopoly. And going
>further, if MJ12 or some other secret organization existed I'm
>sure they too perhaps went down this route as well. However, by
>now they would have definitely realized that such avenue was
>unproductive.

The tactile approach to vision will never be equal to vision mediated by the eyes because the information bandwidth is much too small. I expect any brain-computer interfaces that don't use systems naturally evolved for the purpose will suffer from similar problems.

BCI technology will only offer a crippled form of telepathy, much like a tactile interface that offers a crippled form of vision experienced using the eyes. For this reason, enhancing the real human telepathy system is hopefully not beyond our reach.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 23](#)

Re: Sightings Trebled In 2009 As MoD Axed X Files

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 18:10:42 -0300
Archived: Sun, 23 Jun 2013 09:18:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Sightings Trebled In 2009 As MoD Axed X Files

>Source: DailyMail.Com

><http://tinyurl.com/lvhuiph>

>21 June 2013

>How Strange Sightings Trebled In 2009 When MoD Axed Its X Files
>Hotline
>By Claire Ellicott

>>From cigar-shaped spacecraft in the night sky to abduction by
>aliens, they are the tales that have captivated the imagination
>of Earthlings for nearly a century.

>And now the Ministry of Defence has revealed its latest batch of
>reports from people claiming a close encounter in the UK.

>The sightings only go up to 2009, as that was the year the
>'British X-Files' desk was closed.

>Spookily, that year also saw a trebling in the frequency of UFO
>reports, the newly declassified files show.

>More than 600 alien experiences were reported to the MoD's UFO
>hotline, more than double the previous year and three times the
>usual number, according to the papers released by the National
>Archives.

>[More at site...]

Does anybody know how many of the released MOD reports had been
classified SECRET or TOP SECRET?

Remember that USAF General Carroll Bolender stated that "Reports
of UFOs which could effect national security were not part of
the Blue Book System".

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 23](#)

UFO Magazine To Publish John Ford Issue

From: **John Ford Initiative** <johnfordinitiative.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 19:06:25 -0600
Archived: Sun, 23 Jun 2013 09:23:58 -0400
Subject: UFO Magazine To Publish John Ford Issue

Dear friends and associates,

We have reached a major turning point in THE John Ford Initiative!

I have received word that Bill and Nancy Birnes are making the next issue of UFO Magazine a wall to wall John Ford issue. This is a chance for YOU to write an article for the magazine.

I have already confirmed this with Alfred Lehmborg directly and have recently discussed it with John Ford as well. John is ecstatic and speechless to say the least as he has no words to describe his tremendous gratitude towards Bill and Nancy for engaging in a project like this with UFO Magazine.

Alfred has told me that the deadline for getting an article in to the magazine for consideration and publication would be two weeks away. so I suggest you guys get the ball rolling sooner than later.

Do not leave it until the last minute. There's a lot involved with banging out a magazine publication and Bill and Nancy put a lot into this with regard to the fact that there is both a printed and online version.

I am contacting Peter Moon and Jerry Cimisi directly as I write this. John Ford has already begun writing his article. Due to limitations on his end, he will be writing his in longhand.

Article Submission guidelines:

Article submissions should be submitted within two weeks in electronic format by email directly to Alfred Lehmborg at:

alienview.nul

Make sure your email subject line reads:

UFO Magazine John Ford Issue

Thank you for your time, interest and support with this. It is much appreciated.

Sincerely,

Richard Smith

~~~~~  
THE JOHN FORD INITIATIVE

Richard Smith | Peter Moon | George Dickson (rest in peace 6/14/12)

Letter To The Editor:

[http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note\\_id=304094992991595](http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note_id=304094992991595)

Web Site: <http://ufoteacher.com/johnford>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 23](#)

## The End Of Fake UFO Photos?

From: **Dave Haith** <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 23 Jun 2013 12:40:25 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 23 Jun 2013 09:27:22 -0400  
Subject: The End Of Fake UFO Photos?

I'm a non-techie but this software (pretty expensive!) in relation to UFOs looks interesting.

May I ask the geeks on this List: Is it foolproof?

Will it mean the end of fake UFO photos and phony documents?

<http://verifeyed.com/>

<http://verifeyed.com/category/blog/>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S0MU80sxvgw>

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HgOb\\_9SgenI](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HgOb_9SgenI)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

## Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 00:08:01 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 17:42:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 15:54:04 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 00:22:58 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 15 Jun 2013 08:51:38 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>><snip>

>>>So Smith would say that telepathy is a natural ability that can  
>>>be enhanced by technology.

>>Dr. Eric Walker also dropped hints that E.S.P. played a huge  
>>role with regard to understanding the UFO phenomenon. The  
>>problem is how Walker would know this. The same question would  
>>apply to Smith. How would he know?

>Smith is not too clear on exactly how he received information  
>from the ETs. As far as I know, he got some information from a  
>medium, and at least one message was relayed by an acquaintance  
>who was a ham radio operator.

Neither source is credible, in my opinion.

>>Some people are just dead set on this belief that humans possess  
>>this seemingly magical ability and that one day we will learn  
>>how to control such. I believe this is a pipe dream and  
>>represents perhaps a childish perception of the phenomenon.

>>I can only add that I can scour the earth in an attempt to find  
>>a person with advanced psychic powers capable of turning  
>>my t.v. channel by mere thought alone. I can also push the  
>>button on my remote. Both routes may perhaps produce  
>>results if time is not a factor to consider. As far as  
>>usefulness and ability to replicate or reproduce the action,  
>>I believe technology is what we should focus on. Sure there  
>>have been various studies on alleged psychic powers.

>It's illuminating that you do not completely rule out the  
>possible existence of advanced psychic powers in humans. If  
>humans could have it, then why not the ETs? They might be more  
>proficient at it than we are.

Because it's an anomalous experience, something that has not  
been shown to be able to be replicated in a predictable manner  
on the level of say technology. We don't know how it operates  
and there's no perceivable way to control it. The type of  
information that is allegedly transferred in these experiences  
is not on the same order as in a verbal communication. It's a  
literal hit and miss as to what, if any information, is  
transferred. As I indicated, when given the choice between



something unreliable versus reliable, what choice would you make?

>The reality of such "magical" abilities and a physics we don't  
>yet understand has been demonstrated often. For example, it is  
>indicated by the German medium, Kai Muegge, in a recent report  
>entitled

>"PHYSICAL MEDIUMSHIP UNDER STRICT SCIENTIFIC SCRUTINY 2013 -  
>'Sittings of Historical Significance' (Prof.Stephen Braude) /  
>with stills from the Video-Evidence.

><http://tinyurl.com/ny9t7xr>

>Among other things, the medium writes, "The second sitting was  
>meant to film the full table levitation under full control of the  
>medium and his wife! After an hour of very active table movements  
>and partial levitations, the full levitation was first happening  
>in the dark before the red light was switched on to have it on  
>film! What then was recorded, we believe may represent the most  
>persuasive film document of a table levitation, especially when  
>it is known under which circumstances it was made and who had sat  
>at the table!"

>The study was led by Dr. Stephen Braude, the chief editor of the  
>J. of the Society of Scientific Exploration. A professional  
>film-maker was present to document the effects produced in the  
>seances. If levitation of a heavy table is possible by psychic  
>means, then operating a tv remote should eventually be possible too.

I do not find table floating to be of interest nor to be  
convincing evidence. It is a standard performance that arose in  
the beginning of modern spiritualism. It's kin are table  
rapping, ectoplasm, and apports. Even the remote viewers took  
great lengths, at first at least, to separate themselves from  
what was perceived as pseudo-scientific nonsense. Later the  
programs would degrade into bringing in tarot card readers,  
crystal ball gazers, and self-styled witches yet people wonder  
why the programs were canceled.

>>However, we are currently in the infant stage of artificial or  
>>technological telepathy. I don't know why so many UFO  
>>researchers pretend as if this and similar advances are not  
>>happening or are somehow not of concern. And for those out there  
>>who think that the answer is a mixture of both routes,  
>>technology with advanced understanding of alleged psychic  
>>powers, then my question would be why? Why still cling to  
>>naturally evolved telepathy when technology does not require any  
>>alleged supernatural power of the human mind? Those researching  
>>technological telepathy are not researching alleged human  
>>telepathy.

>Let's use vision as an analogy for telepathy. Some of us cannot  
>see well at all. We can improve things by wearing glasses, or if  
>the eyes are really defective, we can wear a device that puts a  
>lo-res tactile image of a scene on the skin.

>Wearing glasses is analogous to the tensor beam device Smith  
>described that appears to enhance the input to an existing  
>telepathy system. The tactile image is analogous to the BCI  
>system you mention below, since an alternative input mode is  
>used.

>Both approaches are possible, and no one is denying at this  
>point that the BCI system will work. It seems to be you who is  
>denying that a natural system for telepathy is worth  
>considering. But it appears to be supernatural only due to our  
>lack of knowledge.

You do realize scientists are developing artificial eyes, right?  
They would be implanted and deliver the same optic impulses to  
the brain. This technology is in it's infant stages and the  
resulting vision it provides is highly pixilated but it works no  
less. In the future the vision will improve, and probably exceed  
so-called normal vision. This technology does not require any  
latent psychic abilities. Likewise, technological telepathy  
would not require any either.

>>To be honest, it's not going to play a role because it's not  
>>necessary. Both Dr. Smith and Dr. Walker only turned to a  
>>naturally evolved human ability because at that time they could

>>not even fathom a technological version. There was no  
>>competition so of course it would be a monopoly. And going  
>>further, if MJ12 or some other secret organization existed I'm  
>>sure they too perhaps went down this route as well. However, by  
>>now they would have definitely realized that such avenue was  
>>unproductive.

>The tactile approach to vision will never be equal to vision  
>mediated by the eyes because the information bandwidth is much  
>too small. I expect any brain-computer interfaces that don't use  
>systems naturally evolved for the purpose will suffer from  
>similar problems.

>BCI technology will only offer a crippled form of telepathy,  
>much like a tactile interface that offers a crippled form of  
>vision experienced using the eyes. For this reason, enhancing  
>the real human telepathy system is hopefully not beyond our  
>reach.

Willaim, I think you lack perspective. For some reason all of us  
naturally think that we exist, as in now, at the pinnacle of  
human technological development. I believe this bias may be  
preventing you from glimpsing what lies in store for us. We are  
going to develop machines we control with our minds via BCI  
(Brain-Computer Interface). This same technology will also be  
extremely important to the creation of cyborgs. It's coming  
faster than you realize. Likewise the day will dawn when we will  
be using technological telepathy. Will you still argue for the  
use of a naturally-evolved ability when people could so easily  
communicate in such reliable fashion?

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

## Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 00:33:41 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 17:44:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 15:59:01 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 22:53:42 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: Michael Hughes <[michaelmhughes.nul](mailto:michaelmhughes.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 15:00:38 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:43:55 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>><snip>

>>>And you assume Jacobs is correct. I don't. Jacobs has his  
>>>biases, and I find some of his conclusions to be questionable.  
>>>How can you assume that people saying the alien faces are  
>>>blurred are confabulating? Because that's what Jacobs said?

>>Because Jacobs presses forward with hypnosis and catches  
>>them. According to Jacobs if you press forward with hypnosis  
>>on this subject the abductee realizes it's not true and they can  
>>see their faces. Apparently they just need help from the  
>>researcher for them to realize it's not true.

>Sorry Jason, but once you get into the territory of researchers  
>using hypnosis help abductees to 'realize what's true' then you  
>are skating on the thinnest of ice. By definition 'good  
>subjects' self-select on the basis of suggestibility - and that  
>in itself should be enough of a warning.

>As medical science progresses (increased sophistication in our  
>ability to switch certain neural circuits on and off)  
>narcoanalysis will start to look like a much better bet. Of  
>course, the accompanying strictures over the qualification of  
>researchers to employ such methods without proper medical  
>credentials will spoil the fun for curious amateurs of every  
>stripe. No bad thing, in my view.

Mr. O'Connell, you misunderstood me. I was not writing to those  
who are skeptical of alien abduction or skeptical of the use of  
hypnosis. If you are either of these then of course you are  
going to find problems with what I wrote. So I wasn't attempting  
to prove the reality of alien abduction or the use of hypnosis  
to retrieve memories. My writing was directed at those who  
already accept alien abduction as a potential reality in an  
effort to perhaps shed light on a topic of concern in the hopes  
of furthering our understanding.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

## Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 01:12:24 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 17:46:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 10:00:37 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 21 Jun 2013 23:28:18 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 13:31:23 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>><snip>

>>No. Here's what happened with regard to Jacobs. Jacobs is  
>>skeptical of the claims by abductees that the aliens have done  
>>something to them to blur their faces as to prevent fear or  
>>panic in the abductee. Jacobs is skeptical of this because the  
>>aliens have shown their faces to abductees on many occasions  
>>starting with the first abduction. So Jacobs keeps this in his  
>>mind.

>>From the way Jacobs worded it I do not believe Jacobs points his  
>>finger at them and calls them liars. I believe he's a bit more  
>>crafty and, as he pushes on with the hypnosis, he gets them to  
>>realize they can see the faces after all and that their belief  
>>the aliens did something was an invention.

>It has been argued that false memories can actually be implanted  
>using hypnosis, even unintentionally. "... as he pushes on with  
>the hypnosis, he gets them to realize they can see the faces  
>after all." So which memory is more likely to be true?

>>>My point was that, without 'ground truth' data, labeling a part  
>>>of the abductee's experience as confabulation requires a  
>>>subjective judgement that may not be correct. The label should  
>>>not be used to dismiss the experience as reported. Otherwise,  
>>>the researcher would be adding his/her own perspective, i.e.,  
>>>making things up.

>>That issue may arise in a scenario but in this example it's not  
>>apt.

>I think it is, especially if hypnosis was involved in arriving  
>at the "truth".

>>>I suggest that, unless a person is prone to serious mental  
>>>aberrations or known to be lying, his/her claims about what  
>>>happened during an alien abduction experience should actually be  
>>>taken at face value. But the claims should not be judged as true  
>>>or false until there is a better understanding of the  
>>>phenomenon.

>>Ufology is in such a sad state that even when an alleged  
>>abductee is caught lying, caught hoaxing, even on multiple  
>>occasions, the person is still paraded around as if they were

>>100% legit.

>>So obviously your take would not help us much.

>>>Abductee claims in common should lead to only interim  
>>>conclusions about the how and why of what's happening. This is  
>>>because alien intelligences seem to be involved and, by  
>>>definition, are unpredictable from a human perspective. An  
>>>abductee's claim that is unsupported because it is truly a  
>>>confabulation should fall away over time without being so  
>>>labeled.

>>I disagree with the notion that false memories fall away with  
>>time. How can they fall away if the person doesn't realize the  
>>memory never happened or has been altered? It is my belief that  
>>unless work is done to separate the true from the false memories  
>>that the confabulation may actually increase with time.

>My suggestion that false memories should fall away over time is  
>based on the law of large numbers. That is, "the average of the  
>results obtained from a large number of trials should be close  
>to the expected value, and will tend to become closer as more  
>trials are performed."

>In your example from Jacobs' work, if only one person  
>encountered beings with faces hidden, and many other people  
>never see hidden faces, then we might conclude in the long run  
>that beings don't hide their faces. The lone report about hidden  
>faces would be an outlier and could be ignored, even without  
>being labeled confabulation.

You can't have your cake and eat it too. You can't embrace  
hypnosis when it seemingly verifies your beliefs and then reject  
it when it does not. Pick one or the other.

Your argument that we should simply believe abductees at face  
value as long as they aren't obviously lying or have mental  
problems, as you suggested earlier, is not a convincing argument  
in my opinion.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 25](#)

## Another Thought On Boyd Bushman Experiment

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 20:57:39 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 25 Jun 2013 07:17:40 -0400  
Subject: Another Thought On Boyd Bushman Experiment

I had another thought on the Lockheed engineer Boyd Bushman's anti-gravity experiment, in which he reported that a pair of magnets bolted together in the repel position took longer to fall than a test object of same size and weight. His video is here:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y-x3E39PMMI>

Apparently there is no surviving paperwork from that experiment, but to me, that doesn't mean Boyd Bushman was lying.

I'd like to suggest that a similar but easier to perform experiment which might resolve the question.

Acquire a pair of strong magnets with a center hole for a bolt, and a suitable brass bolt as Boyd Bushman used.

Place the magnets in the attracting position, and bolt them together.

Weigh them with a precision scale, using an attachment which keeps the magnets well clear of any of the scale mechanism and circuitry.

Reverse the magnets so they repel, and bolt them together in that position.

Re-weigh them with the precision scale.

This should provide more accurate results, as aerodynamics and human vision observing a fast moving object are not involved.

Would this be do-able at the York University facilities, Nick Balaskas?

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

## Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 25 Jun 2013 08:31:50 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 26 Jun 2013 09:12:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 01:12:24 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 10:00:37 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

<snip>

>>My suggestion that false memories should fall away over time is  
>>based on the law of large numbers. That is, "the average of the  
>>results obtained from a large number of trials should be close  
>>to the expected value, and will tend to become closer as more  
>>trials are performed."

>>In your example from Jacobs' work, if only one person  
>>encountered beings with faces hidden, and many other people  
>>never see hidden faces, then we might conclude in the long run  
>>that beings don't hide their faces. The lone report about hidden  
>>faces would be an outlier and could be ignored, even without  
>>being labeled confabulation.

>You can't have your cake and eat it too. You can't embrace  
>hypnosis when it seemingly verifies your beliefs and then reject  
>it when it does not. Pick one or the other.

I don't see the connection between the last comment about my  
beliefs and the proposal that the law of large numbers would  
minimize the effect of occasional confabulations. That is, the  
Bayesian subjective degree of belief should change to account  
for new evidence and should asymptote to the real value as the  
sample size increases. Of course, if a confabulation is  
consistent over time, perhaps because of a researcher's bias,  
then such a process will still lead to an incorrect belief.

In any case, nowhere have I embraced hypnosis for memory  
recovery. My beliefs, such as they are, come mainly from reports  
of abductees' conscious memories as well as physical evidence  
accompanying suspected abductions. Recovered memories fall into  
a different category for me because expectations are brought to  
a hypnosis session by all involved.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 26](#)

## Les Rencontres Ufologiques

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 26 Jun 2013 14:21:46 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 26 Jun 2013 09:37:56 -0400  
Subject: Les Rencontres Ufologiques

Gerard Lebat's latest update from Les Rencontres Ufologiques:

<http://www.les-rencontres-ufologiques.com>

says that their next get-together will feature St=E9phane Allix, reporter and author of 'Alien: the investigation'.

It notes that Allix has recently produced another six-episode TV series, to be broadcast on France's M6 this summer, of Special Investigations into "the questions: whether we are alone in the universe, that we can think of the energy that surrounds us and a topic often discussed today: What's going on after death".

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 27](#)

## An Interview With Gordon Creighton

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 26 Jun 2013 15:02:12 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 27 Jun 2013 06:56:57 -0400  
Subject: An Interview With Gordon Creighton

Hello List,

Occasionally you might stop to think and realize how your view of a subject has changed significantly over time.

That seems to have happened with Keel, Hynek, Vallee and maybe also with Gordon Creighton.

I.e. as an engineering type I naturally concentrated nuts and bolts and physics when considering the UFO subject, yet now have to note the opinion-change of the commentators above.

As a newcomer I didn't know much about Creighton, although may have unwittingly read some of his earlier stuff, so was interested to come across this You Tube short: An Interview With Gordon Creighton (11 mins)

[www.youtube.com/watch?v=ATtS12Owesw](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ATtS12Owesw)

In addition, was struck by Creighton's similarly late conclusion that humans have probably been genetically 'tampered with' in the distant past. That rang a bell because A.R Wallace, Charles Fort, various later scientists and others seem to have arrived at much the same opinion, by various logical routes.

As it happens, there's a small collection of quotes on that topic - at:

[www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html#summary](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/creation.html#summary)

- and if I can find a text version of Creighton's views, will be hoping to add that.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 27](#)

## MoD Release To Deflect Public Interest

From: **Robert Hastings** <[ufohastings.nul](mailto:ufohastings.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 26 Jun 2013 12:26:30 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Thu, 27 Jun 2013 07:03:32 -0400  
Subject: MoD Release To Deflect Public Interest

British UFO Document Release Designed To Deflect Public And  
Media Interest Says Former Ministry of Defence UFO Specialist

All,

Reuters just picked up my latest press release:

<http://tinyurl.com/p6uvmn7>

which contains some very frank and confrontational statements by  
former Ministry of Defence UFO specialist Nick Pope, regarding  
the ruse his former employer has been engaging in.

This is a rather newsworthy story. Pope has never been this  
candid about the real reason the British government has been  
releasing its low-level UFO documents, in batches, over the last  
few years.

Robert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 27](#)

## Re: Scattered Not Unified

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 27 Jun 2013 02:28:15 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Thu, 27 Jun 2013 07:07:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Tue, 25 Jun 2013 08:31:50 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 01:12:24 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 22 Jun 2013 10:00:37 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Scattered Not Unified

><snip>

>>>My suggestion that false memories should fall away over time is  
>>>based on the law of large numbers. That is, "the average of the  
>>>results obtained from a large number of trials should be close  
>>>to the expected value, and will tend to become closer as more  
>>>trials are performed."

>>>In your example from Jacobs' work, if only one person  
>>>encountered beings with faces hidden, and many other people  
>>>never see hidden faces, then we might conclude in the long run  
>>>that beings don't hide their faces. The lone report about hidden  
>>>faces would be an outlier and could be ignored, even without  
>>>being labeled confabulation.

>>You can't have your cake and eat it too. You can't embrace  
>>hypnosis when it seemingly verifies your beliefs and then reject  
>>it when it does not. Pick one or the other.

>I don't see the connection between the last comment about my  
>beliefs and the proposal that the law of large numbers would  
>minimize the effect of occasional confabulations. That is, the  
>Bayesian subjective degree of belief should change to account  
>for new evidence and should asymptote to the real value as the  
>sample size increases. Of course, if a confabulation is  
>consistent over time, perhaps because of a researcher's bias,  
>then such a process will still lead to an incorrect belief.

The problem is that your claim that confabulation will naturally  
be exposed and drop off. I disagree and believe that  
confabulation can increase with time, going through an  
evolutionary process if you will.

I could give examples of how certain abductees have slowly but  
surely changed their tune with time to being merely a lab rat to  
a spokesperson for the aliens or messenger to humanity. Also,  
your belief doesn't take into effect the notion of contagion  
between abductees, that of sharing of information either  
accidentally or deliberately. So a confabulated aspect of the  
alleged abduction event can be transmitted and incorporated into  
other abductees' narratives.

>In any case, nowhere have I embraced hypnosis for memory  
>recovery. My beliefs, such as they are, come mainly from reports

>of abductees' conscious memories as well as physical evidence  
>accompanying suspected abductions. Recovered memories fall into  
>a different category for me because expectations are brought to  
>a hypnosis session by all involved.

Good to know. I personally believe that the notion of 100%  
conscious memory to be a myth. I believe that certain memories  
may be consciously maintained but that the majority of the  
experience to be kept from conscious recall.

I also believe that if we only focused on the conscious memories  
than there would absolutely be no way to separate the potential  
confabulated material as well as to see past various potential  
screen memories. Screen memories are pretty much only revealed  
under hypnosis.

The conscious memory would be say, the owl that was staring at  
the abductee through the window or the strange deer that  
communicated love with it's eyes.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 29](#)

## E-Mail Addresses?

From: **A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO** <[a.j.nul](mailto:a.j.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 29 Jun 2013 09:20:02 -0300  
Archived: Sat, 29 Jun 2013 21:37:47 -0400  
Subject: E-Mail Addresses?

Dear Listers:

Does anyone here have the e-mail addresses of John Burroughs,  
Jim Penniston and General Wilfried De Brouwer?

Many thanks,

A. J. Gevaerd

Brazilian UFO Magazine

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 30](#)

## Binding Force Detector By Bill Beaty?

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 27 Jun 2013 09:06:18 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 30 Jun 2013 09:34:36 -0400  
Subject: Binding Force Detector By Bill Beaty?

Has anyone ever come across a photo of the nylon filament "binding force detector" assembled by Bill Beaty, and used to locate "areas of reduced binding force?" (Geographic areas.)

I can find the text of forum posts about the device, but no photos.

This would appear, with improved pointer bearings, to be a simple enough device that others could try it to find any "areas of reduced binding force."

It would be very interesting if in fact this is a repeatable experiment and could point to advanced physics.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 30](#)

## 1971 Costa Rican Photo Is Prosaic Object

From: Ray Stanford <[dinosaurtracker.nul](mailto:dinosaurtracker.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 30 Jun 2013 03:16:05 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 30 Jun 2013 10:10:08 -0400  
Subject: 1971 Costa Rican Photo Is Prosaic Object

The much-touted 1971 Photo Of An Alleged UFO Over A Lake In Costa Rica Actually Shows A Prosaic Object. See For Yourself

I decided to celebrate my recent 75th birthday anniversary by sharing with you something I discovered years ago: The September 4, 1971 photo of an alleged UFO over Lago de Cote in Costa Rica shows a prosaic object , not an anomalous one.

Thanks to Chris O'Brien's unsolicited comments on The Paracast for bringing me out of the closet on this.

I hope you will consider this letter a positive thing and not a negative one, because in scientific work, as in life, one must filter 'noise' to better monitor 'signal'. Perhaps you will agree after carefully studying what I have to say and present, that the Lago de Cote photograph belongs only in the archives of UFO studies as an example of how a photo that is a hoax and therefore constitutes nothing but 'noise', can for a time become esteemed by some as important evidence.

The Lago de Cote photo was discussed by Haines and Vallee in Photo Analysis of An Aerial Disc Over Costa Rica, Journal of Scientific Exploration, Volume 3, number 2, pages 113 - 131, 1989, so let's begin by looking at part of the authors' summary.:

"In summary, our analyses have suggested that an unidentified, opaque, aerial object was captured on film at a maximum distance of 10,000 feet. There are no visible means of lift or propulsion and no surface markings other than dark regions that appear to be nonrandom... There is no indication that the image is the product of a double exposure or a deliberate fabrication"

To be fair and provide you access to both sides of the issue, I suggest you study the whole paper at:

[http://www.scientificexploration.org/journal/jse\\_03\\_2\\_haines.pdf](http://www.scientificexploration.org/journal/jse_03_2_haines.pdf)

Please note the qualifier in the above text, "have suggested". By saying "suggested", the authors provided a caveat that they are not stating established fact. Yet. some persons with whom I have communicated have mistaken their paper to be totally documented fact, perhaps because they have not read it carefully and as a result have mistaken a lot of measurements and the authors' possession of Ph.Ds (and the fact that the authors are well-known in 'UFO studies') as certification of a 'gospel' that the authors surely never intended.

Unfortunately, the paper's title might lead to the presumption that what was photographed was actually, "an aerial disc".

In reality, the authors come no where near showing that the object was. "... an unidentified, opaque, aerial object ...captured on film at a maximum distance of 10,000 feet".

They clearly state that no shadow of the object is visible, but they follow that with the suggestion that, "It should be emphasized that if the disc was located at the earth's surface one would not expect to find a significant shadow." Well, herein

I suggest that the real reason there is no shadow is that a photographic image of an electric lantern (a large flashlight) reflector image was superimposed (See below.), and I could explain to the authors that there are several ways that could be done, and with the superposition remaining undetected by their study of film grain structure.

If the image source were an aerial object (as said in the paper's title), by definition it would be in the air and not "on the earth's surface", but can we 'have our cake and eat it, too' -- that is, have an object on the ground (or lake's surface) and in the air, too?

Also, shouldn't it concern a reader that even in the title the authors take the position that what was photographed was, to quote them, "An Aerial Disc" [My emphasis.].

"Aerial"? The authors certainly don't demonstrate that.

Is it really a disc? After careful study, I am convinced the photo image reveals something else. (See images below.).

Shouldn't that interpretation ["an Aerial Disc"] in the paper's title more fairly have been posed as questions instead of stated as fact?

Then, too, if we accept the authors' proposition that the photo is of a real aerial object but that maybe it did not leave a shadow because "it was located at the earth's surface", we must presume that they consider the lake's surface as synonymous with the earth's surface, since, if a real object between the aircraft and the water was responsible for the strange image, it absolutely is not on the ground but on the water.

Yet, if that is accepted as a 'given', one must presume it to have zipped into position (the previous photo frame was taken only twenty seconds earlier and the object is not shown therein), stopped dead-still for its portrait, and then zipped off before the next automatic photo, which doesn't show it either.

One would expect some rather dramatic kinetic after-effects on the lake surface, even into the next photo. None are visible. Surely if it were at the surface of the lake, we would see some light-absorption-reflection differentials on the lake surface near the object, due to the fast arrival and sudden stop (no matter if it arrived from below the surface or from any direction above it), but none are evidenced in the photo. And the authors admit there is no trace of a shadow.

So, whatever made the strange image was reportedly unseen by the photo-mapping crew.

It produced no shadow.

It seems more as myth than a physical reality.

That's highly strange, indeed, but it's not the class of high strangeness that constitutes a good 'UFO' case.

We see in the paper a lot of measurements and poor-quality descriptions -- such in where they try to describe the light and dark patterns on the 'object' image -- plus a large dose of what some might interpret as simply wishful thinking that an aerial object was really there.

Perhaps due to a perceived need to add something -- Seemingly anything! -- to bolster the severe paucity of substance to the photo case, even in the abstract the authors drag in a purely anecdotal report from about fifteen years later (October 25, 1986) of a "partially submerged object" (very dissimilar to anything shown in the photo) reportedly seen in the same lake. Only a true believer could feel that something of such difference in appearance and separated by fifteen years could have any relevance whatsoever. Again, it looks more like wishful thinking than science.

Both authors have demonstrated far better and more cautious writing than we encounter in the Lago de Cote photo report, so I prefer that we not regard either harshly for any problems in the paper. The best of researchers can slip up from time to time.

Only the timid fail to make some guesses or take a chance on occasion.

And, as to the photo, itself, of course, even good writers can miss something (like failing to recognize what actually created a photographic image) that is obvious to a more visual type person, and in my opinion that's simply what happened to their analysis of that 1971 Costa Rica photo. Having read the above-referenced paper several times, I could give a more in-depth, point-by-point description of where and how, in my opinion, their analysis went wrong, but this letter is not the place for that.

Perhaps if Haines and Vallee had taken a relaxed look at the photo upside-down, they might have perceived the actual nature of the image, but that didn't happen, so some UFO websites around the planet have declared a photo that only shows something that definitely looks an electric lantern's dual-reflector system to be one of the best photos of a (They presume.) alien vehicle!

With that kind of wide-eyed faith in what one merely hopes is there, 'UFOlogy' fails without the contribution of hidden adversaries.

I am absolutely not referring to the authors of the paper, but to those who extrapolate well beyond anything the paper says, those who seemingly don't know how to discern what a paper's the authors say, but, equally important, what they DON'T SAY.

Even persons who don't realize what's actually shown in the September 4, 1971 photo often remark to the effect that 'something isn't right' with the image, but I believe that's primarily because the legend connected with the photo has them thinking they are supposed to be seeing a slope-flanged disc in sunlight, instead of an electric lantern's larger reflector illuminated from above by a two-tube fluorescent light fixture.

Reading the paper, one gets the impression that both authors may be quite uninformed, if not actually naive, about what darkroom techniques could have been used to imprint the image of concern onto the mapping photo film, and, presuming for a moment that the actual mapping photographer was not behind the hoax, even how the image could have been deliberately put onto the film that was to be used in the mapping operation before the film was loaded into the camera.

Some personal background: I decided to get myself well-informed on both photographic and darkroom tricks way back in the late 1950s, because beginning at age 15 -- for a few years during my teens -- I was taken in by the photo hoaxes of George Adamski. Well, I got, as I said at the time, "fed up with it", and learned things that compelled me to publicly expose Adamski's fake photos and movies, as well as the ones of Daniel W. Fry, and a lot of other photo hoaxes since then. Those with the will to believe based on nothing but wishful thinking are not happy with my willingness to expose the 'noise' and well as to help discern the signal in AAO photographic claims.

In examining any alleged UFO image(s), it is advisable that we not lean on the hope that we might be seeing, e.g., a 'metallic UFO' (as some persons allege to be seen in the 1971 Costa Rica photo), but simply look at the image with objectivity, asking ourselves what we might really be seeing and how it might have gotten into the film, movie, or video. That is especially important in a highly suspect case like the 1971 Costa Rica photo, wherein it is said that NO ONE SAW THE OBJECT.

In some such 'didn't see it' photo cases, we must consider the possibility that the claim is made to divert any possible suspicion away from a hoaxing photographer -- especially in cases wherein the alleged 'UFO' is so graphic that it clearly is not a bird, balloon, or insect, etc., that just wasn't noticed by the photographer.

I'm not at all saying that a bona-fide anomalous aerial object couldn't show up in a photo, unnoticed at the time, and perhaps due to any number of possible reasons. I'm just saying that as researchers we must be very cautious about accepting the objective reality of puzzling images, such as the one under discussion, alleged to have been photographed, 'unbeknownst to

the photographer', regardless of how emotionally appealing to our hope for photographic evidence an alleged image might be.

So, please carefully read and think about the images and all the text you see below. Note that in my analysis, THE UNFOCUSED FRONT EDGE SHOWS THAT THE OBJECT WAS SMALL AND TOO NEAR THE CAMERA FOR THAT EDGE TO GET IN FOCUS, unlike the lake scene in the photograph. Thus, we can deduce that the object in question was separately photographed with a lens focus at inches-close range (but with the front edge still out of focus because it's so close), while the terrestrial scene was photographed with a lens focused far out from the camera.

On page 221, the authors tell us, puzzlingly, that "...the entire image is in sharp focus..." As anyone can see in the images below, that is absolutely incorrect, unless one wishes to serve some faulted concept of 'analysis' that only wants to accept the clearest details of the object.

That wouldn't be science, but it is wishful thinking.

Some wide-eyed believers have stated that the near edge (the lower edge in the photo as published) of the object is indistinct because that part of the object is in the water, but there is no sign of any lake-surface turbulence that would surely result (and be visible due to the bright sunlight) from such a situation, regardless of whether the object is hypothesized to be entering, or leaving, the lake.

Instead of such unsupported speculation, discovery is better served via unexcited, objective analysis, divorced from any fear whatsoever that we might be crumbling some believer's cookie -- be that 'believer' outside ourselves or internal to ourselves.

The following combination of images with text is not intended to be a scientific paper (That would be far more detailed and in-depth.), but it's just an illustration I've quickly done to explain and show you what I feel confident was photographed, but without going into the several technical methods that could easily have been used to impose the image over the mapping photo of the lake area in a way that Haines' and Vallee's examination would have failed to detect. (Keep in mind that the 1971 photo was made back in the days of emulsion-film photography, so we are not involved with a 'PhotoShop job'.)

Please attentively read what the text says, paying special attention to where I point out that the cut-out black 'mask' [in the dashed-white-line ellipses and at the turquoise-colored arrows in the illustration at right] fails to match the curvature of the larger reflector, and that the black mask is so close to the camera on the lower - near - side as to not be in focus.

[Image at:

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/listers/1971costaricaanalysis.jpg>

--ebk]

Well, there you have it. I trust that if you don't have greatly impaired, uncorrected vision, now that you are being shown the object image 'upside-down', you should be able to see for yourself that the object in the Costa Rica 1971 photo was amusingly prosaic.

Even the light reflected off the thing is in contradiction of the direction of sunlight clearly evidenced in the 'mapping photo' background.

If you are offended, instead of appreciative, because of my explanation of the photo as showing a common object, and are thinking I'm just anti-UFO, you simply don't know me. I am very positive concerning the existence of truly anomalous aerial objects, which I prefer to call AAOs, and certainly one can photograph them. I'm confident of that because various persons, including personnel in my AAO hard-evidence project and I, have done so.

Could it be that some researchers have been well-trained to measure, measure, and again measure certain things, but have in so doing lost (or at least temporarily neglected) the ability to

simply sit back, relax and simply LOOK, allowing both sides of the brain to work together to correctly interpret and understand what one is really seeing?

From what is known of human perception, that seems quite possible.

Very correctly, the Haines-Vallee paper said (as quoted more fully at the beginning of this report), "There are no visible means of lift or propulsion..."

Of course we can all easily see that it's true, and one wouldn't expect anything so prosaic as an electric lantern reflector, illuminated as described, to show any such effects.

Indeed, quite aside from what one can recognize as a the prosaic object in the photo under discussion, there are still an abundance of other things about the photo that inform certain colleagues, and me, that the object is not an AAO. I refer to the total absence of specific sets of physical phenomena (including propulsion-related effects) which are photographically recorded in association with genuine AAOs in flight. That subject can be addressed at other times.

Concerning the AAO subject more generally: In my opinion, the study of AAOs has been hampered by its own all-to-heavy reliance upon anecdote (even with witnesses of high reliability), instead of the search for, and analysis of, diagnostic hard evidence. Of course, that approach wouldn't sell as many books, but credibility among physical scientists and aerospace engineers would likely result.

I do not know Douglas Trumbull personally, yet it seems he has the right approach. See:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9jJhmwpQ-RI>

Scientific credibility and insight concerning AAOs will likely come only from physical hard-data such as Trumbull is seeking (as contrasts with the purely observational) and via the science that examines it, not from politics. One might reasonably conclude that a Bassett has for years now been barking up the wrong tree.

BTW: If you'd like insight into my involvement in a very terrestrial subject (dinosaurs), click on the following link to my February 1, 2013, presentation to the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center's Scientific Colloquia Lecture Series. It's titled, Life in A Dinosaur-Dominated Environment , and, believe it or not, it's NOT about politics in Washington, D.C. If click on that link, when you see the world turning with the NASA logo over it, put your cursor down toward the bottom-right, and a button will appear enabling you to enlarge the presentation to full screen. If you find important, new dinosaur discoveries intriguing, you will likely enjoy watching the whole presentation. Don't expect talk of AAOs though. My subject was literally down-to-earth, even though delivered at the Goddard Space Flight Center. NASA has long had a serious interest in earth science.

<http://tinyurl.com/qbxpt9c>

Ray Stanford  
author of the 1976 book on the Socorro CE III case

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 30](#)

## Klass' Infamous Theory About Valentich Incident

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 30 Jun 2013 10:33:32 +0200  
Archived: Sun, 30 Jun 2013 10:45:18 -0400  
Subject: Klass' Infamous Theory About Valentich Incident

Dear Colleagues,

Don Ecker, former Director of Research for US UFO Magazine, digitized and made available important audio of the 1992 debate in Denver between him and Phil Klass which was organized by Michael Corbin at the time.

During that historical debate, Klass made the infamous comment about the Valentich incident suggesting that "there is reason to suspect that Valentich who was a very young and inexperienced pilot, may have been on a drug-running mission."

Audio of the debate was broadcast in Don's show Dark Matters Radio and you can download it here from this link:

<http://tinyurl.com/p9jvru3>

Thread on The Paracast Forum about this debate is located here:

<http://tinyurl.com/nbk2po7>

<http://tinyurl.com/pnmjw6h>

Extraction from Don's post about Klass' theory:

<http://tinyurl.com/nzcps9t>

"The debate itself became very infamous because of something Phil Klass alleged. We were discussing several then well known UFO events and the case of Fredrick Valentich was raised. Klass claimed that Valentich was smuggling drugs because he had 4 life preservers on board his aircraft. I landed on Klass Hard in the debate. This totally unsubstantiated claim by Klass was eventually discovered by Valentich's family who were rightly outraged."

Phil Klass Wikipedia entry about that claim:

<http://tinyurl.com/2gh326>

"In the late 1960s, Klass quietly abandoned his plasma theory and afterwards argued that all UFO sightings could be explained as misidentification of normal phenomena (such as clouds, stars, comets, or airplanes) and/or as hoaxes. Clark contends[21][17] that Klass argued in favor of hoaxes more than almost any other UFO skeptic, but that Klass rarely had evidence in favor of his accusations; this position was echoed by Don Ecker,[22] who asserted that during a 1992 debate, Klass made unsubstantiated charges of "drug smuggling" against Australian pilot Frederick Valentich, who disappeared in 1978 after claiming a strange UFO was flying near his airplane."

Other companion links:

Kevin Randle's compilation:

<http://tinyurl.com/67ppqpp>

Bob Jacobs' chronology and correspondence with Klass:

<http://tinyurl.com/pt6bf3n>

Bob also discussed this in Strange Days Indeed episode 306:

<http://tinyurl.com/otlzctb>

Phil Klass Letter:

<http://tinyurl.com/q2u95ua>

Letter was in length discussed on UFO UpDates in November 2005:

<http://tinyurl.com/pshtt97>

<http://tinyurl.com/px9w329>

(where you can browse through messages with subject "Phil Klass letter found")

This letter was also discussed on Strange Days Indeed by Dolan and Friedman: - episode 361:

<http://tinyurl.com/84g34qf>

Videos uploaded from my archives in the past:

Randle vs. Klass on Larry King (June 28, 1997)

<http://tinyurl.com/qhqlvad>

Friedman vs. Klass on Nightline (2 parts - June 24, 1987):

<http://tinyurl.com/ofngkht>

<http://tinyurl.com/oarj6ec>

Howard Blum vs. Klass on Oprah Show (December 5, 1990):

<http://tinyurl.com/o9xqxmvy>

Best Wishes,

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 30](#)

## Re: Binding Force Detector By Bill Beaty?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 30 Jun 2013 10:16:52 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 30 Jun 2013 10:47:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: Binding Force Detector By Bill Beaty?

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 27 Jun 2013 09:06:18 -0400  
>Subject: Binding Force Detector By Bill Beaty?

>Has anyone ever come across a photo of the nylon filament  
>"binding force detector" assembled by Bill Beaty, and used to  
>locate "areas of reduced binding force?" (Geographic areas.)

>I can find the text of forum posts about the device, but no  
>photos.

>This would appear, with improved pointer bearings, to be a  
>simple enough device that others could try it to find any "areas  
>of reduced binding force."

>It would be very interesting if in fact this is a repeatable  
>experiment and could point to advanced physics.

Hi Eleanor, I don't know much about Bill Beaty's version of  
Wilbert B. Smith's detector, but I did construct my own version  
in 2006. It is documented at the following links.

<http://www.treurniet.ca/Smith/>

<http://www.treurniet.ca/Smith/BFMeterExpl.htm>

The latter has links to images of Smith's meter and two meters I  
put together myself.

The article also discusses my attempt to see if there is still a  
difference in binding force between the Ottawa and Toronto areas  
as Smith claimed.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2013](#) > [Jun](#) > [Jun 24](#)

## This company will be a memorable stock

From: [jdobrickdd.nul](mailto:jdobrickdd.nul)  
Date: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 14:55:30 -0900  
Archived: Mon, 24 Jun 2013 14:55:30 -0900  
Subject: This company will be a memorable stock

Stock Symbol: ALA N  
Short Term Target: 1.20  
Date: Fri, October 24, 2013  
It is now: \$.48  
Name: Alanco Technologies Corp.

This Stock is Starting to Heat Up!!! This Stock is on the move! More to come!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)